1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT COUNTY OF SUFFOLK STATE OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS REGULAR MEETING
4	
5	x
6	
7	
8	Third Street Firehouse Greenport, New York
9	April 22, 2015
10	5:00 P.M.
11	
12	
13	BEFORE:
14	
15	DOUG MOORE - CHAIRMAN
16	DAVID CORWIN - MEMBER
17	CHARLES BENJAMIN - MEMBER
18	DINNI GORDON - MEMBER
19	EILEEN NEFF - MEMBER
20	
21	EILEEN WINGATE - VILLAGE BUILDING INSPECTOR
22	JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think we can get
2	started with the meeting. So this is
3	the April meeting of the Zoning Bord of
4	Appeals. It's 5:10. Tonight we have
5	two public hearings. One is for an
6	appeal for an area variance, which I
7	will read in a minute. The other is
8	for an interpretation from the Building
9	Department.
10	The application proposes a
11	combination of manufacturing/processing
12	space and retail space in a space on a
13	property located in the Commercial
14	Retail District. Section 150-9 of the
15	Village of Greenport code provides that
16	manufacturing and processing are
17	permitted in a space in the Retail

Retail District. Section 150-9 of the
Village of Greenport code provides that
manufacturing and processing are
permitted in a space in the Retail
Commercial District where the goods so
produced or processed are to be sold at
retail exclusively on the premisses and
provided that the space that is used
for manufacturing and processing is
fully concealed from any street and is
equal to an area no more than 20% of
the square footage devoted to retail

1	sales. The application proposes retail
2	space equaling 619 square feet in area,
3	limiting the size of the manufacturing
4	and processing space to 124 square feet
5	or 20%. The applicant requests the
6	following variance: This application
7	proposes manufacturing and processing
8	area of 643 square feet which is 104
9	percent of the area of the retail. The
10	proposed manufacturing/processing space
11	equals 104 percent of the specified
12	retail space. SCTM: 1001-5-1-14 and
13	the applicant is Phil Karlin for North
14	Fork Smoked Fish. This Notice was
15	properly placed in the Suffolk Times.
16	I will just read very quickly the
17	people that were notified in the mail.
18	It's William Shear and Helen Shear at
19	425 Main Street. Paul and Charles
20	Kuzniski, 423 Main Street, Sweet
21	Liberty Incorporated, PO BOX 616,
22	Shelter Island. I am not sure which
23	property that relates to?
24	MS. PHILLIPS: It's my property.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you.

1	And Carmen Bissell, 312 Buckingham
2	Road, West Palm Beach. Joseph Henry,
3	421 First Street, Joseph Henry and
4	Elaine Henry 34 South Street and Alice
5	Eyecorn, PO BOX 243, Peconic. The
6	property has also been placarded with
7	the appropriate notice. Before I take
8	any testimony, I just want to give a
9	brief status on where we are on the
10	project. Originally the situation,
11	that this property opened prior to
12	Planning Board review. It was
13	subsequently submitted to the Planning
14	Board and then the Planning Board
15	referred it to the Zoning Board of
16	Appeals because of the non-permitted
17	use. There was also a request for
18	interpretation and a request for a use
19	variance to operate as a nonconforming
20	use. That was subsequently withdrawn.
21	We now have before us for an area
22	variance for allowable space. I will
23	note that the applicant is proposing
24	for retail sales and manufacturing on
25	site. Would the applicant or

1	representative like to make comment or
2	testimony?
3	MS. MARTIN: Good evening, Amy
4	Martin of Fairweather & Brown. We were
5	just at the Planning Board for an
6	interpretation and then was sent to
7	Zoning for an area variance. We
8	submitted that as soon as possible.
9	That was in February. Subsequently, we
10	postponed things. We are asking for
11	we all know what we are asking for. We
12	are asking for half of the building to
13	be used for retail and half to be used
14	for manufacturing. I am not sure when
15	the zoning in this area had changed.
16	It is now considered retail commercial.
17	We would just like to put before you
18	that this is a very good operation.
19	This is not a detriment to the area.
20	It is a very positive well received
21	business, and we regret that it wasn't
22	done originally to plan. I just ask
23	that you consider the fact that is a
24	very appropriate business for the
25	Village. Some of the waterfront

1	businesses still exist. It's
2	unfortunate that the location is
3	commercial retail. I believe that it's
4	a positive addition to the Village and
5	I just ask that you consider this. And
6	if it's something that has to go with
7	the business, we would not mind such a
8	stated condition. I think that
9	although it's considering
10	manufacturing, I think the Village Code
11	is a little antiquated. And back in
12	time when there were newspapers in
13	Town, they were allowed to publish and
14	create artifacts that we would now have
15	oysterman working in residential areas.
16	We have all things that are happening
17	now, and we would ask that you approve
18	this. Does anyone have any specific
19	questions? The only thing that I did
20	have to revise the application because
21	I was not aware that this was not
22	exactly retail or commercial or
23	behind the office, bathroom and the
24	freezer and the heated lamp, it had to
25	be considered half of those spaces as

1	either commercial retail or
2	manufacturing. We have provided a site
3	plan that shows that there are
4	sufficient parking and single. We hope
5	that you will accept this and remain a
6	positive part of the District.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: A quick question
8	and just to help me out, I don't
9	recall, is this still pending before
10	the Planning Board?
11	MS. MARTIN: Yes. It will go back
12	to the Planning Board.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, it will. I
14	just wanted to point out that we did
15	have the advantage not only to review
16	the application, square footage, but we
17	went to the site and looked it over and
18	got an idea of where the production is
19	and what it entails. So we thank you
20	for that.
21	MS. MARTIN: And on the record, I
22	have to say that it's used to be a
23	retail operation in the front before
24	the catering was allowed there, and
25	other things that were manufactured.

