1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 6 p.m.)
2	MAYOR STUESSI: I'd like to make a motion to
3	open the Thursday, March 21st Work Session. May I
4	have a second please?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
10	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye. Motion carries.
12	Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
13	(Pledge of Allegiance)
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Please be seated.
15	The Public Hearing regarding the proposed
16	Local Law for the maximum speed limit in non school
17	zones throughout the Village to 25 miles an hour.
18	Public Hearing remained open from February 22nd.
19	Again for those of you in the audience and
20	anybody watching, some years ago the Village lowered
21	speed limits on Front and Main Streets from 30 miles
22	an hour to 25 miles an hour, but all of the
23	neighborhood streets were kept at 30 miles an hour.
24	So the Board is considering lowering, lowering them
25	to 25 miles an hour in the neighborhoods as well.

1	Is there anybody here this evening that would
2	like to speak on this, either in support or against
3	lowering the speed limit on Village streets to
4	25 miles an hour? No? Nobody?
5	MS. DE CRUZ: I'll speak.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Please. Thank you. You know
7	the routine.
8	MS. DE CRUZ: Margaret deCruz, 25 Washington
9	Avenue. This thing is so high (referring to
10	microphone). Anyway, I'm definitely in favor of
11	keeping or lowering to 25 miles per hour. People
12	don't even they don't they still drive faster
13	than that, especially down Main Street, but I think
14	it's important that we lower it to 25 miles per hour.
15	Thank you.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Anybody else like
17	to speak on this issue?
18	MR. LEHMANN: Captain Robert H. Lehmann,
19	535 Third Street, Greenport, New York. Twenty miles
20	an hour speed limit would make everyone do 25 or 30.
21	I would suggest maybe down to 15. They may do 20,
22	which it should be at. Thank you.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
24	would like to speak on this?
25	(No Response)

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. We're awaiting one thing
2	from our traffic consultant on this. So with that,
3	I'll make a motion to keep this that hearing open
4	until a week from tonight, to Thursday, March 28th's
5	regularly scheduled Board meeting. May I have a
6	second?
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye. Motion carries.
14	Next up, we have a Public hearing regarding
15	Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Costello
16	Marine Contracting, as Agent for the property at
17	2050 Manhanset Avenue, Greenport, New York 11944
18	Tax Map #1001-3-1-2 to perform the following work:
19	Construct a 112' low profile retaining wall. Install
20	three rows of 12" coir-logs, 16' in length. Plant
21	Cape America Beach Grass 12".
22	Is there anybody that would like to speak in
23	regards to this this evening?
24	MR. LEHMANN: (Raised Hand).
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, sir.

1	MR. LEHMANN: Captain Robert H. Lehmann, again,
2	535 Third Street. I strongly suggest that this
3	Village Board get off their duffs or their dupas and
4	approve this bulkhead. The next storm will possibly
5	bring a coffin into the Stirling Harbor, it is that
6	close. It's an emergency situation. Anyone on the
7	Board, please take a drive down there and pace often
8	10 feet to the water, and we have a bad storm coming
9	this weekend. Thank you.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir. Is there
11	anybody else who would like to speak on this this
12	evening?
13	(No Response)
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Board, would you anybody
15	like to make a motion to close the hearing on this or
16	keep it open?
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll make a motion to close
18	the public hearing for the wetlands permit application
19	submitted by Costello Marine Contracting Corp.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'll second that
21	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
23	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Lehmann, excuse me.

MAYOR STUESSI: We're not going to engage

24

25

	Work Session 3/21/24 7
1	anymore on this.
2	Next item up is the <i>Public Hearing to discuss</i>
3	and possibly take action amending or restating
4	Chapter 88, entitled "Noise" of the Greenport Village
5	
6	Code. May I have a second to open it?  TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye. Motion carries.
13	Is there anybody this evening that would like
14	to be heard in regards to the Noise Code?
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Which part of it?
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Anything regarding the Noise Code.
17	MR. MURRAY: Is this the leaf blower, also?
18	MAYOR STUESSI: That's included in the Noise
19	Code, correct.
20	MR. MURRAY: It's not that high up (Referring
21	to microphone).
22	(Laughter)
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But, Dave, you just have a
24	little bit more
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, you're not vertically

1 challenged. 2 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- more of you than the rest of us. 3 MR. MURRAY: 4 No. 5 MAYOR STUESSI: Maybe the opposite way. 6 MR. MURRAY: Hi. I'm David Murray, 332 Fifth 7 I also have a business on Main Street. So I 8 want to talk a little bit about the leaf blowers. 9 And I didn't talk at the last meeting I was here, because I wanted to do a little research on how I 10 felt about it. 11 12 So I'm opposed to this leaf blower ban on three 13 The first one is on the impact of contractors. 14 The Mayor mentioned that Southampton has done this, so I reached out to some contractors there and how 15 16 this is working. The contractors are having to get 17 the homeowners to supply and keep charged the 18 batteries, which I said why are they doing that? And 19 they said, well, they can't keep them on their 20 trailers, they can't keep enough batteries on their 21 trailers to supply a day's -- supply leaf blowing in 22 Southampton, so they're asking their homeowners to 23 purchase the equipment and keep it charged in their 24 So Leueen Miller just got here, she'd garage. 25 probably need 15 of them for her yard.

1	(Laughter)
2	MR. MURRAY: And they're actually installing
3	big systems to charge multiple battery at the homes.
4	So your contractors are being asked to take a huge
5	cost of setting up for this battery.
6	I was talking to Gerard Orientale in Soundside
7	Landscaping. He has 20 backpack blowers, so he's
8	going to need to resupply 20 battery systems, and how
9	is he going to charge them? I don't think the
10	Department of Transportation allows you to carry that
11	many batteries on your trailer. I think he knows
12	more about that than I do.
13	The cost to the homeowners is going to be quite
14	dramatic. Mrs. Smith on my road
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Julia.
16	(Person Entered the Meeting)
17	MR. MURRAY: her contractor how are you?
18	Welcome. I think there might be another seat
19	somewhere.
20	So she uses a small contractor who needs to
21	revamp for his battery systems. He's going to tell
22	her that she needs to find somebody else. So she's
23	going to have to call a contractor who is set up for
24	this, who's going to charge her probably double to
25	cut her grass and things like that. So the cost to

the homeowners is quite -- is going to be quite a dramatic difference.

My main reason for opposing this is the Village can't handle this. The last thing the Code Enforcer needs to do right now is to get additional calls. He can't do his job as, as it is. There's too much on him, and you guys want to put a code to somebody who is inundated with permits, rental permits, ticketing. Village Hall is not set up for this new code. The Village will get 10, 15 calls a day. And you're going to ask him to run down to go violate somebody for a gas blower.

I think you need to step back, quit throwing this type of code in the off-season, which you say, you say you're hearing it from the public. The public's not here. You're going to throw a code like this on Mrs. Smith, who's in Florida. She comes back in the summertime and hears that next year she's not going to be able to have her landscaper do it. I think you need to think about that. So I oppose the leaf blower section of the Noise.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody else from the public that would like to be heard this evening? Yes, sir.

MR. TAYLOR: I wasn't going to mention anything

1 about --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR STUESSI: If you can state your name and address for the record, please.

MR. TAYLOR: Hi. My name is James Taylor, I live at 451 Sixth Street. I wasn't going to talk about leaf blowers again tonight, because I think everyone, a lot of people know what I think about it, and I've spoken up here a few times.

But just to respond to the gentleman that spoke just now, a couple of things. The main argument I hear coming in the other direction -- and, I mean, first of all, let me say that I am very much in favor of banning gas-powered leaf blowers, and the main reason for that is -- well, there are three reasons. One, the noise, obviously; two, the environmental impact; and three, the impact on the actual wellbeing of the user, the people who live in the neighborhood. But the only argument I ever hear coming in the other direction is that it's going to be expensive, or problematic, or inconvenient to landscaping companies, which I get. It means they're going to have to transition and adjust the way they work. it doesn't seem to me to be a valid argument, because other places, other towns, other communities have enforced a ban of this kind successfully, and the

landscaping companies have had to adjust into that transition, and if that's what it takes, it can happen.

If the entire state of California -- and just imagine for a second how big that state is, how many people live there, how many landscaping companies are in that state. If that entire state can ban gas-powered leaf blowers, I don't understand why Greenport has to be different and has to be how we -- why we can't manage it, that's completely absurd to me.

There is absolutely no -- I mean, everyone, you know, everyone sitting here has heard the evidence, which is irrefutable. They know what the impacts these machines have on people's lives and people's health. And it seems completely ridiculous that we would be backing off from what is an inevitability, because the direction things are going, not just with leaf blowers, with everything else, is in one direction. So for us to go back in the other direction and just kind of dig our heels in for the sake of landscaping companies is completely ridiculous.

So, you know, that's kind of how I feel about it. Thanks.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir. Is there

anybody else here from the public that would like to be heard on Noise Code this evening? Yes, sir.

MR. ORIENTALE: How are you? Gerard Orientale, Soundside Landscape, 67575 Main Road, Greenport.

Some of my concerns and thoughts for you guys to consider, I have just a few notes here.

You know, the DOT has a lot of regulation on us in general, which is not a bad thing, but carrying an excess of batteries that -- on this equipment that we need to use to accommodate the noise ordinance is really not put in place yet that makes it easy for us, or let alone possible for us, because of the quantities of batteries that we would have to carry in the course of a day.

The other thing is that if we were carry generators on the truck, we're also limited to 15 gallons of fuel per day that we can carry on a truck or trailer properly secured, and there's reason for that gallonage. If there's a spill, it's a controlled spill. Anything over 15 gallons, the DOT views it as not in control of the person driving the truck, or, you know, the situation.

There's also like the generator noise, you know, for running the generator to consider.

The other thing is, is does this include like

1	chainsaws, the blower ban for noise?
2	MAYOR STUESSI: That's not being contemplated, no.
3	MR. ORIENTALE: Okay.
4	AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's next.
5	(Laughter)
6	MR. ORIENTALE: The insurance companies are
7	also going to change a lot of things, which really at
8	the end of the day, not so much for us, but the end
9	user is what it really affects. The Fire Marshal I'm
10	sure is going to have something to say, because the
11	simple fact of how are we controlling these battery
12	fires if they were to happen? Going back to like
13	some of the cars that catch on fire, they have to
14	burn for hours to burn out on these lithium
15	batteries. So it's just something to be thought out,
16	how do we control that? We don't have that answer yet.
17	The technology on the equipment also is not
18	there for a commercial application. It may be there
19	for residential, but not necessarily commercial to
20	run an eight-hour day. I think if you're going to go
21	that direction of changing over to battery, there
22	also should be a reasonable period of transition and
23	not a cutoff date, hypothetically, tomorrow or next
24	week. Something to think about there as well.
25	Yeah. The investment on it as a whole, which

1	really is not the Village's problem, it's the
2	business owners', but the investment of is quite a
3	big investment. So we
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Mayor, may I ask Jared
5	some questions, please?
6	MR. ORIENTALE: Go ahead.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is it okay if I ask him
8	questions?
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Sure.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sure you're part of the
11	Landscapers Association.
12	MR. ORIENTALE: Uh-huh.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's my understanding that
14	the State is contemplating some regulations in
15	dealing with gas leaf blowers.
16	MR. ORIENTALE: Uh-huh.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I believe the Association
18	has put together some facts as to the cost. All
19	right. But my one question is if a property owner,
20	who you're doing a job for, feels very strongly that
21	they don't want the gas leaf blower in their property
22	MR. ORIENTALE: Uh-huh.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: you would, as a business
24	person, accommodate that?
25	MR. ORIENTALE: Well, out of 275 clients that

1	we currently maintain, not one has asked for that
2	service. Would we entertain it? Sure, we would try
3	to accommodate them, and we would ask them to supply
4	us with the equipment that's needed and put up a
5	charging station at their facility, garage, shed,
6	etcetera, that we have access to.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's all.
8	MR. ORIENTALE: We could do that.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. ORIENTALE: Yep. Any other questions you
11	guys might have?
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir
13	MR. ORIENTALE: Okay. Thank you.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: for your thoughtful
15	comments. Is there anybody else from the public that
16	would like to be heard this evening on noise?
17	MS. MILLER: Yes, yes.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, please, Leueen.
19	MS. MILLER: Leueen Miller, 424 Fourth Street.
20	I voiced my opinion on this before, and I'm going to
21	reiterate it, and that is in the scheme of the
22	problems that Greenport is facing, I honestly find
23	this proposal ridiculous. And the reasons, I think I
24	probably have one of the largest gardens in
25	Greenport. I have a contractor who comes in the

summer once a week. He, he comes with four guys, they have two lawnmowers, the leaf blower, and the weed killer. Is it noisy? It is noisy for about 20 minutes. I couldn't tell you which is the noisiest, the lawnmower or the leaf blower, but they're in and out in about 20 minutes.

And I'm really in debt to them. I have a good contractor who's reliable, I think who depends on me and others in the Village and outside the Village to run his business. And I think I'm environmentally conscious in terms of the kind of fertilizer I use, etcetera. I try to keep organic.

I'm not really sure about the environmental impact of the leaf blower. So is it today the leaf blower, tomorrow the lawnmower? And where do we, where do we go with this? And the time and effort that's been spent on this problem I think is out of proportion to the issue we are facing.

So I'm concerned about the whole battery thing, which I've heard about, the problems with the contractors who are trying to make a decent living in an expensive area. And so, in conclusion, I'm really opposed to this. I would urge the Board to focus on more serious issues. Thank you.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.

1	(Applause)
	(

MS. GULLEY: Hi. Hillary Gulley, 451 Sixth Street. I just want to say, just as a reply to who just spoke, I also have one of the biggest gardens in the Village. We have -- or in my neighborhood, at least, we have almost 12,000 square feet. I planted like over 65 trees on my own.

I've already written to the Board on this issue, so I'm going to keep it really brief. But, you know, I've been partially -- I've been dealing with an injury that has prevented me from doing a ton of physical work, but somehow I've been able to take care of this 12,000 square foot garden without a gas-powered leaf blower. I do it with an electric battery-powered leaf blower, it really helps me out, but a gas-powered one is not necessary.

So I think it's just really important that people keep in mind nobody's banning leaf blowers, they're just banning the really noisy gas-powered ones that -- I mean, they vibrate your eardrums, and I can't have a conversation with my own husband in my kitchen when it's happening around us.

And I know, you know, you've said, the woman who just spoke said it only takes 20 minutes, but that gets very compounded when every single one of

1 your neighbors has this going on.

And I can tell you which one's noisier, leaf blower versus, versus a lawnmower. It is definitely a leaf blower by a lot, it might even be by double, and I know that because I can hear the leaf blowers from over a block-and-a-half, maybe sometimes two blocks away, and I cannot hear the lawnmowers from that far away. So I just wanted to put that out there. I am, obviously, in support of banning gas-powered leaf blowers. Thank you.

(Applause)

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Since you've already spoken, if you could let somebody else speak. And we'll go through anybody else, and then if there's time, you're welcome to speak again.

MS. HASKELL: Beth Haskell, 59 Washington Avenue. I commend this Board and the Village for taking this kind of action and considering this. I think that we, the residents, the people who live here full-time, the -- are -- know that this Board cares about the quality of life in Greenport, and this is really a quality of life issue.

I want to clarify one thing. I know that in the past one of the Trustees has said that they didn't think there was a sound difference between a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gas leaf blower and an electric leaf blower. American Green Zone Alliance, which is a California landscaping company, in a paper that they wrote on this subject clarifies an important thing about the Typical -- and I'm going to read, and it's noise. going to be off my phone, so it's a little awkward. Typical gas machine have a noise between 75 decibels and 95 decibels, with some gas chainsaws as loud as 120 decibels. Meanwhile, most electric tools, meaning gas -- meaning electric leaf blowers, are between 65 and 75 decibels, with the -- sorry. one leaf blower as quiet as 56 decibels. noise difference between gas and electric are much more dramatic than these numbers might suggest, because the decibel scale of -- for loudness is logarithmic, not linear. That means the noise level accelerates up an exponential curve, with each increase of 10 decibels being twice as loud as the previous. So, basically, what that means is -- okay, I'll go book back to reading. For example, a typical 85 decibel gas leaf blower is four times louder, and, therefore, four times more odious to the public, and

four times more hazardous to the operator's ear.

I think what the people who have spoken against

this so far have not taken into account, that this is a issue that affects the people who use these leaf blowers. It's seriously dangerous for them to breathe the fumes and have this noise going off all day long as they use them. So I'll leave my comments at that.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.

8 (Applause)

MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, please.

MS. DE CRUZ: Now I know how to do this, you go like that (referring to microphone). Margaret deCruz, 25 Washington Avenue.

The funny thing about this leaf blower issue is that leaf blowers were made for blowing leaves, but they are being used when you're cutting your lawn, and it's not necessary.

I hired a landscaper for two years, I think, to do my lawn, and he'd pull out his leaf blower, and I'm like, "I don't want you to do that, because you're not" -- first of all, they're leaving the grass on -- the cut grass on the grass, so they don't need to do that. And what they're doing is they're just cleaning up the sidewalk and throwing dust everywhere. So I don't think it's even necessary for landscapers to use these all the time when they're

1	cutting grass. If they're clearing a lawn, I mean,
2	the leaves, that's what they're made for. And I'm
3	I definitely would prefer an electric, or if they
4	want to do my lawn, not use the leaf blowers, they're
5	not necessary, if they want to sweep, or I could
6	sweep afterwards. I mean, it's I think we have to
7	realize it's not necessarily for lawn cutting to use
8	a leaf blower. That's what I wanted to say. Thank you.
9	(Applause)
10	MR. HARRIS: Peter Harris, 212 Knapp Place.
11	I'm in favor of the use of leaf blowers, and I, and
12	I I also can understand the people that are
13	against it, and but I'm just want to go down
14	memory lane a little bit for all you people that
15	didn't live in Greenport many, many years ago.
16	We had fish processing plants in this town that
17	used to it Cooper's, Cooper's Fish Factory,
18	Plock's, a skimmer clam plant down at Claudio's.
19	They unloaded ocean-going trawlers, which I will tell
20	you, there were throngs of out-of-towners that used
21	to come down because they enjoyed watching them
22	actually unload, unload fish boats.
23	We had a dump that used to burn the garbage,
24	and depending upon which way the wind blew, you were
25	like in a fog bank of stinky, smelly smoke. But you

know what? We lived with it, because that was a part of Greenport. We had a sewer plant that used to wreak to high heavens.

My biggest thing is not leaf blowers. My biggest thing, as a volunteer fireman for this Fire Department, is having to go, not me personally, but our EMS personnel, all volunteers, 365 days a year, 24 hours a day.

This past Saturday I'm sitting in my home, and in a matter of three minutes, we had -- we got called out for two alcohol overdoses, and it is rampant in this Village. And there is -- I know, there's, there's things on the, on the books about public drinking, open container law, and nothing gets done.

They call the rescue to come there, they take them to the hospital, they let them -- now you're taking up room in the hospital to let an intoxicated individual sleep it off, give them a meal, and then let them go back out on the road.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: They repeat it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Pete.

MR. HARRIS: And the fact that just this past weekend, we had what I call a frequent flier. It's a, it's a lady that basically comes from Patchogue, but they give her money to give her a bus ticket or a

1 train ticket to go east to get her out of their town. 2 She was standing in front the post office. 3 ambulance was on its way with a patient, a really 4 true patient, and she's there waving her hands, waving her hands to get picked up. 5 6 MAYOR STUESSI: Pete, if I could ask if there's 7 anything else you want to add on Noise Code? We're 8 hearing that now, and you're welcome to get back up when we're hearing from the public. 9 10 MR. HARRIS: Okay. I'm just, I'm just, I'm 11 just trying to make a point, Mayor, that everybody's 12 concerned about leaf blowers. We have -- I feel this 13 Village has many more important issues than worrying just about leaf blowers. Thank you. 14 MAYOR STUESSI: 15 Thank you. 16 (Applause)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LUDACER: Ken Ludacer, 133B Sixth Street. Going back seven years, this is now the third public hearing I've been to concerning gas-powered leaf blowers, and invariably, unless I've missed something, the argument comes down to this: On the one hand you have a large body of facts, numerous studies on the health and environmental harm caused by gas-powered leaf blowers. These facts are not disputed by the other side of the leaf blower

argument, and actually they're barely even acknowledged. Instead, the other side counters with a purely speculative suggestion that curbing or banning gas-powered leaf blowers might adversely impact a landscaper's profit margin.

