1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 6 p.m.)
2	MAYOR STUESSI: I'd like to make a motion to open the
3	meeting. Do I have a second?
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
11	Please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.
12	(Pledge of Allegiance)
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Please be seated. Thank you.
14	The first item we have is a Resolution for
15	scheduling a public hearing for 6 p.m. next Thursday,
16	January 25th, here at the Third Street Fire Station,
17	Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944
18	regarding the proposed cable television franchise
19	agreement between the Village of Greenport and
20	Cablevision Systems of Long Island Corporation for a
21	term of ten years, commencing upon confirmation of the
22	agreement by the New York State Public Service
23	Commission. May I have a second, please?
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?

	Work Session 1/18/24 3
1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
2	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Can I ask a question?
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Do we have a copy of
7	that? Did I miss that?
8	MAYOR STUESSI: It's being finalized
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: and it will be sent out to
11	everybody and posted.
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, is there any changes in
14	it, Paul? I mean, we've been this discussion for
15	the franchise license, wasn't that started in a
16	while ago?
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Several years ago. I'll
18	ask Counsel if he wants to mention some of the
19	highlights and changes.
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah. They've changed their
21	form significantly since last time. There has been an
22	FCC ruling, a couple of FCC rulings since the last
23	time you went through this, so there are significant
24	rules changes.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Uh-huh.

1	ATTORNEY STOLAR: I can bring you up to speed on
2	that. It's just in terms of the agreement itself and
3	what you're doing next week. The hearing is not to
4	address the specifics of the agreement, but, rather,
5	to discuss whether the company has in the past been a
6	good citizen.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I wanted to know.
8	Okay. So, in other words, whether we should continue
9	as the Village of Greenport as a franchise customer.
10	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah, that's it.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Does that answer your
12	question?
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, sure.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
18	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
20	Motion carries. Mary Bess, I understand the
21	Chief is not here this evening. Could you, please,
22	speak on his behalf with the Fire Department report?
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They have three new
24	memberships that are going to be on the agenda. They
25	also have the Board of Wardens have approved hiring

another person to replace Mike Richter, who had retired. Helen Reiss was filling in for that position and they've now hired. And, I'm sorry, I don't have the full name. We'll have it, but the Board of Wardens approved it last night.

Other than that, there was a slight mixup with calendar dates, which the Village Clerk and I have discovered that somehow they got a copy of the calendar before it had been completed for the month of January. So we'll be working on communic -- getting some form of -- more communication between the new secretary in the Fire Department Office and in the Village Clerk's Office, so that the Planning, Zoning, and the Statutory Boards and our -- all our Board meetings do not conflict. And, hopefully, when they change some of their meetings dates they'll let us know, so there is a broader communication between the both of us, okay?

Other than that, I think you have something that you want to announce.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. The one item we have is that, as some of you may have read in the newspaper, the Village came to agreement with the Town of Southold to accept a generous gift and transfer of their former Fire Department Police boat, which will

1	become the new Marine Rescue Squad boat. We have a
2	copy of the title, which was I picked up a few days
3	ago, and there will be a motion for the acceptance of
4	that at next week's meeting. Paul?
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I mean, that's
6	we have all the paperwork. We're ready to go with
7	that. As soon as the resolution is passed, we can go
8	to the DMV and get that registered.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Great.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that's the positive. And
11	there is one thing, Paul, that has been brought up
12	continuously. And I understand that William Shulz has
13	been in contact with the Committee on Buildings and
14	Grounds to deal with the gutter situation that's out
15	here that is still running down the sidewalk
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: and icing up.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. We had trouble
19	getting a response from, from the company that said
20	they would do it, and the response now is that they
21	don't know what to do. So we're going to go reach out
22	to a couple of more companies to
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. And, apparently,
24	there's a couple of more leaks in the front.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We're aware of that.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know the status
3	of it. Billy was working, Bill Shulz was working on
4	that as well.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, great. I just wanted
6	to bring it out so that it was on everybody's agenda,
7	that's all, okay?
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's it. Thank you.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: And, Paul, if you'd like to
11	continue with your section.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure. So on the
13	discussion about the microgrid project status, we
14	the still single open item is the Underwriters, the
15	UL sorry Labs inspection of the battery storage
16	facilities. We have a conference call scheduled
17	tomorrow with the supplier and the contractor to try
18	to move that along, that we're no one seems to know
19	why it's being delayed any further. So, hopefully,
20	we'll get that resolved relatively quickly, that's my
21	hope.
22	On the ferry queue project, I don't have any,
23	any direct update. We're still waiting for signatures
24	on the construction license agreement. But I do I
25	did receive a call from the MTA Long Island Railroad

regarding the Fourth Street crossing, that they actually have begun the design of the replacement --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay (laughter).

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- of that crossing, which is the good news. Not that it's bad news, but that is going to impact the ferry queue project to some degree, not dramatically; some guardrail realignments, and a couple of things that we had originally had difficulty getting approval for. We no longer need approval, which we spent an awful lot of time and effort to get approval from the DOT. That is -- appears to be no longer needed.

I have -- I'm going to reach to the DOT tomorrow to let them know about this. I don't believe it's going to require any major change to the design.

However, I think that given that they're going to be doing some work in the project area, likely they won't do it until the fall. It seems -- and given the delay in getting all the paperwork and documentation in order, that likely won't be out for construction until the fall at this stage. So then we could take advantage of this work that the MTA is doing, so we don't duplicate work that they are planning, and vice versa. So I just wanted to let everybody know about that.

The next item, actually, the next two items,
really, are potential bond, bond issues. So on the
road and sidewalk program, I had sent you all a
relatively detailed memo with regards to the roads and
sidewalks and the possible bulkhead replacement. I
did reach out to Dvirka and Bartilucci, D&B Engineers,
to get an update on the estimate. They have not
provided a new proposal to do that. It's not as
simple as just updating for inflation, because so many
things have changed since 2018. They're going to have
to take a harder look at it to see what the what
the real cost would be. So I don't have a proposal
from them, and I don't have the cost, obviously,
but and, again, I think I had recommended that we
wait until the fall of this year to do the to do
the actual work, which would shift the first debt
service payment out to the following fiscal year, so
which would be would be definitely beneficial.

So I don't know that there's any grand hurry to move forward with this, but I just wanted to give you the opportunity to -- if you want to ask me any -- or Adam or I any questions on that. But before, before you do that, I just also want to mention that we're also waiting for some costs still, some additional cost, rather, from the wastewater system contractors

1	and vendors, so we can't finalize that either. But we
2	would we should really do those bonds together, as
3	opposed to separate time frames. You get better
4	results from the bond market if you do it as a single
5	bond issue with the roads, bulkhead and the sewer
6	plant.
7	So, again, I apologize, we don't have the
8	information, we just they aren't being as
9	responsive as they should be. And once we get that, I
10	think maybe at that point we could have a much more
11	detailed discussion. My hope, of course, is that it
12	happens next month, given that the last time I can
13	discuss it with you, so that that's that's where we
14	are with those two things.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Paul, I have a question. That
16	wastewater system maintenance, is that the new line
17	that you are going to scope out going from
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's not that.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It has nothing to do with that?
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: This is just internal to
23	the plant, the work that I've been discussing, the air
24	rotors, the lift pump to the clarifiers
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: and some other minor
2	things, and pump station upgrades.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Adam, I went back through the
5	resolutions and we went out to bond for the central
6	pump station. We did a referendum and a bond, but did
7	we ever take the funding for that?
8	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: I believe we did, yes.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So when does the debt
10	service for that start?
11	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: I'd have to
12	double-check those figures for you, I'm happy to do
13	that.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, all right. Paul, I
15	understand that and my, my overall view of bonding
16	and spending money was, you know, the rates are much
17	higher than we did it several years ago. But I have
18	put out a suggestion that perhaps we should have
19	similar documents that we did back in 2010, which just
20	kind of gave a projection of where we sit for the next
21	five years.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Uh-huh.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it would be helpful
24	to all of us to have that information when we get
25	ready to do bonding, because bonding is once it's

1	done, it's a debt that we're committed to. And that's
2	a what you're talking about is probably a fairly
3	large bond.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Especially with the Mitchell
6	Park, the bulkhead, because that is something that if
7	that gives way, we have we have some major issues.
8	So I don't know how everybody else feels about that,
9	but that's one thing that I would suggest that we
10	think about doing.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If that's the Board's
12	pleasure, certainly, we can, we can reach out. That
13	was prepared by Bond Counsel, is that the one that
14	is
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it was done by Munistat.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Munistat, okay. We can
17	certainly reach out and see if they can duplicate a
18	document like that, if that would be helpful for you
19	all in terms of making this decision.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, you probably have to
21	get a proposal from them
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: as far as the cost.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: First, I think that would be

1	the biggest thing.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we'll we will
3	start that process tomorrow.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's only fair that
5	we know where we sit for the next five years. You
6	know, it may not be true, you know, it may not come
7	that way, but it's better to have a projection.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep, we will do that.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's my feeling.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Just one note in regards to the
13	potential bulkhead funding from Congress. We are
14	still attached to the current transportation bill. I
15	confirmed this with the Congressman and our local
16	Senators' Offices. As most of you probably heard,
17	there was a continuing resolution voted on by the
18	Senate, Congress votes tomorrow.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: We are still cautiously
21	optimistic that our \$3 million towards the bulkheads
22	will get approved here in the next few months, but
23	it's a bit of a slog, and we're at the mercy of the
24	Federal Government. That being said, the work
25	absolutely has to be done this year. It was 2017,

1 I think it was, that the report was done that said it 2 was critically in danger, and it's only gotten worse 3 over time, and there was even evidence of it with the recent storm in the past week. 4 5 Together with that, there's some efforts that 6 we're making in regards to sewer infrastructure 7 repairs and funding through both the State and the 8 County, and so I hope we will know more over the next 9 45 days on that, and maybe we will additionally find some funding to do some of this work that's included 10 11 So, please, go ahead, Paul. in the potential bond. 12 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. But there's nothing else on either of those. I do have two 13 resolutions. One is for Legislative Consultant 14 Susan Stohr, SJS Associates. We have worked with her 15 16 for a number of years. I've worked with her for --TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Since 2017. 17 18 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. And I've 19 personally worked with her for over 30 years, so --TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The Village has been since 20 21 2017. 22 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I went back and pulled all of 23 24 the --25 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: She was very instrumental, as I've mentioned in my email to you all, in getting us the \$5 million grant for the --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Microgrid.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- microgrid and the ferry queue project. That was -- both of those were tremendous efforts that -- to garner those funds. I would recommend that we stick -- that you stick with her for, for this year. And she's been a -- knows where the money is, so I think it's helpful to have her on -- you know, to accept that proposal.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Paul, yeah, I'd like endorse Susan as well. I met her and known her for several years at the NYAPP conferences. She's an extremely effective lobbyist and very knowledgeable. I mean, we're fortunate to have her, and I think that she'll do great things for the Village. She's already produced tremendous amounts for us. You know, she has all the connections in Albany and in Washington. So I've seen her in action as a lobbyist, she's very, very effective, so I think we should hire her again, for sure.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And from being on the Board and being together with her since 2017, I have seen her do work for this Village that's been positive.

It's -- and working with Paul. The microgrid grant is

just one of the items, but I think that she has the connections that we need to continue.

MAYOR STUESSI: And it's really a minimum number of hours a month to continue on the existing projects, and we're talking about some additional work, so I'm in agreement as well. I'll continue to look at whether we utilize her for additional work or other resources, too.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The other resolution I have is for the Planning Board Consultant proposal, really just a continuation with LKMA that we've been using for two or three years now, really just an update of their original proposal. Given the new code, there's lot more effort that's needed, a lot more review that's need on the part of a Planning Consultant, and I think they've been effective at that as well. I mean, they've come up to speed fairly quickly on the new code, so I recommend we move forward with them as well.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, I'm going to ask this question, but do we have any input from the Planning Board Chair has to her working relationship with them, or --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I didn't specifically. I can, but I have not heard anything negative.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's just that we do not work with her -- you know, we don't work with them directly, we worked with them with the North Ferry.

23

24

25

1	And the Planning Board, as the Mayor just said,
2	there's some big projects.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Uh-huh.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I just think that we
5	should, in all honest in all fairness, give her an
6	opportunity to comment, that's all, before we vote
7	on it.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure. I can I'll
9	reach out to her tomorrow.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And that's all for me,
12	unless anybody has any questions for me.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, I do. McCann
14	Campground, what is the plan of getting you know,
15	May 1st is coming close. Are we reaching out to the
16	previous vendor that we had for management for this
17	year?
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The Village Clerk and I
19	are in the midst of discussions on that. We're
20	meeting in the morning, and we'll try to make a
21	decision, a final decision on that in the morning, and
22	we'll let everybody know.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Because I understand
24	that there have been some you know, there's some
25	reservations starting to come in or there's

	Work Session 1/18/24 19
1	MAYOR STUESSI: Inquiries.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Inquiries.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Excuse me, inquiries. And,
5	as I said, May 1st comes awful quick.
6	The other thing that was on the water
7	machines, have they been ordered yet, or are they
8	what's the story with the up at the
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We've found a replacement
10	project. I actually and I apologize, I was going
11	to discuss with the Mayor on that. I will try to make
12	an effort to do that tomorrow and get that ordered.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, because that's a
14	service. I know that if you go back and look at the
15	financial fees from it, it generates something, and it
16	is a service that we've provided for the Village
17	residents to be able to get water
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Uh-huh
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: instead of paying for the
20	bottles, you know?
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So I just was curious
23	as to where it's going.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we found it took
25	us a little while to get to get to find the

1	replacement machine. Surprisingly, the last time we
2	did this it was just as difficult, so I don't know why
3	I expected it to be any easier. But I had hoped that
4	it would be and it turned out it wasn't. We're going
5	with the same, same vendor, as I recall, basically.
6	It's a different style machine, a little easier to
7	maintain, so I think we'll be in better shape with
8	this, with this one.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And then since the Rec.
10	Program is under you, the summer camp program, are we
11	looking to reevaluate the fees before we get into the
12	season?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It hasn't come up. I can
14	certainly do that.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I'm raising it
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: because I think they're
18	too low.
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we can, we can
20	yeah. I mean, we've this has come up in the past.
21	We are significantly below virtually every other one.
22	I think we raised them a little bit two years ago?
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 2020, in 20 in 2021 we
24	raised them.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, so it certainly is

1	time to review. I'll ask the Rec. Director to do some
2	analysis on that.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I actually spoke with Margo
5	about that the other day. I think it comes to about
6	\$3.33 an hour for a child to be enrolled in that camp,
7	which is ridiculously low. And she does also
8	recommend she's not sure if we can do it, because I
9	believe they might have already sent out applications
10	for this year, so I don't know if it's too late or
11	not. Paul, you would know that.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know whether they
13	did or they didn't. I have no
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't get directly
16	involved.
17	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I thought we were
18	talking about the campground fees. Are we talking
19	about the after-school
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: After-school.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: After-school. Not the
22	after-school program, the summer
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, this is just the summer
24	camp program.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Summer camp.

1	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Oh, summer camp,
2	okay.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I just I think
5	there's something like we're providing a service,
6	so I understand that we're much lower, but I also
7	think we're providing a service to people who need it.
8	So we might want to think about that when we're
9	deciding.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think once
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Oh, I think that's an important
12	consideration.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Part of part of it is.
14	But I think the census, if we were to take a look at
15	it, the ones that we want to take advantage of it
16	aren't taking advantage of it, because it's filled up
17	before the opportunity. So that's, I think maybe
18	Margo could give us a census of the group that are
19	signing up for it every year.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Plus I do believe at one
22	point we used to have a scholarship program for it for
23	those parents who couldn't afford it in the
24	summertime, but that was a long time ago.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, that was before my

sewer balances that we levy on the property taxes

25

1 every year.

My third resolution is to schedule a public hearing for the 2024/2025 Tentative Budget, and that will be at 6 p.m., April 11th, 2024, at the Old Schoolhouse.

My second to last resolution is a budget amendment to appropriate General Fund reserves to fund the repair of drains at the Adams Street parking lot.

And my last resolution is a budget amendment to appropriate Light Fund reserves to fund the removal of a tree that was hanging over the power lines.

Continue with my report, the utility billing is on time. Our current utility biller, Tina, I just wanted to thank her. She's been very helpful lately, not only with keeping up the utility billing, but also assisting me with various side projects as well.

My talking points, I have here listed Online
Bill Pay, which is going as scheduled. We still have
a tentative release date of mid February, next month.
I was -- I had a meeting with them today, actually,
and it's definitely coming along well. They have all
the required documentation they'd need from us. Now
we're just figuring out some of the computer software
collaborations between our I.T. and the InvoiceCloud.

