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    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is the Village
of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals May
16th meeting.
    Item number 1 is motion to accept the
minutes of the April 18, 2017 meeting.
    So moved.
    MR. TASKER: Second.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
    MR. CORWIN: Aye.
    MS. NEFF: Aye.
    MS. GORDON: Aye.
    MR. TASKER: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 2,
    motion to approve the minutes of the March
21, 2017 ZBA meeting. So moved.
    MR. TASKER: Second.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
    MS. GORDON: Aye.
    MS. NEFF: Aye.
    MR. TASKER: Aye.
    MR. CORWIN: I abstain.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 3 is
motion to schedule the next ZBA meeting for
June 20, 2017 at 6:00 p.m. at Station 1 of
    Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
                                    (631) 727-1107
```

Proceedings 5-16-2017 the Greenport Fire Department. So moved. MS. GORDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MR. TASKER: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MS. GORDON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 4 is the application of Alexander and Ju-lie Bell has been withdrawn. We have a letter from the applicant, I'm not going to read it. Did everybody get it?

MS. GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So and item number 6, we might as well go to that, the applicant was just here, they withdrew their application, Sprout Natural Parenting, so we won't have to deal with that this evening. Item number 5 is continued public hearing on the request for an interpretation of how Chapter 150-18 relates to the Village Zoning Districts. Anybody from the public? You guys ganging up all three of you at once?

MR. KAPELL: No. We're here to offer
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 some history and background on this question. My name is David Kapell, 400 Front Street in Greenport, and I'm accompanied by --

MR. HORTON: Gail Horton, 190 Sterling Street in Greenport.

MR. BURNS: Ben Burns, 172 Central Avenue, Greenport.

MR. KAPELL: I thought I'd start by giving you some history. The question that is before you, the amendment to the zoning, in permitted apartments, residential uses only in the upper stories of commercial buildings was adopted in 2002, 15 years ago when Ben, Gail and I were on the Village Board. The reason, the rationale for the adoption at the time was that we observed that there was the diminution in the stock of housing available for rental by operation of a couple of trends. One was the conversion of what had been year-round single-family residences into part-time single-family residences, and the other was a process by which single-families that had
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been converted to two-families were being bought up and restored back to their one-family, original one-family configuration; and the net effect of that was that we were losing housing stock that was available for rental or for families. So in our wisdom, our collective wisdom at the time, we held a public hearing on this and I think, I believe you all have the minutes of that hearing. It was really, in my view, one of the most dynamic hearings that I had ever chaired in the Village. This room was packed. There were people pro and con. We were here for a couple of hours. We talked it through. And at the end, there was a wide consensus in the room that we needed to do something to respond to this trend. So in our wisdom what we did was to amend the zoning to permit what hadn't been permitted under the original zoning, when it was adopted in 1971 to allow residences above stores in the Commercial District. Mind you, that's no different than how these buildings were originally

Proceedings 5-16-2017 intended to be occupied; that's how they were built. These buildings were built, people lived over the stores; so it was back to the future in that regard. The thing we did was to liberalize the process for converting one-family homes to two-family to make it easier to go the other way, to kind of offset the trend that was operating against us. And I would submit that 15 years later as we stand here today, that the conditions that we observed in 2002 have only gotten much worse, that there is a powerful gentrification wave affecting, impacting this Village and that the trends that $I$ just described are ongoing and accelerating and if left unabated are going to result in a real hardship for people that make this place interesting and that have always characterized this and made this a working class village. I understand that there is some confusion in Village Hall about how this operates with respect to another provision of the zoning, which I would maintain there is absolutely no
Proceedings 5-16-2017 relevancy whatsoever, and that is a section of the zoning that deals with multi-family dwellings which was adopted when the zoning was originally implemented in 1971 and was designed to address projects in the residential districts. It defies common sense to suggest that we as a Board at the time would have enacted a zoning for the Business District where there virtually are no two-acre lots that would comply with the requirements of the multi-family dwelling section; it defies common sense to think that we, to suggest that we had intended for that to apply to what we were trying to do. On the contrary, what we were doing was
trying to make it easy to create rental
housing in existing structures, mind you,
this has nothing to do with new construction
or new development, this was simply to make
better use of what still in many cases
remain vacant spaces in the Business
District. Loot at what used to be White's
Hardware, the mechanic's building. I can go
on and on up and down Main Street, less so
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 on Front Street because there are a lot of one-story buildings on one side and there is a park on the other, but there still was a lot of underutilized space in this Village that could be put to good use for residential purposes to house people. It would also increase the tax base of the Village which is always a challenge for the Village Board. I just can't understand the process by which you have come to this hearing. It makes no sense whatsoever to apply the multi-family provision to this particular section of the code. We did not intend it --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If we could just -MR. KAPELL: Sir, I'm sorry --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not suggesting anything. We were asked to make an interpretation.