First, a small sampling of these verifiable facts. Air and noise pollution from gas-powered leaf blowers pose multiple hazards to human health. The noise produced by gas-powered leaf blowers may cause hearing loss, high blood pressure, cardiovascular disease and heart attacks. The high intensity noise that gas-powered leaf blowers produce is particularly harmful to the developing ears of infants and young children.

Then there's the significant contribution of gas-powered leaf blowers to greenhouse gas emissions, which is irrefutable, by some estimates accounting for as much as 10% of the CO2 discharged annually into the atmosphere. And I know you've heard this many times, but one hour of leaf blowing is the equivalent in emissions of driving 1100 miles in a car.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: No way.

MR. LUDACER: And once again I'll note, and I'm tired of hearing myself say this, too, that in 2010, Greenport Village made a Smart Climate Pledge that

acknowledged the climate crisis to the local and global environments posed primarily by the burning of fossil fuels. And Greenport pledged at the time to pursue measures to mitigate the impacts by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, shifting to renewable energy, and to consider climate change in the long-term planning.

So against the force of these facts and many others, the other side counters with the anecdotal speculation that, but if these gas-powered leaf blowers are banned, landscaping companies might not be as profitable as they could be, and, yet, there is no evidence of that.

In fact, in Rye, New York, when Rye, New York established a trial summertime ban on leaf blowers in 2008, it was against substantial objections from the landscaping businesses operating there. Yet, one year later, at a hearing to review that same ban and supposed ill effects on business, only one landscaper showed up, because the vast majority of landscapers there had actually saved money.

The point is not even landscapers themselves have a clear picture of how a ban might affect their bottom line good or bad. Still, that's the fallback argument against banning gas-powered leaf blowers,

which is, oh, it's possible, maybe, could be, that landscapers could lose money. So, ultimately, those against a ban are pointing to an unknown presumed monetary loss that might or might not be a gain and saying that that's too high a price to pay, making a dollars and cents calculations based on nothing more than anecdotal hearsay, and insisting that that's too high, too high a price for Greenport to honor its pledge to be part of the global effort to reduce greenhouse gases. That's too high a price, whatever it is or isn't, to better protect the waterways. That's too high a price in dollars and cents to safeguard the health and welfare of Greenport residents, or, for that matter, those operating those machines.

So that's what the Board is putting on the debate scale here tonight, the unknown impact, good or bad, on the landscapers' bottom line, versus known indisputable, indisputable facts such as, and I'm quoting here, "Air and noise" -- I'm quoting here again, "Air and noise pollution from gas-powered leaf blowers pose multiple hazards to human health. The noise produced by gas-powered leaf blowers may cause hearing loss, high blood pressure, cardiovascular disease and heart attacks. The high intensity noise

1	that gas-powered leaf blowers produce is particularly
2	harmful to the developing ears of infants and young
3	children." Thank you.
4	(Applause)
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else who would
6	like to speak?
7	MR. LEHMANN: I should just get a seat up here.
8	Captain Robert H. Lehmann, again, 535 Third Street,
9	been a resident in Greenport for 50 years. And
10	Mr. Peter Harris is absolutely right. Greenport is a
11	very unique and a very unusual town, and if you don't
12	love it, leave it.
13	Okay. I have to say about leaf blowers, there
14	has to be compromise, please. This is going on for
15	how long? It's ridiculous. Have a leaf blower
16	operate from 9 to 3, no longer than one hour at a
17	time. That will solve the whole problem. And the
18	young folks who are working, are waiting all winter
19	to work landscaping, who are drinking in the streets
20	and causing havoc, they're back at work, okay? They
21	can use it for one hour, and please shut it off.
22	Thank you very much. I apologize for my loud voice.
23	MS. FINNE: Tina Finne, 120 Center Street,

Greenport, New York. I have a couple of complaints.

First of all, this was not advertised in our local

24

25

1	paper. So anybody that knew about it basically was
2	word of mouth, or they knew from last week.
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Not true.
4	MS. FINNE: Not true?
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
6	MS. FINNE: Was it in the local paper this
7	week?
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it wasn't published in
10	the local paper.
11	MS. FINNE: No, it was not.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: It was published in Newsday,
13	right.
14	CLERK HALL: It was published in Newsday.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which
17	MAYOR STUESSI: If you'd like to speak more,
18	please, go ahead.
19	MS. FINNE: No. Yeah, thank you.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: And there have been it was
21	published in Newsday. So what happened is, typically,
22	the Clerk's Office publishes in Suffolk Times,
23	depending on the timing.
24	MS. FINNE: Yeah.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: If there's timing issues, they

1	publish in Newsday as well. Many times we publish in
2	both, depending.
3	MS. FINNE: Well, I think on
4	MAYOR STUESSI: And there's also been some
5	articles about it, too.
6	MS. FINNE: I think that if it's
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Please.
8	MS. FINNE: something like this that's going
9	to affect land the people that work here, the
10	people that live here, then I think we need to post
11	it in the local paper. I mean, because we
12	basically we have pretty much it's lopsided
13	here. And it might be like lopsided after it's in
14	the local paper, but it might not be.
15	And I, quite frankly, like I understand. I
16	respect the opinions in here, but I'm a homeowner.
17	To make a decision that I can't use something I think
18	is unfair, it's ridiculous. We don't all have to
19	agree about that. But what I do have a problem with,
20	and I don't know if I should speak on this right now,
21	I have complained about the Road Department and the
22	job that they do. I think that's a pressing
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, we just want to focus on
24	noise right now.
25	MS. FINNE: Okay.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: And you're welcome to come back
2	and
3	MS. FINNE: But I also have a problem when we
4	elect officials and well, going back, I grew up in
5	Greenport when we didn't have a leash law, and it
6	was
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Didn't have a what?
8	MS. FINNE: A leash law, and it was a mess. I
9	mean, dog fights. I had dogs doing tug of wars with
10	cats, you know, things like that. And I have a
11	problem with elected officials who want to change a
12	rule for me, but change a rule for themselves,
13	because they don't want to put their dog on a leash.
14	So I oppose this ban. I think we could work
15	with people. I think we can make compromise. But to
16	all out ban something or which I'm not quite sure
17	if it's a ban or if it's we can use, yet, what
18	battery operated, or we can't use battery. Do we
19	know yet? What is the proposed law?
20	MAYOR STUESSI: The language, the language
21	that's been posted is that gas-powered leaf blowers
22	would be eliminated starting next year, and then
23	phased in over the rest of this year. Electric leaf
24	blowers would be allowed all year-round. That's the
25	newer language that's been proposed

1	MS. FINNE: Continually, because
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Based, based upon public
3	comment.
4	MS. FINNE: Okay. Based because when
5	somebody else looked into the law, they thought after
6	a while it was going to be maybe no blowers
7	whatsoever.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
9	MS. FINNE: Is that not true?
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, it depends, depends on
11	the charging of the commercial landscapers, it
12	depends on how they charge the electric leaf blowers.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: That's not what it says. It's
14	banning gas leaf blowers starting next year with a
15	phased-in approach.
16	MS. FINNE: Well, that's, that's what my
17	question is. And I think this, you could hold it
18	over to next week, put it in the paper, and see if
19	somebody shows up. Thank you.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's a full room.
22	MS. FINNE: Yeah, and it's mostly one sided.
23	AUDIENCE MEMBER: When was the agenda on the
24	website?
25	(Applause)

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Pardon me?
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: When was the agenda on the
3	website?
4	MAYOR STUESSI: We've been talking about this
5	for many weeks now.
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: As of what, yesterday or the
7	day before?
8	MAYOR STUESSI: No, no. I think this is our
9	third public hearing
10	AUDIENCE MEMBER: December.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: on Noise that we've been
12	talking about.
13	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, December.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. Is there anybody else
15	who would like to be heard? Yes, sir.
16	MR. HEIT: Laurenzo Heit, 235 Linnet Street.
17	Just two things. This is primarily a noise ban.
18	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak into the mic.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Please, speak into the
20	microphone?
21	MR. HEIT: Which is primarily about the noise,
22	I believe, but I see it as a win/win. We reduce the
23	noise if we go to electric. But beyond that, as a
24	gentleman before said, the amount of pollution is
25	just staggering from these small motors. The reason

cars don't put out as much is they have catalytic converters, and also it's a pollution control that do not exist on these. So it's a win in terms of the sound level, and it's a win in terms of the amount of pollutions they put into the air.

And then I just want to point out sort of a red herring that's been thrown out into our discussion and that's the fire safety of batteries. And they do catch fire periodically, but it's not even newsworthy when a car catches fire, a regular gasoline car catches fire. I mean, and, you know, the people in the Fire Department will -- I'm sure will confirm that many of the fires around the community are caused by gasoline and flammables of that type. So we would be reducing that, and I think overall we'll see an improved safety from that. Thank you.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody else this evening that would like to be heard? Yes, ma'am.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, I just -- when you get a chance, I want to get a clarification from Jared after this is over.

MAYOR STUESSI: Why don't we finish the public hearing and then we'll discuss it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, after this is over.

That's what I'm saying, after.

RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street, Greenport. Thank you so much for being so responsive. I'm really glad you heard us and we're able to get this draft to include the language that we've talked about so many times.

It is really interesting that, you know, it's a really important issue to people where they can't have peace in their own home, and it's not important to a lot of other people. And we all have different values, so we kind of count on our public officials to look out for the good of all.

And like I had talked to a neighbor once and I said, "Can't you ask him" -- because when I came 41 years ago, all my neighbors did their own lawns and just leaf blowers weren't even an issue. Some people have said they didn't -- even landscapers didn't start using them until more recently, they used brooms. Contractors used to use brooms to sweep the dust rather than leaf blowers. People would wait for their car to dry, rather than -- or wipe it down, rather than -- I've heard of using leaf blowers to dry a car. So I asked the neighbor if she could ask her landscaper to not use leaf blowers, and she said,

"You know, no, I can't do that. I mean, if it was the law." So people are -- you know, it's really going to help a lot, and, and we're very grateful to you.

I talked to Sonia Spar at this morning's meeting at the Legion Hall and, and we were both commiserating about worker health and what they have to do, because the landscapers, of course, are supposed to give them breathing apparatus and, you know, soundproofing ear things, and they go around without it. So it's like amazing how damaging it is for them, because, you know, they spew out some huge percentage of -- 30% or something goes into the atmosphere of what these -- the fuel that it operates on.

And, anyway, but I asked if I could -- if she would translate something into Spanish if, if there was an information flier, and she said, "Yes, absolutely." And so I just will volunteer to help in any way to get the word out, and I hope you pass it. Thank you so much.

21 (Applause)

MR. LEHMANN: Mr. Mayor, if I may take the honor to introduce the next guest and resident of Greenport. Marilyn White Corwin has -- family has been here since 1640.

	Work Session 3/21/24 37
1	MS. SHELBY: Who is it?
2	MR. LEHMANN: 1640.
3	MS. SHELBY: Who is it?
4	MR. LEHMANN: Marilyn White Corwin.
5	MS. SHELBY: Who?
6	(Laughter)
7	MS. CORWIN: Well, anyway, I'm Marilyn White
8	Corwin. Whoo. Anyway
9	MAYOR STUESSI: You came with your own
10	announcer, that's fantastic.
11	MS. CORWIN: I had no idea, believe me.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: I'm very impressed.
13	(Laughter)
14	MS. CORWIN: Anyway, I have a home on Fifth
15	Avenue in Greenport. And I had no intention of
16	speaking here tonight, so I'm totally unprepared, but
17	I'm confused about one thing. Now, you're talking
18	about the leaf blowers, you're talking about the
19	noise. A couple of people came up and they're
20	concerned about the environment, you know, what
21	damage the leaf blowers do to the environment. Is
22	that one of the major problems here with you know,
23	with whatever the leaf blowers spew into the air?
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Many people have brought that
25	up as a concern, yes.

1	MS. CORWIN: Okay. All right. So that being
2	said, that is a concern, I'm sure. I mean, I then
3	let's start thinking about things like and this is
4	going to make me very unpopular, okay? But has
5	anybody ever been in the Village when Aldo is
6	roasting his coffee?
7	(Laughter)
8	MS. CORWIN: And there's nothing but a big
9	cloud of smoke, and you walk through that and you're
10	choking, and whatever. Or, if you live many blocks
11	away and you have your windows open. Now, if you go
12	online and you look up roasting coffee, talk about
13	carcinogenics. And I probably didn't say that word
14	right, and forgive me, people, that, you now it's
15	very, very harmful. Okay. So that's we have to
16	think about that. What about cigarette smoke? Okay.
17	We have people, I know this, probably smoke, oh, a
18	few packs a day. They smoke in public. You walk
19	down the street and you go past them and they're
20	smoking. Maybe we need to ban public smoking. What
21	Pete, what Pete brought up, you know
22	(Applause)
23	MS. CORWIN: Thanks, guys, I wasn't even
24	prepared.
25	(Laughter)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CORWIN: And what Pete brought up, you know, about the drinking in public, this kind of thing, these are all very important issues. This leaf blower issue, I think that the Village Board, at this point, we have a lot of real serious things to start looking at, instead of spending years on worrying about the leaf blowers.

I think that the people who own their own properties should be allowed to use leaf blowers. think that these landscapers, this is their livelihood. I mean, I heard a gentleman say, "Oh, it's not going to impact," blah, blah, or they don't know if it will impact them. It doesn't even matter. If we're looking out for everybody, which is what you're all supposed to be doing, is looking out for the residents, you know, and these residents are hiring people to do their lawns, then we need to look into them and know that this could be a possible tragedy for them in the sense of their -- you know, that their -- I don't want to say wellbeing, but their -- it's going to be a real problem for them, you know, economically. We would hate for them to have to lose their businesses and this kind of thing because of the fact.

And, you know, and somebody else mentioned that

there hasn't been any, any fires with these batteries. What are they doing in the city now? They're putting these special charging units outside, because, because all these people that have these batteries that they're charging has caused so many fires. There's been fatalities, because these batteries are dangerous.

And I get everybody wants to go green and they want everything to be battery operated and all this. It's a problem, and I think that you just need to take a little more time. I don't think people should be banned from doing something that they've been doing. And they say, oh, years ago, you know, 40-something years ago, nobody used -- you know, nobody used a leaf blower. Well, you know what? How many years ago did you take and beat your laundry on a rock out in the river because there were no washing machines? I mean, so, you know, you got something that's new and helped people and -- anyway, whatever.

But, anyway, that's, that's how I feel, that I really do not think that we should be putting so much time into something like this. I think we need to put more time into something else, and I think that we should not ban the leaf blowers. That's it.

Thank you.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
2	(Applause)
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else in the
4	public that would like yes, sir. Behind you.
5	We're going to go through anybody who hasn't spoken
6	before we consider somebody else.
7	MR. KREILING: Paul Kreiling, 149 Sixth Street
8	We're talking about leaf blowers, we're talking abou
9	carcinogens, we're talking about this, we're talking
10	about that. Why do we live here? Why did we come
11	here? We came here because you can hear the birds,
12	because everything is green, it's beautiful. That's
13	what we should be supporting.
14	Leaf blowers are not doing it, they have the
15	decibel levels. And it's not just the decibel, it's
16	the frequency. When you have a the train comes
17	in, that's a decibel, but it's a low frequency and
18	it's a rumble. Same decibel level with a high
19	frequency, two different curves, it's the pitch that
20	is causing the problem, the annoyance. This whole
21	hoopla, it's about, it's about the pitch. It's like
22	you've got an old Harley with or you got a Suzuki
23	that's the difference. It's still a motorcycle,
24	that's the difference.
25	I think that we should concentrate why we're

1	here, what we gain by being here. In the spring,
2	birds singing to each other. Leaf blowers, they
3	can't hear anything. Same thing in the fall, when
4	they're getting ready for migration, they're all
5	getting together, leaf blowers, they can't hear
6	anything, that's the problem. The problem isn't the
7	leaf blower itself, it's the just disregard for why
8	we are here. Thank you.
9	(Applause)
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there any
11	hold, Margaret, please, let's is there anybody
12	else who has not spoken that would like to speak?
13	MR. TRUELOVE: (Raise Hand).
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, sir.
15	MR. TRUELOVE: Hey. This is Jeff Truelove from
16	338 Second Street, and Jane.
17	(Laughter)
18	MR. TRUELOVE: I am not I'm against leaf
19	blowers, and I'll tell you why. It's by
20	happenstance years ago we live on the corner of
21	Second and Center. By happenstance years ago, we had
22	our soil tested, and it was tested by the University
23	of Delaware, right on that intersection there, and
24	they said they got back to us with the results,
25	and essentially said, "Do you have children near this

soil?" And we said, "Yeah, we have children. is our house." They said, "Don't let them play near that soil. The lead content in that soil is incredibly high." We had sent two or three samples. The sample in the backyard, they said, "Okay, look, if kids go out in that backyard and play in that soil, tell them to take off their shoes when they come home and wash their hands. But out front, in that sample, don't get near that."

Now since we moved in, we've had four or five homes renovated or painted, and being a nut and a fanatic, I'll go around and I'll check those paint scrapings. It's all lead paint.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yep.

MR. TRUELOVE: Right? Now when I walk past the house across the street, all those paint scrapings are right there in the soil. So we work hard to keep our house clean of lead dust for the health of our children, and every single week we lose ground, because the dust from the leaf blowers blows all that paint dust right back onto our property.

And I'm giving my kids a complex. "Take off your shoes, wash your hands, come on, you've been outside." It's horrible. And I try and -- I try and walk myself back from it, but it makes a big impact

1	On our lives, and it's the leaf blowers that are
2	bringing that lead dust onto your property. The
3	noise is annoying as all get-out, but it's not, it's
4	not poisoning my children. So I want you to please
5	think about that, and thank you.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
7	(Applause)
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else who has
9	not spoken that would like to speak on Noise? Yes,
10	ma'am?
11	MS. KREAHLING: Lorraine Kreahling, Central
12	Avenue. I've been up here before, but, obviously,
13	some of the things we've said don't stick.
14	One of the first things that was said was the
15	impact on contractors. How many times have we heard
16	that? Does anyone say after that the impact on the
17	people who are working for the contractors? These

impact on contractors. How many times have we heard that? Does anyone say after that the impact on the people who are working for the contractors? These people, these young men who we must think of as expendable, otherwise, we would actually care about the fact that they are breathing carcinogens, they are breathing particulate matter, and they're breathing the very lead dust that the person before me spoke about, let's think about that before we think about the contractors.

Contractors are on the top of the pile, because

they're very smart men, and they -- they'll know how to adjust. They've always known how to adjust, they've made it in this town.

Someone said that on her property she had -they only came in for 20 minutes and it didn't bother
her. Well, I know where she lives, she faces the
water. I have live in the Historic District and
on -- we all have, I believe the last time said,
yards the size of postage stamps and we're bringing
in golf course equipment to clean them.

On -- this week I sat down at my desk to -- I had -- I was about to start on a particular project and -- not start, but to work on it, the leaf blowers arrive. They're in my -- my neighbor, one yard, one yard away. You know, they're only 50 feet between our houses, and it's three, three leaf blowers with power packs for an hour. So don't tell me about the 20 minutes in this little tiny yard, you know.