Significant Collections: Rent collected in

1	December of 2023 at just over \$82,000, and property
2	tax collected through December of 2023, just over
3	\$1.3 million.
4	One significant payment this month, which was
5	the BID, or the Business Improvement District. We cut
6	a check for them for approximately \$51,000.
7	The rest of my report is within, and let me know
8	if you guys have any questions on it.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Adam?
10	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Yes.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There was some discussion
12	within the Housing Authority dealing with repairs.
13	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Yes, correct.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Did we ever resolve if
15	we could use that Community Development money to help
16	them out?
17	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Yes. Yes, we did
18	resolve that and we can.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: I still need to
21	collaborate with our Housing with our Housing
22	Authority Director, but I can schedule that for
23	tomorrow morning. I have spoken with her previously,
24	but we haven't ironed out any details yet.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So is the Board aware of

1	that, they have those that they're going to be able
2	to move, you know the Greenport Housing Authority,
3	have they been notified of that yet?
4	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: If I didn't mention
5	it at the because I do attend those meetings.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
7	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: So if I didn't
8	mention it at the previous meeting, I will mention it
9	at this meeting.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, thanks.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Adam, in Community Development,
12	the recertification, those are people that have
13	existing vouchers, correct?
14	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Yes.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Could you, please, explain to
16	people what the four interims are?
17	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Those are the
18	four interims are people who are attempting to get on
19	to the Housing Authority who are currently on like a
20	waiting list, essentially.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So they're on waiting lists,
22	but they haven't been able to find a place to
23	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Correct.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct?
25	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Correct.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay, thank you.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Any other questions for the
3	Treasurer?
4	(No Response)
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Candace?
6	DEPUTY TREASURER BRAUTIGAM: Thank you.
7	CLERK HALL: Thank you. Okay. I have some
8	resolutions here. You have to forgive me, there were
9	a few things that came in today even. So the
10	resolution that is listed on my report is a resolution
11	scheduling the property tax sale on March 12, 2024 at
12	Village Hall.
13	And then the resolutions that are not listed,
14	Trustee Phillips mentioned two of them. So the
15	Greenport Fire Department has a new hire. There will
16	be a resolution next week on for the new hire. And
17	then there are three new members that I do actually
18	have names of that I can share with you. Alessandro
19	Beza is the first new member, Yeny Sarai Garza Mateo.
20	I'm butchering their name, I'm sorry. And then the
21	final new member is Philip Stringfellow. So those are
22	the those resolutions will be added for next week
23	at the Regular Session for the Greenport Fire Department.
24	And then, let's see, on to Public Assembly
25	Permit Applications that we received. The Greenport

All Class Reunion Corporation submitted an application to host the All Class Reunion on July 20th, 2024 at Fifth and Sixth Street Park.

The North Fork Kid Connect submitted an application to host the annual Greenport Summer Basketball Tournament on July 27th, 2024. The organization is requesting that the Village waive the permit fees associated with this application.

Just a note for the public, the Board has been made aware, I am a founding member of this organization and one of the lead organizers of the Summer Basketball Tournament, just so the public is aware.

And then, finally, which is listed on an announcement, I received the public assembly application today from the Greenport Fire Department. The annual Washington Birthday Parade will be held on February 17th, 2024. Okay.

And then on to the remainder announce -remainder of my announcements here. Village Hall will
be closed on Monday, February 19th, in observance of
Presidents Day.

The Clerk's Office will be hosting a second intern from Peconic Community School for another eight-week session beginning tomorrow.

1	And then an additional announcement that is not
2	listed here, I'm happy to share with all of you. We
3	had a bid last month, and the work has been completed,
4	for tree and stump removal. The wood is available for
5	the public to pick up free of charge. So the wood is
6	located across the street from McCann Campground on
7	Moore's Lane. I understand that it's a variation of
8	sizes in wood. Most of it is mulch. So if you are
9	interested, please, help yourself.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Candace, I have a question.
11	CLERK HALL: Yes, ma'am.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Did you offer an internship to
13	a Greenport School student, or just the Peconic
14	Community School? Because this is the second one
15	that's coming, right?
16	MAYOR STUESSI: They have reached out to us
17	directly on it. If you have a contact at the
18	Greenport School and want to make an introduction,
19	we'd love to have somebody.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. All right.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: We also, as you may recall, had
22	an internship this past summer for a gentleman who had
23	just graduated from Greenport High School and is in
24	his first year at NYU.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I met him.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
2	
	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I met him, actually. Okay,
3	thank you.
4	CLERK HALL: Further on what the Mayor said, I
5	do plan on reaching out to the school and maybe
6	sharing this program that's already been created by
7	Peconic Community School. As the Mayor mentioned,
8	they reached out to me directly to host an intern.
9	There's interns throughout the Village of Greenport up
10	and down the North and South Fork from that school,
11	and it's a wonderful program.
12	Finally or, sorry, a few more things here.
13	So contracts and agreements signed over the last
14	month, bid contracts are coming back and work is being
15	completed, which is wonderful. So the there are
16	five bid contracts that have been executed this month.
17	So Dom's Lawnmaker, as I mentioned, the removal of
18	trees and tree stumps. Heaney Marine Construction,
19	Inc. for the replacement of the Baymen's Dock. There
20	was a delay on this final one that's listed here, is
21	the Proliner. The contract is included. However, the
22	work was not delayed, and that's for excuse me, I'm
23	drawing a blank.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Ambulance.
25	CLERK HALL: An ambulance. Thank you. And then

1	the final two that are not listed here are the
2	Burt's Reliable contract for the delivery of heating
3	oil and diesel within the Village of Greenport.
4	And then, finally, with the contract signed
5	here, we had a contract signed for Keane & Beane,
6	which is our Labor Counsel. So that is wrapped up
7	there.
8	And then, finally, our legal notices that were
9	issued over the last month is a wetlands application
10	for Diana Burton, and there will be a public hearing
11	next week on this wetlands application.
12	Does anybody have any questions?
13	(No Response)
14	CLERK HALL: Thank you.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Mayor, I just have
16	one comment
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: regarding the wetlands
19	permit application. The CAC will were hopeful they
20	will get comments to you on that application in time
21	for the hearing.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay, thank you.
23	CLERK HALL: I'm sorry, excuse me. Before we
24	continue, there was one more wetlands application that
25	was received from Costello Marine Contracting Corp on

1	behalf of Saint Agnes Cemetery. There will be a
2	resolution next week. That application has been
3	shared with the Board already. There will be a
4	resolution to schedule the public hearing for next
5	month for that final wetlands application.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: It's an important area, it
7	flooded pretty badly this last weekend.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There was a lot of flooding.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. With that, before we
10	go on to Board discussion, out of courtesy to the
11	public who's here, I am going to suggest that we go to
12	the public hearing, which is already open for Noise.
13	This is Chapter 88, it remains open, Greenport Village
14	Code, to discuss and possibly take action amending and
15	restating the code, and remained open from the Regular
16	Session of Thursday, December 28th, 2023.
17	Is there anybody from the public that would like
18	to be heard on noise code? Tora? If you can go to
19	the podium and state your name and address, please.
20	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Toranosuke Matsuoka,
21	111 Main. I can spell that for you.
22	COURT REPORTER: Yes, sure.
23	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah. T-0-R-A-N-0-S-U-K-E.
24	Thank you all. Hello, good evening. I'm sorry,
25	I didn't participate in these discussions earlier, so

we're kind of getting to them now. I know that we sent over a long list of comments and ideas for everyone, but I wanted to touch base on two of them.

You know, the code definitely needs to --

MAYOR STUESSI: If I could interject for a second. Would you mind stating your affiliation for the benefit of the public who's here?

TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Oh, yeah. Sure, yeah. Claudio's Restaurant. This -- all these comments are in regard to Claudio's. But, as always, right, when we participate in these discussions, we're looking at the overall picture for the Village and how it may affect all the businesses, not just -- not just us.

And to that point, I think, you know, I think we all agree that the code definitely needed to be updated, right? It was flawed in its structure. So I appreciate the headache that you all go through to try and collect everyone's comments about this.

The two points that I wanted to comment on were in regard to the holiday section and the time section. And, again, in the spirit of everyone, and the community at large, I think these are important.

You know, Greenport has been, is becoming a resort community. You know, people come here to enjoy and relax and escape, whether that is second homes,

year-round, weekends. And I think something that
Greenport does really well is celebrate, and we have
so many unique celebrations that aren't technically
public holidays, that are not only important, I think,
culturally in America, but also specifically important
to Greenport, you know, like Shellabration, or
Maritime Festival, you know, these things that are
hyper-specific to us, but also things like Halloween,
which isn't necessarily a national holiday. But I've
noticed if you give people an opportunity to dress up,
they'll take it.

12 (Laughter)

TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: It's a good -- it's a good -- it's a good -- it's a good thing I think for Greenport, but I also think it's an important part that is considered within the noise code, because those types of nonpublic holidays are times of celebration, and I think the code should kind of support those that are unique to our market.

In regard to time, you know, I have a young daughter now, so I am also sensitive to the idea of like loud noises at night that keep her up, and, thus, keep me up. Last night I didn't sleep much because of that. But I also remember back to a time that I think all of us enjoyed going out late at night, and I think

that's an important part of celebrating, part of Greenport's, really, history.

So I think that, you know, the noise code is predominantly related to music, but there's other noises, but putting that aside, music-based. I think music is such a critical piece to the general economy, especially in the summertime for Greenport, that the boundaries in which, you know, we define those rules I think have to taken into consideration, not just from, you know, my older perspective, but from, you know, the global perspective of everyone who wants to come and enjoy Greenport.

You know, there were certain times I thought, and as commented in the letter that I had presented, you know, some of them were just too early, you know, cutting things off at 11 p.m., for example. The thought that came to me was if we can't provide the businesses the latitude to do music, it may stop people from wanting to come here, and that, I think, would have a much wider effect on the Business District and appeal.

Comments, questions?

MAYOR STUESSI: For the benefit of the public, would you mind sharing what Claudio's proposal was for hours of late-night music? As I recall, it was --

1	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: All day, every day. Oh,
2	sorry, sorry, no, no.
3	(Laughter)
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Two a.m. on weekends, instead of
5	the proposed 12:30 a.m. on weekends?
6	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah. Also, in
7	specific to get into specifics, I know that we
8	wrote the letter to a line with how the language of
9	the code was originally written, but it's hard to
10	understand that. So I may even send you kind of an
11	easier digestible chunking of these times.
12	Before we get to the specific times, the date of
13	which we define the season I think was May 15th
14	through October 1st. My suggestion is May 15th
15	through October 31st, specifically because the
16	East End business model, if you look at it over the
17	past 29 years, it has almost like every year it's
18	moved up a day. The season is literally it's not
19	expanding itself, it's moving up. It used to start
20	earlier in May, and maybe this is, you know, global
21	warming, but the season is now starting much later.
22	If you notice it, I you know, I see these in
23	numbers throughout all the restaurants, and it is
24	extending, you know, through October, which, also, by
25	the way, includes certain holidays that I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

referencing, right, Columbus weekend, Halloween, and Maritime, right, so which is in September.

So my first suggestion is May 15th through October 31st being what we refer to as season. So in season, Friday and Saturday, no later than 2 a.m. The -- in season, public holidays, no later than 1 a.m. And the Friday and Saturday rule would apply to the Sunday if the holiday fell on a Monday. what I mean by that is certain holidays fall on a specific date, right? It's not always the same day. This is experienced, and these are really important business things, especially for restaurants, but I think for all businesses here, in all the communities on the East End, as I've experienced; whereas, if, let's say, July 4th falls on a Wednesday, right, it's celebrated on both weekends, right, which is an important piece, again, very clearly noticeable in the numbers. You know, if it falls on a Saturday, it doesn't make a difference, you're celebrating it that weekend.

But there's certain holidays, for example, that fall on a specific day, right, which is like Labor Day or Memorial Day, which is that Monday. So usually people celebrate that Friday, Saturday and Sunday, whereas, the thought is we wouldn't want to inhibit

1 people's ability to celebrate America. 2 Any other Friday and Saturday outside of season 3 would be no later than 12:30 a.m., and any other day 4 in season would be no later than 12 a.m., and any other day outside of season would be no later than 5 6 So I tried to be or suggest realistic 11 p.m. confines throughout the year. 7 8 MAYOR STUESSI: Okay, thank you. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: 9 I have a comment. The letter 10 that you're referring to is the January 16th letter 11 from your Counsel? 12 TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: I believe so. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes. 13 14 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I appreciate the effort that went into the letter, the way it was composed. 15 16 It discusses the issues and then it makes specific recommendations. I don't necessarily agree with all 17 18 the recommendations, but I do appreciate the effort 19 that went into it and the spirit in which it was 20 offered, and I just want to acknowledge that that's how it's been received. 21 22 TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: I appreciate that. These

recommendations come from specific experience

operating busy restaurants in towns that are going

through development like Greenport, so it's coming

23

24

25

1	from the perspective of what I think we're all trying
2	to get to, so I appreciate that.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: You're welcome.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, Tora, thank you very much.
6	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: My pleasure.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's much clearer than as we
8	spoke. The written word is much clearer to digest
9	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yes.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: than what was presented
11	before, okay?
12	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah. I can email you
13	what I just said in numbers.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just to clarify, that was the
15	comments written by your Attorney, correct, what I
16	read this morning or yesterday?
17	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: I don't know when they
18	were sent. Maybe a couple of days ago.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think so, yeah.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: January 16th.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: January 16th.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes, sent by their Attorney
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I read it, yeah.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: who's in the audience.
25	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Twomey, Latham.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
3	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Again, I'll add just for the
5	benefit of the public, as you've heard in prior
6	meetings, we're charged with trying to balance the
7	needs of business together with the needs of
8	residences.
9	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: And then also taking a look at,
11	frankly, the marina. And we derive a significant
12	amount of revenue from the marina. And we have dealt
13	with a lot of noise complaints from the yachts later
14	at night. There's a tolerance for noise to a certain
15	hour, but we have to put some management on that, and
16	I know you understand that.
17	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: A hundred percent.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: We're trying to balance the
19	needs of everybody.
20	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: A hundred percent. Some
21	of the finer details I know our Counsel is going to
22	comment on, but, you know, I agree with you. You
23	know, our intention is to try and be an important
24	critical, you know, partner to the Village and the
25	overall community. I feel restaurants, that's our job

1	as hospitality people, you know, and I wouldn't want
2	to do anything that would inhibit the Village from
3	creating income. The challenge is many times if
4	there's noise they think it's us, but that's not
5	always the case.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I know.
7	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: But we have documented
8	noise complaints on days we weren't open, right? We
9	have a noise complaint, a ticket, and none of these
10	no one ever came to talk to us, "Hey, it's a little
11	bit loud, turn down," nothing. We just get a ticket
12	in the mail. One of them was on July 4th at 3 p.m.,
13	like literally celebrating America.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Not this year you're referring to.
15	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Not this year.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Some time in the past.
17	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: No, not under this
18	administration, of course not.
19	(Laughter)
20	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: But
21	MAYOR STUESSI: No, I think you guys have done a
22	good job this year dealing with things.
23	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: We try, yeah.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: And the point was I didn't want
25	any confusion about when this ticket

16 TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- that it's not just 17

Claudio's all together, okay? 18

19 TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yeah.

20 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I think we're all aware of

21 that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

record.

22 TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Yes.

23 (Laughter)

24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay? I just wanted to give

25 you that positive, okay --

1	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: Thank you.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: as I said.
3	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: You know, we take it very
4	seriously, and, again, I think we may have commented
5	on prior communications. You know, we have a
6	full-time dedicated sound person on staff every time
7	there's music being played. We've taken all of the
8	directives and implemented all of the suggestions from
9	the sounds consultant, and have even gone over and
10	above to implement additional suggestions or yeah,
11	we even suggested back to them, "What if we also did
12	this," and they thought those were good ideas and we
13	also implemented that as well. So I'm totally
14	interested in working together, and even potentially
15	helping other properties who may need some help, too.
16	You know, we can provide, you know, suggestions or our
17	learnings there as well.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Great. Thank you.
19	TORANOSUKE MATSUOKA: My pleasure.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Who else from the public would
21	like to be heard on the noise code? Yes, please.
22	JOAN MC GIVERN: Joan McGivern from Twomey,
23	Latham. I'm Part 2 of this discussion. I wanted to
24	speak to the more sort of technical part.
25	We had some concerns about whether the test is

objective or subjective, and that's flagged in the
letter. There's some inconsistencies in the code,
which, based on prior experience, which I'm not
tarnishing anyone with, has led to fines. And which
brings me to my next point, a request that you codify
that the first time an establishment is approached,
that it be given a warning before it's fined under
this new code, because the way the code is presently
written, you could be fined up to \$1500 the first time
you get a warning, the first time you get a notice,
which seems a little draconian, given that we're all
trying to work under a new code.

And then the last point applies to entertainment permits, that this code could be applied going forward. So that to the extent Claudio's and the other businesses are applying for entertainment permits, that you won't hold prior violations against them, given that we're trying to start on a clean slate, and acting in good faith, and all that other stuff. So that's the technical part.

I don't know if you have any questions.

MAYOR STUESSI: No. Was there anything else you

wanted to add?

JOAN MC GIVERN: No.

MAYOR STUESSI: Great. Thank you for being here.

Would anybody else like to be heard on noise code?

PETER HARRIS: Peter Harris, 212 Knapp Place,
Greenport. Listening to the gentleman from Claudio's
explaining about different times and about days of
music and time of day, and I'm just sitting there
listening and trying to absorb it. But, yet, I'm also
thinking about people complaining about leaf blowers.
And probably some of the same people that complain
about leaf blowers would be complaining about hearing
music at 1 or 2 o'clock in the morning, and it's
not -- in my opinion, it's not all about business.
What about residents? Take that into consideration.

I mean, Mr. Mayor, I mean, you said you had, you know, people that utilized the Village marina, that -- especially the big yachts that come in that pay an exorbitant amount of money to tie up in Greenport.

Just take into consideration, it's not always about the dollar that someone wants to project to what they might want Greenport to be, but there's a lot of people that live in Greenport kind of like that little sleepy Village that they grew up in. For me, I live just outside of the Village, but I'm a member of this Fire Department. I've been on many different committees for the Village of Greenport. And what some people may want to project what they would like to see

1	Greenport become is not what everyone wants. Thank you.
2	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Thank you, Mr. Harris.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Anybody else from the public
4	like to be heard? No?
5	(No Response)
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Got a Board discussion on that
7	subject?
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Hold on a minute.
9	LORRAINE KREAHLING: You know, I'm just
10	surprised that I just I was, of course, going to
11	speak about leaf blowers. But I think it's always a
12	mistake to say that people who don't want to listen to
13	music in their bedroom on a Sunday or a Saturday night
14	at 1 a.m. don't like music. You can play music
15	without playing it so loud that you can hear it so far
16	away. So, I mean, they can play their music later,
17	just not as loud. You know, it's it's really
18	unpleasant on a lovely summer night to have to listen
19	to Brown Eyed Girl one more time, you know?
20	(Laughter)
21	LORRAINE KREAHLING: So I just want to say that,
22	that, you know, freedom is we have to respect each
23	other's rights.
24	And on the subject of leaf blowers, I did speak
25	before, I think. I wrote a letter to The Suffolk

Times. I think -- and I had a discussion with Patrick. I think it's very important that there are a lot of things that are wrong with them, but this is about noise. And the thing that almost 100 people here -- were here a month ago saying is that the noise is driving everybody crazy in the summer, and it's endless and it's beyond irritating. And I would -- I would recommend that they be banned entirely in the summer, at the very least.