Ţ	rnank you.
2	MR. PROKOP: So the last time that
3	this was before the Board, we asked you
4	to come up with the actual square
5	footage of the building the last
6	time that we were here, which was a
7	month ago, with this application, there
8	was a discrepancy between the square
9	footage that you were planning and the
10	total square footage?
11	MS. MARTIN: Yes. I had not
12	included each of the non-use areas.
13	What I considering "common areas." The
14	bathroom, the small office, heating
15	lamp and the walk-in freezer as either
16	retail, nor commercial. So we revised
17	it. So each of those spaces are now in
18	the calculations.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any other
20	questions before we discuss this
21	amongst the Board members?
22	Any members of the public?
23	MR. TASK: Arthur Task, 17 Beach
24	Street, Greenport. While I had the
25	opportunity to visit the site, I am

1	familiar with the operations. This is a
2	horse in the wrong stall. There is
3	really a whole Trifecta of variances
4	that go along with this application.
5	The one is, on the table, at least as
6	far is the application is concerned,
7	referenced, 400, 500, variances that
8	you utilized to reference for
9	manufacturing in conjunction with a
10	retail operation. All of which
11	manufacturing is required to be sole
12	exclusively in retail store. That is
13	not what is supposed to be done as you
14	all well know. It has been stated that
15	most of the sales are off site. Until
16	now, the public wasn't permitted to
17	come in and buy. So all of the
18	dimensions were violated with the
19	present situation and some others were
20	continued to be violated. It now
21	appears that the retail portion has
22	been added to this project. The owners
23	admission, he said, if people really
24	wanted to get real seafood, they would
25	go to Alice's to buy a showcase of

1	samplings, if you will, of fish that
2	could be available. Now I have been
3	aware that there is previous
4	applications for variance a use
5	variance for this operation in the CR
6	District and apparently has been
7	withdrawn or "swept underneath the
8	table." The use has not changed.
9	Apparently there is no active variance
LO	application. The owners representative
11	just pulled back the corner of rug and
12	indicated that although it was clear
13	that it was not a use permitted, but it
L 4	has in the past been by previous
L5	owners. As I said, it's not permitted
16	to manufacture or process shellfish in
L7	a CR District, even if the area
18	requires, which there are not. Fish
L9	and Shellfishing and processing plants
20	and retail and wholesale of seafood
21	products, are going to be proposed at
22	the proposed location. There is a
23	doctrine in the ordinances, which says,
24	make a list of things that are
25	permitted and it specifically excludes

1	other things. They are not permitted
2	uses. In other words, the Village
3	Board intentionally included processing
4	in some fishing plants and permitted
5	uses and since the Board could have
6	included those uses also in the CR
7	District and they did not, it's clear
8	that they didn't intend those things to
9	be done in this District. So we come
LO	to the conclusion, that approval of
11	this application in any form prior to
12	granting a use variance, either as to
13	allowing a manufacturing operation or
L 4	allowing a fish processing of retail in
15	the CR District, in either case, a
16	variance would be warranted. Thank
L7	you.
L8	MR. SALADINO: John Salidino, Sixth
19	Street. I don't think anybody or at
20	least anybody that I have spoken with
21	has a problem with this application,
22	tenant or the product. I think
23	everybody, all the people that I have
24	spoken with, people has a problem with
25	the process that people have been

1	talking about this issue. Ann says
2	that the code is antiquated.
3	Unfortunately it's the only one that we
4	have at the moment. If the Village
5	Board doesn't like the code, then they
6	should change it. People are insulted
7	at what has happened with great
8	business that is operating without a
9	permit. It goes back to other
10	perceptions also. You can't run a
11	business like that. You can't run a
12	building like that. Either you are
13	going to do what's right and the ZBA is
14	going to do what they have to do or
15	you're not. What about people that
16	want to do things the right way? That
17	spend the money and the time and go
18	through the Planning Board and go
19	before the ZBA? As far as this
20	business that shouldn't be in this
21	building? I mean, that is kind of
22	something that should have been squared
23	away last time and the fact that it's
24	not, I am kind of embarrassed for that.
25	So do I have a problem with the

1	business? Absolutely not. The tenant?
2	Absolutely not. It's great. Like
3	Arthur said, it's just not right for
4	that building.
5	MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184
6	Fifth Street. Some of you were not
7	here for the last election campaign,
8	but this was a big issue and every
9	Trustee candidate, including who were
LO	elected and the Mayor, said there is
11	going to be no more selective
12	enforcement. I went to the Village
13	Hall and I spoke to well, I said,
L 4	were there any actual violations
L5	returnable or issued? They said, yes.
16	And I FOIL'D for them. And the answer
L7	from the Foiling Officer was, well,
L8	they weren't really issued. So this
L 9	building has been allowed to basically
20	operate violations of Village Code,
21	almost eight or nine months now. And
22	now we're coming and asking, just let
23	us be there. Well, the code says that
24	you can't be there. You are asking for
25	a variance for something that the code

1	says you can't do in that building.
2	They need to go back to the Planning
3	Board. I am telling you that the
4	selective enforcement was big in the
5	last election and if we're going to be
6	a Village and a Village of honest truth
7	and I don't know why the two Trustees
8	are not here tonight that battled that
9	and they should be here, I feel, this
LO	is a biggest example of sticking a
11	stick in the eye in the Village right
12	now. And if you people allow this to
L3	go on and then you are sticking that
L 4	same stick in deeper.
L5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Can I ask you
L 6	something?
L7	MR. SWISKEY: Yes.
L8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You said it has to
L 9	go back to the Planning Board?
20	MR. SWISKEY: For a use variance is
21	what I said. That is what you said,
22	right?
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: A use variation.
24	I am sorry. That is what you meant to
25	say.

