VILLAGE OF GREENPORT COUNTY OF SUFFOLK STATE OF NEW YORK
X
PLANNING BOARD REGULAR MEETING
x
Third Street Firehouse Greenport, New York
June 4, 2015 5:00 P.M.
BEFORE:
DEVIN MCMAHON - CHAIRMAN
BEN BURNS - MEMBER
PETER JAUQUET - MEMBER
PAT MUNDUS - MEMBER
JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY
GLYNIS BERRY - PLANNING BOARD COORDINATOR

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: This is the
2	Village of Greenport Planning Board,
3	June 4, 2015 Regular Meeting. Item No. 1
4	Motion to act on Use Evaluation
5	Application for Wayne Turrett. The
6	property is located at 746 Main Street.
7	The proposed one-family house is a
8	permitted use in the R-1 (One Family
9	Residential) District. It is also located
10	in the Historic District. We had a public
11	hearing on it. At the last work session.
12	This is SCTM #1001-2-3-8.02. I believe we
13	resolved most of the issues for this. I
14	didn't see any thing else. Does anyone
15	else have any comments or concerns?
16	MR. PROKOP: Do we have a SEQRA
17	Resolution on this?
18	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: No, we didn't do
19	a SEQRA resolution on this.
20	MR. PROKOP: (In Audible).
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Sure.
22	Absolutely. I am glad that you brought
23	that up. It was a Type I because of the
24	Historic District. We didn't find any
25	MR. PROKOP: We have to coordinate

1	this with Southold and get their input on
2	this. So we may have to put this over for
3	one meeting, unless we did that
4	internally. I don't know who would do
5	that internally?
6	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Do they want to
7	coordinate on the determination
8	MR. PROKOP: We have to give them
9	additional time, I think, that they have
10	to respond. I don't know if we ever let
11	them know. Unless you did?
12	MS. BERRY: I didn't, but I believe
13	Eileen might have. Can you do a
14	conditional?
15	MR. PROKOP: No, we have to complete
16	the SEQRA before we approve it. He is not
17	here tonight. Is he?
18	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: No.
19	MR. PROKOP: So that is an issue
20	that we have with this.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: If any other
22	agency
23	MR. PROKOP: We have to send out a
24	coordinator review notice on this. I
25	don't know if we have done that.

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: We didn't do
2	that. Do we have to do that for every
3	Type I action then?
4	MR. PROKOP: For every Type I.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All right. I am
6	not certain what to do then. I believe we
7	are ready to move forward with the
8	approval other than the SEQRA
9	determination. We have made our SEQRA
10	determination that it was not going to
11	pose an issues.
12	MR. PROKOP: Well, we need to do
13	that on the record. We have to have what
14	is called a coordinated review in the
15	file. And I am just not sure if we have
16	done it or not.
17	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay.
18	MEMBER MUNDUS: Joe, why this house
19	and not others?
20	MR. PROKOP: Because it's in the
21	Historic District.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I would just
23	suggest that we move forward then. Do we
24	have to put it off?
25	MR. PROKOP: I think it should go to

1	the work session and then we will resolve
2	it then. I think we can resolve it at the
3	work session but I think it has to be put
4	off till then.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay.
6	MEMBER JAUQUET: Is there a deadline
7	to accept the application?
8	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes. We accepted
9	the application last time. We are 62 days
10	from the time that we accept the
11	application, I believe.
12	MR. PROKOP: That's correct.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: We would be well
14	within it.
15	MR. PROKOP: I will make sure that
16	it's in the file.
17	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. So
18	unfortunately, we will have to
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (In Audible).
20	MR. PROKOP: Yes, we would change it
21	but I don't think there is a reason to.
22	You have already determined it's a Type I
23	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: So I am going to
24	make a motion that we move this item to
25	the next work session, which is the I