It's okay to sweep your sidewalk grass, you know, cut grass from your sidewalk, it's really okay. So I hope that the Board remembers that this room was filled a month ago with people who are really wishing that we didn't have to listen to this as part of our summer crickets. Lorraine Kreahling, 157 Central.

MAYOR STUESSI: Please.

MARGARET DE CRUZ: Margaret deCruz,
25 Washington Avenue. I guess I have two comments.
One is about the noise from the loud music. I look at this area not as a beautiful place for people to enjoy nature a lot and also to have fun, but loud noise is very disturbing not only to humans, but to animals, and to do it beyond 11 o'clock at night, it's not necessary. I mean, you know, to me, that's enough time to party and everything else. And so it's not --

we're not just here as a town to offer people to come and have fun and party. It's a beautiful spot, and we have to preserve our quality of life and some calmness.

I mean, even on my block now, some new people must have moved in and I'm hearing -- I love Latin music, but I'm hearing Latin music late at night, and I'm sure there's something in the code about that, too, not Latin music, but music from people's homes.

(Laughter)

MARGARET DE CRUZ: It's disturbing. And as far as leaf blowers go, I have a new porch that I put on, and when I'm trying to work out there, I see clients on Zoom, I have to immediately move in, because there's just so much leaf-blowing across the street and then next door. They go from one house to the next on my block and it goes on for hours. And as they said, it produces a vibration that gets to your bone. It's not just noise, there's something about that level, and it's not necessary, and it's very polluting.

Yeah, I'm into either electric leaf blowers or raking and sweeping, and it will produce more jobs, because it will take a little longer. And I feel sorry for the -- you know, the landscapers, but

there's got to be a way to do this so that they don't run into such financial difficulty. I'm sure there's something that can be done. Thank you.

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody else from the public that would like to be heard this evening?

MR. LUDACER: Oh.

MAYOR STUESSI: Please.

MR. LUDACER: Ken Ludacer, 133B Sixth Street. Yeah, I mean, given the -- I feel, you know, as if I almost, you know, have to mention, you know, given the flooding that we've had recently. I've lived on the end of Sixth Street for 25 years, and I would say the first 15 years the water level down the street, that may have happened twice over, you know, my first 10 or 15 years there. And I would say within the last four or five years it happens, you know, about four times a year.

I mean, I don't think -- well, I'm sure everyone on the Board has noticed, I mean, I don't think you cannot notice kind of the change Greenport is subjected to with the climate crisis. And I don't think, you know, anyone at this point should, you know, not be aware that it is, you know, the CO2 emissions that are exacerbating the climate change.

1	You know, I think I spoke a lot about that last time I
2	was here.
3	But, yeah, relative to the noise, strictly the
4	noise issue, I mean, that's kind of what got me
5	involved with the potential for a leaf blower ban in
6	the first place, being driven off my porch, you know,
7	four days out of the week. But then researching it, I
8	realized, you know, it's a three-prong problem. It's
9	not just the noise, it's also the environmental
10	detriment and the health detriment and but I do
11	think, you know, just for the noise factor, leaf
12	blowers definitely belong in this new code change
13	you're proposing. And, you know, again, I think a
14	full ban is called for. Thanks.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Is there anybody
16	else from the public that would like to be heard this
17	evening regarding noise?
18	(No Response)
19	MAYOR STUESSI: I'll open it up to Board
20	discussion, then. Would anybody like to start?
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I have a question, since we're
22	going to be discussing several issues. Is the public
23	comment still open?
24	MAYOR STUESSI: It is for the moment, unless we
25	make a decision to close the public comment tonight.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I'm not talking about closing the public hearing, I just meant the public comments during tonight's meeting. MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, we'll go back to that. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, we'll go back to that. MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. On non-noise related issues. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. Well, I have a lot of questions and comments on the noise chapter. We're going to -- are we going to work through that as a --as a work session item, or we're just --

MAYOR STUESSI: I think now's the time to address it. I mean, we've had the hearing open now since December. Everybody's been able to share comments and continue to share comments. I think we as a Board need to act and do something.

This was in front of the Board, what was it, 4 years ago? There was a lot of discussion 7 years ago as well. I think was the first time the noise code was looked at for many years, and leaf blowers first came up, and I believe a petition was circulated. So I think it's important for us as a Board to have a discussion here and now. And if there are changes or modifications, we discuss it and make recommendations, if needed, to the Attorney to make those changes

1 and/or make a decision to close the public hearing and 2 schedule a vote to adopt the code as is. 3 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, the resolution reads --4 the resolution reads that it's open until next week, correct? I believe, isn't that how it reads? 5 6 discuss it, which is fine, but I don't think we passed a resolution to -- that's out to the public that it's 7 8 not going to be closed, or that they still have an 9 opportunity to the regular Board meeting. 10 TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think you are 11 right about that, because I remember asking about it 12 last time. I think we made it until the 25th 13 MAYOR STUESSI: Okav. Can somebody double-check on the notes? Let's -- why don't we continue talking. 14 We don't need to burden the community at the moment 15 16 while we're looking up that. I think we should have a 17 discussion on it. Do we have --18 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: 19 MAYOR STUESSI: There's no reason to delay the 20 discussion one more week. 21 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Do we have a specific proposal 22 from the group that was interested in the leaf blower 23 Did they -- like I think the group that Randy ban? 24 Wade was heading up. 25 MAYOR STUESSI: I think she was one individual

1	of a whole number of people in the community that
2	spoke. There was a variety of comments on either an
3	outright ban, starting at some, some date certain.
4	There were some individuals at the last meeting who
5	had suggested doing it immediately, others suggested
6	doing it at the end of the year, and there were others
7	who had suggested looking at a ban during the summer
8	months and then allowing it for a limited period in
9	the fall.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, that was my understanding.
11	We don't have anything specific?
12	MAYOR STUESSI: We don't have anything specific.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. I just wanted to make
15	sure I didn't miss something.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. The Code Committee,
17	after the meeting, did have a discussion of a lot
18	ideas that were thrown out. Some of them were leaning
19	towards similar to what other places have done with
20	setting a deadline date for weaning out leaf blowers.
21	In other words, as of a year from now have some
22	restrictions, but as of a year from now, they would be
23	banned completely. There were suggestions of
24	different dates.
25	There were suggestions that we need to talk to

Southold Town, because we have properties that one side is the Village of Greenport, Washington Avenue, for an example, one side is Village of Greenport, the other side is the Town of Southold. So the Village of Greenport side residents are not really going to have any relief from the leaf blowers, because the Town of Southold is -- I hate this, not being face to face here.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I'm sorry.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, that's okay. I'm used to the table being this way.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: We should rearrange the chairs.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There also was discussions of perhaps setting such regulations as certain times of the -- certain months, from before Memorial Day until after Labor Day for no leaf blowers at all. There were suggestions that it be limited to one per property until they -- with a ban. I mean, we threw out a lot of ideas.

The other concern was that we need to move the noise ordinance forward with other, other considerations, and that the Code Committee was willing to go back and go through this with a more detailed opportunity for not only those who spoke here last month, but for

others who have other thoughts besides that. You know, there are some in the community that are against the ban on leaf blowers. There are some that want total electric. There are some that want to reduce the number of people working on the lot.

So those are all the things that were thrown out at the Code Committee when we had a discussion about leaf blowers. It's something we realize that in the beginning it was part of code. That's why we cut it out for Sundays, to see if that would decrease some of the -- some of the quality of life for some people, at least giving them a day of reprieve, so we could kind of get a handle on what's going on.

Do I think that a landscaper needs four people with leaf blowers going around a small lot? No. I think that's overkill. And I do know that there is some concern that was expressed by one of our neighbors that some of the employees of some of these landscaping companies fear that they're going to lose their jobs if the leaf blower situation is banned.

It's education, it's communication, there are a lot of things. And yes, it is quality of life, it is the noise, and it is health, okay? There is no doubt about that, all right? We all know that. But how do we deal with it so that it's fair to everyone?

Because there are people who are not keen on the ban on leaf blowers. And the other thing is are we including residents along with the commercial enterprises in leaf blowing, okay? That's another question.

So there was a lot of think-tank discussion going on in the Code Committee. That's the best I can do to tell the public as to what we were thinking about.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, I think the thing is we've heard from a lot of people and we're mostly hearing ban them, or at least a -- I mean, or ban them at least for the summer. That's what I would support for this year, ban them for the summer and then we phase in a complete ban.

I mean, yes, there's always going to be people with different opinions, but when you hear like so many people saying the same things over so many years, and we're all kind of saying like, yes, we understand the environmental and the health. Like I take it as my responsibility, then, to listen to that, and also to like -- to what somebody said last month, to be bold.

Like we have to take action, like what Ken was saying about climate change. And like this is a small

thing, but it's a small thing we can do and there's a lot of support for, and that I don't think that -- I mean, I don't think people are going to lose jobs. Like there's still -- you can still get rid of leaves in a way. I just think the benefits outweigh the drawbacks in so many ways.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I spoke to the contractor that cuts my grass for me and he doesn't -- I asked him not to use a leaf blower, but not because of the noise, I just don't want things blowing around. But he probably said, you know, "I have a few customers in the Village, I simply would drop the customers in the Village if they changed" -- "if they do the leaf blower ban."

Everybody on my street has their lawn cut and the leaf blowers come. And I have no air conditioning, and, you know, it's a very big period of time. So, I mean, I don't personally have an observation of that kind of offensive noise going on all the time, but I do have my windows open all summer long, because I don't have air.

And I think that, you know, we have to be careful being selective about this, because there are a lot of sources of noise in the Village that probably produce close to the same number of decibels as the

1	leaf blowers. And when we start introducing
2	environmental and air pollution in a noise code, I
3	don't think it belongs in the noise code.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But we are banning.
5	Like that's my issue, is like we're banning barking
6	dogs or music over, but we're not banning
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We're not banning
8	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Like I'd rather
9	listen to barking dogs and music.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: There's limitations on them,
11	we're not banning them.
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, I mean, it's
13	unreasonable noise if a bark dog is barking for
14	15 minutes, but it's not unreasonable noise
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Or music from your neighbor's
16	house or a restaurant, this is no different.
17	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right, or music that
18	you can hear from 50 feet, but it's not unreasonable
19	noise to have five leaf blowers going for six hours?
20	Like I think to me that doesn't compute. And I also
21	think that I mean, I like I know that maybe
22	there could be more nuanced ways, like, okay, only
23	have one leaf blower, but I think enforcement-wise
24	that would be very hard.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: That's I mean, I think that's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

preposterous to try and suggest that we're going to be managing how a business cleans up about somebody's yard no different than how they build houses. I mean, we can manage noise with certain levels of restrictions, whether Tora has two deejays or one deejay at Claudio's. If they're playing music past 12:30 at not too loudly, then they're going to get cited for it, but, you know, we can't get into how many people are deejaying or cleaning up a yard, or something else.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, I think the other thing, that Greenport is different than Southold. And I appreciate Mary Bess' comment, because I live on Washington, so I know that it won't fix like my issues. But Greenport has small lots that are close, close, you know, they're long and they're skinny. So there's just -- we live in much closer proximity than most of Southold, and I'd love for Southold to ban them, also. But I think we're a Village, and, also, like a lot of people have said, we need to respect and care about our neighbors. a lot of people are saying like -- you know, people are talking about moving because of like their kids' I feel like that's something we really should health. take seriously.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Brian, if we make any material
2	changes to the ordinance as it's currently drafted and
3	posted on the Village website, we will have to
4	renotice it, correct?
5	ATTORNEY STOLAR: That's correct.
6	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So, for example, adding so
7	we're talking about a leaf blower ban, but what I
8	think what we're really contemplating is whether we're
9	going to add leaf blowers to 88-5, the section on
10	prohibitions. So adding another prohibition would
11	require
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a major change.
13	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's a material change,
14	correct?
15	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Correct.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. So I had a thought,
17	because I totally agree with what the public comments
18	are about. This is an important quality of life
19	issue, right? So there's noise, there's pollution
20	from emissions from two-stroke engines, there's dust
21	being blown all around, which is a health hazard.
22	There's this kind of there's some other
23	issues. There's a there was some argument about
24	landscaping practices, about basically denuding your
25	landscape by blowing everything away, right? So

that's not great for drought, and for the kind of a -the health of the biome of your yard, I understand
that.

I'm wondering whether the noise chapter is the right place for this. Why wouldn't this fall into Chapter 90, Nuisances?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's -- that was also -- you were there when we -- you know, that maybe landscaping, this needs to be a code that is completely separate on its own, as some other municipalities have done. They've made a separate section for landscaping, which became clearer as to not being muddled in with other noise ordinances. There was one, I think it's the Town of East Hampton, I think it's under nuisances, I think, it's not under the noise ordinance.

As I said there was a lot of discussion on, you know, where do with we go with it? The people, you know, the Code Committee did hear, and it's -- you know, we have to represent a picture for everyone in the Village, okay? And, yes, there is a large contingency here and I understand where they're coming from. But I also know that in talking out in the community, and I am not taking Facebook, because you can't deal with Facebook, I did hear some -- read some comments on some articles on Suffolk Times that some

1	people are not in favor of banning the leaf blowers,
2	they feel that it's too much governmental influence on
3	their
4	MAYOR STUESSI: There was something in
5	The Suffolk Times about that?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On some of the comments on
7	the on the comment pages. I don't know if it's
8	still there or not, I think I took a picture of it.
9	But, in the meantime, I think that a code needs to
10	you know, we need to when we write a code, as Tora
11	was presenting, it needs to be you know, we need to
12	look at being fair to represent not represent, but
13	to give a fair shot to everybody.
14	So that's the reason why we were talking the
15	that's why we had the meeting after the public hearing
16	last Thursday, was we weren't originally supposed to
17	meet. I felt that we all needed to start discussing
18	it, because it was a topic that's important, and to
19	get thoughts out and to think about it to bring something
20	back to the Board.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Do you
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I think if I could
23	interject.
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Go ahead.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: You know, the Code Committee has

already made recommendations to the Board on what the noise code should be and that's what's in front of us. And what I don't think is productive is for us to bounce things around and go back and forth. The recommendations were made to us as a Legislative body who represents this Village, and we as a group should be making some decisions on what needs to be done with the noise code, as I said earlier, either adopting it as is, or coming to agreement on some suggested changes that we include in the code.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I understand that, but, you know, we did have -- we -- I wrote down how many people spoke, and we had about 15 people speak. About a dozen of -- a dozen, maybe, spoke on the noise from the leaf blowers. So, I mean, I don't think that's the majority of the public. I mean, I'd like to hear from some other people's comments, too.

And some of those people that spoke didn't even live in the Village, I mean, somebody was from Southold. So, you know, I mean, I don't know if I've heard a fair representation of everybody in the Village and their opinion on it, to be honest with you. I mean, 12 people does not a majority make, in my eyes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the other thing is in

1	listening and going back and reading and looking at
2	the video, and I said it at the meeting, I heard three
3	things coming out. I heard people who wanted a
4	change, I heard people who wanted bans, and I heard
5	that it was the environmental and the health issues.
6	But I didn't hear a true you know, it was I'm
7	sorry?
8	LORRAINE KREAHLING: I'm sorry. It destroys
9	people's hearing for the people who are doing it, it
10	destroys their hearing.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm just I'm just
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Let's continue talking as the
13	Board.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm just making I'm just
15	making a comment about what I heard from the comments,
16	okay, which is the reason why I asked the Code Committee
17	to sit down and think about it, okay?
18	Yes, we did present something. We presented it
19	and the Village Board has the right to change it, but
20	I do think that it's a topic that is, is one that it's
21	not that I believe you'll see people I believe
22	you will see that people will not be happy with an
23	outcome of a ban. I don't think we've heard from the
24	whole public. But, in the meantime, have we
25	communicated it out? I'm not sure. Have we got it

out that this is being proposed to the public fully? I don't think so. I think we should be putting it out that, you know, there's the topic of thinking of banning it. You'll have -- you know, get it out into either the paper this week, or to get it out on the Village website, and have people make the -- give the opportunity for next week for those people that if they truly feel that they don't want a ban, they'll have to get here or make their comments, okay? But that's me, okay, that's not the full Board. I always want to be fair and have both sides presented before I make a decision.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Patrick, I hear what you're saying about it doesn't need to be a noise, which I agree with, but it also comes up so much because gas-powered leaf blower is defined in here, landscaping is defined in here, it's talked about in many -- you know, so it seems like it naturally comes up, and whether -- like where it should be in the code, I think it could be in either place. But I think, since we're talking about this now, is why it came up and because it's been an ongoing issue in the Village.

MAYOR STUESSI: Can I ask for Village Counsel's opinion on if we were to consider some sort of ban or

restriction based upon noise? Putting aside the environmental issues, is there any advantage or disadvantage to putting it in the noise code as being discussed, or putting it elsewhere?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: It's funny you ask that. I was just drafting another noise code law for another municipality, and, you know, addressing certain topics, including leaf blowers, realizing after I drafted it and went through the rest of the code and found that very ban in another section. So it's -- you know, and it didn't surprise me because of the, you know, different considerations.