1	MR. SWISKEY: Like it's time to
2	say, stop.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Is there anyone
4	else that wishes to speak?
5	MR. CYRK: Good evening, Members of
6	the Board of the Zoning Board of
7	Appeals. My name is Cyrk at 175
8	Cedar Drive. So it's an honor to
9	appear before this evening. This has
10	been an interesting process for North
11	Fork Smoke Fishing Company. A company
12	that was envisioned by a retired United
13	States Coast Guard in Gulf Of Mexico
14	working on the clean-up. He came back
15	to his home and provided a living for
16	his family and he has a dream, where
17	does he pursue his dream. He decides
18	on a harbor town. Where do you find
19	that place within the harbor town to
20	execute? So you have to go through the
21	process of finding, hopefully the right
22	fit for the horse. There was reference
23	that this might be the wrong stall.
24	Well, I was educated in Greenport High
25	School. Went away, served in the

1	United States Marine Corp. Attended New
2	Paltz College and my first job when I
3	came back to the North Fork, I was a
4	general manager, which a company that
5	is no longer amongst us. It had a
6	variety of things, processing seafood,
7	right here in the Village of Greenport.
8	Entrance into Stirling Harbor. So the
9	zoning which is some concept, which was
LO	recognized a way for the Village, was
11	codifying. We had to make adjustments
L2	not only to our community but the code
13	in which we live us. So people can say
L 4	spot zoning, or well, it makes a matter
15	here or a variance there. I do believe
16	that the spirit of zoning is what we
L7	intended to do. Your Board can only
18	work with information that is supplied
19	to you. You address the concerns that
20	are brought before by the civil
21	servants of your community. If the
22	civil servants are doing there due
23	diligence, they attempt, I think to
24	work with land owners and business
25	owners to attribute a working Village.

1	They will enable to work on that dream.
2	To fulfill your dream. Does one select
3	one business from the code and say
4	where are you compliant and where are
5	you not? So they can present to the
6	Board. Having grownup here, I have a
7	bit of knowledge, I also like to shop
8	here in the Village. So that brings me
9	to the storefronts. As a patron, do I
10	understand that it's 20/80, not
11	necessarily. Because I amy not
12	understand the entire code. I have
13	done a walking tour of this Village
14	after this was brought to my attention
15	and I have been in businesses that are
16	part of this district or zoning area,
17	that are, from my understanding, sticks
18	a bit to the west of the gas station,
19	brings us along Front Street, picks of
20	Main Street and comes up along South
21	Street. Picks up where North Fork Fish
22	is attempting to operate in the ost
23	legal format possible. I said, wait a
24	second. Let me redo this walk because
25	what I am being told that we are

1	focusing there are some businesses
2	that I have been in don't seem have
3	similar store frontage, this 80/20
4	like it was a boiler plate that was
5	picked out of State Code and says, this
6	won't work. That is what we do in
7	towns throughout New York. Then we
8	have Zoning Board of Appeals to go
9	through that process. Now, I can name
LO	businesses that do not appear to be in
L1	same compliance of with what you are
12	asking from North Fork Fish, but I am
13	not ready to. And the reason why I am
L 4	not ready to is because I am not
15	complete with my research. I have gone
16	through public records which are
17	available on the internet and I have
L8	yet to find one hearing that are for
19	these public businesses that exist and
20	no complaints. So I say to myself, is
21	it a lack of oversight or is it a
22	convenience of well, they are not
23	someone that I have a bone to pick
24	with. They are not someone that I feel
25	doesn't bring the vision of Greenport

1	Village. We are talking about salt
2	fish here. Why can't we move forward.
3	Thank you very much.
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Good afternoon. My
5	name is Sarah Phillips. I live at 14
6	Main Street in the Village and I also
7	own First and South, along South
8	Street. I am simply here on behalf of
9	my neighbors to gather knowledge of the
10	law for myself and for the satiation at
11	hand. If there were mistakes that were
12	made, I am obviously not privy to all
13	of them in order in which they have
14	happened, I do know that this has been
15	an ongoing process for some time. I
16	also moved to Greenport five years ago
17	to pursue my dream and my business
18	here, which also happens to be in the
19	same location as this. I did work hand
20	and hand with the Village, very
21	closely, to make sure that I was in a
22	rights of what my business was.
23	Switching over my electric and plumbing
24	and I did my best to adhere to all of
25	those laws. I understand that some of

1	those things did not go as smoothly
2	here. That there is content and
3	issues. I don't necessarily think that
4	throwing it away would be the best way
5	to address it because I do find their
6	business to be very viable and I do
7	think that there is a potential of the
8	retail sales that they are speaking of
9	The business that was there prior did
LO	have a similar outlook, similar floor
11	footprint. I understand that some of
12	their retails spaces have been turned
13	over to more manufacturing. The
L 4	products that were sold there prior,
L5	were more had a more retail feel.
L 6	So here is more concentration to one
L7	specific genre as opposed to many
L8	different areas. I do think it has an
L 9	appeal for our guests that are coming
20	to visit and as well as living here.
21	do serve a lot of my products at my
22	restaurant as well as buy organic
23	products when I go home at night.
24	There are a couple of comments that
25	people made and I do find them viable.

