1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT COUNTY OF SUFFOLK STATE OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS REGULAR MEETING
4	x
5	Α
6	
7	Third Street Firehouse
8	Greenport, New York
9	May 20, 2015
10	5:00 P.M.
11	
12	
13	BEFORE:
14	
15	DOUG MOORE - CHAIRMAN
16	DAVID CORWIN - MEMBER
17	CHARLES BENJAMIN - MEMBER (Excused)
18	DINNI GORDON - MEMBER
19	ELLEN NEFF - MEMBER
20	EILEEN WINGATE - VILLAGE BUILDING INSPECTOR
21	JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY
22	OOSEEN FRONOF - VILLAGE ATTORNET
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: This is the
2	Regular Meeting of the Greenport
3	Zoning Board of Appeals. It's five
4	after five. We have one public
5	hearing tonight and then our regular
6	agenda, most of which is
7	administrative and appeal for
8	variance that we will be discussing
9	tonight. That is for an appeal for
LO	an area variance of Charles Kitz, 228
11	Sixth Street. SCTM# 1001-7-2-1. The
12	applicant propoes to construct a new
13	front porch addition, at the premises
L 4	located at 228 Sixth Street,
L5	Greenport, New York. The proposed
L 6	addition is 10.4 feet from the west
L7	property line requiring a 11.25 foot
L8	front yard area variance, reduced
19	from 30 feet. Section 150-13D(3); no
20	dwelling need have a setback greater
21	than the average of two existing
22	dwellings with the greatest setback
23	within 200 feet on each side of said
24	proposed dwelling, on the same side
25	of the street within the same block

1	and the same district. The average
2	front yard setback of the two
3	existing dwellings with the greatest
4	front yard setbacks is 21.65 feet.
5	The proposed addition is 18.3
6	feet from the north property line
7	requiring a 11.7 foot front yard
8	variance, where Section 150-12a of
9	the Village of Greenport code
10	requires a 30 foot front yard
11	setback. Section 150-13B(2) of the
12	Village of Greenport code requires
13	that on corner lot, front yards's are
14	required on both street frontages.
15	One yard other than the front yard
16	shall be deemed to be a rear yard and
17	the other or others be side yards.
18	This hearing was noticed in the
19	Suffolk Times and the adjacent
20	property owners were all notified. I
21	will read those names. We have
22	Thomas Whitney Cox, which is next
23	door to the south. 140 Main Street,
24	New York, New York. Patricia
25	Dinizio, Box 1742, Southold, New

1	York. That is directly across the
2	street. Catherine Condon, Sixth
3	Street. Julie Dell and Alexander
4	Dell, they are across the street from
5	the north. We have a New York City
6	address. We have (In Audible)
7	Farley, PO Box 48, Marlboro, Texas,
8	which is behind the house. David
9	Interfeld and Jaclyn Interfered, a
10	New York address and they are across
11	the street. The placard was placed
12	on the property as appropriate. We
13	can take comments, perhaps the owner
14	or representative is here to make a
15	few comments about the application.
16	Please feel free to do so.
17	MR. KITZ: My name is Chuck
18	Kitz.
19	MEMBER CORWIN: Can you please
20	spell your last name?
21	MR. KITZ: Kitz, K-I-T-Z. I am
22	the owner of the dwelling. So our
23	basic propose is to put a covered
24	porch. Just to make it comparable to
25	the surrounding homes. You know, in

1	that area. We are also, you know,
2	doing a renovation inside the house
3	and putting in new windows and new
4	Cedar Shake siding on the house. So
5	just taking the old plumbing, heating
6	and electric, and you know, it's
7	going to be a beautiful home when
8	it's done. So we are just trying to
9	make the surrounding homes. Some
10	already have porches and covered
11	porches. So that is what I want to
12	do at this time.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Just to make
14	sure that we understand, Solutions
15	East, LLC, is I imagine, your
16	involvement with the renovations?
17	MR. KITZ: Yes. I am the
18	builder. I am a carpenter and
19	builder and Solution East.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I see. So you
21	are the owner/occupant?
22	MR. KITZ: I am not.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not?
24	MR. KITZ: No. We are going to
25	resell the home

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. There
2	was a little confusion. Your name
3	came up a few times and then
4	Solutions East. I just wanted to be
5	sure that one was the same. Any
6	questions from the Board?
7	MEMBER CORWIN: One thing that I
8	would like to ask, the bottom of the
9	porch, is there going to be a lattice
10	skirt?
11	MR. KITZ: Yes. Absolutely.
12	Once I put my girder on the front
13	there, I would wrap the girder and
14	the sides with PVC and then cedar
15	lattice. So it would be boxed out
16	with PVC. And then put the cedar
17	lattice, front and sides.
18	MEMBER CORWIN: Thank you.
19	MR. KITZ: It would be a
20	finished product.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not very high.
22	MR. KITZ: Yeah. It's only two
23	sets I think.
24	MEMBER GORDON: Are you planning
25	to add gutters?

