1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
3	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK: STATE OF NEW YORK
4	PLANNING BOARD
5	REGULAR MEETING
6	X
7	
8	September 3, 2015 5:08 p.m.
9	Third Street Firehouse
10	Greenport, New York
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	MINUTES OF PROCEEDING
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Donna L. Ritzmann Court Reporter
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
5	DEVIN McMAHON, Chairman
6	BRADLEY BURNS, Member
7	PETER JAQUET, Member
8	PAT MUNDUS, Member
9	PAI MONDOS, Membel
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	GLYNIS BERRY, Planning Board Coordinator
12	GHINIS BERRI, Flamming Board Cooldinator
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: This is
3	the Village of Greenport Planning
4	Board September 3rd, 2015 Meeting,
5	it's a regular meeting.
6	The first hearing is
7	continued over from the last, from
8	the work session, Public Hearing
9	for the Site plan application for
10	Sterling Square LLC, Brent Pelton,
11	owner. The Planning Board has
12	determined that for purposes of
13	SEQRA this is a Type I Action
14	requiring a public hearing. The
15	SEQRA review is of the entire
16	project, reconstruction of a first
17	floor restaurant, removal and
18	replacement of the outside area
19	with a pergola, installation of
20	outside seating and fireplace, and
21	renovation and reconstruction of
22	the second floor space for a new
23	use as a five room inn. The
24	property is located a 300-308 Main
25	Street. It is located within the

I	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	Historic District and in the CR
3	(Commercial Retail) zone. SEQRA
4	public hearing is to be re-noticed
5	to September 24, 2015 work session
6	meeting. Suffolk County Tax Map
7	1001-47-29.1. We had some
8	comments at the last meeting and
9	we will accept other comments
10	today. We were given notice by
11	the Board of Trustees that they
12	would like to weigh in on this, so
13	we won't be making a determination
14	on that this evening. Comments,
15	if anyone would like to add, I do
16	have a response to the items that
17	were brought up last time, but I'm
18	not going to be reading it this
19	evening. I gave it to Joe Prokop
20	for review, so I'm going to wait
21	until I get his interpretation on
22	it, but again, if anyone has
23	comments?
24	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, William
25	Swiskey, Mr. Prokop and the

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	building inspector aren't here
3	tonight, that's unusual, isn't it?
4	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes,
5	Mr. Prokop was unable to attend.
6	He was not certain if he was
7	planning on talking about
8	possibly having a replacement
9	come, but again, we won't be
10	making a decision on the major
11	issues.
12	MR. SWISKEY: And the
13	building inspector, is there a
14	reason she's not here?
15	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I don't
16	know.
17	MS. MUNDUS: The building
18	inspector comes not to all of our
19	meetings because our planning
20	person is here (Referring).
21	MR. SWISKEY: Because I had
22	certain questions for them because
23	at the last meeting they came up
24	with an interpretation that this
25	inn calls for no additional

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	parking in the village code,
3	right, you read that?
4	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I read
5	from the section of the code. I
6	believe it was part of the
7	appropriate
8	MR. SWISKEY: And you
9	reviewed that with the village
10	attorney. See, what the problem I
11	have with this is the restaurant
12	down the street and across the
13	street, they were forced by the
14	Planning Board, not this board, I
15	don't know who was on the board at
16	the time, but it was the same
17	attorney and the same building
18	inspector, and they were forced to
19	spend tens of thousands of dollars
20	for parking, they couldn't put in
21	a pool with the hotel, and they
22	were forced to do it by the
23	village, yet you're telling me
24	that a law existed in 1991 that
25	they didn't have to do that so

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	that's what I wanted to ask
3	Mr. Prokop and the building
4	inspector about, because the code
5	enforcement in this village seems
6	to go, well, this week we'll do
7	this and this week we'll do that
8	and not what the law says. Now
9	this is, you know, you can say
10	this is an existing building and
11	maybe it is and that would be up
12	to you to determine, but if you're
13	gonna put in an inn, all right,
14	and he's gonna have you have to
15	realize he's gonna put rooms above
16	the wings, too, that's in the
17	future, he's got about nine or 10
18	inn rooms now, you know, plus the
19	two wings. He kicked the tenants
20	out.
21	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We can
22	only speak to the application
23	before us.
24	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, but I'm
25	just telling you reality, he