1	The greater knowledge on zoning laws, I
2	think there are some issues that float
3	along between ZBA, the Village and the
4	Planning Board and the BID. I am a
5	member of the BID and we do have a hard
6	time of keeping track of all the
7	businesses coming and we would like to
8	have an understanding to help branch
9	those businesses. Then follow the
LO	correct procedures so we can avoid this
11	happening in the future. So if anyone
12	would like to contact me for that in
13	the future. I would love to extend
L 4	that now. There was speak of a use
15	variance, I would say that since they
16	had a business prior to this location,
L7	for them to go through this application
18	process and make these changes and
19	still be bringing in a revenue stream
20	to allow them to go through with it,
21	that is I do understand where the
22	business is coming from and I do
23	understand where the Village is coming
24	from and where we have to take a stand
25	on favoring businesses or what seems to

1	be a handshake deals that no one else
2	knows about. I think they have done a
3	great job as being a neighbor. I think
4	they have done a great thing with their
5	product. I think they have done a
6	great job with a reachable and
7	affordable thing. I think they're an
8	additive for year round commerce and
9	planning year round jobs, which I can
LO	say is very hard. As I have tried the
11	last three years in keeping my business
L2	open year round and just this last year
13	decided to close January, February and
L 4	March because that is what suits my
L5	financial needs for my business. This
16	is something that they are able to do
L7	by having those outsource spaces.
L8	Perhaps with the difference for the
L 9	laws and the zoning for the 80/20 is
20	just businesses that were in place
21	versus new businesses and that is why
22	this has become such a struggle.
23	Because there are places operating in a
24	similar matter. I have not looked into
25	it. I am not one to look I like to

1	mind my own business. I think as
2	neighbors are a great addition to our
3	district. I support them staying in
4	the Village. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Is there anyone
6	else from the public that would like to
7	speak? If not, I will entertain a
8	motion to close the public hearing.
9	MEMBER NEFF: So moved.
10	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
12	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
13	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
14	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
15	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
17	That hearing is closed. We are
18	going to move this along and keep this
19	going at a good space so that we don't
20	get in the way of the fire department.
21	We have a second hearing from a request
22	from the Building Inspector for a
23	request of an interpretation of the
24	Village of Greenport Code. The
25	Building Department is requesting the

1	Zoning Board of Appeals to review
2	sections of the codes which address
3	yard requirements and fence locations.
4	Sections 150-13B(1), Section 150-13D
5	and Section 15-13F. Before we take any
6	public comments, the reason why this
7	came up, it has to do with situations
8	of corner lots, where the code
9	specifies there are two front yards.
LO	These streets also affects fences
11	because they may be four feet high and
12	effects rear yards. The issue came up
L3	because of a property that has a kind
L 4	of structure but on a front yard and a
15	side street. So this is why we are
L 6	having this discussion. So if any
L7	public would like to way in on this.
L8	Is there anyone that would like to make
L 9	comment on front yards, fences
20	MEMBER NEFF: Can I make one point
21	of information? I think the 30 foot
22	setback in a Village that most many of
23	the lots are 50 feet wide, okay, and
24	then that most of the houses most of
25	the houses are built close enough to

1	the street to 12 feet is not
2	unusual. So it's code that was enacted
3	after the houses were built. If I were
4	on a corner, I have a 30 foot setback
5	but that is no where near my house. So
6	we have to think about what does that
7	mean?
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay, you did make
9	a motion?
10	MEMBER NEFF: No, not on this one.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I was getting
12	there.
13	MEMBER NEFF: I make a motion to
14	close this public hearing on fences.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: May I have a
16	second?
17	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
19	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
20	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
21	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
22	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
24	We will be discussing this a bit
25	further during our deliberations. So

1	we will discuss this as it comes up. I
2	would like to move further with the
3	agenda. We come to the discussion part
4	of our agenda. We come to Item No. 1
5	for an area variance from Philip Karlin
6	regarding the percentage of space and
7	allowable for manufacturing versus
8	retail sales. I should point out that
9	the section of the code that we are
LO	referring to is that in the Commercial
L1	District that has to do with such. The
12	way that this is configured, as we have
13	discussed, is worded to the sold retail
L 4	and exclusively on the premises and
15	provided that the space is fully
16	concealed from the street. The code
17	specifies no more than 20% of the
18	square footage for retail sales and not
19	more than two employees engaged in such
20	production. My feeling is that the
21	reason why this was written is that the
22	retail especially at the time that it
23	was written was to preserve retail
24	sales. When this matter first came to
25	our attention, requested for a use