1	MR. KITZ: Yes. Yes. I don't
2	believe there is on there now. Once
3	we are finished we will place gutters
4	all around.
5	MEMBER CORWIN: That is
6	certainly something, I will be asking
7	that there be some sort of leeching
8	basin to catch the overages.
9	MR. KITZ: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. PROKOP: Wait a second. So
11	who is the property owner? On the
12	deed, who is the owner?
13	MR. KITZ: Who is the owner?
14	MR. PROKOP: Right.
15	MR. KITZ: Solution East. That
16	is myself and a partner, Ann Marino.
17	MR. PROKOP: There is no such
18	thing that "that is you." what is
19	Solution East?
20	MR. KITZ: It is a corporation,
21	LLC.
22	MR. PROKOP: And, what you are a
23	member? A manager?
24	MR. KITZ: Yes. I am a member.
25	Yeah. Myself and a partner.

1	MR. PROKOP: It's not reflected
2	in the Notice of Disapproval but now
3	that I have the application. The
4	addition that is referred to in the
5	Notice of Disapproval, is that
6	actually a porch? Is the addition
7	and a porch?
8	MR. KITZ: A porch.
9	MR. PROKOP: And the second
10	floor structure that you're calling a
11	balcony. It's actually 10 feet by 21
12	feet; right?
13	MR. KITZ: Yes.
14	MR. PROKOP: Okay. I just
15	wanted to mention to the Board. We
16	just had this on another application.
17	It's my opinion that the 10x21 is not
18	a balcony. It's a second floor deck.
19	And it should be reflected as such.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: In terminology,
21	you're saying?
22	MR. PROKOP: I think that it's
23	it would be deemed to have it
24	needs to be reviewed. The distance
25	of that structure from the property

1	line from the structures on the
2	adjoining properties because it's a
3	second floor deck. It has different
4	implications than just what it is
5	described as.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: What additional
7	work are you suggesting?
8	MEMBER CORWIN: Before you go
9	on, my position is no balcony, as I
10	mentioned before. The argument, of
11	the porches and it should be
12	matching, but not a deck.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Can you
14	explain
15	MR. PROKOP: Generally my
16	understanding from a number of years
17	of listening to these is a balcony
18	that is something that gives access.
19	Not enough to accompany seating or
20	other activities. Seating other than
21	a small chair. In other words, it
22	would not be sufficient to have a
23	lounge chair. It would be something
24	that you can walk around only.
25	Whereas a deck is something that can

1	exterior facilitate exterior social
2	activities, cooking, a number of
3	chairs, lounge chairs and things
4	like that. It would be deemed to
5	have an impact on neighboring
6	properties.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. What I
8	am asking is, the would there be an
9	additional review? Some specific
10	documentation that will be
11	necessary?
12	MR. PROKOP: It should be
13	noted when you get to the five
14	criteria, it has to be noted. And
15	you know, I am sorry to ask this
16	with the applicant here, is this the
17	application that there was a problem
18	the last time?
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: There were the
20	drawings
21	MEMBER CORWIN: Apparently there
22	is two applications still.
23	MS. WINGATE: You asked me to
24	clear up the difference between the
25	applicant and Solutions East. So I

1	asked the applicant to come in and
2	make sure that everybody signing was
3	in the right place.
4	MEMBER CORWIN: We voted on the
5	application. We accepted it and now
6	the application has changed.
7	MR. PROKOP: I am sorry to bring
8	this up with
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's all right.
10	Im just trying to figure out of this
11	is something that we require
12	additional documentation or should we
13	
14	MR. PROKOP: Well there are
15	other details that are acquired. You
16	would need to see the railing,
17	MEMBER CORWIN: Let's close the
18	public hearing and see if anyone else
19	has any questions?
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
21	MR. KITZ: Do you have copies of
22	the plans?
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, we do.
24	Would any members of the public like
25	to address the application?

1	MR. SALADINO: John Saladino,
2	Sixth Street. I hadn't planed on
3	speaking on this application until I
4	heard about the second floor deck.
5	It's my thought that I live a few
6	houses down and I am not sure that we
7	need a second floor deck. What I
8	will ask the Zoning Board, I think a
9	porch would like very nice. And I
10	would like to see that you put
11	something that it shouldn't be
12	enclosed. If you put an enclosed
13	porch, then it becomes living space.
1 4	I don't want it, if you agree to
15	this. If this applicant or the next
16	application, propose that porch, I
17	ask that you keep it open. If you
18	close it, then it can lead to other
19	issues. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. Any
21	members of the public or anyone else
22	wishes to speak?
23	(No Response.)
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Then I would
25	like to make a motion to close the

1	public hearing.
2	Do I have a second?
3	MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
5	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
6	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
7	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
9	Opposed?
10	(No Response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries.
12	So the public hearing is closed.
13	Now we can discuss a few more things.
14	I hadn't realized the plans, I had
15	just saw a porch on there but it is
16	living space, occupied space. Is it
17	to key to your design to preserve
18	that porch- the deck?
19	MR. KITZ: It was designed so
20	that our bedroom on the second floor,
21	you can make it as a door to the
22	outside. Make it a little bit nicer.
23	Get a little view from that second
24	floor balcony, you can get a view of
25	the water. That was it. As far as