Now, I actually like my neighbors, I like all of them very, very much. I think that they don't understand what they are doing when they bring -- and it's landscape crews. I mean, they're not out there with their own -- I think we could put in a clause that says if you have your own leaf blower, you can use it for 20 minutes. But they're not here, they're

1	somewhere else, and I'm listening to this. And then
2	the then they arrive the next yard, then they
3	arrive across the street, and it's it really is
4	endless. And I checked my blood pressure, it
5	actually does go up. And, I mean, there's nothing
6	you can do. I went to the post office, you know.
7	So, yes, the someone from the Audubon
8	Society, Peggy, the last time, I hoped she'd speak
9	again, talked about the foolishness of blowing
10	leaves. I mean, if you really want your grass raked
11	in the fall, that's fine, but the foolishness of
12	blowing grass clippings is beyond me. I mean, we
13	it's good for your grass, it's good for your, your
14	garden beds. And when you blow up all those leaves,
15	all those insects that the birds really need are
16	getting stirred out of their slumber and, and die, I
17	mean, let's face it.
18	So the idea of a manicured lawn that is sort of
19	a Holiday Inn version of things is really not what
20	Greenport was ever about. And I've been here a long
21	time, too, and 10 years ago I don't think there were
22	leaf blowers, and somehow everybody's lawn looked
23	fine.
24	(Laughter)
25	MS. KREAHLING: So, I mean, really think about

the silliness of it, particularly in the summer.

Blowing grass clippings is just, it's just -- it's ridiculous, really. And it's not the people who live there. I mean, they come in and it looks really neat, but they wouldn't do it if they had to do it themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, it's not just the health of the contractors, but I would like you to think about the people who work for the contractors. I think you should put that first on your list of what you're thinking about when you're saying, "It's okay, it's okay, these little guys will come in an clean up, and it's okay if they don't have the hearing things on." Ten years later down the -- they won't be able to hear, but, you know, that won't be our problem. You should really think about that first. But then you should also think about the health of your neighbors, and in the Historic District particularly when we have not just lead, we have asbestos, we have They're all laying in the soil, which insecticides. is where they belong. And what you're doing when you use a leaf blower is just stirring all that stuff up so we can drink it. And I do remember Greenport when I didn't have to dust, that was before leaf blowers.

So I know that people mean well. I know that,

that you folks are trying to do the best thing for the Village, but I think you should think about all of the people in the Village, not just the contractors, and not just the people who, you know, are coming back from Florida and may have to pay a little bit more for electric leaf blowing.

Okay, thank you. And thank you guys for hanging in there, Trustees, because you really did work hard putting this together. And the noise ordinance, particularly Mary Bess, thank you very much, and blessings.

(Applause)

GARY SCHARFMAN: Hello. Gary Scharfman, Fifth Street, West Dublin. Something was said earlier this evening that -- about the capacity of the Village to enforce, and this is not an either/or proposition. I don't think we should ever have any law proposed that we're not prepared to enforce and have the will to enforce. And if that requires the Village somehow coming up with the wherewithal to do that, so that things that are not being enforced currently, like drag racing that goes up and down Fifth Street in West Dublin, needs to be further, further invested in, then that's something for the Village to try and to solve. But I would not forestall any sort of law

1	that we can't, we can't because we can't we
2	think we can't enforce it. I think you're very
3	creative, I think the Village is filled with creative
4	people, and I think we can, in fact, have a law or a
5	series of laws that will benefit the community and
6	that can be enforced. Thank you.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
8	(Applause)
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
10	wishes to be yes, Mary.
11	MS. YOURCH: I'm Mary Yourch, Stirling Cove. I
12	happen to be in agreement with the ban. However, I
13	would like it I would be very happy if we could
14	phase it in. I think in the very beginning, when we
15	started talking about it, that was the first thing
16	they talked about was a phase-in plan of starting
17	with not later than 5 o'clock, or something
18	reasonable, not earlier than 8 o'clock, nothing on
19	weekends. That's, that's the kind of thing that I
20	would like to see happen. Thank you.
21	(Applause)
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
23	would like to be heard on the Noise Code this
24	evening? Yes, if you could keep it brief, please,
25	since you're come up again. Thank you.

1	MS. DE CRUZ: Yeah, I am very brief, you know
2	that.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: I know you've spoken on it in
4	many other meetings, so.
5	MS. DE CRUZ: No, this is I'm aware that it
6	seems like it's become landscapers versus the town,
7	and that's not really where this is at. It's
8	actually I think what would help is if the town
9	did educate the homeowners about leaf blowers, and
10	lawn care, and keeping the leaves and all that, then
11	people could probably say to their landscapers, "I
12	really don't need you to use the leaf blowers."
13	I mean, I really think it comes down to educating
14	people, getting them to say, "Yeah, it's important,
15	we don't need this, and it's noisy, and it's
16	polluting, and it's harmful." That's all I have to
17	say, okay? Thanks.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
19	(Applause)
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, John.
21	JOHN SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.
22	In the interest of full disclosure, I'm one of the
23	volunteers that's on this Code Committee that gave
24	the Village Board the first draft of the Noise Code.
25	What we should remember here tonight is it's a Noise

1 Code.

In the first draft of the Noise Code that was eventually changed was that if you violated the Noise Code, you were issued a summons. Well, first we decided everybody should -- we were more in favor of compliance than summonses, so we drafted the code with the idea of compliance, that's the reason.

And we discussed gasoline-powered leaf blower, two-cycle gasoline-powered leaf blowers ad nauseam, three, four weeks on that one discussion. It was decided by the members of that committee that the code protects the public from excessive noise from the leaf blower, because there's a decibel standard. If the leaf blower violates that decibel standard, goes above that decibel standard, they're subject to a violation. Someone, Gary just brought up that there's no reason why the code can't be enforced. That was our opinion.

When we drafted the code, the Noise Code, we took into consideration all noise, downtown, music from downtown, dogs barking, motorcycles, cars with loud mufflers, boats. No one ever suggested, no one on -- in that committee or no one on this Board ever suggested that if your dog barks too much that we ban dogs. No one ever suggested -- I live --

Flynr, Stenography & Transcriptior, Service (631), 727-1107

1	(Laughter)
=	(=0.0.90)

JOHN SALADINO: I don't have a dog, I got a cat. I live on Sixth Street. In the summertime, when I sit in the backyard, I hear, I hear the music from downtown. No one ever suggested we should ban music. Do I hear it? I do. But does it violate the code? I don't think so, I can hear it. The discussion about leaf blowers was of the same thing. Can you hear them sometimes? Yes. But does it -- is it in violation of the code, 50 feet from the sound source? If it is, you call the cop or you call the Code Enforcement Officer and they get a summons. Actually, they'll probably be told to shut the leaf blower off.

So I'm not sure why we're throwing the baby out with the bath water here. If it's already -- if it was already in the code that -- and we're talking about noise. If we're talking about environmental concerns, maybe we should address that in a different chapter of the code, but right now we're talking about noise. So if we're talking about a leaf blower that makes too much noise, and it's not violating the bulk standard for decibel levels, perhaps the argument here should be let's lower the decibel level, as opposed to banning a piece of equipment.

We're not going to ban music, we're not going to ban dogs, we're not going to ban swimming pools, because kids sometimes use swimming pools and make too much noise in the swimming pool. That's crazy, that's crazy.

So just to -- and, again, some of you folks have heard this, I have an electric leaf blower, you know, just it's not like I'm advocating for -- but we have to be consistent. We have to be reasonable and we have to make sense sometimes.

A lot of people are quoting what's happening in California. Me, personally, I don't think California should be the light on the hill that we follow.

(Laughter)

JOHN SALADINO: In California -- well, if we're talking about, if we're talking about California, in California a lot of things are banned. Nail polish remover is banned, air fresheners in cars are banned. We have a Trustee that has a wooden boat where its varnish is banned in California, you can't use varnish. So because California does something, it doesn't necessarily equate to what's happening in Greenport. We got to, we got to make that differential, that difference of 3300 miles and mind set.

So I don't know how many people here, by the, by the applause, I couldn't gauge by the applause who's in favor, who's not. Obviously, there's more opposed than there is in favor, or maybe the opposite. My suggestion to the Board, since you represent the entire Village, not just the people that show up at this -- not the 70 people that showed up here tonight, you represent the 950 property owners and the 2300 residents, my suggestion would be put it on the ballot, make it a referendum on the ballot.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Nice.

MR. SALADINO: If 400 people vote, if there's 400 voters, I think there was 500 last election, if 251 say yes, then you ban it. If 251 say no, then you say sorry. I mean, I don't understand. This is, this is a divisive issue, you should let the people speak. And the people that are here tonight don't speak for the Village, they don't speak for the Village, they speak for themselves. The way some of the Trustees speak for themself and not speaking for the people they represent, it's the same thing here.

We've all been to a ton of these meetings that things get changed, rules get changed, laws get passed by the amount of people in the audience. I've

been to a thousand of these meetings and I've seen it a hundred times.

The time to -- the time to have addressed this issue was when the code was being written, not ad hoc at the table. Well, this guy does this, south side does that, south side, different place, different place.

And for the people that are interested, that they think Southampton is on the right track and stuff, Southampton banned leaf blowers if you have property less than an acre-and-a-half. If you have more than an acre-and-a-half, you're allowed to have a leaf blower.

(Laughter)

MR. SALADINO: So, you know, we're not quite the same as Southampton.

Another guy suggested that the facts and figures here, they're anecdotal. No. My facts and figures that I gave you last time were empirical, they weren't anecdotal. When you're saying, well, some contractor says, or we don't know if we're they're going to lose money, there's contractors here tonight that can agree or disagree. I spoke to my contractor. For him to repower five leaf blowers, \$1300 a machine, whatever the batteries were, three

1	batteries or four batteries for each machine.
2	Anyway, the bottom line, and he did it with a paper
3	and a pencil with a with wherever he got it from,
4	Grainger, or whoever he's going to buy his equipment
5	from, it was \$18,200. That's for five leaf blowers.
6	And I think some I think, I think somebody said
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: And how I have a question
8	for you.
9	MR. SALADINO: I'm going to reclaim.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Ma'am. Ma'am, please.
11	MR. SALADINO: I'm going to reclaim my time.
12	I'm going to reclaim my time here.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: We're not going to do that.
14	MR. SALADINO: One guy got up, he said he's got
15	20 leaf blowers. I guess we'll times 18,000 by five,
16	you know. But to suggest that the consumer won't be
17	heard, we don't care about some folks here don't
18	care about the contractor. You know, I kind of do, I
19	kind of do, but the consumer, also. Who's that
20	contractor, if he's not a dope, who's he going to
21	pass that cost onto?
22	So, you know, I'm an old guy on a fixed income,
23	I need the money. If my bill I pay \$225 a month
24	for my landscaper. If my bill goes up 25, or 40%, or
25	50%, it kind of hurts, it kind of hurt.

1	And to the next person that gets up that
2	suggests I should buy a rake, I'm not, I'm not doing
3	that. I'm 75 years old with a bad foot, I'm not
4	buying a rake.
5	(Laughter and Applause)
6	MR. SALADINO: Thanks for listening.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody
8	else from the public
9	(Applause)
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else from the
11	public that would like to be heard on the Noise Code
12	this evening?
13	MS. HAFT: I'll say something.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: If you want to speak to Noise,
15	please go to the podium and state your name and
16	address for the record.
17	MS. HAFT: Okay. Cathy Haft, Linnet Street.
18	Brooms, you can use a broom just like they did in the
19	old days to get rid, or a rake for the leaves. How
20	much does that cost?
21	(Laughter and Applause)
22	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. Anybody on the
23	Board want to make a motion to keep the hearing open
24	or close it?
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'd like to make a motion to

1	keep the hearing open until next week. Is it the
2	28th?
3	CLERK HALL: Yes.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: March 28th.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there a second on that from
6	the Board?
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I'll second that.
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Discussion, before we close
9	this matter and move on to the next. I know a lot of
10	people came for this topic and they may be leaving
11	the meeting. So I just want to thank everyone that
12	came tonight, whether you're for or against, because
13	even though there was some criticism about spending
14	too much time on this issue, I was one of the people
15	that asked that we keep this open, I wanted to hear
16	from more people. And I understand that this does
17	not represent the entire Village by any means, but
18	I'm glad to see more people here commenting on this.
19	I'm glad to see we have a little bit more
20	representation from the landscaping industry here
21	tonight. So to the criticism about spending much
22	too much time, I don't agree, I think we need to hear
23	people, and I thank you all for coming on this.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Good point. Thank you.
25	(Applause)

its own camp down there in the summer. How are the

First of all, you have a camp, the Village has

24

25

1	kids going to be protected with dogs roaming? How?
2	Dogs, I don't care what you say about dogs, if
3	they got teeth, they bite, and I'm afraid of them. I
4	really think it's a hazard. I, I don't know. I
5	think it's ludicrous to change this law. It's
6	ridiculous to have an unleashed dog around children
7	and handicapped adults. It's ludicrous, I don't get
8	it. I had a lot to say, but the noise people took up
9	all my time and I forgot it.
10	(Laughter)
11	MS. SHELBY: So I'm begging you to not to vote
12	on this or anything, because I really got to go.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, the current contemplated
14	code has leashes on dogs and they're it's required
15	in what we're talking about? There's been
16	discussions by others and people have made comments
17	that, you know, they thought we should not.
18	MS. SHELBY: But I thought you wanted to
19	unleash the dog on the beach. Is that
20	MAYOR STUESSI: There are certain people that
21	have made that suggestion, but that's not what's in
22	the code that's in front of us.
23	MS. SHELBY: Could you explain it to me so I
24	can get it?
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I'll explain it,

1 since I'm the person --

MS. SHELBY: Thank you.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: -- who's brought this up. Right now there is not a leash law in the Village of Greenport. Dogs either have to be leashed or under the control of a responsible person. Also, right now the code does not allow dogs at all on beaches or in recreation areas, which includes Mitchell Park, the polo grounds, everywhere. And my point is just that I see dogs all those places, and this is an enforcement issue.

I don't really think we shouldn't have dogs on beaches or in any recreation area. I also don't think that they -- like I don't think that having them under the control of a reasonable person, and I don't think -- I understand what you're saying, you don't want to be chased by dogs, dogs can do bad things. That is true, but if they're under control, I don't think it's an issue.

I'm also open to like, sure, should they maybe not be in the playground not on a leash, but I do think -- I mean, I drove by Moore's Lane today, I saw someone throwing a ball for his dog, nobody else was in the entire polo grounds. I just don't think we should have more laws that aren't enforced or get

1 enforced unfairly.

And I'm bringing it up. And, yes, like I'm a person who I have used those spaces, some of them sometimes responsibly with my dog. A lot of other people have, too, and I see it all the time, and I just -- I think this is an opportunity, if we're looking at the law, to make the law meet actual community needs, but, I mean, not everyone's going to agree.

And I hear what you're saying, but I also don't think, I don't think we're going back -- I also remember when there were a lot of dogs free in Greenport. I've lived here my whole life, or that I remember, at least, and I'm not saying I think we should have dogs like running free, you know, chasing people, biting kids, but I think -- I don't think this has been a problem so far. So I'm more just saying the law all should meet -- I mean, okay, was it a problem in 1985? Yes. But I don't think right now, when --

MS. SHELBY: There was a problem least year.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, there was.

MS. SHELBY: Just, just sorry for cutting you off, but you think it's not a problem? People, and I don't hate dogs, but people let their dogs loose.

1	We're down there having a picnic, and the dog comes
2	up and urinates on our cooler.
3	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I just think that
4	the law is under control. So that dog wasn't under
5	control, that's the issue.
6	MS. SHELBY: I don't, I don't
7	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But, I mean, I hear
8	you, and maybe, maybe the history
9	MS. SHELBY: I don't get what you're saying, I
10	really don't. I'm not trying to be ignorant, but
11	you're saying it's okay if the dog is under control?
12	I don't get that.
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yes, I
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Just to be clear, the language
15	that's being contemplated that's in here allows dogs
16	within parks and at beaches, but with a leash on
17	them. The current Village Code, there is no leash
18	law out here in the neighborhoods. It says that
19	there's
20	MS. SHELBY: I don't
21	MAYOR STUESSI: No, I just want to be clear,
22	because somebody earlier suggested there was and
23	there's not, so
24	MS. SHELBY: So we don't go by New York State
25	leash law, we got our own little hamlet that

1	MAYOR STUESSI: There's a whole bunch of things
2	in Village Code that are different than other places
3	in the State.
4	MS. SHELBY: Sounds like a
5	MAYOR STUESSI: So that's why we're taking a
6	look at it.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But there Mr. Mayor, just
8	to be clear, the old, the existing code says no dogs
9	or domestic animals shall be allowed on beaches or
10	within recreational areas, okay? That's this is
11	what we're contemplating, so it's changing that.
12	MS. SHELBY: The change is so they can be
13	sit right next to me?
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Just to be clear, that's the
15	one change.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: But on a leash, that's what it
17	says.
18	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, what's
19	written right now is on leashes.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, yeah.
21	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: All I was bringing
22	up is that I think I see places being used, and I
23	don't think we should necessarily automatically have
24	\$500 fines for people throwing a ball for their dog
25	in an empty park. But, I mean, that's just an

1	opinion I'm bringing up. But I hear the other side,
2	and maybe there's a compromise where there's certain
3	areas it's allowed, and maybe Fifth Street it's not
4	allowed, or whatever it is.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Why don't we take some more
6	public comment. Is there anything else you wanted to
7	add? I think it's good hear your perspective.
8	MS. SHELBY: Are you going to leave it open or
9	close it? I have to go.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Pardon me?
11	MS. SHELBY: Is it going to be closed? You're
12	going to decide on it right now?
13	MAYOR STUESSI: We're not going to be voting on
14	anything tonight relative to this, no.
15	MS. SHELBY: All right, because I'm sorry, you
16	guys took up so much time, I got to go.
17	(Laughter)
18	MS. SHELBY: I'm really sorry.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Head on out. Thank you.
20	MS. SHELBY: All right. Thank you. Thanks for
21	listening.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
23	would like to speak on the contemplated code in front
24	of us this evening for Parks and Mass Assemblies?
25	MS. MURPHY: I do.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, please. Oh, sorry, one
2	ahead of you.
3	MS. MURPHY: Lorraine Murphy, 301 North Street.
4	We had a situation at the beach with our sister. She
5	was sitting on the ground with her back against one
6	of the big rocks, and this dog, friendly dog comes
7	down, put his face and growled right in her face,
8	just like and she had to move. Or if that was a
9	kid, what would have happened, you know? That dog,
10	the owner was right there, no leash. I mean, that's
11	just a situation that happened, it's scary. You
12	know, you can't do that, you can't let dogs just, you
13	know.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: I appreciate that. Thank you.
15	MS. MURPHY: Yeah, yeah.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, please, you're welcome to
17	come up to the front.
18	MS. JACKSON: I just want one thing to say,
19	too. I'm Joanne Jackson, I work for the Village
20	summer day camp. We had a lot of situations with the
21	kids when they're sitting down on the ground eating
22	lunch, and the kids would I mean, the dogs would
22 23	lunch, and the kids would I mean, the dogs would be on a leash, but the people would bring the dogs

1	"Wait a minute, you can't bring the dog over there by
2	them because they're eating lunch." "Well, they only
3	want to smell them." "No, they're eating lunch."
4	(Laughter)
5	MS. JACKSON: But it's a lot more, but, you
6	know
7	MS. SHELBY: They got to leave with me, sorry.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Be careful out
9	there on that crutch.
10	MS. JACKSON: Yes, thank you.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: You're up, with a dog on a
12	leash to boot.
13	KEN: You got it.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: It's a very well behaved dog, I
15	will add, having met him a number of times.
16	KEN: My name is Ken, I live down on Third
17	Street here. You know, I have a guide dog and he's
18	very well behaved. And I know when I go on beaches,
19	go swimming, he is on a leash. But I know a lot of
20	times, when I, when I go swimming myself, my dog is
21	off the leash because he'll swim with me, and then
22	he'll have to point me the way back. But I go down
23	to where the baseball fields are down by the electric
24	company.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.