1	variance was exactly that. That use
2	variance was withdrawn.
3	MEMBER NEFF: Can I ask a question?
4	When was this written?
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I am not certain.
6	MR. PROKOP: It will say it in the
7	code book.
8	MEMBER CORWIN: 1940's. From the
9	late 1940's. I will check with Mr. Task
10	if I am correct on that.
11	MR. TASK: 1949 was the first
12	zoning from the Village of the
13	Greenport but Section 150-9 regarding
14	commercial/retail district was enacted
15	in 1990.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's correct.
17	One of the difficulties in the
18	evolution of the code book, once new
19	codes are written, the old ones are no
20	longer. It doesn't give an
21	explanation.
22	MR. TASK: Well, you can find that
23	in the minutes of the Village Board of
24	when it was amended.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that is true,

1	if you can find those minutes. When
2	you do that search, there are times
3	that the minutes are not available.
4	One of the reasons, I think, is to
5	preserve retail sales. When these
6	codes were written, many of these
7	entities existed. So if there was a
8	printing business in the Town, it was
9	allowed the Town Code allowed. This
LO	is a little more generic. It's intent,
L1	I feel, is to restrict intense
12	manufacturing within the Village. What
13	is before us now is a offer from the
L 4	applicant that this operation will be a
L5	retail sales of seafood products and
16	smoked fish that is also produced on
L7	premises. One question
L8	MEMBER CORWIN: Retail and
L 9	wholesale.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Selling wholesale
21	as well off premises. One of the
22	questions that comes up is the
23	boin-fide how much of a retail
24	operation there is going to be. I think
25	it's important to have a dialogue with

1	the applicant. Whether we are going to
2	have full time retail operation? A
3	part time operation? Could you place
4	indicate that to us? Something about
5	your intent for the sales.
6	MR. KARLIN: Sure. I am Philip
7	Karlin. I am the president of the
8	company. We started out doing green
9	markets in Manhattan, which is all
10	retail. Subsequently trying to grow my
11	business, I moved into wholesale on the
12	south shore, Manhattan and Southold and
13	Greenport and Alice's Fish Market.
14	When this came up, and we decided we
15	were told that we needed to have a
16	retail operation, we were thrilled
17	because what I sell in wholesale, I
18	couldn't grocery shop for six months.
19	It's not much. My wholesale is more
20	advertising then it is money in my
21	pocket. It's a way to get my name out.
22	I grew up as a commercial fisherman and
23	this Town has been apart of my life.
24	It still is. This retail is going to
25	be my primary focus. The commercial is

1	an advertising gimmick. I mean, if I
2	have to survive on it, I wouldn't
3	survive. I started out on here because
4	I had a decent deal to start. So being
5	able to open up retail would be my
6	primary focus.
7	(Whereupon, the fire alarm tones
8	went off.)
9	MR. KARLIN: The idea still selling
10	wholesale is a way of people who aren't
11	always in Greenport to have some
12	product. So to have retail to be able
13	to provide fresh fish it would be a way
14	for people to get to get some fresh
15	fish and also be able to purchase our
16	product first hand. That is the idea.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Can you tell me
18	about your intended hours of operation?
19	MR. KARLIN: We are thinking about
20	being open seven days a week. And we
21	are thinking anywheres between 10:00
22	and 11:00 in the morning until 6:00 or
23	7:00 at night. This is year round.
24	If we are going to keep some of the
25	wholesale customers that we do have,

1	we have to operate year round. We
2	can't close down. Especially in
3	Manhattan. So if you are in there
4	setting things up for your wholesale
5	customers, we will be open for our
6	retail customers. Come in and have
7	some smoked fish and some coffee.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think some
9	of the concern was about some
10	part-time.
11	MR. KARLIN: Sure.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we would
13	perhaps feel more comfortable, if the
14	variance is granted that there would
15	be a stipulation that production would
16	only be in the face of a retail
17	operation and seasonal
18	MR. KARLIN: Would be more than
19	happy to do it.
20	MEMBER CORWIN: So we could hold
21	you to seven days a week? 10 to 6?
22	MR. KARLIN: Yeah. Somewhere in
23	that area. No doubt about it.
24	MEMBER NEFF: What does somewhere
25	in that area

1	MR. KARLIN: Well, if I have to
2	close down and catch a ball game.
3	MEMBER CORWIN: We just don't
4	want three days a week and four hours a
5	day.
6	MR. KARLIN: No, we won't do that.
7	The retail will be the primary
8	interest. I am extremely excited about
9	the prospect of a retail operation.
10	It takes my business to a whole new
11	level.
12	MEMBER CORWIN: Can I ask you why
13	your business wasn't a retail in the
14	first place? I assume let me say
15	it, I assume it was your landlord
16	didn't want any kind of
17	complication?
18	MR. KARLIN: I can't read my
19	landlord's mind. At this point, my
20	landlord is very willing to see a
21	retail operation there and behind us
22	100%. We are not looking to run a
23	wholesale operation with a sham
24	retail operation. What the Village
25	will see is a full-time retail

1	operation.
2	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Did you
3	understand the code before you
4	started this? Did somebody say that
5	you could do this and this in that
6	building? Or did you just get the
7	place and start smoking fish?
8	MR. KARLIN: That is what I did.
9	I rented the place and started smoking
10	fish. I had to fix it up. We did
11	some work. So I was in there painting
12	some walls. I had the mayor come by
13	and asked if we were smoking fish in
14	there. He said that was great. Nice
15	to see a business coming in. That was
16	my bad. I realize that.
17	MEMBER BENJAMIN: People do that.
18	That is what happens. People run into
19	trouble. I appreciate you coming in
20	and doing following the procedure.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I will tell you
22	that I was relieved to see an honest
23	retail operation coming because I was
24	having a great deal of difficulty with
25	the use variance. That was not