1	don't know the date on it.
2	Does anyone know the date off hand?
3	MR. PROKOP: 6/25.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: So I will make a
5	motion that we move this item to the $6/25$
6	work session so that we can have the
7	findings from the coordinated
8	determination for purposes of SEQRA.
9	MEMBER JAUQUET: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
11	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
12	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
13	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
15	Item No. 2, motion to act on the
16	site plan application for North Fork
17	Smoked Fish. Philip Karlin has been
18	granted a variance from the Zoning Board
19	of Appeals for additional 519 square feet
20	of manufacturing floor space. This
21	provides a total of 743 square feet of
22	manufacturing and 640 square feet of
23	retail space. The facility is located at
24	414 First Street. SCTM# 1001-4-7-5.
25	There were a few items that we discussed

1	last time. We did have additional
2	material submitted from the applicant.
3	The additional materials includes a
4	completed short environmental assessment
5	form, additional location for the 3 foot
6	wide two-ring drywall for the roof water
7	runoff on the property. Addition of
8	screening to be added by the business
9	owner to enclose the area behind the
LO	building, with stockade fencing between
11	the building and the shed and the length
L2	of the stockade to screen the dumpster as
L3	requested. Measurements of the existing
L 4	proposed signage as applied for with the
L5	building permit that was provided to at
L 6	the work session. Okay. Does anyone have
L7	any comments on this?
L8	MEMBER JAUQUET: Is there a
19	requirement as to a timeline for adding
20	drainage or do we just let that go?
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I just think that
22	we would make it a condition. I don't
23	know that we have a set window of time.
24	Do you have any thoughts on that as to the
25	timeframe?

Ţ	MS. BERRY: (In Audible).
2	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay.
3	MEMBER MUNDUS: We only accepted
4	this last week. So I think that we are
5	fine.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I think what
7	Peter is asking is the amount of time to
8	do the drainage work because it's not
9	completed now. Just make it conditional.
10	MS. BERRY: Just make it
11	conditional.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Although Glynis
13	noted that the I believe where they're
14	indicating where the drywells are going to
15	go now, there is a fuel tank. So we need
16	to find another location.
17	MR. KARLIN: That fuel tank is
18	disconnected.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: It's disconnected
20	and going to be removed. Okay.
21	MEMBER JAUQUET: The only other
22	quotes that I had is why is it going to be
23	North Fork Smoked Fish House and (In
24	Audible) was that the whole idea?
25	MR KARITN. The (In Audible)

1	because it will two-fold (In
2	Audible) Village, who don't have a
3	vehicle. To buy smoked fish. And we do
4	work closely together. Hand and hand.
5	MEMBER JAUQUET: I was just
6	wondering.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. So what
8	Glynis was saying is that we would need
9	the plans for the drywell, calculations
10	for the runoff on plans, on sign, stamped
11	plans from a engineer; correct?
12	MS. BERRY: Or a designer.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Or a designer.
14	Okay. And also getting building permits
15	for all of those or any changes that have
16	to be made. Building permits if you are
17	going to be doing outside changes to the
18	building.
19	AUDIENCE MEMBER: There is no
20	changes to the outside of the building.
21	We don't need a permit to change the
22	gutter direction or anything, down spouts
23	We do have a permit for the signs. We do
24	have a permit for the railings. Both of
25	those are on file. Do we need a permit

1	for the drywell?
2	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I don't know.
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Whatever we need,
4	we will do. I mean, I am not aware that
5	we need a permit for the drywell. It's a
6	50 year old problem.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. Our
8	resident expert is not here tonight.
9	MS. BERRY: (In Audible)
LO	calculations.
11	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (In Audible) shown
L2	on the plan.
L3	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Are there any
L 4	other issues or concerns that the Board
15	has?
L 6	MS. BERRY: The screening that is
L7	proposed and also the occupancy.
L8	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes, the
L 9	occupancy as well. There were a few
20	different ideas about what the proposed
21	occupancy should be and what it will be.
22	I don't have a plan.
23	MEMBER MUNDUS: That was from the
24	last work session.
25	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes, I believe we