1	closing it, I have no it's just
2	going to stay as a porch.
3	MEMBER CORWIN: The problem is
4	when you sell it and the next person
5	comes along, they do it.
6	MEMBER GORDON: You had said that
7	your primary objective was to make it
8	more like other houses and conformity
9	with respect to the front porch. I
10	walk past that house often and it
11	looks quite bare. The balcony is
12	really ten feet deep. It would look
13	very different from the next few
14	houses, which do have something on
15	the second floors, which is more of
16	like a little awning. So it would
17	sort of defeat your original idea of
18	bringing the structures to
19	conformity.
20	MR. KITZ: Yeah. Have you seen
21	the plan of what it would look like
22	MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
23	MR. KITZ: I just thought it
24	would be a nice look. I understand
25	what you're saying about a second

1	palcony.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: One of the
3	comments not regarding the deck above
4	but of the setbacks requested, this
5	doesn't stir up really big concern to
6	me. Relative to other houses on the
7	block, it's not really extending
8	further into the front yard. There
9	is plenty of front yard. The side
LO	yard is sort of a no-brainer. There
11	is an increase in the footprint of
12	the house
L3	MR. KITZ: Right.
L 4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I personally
L5	don't have problems with the
L 6	setbacks. It's just the issues of
L7	how the upstairs deck might be. I am
L8	not sure any neighbors are aware that
L 9	the design not playing really much
20	attention to the plans.
21	MR. KITZ: Okay.
22	MR. PROKOP: When you said it's
23	not far from the property line, isn't
24	there an existing setback of 20.4
>5	feet? This is

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's requiring
2	a variance. I am saying with the
3	porch cornered off, there is still a
4	substantial front yard. It uses
5	about half o the space here.
6	MEMBER GORDON: It was never 30
7	feet anyway.
8	MR. KITZ: Right.
9	MR. PROKOP: Your survey shows
10	adjoining properties?
11	MR. KITZ: No, I do not.
12	MEMBER NEFF: We could make a
13	restriction to the deck.
14	MEMBER CORWIN: My position is
15	that it should be tabled. We should
16	see a new survey showing the leeching
17	basin and gutters and leaders.
18	MS. WINGATE: Joe
19	MR. PROKOP: I am listening
20	MEMBER CORWIN: Also should we
21	have some type of liability some
22	paperwork to show that you can
23	represent the LLC. Do you have
24	anything?
25	MR. KITZ: I am looking for it.

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: On the notice
2	it does say Solution East and then
3	name of representative Charles Kitz
4	for owner. It doesn't indicate your
5	position of the company, president,
6	member. As Mr. Corwin said you
7	should have some documentation of
8	your standing with the company.
9	MR. KITZ: I don't have that
10	with me.
11	MR. PROKOP: I think it would
12	also be important to document if any
13	properties in the vicinity have any
14	second floor decks.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not on that
16	street. On two adjacent streets, I
17	think we saw two. Do you want to
18	make that motion?
19	MEMBER CORWIN: Before I make
20	the motion, Mr. Kitz, if he will go
21	over this, I don't anticipate any
22	problems next month if you bring in a
23	new plan, but
24	MR. KITZ: Another plan showing
25	

1	MEMBER CORWIN: Another plan
2	without the balcony. Showing gutters
3	and leaders and a leaching basins.
4	And a little detail of the skirt
5	MR. KITZ: Sure.
6	MEMBER CORWIN: And the stuff
7	that we talked about with the LLC.
8	MR. KITZ: Okay. I am looking
9	for that to see if I have it.
10	MEMBER CORWIN: So I will make a
11	motion. I just wanted to make sure
12	you were okay I just wanted to
13	review it.
14	MR. KITZ: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I just have to
16	say, I am not going against Mr.
17	Corwin, but if you feel very strong
18	that this deck should be part of the
19	plan, we can't tell you what, but it
20	would come up for decision
21	MR. KITZ: Right.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin,
23	motion?
24	MEMBER CORWIN: I make a motion
25	to table this application until Mr.

1	Kitz draws up a new plan which show
2	no balcony. Just a porch, roof and
3	to match the existing house with
4	leaders and gutters and leeching
5	basins.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I will second
7	that.
8	All in favor?
9	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
10	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
11	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
13	Opposed?
14	(No Response.)
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries
16	MR. KITZ: Thank you very much.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We did Item No
18	1. That issues has been tabled. We
19	will move on. I will read the next
20	one, is administrative. There is
21	actually four items. I would like to
22	ask if someone else might like to de
23	Item No. 2.
24	MEMBER GORDON: Sure. Motion to
25	accept an appeal for an area

1	variance, publicly notice and
2	schedule a public hearing for Walter
3	Foote, 22 Broad Street,
4	SCTM#1001-2-5-40. The property is
5	located in the R2 District and it
6	situated as a corner lot. The
7	applicant proposes to construct a new
8	addition and deck expansion to an
9	existing non-conforming house.
10	The addition is 355 square feet
11	if interior space on the first floor
12	and with 80 square feet on the second
13	floor. The interior addition will
14	require a front and rear yard area
15	variance. In addition, there is a
16	proposed deck expansion and outdoor
17	shower, which requires a rear yard
18	area variance.
19	The proposed front yard (west)
20	setback for the new construction is
21	27 feet required a 3 foot front yard
22	area variance for the addition.
23	Section 150-12A of the Village of
24	Greenport code requires a 30 foot
25	rear yard setback in the R2 District.