1	KEN: I go down there, and that's the only
2	place I can play with him, you know, in a closed
3	area, you know, play ball and stuff like that. You
4	know, he's not a regular dog, so I just can't him
5	outside and let him run and play. You know, but I'd
6	like to have, you know, a closed in area, as long as,
7	you know, people pick up after their dog, that's what
8	gets me. I pick up all time, and when sighted people
9	don't pick up, that ticks me off.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: I've got a poop bag in my
11	pocket if you need one.
12	KEN: Oh, I always have them.
13	(Laughter)
14	MAYOR STUESSI: I probably have a couple.
15	KEN: All right. That's all I got. Thank you.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you very much. Yes,
17	Margaret.
18	MS. DE CRUZ: Now I always have been succinct,
19	but some people aren't, or at least I think am. All
20	right. Margaret deCruz.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: You're succinct on your first try.
22	(Laughter)
23	MAYOR STUESSI: No double-dipping on this one.
24	MS. DE CRUZ: Okay. Well, you know, I learn
25	more things.

MAYOR STUESSI: Speak your mind, please.

MS. DE CRUZ: So I read in this code that they

want to start fining people if their dogs are off leash. And I bring my dog and pal to that field so they can play together, otherwise, I don't go to dog parks, it's not safe.

I do not want to have a \$500 fine. It's really important for people and dogs to have a place they can run free. Walking on the -- I -- walking on a leash on the streets, fine with me. I'm very careful that my dog, you know -- yeah, you've got to have a trained dog and a trained person, but we need places to run them off leash, even on a beach in the winter, when there's nobody there, and they get to run and they come back to you. So I hope we don't have to deal with a fine, it would be really unfair. It's expensive enough to have, you know, a pet who give us a lot. So thank you.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody else that would like to be heard on --

MS. YOURCH: Mary Yourch, Stirling Cove. I just wanted to -- since the gentleman with the, with the dog mentioned that people are not picking up after their dogs. In some communities, I've seen stations with bags and places for them to leave their

16 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Mary, there's actually one at the end of Sterling Avenue where people park. You know, 17 18 right near where you live there's a dispenser for 19 poop bags, too. 20 MS. YOURCH: Sterling Avenue? 21 TRUSTEE ROBINS: At the end. You know where 22 the big rock is, looking out at the harbor? 23

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And garbage.

24 MAYOR STUESSI: Where the memorial is.

25 MS. YOURCH: 0h.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

waste.

sure.

and --

	Work Session 3/21/24 71
1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And a garbage can.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
3	MS. YOURCH: That side, yeah.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: The opposite side of it.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. They have a dispenser.
7	MS. YOURCH: The other side of Sterling 123.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: The other side.
9	MS. YOURCH: Yes.
10	(Laughter)
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else who would
12	like to be heard this evening on parks and
13	KEN: Can I say one more thing?
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.
15	KEN: I don't have to get up here, but, you
16	know, around Greenport there's not enough trash cans
17	around to dump poop bags. I mean, when I walk, I
18	mean, I have a well, I don't have it on me
19	tonight, but I have a pouch that has a clip on the
20	side that I have to, you know, clip the poop bag on
21	and do whatever I'm doing until I come up to a,
22	you know, garbage can.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes. That's why I get the
24	lavender scented ones when it's in my pocket too
25	long, you don't want to forget it.

	Work Session 3/21/24 72
1	(Laughter)
2	MAYOR STUESSI: But good point. Thank you.
3	KEN: But you forget.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
5	KEN: You forget.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Oh, I've yeah, I've left it
7	in my car. I made that mistake in July.
8	(Laughter)
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Anybody else?
10	(No Response)
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Would anybody on the Board like
12	to make a motion keep this open until next week?
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll make the motion to keep
14	the public hearing open for Chapter 101, Recreation
15	Areas and Beaches.
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
21	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Motion carries.
24	I see a large number of people. We are going
25	to let the Assistant Chief do his report, and then

1	we'll allow public comment, just in the interest of
2	everybody's time before we go to the rest. But I see
3	you sitting back there patiently, Albie, thank you.
4	ASST. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Thank you, Mayor.
5	Good evening, Mayor and Village Board. As far as
6	reports go my name is Albie De Kerillis, First
7	Assistant Chief, Greenport Fire Department. We're
8	very proud to have an application for membership that
9	was approved by the Board of Wardens yesterday
10	evening to Phenix Hook and Ladder by the name of
11	Jon Versheck, and we're very proud to report.
12	And I have nothing more to report on anything
13	else, except if anybody has anything from the Board,
14	I'd like to hear.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Chief Albie, I am going to
16	bring up during the Work Session some issues that
17	arose during the Wardens meeting last night.
18	ASST. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Okay.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: One of them I spoke to you
20	this morning
21	ASST. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Yep.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: was dealing with
23	information that the Village Board has not been given
24	in the past in your reports. And, also, a
25	communication between the Fire Department and

MAYOR STUESSI: So did you not say 101 and 44

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I said 101.

MAYOR STUESSI: Oh, I'm sorry. If you could

give us just a second, please.

	Work Session 3/21/24	75
1	MR. FARRELL: Sure.	
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I only did 101.	
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. Would somebody l	ike to
4	make a motion on 44?	
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll make a motion t	o keep
6	Chapter the public hearing open for Chapte	r 44,
7	Assemblies	
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Second.	
9	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?	
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.	
11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.	
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.	
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.	
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye. Motion carries.	
15	Please, sir. You look like a lawyer.	
16	MR. FARRELL: I'm sorry.	
17	(Laughter)	
18	MAYOR STUESSI: We don't see many ties	in
19	Greenport, and I left that life behind me.	
20	MR. FARRELL: Almost, I almost left the	tie
21	off, but	
22	MAYOR STUESSI: You should have.	
23	MR. FARRELL: I should have, yeah.	
24	MAYOR STUESSI: I called you out, right	?

MR. FARRELL: I have people who I'm

25

representing, so I wanted to look a little more professional.

My name is John Farrell, I'm with the firm of Sahn, Ward, Braff, Koblenz & Coschignano. Our offices are at 1300 Veterans Memorial Highway, Suite 100, Hauppauge, New York. I represent the interests of a number of property owners in the, in the Village that are proprietors of short-term rentals.

Over the past few months there have been a number of rumors circulating throughout the Village that the Board is considering changing the definition of short-term rental in an effort to make it more difficult for property owners to rent their homes during the year. Currently, short-term rental is defined as the rental of residential homes for periods of less than 14 days, except for approved bed and breakfast establishments.

In addition to the exclusion of bed and breakfast, there's also exclusions for single, a single unit in a two-family home where one of the dwellings is either owner-occupied or occupied by a long-term tenant. The other exclusion is a rental of a portion of a single-family home where the owner is present.

It is our understanding that the Village is considering extending the 14-day limit to 30 days. So anything less than 30 days would be considered a short-term rental and would be prohibited.

Many of the people I represent were under -understandably upset when the Village first
restricted short-term rentals by mandating a 14-day
minimum, and they continue to struggle finding
renters, but they're slowly adapting to that.

In addition, I know a number of people that had to sell their homes, because those restrictions were too onerous for them, and they couldn't keep their vacation homes without the supplemental income from the short-term rentals. That being the case, my clients were curious why the Village would consider changing this, because it seems that the changes to the code have had the desired effect of reducing the number of rentals that are available on a short-term basis, for less than 14 days. So the curiosity is why the 100 -- more than 100% increase in the number of days to be considered a short-term rental.

They're curious about the benefits to the Village versus the detriments to them, because, collectively, my clients have invested millions of dollars in their homes in the Village of Greenport.

They've helped increase property values, the tax base is increased. Foot traffic in the downtown has increased, which has helped the local businesses thrive throughout the year. They also provide employment opportunities for various service workers, including property managers, landscapers, construction workers, electricians, plumbers housekeepers, etcetera. If these short-term rentals are -- or these rentals are further restricted, those individuals are going to have less and less opportunity for employment.

You know, one of the things that we've heard is that the concern is affordable housing. I don't see how further restricting it is going to create more affordable housing. The people that I represent are not likely to go into the long-term rental market where they're renting for long periods of time. There's just too much wear and tear on the property. There's difficulty with tenants that stop paying, and then they have to go through a long eviction process, which can take anywhere from, you know, nine months on the short side to two years on the long side.

And, also, if they went to full-time renters, the rents they would have to pay would be astronomical, because they would have to cover the cost of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mortgage, property taxes, property insurance, regular routine maintenance. You know, you're looking at possibly, you know, more than 3,000 or \$4,000 a month for a single-family home. And if you look on -- you know, even on Zillow right now, you know, we -- month-long rentals, monthly rentals are going for 5,000, between 5,000 and \$60,000 a month in the Village of Greenport.

I would submit that the best way to provide affordable housing is to provide more multi-family Mayor, Mr. Mayor, you've actually advocated for that, and you're seeking pro-housing status from New York State. Greenport's uniquely situated to be able to provide multi-family housing in a way that a lot of North Shore communities and a lot of communities on Long Island are not in that you guys have sewers. The sewer capacity is something that developers really struggle with, because that restricts their density when they can't provide proper sewage treatment. So we would propose, you know, that the Village consider changes to the code that would encourage multi-family housing to -you know, if you -- if the concern is really the affordability component.

The other rumor that's been floating around is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the Board is considering eliminating the exclusion of two-family houses. This is something that the Village had promoted when it first enacted the short-term rental restrictions. They encourage people as a way -- they encourage people to do the two families as a way to provide long-term rental housing for one party, while being able to provide short-term rental housing on the -- in the other If that's the case, then, you know, what are those people that have taken the steps to convert their homes to two-family dwellings supposed to do at this point? They've converted to two-family dwellings in reliance on this income and now it's being taken away from them. That's a, that's a pretty dramatic change and that's -- you know, you're encouraging costs in reliance of what the Village has done.

I'm sorry, lost my place. So there are some benefits to short-term rentals or shorter term, 14 days or less, 14, you know, the 14-day restriction. Gives the homeowner an opportunity to rent their home, you know, to someone and not be stuck with that person for a period of 30 days. If you get a bad tenant, it's very difficult to get them out. And if they're not, you know, following the rules or doing

what's expected of them as tenants, you know, the homeowners, the person renting their house is stuck with them for 30 days, and that could become more of a nuisance to the Village and the Village Code Enforcement.

I would submit that the only people that are actually benefitting as a result of any further restriction on rental properties are the hotels and the beds and breakfasts. Right now, if you want to stay a single night in any hotel in the Village of Greenport between the months of June and August, the minimum you're going to pay per night is \$500 per night, and that's with the competition that they have from the, from the single-family housing market on Airbnb. I don't even want to think about what would happen to that number if that stock isn't available for rental opportunities. I think what would happen is you'd probably end up generating more traffic congestion.

I think we have to face the fact that Greenport is a desirable place to come in the summer. There are great restaurants, great downtown shopping, you have a carousel, boating, you have the ferry, there's a lot to do around here, and eliminating the -- you know, or further restricting STRs is not going to

1 stop people from coming. What it's going to do is 2 it's going to make them drive from further distances. 3 So I think one of the things that was talked 4 about initially was, you know, there's a lot of traffic and this is going to help eliminate the 5 6 traffic. It's not, it's going to drive the traffic 7 up, because if you can't stay someplace in walking 8 distance to the downtown and you want to go to that 9 downtown, you're going to drive in. 10 So I would ask that the -- before the Board do 11 make any of those changes, that it open a dialogue 12 with the stakeholders, with the, with the short-term rentals, and have a discussion about how we can work 13 14 together, rather than just haphazardly throwing together a restriction that says it has to be, 15 16 you know, more than 30 days for you to rent it. a discussion with them and see if there's a way that 17

20 We don't know exactly --

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR STUESSI: Just to be clear, it's been talked about at a number of public meetings --

we can address the concerns that the Village might

have about this. Again, this is all based on rumor.

MR. FARRELL: Right.

MAYOR STUESSI: -- already. Our Code

Committee, which is chaired by Mary Bess Phillips,

1	has made some recommendations, the Board is
2	considering them. And at such time as we do find
3	language that we might consider, there would be a
4	public meeting scheduled, and likely several.
5	MR. FARRELL: I understand that. I just I
6	would think I think the people that I represent
7	would like to be part of that discussion, rather than
8	have to come to a public hearing where you almost
9	feel like it's a foregone conclusion, that it's going
10	to get adopted the way it was written, because these
11	people sat down, took time, put pen to paper and
12	crafted a code. You know, they're coming in
13	MAYOR STUESSI: So, as you saw from
14	participating the first half of tonight
15	MR. FARRELL: No, I did see.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: we listen to both sides, so.
17	MR. FARRELL: I understand that you listen to
18	both sides.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
20	MR. FARRELL: I still think that, you know,
21	they want to be part of the discussion, and I think
22	they would welcome that opportunity.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. I would encourage
24	everybody to come if and when we end up holding a
25	public hearing on it.

1	MR. FARRELL: Okay.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir.
3	MR. FARRELL: Thank you.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
5	would like to speak this evening on anything? Yes.
6	MS. QUINN: I would like to. I'm glad you said
7	that.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Cookies?
9	MS. QUINN: I wish.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: I wish.
11	MS. QUINN: Shan Quin, 115 Center Street.
12	Anything, I'm here, you're here. I want to
13	bring up I live on Center Street, it's in the center
14	of town. It's
15	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Microphone, please
16	MS. QUINN: Oh, okay. Can you hear me now?
17	It's in the middle of town. The Fire Department uses
18	it criteria frequently. Now we have buses. We have,
19	you know, trucks that take things to the grocery
20	no. What are those called? Trucks, we have trucks.
21	And the point is it's not kept up very well. We have
22	large potholes. The one in front of our house is
23	gone now, but now it's incredible. So it's just a
24	strip between Third and Main.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Uh-huh.

1	MS. QUINN: If they could just give it some
2	attention. It gets used a lot.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. So the hot asphalt plant
4	is just now opening. We're going to be filling. We
5	start just started filling some potholes and we'll
6	be filling more. Your street is actually on a list
	·
7	of priority streets that the Board considering
8	repaving, but we need money for that, and we are
9	discussing bonding in a large sum of dollars of some
10	priority parts of the Village that haven't been
11	taken
12	MS. QUINN: Okay.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: as well a care of as others.
14	MS. QUINN: Will that take care of the
15	sidewalks? Because I
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
17	MS. QUINN: When I moved in
18	MAYOR STUESSI: All in due course.
19	(Laughter)
20	MAYOR STUESSI: There's a lot to do. We
21	just
22	MS. QUINN: I know.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: We just spent close to \$70,000
24	in the Downtown District replacing a lot of
25	MS. QUINN: That's good.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: bad spots there.
2	MS. QUINN: That's good. And the only other
3	thing is do you have any control over the electric
4	company? Because I've had those two telephone poles
5	in front of my house for almost I know it's a sore
6	subject.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: It's not electric, it's I
8	believe it's Verizon.
9	MS. QUINN: Oh, that's phone.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, it's Verizon.
11	MS. QUINN: It is phone. Sorry.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: I'll follow up with them.
13	MS. QUINN: Okay. Thank you.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: We're they need something.
15	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: They need something from us
17	right now. We're doing a little bit of horse
18	trading, so
19	MS. QUINN: Okay.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: they need to focus on it.
21	MS. QUINN: 115 Center Street.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
23	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: They're actually working
25	we've talked about it publicly before. Down at Fifth

Street, their lines that go over to Shelter Island are exposed on the beach and some people have tripped on them, and they're working on getting those buried. And then they're eventually going to be coming to us to redo new lines going to Shelter Island, which is going to entail a lot of work. But there's a other things to do around the Village and we'll have a conversation with them about it. Thank you.

Anybody else? Yes, ma'am. If you could state your name and address for the record, please.

MS. CHI: Hi. I'm Judy Chi, this is my husband, Sasha Blaug, 140 Bay Avenue. We'd like to speak about the possible proposed changes to the short-term rental rules.

We are year-round residents here, Greenport's our primary residents. And when we made the decision to move here and to buy a home, we specifically looked at two-family homes, because the short-term rental income would make that financially possible for us.

And, as you know, a lot of the homes here were built in the 1800s, they're historic homes. They -- many of them need a lot of updating. You know, I understand why people kind of put off putting -- doing any updates. They're very expensive,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

especially if it's an historic home, because everything has to be custom. You have to buy custom windows, doors, you have to hire architects and designers, it has to go through HPC approval, but, you know, it was a challenge that we were willing to take on. We had a particular interest in historic homes.

So we, you know, hired local contractors and crafts people to work on the renovation. And I don't think it's surprising to hear that, you know, to renovate one of these homes is hundreds and hundreds of thousands of dollars. And not being able to do the short-term rental would really be pulling the rug from under, under us. We rely on that short-term rental income. And doing away with it does not incentivize us to go to a long-term rental, because the rental income from that is just -- it's just not enough to cover your -- you know, help offset the mortgage, other expenses. And it essentially would force us to convert it to a single family and maybe rent it in the summer, but then that displaces us from our own home. And then the other option would be to sell the home, which would be very upsetting, and, you know, makes us feel like the Village is telling us people like us are not wanted here, and

1	it's just not a good feeling to have. So I thank you
2	for listening to us
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
4	MS. CHI: and just being able to put a face
5	to the issue. Thank you.
6	MR. RICCOBONO: Yeah, hi. My name Bill
7	Riccobono, on Sixth Street, 446. I was actually
8	quite shocked when I heard that the Village Board was
9	considering increasing the minimum to 30 days. So
10	I'm wondering what is behind that consideration, and
11	what's the process where you, where you discover the
12	facts necessary to make such a decision? I don't
13	know if we're having a dialogue here, if somebody is
14	willing to answer that question.
15	But if, you know, as our Lawyer suggested, this
16	is just in a formative stage, maybe we could you
17	guys could form a committee and invite people to
18	develop changes that you feel would be more effective
19	for the and better for the town for the
20	Village.
21	But to just my impression is, just from
22	even from hearing what your comments are tonight, is
23	that you're sort of secretly planning to spring
24	something on us at a public hearing.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: (Laughter).

MR. RICCOBONO: Well, I mean, what is the process? You're laughing, but what's the process?

MAYOR STUESSI: I'm not laughing at you, I'm just -- it's been talked about at many Village meetings, frankly, over the course of several years now. I'll let Mary Bess, who's the Code Committee Chair, talk briefly about meetings for it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The discussion of short-term rentals started out with the further discussion of accessory dwelling units. The committee felt that we needed to review the short-term rentals. Over the years we've had complaints that have been lodged with the Village in reference to some of the activities of the short-term rentals. The process is that we discussed, we discussed short-term rentals in a broad term. We looked at some people that we know, or we looked at some of the sites that were short-term rentals, looked at the properties to see, you know, where they were headed.

We have an enforcement issue with the way the current short-term rental code is written, so there was a lot of discussion that it was time to review it. The number of days is one that has actually been bandied around the country, to be honest with you. The 30 days, that's not something new. But the --

1	MR. RICCOBONO: Well, actually
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Wait, wait, wait.
3	MR. RICCOBONO: there are laws all over the
4	country, all over the place.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me finish, okay?

MR. RICCOBONO: All over the place.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that you understand the process, okay, we had a discussion. We developed language or we developed the thoughts that we wanted to put into a suggested proposed code change. Please remember, this is suggested proposed code change. It is not a definite, it's not finished.

The process is the Code Committee comes up with the thoughts or the ideas that we've discussed. The code is then brought to the Work Session, where the Village Board, these Trustees here and the Mayor, we have further discussions in public. They're not done behind doors, they're not done in secret, they're done at every Work Session, and then we go to public hearing. But throughout that whole process, you, as a Village resident, have the opportunity to comment at a Work Session, you have an opportunity to comment at any public hearing, any public meeting where the public is allowed to speak. But we do discuss it in the public, it is not behind closed doors.