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	didn't evict those people for no
3	reason, they're going to be inn
4	rooms, and that's his business,
5	but the point is there's going to
6	be 10 rooms, there's going to be
7	10 cars, where do you park them?
8	MS. MUNDUS: Are you
9	saying pardon me for responding
LO	partially to what you're saying,
11	but whether there are residents
12	living in the apartments or hotel
13	guests living in those wings as
L4	you called them
15	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
16	MS. MUNDUS: they all
L7	drive the same amount of cars, I
18	don't think that's
19	MR. SWISKEY: I understand
20	that
21	MS. MUNDUS: The occupants
22	who are already in the apartments
23	that Bill has said will soon
24	become wings of the hotel, that's
25	conjecture, drive the same amount

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	of cars that a hotel guest would
3	drive, so I really don't think
4	that that comment has a lot of
5	MR. SWISKEY: All right.
6	So then it's not 10 spaces, he
7	needs five additional spaces.
8	MS. MUNDUS: I don't have a
9	copy of the code with me because I
10	came here flying from my workplace
11	and I didn't have time to print it
12	out, but I'm fairly certain from
13	memory it is not the use of the
14	building that determines whether
15	or not the parking is exempt or
16	not, so.
17	MR. SWISKEY: Well, were
18	you on the board for the hotel,
19	Bego Hotel?
20	MS. MUNDUS: I was not.
21	MR. SWISKEY: All right.
22	Nobody here was. Because the
23	Planning Board at that time with
24	the concurrence of the village
25	attorney and the building

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	inspector forced them to provide
3	parking that under this law they
4	didn't need to provide, that's
5	what I wanted to know because this
6	code enforcement seems to be
7	very
8	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: It's my
9	opinion that, it's my personal
10	opinion, under the village code
11	they would not have been required
12	to provide those spaces, however,
13	under the State Environmental
14	Quality Review Act if there was a
15	consideration of the imposition on
16	the public under the authority of
17	that code, for that I believe
18	there's a possibility
19	MR. SWISKEY: So you're
20	saying
21	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: But
22	again, that's not for me to say.
23	MR. SWISKEY: Can I ask why
24	Mr. Prokop's not here? So you're
25	saying under SEQRA the village

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	could require them to put in
3	parking?
4	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: It's not
5	my place to make that
6	determination. I think that's a
7	reasonable argument, but that's
8	not for me to say.
9	MR. SWISKEY: Well, that's
10	what bothers me, they knew I was
11	coming tonight and asking these
12	questions, neither one is here,
13	that really bothers me. And I
14	mean the public at this point I
15	would hold this hearing open until
16	they're here to answer the
17	public's questions.
18	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: This
19	won't be closed out tonight.
20	MR. SWISKEY: No. There
21	should be another public hearing
22	where they're here to answer
23	because they're supposedly the
24	experts on the code. And I can't
25	get an answer, so how can I

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	comment in a reasonable manner?
3	MS. MUNDUS: Well, the code
4	is very clear, it's the
5	interpretation of the code that
6	you have a problem with.
7	MR. SWISKEY: I don't have
8	a problem with the interpretation
9	of the code, I didn't have a
10	problem when they told him he had
11	to have all that additional
12	parking and that cost him a lot of
13	money, you realize that.
14	MS. MUNDUS: This has
15	nothing to do with the decision
16	today.
17	MR. SWISKEY: It's the same
18	issue, you can't hide from it.
19	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: No one's
20	hiding from anything. You're
21	talking about an application that
22	came before a different set of
23	board members.
24	MR. SWISKEY: A different
25	board, but the attorney and the