1	The proposed rear yard setback for
2	the new construction is 12 feet
3	requiring an 18 foot rear yard area
4	variance for the proposed deck and
5	interior expansion. Section 150-12A
6	of the Village of Greenport code
7	requires a 30 foot rear yard setback
8	in the R2 District. Section
9	150-13B(2) of the Village of
10	Greenport code requires that on a
11	corner lot, front yards are required
12	on both street frontages. One yard
13	there than the denote yard shall be
14	deemed t be a rear yard and the other
15	or others to be side yards.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You make that
17	motion. I will second it.
18	All in favor?
19	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
20	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
21	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
23	Opposed?
24	(No Response.)
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries

1	I am bouncing us around. Just a
2	little explanation, I had some oral
3	surgery the other day. So I don't
4	want to overdue it. It's not that I
5	don't want to participate in not
6	talking but I will take a little
7	break. If someone else can read Item
8	No. 3?
9	MEMBER NEFF: I will read it.
10	Motion to accept an appeal for an
11	area variance, publicly notice and
12	schedule a public hearing for Tracy
13	Combs, 516 Second Street; SCTM
14	#1001-2-6-24. The property is
15	located in the R2 District. The
16	applicant proposes to construct a
17	house addition and in-ground swimming
18	pool.
19	House Additions the proposed
20	aggregate side yard setback is 12.12
21	feet requiring a 19.9 foot combined
22	side yard variance for new extension.
23	Section 150-12A of the Village of
24	Greenport code requires a 25 foot
25	combined side yard setback in the R2

1	District.
2	The Swimming Pool: The proposed
3	swimming pool setback if 7 foot on
4	the south property line, requiring an
5	area variance of 13 feet. Section
6	150-7c(3a) of the Village of
7	Greenport code requires the edge of
8	the pool shall be kept at a distance
9	of not less than 20 feet from all
10	property lines.
11	The proposed swimming pool
12	setback is 7 feet on the north
13	property line, requiring an area
14	variance of 13 feet. Section
15	150-7c(3a) of the Village of
16	Greenport code requires the edge of
17	the pool shall be kept at a distance
18	of not less than 20 feet from all
19	property lines. The proposed
20	swimming pool setback is 15 feet on
21	the east property line, requiring an
22	area variance of 5 feet. Section
23	150-7c(3a) of the Village of
24	Greenport code requires that the edge
25	of the pool shall be kept at a

1	distance of 20 feet from all property
2	lines. I propose this as a motion.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Second.
4	All in favor?
5	MR. PROKOP: Are you going to
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Accept it.
7	MR. PROKOP: Can you just hold
8	on a minute? I am sorry, excuse me.
9	I am trying to figure out. This is
LO	an addition the question is on the
11	addition the first thing is, this
12	is an undersized lot.
13	MS. WINGATE: Do you want a
L 4	survey?
L5	MR. PROKOP: Is the lot
16	undersized?
L7	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
18	MR. PROKOP: Is there anything
19	that establishes this
20	MS. WINGATE: No.
21	MR. PROKOP: The second thing
22	is, it's an undersized lot, isn't
23	there an additional variance that
24	they need? They are required to
25	develop in accordance with the

1	zoning?
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, there is
3	standard for side yard setbacks based
4	on the undersized lots. It
5	calculates down to about 10 feet. So
6	it appears that the one setback that
7	is preexisting is 2 feet of the new
8	setback. 10.2 10 point something
9	feet that would meet that code
10	requirement. It's a combined side
11	yard requirement. It would be more
12	like 20 feet as the combined side
13	yard setback. Which is still
14	deficient because there is still 12
15	feet. So I am wondering if it is
16	wrong, maybe 9.9 to something? I am
17	just noticing that.
18	MS. WINGATE: Give me a second.
19	Let me
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: 51.36.
21	MS. WINGATE: There is a typo.
22	Could be a typo. Let me just
23	calculate it.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Looks like 8.62
25	instead of 19. Still requires a

1	variance.
2	MS. WINGATE: At the time my
3	supervisor and I were discussing this
4	and Section E of the code says all
5	that particular section says it has
6	to meet all other zoning
7	requirements. And this does not meet
8	all zoning requirements because of
9	the existing nonconformity.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I am not
11	following you.
12	MEMBER CORWIN: Can I make two
13	comments?
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure.
15	MEMBER CORWIN: There is
16	mistakes. Let's not try and flush
17	them out under pressure. When it
18	comes to the public hearing which is
19	scheduled for next month, we can have
20	it this was the this was
21	basically the same footprint that we
22	had before us in March. I reviewed
23	the application. They had the
24	opportunity to withdraw the
25	application. The applicant withdrew