So my thought on it afterwards, you know, where would somebody typically find it, is what you really want to be able to address it. If it's in your code, it's in your code, but people are going to be looking for it in your noise code, and then potentially in other provisions as well, whether it be nuisance, or property maintenance, or things that maybe you have a gardeners section. They're going to be looking in both locations, so -- or multiple locations. So my recommendation would be to incorporate it into each of those code provisions and those chapters, rather than just one, because it may get lost on somebody if it's in just one.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
2	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: You say each. So what other
3	chapters?
4	ATTORNEY STOLAR: If you have a gardeners or a
5	landscaping provision, if you have a nuisance chapter,
6	some chapter, or property maintenance chapters, of
7	those, they'll those are
8	MAYOR STUESSI: But fair to say starting out in
9	the noise code section
10	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Absolutely.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: would make the most sense and
12	then carry it everywhere else.
13	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Absolutely.
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I think if we again, if
15	we're going to incorporate the leaf blower item, it's
16	going to be in the prohibitions, it's going to be
17	treated like music, right?
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And I think there's an
20	opportunity there to handle this in many, many
21	different ways, whether it's times of day, or whether
22	it's decibel level, or both, or outright prohibition
23	altogether. If we do this in the noise code, we're
24	going to address the noise piece of it, not
25	necessarily the other quality of life issues. So

	, , ,
1	people just need to be aware of that, because I think
2	the noise is what I'm hearing is that's not
3	a pun.
4	(Laughter)
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: What we're hearing from the
6	public is the noise is the primary issue that people
7	are concerned about, but there are these other issues,
8	right?
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So how do we see this working
11	if we have a like an electric leaf blower? So it's
12	quieter, but it still makes like noise from rushing
13	air. Currently, they're not that powerful, but
14	they've improved a lot over the past few years.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I don't know where that's
17	going. Is that something we deal with later if that
18	becomes a nuisance, or, you know, how do we how do
19	we actually slice this so that we're banning the most
20	egregious nuisance?
21	MAYOR STUESSI: It would be by banning gas-powered
22	leaf blowers
23	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Right.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: which is what's been done in
25	the entirety of the South Fork now, seasonally in some

1 places, and then Southampton is adopting it 2 year-round, places like Los Angeles, Washington D.C., where gas-powered leaf blowers are banned, but 3 4 electric ones are allowed to be utilized. 5 TRUSTEE ROBINS: You know, again, I mean, there 6 are -- I feel this is very selective, and I under --7 you know, first of all, you mentioned how small the 8 lots are in the Village, okay? I mean, most of the 9 landscapers, they come through and they're done in 10 five minutes when they come with these big mowers, and they walk around and they do -- now it's possible for 11 12 a fall cleanup where they're blowing leaves and stuff like that, but, I mean, I've observed them in many 13 locations. They're out there with the leaf blowers, 14 they're pushing, you know, the grass clippings, 15 16 basically, off the sidewalk. And how -- I mean, most 17 lots in the Village are like point-six-tenths of an 18 acre, I mean, there's just not that much grass. 19 mean, it almost -- I really don't see where, you know,

MAYOR STUESSI: It sounds like you're making a case to get rid of them, because the yards are so small.

the length of time with these leaf blowers are going

(Laughter)

nonstop, you know, it's --

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, the yards are so small.
2	But I'm just saying that, you know, I mean, I don't
3	see the you know, the constant noise going on all
4	the time. I personally haven't observed it, you know,
5	on my block or other areas of the Village. You know,
6	I mean, you know, I'm a real estate agent and,
7	you know, I've seen, you know, a lot of properties
8	being taken care of, but the Village properties are so
9	small that, you know, I mean, there's just not that
10	much grass that's cut, plus there are other sources of
11	dust. I mean, how about the wind, you know? I mean,
12	you know, and as Patrick was saying, electric leaf
13	blowers, they still do create dust. I mean, so, you
14	know, I think you have to stay within the scope of
15	what we're talking about here.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: Right, but here we're dealing
17	with the noise code.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The noise code, yes.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: And the primary issue that the
20	public brought up was the noise burden, so that's what
21	our purview is this evening to discuss. So I think
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, the comments that I
23	MAYOR STUESSI: I think there's a lot of things
24	we could talk about environmentally, but I think we
25	should focus on noise and whether this Board believes

1	that they we should do something about noise with
2	gas-powered leaf blowers.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, Mary Bess and I had a
4	lot of discussions about this this week, and,
5	you know, I definitely think that there are some areas
6	that can of restriction that we should come up
7	with, okay, but it could be on the number of
8	leaf blowers, maybe, a contractor can use one. She
9	suggested registering, you know, licensing landscapers.
10	You know, I think there are other things that we can
11	investigate.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Licensing landscapers in what
13	what do you mean?
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Have a to have a landscaping
15	license, the contractor has to have a license.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They have it, they have it in
17	East Hampton and in Southampton. They actually have
18	the landscapers have to fill out a license, pay a fee,
19	and they go through a set of codes as to or
20	restrictions as to what they can and can't do, so that

It's on -- and I agree with you, it's on the noise, okay, it is the noise. But, in the meantime, we start with this. Now we -- then they -- we ban the

when the enforcement person comes along, they have a

21

22

23

24

25

signed affidavit.

gas ones. And Patrick's right, what happens when the electric ones start becoming higher decibels and noisy? Because the technology, according to the landscapers that I've talked to, the technology for the electric, electric leaf blowers is not there yet, but they're working on it, so that they're going to be more powerful and they're going to be that whoosh noise that you hear coming out of the tube is going to become a noise issue again, so --

MAYOR STUESSI: But I think the thing to consider is this is legitimately working in a lot of other places, whether it's Washington D.C., Los Angeles, places with millions of people, D.C. in particular, tons of leaves, the entirety of the South Fork.

Yes, there are considerations. There will be change-over over a period of time. If it's implemented over a period of time, it would be required. But are we looking to be leaders in our community, or are we going to wait for Southold to do something? And I feel strongly, as I've said in the last meeting, that we have had a significant number of people in the community come and speak out. We've also received some emails and letters. This has been an ongoing issue for 5 to 7 years now, and I think we

1	need to do something, not just sit back and do nothing
2	in regards to this.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I believe that
4	MAYOR STUESSI: So I would ask the Board to
5	consider what we think
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well
7	MAYOR STUESSI: might be an appropriate start
8	to this. Please.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: My next question is, okay,
10	we're going to deal with leaf blowers. Next, is it
11	going to be lawnmowers?
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: How about chainsaws?
13	MAYOR STUESSI: But there's no precedent for
14	that anywhere. I think that's certainly a false
15	argument.
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, that's also
17	not what people are complaining about.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. Nobody is suggesting
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: No one's coming and
20	saying like, oh, I mean
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think some noise
22	MAYOR STUESSI: banning chainsaws or
23	lawnmowers.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The guy that cuts my lawn, it's
25	mainly the lawnmower, because, like I said, he doesn't

even use the leaf blower. But the noise, you know, there's noise. Yes, there is noise, there's no question about it, and there's dust. I mean, there's dust when our street-sweeper goes around, too. I mean, so, you know, it's like where do you stop? That's all I'm saying.

Personally, here's my opinion: The Governor eventually will rule on this, and I think we should wait until the Governor puts the guidelines in place, you know, and then we're covered. We don't have to, you know, be an outlier and enforce it in the code.

I think where do we start, right? Like we need to start doing things, not where do we stop. Like we need to take corrective action and be bold, and listen to our community, and take even small steps towards being more environmentally friendly. That's why I ran, I think it's part of why I got elected, and I feel strongly about it. Like I don't think we should say -- I mean, I understand, sure, electric leaf blowers may become a nuisance, and then we deal with it then. But like we shouldn't stop before we even start it because of all the --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I understand where you're coming from, but the noise ordinance, when working on

1	it, was a bold decision to deal, and there has been
2	many bold things. So I kind of would like to take a
3	step back from that comment, okay?
4	Brian I have a question.
5	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yes.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because Lily has been
7	bringing it up. Under the unreasonable noise section
8	that says endangers or injures any person, a noise
9	disturbs or discomfort. In all honesty, technically,
10	they could call in and complain about leaf blowers,
11	yes or no, that it being an unreasonable noise?
12	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Correct.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So they do have an
14	availability right now within the noise code to deal
15	with it, in other words, call in and file a complaint
16	against the landscaper for an unreasonable noise.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: That's not something we could
18	ever possibly manage with the way it's written.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We're not managing anything
20	else in the Building Department. We have to realize
21	that we have to start dealing with that subject, and
22	that's down the road with the budget. But, in the
23	meantime
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess, respectfully, I'm not
25	sure why you're getting upset, but I just I don't

	Work Session 1/18/24 76
1	think that provision gives anybody
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I asked a question to the
3	Attorney.
4	ATTORNEY STOLAR: So, I mean
5	MAYOR STUESSI: I don't think that gives the
6	public the ability to
7	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah, there are other
8	standards, too, when you're looking at when you're
9	just doing the unreasonable noise and not the specific
10	items, and that's whether the nature of the sound is
11	usual or unusual. That sound is usual.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
13	ATTORNEY STOLAR: So
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Fine. I'm asking the question
15	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Right.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: because I want to know, okay?
17	ATTORNEY STOLAR: It may be
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I'm sorry if people find
19	that annoying
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: but that's how we should
22	be dealing with things, is asking the questions.
23	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yep.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
25	ATTORNEY STOLAR: So looking at, you know, the

others, what I'm saying is yes, it can be viewed that
way, but in looking at the factors, no, it's not going
to fall under that provision.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So if, if, getting back to

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So if, if, getting back to what I do know, that it's going to be a major change, if we just -- if this Board decides to say, okay, from this month to this month there'll be no leaf blowers going on within the Village of Greenport, that's a major change within this. And going through this, that will cause it to go back for a rewrite, correct, and another public hearing?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: Correct.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So --

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, on that point, if I could interject. So --

ATTORNEY STOLAR: If you add the --

MAYOR STUESSI: In the rewrite, if we decided to do -- to say we want to look at a ban from this date to this date, can that rewrite be done while the public hearing is still open, or do we have to close it and then renotice it?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: You can do it one of two ways. Most of what is in this law as drafted, as being considered tonight -- and, by the way, you were correct, it's continued to tonight and the 25th. Both

of those days were mentioned, so you can't do anything tonight. But most of the substance of that law you -- you know, there doesn't seem to be an issue. Your issue is on the secondary and very important issue with regard to leaf blowers. You can either incorporate leaf blower provisions into this law, or you can draft a second law and consider that one separately, so that you can get through this law at some point, whether it be next week, although if you make any changes, again, we'll have to put it over to February. But you can treat them separately, I think is a better way to do it, because this one, obviously, with the leaf blowers has a lot of opinions in both directions.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But wouldn't they like contradict each other, because wouldn't this one say yes, this is allowed except on Sunday, and then the other one would say --

ATTORNEY STOLAR: No. Once --

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: -- no, it's not

21 allowed?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: What will happen is you're going to be doing -- getting this one done. In terms of the seen process, you'll be getting the Local Law that you're considering now completed first. The leaf

blower version then would be added later and will not be in conflict. It would be drafted in a way where it's consistent with what you'll have in the first law.

MAYOR STUESSI: So you're suggesting we would adopt the law as is -- pardon me -- close the public hearing, adopt the law as is, potentially as soon as next week, and then go back and draft new language, hold a public hearing specifically regarding leaf blowers?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: Correct.

MAYOR STUESSI: And that one change.

ATTORNEY STOLAR: You can do that. I think that's the better way, because otherwise you could sit on this issue for -- you know, if you want to get rid of -- not get rid of, it's a bad, bad phrase. If you want to get through what you've already worked on and move forward with that, get that done, and then the secondary part that's obviously subject to a significant amount of debate, keep it separate.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which is why I asked the Code Committee to meet after that the meeting, because I recognized the fact that this needed to be a separate item, it needed to have further discussion, so -- which is why I asked them to meet and have the discussion, okay? Whether it's the Board that does it

or the Code Committee, it doesn't matter to me, okay?
But, again, following Brian's thought pattern, that's
where I was coming from. So now you know why I'm a
little -- I was trying to be proactive in honoring and
respecting the comments that came from the public
hearing.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Brian, in the two-step
scenario that you were just describing about how we
may enact this, you had said earlier that there may be

ATTORNEY STOLAR: Uh-huh.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So in this, in this scenario where, say, we would close the public hearing, approve the noise code without the leaf blower ban in place, the second step would be to create a new, a new resolution, a new --

different chapters of the code that may be impacted.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Chapter.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: -- code amendment, it would impact noise and perhaps other chapters?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: Well, in looking -- after I made that comment, I looked at your code. I do not see anything else in your code that would -- that the leaf blower provision would fit into. You don't have a "peace and good order", or it doesn't seem -- you do have a nuisance chapter, but the nuisance chapter is

drafted in a way that this doesn't seem to fall, and the leaf blowers don't seem to fall within the provisions in that chapter, it won't be appropriate. There's nothing -- you don't have the licensing, gardeners licensing chapter. So there does not seem to be a second chapter where this would go at this point. It may if you subsequently adopt a gardeners or landscapers chapter, it should go in there as well. But right now you don't have it, so I would suggest that it just be one at this point, based on the way your code is written.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I'm not sure I entirely agree with your assessment. So Chapter 90 has nuisances such as burning, like burning rubbish in your yard, which is, of course, primarily a fire hazard, but it's also a health hazard, right, with the smoke, or whatever other toxic things that come off there. The nuisance chapter also includes a ban on or prohibition against painting your car in your driveway, which is not a noise issue, I guess, but some kind of health hazard, right, presumably?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: The way the nuisance code is written, though, it's primarily to take certain steps to declare a public nuisance, and from there work on abatement of that nuisance, rather than enforcement of

1	a specific code provision that would get you to a
2	violation if you're doing something wrong. It's
3	there's a process, that's why I wouldn't necessarily
4	include it here.
5	A person, it's if you look at 90-5, for
6	instance, talks about, you know, what steps may be
7	taken should there be an existing nuisance, and how it
8	gets how it gets abated. Someone has a certain
9	amount of time to abate that issue, has the right to
10	appeal.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Uh-huh.
12	ATTORNEY STOLAR: And you go from there. To me,
13	you know, leaf blower
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, as I recall, too, isn't
15	there even a provision that the Mayor can has to be
16	asked about the nuisance in there?
17	(Laughter)
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Somebody brought this up to me
19	recently regarding
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: There could be.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: And I'm forgetting the exact
22	language, but I promise you it's in there, because I
23	looked, and
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's in mass it was in the
25	old is it the old mass assembly?

1	MAYOR STUESSI: They wanted to have me rule on
2	an issue with their neighbor's yard.
3	(Laughter)
4	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yes, it does. I'm not going
5	to say what it says, but I think we need some revisions
6	to that chapter.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. Well, yeah.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: I could assure you.
9	(Laughter)
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So, clearly, the noise is an
11	important issue you've heard from the public. I think
12	there's some different views on the Board right now on
13	how to possibly implement that. There are other parts
14	of the noise chapter that I'd like to discuss, so
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Please, go ahead.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: But maybe you know, do we
17	want to move on from the leaf blower thing for the
18	moment?
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sure.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: All right. So I had made a
22	comment earlier about making a exception in the
23	noise code for activities in the Waterfront Commercial
24	area that were for permitted uses. So if there's a
25	permitted use such as dock building and boat building,

1	whatever else happens in Waterfront Commercial, can
2	there be an exception carved out so that the noise
3	that those activities generated don't run afoul or run
4	right into our two enforcement mechanisms, the
5	objective one that's metered, and the more subjective
6	one, which is the prima facie method?
7	MAYOR STUESSI: So these would be noises that
8	are generated in the ordinary course of business
9	for
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Correct.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: marine waterfront uses as
12	defined?
13	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Right, right. My concern,
14	you know, selfishly comes from my own situation where
15	I operate a marine business in the Waterfront
16	Commercial area. But I'm also concerned that in all
17	of our efforts to try to protect and preserve and
18	maintain our working waterfront, that we don't
19	unintentionally put a limit on it because of the noise
20	piece, because some of those activities are noisy.
21	You know, there's hammering and other kinds things
22	going on.
23	So there was a proposal that came from the
24	this is some proposed language. I don't know if
25	vou've all seen it. It came from Tricia Hammes, the

Chair of our Planning Board, and she had suggested that we put a new clause, which would be Paragraph M in Section 88-6. So I'll just read it, and I think you've all seen it.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, please, go ahead and read it.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, okay. So her suggestion was the following: "Sound occurring during the hours of 8 a.m. to 7 p.m. that arises as a result of the operation of equipment utilized in the ordinary course of operations of any industrial or manufacturing business, or any other business engaged in the marine industry, as defined in Section 150-2 of the code, that is otherwise permitted to operate pursuant to the terms of Chapter 150 of the code, and as otherwise complying with the provisions of 29 CFR 1910.95, and any similar applicable Federal or New York State law, rule or regulation that otherwise imposes limits or requirements in respect of occupational sound or noise exposure."

So that was a suggestion, right, to add this exception M. I would like to see something like this in it. I don't want to see it in here the way it's been proposed.

So the second half of this paragraph says, "And

as otherwise complying with the provisions of
29 CFR 1910." I'm not clear why we would be
referencing an OSHA requirement. So this is -- and
you can correct me if I'm wrong. I don't think it's
necessary for our code to layer on the enforcement of
a Federal code, because that code, that Federal
provision or requirement stands on its own, does it
not?

ATTORNEY STOLAR: And has a different purpose.
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: It's a -- it contemplates the
requirements between an employer and an employee for
hearing protection, and I don't think it's really
applicable to a case where this would be like a

MAYOR STUESSI: Right.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I would like to see that piece of this struck. And then it goes on to say, "And any other similar or applicable Federal or New York State law, rule or regulation." I don't see the purpose of writing that, because that would either be applicable to all of our noise code or not. I don't see how it factors into one of the exceptions.

neighbor, so an operator who's disturbing a neighbor.