MR. KAPELL: An interpretation of
whether or not the multi-family zoning
applies to this provision.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What zoning districts it does apply to.
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MR. KAPELL: Yeah, so that's what I'm arguing. So let me finish my speech, please.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sorry.
MR. KAPELL: So I don't understand how this came up. There is no controversy in the Village that I'm aware of that would give rise to public controversy, so I guess this is a product of some internal discussion that has been going on within Village Hall. I submit that this is not in the public interest, and I submit also that it's outside the intended purview of the Zoning Board of Appeals. What you're talking about doing, if you were to apply this provision, this would have a profound impact on the very nature of this Village by limiting the availability of rental housing to the people that need it and that make this place special, the working families that make Greenport what it is and make us so attractive to outsiders. One of the reasons people come here is because this place is still real, and the reason it's
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real is that real people still live here and the reason they can live here is because we have a rental housing stock that no place else on the North Fork has. If we lose it, we lose our identity, we lose what makes us great; and $I$ submit that if that's something that the Village wants to do that comes under the purview of the Village Board, that's a profound public policy question that's outside the scope of what the Zoning Board of Appeals should be considering. I don't know if my colleagues on the Village Board have anything to add.

MS. HORTON: I just want to say, I
always -- when $I$ first ran for election, I ran on the issue of affordable housing and keeping the working people here in Greenport; and that there were other things that I spearheaded too, but that was always a drive of mine, and I still believe heartily and I believe maybe it's needed more than when I first came on the Board which, $I$ forget when that was, but it was in the '70s. I think it's even now more, as
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you see houses made into rentals that aren't necessarily available to working class people. So that's why I'm saying I was totally behind having the rentals over the stores.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you understand that's not the question in front of us.

MR. KAPELL: The question in front of you is whether you're gonna apply a provision that would limit to two units in a building on the upper stories, and that's not what we had in mind, John. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can I dispute that now?

MR. KAPELL: Please.
MR. TASKER: John, let's let him finish.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, I thought --
MR. KAPELL: Correct me if I'm
mistaken, my understanding is that the controversy is whether or not the multi-family provision in the zoning applies in the Commercial District; am I correct?

MR. TASKER: No. That is not the
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question. The question was where is -- what does 150-18 mean with respect to the zoning districts, so this is a broadened question really. Where does 150-18 fit in the construction of different forms of housing? Specifically in this case multi-family housing; that is the question $I$ perceive is before us.

MR. KAPELL: 150-18 is the multi-family housing provision?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Standards law.
MR. TASKER: It's the standards for construction of multi-family housing.

MR. KAPELL: Right. Which requires a two-acre lot.

MR. TASKER: Yes.

MR. KAPELL: So I ask --

MR. TASKER: It is a technical section of the code that in effect said where multi-family housing is permitted, these are the standards you have to meet.

MR. KAPELL: And it requires a two-acre lot.

MS. WINGATE: Yes.
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MR. KAPELL: So what I'm conveying to you, and you can take this as you like, but the intent of the Board that passed the provision for apartments over stores was not to have that apply, otherwise it would have been silly. There are no two-acres lots in the Business District to which this could be applied; there is no opportunity to build a multi-family dwelling in the Business District as a matter of physical reality.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was it the
contention of the Board at the time that there would be multi-family residential over commercial at that time?