1	the application. In the meantime, I
2	had a letter in my e-mail from the
3	neighbor objecting to the March
4	application. I don't know if it's
5	pertinent?
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: There will be
7	a new hearing for people to comment
8	on this application. Would you
9	prefer to hold off and correct the
10	application?
11	MS. WINGATE: I am asking for
12	more than actual. I don't think the
13	March application has anything to do
14	with this application because it's a
15	very different application. It's
16	addition and it's attached. The last
17	application was detached.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
19	MS. WINGATE: It would appear to
20	me that I have made an error.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So what is the
22	solution, we just wait until it's
23	corrected or do we want to does
24	the Board want to accept it
25	MEMBER CORWIN: The other thing

1	I would note on this application.
2	They had a preexisting in-ground fuel
3	tank. Now, I don't know how old that
4	is. I don't think it's in the best
5	interest in the Zoning Board of
6	Appeals and the Village of Greenport
7	to basically legitimize the existing
8	fuel tanks because they're old.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That is a
10	matter of jurisdiction.
11	MR. PROKOP: Where is the front
12	door of this house? Excuse me,
13	sorry.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So what I am
15	going to suggest is that we have a
16	motion to accept the application as
17	standing and I can second it and ask
18	for a vote. If it's not
19	MEMBER CORWIN: So we're
20	accepting
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not accepting
22	it. My vote would be no.
23	MEMBER CORWIN: Okay. I second
24	that motion.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?

1	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
2	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
4	Opposed?
5	MEMBER CORWIN: Nay.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries.
7	Let's fix it and get it back
8	next month.
9	No. 4. Who would like to read
LO	No. 4?
L1	MEMBER GORDON: I will read it.
L2	Item No. 4. Motion to accept an
13	appeal on an area variance, publicly
L 4	notice and schedule a public hearing
15	for Carol Wilder, 218 Sixth Street;
L 6	SCTM#1001-4-4-26. The property is
L7	located in the R2 District. The
L8	applicant proposes to construct an
L 9	in-ground swimming pool. The
20	proposed swimming pool setback is 10
21	feet on the east property line,
22	requiring an area variance of 10
23	feet. Section 150-7c(3a) of the
24	Village of Greenport code requires
25	that the edge of the pool shall be

1	kept at a distance of 20 feet from
2	all property lines. That's it.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Do you have
4	that motion?
5	MEMBER GORDON: Yes. Motion to
6	accept.
7	MEMBER CORWIN: Discussion? The
8	application the original
9	application that I have and let's
10	face it, this happens at every
11	meeting. There is an eligible
12	signature. Then it's one or two
13	this should say a date and 2015. So
14	in other words, there is no notary
15	date on that. Then what happens
16	apparently another application is
17	made. The same application is made
18	but somebody printed the name under
19	the eligible signature
20	MS. WINGATE: David
21	MEMBER CORWIN: I don't want to
22	hear it Eileen. We have heard this
23	so many times Eileen. I don't want
24	to hear it. So many times. The
25	notaries date of the 6th of no month

1	So my contention is that we can't
2	accept this until it's done properly.
3	Now Eileen.
4	MS. WINGATE: You picked your
5	application up days early. I was in
6	touch with the owner of the property
7	and I forwarded
8	MEMBER CORWIN: Days earlier but
9	it was in the box, right?
LO	MS. WINGATE: That's how I keep
11	my stuff organized, David. In the
12	box.
L3	MEMBER CORWIN: We have been
L 4	through this so many times. I am so
15	tired of it. That doesn't change the
16	fact that the notary's date is not
17	there.
L8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we have a
19	motion to accept this application.
20	And I will second that. I will ask
21	for a vote, all in favor?
22	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
23	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
25	Opposed?

1	MEMBER CORWIN: Nay.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries.
3	Mr. Corwin is opposed.
4	MR. PROKOP: Mr. Corwin brought
5	up a point and I don't have the
6	application. I have not had a chance
7	to review the application.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Can you review
9	it before the next meeting?
10	MR. PROKOP: Yes. My silence is
11	because I don't have an application
12	not because I have nothing to say.
13	MS. WINGATE: I have a copy at
14	my office.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I will read
16	Item No. 5. There are some questions
17	on this application about the
18	ownership issues. This application
19	was before the Planning Board for a
20	pre-submission conference I believe.
21	And it was kicked around as far as
22	deficient. At that time, it was a
23	formal application I am not going
24	to read it just yet. Subsequently
25	there was an application filed for

1	area variances and that's based on
2	the Notice of Disapproval. So one of
3	the difficulties is that the current
4	owner of the property appears to be
5	someone who is not involved with the
6	development of the property. There
7	is an intent for sale. The new and
8	expected owner the question is,
9	does the new owner have standing in
10	finalizing that application for
11	MR. PROKOP: As long as they
12	have authorization.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Do we have
14	something that shows the current
15	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
16	MEMBER CORWIN: We have
17	something authorizing the Planning
18	Board but not the Zoning Board of
19	Appeals.
20	MS. WINGATE: It's authorizing
21	Bob Brown. I was sent the same one
22	from the Planning Board.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. The
24	Planning Board authorization. I just
25	wanted Mr. Prokop is that