I	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	building inspector were the people
3	that stood up and basically
4	advised the board that this guy
5	had to have parking, so now I want
6	to ask them what made them change
7	their mind?
8	MS. MUNDUS: I would like
9	to know what's the occupancy of
10	the hotel across the street
11	MR. SWISKEY: It doesn't
12	matter according to code.
13	MS. MUNDUS: It does
14	matter, because it's a ratio of
15	occupancy
16	MR. SWISKEY: You read the
17	code last week, the parking code,
18	Prokop sat there and, Ms. Mundus,
19	you can't play it both ways, you
20	read the code, right,
21	Mr. Chairman?
22	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.
23	MR. SWISKEY: And it said
24	basically parking's not an issue.
25	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	believe they're exempt, yes. I
3	believe
4	MR. SWISKEY: Occupancy had
5	nothing to do with it.
6	MS. MUNDUS: That has
7	nothing to do with us.
8	MR. SWISKEY: No, but it
9	has to do with interpretation of
10	the code. And I'm trying to find
11	out why the code in one case is
12	being determined to be A and in
13	the other one they're determined
14	to be B. And I think that's very
15	important to the citizens or
16	anybody coming before the board.
17	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Totally
18	understandable, I agree that there
19	should be clear, open
20	interpretation, everyone should
21	have the same understanding of the
22	way the code is.
23	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
24	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I can
25	only explain my rationale for the

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	decision we've made and I'm
3	comfortable with that, and I
4	believe we interpreted the code
5	correctly.
6	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Again, I
8	can't speak to anything that was
9	done before I was here.
10	MR. SWISKEY: Okay, I
11	understand you can't speak to it,
12	but the problem is
13	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: You will
14	have an opportunity to speak with
15	Mr. Prokop will be at the as I
16	said earlier, the public hearing
17	was re-noticed for September 24th
18	work session, it's going to be
19	also discussed at the Board of
20	Trustees next meeting, so there
21	will be ample opportunity to bring
22	it up.
23	MR. SWISKEY: Because more
24	importantly, and whether anybody
25	cares to admit it or not, it's not

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	what even you know, if he wants
3	to have 10 rooms, I don't have a
4	problem with it, there's no
5	parking in Greenport anyway, all
6	right, so people are gonna park
7	where they park, we all know
8	that's the reality, but what I
9	don't like is reality where one
10	application is treated differently
11	than another and that seems to be
12	going on a lot lately in this
13	village.
14	MS. MUNDUS: Can you tell
15	me what date that application was?
16	MR. SWISKEY: Oh, that's at
17	least two year's old or more that
18	I know of, three, maybe four
19	MS. MUNDUS: So I wouldn't
20	call that "Lately."
21	MR. SWISKEY: Well, it's
22	the same principle. We can do
23	semantics all you want. A village
24	control board told this person he
25	needed something that he actually

Τ	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	didn't, and that's
3	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
4	believe, I'll say it again, this
5	is just my opinion, this is not
6	legal opinion, it's not the
7	official decision of the board, my
8	understanding is that the village
9	code would exempt those properties
10	from additional parking
11	requirements. I believe that the
12	State Environmental Quality Review
13	Act possibly could allow a board
14	to impose those additional
15	requirements.
16	MR. SWISKEY: It's
17	possible. But what I'm saying is
18	that it just doesn't make any
19	sense, two different
20	interpretations, that's when
21	you do that as a village and you
22	do that, you can get to look
23	ridiculous.
24	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Again,
25	I

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	MR. SWISKEY: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: can't
4	speak to what
5	MR. SWISKEY: I'm not
6	blaming you.
7	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I can
8	only go forward in the best
9	possible way that I can do.
10	MR. SWISKEY: You didn't do
11	it, but what I'm saying is
12	MS. MUNDUS: The important
13	thing is that we're doing the
14	right thing now, that's the
15	important thing.
16	MR. SWISKEY: Well, I heard
17	that with Smoked Fish, too, and it
18	operated for a year and-a-half or
19	a year before it even got its
20	approvals, I mean this is what's
21	going on.
22	MS. MUNDUS: It's not
23	before us.
24	MR. SWISKEY: Bulkheads
25	heing but in without an

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	environmental review.
3	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I
4	understand you have a number of
5	concerns with the village and how
6	it's being run.
7	MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: My only
9	concern right now is Planning
10	Board and issues before the
11	Planning Board.
12	MR. SWISKEY: I understand
13	that, but I think the other thing
14	should be noted for the public
15	because everybody is entitled to
16	the same thing.
17	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Fair
18	enough. Thank you.
19	Are there any other
20	comments?
21	Again, I said I did draft a
22	response to the issues that were
23	raised. I know Mr. Corwin had a
24	number of concerns as well as
25	Mr. Swiskey, that is being