So we have several exceptions now, like

A through M. So I'd see -- like to see a more condensed version of this.

1	I'd also like to hear public comment from some
2	of the people operating in the Waterfront Commercial
3	and what they think the appropriate hours of operation
4	are. It was suggested that it be 8 a.m. to 7 p.m.
5	I know from my own experience that we start earlier
6	and we end, we end later.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I was going to tell you that
8	that's not a realistic
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: realistic on the
11	waterfront
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: because most of the guys
14	start most of them start before 7 o'clock.
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Correct.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, most of the fishermen
17	that the baymen, I'm sorry, the baymen, they're
18	out, you know, when the sun sun comes up, or even
19	before the sun comes up. Four o'clock they're already
20	motoring out
21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Right.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: to get to the bay.
23	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I think there's a balancing
24	requirement here, though, because some of those
25	activities are not going to produce noise. So I know

a fisherman is going to head out early. I'm not asking that we be able to make noise at any time of the early morning, but I think the -- I would like to hear from other, other operators or owners about what they think is a reasonable time constraint on this.

So I'm hoping that anyone in the public would think about this exception and offer comment on it. This is a provision, if we were to add an exception, and this is going to require re-noticing the code.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yes. So I think that's an important consideration when we as a Board make a decision on whether we want to adopt the code as is or make changes. And if we're going to look at making changes, we should look at all the changes together and then keep it open and/or renotice this even.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I think that makes the most sense in terms of the timing. You know, incorporate changes as a group, or we move forward with it as is and then go back into it again.

MAYOR STUESSI: Did you have any other comments on the code, substantive changes you thought we could consider?

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. So we have a letter.

All right. So we have the letter from Claudio's, I
would like to go over that. And we have a letter from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tricia Hammes, dated December 29th. I think this was sent to all of the Trustees and the Mayor. This, this letter has several small changes, I would say, that are about definitions and some wording. I think it's important that they be picked up. MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I would like to see that these suggestions from Tricia Hammes' December 29th letter be incorporated. Brian, have you seen this letter? ATTORNEY STOLAR: It doesn't sound familiar. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: 0kav. ATTORNEY STOLAR: I don't remember seeing it. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I'm going to quickly run through it. There was a comment on emergency work and how the wording of that paragraph was phrased, so

There was a request to have a definition for public park, because it refers to Chapter 101's definition of public park, but Chapter 101 does not currently have a definition of public park. So we really either have to add it to 101, or add it -- or

that's in the definitions. There is a need to have a

definition for jurisdictional boundaries. I think it

was not in the noise section at this -- at this

1 add it to Chapter 44, or both. 2 And I think it's important we think about the 3 public park, because we have Park Districts, and we 4 have a park like Mitchell Park which is not in the 5 Park District. We have the new park which is down at 6 the end of Fourth Street, a new Park District area. 7 MAYOR STUESSI: Right. 8 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And so I think it requires a 9 little bit of thought about what's a public park and what is not. 10 11 There's some recommendations on changing the 12 wording in Section 88-3(B)(2). There's also a 13 reference to owner in Section 88-4(B), saying that 14 this is -- the definition of owner is not defined. I'm not sure that owner needs to be defined, but 15 16 Tricia Hammes seems to think it was important. There is a -- let's see. Some changes 17 18 recommended in plainly audible and unreasonable noise. 19 So she had some very specific suggestions on unreasonable noise and plainly audible. 20 21 So there's several places in the code where the 22 requirement for a sound that's plainly audible says within 50 feet, and what we really mean is the 23 24 opposite, we mean outside 50 feet.

Right.

MAYOR STUESSI:

25

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So that pops up in several places. So we're looking at a distance of 50 feet or more, a distance of 25 feet or more, not within that area. So we may -- we just want to make sure we catch all that.

Let's see. I'll just skip ahead here. Special event should be defined. There's a reference to what's called a demonstration, but there's no definition for that either.

On this issue of whether a Code Enforcement official or a police officer can offer a warning or a violation, so this also dovetails with the letter from Claudio's. So the way the code is currently proposed, it says that a Code Enforcement Officer may order a warning, and we should decide whether we want that to be shall, so that always in the first instance there's a warning.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I don't think so. I mean, my concern would be if somebody is being so blatantly egregious, I think we need to give an inherent amount of trust to the Police Department and/or Code Enforcement Officer, that they're dealing with it, ultimately.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. So there is --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Patrick.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There is
2	MAYOR STUESSI: What I don't want
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There is a
4	MAYOR STUESSI: What I don't want to happen is
5	for somebody to knowingly break the law and/or the
6	code by being egregious because the fine isn't
7	substantive enough for them to even worry about it,
8	and, rather, just go over it and pay the fine.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I agree, I agree. There
10	is a provision for that, though. So under the
11	abatement, Chapter 88 not Chapter, Paragraph 88-9,
12	it provides for this warning idea, and it provides
13	some discretion, also, to the officer to if in the
14	officer's opinion that there's he believed, he or
15	she believes that there's not going to be any
16	compliance, they can go directly to a violation.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So I think, I think that is
19	important. I think, I think it's semantics, though,
20	on the first part as whether we want to encourage a
21	warning on the first instance, even though there is
22	there's an out, where the officer can use his
23	discretion. So I think we probably all agree with
24	that, right, to issue a warning whenever possible,
25	except in the case where it's egregious?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I believe that in much of the discussions dealing with the Southold Town P.D. would be do most of the enforcement when it came in the evening, when most of the complaints are coming in, and that it was under that they were asking for compliance, that they really weren't going to be --you know, the goal is to ask for compliance. They go back once, they go back twice, they go back three times, I think probably by the fourth or fifth time, then that might be if they have an actual issue, but we did ask them that.

In all fairness, Tricia was very much a part of this chapter-writing, to begin with. And I do know that when she wrote that email, it was picking on to particulars that she was not agreeing with the Legal Department's version of things, okay, and it greatly -- there is much comment in it, okay?

But, once again, if we're at this point, then I think that if you're raising all of these questions, then perhaps we just need to put this back to the Village Attorney and let him take those suggestions, and leave the hearing open, or on -- next Thursday close the hearing and start all over again. That's what I'm hearing, okay? That's what I'm hearing. So if that's what this Board wants to do, then you'll

have to -- either we take it on as the Board, or you put it back to the Code Committee. That will be a decision of the Board, but this is what I'm hearing.

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, there's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I would have appreciated, though, okay, a couple -- a month ago some of these comments, because it would have moved this along and get it moving along, but I do appreciate them now. And if we're going to get this right, or if we're going to make this clear to the community as to this is what we want as a community, then perhaps we need to say, "Okay, let's take this back, take all the comments."

I do agree that we need to take the leaf issue out of the noise and put it as a separate chapter, and recreate something and come back to the Board with it. That's up to the rest of you, okay? We could continue discussing points. We have two other chapters that we need to get on to. So my suggestion is that if there is this much discrepancy, and even from own -- our own member of the committee, that perhaps it needs to go back, and we'll have to work on general consensus and creating different language.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I think that a lot of these comments are not substantial, and we're talking

1 about within about --

MAYOR STUESSI: That was my reading as well of what she said, right.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: -- two, you know. I think that I understand that Tricia offered these comments as an individual. I don't think that they're a criticism of the Code Committee's work at all, and I don't mean to present them that way at all. I think the Code Committee has done fantastic work and has been super helpful to this Board, but I do think we want to get it right. So, if anything, it's a criticism of the draft version that's currently written. Maybe that's -- maybe that criticism is directed towards Brian, that's fine. I think that these small changes should be implemented now, for sure.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. So it would seem to me that, from what I recall reading of what she had sent through, those are minor changes, some of them just language issues, they're not substantive. The two substantive issues that we're talking about this evening are the potential of a ban on gas-powered leaf blowers of some amount of time, and then the issue you brought up relative to work within the Waterfront Commercial --

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: District.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which at the time when we
4	discussed that, we felt that it was secure enough
5	within the language that we had put into the original
6	reason for the purpose for the code. We felt that
7	that was strong enough to protect those in the
8	Waterfront Commercial.
9	I understand where you're coming from, okay? I
10	also know that, and I mentioned it to you before, that
11	there are communities that have put into the
12	exceptions the agricultural activities, because that,
13	in that town or in that Village, agriculture industries
14	are important.
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Sure.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Here we have waterfront
17	maritime industries that are important. So if we were
18	to make an exception of, you know, some sort of
19	language, is that a major change, Brian?
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Basically, anything you do
21	other than, you know, changes something from
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thee to the?
23	ATTORNEY STOLAR: singular to plural or
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
25	ATTORNEY STOLAR: you know, minor changes

like that, you -- they subject you to a new hearing, of course. The Mayor can make a determination as the Executive that it's an emergency situation, this has to be adopted immediately, and he can make those changes, but that would not apply in this instance.

So yes, all of those changes are significant enough -- TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

ATTORNEY STOLAR: -- in their own right to warrant a new hearing.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I don't think we have to start from scratch, though. I don't think that's what I was hearing from everyone. And I think if we're trying -- and I know we're trying to be efficient with our time and move on, but it seems like making those changes, noticing it again, or making whatever changes we want, noticing it again is going to be more efficient then shutting everything down, starting from scratch, because I think mostly it's a very good document. And I also am very grateful to the whole Code Committee for -- and Brian, you know, for putting it all together. But I do think, I mean --

MAYOR STUESSI: So if I'm -- if I'm hearing you correctly, at this point, your consideration would be that potentially next week we close the hearing, adopt

1	the code changes as is, and then go back and look at
2	the gas leaf blower issue and the maritime use that
3	Patrick's bringing up?
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I wasn't thinking
5	that. I was thinking making changes.
6	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Incorporate the changes,
7	continue the hearing to the February date and then
8	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And extend the
9	hearing and notice it.
10	ATTORNEY STOLAR: get to a conclusion at that
11	point?
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right, because,
13	I mean, I don't think it makes sense to like pass
14	something that we know we don't you know, we are
15	going to change, and it doesn't seem like it seems
16	actually faster and more efficient than to just make
17	the changes, and a lot of less paperwork. We don't
18	want to like put a Local Law up and all that just to
19	have it changed a few weeks later.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: So are there any other issues of
21	substance anybody on the Board would like to bring up?
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I'd like to discuss the
23	letter from Claudio's Counsel, because they had some
24	suggestions about timing. So there's a couple of
25	issues in here. There is a suggestion about making

the times later in which I think they could have noise or entertainment. There was this idea about making these kind of Village traditions fall under the definition of a public holiday. And there was a com -- there was a comment in here about allowing music later on a Sunday evening, if there's a public holiday on a Monday.

So my view on this was that I'm not really in favor of extending the proposed hours in which we are currently constraining entertainment and music. So where -- there's several different things in here, depending on which time of day, which day of the week it is --

MAYOR STUESSI: Right.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: -- whether it's in season or out of season. I'm not really inclined to change those hours, personally. I am open to the idea of allowing later hours on Sundays, when there's a public holiday on Monday, because I agree that that may not be really a disturbance to the public, but many people might have off on the next day, or they're not getting up early for school, or something like that.

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, we have that in there for defined holidays.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Is that how it works?
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes. What we don't have is for
3	this pseudo holiday Village event of the additional day.
4	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. So
5	ATTORNEY STOLAR: But like Maritime being an
6	example, it doesn't go through to Monday.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: It is Friday and Saturday.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I'm not in favor of
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Halloween is not in there,
11	because the date cutoff, it's earlier. It's Columbus
12	Day, as I recall, correct?
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For what, Halloween?
14	MAYOR STUESSI: The extended summertime
15	operating hours.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. So I'm not in favor of
19	taking the Village special days and treating them as a
20	public holiday, because people don't have off the next
21	day necessarily.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
23	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: You know, people have to go
24	about their lives and get their sleep and get up, and,
25	also, that's kind of where I fall on this. I did want

18 19 20 MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.

21 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, that's not in noise, 22 that's in the entertainment. 23 TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Oh, okay. Yeah,

25 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's why I didn't see it.

because this, I think, just says --

24

MAYOR STUESSI: I don't think we need to be addressing Halloween. I mean, at this point, summer is long over, and as much as we're seeing warmer days, that's a very different --

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: That's true, because

22

23

24

1	Halloween falls on different days and then weekends.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's only one day, too.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: If it rains, it's usually a
5	Saturday with a Sunday rain date, right?
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. So, I mean, to Patrick's
7	point, I don't think we should be creating separate
8	holidays beyond
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, I agree, you know.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Memorial day, or Labor Day,
11	etcetera, which are defined in the entertainment code.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I do
13	MAYOR STUESSI: And you get the you get the
14	benefit of the extra Sunday night on those three-day
15	weekends. Yes, Julia.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I do agree with the the
17	Attorney for Claudio's asked for us to consider
18	codifying the first warning. I think that's fair, I
19	think that's something we could consider.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: So what's the suggestion?
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: She suggest it was a
22	suggestion that we codify that the first offense would
23	be a warning and not a fine.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, see, that I hear what
25	you're saying, but my concern, going back to what I

1	said earlier, is I think we need to give the police
2	the discretion if somebody is being completely
3	egregious. So if it is 3:30 a.m. in the morning and
4	the music is being blasted like crazy, when somebody
5	was supposed to be shutting down at 12:30, then they
6	ought to be able to write a violation for it. That
7	shouldn't be a warning, that's a very different thing.
8	I agree with what she said about basically
9	starting anew with this new entertainment permit.
10	I certainly don't think, you know, Claudio's or anyone
11	else should be penalized for whatever may have
12	happened
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I don't think that was
14	the
15	MAYOR STUESSI: two years ago, right.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That wasn't the intent when
17	this was written
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Right, yeah.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: because, you know
20	MAYOR STUESSI: But I think that can be made
21	very clear.
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: How does that work? Because
23	there this is the clean-slate idea that they the
24	Attorney wrote about? How does this if there's an
25	existing violation or something, that still stands,

1	correct?
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, but I think it's just a
3	matter of taking a look at, you know, the fines,
4	etcetera, moving forward.
5	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think what they're
6	saying is it exists, but like it's not there's a
7	whole first violation, second you know, different
8	amounts for different violations, so like starting
9	now.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So the violation the
11	violations would start upon the adoption of this
12	chapter?
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: The fees, the fines.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Correct, yeah.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: The fines.
17	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Like so they're not
18	going to start at four, just because they have three
19	existing.
20	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And I'm fine with that. I
21	just I don't like the kind of a broad-stroke, that
22	there's some kind of clean slate amnesty thing.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: No, no, of course not. That
24	isn't that's not how the law works.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I thought I think it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was -- it was the simple fact that we -- you know, they have to go -- and I'm not talking about Claudio's, okay, I'm talking about any application. They have to review their site plan to make sure that was the goal, was to make sure that they were doing what they were supposed to be doing as far as a business from their original site plan approval, and that that if they weren't, they would be able to catch up and get caught up, okay?

Yes, there are some complicated applications, and I think that, in fairness, okay, you can't -- they have to work it out, okay? In other words, the time lag of stretching out trying to solve a problem needs to become shorter when it comes to the entertainment In other words, we're here, we're giving permit. you -- we're just saying you can go ahead and operate for the summer, okay, but you have got to come up, and I'm sure the Planning Board can write that into their decision on the entertainment permit, that you've got "X" number of whatever to get the other issues before they've been settled. I think that's -- that is fair to someone who is in the middle of a site plan review, or has been asked to create more information for a site plan review. I think that's being fair to them and to everyone else. And I think there are probably

1	a couple of examples, okay, that the Planning Board
2	will be dealing with. But I think that whatever has
3	been prior, before the entertainment permit, shouldn't
4	really you know, their violation for noise
5	shouldn't really influence a permit that we've put in
6	place now that's brand new.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I completely agree with you.
8	I'm just saying on the flip side, obtaining an
9	entertainment permit does not obviate the need for
10	them to resolve
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Of course not.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: persistent
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I'm in complete agreement.
14	And the language is already there. The entertainment
15	permit language has
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: already been approved, it's
18	there, it's up at the Planning Board to make
19	appropriate decisions, so.
20	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. I don't have any other
21	comments on that.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Julia, did you have anymore
23	comments on the noise code?
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No. I'm good with the
25	entertainment permit, yeah.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I have just one more question
2	for Brian. I just want to make sure I understand this
3	completely. The two enforcement mechanisms that we
4	have, one is the metered response, and the other is
5	the prima facie, which is a much more it's more
6	subjective, and it's very broad, and it gives the
7	Village a lot of power. Neither of those mechanisms
8	are adequate to deal with leaf blowers as it's
9	written, is that your understanding?
10	ATTORNEY STOLAR: We have one provision with
11	regard to landscaping equipment that would
12	specifically apply to leaf blowers, but that's for any
13	piece of equipment. It doesn't separate leaf blowers
14	from other standard equipment that a landscaper can
15	use. Everything else seems to be tied to a specific
16	act or action, none of which would be a leaf blower.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And there's no language in
18	there that separates that from construction equipment,
19	skill saws, generators, you know, all that, you know,
20	construction noise in residential neighborhoods that's
21	always
22	MAYOR STUESSI: We have hours of operation for
23	construction, yes, but it doesn't
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's just hours of
25	operation

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: but meaning beyond that.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So, I mean, do we feel like
4	the specific provision for landscape equipment does
5	not adequately capture the public's concern about leaf
6	blowers?
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Absolutely not, because
8	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: It does not, no.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Does not.
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, it says that
12	you can use it between the hours of 7 oh, sorry.
13	It's prohibited between the hours of 7 p.m. and
14	8 a.m., Saturday prior to 9 or after 6, and on Sunday
15	or a public holiday. But other than that, they can
16	leaf-blow all day long.
17	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Thank you.
18	ATTORNEY STOLAR: So I will note that there are
19	what we call noise as I'm looking through it some
20	more, noise sensitive zones that also could be
21	impacted by virtue of leaf blowers, or by any piece of
22	equipment that would impact if it's being done that
23	would impact activities at a school, court, house of
24	worship, or public library, if the activity is being
25	performed adjacent to that facility. So you have

1	you have those. But other than that, I don't see
2	anything in here that seems to incorporate leaf blowers.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Thank you.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. So we the public
5	hearing is still open through next week. I think we
6	as a Board need to give consideration for the two
7	items that are at hand, which would be leaf blowers
8	and then the maritime use.
9	And I would ask, and we'll get a copy of it to
10	Brian, if he didn't already get it, of Tricia's
11	comments, which I see more as some cleanup language
12	that we should take a look at and have some
13	recommendations by him on that. And we will take
14	further public comment next Thursday, and discuss it a
15	bit more and make some decisions.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Sounds good.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: With that, I will turn it over
18	to the Board. Lily, you want to start?
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sure. I don't
20	really have too much. I am trying to put together a
21	Dances in the Park Committee. We might meet next
22	Thursday, which I haven't told Candace about yet.
23	And, hopefully, we'll let people know about that.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: When is the first Dances in the
25	Park?