1	sufficient when the owner of record
2	can authorize an application for
3	variance relief? It's one of those
4	Catch 22's. When the new owner is not
5	the owner yet but the sale of the
6	property is contingent on it.
7	MR. PROKOP: who is John
8	Gregory?
9	MS. WINGATE: He is the owner.
10	All of those papers are right there.
11	I just wanted to say to the Board
12	that this has to be straightened out
13	Can't have individuals sign
14	authorizations for properties
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I
16	MR. PROKOP: So we have
17	authorization.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: There is an
19	agreement with the property LLC,
20	which means the current owner is a
21	sole owner and has standing of the
22	owner's representative of the LLC.
23	The question is, can he apply for a
24	variance at this point?
25	MR. PROKOP: We will need 10

1	days before the hearing date
2	actually
3	MEMBER CORWIN: We needed it
4	tonight. This happens every month.
5	I am tired of this stuff. Not only
6	did I look in the Suffolk County
7	Assessment Rolls to find out who the
8	owner is, the owner isn't even listed
9	in the Assessment Rolls. It's not
LO	even listed in the Southold Town 2015
L1	Assessment Roll. That may be a
12	mistake on the part of Southold Town
13	but it has to be straightened out.
L 4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Could you
15	advise us on what documents are
16	necessary, Mr. Prokop? I just wanted
L7	to make sure that the applicant has
18	standing to apply for the variance.
L 9	MR. PROKOP: It's not listed on
20	the
21	MEMBER CORWIN: It's not on the
22	2015 Assessment Rolls.
23	MR. PROKOP: Do you have that
24	property of the Assessment Roll
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So can we get

1	your advice on what documentation is
2	needed to proceed?
3	MR. PROKOP: Okay. So I think
4	my recommendation would be, there is
5	a document that is from a member of
6	the reputed owner. The LLC.
7	Whatever it is.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: John Gregory is
9	the owner.
10	MR. PROKOP: Right. And it's
11	authorizing Mr. Brown to make an
12	application. The paperwork is
13	defective. However, it's there is
14	sufficient detail to indicate there
15	was an intention to have Mr. Brown
16	make an application to the Board. I
17	think it would be acceptable to the
18	Board to accept the application on
19	the condition that the proof of
20	ownership of the property, a proper
21	authorization signed by an authorized
22	representative of the owner,
23	authorizing Mr. Brown to make the
24	application to the Zoning Board of
25	Anneals is received at least one day

1	prior to date that we publish the
2	Notice of Hearing. And if the
3	paperwork is not received in proper
4	form at least one day before the
5	date, Notice of Public Hearing, the
6	application will have to be put over
7	to next month.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So with that
9	condition, a motion was read we
10	didn't read the motion yet. So I
11	will read the motion and add that
12	additional requirement.
13	(Whereupon, the tones went off.)
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: John Gregory
15	for the LLC, that is who has
16	standing.
17	MR. PROKOP: It should state for
18	Robert Brown. I am sorry, I will
19	have to work with the Board on this
20	for the future.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Item No. 5,
22	Motion to accept an appeal for an
23	area variance, publicly notice and
24	schedule a public hearing for
25	(prospective owners) Carmela

1	Constantino, Corner of the Third and
2	Front Street; SCTM# 1001-5-4-5. The
3	property is located in the Waterfront
4	Commercial District. The applicant
5	proposes to construct three
6	commercial buildings on a vacant lot
7	on the southeast corner of Third and
8	Front Street.
9	The proposed building
10	construction has lot coverage of
11	5,191 square feet representing 59% of
12	the site, requiring an area variance
13	of 1,678 square feet or 19% of the
14	total area of the lot. Section
15	150-12A of the Village of Greenport
16	Code requires a maximum lot coverage
17	of 40% (3,533.6 square feet) in the
18	WC-Waterfront Commercial District.
19	The proposed building
20	construction has provided one
21	accessible park space, requiring a
22	variance of 38 parking spaces,
23	inclusive of the requirements for a
24	minim of 2 accessible spaces.
25	Section 150-12A of the Village of

1	Greenport Code requires off-street
2	parking for lots unimproved as of
3	January 1, 1991. The requirement of
4	39 parking spaces has been determined
5	from calculations provided in Section
6	150-150 A and Section 15-12A.
7	So moved.
8	MEMBER NEFF: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Seconded by Ms.
10	Neff.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
12	MEMBER CORWIN: Discussion?
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
14	MEMBER CORWIN: Mr. Brown, as
15	far as I can see, this represents a
16	fishing trip. He came into the
17	Planning Board and they wanted to see
18	which way the Board was going,
19	allegedly, whether to purchase it or
20	not. Two or three weeks later, the
21	applicants were not present at the
22	Planning Board. So it got kicked
23	over to the Zoning Board of Appeals.
24	And I don't know what to tell you, I
25	personally don't want to go through

1	this because this is going to be
2	traffic studies and months I am
3	going to have a hard time voting on
4	this for a variance for everything on
5	this application. So I am going to
6	be straightforward with you right
7	now.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, go ahead.
9	MR. BROWN: Robert Brown. There
10	are two issues involved here and
11	while I would dispute the
12	characterization of fishing trip
13	because the Constantino's have
14	invested a great deal of time and
15	money into this, in an effort to
16	secure this property. Obviously,
17	they're not going to do it if it's
18	financially unfeasible. They are
19	under a very strict time constraint
20	with which to purchase the property
21	or not. There are two issues at
22	hand. One is lot coverage, which I
23	think would be fairly straightforward
24	in describing all of the surrounding
25	properties