1	need to keep it under 49, under the fire
2	code. Just wanted it to state in there
3	somewhere. So then if there are no other
4	issues, I am going to make a motion that
5	we grant a conditional approval
6	MR. PROKOP: I just have a comment.
7	I don't know why I am the person that
8	always brings this stuff up, but you had a
9	comment from the planner that the plan has
10	to be stamped by a professional. How can
11	you make a motion? I am just pointing out
12	to you. I don't want to get involved
13	MEMBER MUNDUS: It's not fair to
14	hold up the tenant while we are dealing
15	with the land lady.
16	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. So then
17	the motion would be to approve the
18	application conditional upon receipt of a
19	stamped signed plans with calculation for
20	the drainage. The fencing around the back
21	area of the property as well as occupancy,
22	signs showing less than 49 persons. I
23	believe that was it. Do I have a second
24	for the motion?
25	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
2	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
3	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
4	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: How soon (In
7	Audible).
8	MR. PROKOP: It's up to the Board.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: You have to talk
10	to Eileen. As a Board, I think we would
11	like to draft a letter to the owner of the
12	property with regards to the condition of
13	the parking lot. They don't have a C of O
14	for one of the other buildings, a storage
15	building. So we will have to work on that.
16	Maybe we can address that at a future
17	meeting. That is something that we need to
18	address.
19	Item No. 3. Motion to act on use
20	evaluation bar application from Carolyn
21	Rusin for the use of 314 Main Street as a
22	bar and restaurant and second floor
23	apartment. SCTM#1001-4-7-27.
24	At the work session, we discussed
25	there was some discussion with the

1	nandrall and the nandicapped access being
2	brought up to code. We were asked to make
3	sure that they get a building permit if
4	there were going to be any changes that
5	were made there. The occupancy, if they
6	adhered to the previous CO. So they would
7	be able to go forward. That would include
8	the occupancy of 50 inside and 50 outside
9	as opposed to the plan that they came in
10	with, which had 56 outside, I believe,
11	which would have required a new C of O.
12	Are there any issues that you wanted to
13	bring up?
14	MEMBER MUNDUS: No, it looks
15	beautiful.
16	MS. BERRY: Just if they have any
17	signage or (In Audible) they have to
18	submit (In Audible).
19	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes. They're in
20	the Historic District. If they make any
21	changes, they will need to go before the
22	Historic Preservation Committee. Any
23	signage would need to be approved by them.
24	MEMBER MUNDUS: Did she say she had
25	any ideas about signage?

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (In Audible) it
2	will be something like Gallery's
3	restaurant
4	MEMBER MUNDUS: She is the same
5	owner as both?
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (In Audible).
7	MEMBER MUNDUS: Excellent.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: And she also said
9	that you would ask about her hours of
10	operation. She is not sure about that.
11	(In Audible) and occasionally (In Audible)
12	most of the time it would be 10 to 10.
13	There will be times when she has parties
14	and all music will be done inside. It
15	will all be acoustic. Nothing will be
16	amplified. She wants to have a very
17	inviting causal place.
18	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: We are going to
19	need set hours. I believe we require that
20	of any other restaurant in the area.
21	AUDIENCE MEMBER: The latest would
22	be midnight. I would not say that it's
23	going to be every day.
24	MEMBER MUNDUS: It's a bar also?
25	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.

1	MEMBER MUNDUS: So the bar is also
2	going to close at midnight?
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
4	MEMBER JAUQUET: You sure she
5	doesn't want to make it wider range so she
6	has the option?
7	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I will go on the
8	recommendation
9	MEMBER MUNDUS: We are not
LO	advocating.
L1	MR. PROKOP: I would like to make a
L2	recommendation.
L3	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes.
L 4	MR. PROKOP: If you are going to
L5	approve this, that you do 10 to 12 and
L 6	have it for review. You make it as a
L7	condition that you can review it. I just
L8	want to let the Board know that I raised a
19	legal question about the use and whether
20	it's in a district where there is no
21	second floor residential use allowed for a
22	first floor commercial. There was a
23	Certificate of Occupancy issued for a
24	prior - under a prior zoning code. Not the
25	existing one. However, I believe that it