1	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I don't know,
2	actually. In July, the first Monday in July?
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: We got time, but,
5	you know, a lot of people have been asking, or,
6	I mean, we've gotten emails from musicians interested.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
8	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And we have some
9	people interested in helping out, too, so.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Lily, you and I had a
11	discussion about Dances in the Park. Is now the
12	appropriate time
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yes. Thanks for
14	reminding me.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: perhaps to bring up that
16	the fees need to
17	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Oh.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or the payment to bands.
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah. I think we're
20	going to I mean, I talked about this last time, but
21	I think we're going to try to like up the payment for
22	the bands, because it hasn't been increased in a long
23	time and a few have been asking. And we recently
24	increased the sound fees, and so I think the bands
25	deserve some but we have to figure I mean, it's

1	all based on fundraising, but I think that's we'll
2	try to pay the musicians a little more.
3	One thing I've been thinking about, too, and we
4	don't have to decide this now, but I felt bring it up,
5	too, because I was mentioning it to Mary Bess, is the
6	possibility of the open container law on Monday
7	nights, thinking about having it not
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Waived.
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: waived, yeah.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Just because it is
12	de facto, and so why not make it an official thing,
13	instead of it being sort of
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We've had some people who
15	have gotten upset with you know, there's supposed
16	to be no alcohol within Mitchell Park, and Dances in
17	the Park, we've kind of put blinders on a little bit.
18	And I kind of agree with her, if we're going to do
19	that, we make the Maritime Museum, we do it for
20	Maritime Festival.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We waive it. I think it
23	might be good to do it for Dances in the Park as well.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: That makes sense to me. I think
25	we should look. There were rules established for

1	Mitchell Park, I don't know, eight years ago, as I
2	recall. Why don't we add them to the agenda for the
3	February Work Session and we can have a discussion
4	about it. I'll recirculate it to everybody and we can
5	talk about it.
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sounds good.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: It would make sense to me that
8	alcohol, by example, be allowed with Board approval on
9	specified events, whether it's Maritime or Dances in
10	the Park.
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: So that's all I
12	really have for that at the moment.
13	The Camera Obscura is still open by appointment,
14	and we did someone emailed us the other day, but
15	then it didn't work out. And I'm just looking ahead
16	to the next season, trying to figure out volunteers
17	and how to
18	MAYOR STUESSI: It's such a wonderful benefit
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: that got organized last
21	summer.
22	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: We definitely have
23	great volunteers, and we had a whole idea about
24	signage, which I'll keep working on.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh, great.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: And, yeah, just I think the Mayor will talk about this, but the meeting on the 11th, last Thursday, and upcoming meetings for Communication. We also are now on the calendar, the Communication Committee, every two weeks starting the 22nd. Monday is at 9 at the Schoolhouse and that's on the calendar. That's really all I have.

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I just want to give you a special thank you to what you and your committee have done to help with the community events, the Vision for Greenport events, communicating on that, our new newsletter. I know the beginnings are happening on the January one, which will be going out, so thank you for all that work.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, no problem.

MAYOR STUESSI: Julia?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. I did make a Carousel report, because a couple of things were discussed at the meeting. I think we've already discussed it here that suggests that the cost of a ride is going to go up to \$3 an hour, and we're trying to eliminate the quarters. So there, Carousel Committee is requesting a resolution from the Board to increase the Carousel rides to \$3. Is that something we can move forward?

MAYOR STUESSI: I'm in support of it. I think

1 we should keep the discounted books discounted. 2 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. This doesn't replace that. MAYOR STUESSI: Right. 3 4 TRUSTEE ROBINS: This is simply the cost of an individual ride. It also eliminates the need for 5 6 quarters, which is a real hassle for the employees down there. 7 MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, and most locals and those 8 9 from the greater area are buying books in any event. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct, correct. 10 11 that was a request from the Carousel Committee. 12 They're going to schedule the removal of the 13 Christmas decorations at the next meeting, they'll be 14 open to doing that. So one of the things is the new doorway and 15 16 ramps that were installed by North Fork Welding over 17 on the west side are working out really well, and I 18 think the committee would like to know if we can make 19

on the west side are working out really well, and I think the committee would like to know if we can make those permanent, permanent installation, instead of the door that we had over on the north side, I guess it is, you know, the wooden door that we removed. And we've been using the door which is existing, but we also removed the heater and the vents there, and there's a little interior ramp as well. So it makes for a really -- I don't know if anybody's been down

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	
	there to see it, but it's pretty, pretty nice,
2	actually, but it's not permanent. I believe that he
3	just put some angle irons, you know, North Fork
4	Welding shot some angle irons down into the stone. So
5	it would probably have to be a little more permanent,
6	but I think it should remain, and the Carousel
7	Committee agrees, so I'm just bringing that up.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, I think they work very
9	well, and it served the purpose when we needed to find
10	a solution to deal with
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Uh-huh. So
12	MAYOR STUESSI: some issues of the aging of
13	the park.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: So it would be my recommendation
16	that we leave it as is for the time being.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: There's a good amount of
19	maintenance that needs to be done at the park with all
20	the stone work and grout, etcetera, etcetera, you
21	know, that's going to be addressed separately.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. Yeah, I mean, I know,
23	obviously, that that's affixed to the existing
24	stonework and stuff like that. But, like I said, you
25	know, moving forward, keep it there eventually, but

for now and into the summer, I think it's a good thing to have, so.

I mean, I wrote some notes on my subcommittee on Parking and Wayfinding. The last meeting -- the last several meetings we discussed signage, specifically referring to directional signage that supports municipal parking lots, and a -- and the -- directing people to preferred pedestrian routes to get into the Village. Now, obviously, that's primarily for visitors, because local people know how to get into the Village already.

But we're going to generate a report based on a walking assessment of the current signage. So we're going to go around, a couple of people from the committee, and we'll make a note of everything that's there, and we'll take some photos and bring that back to the committee and eventually to the Board for suggestions.

We did also discuss the Third Street ferry project, the ferry queue project, in consideration of wayfinding and signage, specifically between the municipal Jitney lot and Mitchell Park. So they're asking to have a budget model in the new budget for additional signage, if we're going to be needing it. I mean, maybe we already have a budget line for that,

1 I don't know.

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I can tell you that we exhausted the entirety of the 2023 signage budget on purchasing five "No U-Turn" signs. So, Adam, why don't you make a note we should take a look at the signage budget for next year.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay, that would be good.

As far as traffic, we considered ways to increase pedestrian safety and aid in the general walkability of the Village. A suggestion was made to restrict commercial traffic on certain streets at certain times and days, consider restricting loading zones and parking on Third Street from the ferry to Front Street, and a suggestion that "No Parking from Here to Corner" signs be placed before, before all stop signs.

It seems that we have the vehicles parked, they're too close to the corner and they obstruct your visibility to see the stop sign. Either you got to raise the stop signs more, or -- but I think that -- I believe the code right now says 5 feet from the corner. I don't know if that's adequate, so it's just something to look at, that's all. These are only suggestions for us to look at.

MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So that's it. Thank you very much.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I just had two things. One,
4	since we've adopted our pro-housing pledge, I think we
5	should consider creating a standing committee for
6	housing matters. And I know we've discussed this and
7	I just want to put that out there.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, that's going to put in the
9	next newsletter to solicit some info. We've got a few
10	people that are interested, but try and, you know, see
11	if there's any others.
12	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. Well, I know that
13	Julia, I'm sure, has a roster of people that were
14	involved with her committee.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I do.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: There may be some.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have eight people from my
18	task force that we've been working with. It was more
19	of a think tank, really, something like that.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: But, you know, and you shared
22	the vision statement and everything that we devised
23	with that, so.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, and you shared it with me
25	before as well.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And the other item is I just
2	want to thank the Mayor and everyone in Village Hall
3	and in the Fire Department, maybe working behind the
4	scenes, that have helped the Marine Fire and Rescue
5	Squad obtain much needed new equipment and funding, so
6	it's greatly appreciated. I think it's a great thing
7	for the Fire Department and a very good thing for the
8	Village, so thank you.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: I think so, too. It's a very
10	important part of the Village that we need to give
11	more consideration to, and it's on my list. And I'd
12	love to see what the Fire Department might be able to
13	do overall in taking a look at the budget for that
14	specific department to make sure we're allocating
15	enough resources to it.
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, the Squad, yeah.
17	Thank you.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess?
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A couple of things. Getting
20	back to the Code Committee, we met, and the next
21	chapter or section in Chapter 150 is to deal with the
22	residential zones and housing discussions. And I
23	think the Mayor agreed, we had quite a lengthy
24	discussion about a lot of things.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Yep.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But one point that I put on the table in thinking it through, and the committee seemed to agree, is a discussion of short-term rentals. There were a lot of thoughts thrown out, how we need to move forward with it with some changes. Primarily, if we do allow certain auxiliary dwelling units, or if we're looking to create housing, not creating it without having some guidance or some changes to the short-term rentals, so that we don't add to that stock and not to housing for people who need housing.

Some of the ideas were to ban short-term -- not ban, but to discontinue short-term rentals altogether, and cutting it out at a certain ending period, to say, okay, as of a year from now, it will no longer be allowed within the Village.

Others, another suggestion was that we go to the 30-day minimum to allow those who have participated in Airbnbs to at least have an opportunity to continue, but within a limit that is more reasonable to the community members that live around them.

But it was felt that we do need to put the topic out there, and I am just putting it out there. There was no decisions, it was just being a discussion to how are we going to manage that in order to make sure

that whatever we do in the pro-housing discussions, that we protect that whole idea for pro-housing and not creating more short-term rentals. So that was the one thing that came out of Code that I'm putting on the table, so that you know that was part of the discussion.

We also felt that we wanted to hear, or have the report that came from the vision meeting the other day that Pace University put together. So, perhaps, hopefully, we'll be able to use them as a resource at the Code Committee on some ideas.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. And as I mentioned, we're expecting that tomorrow, so I'll share it with the Board, and we can share it publicly as well, in addition to scheduling some more meetings, but I think that's a good point. And in a lot of different jurisdictions, as they've looked at allowing ADUs, by example, you know, there are restrictions put in place. So that if one is creating an ADU that becomes affordable housing, whether it's for a family member or somebody else, it doesn't just get turned into a rental, which I think is important.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well that --

MAYOR STUESSI: The other part of that is the Southold Town housing plan that we are a part of, and

eventually we'll be able to start participating in receiving some of those funds, there needs to be restrictions as well. If somebody is going to be building an ADU and getting funding towards that, that it's not utilized as a rental.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. And one of the ideas is if we're going to deal with that type of a direction, that on the exception in the short-term rental for owner-occupied needs to kind of take a leave of absence and be taken out.

But that, you know, those were all the ideas that were thrown around. It was nothing definite, it was a think-tank discussion. But the one consensus that was agreed is that we do have to look at that code next, okay?

I also had mentioned last time that I think it's time that we reach out to the youth within the Village. I had a discussion with Lily this morning, and I'm going to ask the Board if they'll give us permission to try to create a plan to get some of the youth, maybe with the school, reach out to some people who actually do youth recreation activities that are for older, older students.

We seem to concentrate on the younger ones, but I think we have generations that are coming up that we

could encourage them to be part of the Junior Fire Department, or we could encourage them to be part of an activity within the Village where they could help us do something, some kind of activity that gets them involved where they actually understand what the Village government is and what a beautiful place we live in. And I think that's pretty much what we were discussing. So that's one thing I'd like to do.

And I'd like to be able to tap Margo DeVito -Paul's not here -- Margo DeVito to kind of -- since
she's in the rec. section of our Village, to kind of
have some discussions with her on some ideas, and
Janet Douglass, who's in the Southold Town
recreational program. Kind of get some thoughts
together, meet with Mindy at the -- at the skating
rink, perhaps Rena with the Skate Park, the Mini
Railroad, kind of cultivate a plan of action to start
getting activities to get the kids together to do some
public service.

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I think it's important to follow up on the conversation we had with the Chief -- TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.

MAYOR STUESSI: -- and the Assistant Chief on recruitment, because that's a perfect area to get more

of the youth involved. I'm sure you see it from your

experience, and I know how we're struggling to manage calls, and, you know, it's a lot of the same people. Whatever we can do to engage with more of the youth of the community, and in particular some of those who are less represented, especially, since I know you guys are also fielding a lot of calls from Spanish-speaking people.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, the Halloween Parade, having the Fire Department with the fire truck in Mitchell Park was -- it was exciting to see. It wasn't just little children, you know, it wasn't just the four or five, six-years-old. I saw a lot of the pre-teens went up to the fire truck and were asking a lot of questions of the firemen, so I think that's an opportunity.

The other thing that I'm going to bring up is I know that we're spending money on the Baymen's Dock to repair it. I'm going to throw -- and I asked you this question, is I think we should approach Southold Town about asking them to lease us the parking lot that's there. The ramp, the ramp that's there is ours, it's not theirs. I think if we were able to talk to them and negotiate something, that we would be able to find some funding at some point.

I know within the fishing industry there's some

grants coming up for infrastructure from NOAA. We could be able to get the ramp fixed, which does need fixing. A lot of people use it. And perhaps we could create some storage area to clean that whole section up, and give the baymen, who have -- who are tied up there, an area where they can keep their gear, so that it's -- you know, it's not -- it's not all over the place. It's -- you know, they have a -- they have a section that's for their gear. Also for protecting some of their equipment, because sometimes things disappear from there, whether it's one guy against another, or whatever. But I think I would like to entertain that idea of discussing it with Southold and see if they'd be willing to say, "Yeah, you could take care of it."

MAYOR STUESSI: Well, it's on the list as part of the coastal assessment and resiliency issues, which I'm going to talk about here in a few minutes. I would be reluctant to want to take over the actual land and maintain it when it's already in poor condition, and we have a boat -- what do you call it -- a ramp, to your point, that's in really pad shape. But I think by partnering with them on some of these coastal issues, that we can find funding together for it in order to get it approved, and that

would be my goal.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, either way, it needs to get put it on the table and discussed, because the fish -- a lot of the baymen, and there are -- there is a quagmire, because Southold Town has a -- you have to have a permit for a trailer, I do believe, okay? And at one point they started to try to charge for the ramp and that was a case that was thrown out.

So I think, yes, teaming up with them is great, but I also know that we need to clean up down there, because it's -- it's getting -- it's getting expansive, and it's just going to keep going if we don't do something. And I think the baymen that tie up there should get some benefit of having someplace to store their gear. And that's it for me.

MAYOR STUESSI: Did you want to talk briefly about where we are on the code for Chapter 44 and 101? I know we're going to be scheduling a public hearing for that. If you could, you know, remind the Board, I think it's important for everybody to take a look at it again and be ready to start discussing.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I would really like to perhaps create some efficiency in getting comments out, and perhaps everyone could take a look at it and perhaps send me some comments on it, what you feel,

between now and next work session. I think that would get us more focused on creating, if there are some discrepancies, or there are some new thoughts, or whatever. I think that would be helpful to us as a Board if we could get our thoughts before work session and get them on the table, and we all can think about them. I think that would be very helpful, especially with Chapter 101, which is the recreation chapter. I do know that there is some discussion of problems down at the end of Fifth Street parking lot. And I believe you're going to talk about something about the speed limits --

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- on the streets, and I think that's all going to tie in together at some point. So that's -- that's what I would like to see, if that's possible.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. So there will be a motion in next week's meeting to schedule the public hearing for our February work session. So I think, to your point, if everybody can be fully prepared for that discussion, send, send a list of any comments ahead of time, so that we can all be ready to discuss it, and then, obviously, you know, get into the public discussion that date. Did you have anything else?

1 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I'm done.

MAYOR STUESSI: So the other items I have just for quick Board discussion is we had briefly discussed in two prior meetings looking at Building Department fees. Village Counsel had made the suggestion that we should remove Building Department fees from the code and move it into policy, which would allow us to make adjustments more easily. I think that makes a tremendous amount of sense, as we discussed. So there will be a motion for that in next week's meeting to schedule a public hearing for it, and then we will also circulate a brief study of Building Department fees here versus Southold and a few other municipalities in advance of when we would be taking a look at that.

One of the other items we had on our list that we need to schedule a public hearing for is to eliminate the prohibition on meters within the Village. So that will be on next week's meeting, so that we can then make a decision thereafter to do a test of metered parking within certain limits of Front and Main on certain periods of time, as we have discussed.