1	addressed with you. That is not my job
2	to do that. If that were the case, we
3	probably wouldn't be talking to you
4	tonight.
5	MR. KARLIN: I am sure.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So one of the
7	questions that comes up is the level of
8	retail operation, which I think you
9	have indicated as full-time. The other
10	concern is the closing of a retail
11	operation for manufacturing. The
12	variance would be restriction
13	MR. KARLIN: It wouldn't make
14	any sense. If we were going to be in
15	there operating for wholesale, we
16	might as well have the retail. If we
17	are in there, it would be for both.
18	When things are slow, there is
19	nothing like retail. Selling retail is
20	great. You are cutting out the
21	middle-man. You are going to the
22	source.
23	MEMBER GORDON: Are you still using
24	your website to sell directly, retail
25	through the internet?

1	MR. KARLIN: Yes, I am.
2	MEMBER GORDON: Is that a
3	substantial part of your business?
4	MR. KARLIN: Not really. I feel
5	that it can grow. I think that will
6	grow with the business.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Does the Board
8	have any other questions about the
9	retail or the scale of the operation?
LO	(No Response.)
L1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would the Board
L2	like to move forward with the decision
13	making progress?
L 4	MEMBER CORWIN: The only thing that
L5	I would say is, do we go with the
16	property perpetually or is this just
L7	for this one structure?
L8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I would suggest
L 9	that this be a variance we are not
20	talking about a dimensional aspect of
21	the property. We are not talking about
22	setbacks. We are focusing on the
23	business. That we not carry it. This
24	is not finished. They still have to go
25	back to the Planning Board and deal

1	with the use evaluations. So I would
2	suggest that we not carry it.
3	MEMBER GORDON: I am new on the
4	Zoning Board. We are here to
5	interpret and apply code restrictions
6	and I think and although I understand
7	the process and the feelings and the
8	people involved, it seems to me it's
9	not really relevant for the people
10	involved. Our job is not to punish or
11	harm the persons. So I hope that we
12	will act that way and the public will
13	understand that we are just looking at
14	the zoning ordinances.
15	MEMBER BENJAMIN: This is
16	approximately 50/50. There would have
17	to be some math I don't see any. If
18	it's 50%.
19	MS. WINGATE: There is math
20	everywhere.
21	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Sorry. Here it
22	is. I don't understand that.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's kind of an
24	off figure. Coming from the original
25	Notice of Disapproval. That would have

1	limited the manufacturing of the 124 $-$
2	MEMBER BENJAMIN: That is where it
3	was.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: What we are really
5	dealing with is the amount of
6	manufacturing exceeds a significant
7	margin.
8	MEMBER BENJAMIN: So the retail is
9	increased and not quite 80%? It's more
10	like 50%. So they are asking for a
11	variance of like 30%.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's clearly more
13	than the allowable.
14	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Right.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think the
16	question before us, because of this
17	appeal for a variance, by running the
18	test on it, if this can be approved or
19	disapproved. So I think we are at that
20	point.
21	MEMBER NEFF: In terms of the spot
22	zoning and red flags, I think we need
23	to think about it. We need to think,
24	can we look at it as a fit? The size
25	of the building. Can it change over

1	time? Is it significant in part.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think that is
3	what we are asked to do. To give a
4	judgement of this. I think one of the
5	ways that it's viewed, is certain facts
6	don't necessarily apply to everything.
7	We are asked to give an opinion and the
8	perception of the code. Any other
9	discussion?
10	MEMBER CORWIN: Let's move ahead.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So the
12	first effort hear would declare Zoning
13	Board of Appeals Lead Agency according
14	to SEQRA requirements. At a previous
15	meeting, Mr. Corwin had some
16	discussions about the wording of having
17	a negative impact. To clarify this, I
18	would make the motion that the Zoning
19	Board of Appeals finds that there
20	environmental impact of the fish
21	operation will not have a negative
22	impact on the environment and that
23	little or no impact on the
24	waterfront. Just to clarify
25	MR. PROKOP: Whatever you just

1	said is not correct.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Can you
3	correct it?
4	MR. PROKOP: I think what you are
5	attempting to do is to adopt a negative
6	declaration?
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. I think
8	people are confused about the fact that
9	it has a negative impact.
10	MR. PROKOP: Can I just caution you
11	on your discussion that you are
12	referring to the ratio of a ratio
13	for retail space and manufacturing
14	space?
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
16	MR. PROKOP: I just want to say one
17	other thing. The math, it's not 80/20.
18	If the manufacturing is 1/6 of sixes.
19	20/80 is 25%. Not 20%.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Some
21	people were doing calculations of
22	manufacturing. So I would make that
23	motion as Lead Agency and having a
24	negative environmental impact. So
25	moved. May I have a second?

1	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
3	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
4	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
5	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
6	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
8	That motion carries. Bear with me
9	for one minute. These are the test
10	questions. So the first question for
11	an area variance is whether an
12	undesirable change will be produced
13	in the character of the neighborhood,
14	or a detriment to nearby properties
15	will be created by the granting of the
16	area variance? And I would ask each
17	for an answer.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Benjamin?
19	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
21	MEMBER CORWIN: No.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
23	MEMBER GORDON: No.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
25	MEMBER NEFF: No.