1	MR. RICCOBONO: But I'm just suggesting it
2	might be more beneficial to everybody to form a
3	committee and I allow to hear opinions about the
4	issue before you formalize it in a public session,
5	because as somebody just said tonight, there is a
6	feeling that when you put something on, on the agenda
7	as a public hearing, it's almost like a foregone
8	conclusion.
9	I've been involved in government for years and
10	years, I know how it works. So you have a
11	discussion, you put it on the agenda, you say, "Oh,
12	listen, everybody come, you can say whatever you
13	want," but you have already formulated your opinion
14	many times. And I'm just suggesting that maybe we
15	could have a committee to discuss these issues in a
16	non you know, in a very open environment.
17	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I don't think that would be
18	appropriate. Unfortunately, we have to conduct our
19	work in this setting.
20	MR. RICCOBONO: Right.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's a process.
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There would be
23	MR. RICCOBONO: It's a public body, yeah, I
24	get it.
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There would be a potentially

negative impact from what you're suggesting, having a smaller committee meet with a particular group of people. This is not an easy way to work, but it's the way we're required to work, and it's really in the best interest of all of the public to try to conduct our business in this format.

And speaking for myself, these issues are not a fait accompli. I don't come to them with a foregone -- it's not a foregone conclusion. We're here to listen. We're doing a lot of listening. I'm not ignoring you, I'm listening, and we're going to -- we all take this home with us, but we have to meet here and discuss it amongst ourselves. It's really required.

MR. RICCOBONO: Okay. So one last thing. I appreciate that. One last thing is that what is -- have there been many complaints? I mean, what precipitated this. I don't understand. Did you feel that you can't enforce the current regulation, and somehow making it a 30-day minimum would help you enforce the regulation? I mean, what's behind the -- your desire to change the regulations?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, there was a multitude of issues over the past couple of years. Having been on this Board for awhile, I'm very much aware of the

complaints that came in from neighbors of short-term rentals and their quality of life, which we all heard with leaf blowers, quality of life is important to the Village --

MR. RICCOBONO: Right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- residents. That has been pretty predominant during the summer seasons. We have a rental code that we should be identifying who's short-term rentaling and who's long-term rentaling, which has been a problem, because sometimes the colors have been grayed, okay, to be honest.

And the other issue is, is that this is, this is the process that gives everybody the opportunity to comment, whether they're a short-term rentaler or not, and get the facts out to the total public, because the news -- you know, but what I'm trying to say, and I keep saying this, okay, this is a suggested proposed code language, it's not final. And I will be honest with you, over the last eight years, okay, our public hearings probably did give you that impression, okay? But there were some of us who fought that very hard, because we feel that public hearings are meant for the public to give us guidance as far as whether their -- whether the

1	direction we're going is the right direction for the
2	community or the wrong direction, okay?
3	But I keep saying this, is that this is a
4	suggestion, that we listen, we hear. If the Noise
5	Ordinance, and some of our Chapter 150 wasn't an
6	example that this Board listens to the community,
7	regardless of whether they agree or don't agree,
8	okay, that we hear and we're listening, okay?
9	That's I can't put it any plainer, okay?
10	MR. RICCOBONO: No, I appreciate that.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: If I can just jump in, so
12	that's actually a very good example. You heard some
13	of it tonight.
14	MR. RICCOBONO: Right.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: The Noise Code that we are
16	looking at tonight is very different in a couple of
17	areas than what was originally suggested by the Code
18	Committee.
19	MR. RICCOBONO: Uh-huh.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Same thing on Waterfront
21	Commercial and Commercial Retail development, there
22	were changes that were made by listening to the
23	public through the public process of holding public
24	hearings.
25	MR. RICCOBONO: No, no, that's fine. I

1	appreciate all that you're doing. I'm just
2	suggesting that if one, that there be more active
3	participation from all sides, which I understand the
4	problems with the public hearing, and how you have to
5	make sure that it is public, in fact, but the, the
6	I'm suggesting, really, maybe that the problem is
7	more enforcement than it is anything else, because
8	you
9	MAYOR STUESSI: That's not it, I can assure you.
10	MR. RICCOBONO: What?
11	MAYOR STUESSI: We've looked at that very
12	carefully.
13	MR. RICCOBONO: Yeah, because somebody else
14	mentioned tonight, I know exactly
15	MAYOR STUESSI: It's the law and how it's
16	written.
17	MR. RICCOBONO: Yeah, but
18	MAYOR STUESSI: So that's why we're taking a
19	look at it.
20	MR. RICCOBONO: Right. So the enforcement
21	maybe should be for the bad actors, and not painting
22	with a broad brush that harms other people.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: We've done that. We
24	actually there was somebody that there was an
25	issue and their license was taken away

1	MR. RICCOBONO: Okay, good.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: while they corrected some
3	problems, so
4	MR. RICCOBONO: Okay, thanks. I'll get off now.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. Thank you very much.
6	MR. RICCOBONO: Thank you very much, appreciate it.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: And please keep attending. If
8	it goes to public hearing, we can make it's going
9	to, you know, be noticed appropriately in the paper,
10	and will be on the calendar as well, and would be
11	listed under the "What's New" and public hearings on
12	the Village website as well.
13	MR. RICCOBONO: Right, right, okay. Thank you
14	very much.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: There's a good chance that will
16	happen within the next month.
17	MR. RICCOBONO: Thanks.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: You're welcome, sir. Yes, sir.
19	MR. BALZARETTI: Jim Balzaretti, 407 Wiggins
20	Street. I think the reason Bill brought it up this
21	way is we don't I don't want to use the word not
22	trust you guys, but when you sent out the scarlet
23	letter, as I recall, okay, that called that caught
24	everybody by surprised, I mean, nobody knew that was
25	coming. And then I came up and asked you a question

and you said no, it was wrong, it's -- but the thing is you did it, and like why? And there was no reason why you sent it out, you just said it was wrong. And that's why we all fear that this could still happen again without, you know -- you said we can't have a committee, the process doesn't work that way. Well, we could have our Attorney talk to your Town Attorney and set something up, I mean, whatever you think is the best way to go about this. But when you sent out that letter, sorry, Kevin, it just like it blew us away.

MAYOR STUESSI: There was a lot of concern about enforcement, and so that we wanted to be clear that we were enforcing it. And, you know, we corrected the mistake that was made in that, and we are enforcing the code. So, that being said, there are concerns from the community, and we're listening to the community, listening to you, listening to others. But, again, we don't have any language that we currently have a public hearing on, it's just come to us and we're starting to consider it.

MR. BALZARETTI: And the reasons were because of complaints?

MAYOR STUESSI: A lot of complaints. I mean, I will tell you --

1	MR. BALZARETTI: I mean, is that on the I
2	mean, is that on record with the Southold Police
3	Department, those type of complaints
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Those complaints
5	MR. BALZARETTI: or just phone calls to you?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, they're within the
7	Village Hall, they're officially complaints that were
8	filed.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I'm not even talking
10	MR. BALZARETTI: They're not
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Hold on.
12	MR. BALZARETTI: I didn't even know that
13	they're published or not.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Can I answer the question?
15	MR. BALZARETTI: Sure. Sorry, go ahead.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: You asked me a question.
17	MR. BALZARETTI: Sorry, Kevin, go head.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Go ahead.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: So there are, there are several
20	different types of complaint. There's an official
21	complaint that's filed that is, you know, whether
22	it's anonymous or calling Village Hall, there is a
23	problem, somebody, you know, has broken windows in
24	their house and we need you to go look at it. And
25	then there's us walking around talking to people in

1	the Village. And, you know, my friend, Fred Thiele,
2	who's the State Assemblyman for us, said, you know,
3	"You guys are the ones who go in the store with a
4	grocery list and you're the only people who come out
5	with a longer list when you leave."
6	(Laughter)
7	MAYOR STUESSI: And I can tell you that like
8	I you know, it's hard being anonymous when you're
9	6-foot-3 with long blond hair. Everywhere I go I sit
10	down and I talk to people, just as the rest of my
11	Board does, and I will tell you that there is a very
12	significant percentage of our population, people who
13	live here primary full time, and some others who
14	commute between here and elsewhere, who are very
15	concerned about the issue, and so that's why our Code
16	Committee is taking a look at it.
17	MR. BALZARETTI: So the complaints are more at
18	the top of the list than affordable housing?
19	MAYOR STUESSI: We're looking at both. They
20	work together, actually, in a lot of ways
21	MR. BALZARETTI: Okay.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: as Mary Bess said.
23	MR. BALZARETTI: All right.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: You know, we don't want to
25	legalize ADUs if they all become short-term rentals.

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631), 727-1107

the, at the power plant, they got to be fixed. My

wife was born in Dublin.

24

25

1	MAYOR STUESSI: So not just fixed. We have two
2	that are
3	MR. LEHMANN: New ones.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: in shipment that the Board
5	already approved. They are on their way from the
6	West Coast
7	MR. LEHMANN: Thank God. Thank you.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: to be installed, hopefully,
9	within the next two weeks.
10	MR. LEHMANN: My wife is Irish. Without her
11	seven cups of tea a day, forget it.
12	(Laughter)
13	MR. LEHMANN: The second, easy one.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: That must be why you're
15	spending so much time here tonight, huh?
16	MR. LEHMANN: Oh, I'm going home for my corned
17	beef any minute. And the second one is an easy one,
18	Monsell Trail, a real pretty environmental gate that
19	opens for a pumper, of course, in case there is a
20	fire, but a little walk-through all across there.
21	You'll stop all that garbage, and that squatter will
22	have to leave. That squatter
23	MAYOR STUESSI: We're taking a look at funding
24	proper gates that the police can utilize to get
25	through, or anybody else

1	MR. LEHMANN: Very good.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: for a true reason as part of
3	our new budget.
4	MR. LEHMANN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: It was just submitted to the
6	Board yesterday.
7	MR. LEHMANN: And the most important thing.
8	Seven years ago I spoke to Supervisor Russell and I
9	had a great idea, at least I thought it was. I spoke
10	to the lady who owns the southeast corner of Main and
11	25. There's a 330-foot-by-60-foot foundation there.
12	I said what a great idea. That would make a
13	beautiful north station or substation. It's almost
14	impossible, or it's going to be impossible in the
15	next four or five years to get through this Village,
16	with all the traffic, on the pumper to a rescue.
17	And the best part about it is, I'm not speaking
18	for the Fire Department, I'm speaking for myself, the
19	East-West District, that's Peconic Landing and east,
20	and, of course, the west district of Greenport, would
21	probably fund that, along with the State, along with
22	the Federal Government, and along with the Town of
23	Southold. Because in that building you could have a
24	pumper, you could have a P.D. station, even if it's
25	one patrol car to go out to Orient, you could have a

1	rec. center, you can have a senior center. Man, you
2	could have everything in that building, 330 foot
3	long. It would save about \$50,000. The foundation's
4	already there, it's perfect. Something to think
5	about in the future.
6	You cannot get a pumper through this town.
7	God forbid you get a call when there's so much
8	traffic, nobody can go anywhere. That pumper can't
9	go and the ambulance can't go. It's up there, it
10	tears the whole north end of Greenport.
11	Thank you very much. I'm going home for
12	dinner. Thank you, everyone.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you for your service to
14	our community, sir.
15	MR. LEHMANN: Thank you.
16	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good night.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Good night.
18	MS. GULLEY: I also have the height and lack of
19	anonymity problem. I'm Hillary at 451 Sixth Street.
20	I prepared a lot of comments about the
21	short-term rental proposals that you mentioned in
22	your op-ed, because it has been really troubling to
23	me to hear just some of the like assumptions and
24	stereotypes that go along with some of the
25	decision-making about short-term rentals. I've

prepared all these comments, which I might just save for a public hearing, it's basically a whole essay.

But in short -- well, not in short, this isn't going to be short, guys, I'm sorry, but, so essentially, a little bit of history. We built our home here in 2017, and we moved out here full-time and we are -- my husband is an art director and I'm a translator and writer, and we built our home off the back of our own labor and our own funds. We did not have like a trust fund, we did not have anything. We were just clever about the way that we got funding, and we're good at design, and so we managed to make it happen.

What bothers me when I, when I hear you talk about this like confluence of what Villagers want and affordable housing, and I, and I want to say like I very much am from a working class background, and it has been an extremely and unexpectedly painful for me to be on this divide of the haves and have-nots in this Village, like to see the sort of class wars play out between the people who have rentals and the people who really can't afford to live here and who can't -- and I feel, you know, very, very torn between the two. I mean, I can't even really speak articulately about it, because it's too, too

emotional, actually.

But the point is because I see so many emotions flying around, and because we actually have a corner lot, and when we built our house, you know, we had a lot of people coming up to us telling us their opinions about our lives and many other people's lives. And one of the things that we heard often was that we were the rich hipster kids from the city coming in to appropriate and exploit Greenport, people were convinced of this. They were convinced that we weren't going to live here year-round, which we do. They were convinced that we were going to rent our whole house and it was going to become a party house, which it never did, and there was just a lot of emotion.

And, in fact, during COVID, we built two sheds in our backyard. Part of the reason we built two sheds, we have work studios back there and we have storage as well, I have my carpentry studio back there. Part of the reason we did this, instead of expanding on our house, we could have done like a garage with an apartment over it or something, was because I knew that one day the Village might try to take any second family home unit from me. So I didn't want to create that for you guys to take away

from me, because I literally would not have been able to afford you guys taking that away. If I had built an addition to my home on the premise that I could have rented it out short term, and you had come and taken that away, I literally would have to sell my house and move. So I'm really happy that I made the call in advance not to do that.

That said, when we built our sheds, and I'm going to save the details of this for a public hearing, we were attacked by a neighbor, who is not present here, it wasn't Randy, don't worry, who, who, who was convinced, and there was nothing I could do to get it out of their mind, convinced that we were going to rent these sheds, convinced. They said to me, "You will rent the sheds." And I said, "No, I really am not planning on renting the sheds." We have brains, we can produce our own work, we just need to have space to do it. She proceeded to call out the fact that I did not have children, that I must have more leisure time to do these things than she did. It was, it was a sexist and nativist attack by somebody who wasn't even from here, by the way.

The person is not from Greenport, they moved from Brooklyn just a little bit, a few years before we did. But they wanted to like prove their, you

know -- anyway, the point is, I see a lot of assumptions, and I don't want to see people's lives destroyed based on some of these assumptions. And I know it's a really emotionally charged issue, and it just really bothers me having seen some of these assumptions. There was nothing I could say or I could have said to convince this person that I was not going to rent my sheds. And even to this day, years later, they make a concerted effort to slow their car down. They slam on the brakes at the paths of my house and crane their necks and look back into my yard. So it's so emotionally charged that that has happened.

We've also had to redo our landscaping to keep people out of our yard. So there was awhile there, especially during COVID, where we had people coming into our yard on a weekly basis, weekly, to press their faces against the glass of both our sheds and our home. And I kid you not, I mean, I will be, I will be chasing the next person out with a garden hose, I will, I will be drenching them. But, you know, and some people were like, "Oh, why don't you put up a rope or something and say No Trespassing"? And I was like that doesn't feel very neighborly.

But what I'm trying to tell you guys is when

you move forward with this, these code considerations, keep in mind that people are really emotionally obsessed with this issue, and so there might be a big push from them, but it doesn't necessarily correspond with the truth. And the truth is that in a lot of these short-term -- shorter term. I don't want to say short-term. I don't think it's right to leave a single home empty and have people cycling in and out of it every single day, or every two days, or whatever. That's -- I don't think that's okay. But the 15-day to -- or 14-day to 30-day increase seems really egregious.

It seems like -- I know from studies, and I will send you guys links to it, there's an article that came out in the Harvard Business Review, and they, they were talking about the rental increase in the city and how it didn't lead to any short -- affordable housing. And what it especially did not lead to, which is really important to consider, is affordable housing for the working class, which is, you know, definitely where my heart lies, and where I know you guys are trying to figure out, you know, how do workers live here, how do we expand the -- or make more diverse the economic spread of people who can live here.

And so, yeah, I mean, I -- and I've, and I've seen some of my friends here who have, you know, renovated homes really meticulously with the aim of being able to rent a second unit in them for 15 days, and they've done such a -- I mean, Judy is like being very modest, but she's like a fantastic architect and designer, and she put her imagination into that and her energy and her work. And so I just don't think it's right to just take it away like that and pretend like these people aren't doing anything, because they are.

The other thing is like the reason that we're year-round residents in Greenport is because our friends, who were able to rent here for two weeks out of the year for over 10 years running, they introduced us to Greenport, so we're here because of them. I know other people who are here year-round because of other people who were able to rent short term, shorter term, right? And, yeah, I just don't think it's, it's that productive. I don't think that knee-jerk reactions to an affordable housing crisis that have proven themselves to be completely baseless and ineffective in other ongoing models. They're like the last thing that we need right now. I'm going to -- I'm just --

1	MAYOR STUESSI: So, again, we're going to have
2	a public hearing
3	MS. GULLEY: I know, I know.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: at some point. You're
5	welcome to speak then.
6	MS. GULLEY: I know.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: I would ask of you to give
8	thought to those you're in a different situation
9	than a lot of others.
10	MS. GULLEY: We are. Yeah, well, I'm I was
11	about to
12	MAYOR STUESSI: So what I would ask let me
13	finish, please.
14	MS. GULLEY: Yeah.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: What I would ask is for you to
16	give consideration to those in the neighborhood that
17	are not happy with people with houses that sit
18	empty and people are coming to visit on just
19	weekends, because that's one of the concerns many of
20	us have heard.
21	MS. GULLEY: Well, what I was going to, what I
22	was going to say to you is I am concerned with that.
23	I from my house I can count 12 empty houses.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: So come back
25	MS. GULLEY: Right.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: when we do a public hearing
2	and say, "We think you should" once you have
3	something to review, "We agree with this, but we
4	think you should consider this," which is exactly
5	what we did with the Noise Code, and why we're in a
6	different place than we were two months ago.
7	MS. GULLEY: Okay. The other thing is I think
8	you need to make a distinction between people who
9	rent a room in a house who live there
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Excuse me, don't we don't
11	please, if you could just let us put language in
12	here.
13	MS. GULLEY: But what you guys are you guys
14	are going to put language together and suggest a code
15	change, and I'm asking that when you do put that
16	language together, that you consider that
17	distinction, because every even the strictest
18	short-term rental laws in the country have allowed
19	for people to just rent a single room in their house,
20	and I think
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We discussed that very
22	distinction at our last meeting
23	MS. GULLEY: Okay.
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: so it is on our minds.
25	MS. GULLEY: Good. Thank you. Thanks, guys.

	Work Session 3/21/24 113
1	(Applause)
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Anybody else like to speak this
3	evening. Good night.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Mayor, Jared
5	is trying to get your attention.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: What?
7	ATTORNEY KASSCHAU: I got to run.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, go.
9	ATTORNEY KASSCHAU: It's okay?
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. I don't think we
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Happy birthday.
13	ATTORNEY KASSCHAU: Thank you.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Sorry.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: She needs to go to the
16	bathroom.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: We're going to take a few
18	minute break to use the restroom
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We can do that now?
20	MAYOR STUESSI: get some fresh air. Yes.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes.
22	MS. CHI: Should we take a break? Okay.
23	Should I wait?
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Five minutes.
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yes.

short-term rentals. Judy Chi, Sasha Blaug,

18 140 Bay Avenue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just wanted to add that since the Village announced that it was enforcing the current short-term rental code, if you look on the Airbnb website, and I know that's not the only one that people use, there were 300 short-term rentals in Greenport, it's down to 70 now. So I guess I'm just asking if the Board could look -- give that time to

1 see how that's impacted housing, you know, local 2 businesses before making further changes to the code. MAYOR STUESSI: We will give everything a lot 3 4 of consideration, and I'm sure listen to a lot more public comment once we open up --5 MS. CHI: Okay. 6 7 MAYOR STUESSI: -- the public hearing on the 8 subject. 9 MS. CHI: Thank you. 10 MAYOR STUESSI: But thank you. 11 MR. BLAUG: And just a quick comment, really 12 based off of what Hillary said. The studies by Harvard and by the University of Pennsylvania are 13 quite insightful in what the optimal changes to what 14 the code is in terms of benefiting the villages, the 15 16 It's really worth the read, and I think, towns. generally, the current code is pretty close. 17 18 suggest don't do any long blocks of bans, but to put a total number of days per year, and that way that 19 dissuades large investment groups from coming in and 20 21 buying up tracts of property and taking housing off 22 the market. They also suggest allowing for two-families to be incentivized, from conversion of 23 24 one-families to two to generate more long-term 25 housing. It's more of a balanced approach. And I

think those, those studies are worth looking at as you rewrite the code.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.