And then the other one that I added on that we have not discussed yet, and I'd like to discuss now, which, frankly, makes plain sense to me, is,

1	evidently, Julia, or Mary Bess, or Paul, you'll
2	probably know better, I know you'll know better than
3	I, at some point the Village lowered the speed limit
4	on Front and Main Street from 30 to 25 miles an hour.
5	Does anybody remember about how long ago that was?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Four years ago.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: About four years.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: About four years ago.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. And so at that time it
10	was it was done for Front and Main, but was not
11	done in the rest of the Village. And it seems very
12	logical to me that we should lower it to 25 within the
13	rest of the Village. If we're slowing people down to
14	25 on Front and Main, we should be doing the same
15	thing in neighborhoods where people are walking their
16	dogs, and their kids are skateboarding, etcetera. And
17	then we could have one speed limit through the
18	entirety of the Village, and that could be signed at
19	the entrance to the Village as well.
20	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I think that makes a lot of
21	sense. I thought it was 25 miles per hour.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: No.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's 30 in the code.
24	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: There's not really
25	signs anywhere.

	Work Session 1/18/24 131
1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: You would not know it just
2	driving through the Village.
3	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, you wouldn't.
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: You see the sign, you come in
6	here, 25 miles an hour, and everybody thinks that's
7	what it is.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Is there are there posted
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, it's
11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Are there posted signs that say
12	MAYOR STUESSI: It's not posted in the
13	neighborhood, so.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, not nothing posted.
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I went driving around before
16	just to figure out
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: where that was. It's the
19	code.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, it's written in the
21	code, it's been there for years.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: So this is an enforcement issue
23	when we've had issues of speeding within the

if they're going --

neighborhoods, and the police can only ticket people

24

25

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: If they're going thirty.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: more than more than 30.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thirty miles and hour.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
5	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Doesn't and like
6	how do the streets work that are on the borders, like
7	is that a Village Street, as Washington and Bridge and
8	Seventh?
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, that's a good question.
10	Brian?
11	ATTORNEY STOLAR: If it's if it's
12	geographic if the portion of the street is
13	geographically within the Village boundaries, subject
14	to your regulation regarding the
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, as far as I
16	know, it's like half, it's like down the street.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Washington Avenue, the
18	history of Washington Avenue, one side is the Village
19	we had to do the stop sign.
20	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other side the Town of
22	Southold had to do the stop sign.
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right, and like
24	Bridge.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's like split right down

1	the middle.
2	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Right. So you would control
3	whichever side is in within your geographical
4	boundary.
5	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Oh. So if you're
6	going one way, it's 25. Okay, interesting.
7	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Well, it kind of meanders on
8	Washington, right?
9	ATTORNEY STOLAR: If you drive on the wrong side
10	of the road, you can be maybe
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right. I was just
12	curious.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Were you going to say something,
14	Paul?
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I mean, I would
16	have to confirm this with the County, I guess, but as
17	I read the Suffolk County GIS maps the Washington,
18	the street itself is Southold, but Bridge is the
19	Village. That's how I read them.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that right?
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's how I read them.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay, that's Bridge. I thought
23	one side of Bridge was
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I would need to confirm it.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have another piece of paper

fees, right, in the code? Do we -- can they all

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: So we have other kinds of

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.

23

24

25

1	become policy?
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I believe that's that's
3	what the goal was.
4	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah, you can
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It wasn't just the
6	ATTORNEY STOLAR: A fee, you can change it so
7	that you can adopt it by resolution it from time to
8	time as a policy.
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. So we're not limiting
12	ourselves to the building code?
13	MAYOR STUESSI: No, no, we wouldn't.
14	Parking
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The only one, Brian, we can't
16	deal with is the sewer one, correct?
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Rates, the rates.
18	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Sewer yeah, the sewer rates.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The sewer rate, that has to
20	stay the way it is. That's
21	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Any utility rate.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, okay.
23	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But it would still
24	be easily found, and posted, and publicly available.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It would not be all over the

1	place like it is.
2	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right. Or just like
3	it wouldn't be something you'd have to go to Village
4	Hall and ask or something.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: No. You could we could
6	literally create a rate card for fees
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: and make it even easier.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I may, Mr. Mayor,
10	currently there's a significant number of fees that
11	are listed in the Appendix A-156.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Uh-huh.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And, really, I think what
14	you would ultimately do, subject to Counsel's
15	agreement, that you would just move the Building
16	Department fees into that existing section. It also
17	makes it simpler for you to review on an annual basis.
18	You could just schedule a review once a year to go
19	through all of those fees
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Yep.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: and just simplify
22	everything.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Agreed. So the other items I
24	have on my list is the first one being our recent
25	public session in regards to housing people can

afford. Very successful evening a few weeks -- a week ago. We had about 75 people that attended. Opened up the doors, got a tremendous amount of comments and input from the community. As I mentioned earlier, we will have those collated from Pace University here tomorrow. You know, a really wonderful event. We're looking to schedule some more with different constituencies in the Village.

This is obviously an important subject, and Code Committee is going to be working on a number of items to bring in front of the Board here as part of our housing compact that we voted on to be a Pro-Housing Community per New York State Law.

In addition to that, the Governor mentioned us in a speech today, as a matter of fact, with new Super -- new County Executive Romaine. And we were mentioned a week ago as well for the work that we are endeavoring on, which I think is really wonderful for the community, but there's a lot of -- a lot for us to do.

I had already mentioned the fire boat and Village safety. I think this is an incredibly important item for our Village. And I know the Board is very supportive of our Marine Rescue Fire Team, and there's a lot more that we need to do. There were

recent -- two recent fire events in Mattituck, a fishing trawler that burned, and then another recreational boat. I think it really speaks to us being this historic working waterfront, and a number of historic buildings right on the water, let alone the population of boats and people out recreating, whether it's on a kayak or a boat, and what needs to be done. And we as a Village should be doing whatever we can to fund this part of the Fire Department, as well as working on getting them an even better boat, which is being done at the moment. There is a committee working on that, together with the Village.

The Coastal Assessment and Resiliency Plan and Town partnership updates: As we've discussed and everybody is aware, you know, we had that great meeting with Supervisor Russell and the Town Board early on in the new administration, and the Supervisor agreed that it was something they wanted to move on with us. It was great to see some seed money funded in their recent budget of \$100,000 towards it, which is a drop in the bucket towards what needs to be done, but it's a start. And I'm thrilled to say that new Supervisor Krupski and his Board is behind it, and we will be scheduling some joint meetings in the upcoming future to discuss this.

It's obviously in light of what we all just dealt with in the last week with some very surprising flooding that we were very fortunate. The weather was, dare I say, cooperative, and we didn't have the winds and rain that could have made it even worse, but it was, it was quite significant, and we are behind the proverbial eight ball on dealing with this. We need to make some tough decisions for the community, and also get the funding that we need in order to make some of the improvements and deal with it.

Our April annual Organization Meeting, Budget and State of the Village, I'm going to be communicating to the Board on some things I'll be looking for some assistance on, together with the Village team, in order to put something together for all of us that we will be ready to do this in the upcoming months and, you know, work on our ongoing plan.

And then the last item I had was already talked about earlier, which is the motion to accept the transfer of the boat from Southold Town to Greenport. So, hopefully, we can get that towed over next week, which would be fantastic, and get the team to do what little work they need to on this piece of equipment to get it ready and out on the water this spring.

park.

With that, is there anybody else from the public that has anything else they would like to speak on?

PETER HARRIS: Again, Peter Harris, 212 Knapp
Place, Greenport. Your earlier discussion about
Mitchell Park Music in the Park, about relaxing the open container law, I have no problem with that. One problem I do have with being one that attends is the rampant use of pot being smoked on the nights of Music in the Park. It's -- and as far as I know, it's -- pot is legal, but not in a public, a public, you know,

And I feel that on the nights of Music in the Park, there is normally foot patrol, Southold Town Police. I think it needs -- they need to be made aware to do their due diligence, because, quite honestly, I mean, I'm not -- I don't smoke pot, I don't like the smell of pot. If you, if you want to smoke it in your house or your yard, or whatever, that's, that's your gig. But I shouldn't -- I mean, someone that either has a glass of wine or has a, has a bottle or a can of beer down at Music in the Park, you're not, you're not inconveniencing me. But someone sitting back there smoking, smoking a joint, and I got to sit there and I got to smell, and I got to smell that for the, for the 2 or 2 1/2 hours that

1	the band's playing, it's offensive to me, and I feel
2	that's something that needs to be addressed.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Pete, just Paul, do we not
4	have something about I guess this is a Village
5	Attorney question cigarette smoke and pot smoke?
6	Are they one in the same as being
7	ATTORNEY STOLAR: When they when the law was
8	changed a couple of years back, they are one in the
9	same.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So do we not do
11	ATTORNEY STOLAR: We don't need we don't need
12	them.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Do we not have
14	something around the Carousel or "X" number of feet of
15	no smoking?
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I thought it was in the
17	park entirely.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I thought it was the entire
19	park, yeah.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I remember correctly.
21	PETER HARRIS: I can honestly say I've never,
22	I've never seen anybody smoking just a regular
23	cigarette
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, you're not supposed to.
25	PETER HARRIS: because you're not supposed to.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You're not supposed to.
2	PETER HARRIS: But I'm going to tell you,
3	especially as, as the sun sets while you're down
4	enjoying the music, the pot is rampant.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	PETER HARRIS: And it's just not, it's not fair
7	for anyone that doesn't indulge, but it's the law.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, no.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I believe it's a State
10	Law, isn't it, no smoking in public parks?
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In public places.
12	ATTORNEY STOLAR: No, it's not. It doesn't
13	it depends on what you have adopted. You do have a
14	provision that prohibits smoking within 30 feet of
15	playground equipment and the Village Carousel,
16	Mitchell Park Carousel, or any other place designated
17	by resolution of the Board of Trustees. So you can at
18	any point in time incorporate other locations.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: I have municipalities that
21	preclude it on sidewalks or public places like
22	streets, sidewalks, public parks obviously being one
23	of them, you choose.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I thought we
25	because that goes, that goes back to when Christina

1	Kempner was a Trustee. That's when we voted on the
2	moving away from the Carousel with the cigarettes.
3	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Uh-huh.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I just
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, if that's the case, most
6	of Mitchell Park where people are sitting would be
7	able to theoretically smoke, if it only says 30 feet
8	away
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. Currently, yeah.
10	MAYOR STUESSI: from the Carousel.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's what I'm saying.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: So we should look at changing
13	that, to your point, it's legal right now. We can't,
14	we can't stop it unless we change the code
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: To extend out the no smoking.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: and make it the entirety of
17	the park.
18	PETER HARRIS: Yeah. I'm just saying, you know,
19	it's you know, they changed the law, but the fact
20	of the matter is for, you know, anyone that does not
21	indulge should not have to, have to sit there and
22	smell the, smell the odor of someone doing their,
23	doing their thing.
24	Second thing, as you probably remembered, last
25	summer, a couple of different meetings I came here,

1	talked about things that were transpiring in the, in
2	the Carousel, unruly patrons. And one of the, one of
3	the big things that I also noticed is that every
4	single person, and most because they were
5	basically teen the majority of them were teenagers
6	that were attendants working at the Carousel,
7	everybody's got the cell phone. And ride's going and
8	we're (Demonstrating scrolling on phone). And, quite
9	honestly, if you're, if you're texting or you're
10	whatever on your cell phone, you're not doing your
11	job, for one thing, that you were hired to do. You
12	were hired to be attentive to what's going on during
13	the course of the ride. That, in my opinion, that
14	you if you're an employee and you come to work,
15	where the person that sells tickets and whatever at
16	the booth there, you take it and you have to put your
17	cell phone in a draw, or something, and you get to
18	pick your phone back up when your shift is over.
19	There is no, there is no reason why you would need to
20	use a cell phone while you're doing your job.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Paul, do we have any type of
22	cell phone policy in the Village employees policies?
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm not, I'm not 100%
24	certain, I'll check.
25	MAYOR STUESSI: I don't recall that we do.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But we could certainly
2	implement that specific
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Good idea.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: specifically at the
5	Carousel. I know that it is
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, I think we should look at
7	it for other roles as well. You know, one can use
8	their phone during breaks and things, but not during
9	the course of being on the job. Anything else, sir?
10	PETER HARRIS: They were the two things I really
11	hadn't planned on talking about. The one question,
12	the reason why I sat as long as I did near
13	everybody else
14	(Laughter)
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Everybody like to sit next to
16	Don Fisher. I'd come just to sit next to him.
17	PETER HARRIS: The and I the sewer hookup
18	to Sandy Beach, you I believe that during the past
19	administration you had gotten a grant as far as for
20	the for that hookup. I know it probably wasn't
21	enough to cover the entire project, but with these
22	astronomical tides, I mean, every one of those
23	cottages along Sandy Beach, I believe they all got
24	cesspools, and they were underwater. And I'm just
25	curious as to where, where is where are we, and not

1 being me, being me, but the Village, where, where is 2 that project? 3 MAYOR STUESSI: So that project's been worked on 4 for somewhere close to 20 years now, as I understand. 5 There was a limited amount of funding, which Paul can 6 speak to. 7 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's 390,000, it says. 8 MAYOR STUESSI: Of what would be close to a 9 \$3 million job. And we're in the midst of a sewer 10 emergency right now because of our main line breaking. 11 So what we are doing is we are doing an intensive 12 study to take a look at some particularly problematic 13 areas, but that is absolutely on the radar, together 14 with more. And my hope is that we as a Village will be able to get some funding from both the State and 15 16 the County to make overall infrastructure improvements which could potentially include them, but we also have 17 18 a number of pump stations that need work, and lines 19 that are in the existing Village not able to handle the load. 20 PETER HARRIS: The grant, is that still pending, 21 22 or is that expired, or --MAYOR STUESSI: It's going to expire, when? 23 24 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I think -- I communicated 25 with them recently, and as far as I know, it expires

1	in a year, at the end of this year. We got it
2	extended twice. I don't know that we could get it
3	extended again, but we could always try.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: Did you happen to go down there
5	during the storm last week? Because I went down that
6	way, and I will tell you the entirety of the
7	neighborhood going around to the harbor, even towards
8	Baymen's Dock, there was two feet of water on the road
9	over
10	PETER HARRIS: Oh, absolutely.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: heading that way.
12	PETER HARRIS: Absolutely.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: And a lot of houses that were
14	underwater in that entire neighborhood, most of which
15	are in Southold Town.
16	PETER HARRIS: The
17	MAYOR STUESSI: The cemetery was covered with water.
18	PETER HARRIS: I mean, for one thing, I mean,
19	like I said, I mean, and I don't recall. I mean, I
20	had the number written down just how many cottages
21	there are and every
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Twenty-six.
23	PETER HARRIS: And, you know, just from a point
24	of view that if 26 cesspools right there on the water
25	were removed, that's a possibility of some point in

time that -- with that being removed, that part and partial, maybe partial area of Stirling Creek could possibly be back open for shellfish, because of the fact that you no longer have that leaching. I mean, any of the homes along Stirling, there's no, there's no cesspools there. The two marinas, I believe they wanted, they also wanted to hook into the -- to the sewer.

MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, the contemplated plan would have gone across and hooked in Porto Bello Restaurant, which does sit within the Village, and then hook in the other marina as well, and then would go onto Sandy Beach.

PETER HARRIS: But, right now, I would probably say their septic system in place is actually a heck of a lot better than what's on Sandy Beach.

MAYOR STUESSI: No, it's an equally terrible situation.

PETER HARRIS: Okay, okay. Well, I mean, you know, for years, when people would use the term global warming, and I used to say, "You don't know what you're talking about," and I was, I was in the highway business for 43 years, and the last 12 the Superintendent of Highways for the Town of Southold, and I had road ends from the first year I took office

to 12 years later that you would ride down that used to be when at high tide there was still 20 foot of beach shoal. And when I left office, that same road end, there was water up into the parking lot 10 feet.

Now, you, Mr. Mayor, I know you're not, you're not, you're not born and raised here, but the -- what we call Town beach on 48, Southold Town owns the beach, and off to the west there's a giant rock, what they call Whale Rock.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which is theirs.

PETER HARRIS: The other day, when we had one of the high tides and it was flat calm, and that if you, if you didn't know there was a giant rock out there, because the first time in my life at a high tide that that rock was underwater.

MAYOR STUESSI: Our future is here.