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	reviewed by the village attorney
3	and then I'll share my thoughts
4	when we get going as soon as I can
5	'cause I'd like this to move along
6	as quickly as possible.
7	If there are any other
8	comments or questions? Anyone
9	from the board?
10	MS. MUNDUS: No.
11	MR. BURNS: No.
12	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
13	Make a motion we move on to the
14	next item, do we have a second for
15	that?
16	MS. MUNDUS: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
18	favor?
19	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
20	MR. JAQUET: Aye.
21	MR. BURNS: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
23	carries.
24	Number one, continued
25	review of the use evaluation

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	conditionally granted for Brian
3	Carrick. Shakka Flyboard rental
4	facility is located on the
5	Preston's dock. The property is
6	located at 102 Main Street in the
7	WC (Waterfront Commercial)
8	District, Suffolk County Tax Map
9	1001-5-4-12.1. Again, under the
10	advise of counsel this is kept on
11	the agenda throughout the duration
12	of the operation. I don't believe
13	there's any new business unless
14	anyone has any, I'd like to make a
15	motion we move on to the next
16	item?
17	MR. JAQUET: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
19	favor?
20	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
21	MR. JAQUET: Aye.
22	MR. BURNS: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
24	carries.
25	Item number two, continued

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	discussion on the review of SEQRA
3	considerations for the application
4	of Sterling Square LLC, Brent
5	Pelton, applicant. Again, there
6	will be a public hearing
7	re-noticed September 24th. Again,
8	if there's no other business I'm
9	going to make a motion that we
10	move on.
11	MR. BURNS: Second.
12	MR. JAQUET: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
14	favor?
15	MR. JAQUET: Aye.
16	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
17	MR. BURNS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
19	carries.
20	Item number three, motion
21	to approve the use evaluation
22	application from Carla Oberlander.
23	The applicant proposes to open a
24	gallery at 8 Front Street. The
25	property is located in the CR

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	(Commercial Retail) district and
3	the use as a gallery is a
4	permitted use, Suffolk County Tax
5	Map number 1001-4-2-4.6. I
6	believe we didn't have any issues
7	with this last time.
8	MS. BERRY: No.
9	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We had
10	the application, I believe we're
11	gonna classify it as Type II
12	Action, it was the same use, a
13	permitted use on the same space.
14	Is there any other business on
15	that?
16	MS. MUNDUS: Is she aware
17	of the sign permit?
18	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah,
19	the sign permit, she submitted a
20	sign permit application.
21	Anything else?
22	Okay. I'm going to make a
23	motion that we, for purposes of
24	SEQRA, the Planning Board adopt
25	lead agency status in terms of a

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	Type II Action having no
3	adverse no significant adverse
4	impact to the environment and to
5	approve the use evaluation
6	application as submitted with the
7	conditions imposed therein, do I
8	have a second on that?
9	MS. MUNDUS: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
11	favor?
12	MR. JAQUET: Aye.
13	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
14	MR. BURNS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
16	carries.
17	Item four, motion to
18	schedule the Work Session meeting
19	for September 24, 2015 and the
20	regular meeting for October 1st,
21	2015, do I have a second for that?
22	MR. BURNS: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
24	favor?
25	MR JAOHET: Ave

	
1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Aye.
4	MR. BURNS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
6	carries.
7	Item number five, motion to
8	accept Planning Board minutes for
9	the meeting from August 6th, 2015;
10	do I have a second for that?
11	MR. BURNS: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
13	favor?
14	MR. JAQUET: Aye.
15	MR. BURNS: Aye.
16	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
18	carries.
19	Item number six, motion to
20	adjourn, second?
21	MR. BURNS: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All
23	right. All in favor?
24	MS. MUNDUS: Aye.
25	MR. JAQUET: Aye.

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	MR. BURNS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion
4	carries. Have a good day.
5	Thank you.
6	(TIME NOTED: 5:24 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Regular Meeting 9/3/2015
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, DONNA L. RITZMANN, a Notary Public
6	in and for the State of New York, do hereby
7	certify:
8	THAT the foregoing is a true and
9	accurate transcript of my stenographic notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
11	set my hand this 16th day of September, 2015.
12	
13	
14	DONNA L. RITZMANN
15	DONNA I. KIIZMANN
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	