4 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thank you. I already did.

MS. CHI: Thank you.

MS. GULLEY: Sorry. I just have a last thing to say. Hillary at 451 Sixth Street. Just I know that you guys are going to move forward with your thoughts and your language, and I just -- I wanted to point out that in your op-ed, Kevin, I think we agree on lots of things, but some of the language in that op-ed felt alarming to me. I didn't like the way that you referred to the workers from Calverton as our, quote-unquote, workers. I thought that that felt kind of paternalistic, and I didn't -- there was like a sense of ownership there that I didn't love.

My dad drove an hour each way to go to work, and it wasn't because he couldn't find housing near where he lived, it was because we could live in a nicer area if we lived an hour away from where he worked. There are a lot of reasons people live far from where they work, and I don't think -- I think that sometimes -- I mean, I know you're the Mayor and you're -- Greenport's on your mind all the time, right, you are centralizing Greenport in your head.

But it's worth considering that a lot of these workers don't live in Greenport, not only because of affordable housing or lack thereof, but because living in Calverton or in Riverhead gives them access to other work sites all along both forks, and also to mid-island, where they're also taking lots of jobs. So -- and I know like quite a few workers who are in this situation, and speak to them and I in contact with them. So I just wanted to put that out there.

As you guys like sort of form your thought process around this, I hope that you can look to like the reality of the situation of these people who you're trying to provide for. And also just the fact that, you know, I don't necessarily think that Greenport's going to go back to this 1950s ideal of like s'mores and a sea creature painted piano, which is what you mentioned in your op-ed. I think that there's a very complex reality at stake and you guys have a tremendous opportunity to be thoughtful in your code adaptations.

And I'm not saying that nothing needs to be done, but I'm saying take the opportunity to be very thoughtful and consider the range of nuance that you can employ. Like Sasha was saying, some of these studies that have been done, and this morning I was

1	actually and I will send you a link to this as
2	well. There's a panel out of a Berkeley think tank
3	that you guys can consider as well, and they have all
4	of these really great ideas about how to regulate
5	short-term rentals and promote community ownership.
6	And that's the last thing that I will say I was
7	a bit disturbed by, is the fact that you immediately
8	went to the possibility of a developer, working with
9	a developer for the land, the Greenport land that you
10	want to lease. I think if we're really that
11	community oriented, we need to think about a
12	community-owned trust for those public rentals, or
13	for those rentals, affordable rentals, as opposed to
14	a developer-owned situation.
15	So those are just suggestions I'm putting out
16	there as you guys move forward. Thank you.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Is there anybody else that
18	would like to speak this evening? No?
19	(No Response)
20	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. Candace, you want
21	to kick it off with the Clerk's report? Thank you,
22	everybody.
23	CLERK HALL: All right. Can you hear me? Is
24	this on? Sorry.
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I can hear you,

because I'm right next to you.
(Laughter)
CLERK HALL: All right. Good evening,
everyone.
MAYOR STUESSI: If I could ask the audience to
be quiet, or if you excuse me. If you could be
quiet, or if you want to talk, please go outside so
we can hear Candace. Thank you, everybody.
CLERK HALL: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I'm going
to start here with a few resolutions. There will be
several more, quite a bit more for the next meeting.
There's been quite a bit of moving pieces going on in
Village Hall. So the resolutions that I have
outlined here tonight, we are looking to hire William
Frances Cunningham to be a part-time Pumpout Boat
Operator for the summer.
And then I have another resolution here
awarding the bid for the renovation of Mitchell Park
bathrooms. I am recommending that we go with the low
bidder. There's been conversation with the Board
already on email. Again, there are some moving
pieces going on separate from this resolution on
that.
And then I'm going to circle back to the public
assembly permit applications in just a moment.

Next up, I have the contracts and agreements that have been signed over the last month or so. So we have the Penflex Service Award Program, the Garratt-Callahan contract. Cable T.V. Franchise Agreement has been signed and sent out. The Village Road End Draining Project -- Drainage Project Contract has been signed. DeAl Concrete Corp, which is the sidewalk project that went on over the last week or so, that contract was executed. And then finally here, I've listed the McCann Campground contract was signed with our Campground Manager, which is great.

Next up here I have a list of some of the legal notices that have gone out over the last month. So we did conduct a tax sale. That publication was completed. And then our annual Organizational Meeting, a notice went out for that. Tentative Budget Hearing notice. A public hearing for Chapter 88 Noise. A public hearing notice for Chapter 44, and -- Chapter 44 Assemblies, and then Chapter 101 Recreation Areas and Beaches. And then, finally, there is a bid that will be closing tomorrow morning for the surplus of our ice rink, that legal notice went out as well.

And then I'll wrap up with the announcements

before circling back to our public assembly permit applications.

So the BID is hosting their annual Egg Roll on Saturday, March 30th, from 10 a.m. to noon. The Friends of Mitchell Park is sponsoring free Carousel rides for two hours after the event.

The required annual training for all Village staff, Board and the Mayor has been scheduled. This is going to be conducted a little differently than it has in the past. We're going to be doing a Zoom, which I am excited to switch it up a little bit, and, hopefully, make this more effective and effective for the whole Village.

This is a pretty substantial announcement here. So our IQM2/Granicus software, which is our Village meeting software, they announced that their "End of Support" date -- they announced their "End of Support" date and "End of Life" dates for this software, which is -- this is the substantial software that we use, again, that's how we generate our meetings. The Livestream goes through there, it's all connected.

I am working with Granicus to figure out the best solution for the Village to move forward. But the "End of Support" date is September of 2025, and

1	then the "End of Life" for this software is expected
2	to be September of 2027.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Candace, can I just ask a
4	question?
5	CLERK HALL: Sure.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Exactly what you mean by the
7	end of "End of Life"? Does that mean that it's
8	completely the program is going to completely end
9	altogether and they're going to be deleting it, or
10	have they moved on to a newer version of Granicus?
11	CLERK HALL: So that is part of what I'm
12	working with Granicus on. You know, obviously the
13	a huge issue here is the archive of all of our
14	meetings. I'm sure that's what you're concerned
15	about.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: (Nodded Yes).
17	CLERK HALL: So my understanding of it is
18	limited. At this moment, I am working with them to
19	just see what's the best case scenario for us and how
20	we'll manage our archive, and then also phase in this
21	new programming. So I don't have an answer for you
22	yet, but I will keep the Board informed.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And the cost for the program
24	has increased?
25	CLERK HALL: I'm sure

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, not as yet.
2	CLERK HALL: I'm sure it will increase, this
3	new software, but that's in 2027. So right now my
4	biggest concern is the end of service. So with
5	our
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In 2025.
7	CLERK HALL: Yeah. So with our special
8	meetings and all of the work that you guys are doing,
9	this is a very antiquated system.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I know.
11	CLERK HALL: So as yeah. As you're aware,
12	it's not the easiest system to navigate. So,
13	although it's actually really exciting, there is
14	going to be some work that we need to get them to put
15	into the system, so that we're set up over this
16	two-year time frame, or, you know, two-year time
17	frame between when they will stop servicing it,
18	meaning we won't be able to do anything new within
19	this old system.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
21	CLERK HALL: And then when the whole system is
22	phased out.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: And we're going to look at
24	other options at the same time once we know what it
25	is they're proposing.

	Work Session 3/21/24 124
1	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: As you're aware, Mary Bess,
3	this is the most widely used system
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, it is.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: throughout local government.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
7	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, I'm aware of it.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: So one would assume they're
10	doing anything that's not going to be better than it.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. No, no, I have
12	looked.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Any new software adoption there
14	are going to be challenges.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. No, I've been I
16	received notices from Granicus
17	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: as far as what's going
19	on, just because I was involved in getting the
20	original program here.
21	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I'm very much aware of
23	it. And as you pointed out, I'm very concerned about
24	the archiving.

CLERK HALL: Yeah.

25

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	CLERK HALL: Understood. That is something
3	that I'm also concerned with, and we'll make sure to
4	keep you, keep you all in the loop with that.
5	Next Tuesday the State Archives will be
6	returning to Village Hall for another haul-out, which
7	is great. We're expected to haul out another
8	50 boxes. So we are getting close to 300 boxes at
9	this point, which is all free of charge for the
10	Village. I think it's you know, as I've stated
11	before in previous meetings, this is it's huge
12	that we're able to haul out this amount of records
13	that we no longer need free of charge, it's not
14	costing the Village anything.
15	And the contact that we have from the State
16	Archives has been a huge asset. She's been working
17	with us training, and implementing best practices,
18	which are already more of those are being put into
19	place in Village Hall.
20	Village brush pickup begins on April 9th, 2024.
21	This is a bi-weekly schedule, as its been in the
22	past. The schedule is posted on the Village website.
23	And then, finally, a tax sale was conducted on
24	March 12th, 2024.
25	And then I'm going to circle back. I have two

of the five public assembly applications listed here. As the Board knows, I've brought this up in the past. It is -- it's been a bit challenging to the process of accepting applications, and the fees, and security deposit that goes along with these public assembly applications. It continues to be a challenge. And at this point we're receiving an influx of applications, as we're gearing up for the spring, and the fall, and the summer, obviously.

So what I am asking of the Board is to consider implementing guidelines for the Clerk's Office to work off of, guidelines that would include who should be eligible for fee waivers. There are -- there's at least one organization that, that has a resolution where they don't pay for the application fee, but the security deposit is for the Village, in case the property is not returned in the original manner that the -- you know, that the applicant was using it.

So I think it's important for the Board to take a look at this and make a decision, because where it stands, I have to request the funds as the application comes in. But I do get a significant amount of push-back from various organizations, whether they're -- you know, they have historically done, you know, events in the Village or they're a

1	new organization.
2	My goal is, obviously, to be fair and
3	consistent, is the biggest thing, and at this moment
4	it's difficult to be fair and consistent when
5	historically, for whatever reason, different
6	organizations were granted fee waivers and then
7	others are not.
8	And then security deposits, again, is a totally
9	separate thing. Just for the record, the security
10	deposits are held, they're not cashed, and then
11	they're returned to the applicant after the event is
12	held. And then, of course, the application fee is
13	something that we deposit once the application is
14	either accepted, or it's returned if it's denied.
15	So
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Why don't you go through the
17	pending applications
18	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: and anything else, and then
20	we could have a discussion about that.
21	CLERK HALL: Okay, perfect.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: I've got a few ideas I want to
23	give to the Board.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Candace, so those security
25	deposits are all kept in an escrow account,

basically?
CLERK HALL: No.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.
CLERK HALL: They're kept in our safe.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: They're commingled with other
funds in the Village?
CLERK HALL: No, they're not deposited at all.
So they are held with the application in the safe.
They are the check is either we reach out to
the applicant, ask them if they'd like us to destroy
the check, or to return the check to them or money
order.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: (Inaudible).
CLERK HALL: I'm sorry?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I'm just talking out
I'm thinking out loud.
CLERK HALL: So it's not deposited, and the
reason it's not deposited, I discussed it with our
Deputy Treasurer, Adam, it's very difficult for the
funds to leave the Village accounts once it's
deposited in. So \$500 would require the applicant to
submit a W-9, and then there's, you know, whatever
other paper work that Adam would have to go to to
return the funds. And then, obviously, it would be
on a monthly basis. So, you know, if they

depending on when the event happens, it could put the applicant out this \$500 for a few months, maybe, if we deposit it. So that's not how we handle those funds, it's just kept in the safe with the applications.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.

CLERK HALL: So the applications that I have right now, which I believe most of these have been circulated to the Board, I apologize if any of this has not, but I will certainly do so.

The LGBT Network submitted a public assembly application for their second annual North Fork Pride Parade and Festival. The proposed event date is June 22nd, 2024.

The next one here is a public assembly application for the Greenport Farmers Market submitted on March 15th. The public assembly application -- let's see. The proposed event dates would start on May 17th through October 11th, 2024. The Greenport Farmers Market is requesting an up-front waiver of the required \$500 security fee. And I have not received a check for the application on this one as well.

So next up is an event application from the AgroCouncil and the Greenport BID. This one is

1	actually quite pressing, because the event date is
2	before the next opportunity to approve it. So this
3	is for the 5K, the fundraiser, 5K, Cherry Blossom 5K,
4	excuse me. I have reached out to this applicant to
5	obtain checks for the security and the application, I
6	have not received those as of yet.
7	(Siren Sounded)
8	CLERK HALL: The next application that I have
9	is for the Friends of Mitchell Park for the Tai Chi
10	that goes on throughout the summer. This applicant
11	here sorry. If you guys want me to continue, I
12	will. Continue, yes?
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Give it a second.
14	CLERK HALL: Okay. So the next application
15	that I have here is from Friends of Mitchell Park and
16	Denise Gillies. This is for the Tai Chi that's been
17	going on for many years at Mitchell Park.
1.8	This applicant Friends of Mitchell Park there

This applicant, Friends of Mitchell Park, there was -- there was a resolution passed back in 2018 where it was agreed upon that this applicant would not pay for the application fee, and they have also requested for this series of events, the waiver of the \$500 security deposit.

And then, let's see, I have -- the next application is from the Greenport Athletic Booster

1	Club. They are looking to hold a 5K fundraiser for
2	the Greenport School. This applicant has requested a
3	waiver of both the security deposit of \$500 and the
4	application fee of \$50. This event they are hoping
5	to hold on June 1st.
6	I believe that is all of the applications that
7	I have at this point. Again, it is quite challenging
8	to manage these requests. I think it would be
9	really, really important for us to have, whether it's
10	code or a policy to deal with the requests.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: So if I heard correctly, every
12	single one of those is a not-for-profit that's
13	requesting that fee waiver?
14	CLERK HALL: Actually, the LGBT Network has
15	submitted both checks, they've actually submitted
16	four checks.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: But they're not-for-profit as
18	well, right, and they're not
19	CLERK HALL: They are.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: And they're not asking for the
21	fee waiver?
22	CLERK HALL: No, they're not.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. Everybody else, though,
24	was asking for the fee waiver and is a not-for-profit?
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I would just interject, the

1	Farmers Market is not yet anything as far as a
2	corporate entity goes.
3	CLERK HALL: Correct.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And they are charging money,
5	aren't they? I mean, that's and that's a
6	for-profit, right?
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Were they forming a 501?
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's their intention.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, okay.
10	CLERK HALL: So just to be clear, the LGBT
11	Network, there's an application for Mitchell Park,
12	and then there's an application for other Village
13	properties. The Mitchell Park is a different fee of
14	\$250 for the application, the same \$500 security
15	deposit, and then they have submitted both. So they
16	submitted the Mitchell Park application with both
17	checks, and then they submitted the standard public
18	assembly application with both checks. All others
19	have either requested the waiver of the application
20	fee and/or the security deposit.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Do you ever waive the
22	security deposit?
23	CLERK HALL: Not that I'm aware of.
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: It doesn't make any sense.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's security.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, they usually take no,
2	they always take the security deposit.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Some people are requesting a
4	waiver?
5	CLERK HALL: Exactly.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Are you sure there weren't
7	instances last year of security deposits being
8	waived, Mary Bess?
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Friends of Mitchell
10	MAYOR STUESSI: I don't think that
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Friends of Mitchell Park
12	might have been the only one
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: that I'm aware of.
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, I feel
16	like I mean, again, this is just memory, but I
17	thought a lot I mean, I thought we even
18	waived them.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We waived the fees.
20	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, I thought we
21	waived the security deposit, too. But, again, this
22	is pure memory.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have to go back.
24	CLERK HALL: Since I've been here, there
25	since August of last year, we've received security

1 deposits. 2 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I thought. CLERK HALL: I've looked back a little bit and 3 4 it does look like we've received security deposits from just about everybody. Friends of Mitchell Park 5 is a little different, I can do a little bit more 6 7 research on that one. The application fee has been 8 waived historically. For that one, again, Friends of 9 Mitchell Park, there was a resolution that was passed that they don't pay for the application, that did not 10 11 included the security deposit. 12 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, that's my recollection, too, is we waive fees, but not security deposits. 13 14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, we waive fees, but not 15 the security deposit. 16 MAYOR STUESSI: So I think what we need to do, to your point, is come up with some consistent 17 18 process, so that you're able to manage it. And it 19 would seem to me that we create a slightly different 20 form, and the only people that are allowed to ask for 21 a fee waiver would be a not-for-profit. If you're 22 not a not-for-profit, then it would seem that we 23 ought out to be charging a fee, unless anybody thinks 24 differently. 25 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that --

1	MAYOR STUESSI: And the fees, fee waiver is not
2	guaranteed until the Village Board vote considers
3	it and votes on it.
4	CLERK HALL: And that's yeah, that's been
5	something that I've been expressing to the
6	applicants, that it's not the Clerk's decision
7	whether the fees are waived, it has to go to the
8	Board.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I'm just talking about
10	the process
11	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: of somebody applying.
13	Because right now the application suggests
14	CLERK HALL: No, it
15	MAYOR STUESSI: that they need to have the
16	money in.
17	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
19	CLERK HALL: This, both applications, they
20	actually request a cover letter
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
22	CLERK HALL: for the Board and it is showing
23	that well, it says a \$50 nonrefundable permit fee
24	and a 500 \$500 security deposit must be submitted
25	with the complete application. I'm getting

1	decide whether we're going to waive the fee.
2	CLERK HALL: Uh-huh.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Isn't that how we've done it
4	in the past, Mary Bess?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what we've always
6	done in the past.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's how we've done it,
8	yeah.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: No, you haven't, it hasn't been.
10	CLERK HALL: We have not, actually.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, well
13	MAYOR STUESSI: And we're getting people
14	complaining about it, and so, yeah.
15	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the last I okay.
17	Because the in previously years it was also
18	understood that they took the checks, okay, and the
19	checks were kept like you do, because in order to
20	reimburse them, we have to go through the abstract
21	process.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: BID in particular has been very
23	clear, "Oh, we never had to give a check for certain
24	things." It's neither here or there, I think we need
25	to make a decision going forward.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It doesn't really matter at
2	this point, but I need to bring forward something
3	here, that in the Mass Assembly permit, I mean, the
4	Mass Assembly Chapter, on 44-3, we have an
5	application procedure, Review Contents of a Mass
6	Assembly. So does that need to be made stronger to
7	say that checks need to be received and that just
8	ends it, it's in the code?
9	CLERK HALL: It's not only in the code, it's on
10	the page, the front page of the application.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, no, no, I understand,
12	but if it's referred to that it's in the code, that
13	it has to be received, that's
14	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a done deal, okay?
16	Because we have here the wording, "Any person seeking
17	to organize or host any mass assembly event shall
18	file an application with the Village Clerk at least
19	60 days prior to the date or dates upon which such
20	mass assembly event is to take place, or such later
21	date as the Village Board of Trustees may agree upon,
22	but in any event a date that is no later than 7 days
23	prior to any regularly scheduled meeting," which
24	gives the opportunity for the later
25	CLERK HALL: Applications.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: the later applications.
2	"Of the Board of Trustees that precedes to that date
3	on which mass assembly event is to take place. The
4	application shall include the following," which is
5	listing everything that you have and whatever.
6	So we could put in the wording that the checks
7	need to be presented with the applications, and that
8	would say the code says it, you have to do it. I'm
9	just putting that out there, because we didn't have
10	the public hearing on the mass assembly, so we have
11	the opportunity to change the language in here, if
12	that would help the situation.
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Does it you're
14	talking about the proposed mass assembly code?
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The proposed, right, the
16	proposed.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I had also, just a side note,
18	sent an email to Brian Stolar about the fact that an
19	applicant on that public assembly permit should have
20	to print their name as well.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. I replied to that and
22	said I thought it was a good idea.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, yeah.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Even if it's a not-for-profit,
25	whoever's filing the application.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Whoever is representing them,
2	yeah.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, there's a name underneath it.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The agent, or whatever. But a
5	name would be good.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, Candace, let me ask you
7	a question.
8	CLERK HALL: Yes.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Did you review this code
10	chapter?
11	CLERK HALL: Yeah, I have.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
13	CLERK HALL: The problem is it's not that in
14	my opinion, it's this is the application, it's
15	written here, it's on the code. The problem is
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the fee
17	CLERK HALL: Historically, what's been done is
18	that applications are taken. And I'm in a situation
19	like this right now, actually, with the Egg Roll for
20	the BID. I don't have checks for that, but that's
21	something that the Board has already approved. So
22	now it puts me in a position where I have to follow
23	up and hunt down a check for an application that's
24	been approved. So I am in agreement with you, the
25	code says it, the application says it.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: No, not the existing code, the
2	proposed code.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Not the existing, the
4	proposed code.
5	CLERK HALL: Proposed code, yeah.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It needs to be written in
7	here in the proposed code.
8	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's not in here directly.
10	CLERK HALL: And even you know, not to be,
11	to be rigid, we want these events that are near and
12	dear to the Village to happen, but, you know, this
13	the 5K, the Cherry, the Cherry Blossom 5K, I received
14	this application two days ago. There isn't an
15	opportunity, aside from now, to approve this.
16	Otherwise, next month the event would have already
17	happened before the Regular Session.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, I understand.
19	CLERK HALL: So even the timeline of the
20	submissions is challenging, but the really, the
21	most challenging thing is the push-back that I get
22	myself, and then the other staff in the office, of
23	even accepting the applications without everything
24	that's listed here.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: So I think if the Board's