1	may have preexisting nonconforming use.
2	If the use of the first floor were
3	discontinued then the code would change.
4	I have a question that I raised to the
5	building department about the use of the
6	commercial first floor and second floor
7	residential use
8	MEMBER MUNDUS: Why is it
9	nonconforming?
10	MR. PROKOP: Because under this
11	zoning you can't have a second floor
12	residential with a commercial first floor.
13	MEMBER JAUQUET: Wingate pointed out
14	that owner occupancy was allowed on the
15	second floor only.
16	MR. PROKOP: Okay.
17	MEMBER JAUQUET: Last week.
18	MR. PROKOP: I just wanted to say
19	that comment on the record. If she had ar
20	explanation
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: That was my
22	understanding as well, as long as it was
23	owner occupied.
24	MS. BERRY: (In Audible). So that
25	use doesn't change.

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I am more
2	concerned on whether it's an allowed use
3	and whether or not it's appropriate that
4	we grant that use at all.
5	MEMBER MUNDUS: It's perfectly
6	logical if the owner wants to assume (In
7	Audible) apartment such as an intensified
8	use as a restaurant, that's fine. But if
9	it's tenant, that's a whole different
10	safety issue.
11	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: So Joe
12	MR. PROKOP: Ne second. This is the
13	one that was over retail stores that
14	existed as of 2002. And it doesn't say
15	anything about owner occupancy.
16	MEMBER MUNDUS: I thought it was a
17	preexisting situation.
18	MR. PROKOP: This is what we had on
19	Carpenter Street where we asked the ZBA
20	for an interpretation.
21	MEMBER MUNDUS: I think what we were
22	asking them whether the use existing or
23	the building existed prior to 2002. It
24	was a semantics problem.
25	MR. PROKOP: Okay. I believe the

1	interpretation was the building.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay.
3	MR. PROKOP: I think that what I
4	would do is, if you are going to approve
5	it, approve on the condition of the zoning
6	Board of Appeals regarding residential use
7	ina commercial retail building. And also
8	on the condition that it's also used by
9	the owner. It's owner occupied only.
10	MS. BERRY: (In Audible) annual
11	inspection (In Audible).
12	MEMBER MUNDUS: Didn't we also
13	approve owner occupancy above the Times
14	building also.
15	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I don't know.
16	MEMBER MUNDUS: I thought we had
17	worked that out at the work session.
18	MR. PROKOP: Just so you know, I
19	objected at that hearing. I said the
20	Planning Board did not have the ability to
21	approve that application because it was
22	being reported (In Audible) representing
23	the applicant and the Board approved it
24	over my objection. The owner had
25	previously submitted on the C of O it said

1	that the apartments were for artists only.
2	The C of O that was issued for that
3	building.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Or which building?
5	MR. PROKOP: 477 Main Street, which
6	is Rick Tecon (phonetic).
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: It's the corner of
8	Center and Main Street. So in your
9	opinion, the owner occupancy
10	MR. PROKOP: I mean, if for some
11	reason you feel the need to approve it,
12	make it conditional on the use of the
13	second floor in accordance with the Zoning
14	Board of Appeals interpretation regarding
15	second floor residential use of commercial
16	retail buildings. That is No. 1. No. 2,
17	that it be owner occupied. No. 3, that the
18	inspector is able to conduct an inspection
19	per compliance at least once per -
20	annually.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay.
22	MR. PROKOP: And then the final
23	thing is, the hours of operation — based
24	on the representation of the owners
25	representative, the bar will not have

1	amplified music and the hours will be
2	limited to 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight,
3	subject to further review of the Planning
4	Board.
5	MEMBER MUNDUS: And they also need a
6	building permit that the handicapped
7	platform exist to the correct size.
8	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. So that
9	would be a total of five conditions so
10	far. The first would be conditional upon
11	the Board accepted the ZBA interpretation
12	
13	MR. PROKOP: The use of the second
14	floor would be in conformance
15	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Conformance
16	MR. PROKOP: The ZBA interpretation
17	regarding second floor use of commercial
18	retail zoning space. And then No. 2, is
19	that the second floor residential space
20	will be owner occupied.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. What was
22	the third condition?
23	MEMBER BURNS: Hours.
24	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: That was four.
25	MR. PROKOP: Three was inspection on