PETER HARRIS: And, you know, it's -- the water level is not going down, the water level is just increasing and -- but, like I said, I mean, Sandy Beach, we got all the cesspools. And so, I mean, I was just born and raised here, and I'm just -- was curious as to -- I know the former Board had worked -- you know, had -- it was a big topic of discussion, had secured grants, but I was just curious as to where, where the --

1	couldn't finish it or what have you. And the
2	rationale for that was that it was actually an
3	economic development grant, so it was more tied to the
4	marinas than it was to Sandy Beach. So it was
5	Sandy Beach was kind of like a bonus part of that
6	grant, so.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Mr. North?
8	CHRIS NORTH: Chris North, 525 Second Street,
9	Greenport. The only thing I have to say, in regards
10	to the community housing, whatever the presentation
11	was, the only way I knew about it was through
12	Jill Doherty, and I'm on the Housing Board, Authority
13	Board. Never received an email, never received a
14	notification. And as someone that is on the Housing
15	Board and cares about community housing and affordable
16	housing, I think we should be notified, the committee
17	members, to know what's going on as presentations.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Are you on the Village email
19	list?
20	CHRIS NORTH: Yes, I am, never received it.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: It was you didn't get the
22	newsletter?
23	CHRIS NORTH: Nope.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Because there was a newsletter
25	that went out to about 800

1 CHRIS NORTH: I got an email from you from a 2 subscription, basically. MAYOR STUESSI: So the newsletter went out to 3 4 about eight -- when you're done, why don't you send me 5 your email. 6 CHRIS NORTH: Yeah. MAYOR STUESSI: We'll check it up against the 7 8 email list, because it went out to like 800 people in 9 late December, which mentioned the meeting. And then there was another reminder that went out the week of 10 11 as well. 12 CHRIS NORTH: Okay. 13 MAYOR STUESSI: So there must be an issue with 14 your email address that's in the Village email server. TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: That's a good point, 15 16 though, Chris, and I take some of that on as being the communication person. We should do more outreach to 17 18 relevant people, like you said. CHRIS NORTH: But I think it should be a -- I've 19 been on many different government meetings. I serve on 20 21 the Police Advisory Committee, I serve on different 22 committees in the Town government. When there are 23 certain committees that pertain to your zoning or to

an email, "These parties please come to this

your particular committee, usually the Town would send

24

1	presentation, because this is in regard to your
2	purview."
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, it makes perfect sense.
4	CHRIS NORTH: I think that should be something
5	the Village should do, so that the parties are there
6	to be part of the conversation to make sure we know
7	what's going on.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Great. Thank you. Mr. Murray?
9	DAVID MURRAY: Hello. David Murray, 332 Fifth
10	Avenue, Greenport. I got a couple of things. One of
11	the things is regarding the code and how it relates to
12	me being in construction.
13	So your the new codes, if I'm correct, were
14	adopted October 19th, or roughly around there. Is
15	MAYOR STUESSI: You're talking in Commercial
16	Retail and Waterfront Commercial?
17	DAVID MURRAY: It's actually Residential. This
18	one pertains to residential
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
20	DAVID MURRAY: permit application that I did
21	September 12th, which was about six, six weeks prior
22	to then. The code has to deal with driveways and
23	patios that are considered lot coverage. So if I did
24	a permit on September 12th, and I'm not bringing up a
25	sore subject, but it took a very long time for the

1	permit to get looked at, which was just last month, do
2	I get to abide by September 12th's codes for lot
3	coverage, or do it finally got it looked at in
4	December, let's say.
5	ATTORNEY STOLAR: The simple
6	DAVID MURRAY: I'm kind of looking at the
7	Attorney.
8	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah, that's fine. The simple
9	answer is whether or not you vested in your
10	development rights or your building rights. So if
11	you've taken certain steps, by law that includes
12	substantial construction, substantial reliance, then
13	you may the Building Department will have to review
14	it, but you may have vested, and if you vested, the
15	old law applies. If you have not, then the current
16	law will apply to your proposed development.
17	DAVID MURRAY: I don't really understand exactly
18	what you said with vested. Can you, can you so
19	what do you mean?
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Vesting, it's a term of art,
21	but it really what it means is have you taken
22	substantial steps, have you done substantial
23	construction, have you spent substantial funds?
24	DAVID MURRAY: No, no, no. I'm just this is
25	a permit application that I turned in in September.

1 ATTORNEY STOLAR: Right. So then if that's the 2 case, then you have likely not vested, you're going to 3 be subject to the new law. DAVID MURRAY: Vested, though there was no 4 moratorium on residential. So September 12th was six 5 6 weeks, roughly six weeks. It's not like I was trying to cram it in prior to a code change. On Village 7 8 paperwork it says that my application is going to be 9 reviewed between two to three weeks, would have been well before the new codes. And since the Building 10 11 Department is backed up months and months, it's like 12 an abyss. So how -- so are you saying that new codes 13 apply to me? I mean, my vested was a huge plan that we put together from plans, from engineering, from 14 everything. And now you're saying that the -- that 15 16 it's no good? ATTORNEY STOLAR: No. 17 What I'm saying is the 18 current law would apply to your proposal. 19 DAVID MURRAY: Do you, do you agree with that? 20 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Dave, I have to ask you a 21 question on the application. Did that application 22 have to go before any other Statutory Board? 23 DAVID MURRAY: No. 24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. 25 TRUSTEE ROBINS: A straight building permit.

DAVID MURRAY: Now it will. And, actually, what's going to happen is, you know, the Zoning Board is going to get inundated with more paperwork that we, that we, that we call it in the building any time a building is nonconforming, you have to get all these variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, I could see the	1	DAVID MURRAY: No. Now it will.
what's going to happen is, you know, the Zoning Board is going to get inundated with more paperwork that we, that we, that we call it in the building any time a building is nonconforming, you have to get all these variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, no.
is going to get inundated with more paperwork that we, that we, that we call it in the building any time a building is nonconforming, you have to get all these variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%.  So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	3	DAVID MURRAY: Now it will. And, actually,
that we, that we call it in the building any time a building is nonconforming, you have to get all these variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%.  So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	4	what's going to happen is, you know, the Zoning Board
building is nonconforming, you have to get all these variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	5	is going to get inundated with more paperwork that we,
variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.  Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	6	that we, that we call it in the building any time a
Well, the new code with the driveway, which really should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	7	building is nonconforming, you have to get all these
should be looked at in itself, because the Village was begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	8	variances, right? Everybody knows that problem.
begging people to build driveways to get them off the street, now we're calling that part of the lot coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, that would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	9	Well, the new code with the driveway, which really
street, now we're calling that part of the lot  coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway,  which is going to push so many people over the 30%.  So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the  whole permit application? If any one of you did that,  what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know  DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on  grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now  they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot  coverage. So	10	should be looked at in itself, because the Village was
coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway, which is going to push so many people over the 30%. So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	11	begging people to build driveways to get them off the
which is going to push so many people over the 30%.  So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	12	street, now we're calling that part of the lot
So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	13	coverage. So you got lot coverage with the driveway,
whole permit application? If any one of you did that, what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	14	which is going to push so many people over the 30%.
what would you want?  TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know  DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on  grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now  they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot  coverage. So	15	So you're saying that, that my plan is not vested, the
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know  DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on  grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now  they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot  coverage. So	16	whole permit application? If any one of you did that,
DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.  TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on  grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now  they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot  coverage. So	17	what would you want?
TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking of it, you know
grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean  DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now  they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot  coverage. So	19	DAVID MURRAY: Yeah. No, I know.
DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of the driveway on
they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot coverage. So	21	grade, right? I mean, we always we, I mean
24 coverage. So	22	DAVID MURRAY: This driveway, it's now
<b>C</b>	23	they're calling patios, walls, driveway as lot
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, I could see the	24	coverage. So
	25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, I could see the

1	difference between a wall and a patio or something
2	that's on grade, right, because usually on anything
3	that's on grade, it's not taxable, right? Isn't it
4	considered part of the
5	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: configuration of a property?
7	ATTORNEY STOLAR: The lot coverage definition,
8	as it currently exists, to which your as you
9	described
10	DAVID MURRAY: You mean what's on the what's
11	with the new code or
12	ATTORNEY STOLAR: New code.
13	DAVID MURRAY: or the one that's on the
14	website? Okay.
15	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Excluded from lot coverage are
16	at-grade driveways, paths, walkways and parking areas.
17	So your driveway is not included based on the new code.
18	DAVID MURRAY: Patios but pool patios are?
19	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Swimming pools are.
20	DAVID MURRAY: Yeah, swimming
21	ATTORNEY STOLAR: The definition of swimming
22	pool does not include the patio area around it. So
23	that will be up to the Building Department as to how
24	they'll interpret that code provision.
25	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Mr. Murray, we did discuss

change, and I brought this to the attention of my  colleagues here. And some of the earlier versions  were more restrictive, so more things were going to be  included in that lot coverage. And I did advocate to  carve out driveways, pathways, things like that. I  was aware that this was going to throw many properties  into to exceed the lot coverage.  DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a  bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now  every so everybody that does a small addition to  their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but  there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get  denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying  is that my pool patio does not won't be included in  that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I  would think would probably overlook it since it's six	1	this in detail when we were contemplating that code
were more restrictive, so more things were going to be included in that lot coverage. And I did advocate to carve out driveways, pathways, things like that. I was aware that this was going to throw many properties into to exceed the lot coverage.  DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	2	change, and I brought this to the attention of my
included in that lot coverage. And I did advocate to carve out driveways, pathways, things like that. I was aware that this was going to throw many properties into to exceed the lot coverage.  DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	3	colleagues here. And some of the earlier versions
carve out driveways, pathways, things like that. I  was aware that this was going to throw many properties  into to exceed the lot coverage.  DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a  bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now  every so everybody that does a small addition to  their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but  there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get  denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying  is that my pool patio does not won't be included in  that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	4	were more restrictive, so more things were going to be
was aware that this was going to throw many properties into to exceed the lot coverage.  DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	5	included in that lot coverage. And I did advocate to
DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	6	carve out driveways, pathways, things like that. I
DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a bit in.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	7	was aware that this was going to throw many properties
10 bit in.  11 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  12 DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now  13 every so everybody that does a small addition to  14 their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  15 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but  16 there would be more.  17 DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get  18 denied until it is cleaned up.  19 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  20 DAVID MURRAY: Right?  21 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  22 DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying  23 is that my pool patio does not won't be included in  24 that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	8	into to exceed the lot coverage.
11 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.  12 DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now  13 every so everybody that does a small addition to  14 their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  15 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but  16 there would be more.  17 DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get  18 denied until it is cleaned up.  19 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  20 DAVID MURRAY: Right?  21 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  22 DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying  23 is that my pool patio does not won't be included in  24 that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	9	DAVID MURRAY: Right, it's going to put quite a
DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	10	bit in.
every so everybody that does a small addition to their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I understand.
their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	12	DAVID MURRAY: And it's going to and now
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	13	every so everybody that does a small addition to
there would be more.  DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get  denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying  is that my pool patio does not won't be included in  that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	14	their house has to do paperwork, cleanup.
DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get denied until it is cleaned up. TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. DAVID MURRAY: Right? TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right. DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: They may. Not everybody, but
denied until it is cleaned up.  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	16	there would be more.
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.  DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	17	DAVID MURRAY: Well, everything's going to get
DAVID MURRAY: Right?  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	18	denied until it is cleaned up.
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.  DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah.
DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	20	DAVID MURRAY: Right?
is that my pool patio does not won't be included in that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	21	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: That's right.
that, or it's up to the Building Department, which I	22	DAVID MURRAY: All right. So what you're saying
	23	is that my pool patio does not won't be included in
would think would probably overlook it since it's six	24	that, or it's up to the Building Department, which ${\bf I}$
	25	would think would probably overlook it since it's six

DAVID MURRAY: And now it's going to get set up through all the Boards.

24

	Work Session 1/18/24 160
1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	DAVID MURRAY: So my question is
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, it sounds like it's
4	undetermined of a pool patio.
5	DAVID MURRAY: No, that will put me over, it
6	will put me over the percentage. Right now it would
7	be 29.6%, so that would take me over to like 32%,
8	which puts me into
9	MAYOR STUESSI: But, pardon me, if I heard you
10	correctly, you said it's going to be up to the
11	Building Department to make a determination as to
12	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Yeah, I mean, there
13	MAYOR STUESSI: whether the patio is
14	considered lot coverage. The pool would be considered
15	lot coverage.
16	DAVID MURRAY: Yeah, the pool is.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: But the patio is not.
18	DAVID MURRAY: The pool is already lot coverage,
19	it's the existing patio.
20	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Patio depends I mean, it's
21	defined as a structure. I know how I'd read it, but
22	I'm still I'd still defer it to the Building
23	Department to
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.

ATTORNEY STOLAR: -- make the final

1	determination.
2	DAVID MURRAY: Okay. So that can be a
3	discussion, which is fine. So I just wanted to see
4	how these codes are going to work with permits that
5	were turned in prior. So you're saying that
6	MAYOR STUESSI: That's a State Law. I mean
7	DAVID MURRAY: Well
8	ATTORNEY STOLAR: if you want to speak to it,
9	we don't have any ability
10	ATTORNEY STOLAR: The only way around it would
11	have been if we adopted provisions specifically
12	grandfathering applications that already were part
13	were already in the Building Department.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Right.
15	ATTORNEY STOLAR: Short of that, no, the current
16	law applies to any application that's still pending.
17	DAVID MURRAY: All right. On a lighter note, I
18	want to talk we'll talk about the basketball
19	courts, they need new nets. I was involved in the
20	basketball courts, so I'm hoping that we could put
21	that on the
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, it's interesting you say
23	that, because we bought new nets in July for the
24	tournament and
25	DAVID MURRAY: And nobody put them up?

nine, ten years ago?

	Work Session 1/18/24 163	
1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Nine years ago.	
2	DAVID MURRAY: Nine years ago.	
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, I remember that, yes.	
4	DAVID MURRAY: So	
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Big day in the Village.	
6	DAVID MURRAY: Yeah, that was a big day.	
7	(Laughter)	
8	DAVID MURRAY: And if you I think that's all	
9	I had. That was my main question, is how that code	
10	was working. Thank you very much for your time.	
11	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir. Mr. Fisher?	
12	Oh, you know what? Don, if you want to take a seat,	
13	we need to give our Stenographer a quick break.	
14	DON FISHER: Okay.	
15	(Recess: 8:59 p.m 9:01 p.m.)	
16	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. Mr. Fisher, if you	
17	want to head up.	
18	DON FISHER: Don Fisher, Railroad Museum of Long	
19	Island, 440 Fourth Street, Greenport. It's my	
20	pleasure to advise the Board that the Pomeroy	
21	Foundation has granted us funding	
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Yes.	
23	DON FISHER: for a beautiful plaque to go	
24	down at the opening to the to the railroad.	
25	MAYOR STUESSI: Fantastic. What's the timing	

1	on that?
2	DON FISHER: Well, it's very possible that it
3	would be ready by the end of March. But it was
4	180 years ago on Saturday, July 27th, 1844, that the
5	first train got here to Greenport. And this year, the
6	180th Anniversary, July 27th is a Saturday. So my
7	suggestion to my Board and to Pomeroy and to you is
8	that we unveil it 180 years to the day on Saturday,
9	July 27th, if that sounds good for you guys. We'll
10	get the plaque and hold it, keep it covered up, and we
11	could have a right nice little ceremony down there,
12	and you and I, we'll get a couple of gold shovels and
13	dig the hole.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Fantastic.
15	(Laughter)
16	DON FISHER: Have it set up. And there is some
17	discussion that the Long Island Railroad, to
18	celebrate, may also be having an excursion train.
19	MAYOR STUESSI: Really?
20	DON FISHER: Yeah.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: That would be wonderful.
22	DON FISHER: As they did 15 years ago, they
23	would come out just special for the day.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.
25	DON FISHER: So whether

DON FISHER: Regular D.E.  MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.  DON FISHER: You know, dual-mode BI-LO. But  they did send a special train out 15 years ago for the  175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added  a couple of cars that we use specifically for people  that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as  if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to  bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd  like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do  the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it  around when and if the excursion train got here, and  it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out  secrets that I haven't told anybody about. I mean,	1	MAYOR STUESSI: What train did they use for
MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.  DON FISHER: You know, dual-mode BI-LO. But they did send a special train out 15 years ago for the 175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added a couple of cars that we use specifically for people that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	2	that?
5 DON FISHER: You know, dual-mode BI-LO. But 6 they did send a special train out 15 years ago for the 7 175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added 8 a couple of cars that we use specifically for people 9 that want to go on the excursions. I don't know. 10 It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as 11 if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to 12 bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd 13 like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do 14 the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it 15 around when and if the excursion train got here, and 16 it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history. 17 MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. 18 I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents. 19 DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum. 20 (Laughter) 21 DON FISHER: So 22 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday. 23 (Laughter) 24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	3	DON FISHER: Regular D.E.
they did send a special train out 15 years ago for the 175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added a couple of cars that we use specifically for people that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	4	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah.
175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added a couple of cars that we use specifically for people that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	5	DON FISHER: You know, dual-mode BI-LO. But
a couple of cars that we use specifically for people that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday. (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	6	they did send a special train out 15 years ago for the
that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.  It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	7	175th. They've also at five-year intervals just added
It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	8	a couple of cars that we use specifically for people
if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	9	that want to go on the excursions. I don't know.
bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd  like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do  the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it  around when and if the excursion train got here, and  it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	10	It's in the talking phase right now, but it seems as
like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute. I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum. (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday. (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	11	if that's something the Railroad wants to do, is to
the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	12	bring a special train out. So if that happens, I'd
around when and if the excursion train got here, and it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	13	like us to stay with a plan for the 27th of July to do
it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.  MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	14	the unveiling of the plaque, and we'd kind of work it
MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.  I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	15	around when and if the excursion train got here, and
I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.  DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	16	it could be a lot of fun. So viva la history.
DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.  (Laughter)  DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	17	MAYOR STUESSI: Mary Bess will play the flute.
20 (Laughter) 21 DON FISHER: So 22 TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday. (Laughter) 24 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	18	I don't know if anybody else has any musical talents.
DON FISHER: So  TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	19	DON FISHER: I could get out the old bass drum.
TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.  (Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	20	(Laughter)
(Laughter)  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	21	DON FISHER: So
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out	22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: We'll make it a holiday.
	23	(Laughter)
secrets that I haven't told anybody about. I mean,	24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don, you're bringing out
	25	secrets that I haven't told anybody about. I mean,

	Work Session 1/18/24 166
1	you know, remember, remember, I'm the fishmonger's
2	wife, remember?
3	DON FISHER: Oh, much more than the fishmonger's
4	wife. All right. So that's
5	MAYOR STUESSI: We've got until we've got
6	until 12:30 at night to have music playing, so.
7	(Laughter)
8	DON FISHER: Well, we'll do it before the
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Not 2 a.m., Don.
10	DON FISHER: No, no, before the sun goes down.
11	So thank you.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Anybody else? Dinni?
13	DINNI GORDON: No.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: No? All right. Unless anybody
15	else on the Board has anything, I will make a motion
16	to close the meeting. Do I have a second?
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'll second that.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
20	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
22	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
24	Thank you, everybody.
25	(The Meeting was Adjourned at 9:04 p.m.)

	Work Session 1/18/24 167
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )
4	) SS:
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )
6	
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and Notary
8	Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a true
11	and correct transcription of the proceedings taken on
12	January 18, 2024 to the best of my ability.
13	I further certify that I am not related to any
14	of the parties to this action by blood or marriage,
15	and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
16	this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18	this 1st day of February, 2024.
19	
20	<u>Lucia Braaten</u> Lucia Braaten
21	Edora Bradeon
22	
23	
24	
25	