1	decision is that we should require it of everybody,
2	we can send a we know who these organizations are
3	and who these people are. We can very easily send a
4	letter to all of them, I'll be glad to talk to them,
5	and say, "Listen, starting June 1," or whatever it
6	is, you know, "Everybody is going to have to have a
7	check before we even take an application."
8	CLERK HALL: Right.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Like "Candace and the Clerk's
10	Office will be told we will not accept your application
11	without a check."
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, I think
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's the best
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's the best way to do it,
16	right.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that I agree with
18	that, and I think on the application it should say
19	that this is not complete unless
20	CLERK HALL: What is that?
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Without, without the
22	payments, okay? If it's on the application, that
23	it's not complete without the payment. Then it's
24	on the application, it's here it is, I can't accept
25	it, this is the way it is, and this is the way it's

1	going to be from now on. It's in the code, or we'll
2	fix it to be this the code.
3	CLERK HALL: Okay.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, that's my
5	MAYOR STUESSI: It's only a few people, I mean,
6	it's not a big number.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, no, I could think of a
8	few more that are going to come up.
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, we will
10	change it so it won't say nonrefundable. So then if
11	we say yes, we're going to waive this fee, it will be
12	the same thing, where the check won't be deposited.
13	CLERK HALL: No.
14	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: The \$50 check.
15	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: If we say we waive
17	your fee.
18	CLERK HALL: Because I can't cash it until you
19	approve it.
20	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right, right. But
21	I'm just saying that has to be explained and changed
22	on the application.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: How do you guys feel about a
24	fee waiver for a not-for-profit versus I can't
25	think of a for-profit. Can Julia or Mary Bess think

1 of something that's a for-profit group that has done 2 something before in the Village in the past? 3 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, we have several. We 4 have some companies who have events here, one of them is Greenport Brewery. We have that one that pops up 5 6 and he's always late, so we remind him every year, or 7 someone reminds him of --8 CLERK HALL: (Raised Hand). 9 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because, yeah, I don't want 10 to go through the drama. Greenport Brewery is one of 11 I think Claudio's may have in the past had them. 12 some type of an event, I believe, boat show. 13 MAYOR STUESSI: So does anybody feel like we 14 should have a rule on, you know, potential waivers? Should we agree to consider a waiver for anybody, or 15 16 should it only be for not-for-profits? TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it could be case by 17 18 case. TRUSTEE ROBINS: I kind of think not-for-profits. 19 20 I don't really necessarily feel we should be offering 21 waivers to everybody. 22 TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: What -- isn't there 23 something else in Mitchell Park that we've talked 24 about before, like where they have the tent and 25 loading?

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That was the Coast Guard,
2	Coast Guard Auxiliary
3	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
4	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: which is probably a
5	not-for-profit or a
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, it is, but they
7	also that's part of they actually come in as a
8	group and they take boat slip, they take slips, they
9	pay for their slips when they come in here. So
10	that's part of an activity that's through the marina,
11	although they do do the Mass Assembly Permit.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I like the idea of drawing a
13	line between not-for-profit and for profit, I think
14	that's kind of sensible. But, at the same time, I
15	would not be willing to say that I would waive a fee
16	for any nonprofit.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That still has to be some
19	discretion.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Of course.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. I'm just suggesting
23	maybe that we say, you know, request fee waiver, must
24	be a not-for-profit to request it, subject to
25	approval of the Board.

1	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Because there may
2	be new people who, you know, like just like a
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Most of them
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And I know there's
5	instructions on there, but just like a little extra
6	like this
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A cover letter. A cover
8	letter.
9	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: This is how we do
11	things.
12	CLERK HALL: This is really helpful, actually,
13	because it's you know, this meeting is a public
14	meeting, it's on record.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes. And the other thing we
16	need to correct, that is we need to correct the
17	resolution that was in place for waive you know,
18	automatically waiving it for
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Friend of Mitchell Park.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: the Tai Chi. I'll be glad
21	to have a conversation with them the next Board
22	meeting, you know, that we need a check, it's not
23	going to be for everybody, but they can make the
24	request for it.
25	CLERK HALL: Okay.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: We want to treat everybody
2	equally. And then there are some new rules that were
3	put in place awhile back that included like no tents
4	and things. I'll bring that we'll bring it up at
5	the next circulate it to the Board to look at,
6	because we're going to need to remote back, because
7	there are a number of things that were in there,
8	including that all applications for summer events had
9	to be in by March 15th, I think it was. And I think
10	it makes more sense to stick with a rolling schedule.
11	It seems to be working, other than the few last
12	minute ones, like the Easter Bunny thing.
13	CLERK HALL: Yeah. We we've been trying to
14	just be proactive to a certain extent of not
15	hand-holding these organizations that hold these huge
16	events for the Village. I think there is some
17	responsibility on the organization to get these
18	applications in. But on the flip side, you know, for
19	this, this Cherry Blossom 5K, that I received the
20	application two days ago, they're already
21	advertising. So it kind of like it puts us all in
22	a really unfortunate position.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. We'll draft something to
24	send to the BID, because
25	CLERK HALL: So we'll try to be proactive.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: they know. They're just
2	they're all busy.
3	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: And we've just got to work
5	better on the calendar, so it works well for
6	everybody.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: When you accept an
8	application that you've considered to be complete, do
9	you like date-stamp it
10	CLERK HALL: Yes.
11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: or write your name on it
12	or something?
13	CLERK HALL: I do. So we I've been putting
14	in practice, because, as you said, I think it's
15	important that I think it's important, like you
16	said, to push back, because it is the guideline, but
17	they are date-stamped when we receive them, a
18	complete application. I don't date-stamp it until I
19	have everything. I keep a copy to bring with me as a
20	reference to the meeting. So there's notes of,
21	you know, how these are processed and sent out to you
22	all. In this case, for some of these applications,
23	it just wasn't enough time to get them to you. Yeah.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
25	CLERK HALL: To answer your question.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: I'll change this tomorrow.
2	We'll just draft a letter and we'll send it out and
3	have some conversations.
4	CLERK HALL: Thank you.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Candace, you've probably seen
6	some municipal forms that have a little check box up
7	on the top-hand corner, it will say like cover
8	letter, check, application complete, check, security
9	deposit. It's really kind of an exercise for you to
10	go through with the applicant
11	CLERK HALL: Yes.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: to demonstrate.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Literally, check box the check
14	for the check
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Would that help?
16	MAYOR STUESSI: or, "Here, I'm not accepting it."
17	CLERK HALL: I think that's a really great idea.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
19	CLERK HALL: Because right now it's a it's a
20	paragraph that it's unreal how many people ignore
21	this paragraph right here, you know.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: We could make it simple bullet
23	points with a check box.
24	CLERK HALL: I think it's a good idea.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: "If your check boxes are not

1	checked, I can't accept your application."
2	CLERK HALL: Or send it to the Board.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
4	CLERK HALL: So, you know, for some of these
5	applications, I have held off from sending it to the
6	Board for consideration, or even putting it on the
7	agenda until we have a complete application. But, at
8	this time, you know, people are gearing up for the
9	spring. So this is probably, you know, a higher
10	number than usual of applications, but I don't think
11	it's going to slow down at this point. So I appreciate
12	the conversation from you guys.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have to ask you a question.
14	CLERK HALL: Yes.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Saint Agnes Church, it's
16	getting near Easter time.
17	CLERK HALL: Nothing.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Didn't we pass a resolution?
19	Don't they have some type of an activity on the back?
20	CLERK HALL: Yeah, they have a huge event every
21	year and I haven't received anything about it, but I
22	will follow up.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
24	CLERK HALL: Thank you.
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think, although

1	maybe I missed it, but I don't think we got the
2	Greenport Athletic Booster Club.
3	CLERK HALL: No.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But maybe that's
5	because it came in late.
6	CLERK HALL: So that one, I'm glad you brought
7	that up. That one is a little
8	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, actually,
9	are they they may not be a 501(c)(3).
10	CLERK HALL: They're not.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: The Easter Club should be, no?
12	CLERK HALL: So the tricky thing about that is
13	the gentleman who submitted the application, I did
14	push back, and I told him that I you know, I can't
15	put this on the agenda until I get the checks. You
16	know, then there was some back and forth. And then
17	ultimately he let me know that it's really difficult
18	to get a check through the district for this
19	application.
20	Now, if it's required, I think that it's on
21	them to submit the application in enough time to do
22	their to do the leg work on their side. Even if
23	this is a check that we're ultimately going to
24	destroy, like the security deposit, that was the
25	conversation, you know, between myself and this

1	applicant, that it's really difficult to go through
2	the district to get a check to submit with his
3	application.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Through the school district?
5	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, it does
7	kind of bring up I mean, because I don't know, but
8	like is the PTA maybe not a 501(c)(3)? Like there
9	might be a lot of like charitable good-cause
10	organizations that are not like technically 501(c)(3)
11	nonprofit.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I think if we have the
13	clause in it, a clause that it's up to the you
14	know, the Village has the
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But if we were
16	saying you're only eligible to check the "Please
17	waive my fees" if you're a nonprofit.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, you know
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, or if we
20	mean nonprofit just as a nonprofit, and we don't mean
21	like a technical $501(c)(3)$ , that's true.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think the checklist
23	could have a variety of
24	CLERK HALL: The basketball tournament that I
25	co-organized, we became a nonprofit, because we ran

	Work Session 3/21/24 154
1	into fundraising issues, but we weren't.
2	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
3	CLERK HALL: We just were a group of people
4	putting together this event for free for the
5	community. So, you know, it is a good point that
6	they may not all be not-for-profit.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So there's several kinds of
8	nonprofits, and there's foundations
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: and there's public benefit
11	corporations. We may be able to just flip this around
12	and say that for-profit entities may not request a
13	waiver.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: We leave it
17	open-ended
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: on the other side.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On the other side.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Does makes sense?
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
23	CLERK HALL: Yeah, absolutely.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: And if we think it's shady for

any reason, we can ask for some sort of proof that

25

1	they're truly a not-for-profit.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, one thing I will ask,
3	Candace, is I'm uncomfortable with you asking whether
4	to send a check back or destroy it. I would prefer
5	that you just send the check back to them.
6	CLERK HALL: Okay.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: From a banking point of view
8	and
9	CLERK HALL: Understood.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: verification, I think it
11	would behoove you to just
12	CLERK HALL: Mail it back.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mail it back.
14	CLERK HALL: Okay.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Unless you trust the mail,
17	which I'm becoming less and less inclined to do.
18	(Laughter)
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm literally driving up to
20	places and hand-delivering checks.
21	(Laughter)
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I did have
23	MAYOR STUESSI: So how does everybody feel
24	about the security deposit amount? I mean, I realize
25	if we raised it, it might be considered more punitive

1	to people. But like, honestly, if there's damage,
2	it's going to be a hell of a lot more than \$500.
3	It's honestly like token chump change if anything
4	really happens.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don't we ask for insurance
6	coverage?
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. No, it is.
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There's also a big, a big
9	range in size of events.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We ask for insurance
11	coverage.
12	CLERK HALL: We do ask for insurance.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We ask for insurance
15	coverage.
16	CLERK HALL: So, yeah, the applicant is
17	required to have insurance.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, it's 100,000, isn't it?
19	CLERK HALL: I'm sorry?
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Or is it a million?
21	CLERK HALL: It's a million.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's a million.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: It almost seems like why are we
24	even asking for the security deposit if we've got
25	proof of insurance and

	Work Session 3/21/24 157
1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because many deductibles.
2	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And many different purposes,
3	right?
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Different purposes.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So the insurance is going to
6	be only for liability, probably.
7	CLERK HALL: Yes.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And the security deposit
10	might be to do like street cleanup.
11	CLERK HALL: Yeah.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Or something.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, we can ask for those
15	fees, though, for additional fees.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: If they mess up the bathrooms,
17	or something like that.
18	CLERK HALL: What do you mean, additional fees
19	for
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, in other words, years
21	ago with the Maritime Festival, we asked for them to
22	help with the
23	CLERK HALL: We still do.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- garbage bill.

CLERK HALL: Yeah, we still do.

24

25

1	TRUCTEE RUILLING. Dight might Co you have
1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, right. So you have
2	the
3	CLERK HALL: They receive an invoice after.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You know, there are things
5	MAYOR STUESSI: It's in dispute right now.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What do you mean it's in
7	dispute?
8	CLERK HALL: It is.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You've got to be kidding me.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: I will share more on that.
11	(Laughter)
12	MAYOR STUESSI: So back to my question, because
13	I want to sort of put this to bed and get the
14	application. Does everybody feel like the \$500 is
15	appropriate as a security deposit?
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I'm fine with that.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm fine with it for now.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: And I agree.
20	CLERK HALL: Okay.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: I think that covers it for that.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do have a question on the
23	resolution for the Mitchell Park bathrooms.
24	CLERK HALL: Sure.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And maybe this is more to

	Work Session 3/21/24 159
1	the Mayor than when this contract is being
2	·
	developed, do we have a do we have a start date
3	for them to start the construction?
4	CLERK HALL: So I will
5	MAYOR STUESSI: They will be starting
6	immediately upon our vote next week.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Do we have a date
8	that an anticipated end date or
9	MAYOR STUESSI: They owe us that.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's what I'm
11	asking.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: But it's quick. He's already
13	mobilized
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well
15	MAYOR STUESSI: and ready to go based on,
16	you know, the Board
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: and getting supplies.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just wanted to just
20	make sure that we had some type of end date in the
21	contract, perhaps.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. And we've been putting
23	that in all of them

MAYOR STUESSI: -- since I've been hanging out

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, yeah.

24

25

	Work Session 3/21/24 160
1	here.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I feel the question
3	MAYOR STUESSI: It's the same guy who did
4	CLERK HALL: Road barn.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: The road barn.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: And the bath and the
8	campground bathrooms. He's done a great job and been
9	very timely.
10	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Really good.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. I don't have a
12	problem with the contractor, I'm just asking about
13	the
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, yeah.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: follow-up. And, Mr. Mayor
16	MAYOR STUESSI: And we're also doing punch
17	lists on things
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: when they finish as well.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's what I wanted
21	to know.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Which I did with Bill on the
23	sidewalk just yesterday.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That was my question,
25	was

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: is, you know, how are we
3	going to deal with the steps and the work approved,
4	looking at it to see if it's up to what we're looking
5	for. Okay. All right, that's it.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: I'm driving a few civil contractors
7	batty right now.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's okay.
9	(Laughter)
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's okay, that's happens.
11	The only other question I have, and maybe this
12	is me being a stickler, but most people in this
13	Village read the local newspaper, The Suffolk Times,
14	to look for the legal notices for our public
15	hearings. I know we use Newsday, and Newsday was
16	always used as a last minute, being able to get it,
17	okay? But when I counted out the days for the
18	February from the February 29th meeting up until
19	tonight's meeting, we did have enough time to get
20	something in The Suffolk Times, okay?
21	CLERK HALL: In theory, yes.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm, I'm a big and I feel
23	it's very important, because having come from the
24	background that I came from, and the first thing I
25	had to do on Thursday morning was to read the legal

notices for the bank branch, and the obituaries for 1 2 various reasons for bank purposes, I know many people are made more aware of what's going on when it's in a 3 4 local paper. So I just -- I had to say that, okay? CLERK HALL: That's fine, and if that's the 5 6 preference. The Mayor and I discussed it the other day. Yes, there -- in theory, there was enough time, 7 8 but the day-to-day activities in the Village sometimes don't allow for what may seem like a date. 9 You know, there -- yes, there was a date that we 10 could have submitted it. However, we have used 11 12 Newsday for really important meetings, so I didn't 13 see the issue with using Newsday at the time. Also, with the Village Administrator retiring 14 last week, it was definitely a priority to spend as 15 16 much time with Paul before he left as possible. So I will going forward always try to meet the deadline to 17 18 have it in The Suffolk Times, since that seems to be the preference. But I didn't realize that it was an 19 20 issue to use Newsday, as opposed to Suffolk Times, 21 because we do --22 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. Well --23 CLERK HALL: -- for really important meetings. 24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For communication, and this 25 Administration has made a priority on communication,

1	and that is just one of the for me, a legal notice
2	for a public hearing to get out to the public is a
3	little bit more important than some other things,
4	okay? So
5	CLERK HALL: Understood.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay?
7	CLERK HALL: It was published also on the
8	website and in Village Hall.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I understand that, okay, but
10	most people, as much as we all love the website and
11	we're all trying to improve it, the first thing that
12	they look at on Thursday afternoon is they all go
13	online and look at the legal section, okay?
14	CLERK HALL: Understood, now that it's clear on
15	the preference.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And a lot of people don't read
18	or take Newsday, either, you know? And I find them
19	annoying, because people send me articles from
20	Newsday and I don't have a subscription. They have a
21	pay-wall, you can't read their articles, you know,
22	so
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, so does The Suffolk
24	Times.
25	CLERK HALL: Yeah, they both do.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Frankly, The Suffolk Times
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: They have a pay-wall as well?
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, absolutely.
4	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: And The Suffolk Times a lot of
6	times doesn't even put things online that they put in
7	the paper, and vice versa. There are things that are
8	printed that don't make it online.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. Yeah, I read it online,
10	I don't
11	MAYOR STUESSI: So it's, anyway, another
12	discussion on it.
13	CLERK HALL: Thank you.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
15	CLERK HALL: I'm clear on the preference.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Anything else?
17	CLERK HALL: I have nothing else.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Lily?
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I don't have very
20	much, except I think we will try to put the resolution
21	on next week.
22	CLERK HALL: Yes.
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I'm looking at
24	Candace, because she's going to do it, for Dances in
25	the Park, the lineup. So that's all I really have.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Dances in the Park what?
2	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: The lineup, like so
3	that we can next week
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Oh, great. Did you find a
5	country group?
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: We yeah. We're
7	sticking with the country groups we have.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: All right.
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And we're
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Beyoncé is coming?
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, exactly.
12	CLERK HALL: Signed her up.
13	(Laughter)
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Taylor Swift. I mean,
15	you know, that's
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah. So that's
17	all I have.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: And then it looks like, as I
19	had mentioned in an email, that we figured out a way
20	of forming a not-for-profit to collect funds for
21	Dances, etcetera. Jared's finalizing that, and I'll
22	send something out to the Board on it tomorrow.
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And we'll be able
24	to do it soon.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.