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't mean to
2	interrupt but we did read what the
3	requested variances are. I don't
4	think we should take any testimony
5	supporting or not supporting the
6	application. This has not been
7	noticed for hearing. So I would ask
8	that you reserve those comments. We
9	are not taking public comments. I
LO	don't think we should take any
11	comments at this point, we're not
12	even hearing the case.
L3	We are at the point of voting to
L 4	accept the application, I will ask
15	All in favor?
L 6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I will vote
L7	Aye.
L8	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
L 9	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Opposed?
21	MEMBER CORWIN: Nay.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we're
23	accepting the application with the
24	information of the representation
25	forms filed before the day of the

1	public notice is prepared and that
2	will be within the next week or two.
3	MR. PROKOP: Just so we don't
4	get into a problem. Just correct
5	what I said and make it seven days
6	prior.
7	MR. BROWN: Can I ask when the
8	hearing is scheduled for?
9	MS. WINGATE: I am looking in my
10	calendar right now.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So I
12	think that covers that. Okay. Item
13	No. 6. This is the discussion. Is
14	the Board inclined about talking
15	about fences and side yards?
16	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes. Let's get
17	it done.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Let's do that.
19	I have the sections of the code.
20	This has already been heard. As I
21	recall, there were no comments about
22	this issue. If anybody that doesn't
23	have a copy of the code to reference
24	the problem that we are running
25	into is that there are two sections

1	of the code at play and appear to be
2	in opposition with each other.
3	Fences, as people know, can't be in
4	front yards no more than 4 feet and a
5	side yard, fences are not to be as
6	high as 7 feet. The problem comes up
7	when you have a corner lot and the
8	owner has a side yard and looked as a
9	second front yard and wishes to gets
10	some privacy, by the code, the fence
11	would be restricted to a front yard
12	and that would really defeat the
13	purpose of some privacy for someone
14	who wants to have a fence in their
15	backyard. I think the code as
16	written, prevents people currently on
17	corner lots to have a fence on the
18	second front yard the sense for
19	people to have a fence in their
20	backyard would be for some privacy.
21	My feeling was unless the building
22	department was going to change the
23	code, that applications for fences of
24	this situation, they should be higher
25	than 4 feet on the side of the

1	property, which is the front yard. A
2	second front yard, would require a
3	variance. I don't think we have a
4	whole bunch of these. If you have a
5	front yard, the fence can't be higher
6	than 4 feet. I am not aware of
7	anything in the code that allows a
8	fence in a front yard to be higher
9	than 4 feet. Any comments from the
10	Board?
11	MEMBER NEFF: I would just like
12	to say that this can be brought by a
13	case by case to the Zoning Board of
14	Appeals. It makes a lot of sense.
15	Particular when the lots may be 50
16	feet and the side yard of 8 feet.
17	The individual cases can be weighed
18	in terms of street scape, etcetera.
19	Better in a format through the ZBA.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I would think
21	that it's not a complicated matter to
22	review an application for a side yard
23	fence. I think provided with some
24	helpful information providing a
25	reasonable layout makes a lot of

Τ	sense.
2	MS. WINGATE: A front yard goes
3	from the street to the building line
4	It doesn't
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't know i
6	I agree with that because the code
7	simply says that there are two front
8	yards are required.
9	MS. WINGATE: No, the definition
10	of front yard.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I am a little
12	confused as to where you're allowing
13	the front yard
14	MEMBER CORWIN: Well, I object
15	to that.
16	MS. WINGATE: That's okay.
17	Whatever you all decide is what is
18	what will be done.
19	MR. PROKOP: Where do you see
20	that definition?
21	MS. WINGATE: In our code book.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Most front
23	yards are referencing a real front
24	yard or talking about the house.
25	MS WINGATE: I understand

1	MR. PROKOP: What section are
2	you talking about?
3	MS. WINGATE: Definition of
4	front yard.
5	MEMBER CORWIN: There is none.
6	MR. PROKOP: I don't have a
7	definition of a front yard.
8	MS. WINGATE: Then it's front
9	yard setback.
10	MR. PROKOP: I don't see that
11	either.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Excuse me, yard
13	front.
14	MR. PROKOP: Okay. There we go.
15	MEMBER CORWIN: I just want to
16	say that we propose to keep the
17	corner lots from making the place
18	look like a fort, city. When a fence
19	is attached to a building like it is
20	in this particular case, then it's
21	part of a building. It needs
22	variance granted for that structure.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think it
24	would be safely to consider the code
25	that is written. It's reasonable

1	that someone especially in the case,
2	a fence extending from a line of the
3	house behind, that a reasonable
4	exception in a variance for a fence
5	that is higher. That it's
6	unfortunately on a side street. That
7	the owners have an option to have a 6
8	foot fence between their neighbors.
9	It just makes sense. I think it
10	would be good to routinely look at
11	corner lots. If it requires a
12	variance, it would be put to the
13	Board to analyze. It's really a case
14	by case basis.
15	MS. WINGATE: Let's take Ellen
16	Neff's house.
17	MEMBER NEFF: Okay. Definitely
18	not conforming.
19	MS. WINGATE: So now fences have
20	to be 30 feet back even though the
21	house is 8 or 7
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Fences on side
23	yards an be 6 $1/2$ feet on a property
24	line.
25	MS. WINGATE: Well, because they