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I will answer,
2	no.
3	Second is, whether the benefit
4	sought by the applicant can be
5	achieved by some method feasible for
6	the applicant to pursue but would not
7	require a variance;
8	Mr. Benjamin?
9	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
11	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
13	MEMBER GORDON: No.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
15	MEMBER NEFF: No.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I say, no.
17	Whether the requested area variance
18	is substantial?
19	Mr. Benjamin? Want me to come
20	back?
21	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
23	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
25	MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
2	MEMBER NEFF: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Benjamin?
4	MEMBER BENJAMIN: (No Response.)
5	MEMBER CORWIN: You can always say,
6	"I don't know."
7	MEMBER BENJAMIN: I don't know.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would also
9	say, yes.
10	Fourth, whether the proposed
11	variance will have an adverse effect or
12	impact on the physical or environmental
13	conditions in the neighborhood or
14	district?
15	Mr. Benjamin?
16	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
18	MEMBER CORWIN: No.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
20	MEMBER GORDON: No.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
22	MEMBER NEFF: No.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I will say, no.
24	And whether an alleged difficulty
25	is self-created, which consideration

1	shall not be relevant to how the Board
2	feels but not necessarily include the
3	granting of the area variance?
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Benjamin?
5	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
7	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
9	MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
LO	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
11	MEMBER NEFF: Yes.
L2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would
13	indicate, no. That it was not self
L 4	created issue. And the last, we would
L5	be making a motion to approve this
16	variance. Mr. Corwin has asked that I
L7	read a resolution, then we can then
L8	vote on, which indicates the full
19	details that is being requested and
20	also the conditions that will be
21	applied with the variances. Bear with
22	me, I will read this.
23	Whereas, an application was made
24	for an area variance to the Village of
25	Greenport by Phillip Karlin of North

1	Fork Smoked provides the manufacturing
2	and processing of 640 square feet of
3	retail sales space and 620, for a total
4	space of 1260 square feet space of the
5	retail commercial district.
6	Whereas the code limits the
7	manufacturing to 20% of retail space,
8	to 619 square feet and 124 square feet,
9	whereas the variance of an additional
10	619 square feet space is allow the 603
11	square feet of code.
12	Whereas the retail operation will
13	be opened for hours generally from
14	10:00 to 6:00 for seven days a week.
15	Manufacturing of will only occur during
16	the business operations of the retail
17	sales operation.
18	Whereas the proposed retail
19	manufacturing will be submitted to the
20	Planning Board for continuation of the
21	use and reviewed. Any future changes
22	to the spaces of retail space and
23	manufacturing or additional uses of the
24	variances, shall be submitted to the
25	Planning Board for review. I will also

1	add that this variance if approved,
2	will not carry with the property and be
3	restricted to this particular business.
4	Now, therefore, be it resolved with
5	the Zoning Board of Appeals of the
6	Village of Greenport grants the area
7	variance to allow an additional 519
8	square feet of manufacturing/processing
9	space for a total of 643 square feet of
10	manufacturing and processing space, and
11	619 square feet for retail space. The
12	total being 1262 square feet. So moved.
13	MEMBER NEFF: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would ask
15	for a vote of the Board.
16	Mr. Benjamin?
17	MR. PROKOP: In order for this to
18	be a valid resolution, in the second to
19	last paragraph, to include, whereas the
20	Zoning Board of Appeals has determined
21	that approving the variance will -
22	whatever the five test questions were,
23	your determination that they be part
24	of the resolution.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE. This is not the

1	this is just to pass the resolution.
2	That will be in the final
3	determination.
4	MR. PROKOP: Im sorry. That's
5	fine.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Benjamin?
7	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
9	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Gordon?
11	MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
13	MEMBER NEFF: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I will vote,
15	yes. The area variance is granted.
16	MR. KARLIN: Thank you very much.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: To move things
18	along, I would like to table our
19	discussion on Item No. 2. It's not a
20	pressing matter. So if the Board
21	agrees, I will make a motion to table
22	the discussion and ask for a second?
23	MEMBER NEFF: Ms. Neff.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
25	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.

1	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
2	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
3	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
5	We can move forward. Item No. 3.,
6	discussion and possible decision on the
7	content of the ZBA response to a
8	request from the Village of Greenport
9	Board of Trustees for comments from the
LO	ZBA, Planning Board and the HPC
L1	regarding Section 150-15D of the
12	Village of Greenport Code regarding
13	regulations of signs. Now, has there
L 4	been any discussion at any of the
15	meetings.
L 6	MEMBER CORWIN: We have discussed
L7	it in bits and pieces.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: What I will do is
L 9	put together a draft and put together
20	some of the comments. I was not
21	present during that time. I will go
22	through the minutes.
23	MEMBER CORWIN: It's not broken and
24	it doesn't need to be fixed. There was
25	a guestion that the Building Inspector

1	brought up. The thing that always
2	comes up in signs in different shops
3	from open signs, bear signs, and
4	everything in between. A lot of them
5	are self illuminating signs.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would another
7	recommendation be that still be in
8	the position to talk about matters with
9	the BID and respond back to the Board
10	of Trustees? I am not hearing anything
11	really. So what I will do is look at
12	those minutes and take those comments
13	that were made and make a response. So
14	I will offer to do that.
15	No. 4 is to accept the appeal of an
16	area variance , public noticed and
17	schedule a public hearing for Chuck
18	Kitz, 228 Sixth Street. The applicant
19	proposes to construct new front porch
20	addition, at the premises located at
21	228 Sixth Street, Greenport. The
22	property is located in the R-2
23	District. The proposed addition is
24	10.4 square feet from the west property
25	line requiring a 19.6 front yard