We did discuss signs on Wednesday, and we will be finishing that up to probably present at next Work Session. We will not be meeting next week, so that everybody is aware, because two of our members are absent. And we decided to finish up on the sign and give ourselves a break for Wednesday, right, John and Dinni? We've got a break, right?

MAYOR STUESSI: 21 Johns committed.

MR. SALADINO: We're all going to the --

MAYOR STUESSI: John's committed to being here, 23

24 it's those others.

yet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25 (Laughter) TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I do have one issue that cropped up at the Wardens meeting. After the Wardens meeting, I had a rather interesting conversation with one of the Wardens, Norma Corwin, in reference to what information we really know that's going on around the Village in reference to the EMT calls, and to the Police Department, and the interaction between the two agencies. It was rather a contentious discussion. And afterwards I decided to do what I always do, is to go back, take a look and see perhaps if there is some issue here that we as a Board are not getting all the information we're supposed to be getting.

They -- I don't know if it's all the Wardens, but she was under the impression that we were receiving a copy of their Fire Department run report every month, which actually breaks down the dates of the incident and where it was. No names, but what the action was, whether it was an alcohol overdose, which seemed to be a conversation brought up earlier by Pete Harris, who is also a Warden on the Fire Department, and I was not aware of it.

Chief Johnson provided me with a copy of what they've had since January 1st of this year, which I will photocopy and get to everyone. It was an

1	interesting document as to the amount of alcohol
2	O.D.'s that have been going on within our Village.
3	There was also a discussion of the grouping of people
4	who are open you know, open containing drinking
5	out in the parking lots and Mitchell Park.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: You were with me with the
7	Police Chief
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: when we had that exact
10	conversation.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, yes.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: And the Police Chief, just for
13	everybody else to agree, or to be aware of, he agreed
14	that they needed to do some additional retraining
15	with police officers, that if anybody has an open
16	container where they shouldn't by a car and parking
17	lots, etcetera, that they need to be citing them
18	appropriately up to arrest, depending on what the
19	situation is.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's part of the
21	communication breakdown between the Fire Department
22	and the EMTs, because their, their concern is, is
23	that the Police Department is making the decision.
24	Rightfully, that's they're first on the call, and,
25	Patrick, I think you go on the calls. They make the

1	decision as to whether this is a hospital situation
2	or take them to the, to the retaining section at
3	Peconic.
4	So what I would like to do is I would like to,
5	with the Mayor and the Board's permission, is to
6	reach out to Chief Flatley, see if he has I think
7	he mentioned that he was going to look about a
8	liaison from the Police Department to the Village, or
9	was he talking about there's some, several
10	officers here who seem to be
11	MAYOR STUESSI: We have a local liaison
12	officer.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We, do? Okay.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: So if there's a specific
15	meeting you want to schedule, I'd be glad to
16	coordinate it with you.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, what I'd like to do is
18	schedule for him to come to the Board of Wardens
19	meeting and the
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, why don't we do that for
21	next month?
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, that's what I wanted
23	to do.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: I'll be glad to ask him and
25	offer it as well.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. All right. I think it
2	will be beneficial that that communication takes
3	place between the two departments and have they
4	have a continued dialog.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I was not happy with how I
7	was approached on this subject, because I believe
8	that everybody should understand that we all don't
9	get information. We don't all know what's going on
10	around the Village, we try very hard to, but this was
11	something that could have been handled in a different
12	way. And that's all I'm going to say about it, is it
13	could have been handled differently. I'm taking the
14	high road and approaching it in a different way. So
15	if we have the permission, yeah, I would like to get
16	that set up, okay?
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I'll set it up
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Other than that,
19	that's it for me.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Julia?
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just we had a Carousel meeting
22	on the 5th, and we you know, I reported to them
23	that Friends of Mitchell Park are going to be paying
24	for the Carousel rides for the BID event, the Easter
25	Egg Roll.

I let them know that the -- we have passed a resolution, the rides are going to be increasing from 2.50 to \$3 per ride on 6/1/24, and that the group ticket prices are going to increase from 25 to 30.

I had a short conversation with Rick Albanese about the side ramp. I think what the plan now is just to use that for handicapped access, to put a sign to direct people to it for that reason, and maybe do a new sign for the front entrance as well just to let people know, because the main entrance, handicapped, stroller access is on the side. You know, it won't get locked. In other words, there will be a sign there, a sign there letting people know that.

We talked a little bit about some of the music at the Carousel. Apparently, there are limitations on how many CDs are available for carousel music. I think we've discussed this before, we'll mention it again, that we might want to consider purchasing an iPhone and using Bluetooth. That would solve the problem. They could download any music that they wanted to play in the Carousel.

A couple of projects that they want to finish up, now that they kind of settled down with the Carousel again. There's some painting that still has

1 to get done on the inner scenic panels, which was a 2 process that they had been working on before the pandemic, so they'd like to finish that up. 3 4 And, also, they had talked about creating a booklet that gives a little bit of a historic 5 6 background on all of the paintings, both on the 7 rounding boards and the inner scenic panels. And a 8 suggestion was made also that maybe we could install 9 a digital slideshow somewhere in the building that 10 would show all of those paintings with a little bit 11 of a description. So I spoke to Rick about that, 12 he's going to be doing a little research on that. 13 MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. So that's it. 14 TRUSTEE ROBINS: MAYOR STUESSI: Patrick. 15 16 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I didn't really prepare a report, but I'll just -- I just have two comments 17 18 about the Fire Department. 19 When I was listening to Mary Bess before, I was 20 nodding in agreement when we were talking about the 21 medical calls and the alcohol. I didn't want to 22 imply that I'm responding to those calls, because I 23 don't respond to medical calls. 24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no. 25 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And it's important, because

1	while I'm a fireman, I don't do that, and we have a
2	very dedicated group of people that respond to these
3	medical calls. And it's an enormous burden.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It is.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And it's a small group that
6	do the lion's share of the work. And it's not just
7	the alcohol overdose, but there's many, many medical
8	calls for the community.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There's many other issues.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I just want to be clear, that
11	I didn't want
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, that's okay.
13	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: to take credit for that.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You were in the meeting, so
15	you
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yes. I was in the meeting,
17	yes.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The meeting, yeah.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And I do I am aware of how
20	many calls there are, and I agree, it's very
21	troubling, it's a problem.
22	I just want to bring forth a concern from the
23	Fire Department. So we've had a couple of very
24	successful meetings here, where we've workshopped
25	like comprehensive planning, and well attended. And

1	there is a concern in the Fire Department that when
2	we hold those meetings, we should just be mindful of
3	maybe directing people not to park perhaps in the
4	parking lot, because I think at the last event, it
5	was so well attended, there was not a lot of spots
6	left for responders to park in and so
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Should we look at signage or
8	something in on the parking spots?
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I was going to say
11	that, because I I mean, this years ago, but I
12	worked as a poll worker, and I had no idea, because I
13	came here at five in the morning, parked in those
14	spots, and then there were people who were upset, and
15	which is I mean, I understand, but there's no
16	way
17	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: to know.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There should be signage
20	there.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Signage, or maybe when we're
22	announcing the event, we could put a reminder in
23	there and ask people to park on the street or
24	something. I mean
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: we probably won't catch
2	everyone
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
4	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: but it will be helpful for
5	the Department to try to maintain more space out
6	there.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Patrick, maybe because they
8	did discuss that out back, they're going to be
9	filling in the cracks on the blacktop and recoating
10	it, or whatever. Perhaps we should, a suggestion is,
11	line some of the parking spaces and then have them
12	written on the, on the pavement, you know, "Firemen
13	Only", or something to keep people out of the you
14	know, at least
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Don't they all have bumpers out
16	there, as I recall, too?
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: No.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: At least they could stencil it
20	right on the bumper, too.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I don't think so.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Anyway, that's a good idea.
25	Well, you could bring it back to the Wardens, or I'll

1	bring it back.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll bring it back to the
3	Wardens, yeah.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: If we do a large meeting, happy
5	to include something in it, but I think most people
6	won't pay attention to it.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: But if we can get something
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, but
10	MAYOR STUESSI: on the spots.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. But even if we
12	write, most people, I think, if there's language on
13	the blacktop because I've noticed when we put the
14	hours for parking back behind IGA, people noticed the
15	road, that it was a 20, 30-minute parking more than
16	they did the sign that was up in front of them.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that I think that's
19	something that, you know, we could at least get a
20	what is it, five or six that they need for an
21	ambulance?
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, probably.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. At least those spots,
24	try to do something, okay?
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That would be great.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: I mean, is there any reason to
2	allow any public parking?
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Well, you know, accessibility.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So some people need to be
6	close to the building, they need to get in.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The handicapped
8	MAYOR STUESSI: I mean, maybe we need to look
9	at some additional handicapped parking right out
10	front by the street, too.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that could be an idea.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Maybe, yeah.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Because, I mean, you know, it's
14	always sort of first come, first serve, and it's
15	problems for a lot of people.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Not a lot, but a number of them
18	where you would want to park right out front, you
19	come straight up the sidewalk.
20	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I'd like to bring it back to
21	the Fire Department, have them come back with some
22	suggestions.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, that would be great.
24	0kay.
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, that's really all I have.

MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. A few things. Adam, I know I shared with a few of you that he went home sick, unfortunately, earlier. He's been doing a fantastic job. Everybody got the budget on their desks yesterday. Please, you know, look through it carefully. It's going to be published, and we're going to be having some meetings on it.

In addition, I have two candidates for the Treasurer role. Adam applied as well, talking to him and the other candidate.

And then as I had mentioned before, we're finalizing some job descriptions for the two roles that we would be dividing Paul's former role into, with a Public Works role title to be finalized that would manage the large part of the Public Works and that part of Village Hall, together with Utilities, and somebody very much focused on managing, you know, grants and the coordination with government, government liaison, etcetera. I'm hoping to have something here in the next few days to circulate to everybody just to take a look at. It's part of the budget as well and is in there.

In addition to that, our wonderful intern from last summer wants to come back and work this summer.

This is Yan, who was a Greenport High School student,

1	born and raised here, first generation American.
2	Amazing guy who worked in the Village Hall through
3	the summer part-time. He's studying Government at
4	NYU, and just spent the semester in Washington D.C.
5	as part of that.
6	I'm going to forward everybody some information
7	from ParkMobile on the paid parking that, you know,
8	we obviously just passed the ability to have paid
9	parking. They're going to be coming out and putting
10	a full proposal together for us.
11	The only other company that I found that makes
12	sense, based upon talking to some others, is I
13	think it's Flow, which is in Patchogue. That's the
14	closest location, but they've apparently had a lot of
15	issues, there's a lot of complaints in a variety of
16	different places. And the cost differential, it's
17	really passed on to the consumer, and it's not much.
18	I would say the real strong advantage with ParkMobile
19	is that they're operating in Sag Harbor, and they are
20	also starting in Shelter Island this summer.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Are they, really?
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yep.
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: And
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think they're

also on the South Fork, I mean, farther, not just  Sag Harbor, in East Hampton.  MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. And then they from a  analysis to implementation, they can, you know, have	<b>)</b>
MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. And then they from a analysis to implementation, they can, you know, have	<b>)</b>
4 analysis to implementation, they can, you know, have	<b>)</b>
that done in a matter of 20 days. So well have	
5 that done in a matter of 30 days. So we'll have	
6 something to talk about here pretty quickly on that	
7 and then can take a look at a map and what we're	
8 going to try for this summer.	
9 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: You sent us that article, a	
10 study about the curb parking scheme.	
11 MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.	
12 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Really a congestion pricing	
13 scheme.	
14 MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.	
15 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I didn't actually get throug	jh
the whole thing, it was kind of lengthy. But,	
you know, my only comment on that is, you know,	
there's a lot of discussion around congestion prici	ıg
19 and whether it discriminates against people	
20 economically, right?	
MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.	
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So peopler who are willing	0
pay to get parking, and people who can't make that	
same calculation won't get parking, so I'm just a	
little bit concerned about that.	

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, the article was more of a
2	position paper on creating a parking benefit
3	district
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: District.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: as opposed to necessarily
6	what you set parking at, and the funds that are
7	utilized, how you, you know, utilize them in the
8	parking district itself.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I have, I have some
10	I have a lot of questions about that, because,
11	you know, I just I have to finish reading it, but
12	I have some questions about the concept, but we'll
13	discuss that when
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. I'm not suggesting
15	everything that was in there is exactly what we want
16	to do, but the idea of we're going to start taking
17	money in and we need to dedicate it to something
18	that, you know, benefits the area that it's being,
19	you know, brought in from, so sidewalks, lamps,
20	etcetera.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that yeah, but
22	that's where I'm having a hard time, because it's
23	public streets and it belongs to the Village, so the
24	benefit should be with the whole Village, so that
25	and dedicated funding, dedicated funds within the

1	Village get to be complicated, because in order to
2	get the money out of the line item you have to have a
3	vote. So there's a lot of questions I have, and when
4	we get to it, I'll bring them up. But it's I
5	think it's a great concept. I like the idea of a
6	pilot program to find out how it would work, so.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Thanks for sending it. I
8	didn't mean to be critical, and I think, you know,
9	SO
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I haven't really read it in
11	depth.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I just glanced at it.
14	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I have the same
15	thought just about like if I was going somewhere, I
16	would want to know how much I'm going to pay for
17	parking and if it's changing all the time, which I
18	know is just one little part of that, but yeah.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I'm not, I'm not
20	suggesting we look at pricing fluctuations. It was
21	purely creating a district that the funds go into
22	and
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Gotcha.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: you know, that we target
25	what they're going to be utilized for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which, which is what we do, and I think we all do it, we look at other areas.

And I'm grateful for the article, because I had never heard of that before, so I started doing some research on it, how it operates. But, you know, we look at -- but we have to do what is best for the Village. And I think finding out resources and information is a great way to make those decisions, so thank you very much for sending it.

MAYOR STUESSI: Sure. And then I'm going to be forwarding a proposal when I get it for additional resources, as I've talked to several of you and mentioned at prior meetings, for grant-writing support. There's a number of grants that are coming up here in the next few months, and pretty significant ones in a number of different areas. the idea would be to bring them on to help not just put together that list, but to really get us focused and the community on some of the ones that we're going to need to get the community focused on, but they would produce all of the grant-writing, help support us with this. It would probably be initially for like a six-month period, "X" amount of dollars, with "X", "X", "Y" deliverables, and then assuming we're happy, we would continue with them beyond that.

This would be in addition to the services that we've been getting already from Susan Stohr, who's a great one-man band. This is a much larger firm that's got a number of people. You know, Susan, we just finished the microgrid project. Thank God, it's getting signed off. And we have approval to move forward on the ferry project, which is fantastic, so we're going to be going out to bid on that with construction starting this fall.

And then the huge news, of course, is that the Village just got \$3 million in funding from the Federal Government to rebuild the bulkhead wall.

Adam is going to be circulating something to the Board. We had talked about a bond number, which included sidewalks and streets. And we had specifically talked, loosely agreed in the prior meeting to look at bonding for \$3 million towards the bulkhead wall, because we're going to -- we're getting, we have confirmed by the Federal Government, signed off by the House, the Senate last week, and President Biden last week --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Whoa.

MAYOR STUESSI: -- that we get this \$3 million. So what Adam and I are suggesting is that we bond for \$1.5 million towards the bulkhead wall, which we'll

1	be able to utilize as part of potential funding. And
2	if there is any overage, but, of course, we're going
3	to be going out for re-engineering and bids on it.
4	But I think it's safe to have this available to draw
5	down on if we need to, A, if
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Separate from the grant.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Completely separate from the
8	grant.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's what I'm,
10	because
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And that would be 5 million in
12	the grant.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: So we
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, because
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, we would
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: For the bulkhead.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, we would
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: We would decrease that
20	\$3 million we earmarked to 1.5, since we now have
21	\$3 million coming from the Federal government for
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. But just remember
23	there's it's a grant, so we have to, we have to
24	make sure that we have the bonding in place for the
25	3 million.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: I don't think that's going to
2	be the case with the way it's supposed to be funded.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Right, that's what
4	I'm saying.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: I'll know here pretty quickly.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. That, but
7	you got to keep that in back of your mind.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because we have yeah.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I think that makes a lot of
11	sense.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Like you do for the ferry
13	project.
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's a good idea, and
15	that's great work on the grant. I think the
16	\$1 1/2 million is prudent, because we really don't
17	know what it's going to cost
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: because we're just getting
20	into
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We're starting that, right.
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: the process of
23	re-engineering that, right?
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, yep.
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And as we discussed earlier,

1	we may need to well, we may have overages, but we
2	also may need to float payments, possibly. I don't
3	know.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So that's good news.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: So there's going to be a lot of
7	construction in the Village this fall and winter
8	between those two projects.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Well, they need it.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. John, we'll be wanting
11	to talk to you and the CAC group. I recall from some
12	things that I read that you had a number of comments
13	when this was being considered back in 2018, very
14	thoughtful, and would like to make sure that you and
15	the group are involved when we take a look at this,
16	of course, for Mitchell Park.
17	MR. SALADINO: Let's build it.
18	(Laughter)
19	MR. SALADINO: That's my advice.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do have one question,
21	though. Under the Sewer Department report, they had
22	a DEC inspection. Do we know how that came out?
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Not yet. There was an issue
24	again with a lot of stormwater going into the sewer.
25	And so as everybody's aware, we've got this sewer

moratorium, we're doing the study on the sewers. 1 2 I've requested a proposal to also look at the 3 stormwater, because we're dealing with major 4 incursion and --So --5 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 6 MAYOR STUESSI: -- we're getting ready to go 7 into another 2 1/2 inches of rain on Saturday, and 8 there is just no place for the water to go. 9 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Can you, can you give us an update on the Third Street stormwater problem? 10 11 MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, we've -- I mean, we've --12 the Sewer Department has been down there with me, with Kip, and I've met with a whole bunch of 13 14 neighbors down there. I was with one of them in her living room this morning, and it's literally like 15 16 putting three glasses together, running a straw between them and the water all levels out. And 17 18 it's -- they're at one of the lowest points of the Village where water is coming up, and it's coming up 19 out of the drains. We literally just cleaned out all 20 21 those drains right before Christmas and we tested 22 them again. The water is moving through them, but it 23 connects over to the opposite side of the apartments 24 directly to Silver Lake, and that water table is just 25 as high as everything else, so.

	Work Session 3/21/24 189
1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can't make water go where
2	there's already water.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do they have groundwater, do
4	they have groundwater coming up?
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Pardon me?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, is it coming up from
7	the ground?
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Oh, yeah.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's groundwater, groundwater
12	saturating everything.
13	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Just to be totally clear,
14	this is not an issue of maintaining the drains.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: No.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Has nothing do with the
19	drains.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Absolutely not.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, has nothing to do with
22	the drains.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Nothing to do with drains.

25

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's really unfortunate.

	Work Session 3/21/24 190
1	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
2	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I feel so bad for the
3	homeowners, the occupants along that area.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do, too.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: What a mess.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But getting back to this, we
7	will get a copy of the report, the DEC report when it
8	comes?
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. The other thing is, I
11	have a question, is we have a previous notice of
12	violation that we've been working through the years
13	to get through.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: I will update on all of that
15	when we have this.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, okay. That's what I
17	wanted to know. Okay.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: It appears there are issues
19	from
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That one, too.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: that longstanding one
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: way back when.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: If nothing else, I'll make a

	Work Session 3/21/24 191
1	motion to adjourn. Thank you, everybody, for a long
2	patient evening and listening to all
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll second your motion.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: your comments.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll second your motion.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
10	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
12	(The Meeting was Adjourned at 9:26 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
25	

	Work Session 3/21/24 192
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )
4	) SS:
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )
6	
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and Notary
8	Public for and within the State of New York, do
9	hereby certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a true
11	and correct transcription of the proceedings taken on
12	March 21, 2024 to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify that I am not related to any
14	of the parties to this action by blood or marriage,
15	and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
16	this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18	this 4th day of April, 2024.
19	
20	<i>Lucia Braaten</i> Lucia Braaten
21	Lucia di aaten
22	
23	
24	
25	