1	notice at least once a year.
2	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: 4, was the
3	amplified music. Five was the hours 10 to
4	12 midnight and review from the Board.
5	MEMBER MUNDUS: And then the
6	handicapped landing is the correct size.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. So one
8	more time. Conformance with ZBA
9	interpretation of second floor residence
10	over a commercial retail space. Two, is
11	that the retail space or second floor
12	residential space would be owner occupied.
13	Three, is the inspector on notice. Once
14	per annually. Four. Is the no amplified
15	music. Hours of operation, 10:00 a.m. to
16	12:00 midnight subject to continuing
17	review by the Board. No. 5 is they must
18	have building permits for the handicapped
19	access and make sure there is conformity.
20	No. 6 is they must adhere to the original
21	C of O with occupancy inside and outside,
22	50 each. Are there any other items?
23	MEMBER MUNDUS: No.
24	MEMBER BURNS: I have a question
25	about amplified music. What if she wants

1	to play it inside?
2	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Inside is fine.
3	MR. PROKOP: She didn't say that.
4	Excuse me, I think she meant no amplified
5	live music.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: So no amplified
7	mucic of any kind outside.
8	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right.
9	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: So no amplified
10	music of any kind outside. Amplified
11	music is permitted inside as long as it's
12	not of any live band. It would have to be
13	acoustic. Okay. Is there any other
14	discussion or issues with this
15	application?
16	(No Response.)
17	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I am going to
18	make a motion that we give conditional
19	approval to this application with the
20	following conditions; 1, the second floor
21	- that the property is in conformance with
22	the ZBA interpretation of second floor
23	residence over a commercial retail space.
24	That the second floor residential space is
25	owner or manager occupied. That there is

1	an inspection on notice, one per annum or
2	the Village has the right for inspection
3	on notice once per annum. No. 4. No
4	amplified music of any kind outside.
5	Amplified music is permitted inside but no
6	amplified live music. The hours of
7	operation would be permitted 10 to 12
8	midnight, subject to continuing review by
9	the Board. No. 5 is building permit is to
10	be obtained for the handicapped access and
11	landings and the original C of O, the
12	tenant must adhere to the original C of O
13	with regards to the limited inside and
14	outside, limited to 50 each.
15	Is there a second to that motion?
16	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.
17	MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry, the motion
18	would also include lead agency status for
19	purposes of SEQRA and that this is a
20	non-listed action and would not have a
21	significant impact of those conditions.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes. The motion
23	would include that as well. And also any
24	signage or changes to the building, would
25	be subject to approval by the Historic

1	Preservation Committee.
2	Do I have a second?
3	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
5	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
6	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
7	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
9	Item No. 4 motion to approve the
10	findings and determinations for the
11	following projects:
12	Application for Rick Takemoto for
13	restaurant use at 477 Main Street.
14	Application for Joann Brancato for
15	the approval of a use evaluation as a
16	vintage furniture and jewelry shop.
17	Application of John Cronin for new
18	house construction at 101 Sterling Street.
19	Application of Kimberly Loper for
20	use evaluation at 120 Main Street for a
21	pet boutique.
22	Application of Tom Spurge for
23	construction of a new house at 216 North
24	Street.
25	Application of Margaret Richards for

1	time extension for nuisance violation at
2	415 Kaplan Avenue.
3	MR. PROKOP: Can I make a
4	recommendation that this motion includes
5	every one but Tom Spurge and you have a
6	separate motion for Tom Spurge?
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes. So I will
8	make a motion that we approval al the
9	items except for the Tom Spurge and we
10	will discuss that.
11	MEMBER BURNS: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
13	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
14	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
15	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
17	What is the issue?
18	MR. PROKOP: There is no issue. I
19	think it's better to have a separate
20	motion.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Okay. I need to
22	review that one also before I sign it.
23	Okay. So I will make a motion to accept -
24	MS. WARD: Can I state something (In
25	Audible).