1	variance, where the section 150.12a of
2	the Village of Greenport Code requires
3	a 30 foot front yard setback. The
4	proposed addition is 16.8 feet from the
5	north property line requiring a 13.2
6	foot front yard area variance , where
7	section 150-12a of the Village Code
8	requires a 30 foot front yard setback.
9	So the point here is to accept the
LO	application for the area variance. I
L1	will make that motion.
12	Do I have a second?
L3	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
L 4	MEMBER CORWIN: I have a question.
L5	I am willing to vote yes. On the
L 6	schedule there is a couple of things
L7	wrong on the application. Maybe we can
L8	get this corrected before the next
L 9	hearing.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Just indicate the
21	problem?
22	MEMBER CORWIN: It says Charles
23	Kitz and Ann Marino Solution East, LLC.
24	So I am little confused. Is Solution
25	East, LLC making the application or is

1	Charles Kitz or Ann Marino making the
2	application?
3	MS. WINGATE: Charles Kitz and Ann
4	Marino are making the application and
5	Solutions East are their their very
6	own contractual company. So I think
7	Charles Kitz and Ann Marino are the
8	owner applicants.
9	MEMBER CORWIN: Then that it what
10	it should say. The signature is
11	illegible.
12	MEMBER NEFF: Lot's of people's
13	signatures are.
14	MEMBER CORWIN: And one other
15	thing, if you go to Page 2, which is a
16	survey I assume that is a survey.
17	Page 2 of the Plan and Drawing,
18	apparently what happened, the architect
19	put the survey and then sign the seal
20	and made a copy of it. You really
21	can't do that.
22	MS. WINGATE: I
23	MEMBER NEFF: Wait, I don't
24	understand. You're talking about Page
25	2 of the survey?

1	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
2	MEMBER NEFF: And what is it that
3	you are saying?
4	MEMBER CORWIN: If you look at the
5	survey, it's stamped. And then it's
6	signed. He put his signature there.
7	And then the architect signed over the
8	seal. At that point in time, what it
9	is?
10	MEMBER NEFF: I don't have that.
11	MEMBER CORWIN: I think he got
12	carried away.
13	MS. WINGATE: That's the surveyor's
14	signature.
15	MEMBER CORWIN: I disagree with
16	you. That is not John Wexler's
17	signature.
18	MS. WINGATE: I don't know what you
19	are looking at. I don't have that.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mine is different.
21	I don't have that.
22	MS. WINGATE: Nobody else has that.
23	MEMBER CORWIN: Well
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Do you think this
25	can get resolved by the next month?

1	MS. WINGATE: Absolutely.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We have a second.
3	All in favor pending the
4	corrections?
5	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
6	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
7	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
8	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
10	MR. PROKOP: It looks like Mr.
11	Corwin was given the original.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think so.
13	I apologize the next part, should
14	be under No. 2. That was my fault on
15	my part.
16	Item No. 7, minutes March 18, 2015.
17	Can I have a second, please?
18	MEMBER NEFF: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
20	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
21	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
22	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
23	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I abstain because
25	I was not there.

1	Motion to approve the minutes for
2	January 21, 2015. Can I have a second,
3	please?
4	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
7	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
8	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
9	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
LO	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I abstain again. I
L1	was not present.
L2	Motion to schedule the next ZBA
L3	Meeting for May 20, 2015. So we will
L 4	meet next month.
L5	MEMBER CORWIN: And we need to set
16	an inspection date.
L7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. Everyone be
L8	there at 4:30 on May 20th. So we are
L9	good for that.
20	I don't think that we need to vote
21	on that. We all agree to it.
22	I have to point out that the SCOVA
23	Municipal Training is scheduled for May
24	12, 2015. I need to know by tomorrow
25	who will attend that. It meets the

1	four hour training requirements. If
2	anyone is due for their training, I
3	would suggest they sign up for it.
4	MEMBER GORDON: I will go.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
6	MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
8	MEMBER NEFF: Yes.
9	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Actually, I am
10	thinking of resigning.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We will talk about
12	it.
13	And lastly before a motion to
14	adjourn, I just want to thank Mr.
15	Corwin for running the meetings for the
16	last couple of months. It appears
17	everything was in order and went well.
18	I am sure the weather we don't thank.
19	Thanks again, David, for doing a great
20	job.
21	MEMBER CORWIN: We have neglected
22	to put the inspections on the agenda.
23	I think it should be put on there and
24	so the public is aware.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes It's also or

1	the sign.
2	So I would like to make a motion to
3	adjourn, Second?
4	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
7	MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
8	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
9	MEMBER NEFF: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
11	Meeting is adjourned.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Jessica DiLallo, a Notary Public
5	for and within the State of New York, do
6	hereby certify:
7	THAT, the witness(es) whose testimony
8	is herein before set forth, was duly sworn
9	by me, and,
10	THAT, the within transcript is a true
11	record of the testimony given by said
12	witness(es).
13	I further certify that I am not related
14	either by blood or marriage to any of the
15	parties to this action; and that I am in
16	no way interested in the outcome of this
17	matter.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
20	my hand this day, May 4, 2015.
21	
22	
23	(Jessica DiLallo)
24	
25	* * * *