1	are in line with the house, which
2	would hold truth of the definition of
3	a front yard.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: A fence that is
5	higher than 4 feet
6	MS. WINGATE: We have so many
7	preexisting
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You define as a
9	front yard and the fence can't be
10	along the property line
11	MS. WINGATE: No, not the
12	property
13	MEMBER CORWIN: Mr. Chairman, I
14	object to having the building
15	inspector in this conversation. This
16	is the Zoning Board of Appeals
17	decision. She is trying to defend
18	her position.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I am
20	MEMBER CORWIN: Which is fine,
21	David. It's my interpretation. I
22	asked for it. I guess I don't get to
23	rattle it out a little bit?
24	MEMBER CORWIN: I don't have any
25	comments

1	MS. WINGATE: I am sorry, David.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I would state
3	that we take the code as written. As
4	it is stated. Since you have two
5	front yards and the property along
6	that second front yard, front yard
7	and have a limitation of 4 feet.
8	Other than that, they have to appeal
9	for a variance.
LO	MS. WINGATE: Will you draw that
11	up?
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's a simple
L3	resolution. So I will just make a
L 4	motion that we reaffirm that the code
L5	specifies that on a corner lot where
L 6	two front yards are required that any
L7	fence within that front yard be
L8	limited to the 4 feet allowed for a
L9	fence in the front yard. I make that
20	motion.
21	MEMBER CORWIN: I second it.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
23	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
24	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
>5	MEMBER NEFF. Ava

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
2	Opposed?
3	(No Response.)
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries.
5	I will write that up briefly.
6	No. 7 is a Motion to accept the
7	ZBA minutes from April 22, 2015? So
8	moved.
9	MEMBER NEFF: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any discussion?
11	(No Response.)
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
13	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
14	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
15	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
17	Opposed?
18	(No Response.)
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion carries.
20	Motion to approve the ZBA
21	minutes from March 18, 2015. So
22	moved.
23	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
25	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

1	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
3	MEMBER CORWIN: I am going to
4	abstain.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Motion to
6	schedule the next regular ZBA Meeting
7	for June 17, 2015. So moved.
8	MEMBER CORWIN: We have to set up
9	the times.
LO	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Which ones did
11	we accept? I only have a few. There
12	is Foote. That is one and
L3	MEMBER GORDON: Carol Wilder's
L 4	swimming pool.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Let's go to
16	Broad Street at 4:00 o'clock.
17	MEMBER GORDON: Well, 4:20.
L8	It's only two.
L 9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We may have
20	another one. For Third and
21	Front.
22	MS. WINGATE: I am sure.
23	MR. PROKOP: If you are going
24	to go to Third and Front, can you
>5	ask them to outline the

1	perimeter?
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: They will do
3	that.
4	MS. WINGATE: It's all paved.
5	They will probably spray paint.
6	There is no soft surfaces.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we have
8	Foote, at 22 Broad Street. We will
9	review that at 4:00. Then at 4:20,
LO	we will go to Wilder. Then at 4:40,
11	we would go to Front and Third. Is
12	that acceptable to everybody?
13	MEMBER CORWIN: And we will put
L 4	that on the agenda as the first
15	thing.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I had corrected
L7	the agenda to add for site
L8	inspection but I had already
19	submitted the draft as of that time.
20	I will make an effort for
21	encroachment to remember.
22	MEMBER CORWIN: Before we
23	adjourn, there is a question of the
24	signs. Do we submit
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, to the

1	Code Committee. They had some things
2	to review and some discussion at that
3	point.
4	MEMBER CORWIN: I have been
5	attending the Code Committee
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I am not
7	following
8	MEMBER CORWIN: That you as
9	Chairman, are representing the Zoning
10	Board of Appeals at the Code
11	Committee and discuss what they
12	discussed at the last meeting.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: With that in
14	mind, I will defer to the next
15	meeting. I would want a correct
16	summary. I had made some
17	comments.
18	Motion to adjourn.
19	MEMBER NEFF: So moved.
20	MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
22	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
23	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
24	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.

1		Oppc	sed?				
2		(No	Resp	onse	∍.)		
3		CHAI	RMAN	MOC	ORE:	Motion	carries
4		(Whe	reup	on,	the	meeting	g
5	concl	uded	l.)				
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Jessica DiLallo, a Notary
5	Public for and within the State of New
6	York, do hereby certify:
7	THAT, the witness(es) whose
8	Testimony is herein before set forth,
9	Was duly sworn by me, and,
10	THAT, the within transcript is a
11	True record of the testimony given by
12	Said witness(es).
13	I further certify that I am not
14	Related either by blood or marriage to
15	Any of the parties to this action; and
16	That I am in no way interested in the
17	Outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19	Set my hand this day, June 10, 2015.
20	
21	
22	(Jessica DiLallo)
23	
24	* * *
25	