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I am not going to
2	read through all of this.
3	MS. WARD: (In Audible).
4	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All of the items
5	that were approved
6	MS. WARD: There was a SEQRA art the
7	last meeting.
8	MR. PROKOP: We adopted SEQRA at the
9	last meeting. That is correct.
L 0	MS. WARD: There was quite a list of
L1	petitions that were being proposed on the
L2	plans that were being submitted. I wanted
L3	to make sure those were all in front of
L 4	you tonight. Sot hat nothing was being
L5	left off accidentally. That the motion
L 6	would be impaired.
L7	MR. PROKOP: I think (In Audible)
L8	conditions that were reflected in the
L 9	minutes of the meeting. If you want, I can
20	paraphrase them for the Board.
21	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Sure.
22	MR. PROKOP: So the Board's this
23	is what was determined. These are the
24	conditions. The second floor deck is
) 5	limited to 5 feet deck. So it can only be

```
five feet of deck. No exterior stairwell.
 1
 2
                 CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Depth. Not
           width.
                 MR. PROKOP: The next would be no
           exterior stairway can be built at any time
 5
 6
           including to this deck. The entry way to
 7
          the house needs to be redesigned to maker
          sure that there is at least 3 feet level
 8
 9
          at the bottom of the stairs. Excuse me,
          that at least 3 feet of surface at the
10
          bottom of the stairs to separate the main
11
12
          approach from the house from any vehicle
          or driveway. So at least three feet of
13
14
           space from the bottom of the driveway.
          That there were (In Audible) two dwelling
15
          units and the structure cannot be
16
17
          converted to a two family home. It will
18
          remain as a one family home. No second
19
          kitchen will be constructed. And the
20
          Village Planning Board has the right to an
          annual inspection.
21
22
                 MS. WARD: (In Audible) C of O.
23
                MR. PROKOP: Okay.
24
                MS. WARD: (In Audible).
25
                CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I don't have the
```

1	plans in front of me. I don't recall. It
2	would be limited to 5 feet and
3	AUDIENCE MEMBER: (In Audible) whole
4	back of the house.
5	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: I recall the
6	issue being the depth. Not the width. I
7	can tell you that I certainly had no
8	intention of restricting the width. So
9	that there would not be large parties
10	MS. WARD: My understanding was the
11	width and the depth (In Audible) balcony.
12	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: No, I believe it
13	was the 5 feet depth that was the
14	demarkation.
15	MEMBER BURNS: I heard no discussion
16	of the width.
17	MS. BERRY: The word width was used
18	in the discussion. I think people were
19	referring to the depth in the way that it
20	was being used.
21	MR. PROKOP: Can I make a suggestion
22	that the motion is to approve the written
23	determination and request that the Village
24	Attorney review the minutes
25	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Yes. I certainly

1	know the intention was not limited
2	MS. BERRY: I think she is right.
3	The word was used. I think the Board was
4	referring to depth and not width. No one
5	was talking about limiting.
6	MR. PROKOP: That is my suggestion.
7	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Can we put this
8	off? I am going to make a motion to table
9	this until the work session so that it
10	would give me and the attorney and
11	opportunity to discuss this. To make sure
12	that we are accurately representing what
13	we decided
14	MEMBER MUNDUS: We don't have a
15	stenographer tonight. Can you repeat your
16	name.
17	MS. WARD: Jill Ward, W-A-R-D.
18	MEMBER MUNDUS: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Do I have a
20	second?
21	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
23	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
24	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
25	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
2	
3	Motion to approve the minutes from
4	May 7, 2015 meeting.
5	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
7	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
8	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
9	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
11	Item No. 6 motion to adjourn.
12	MEMBER MUNDUS: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: All in favor?
14	MEMBER BURNS: Aye.
15	MEMBER JAUQUET: Aye.
16	MEMBER MUNDUS: Aye.
17	CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: Aye.
18	
19	(Whereupon, the meeting concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, Jessica DiLallo, certify that the
7	foregoing transcript of tape recorded meeting
8	was prepared using required electronic
9	transcription equipment and is a true and
10	accurate record of the meeting.
11	
12	
13	Signature:
14	Jessica DiLallo
15	
16	
17	Date: June 28, 2015
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	