MR. KAPELL: We didn't put a limitation on it, all we said was -- here's what we said --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have what you said.

MR. KAPELL: -- we had vacant spaces over retail uses in the Business District. These are not productive for tax purposes and they're also wasted opportunity for residential purposes; so we said, now you
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can do it; and we let the State Construction Code apply, so whatever the State Construction Code provides for the size of a dwelling unit would dictate what could be put in the upper stories of these buildings.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But that's contrary
to what you stated your intention as a

Board, you stated your intention was, when you had the public hearing and you made comment on that, you made comment in reference to that, to the Residential District, and you also made comment that same logic would apply when asked, that same logic would apply in the Commercial District.

MR. KAPELL: That's what $I$ just said, that's exactly what $I$ just said.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's what I'm saying, you said that it would be two-family in the Residential.

MR. KAPELL: No, that's not what we said, I'm sorry, and that's not --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Should I read from the minutes?
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MR. KAPELL: No, it's also not what the Zoning provision says. Listen, John, I understand --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Mr. Mayor, this is the official document.

MR. KAPELL: That may be, but we were the Board members that adopted this zoning; there are three of us here; we're the majority of the Board that existed at the time, and we're telling you what our intent was. It was never our intent to limit the opportunity to two units in a commercial building, it wouldn't have made sense. It would not have made sense.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you're saying this --

MR. TASKER: Why not?

MR. KAPELL: Because why would you
limit it like that? You've got some buildings, there are buildings and I can show you now that can accommodate more apartments than that. Why would you -you're trying to make a two-family, a commercial building, a mixed-use building
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into a two-family house?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're trying to
interpret what you said at a particular moment in time, and what you said at that time is not what you're saying now.

MR. KAPELL: No, that's not true.
MR. TASKER: And further not --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Mr. Mayor --

MR. TASKER: Further -- excuse me, John. Not just what you said in terms of your intent in the meeting of July 11, 2002, but the way you wrote the statute. You wrote the ordinance, that was what was adopted. It doesn't say anything about the number of units more or less --

MR. KAPELL: So it doesn't --

MR. TASKER: -- or where multi-family housing is permitted as different from what the ordinance had been prior to 2002. In other words, if you wanted multi-family housing to be permitted in the Commercial Retail District, you would have said something. You had that opportunity. You're saying now that you only meant that
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 in your intention and the words that you said and argued at the time that it was passed, but that isn't what the ordinance the you passed says.

MR. KAPELL: Arthur, what we passed made no mention of multi-family dwelling at all.

MR. TASKER: That's right.
MR. KAPELL: I believe that the multi-family dwelling provision applies to the Residential Districts. It defies -there are no two-acre properties as a matter of physical reality in the Business District that could comply and be developed as a multi-family dwelling. It makes no sense, Arthur.

MS. GORDON: The only place where there is any mention at all of the possibility of multi-family dwelling is where the conversion is happening in the $\mathrm{R}-2$ District, and it seems to be another way to look at this if you want to stick to the language of the statute is to say that if that's the only place that discusses this multi-family
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 construction then it's clear that the statute means that that's the only place where it's going to be considered in the $R-2$ District, and therefore, what you're doing in the Commercial District is up to whatever the legislative determination at the time was; and you're giving us evidence of that intent, and I think we should take that seriously.

MR. KAPELL: I would suggest this, that this is, again that this is a question of such profound impact on the Village that this is properly, if you have any real question about it, you should put it to the Village Board and let them resolve it; this is really not -- this is a major policy.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's always the purview of the Village Board to legislate. The only contention $I$ would make in relation to what you're saying about multi-family over Commercial is that no one is opposed to it, we just asked for a variance.

MR. KAPELL: No. We know how that works, John. First off --
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. Explain it to me.

MR. KAPELL: First of all, it's a use variance and the burden is very heavy.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's not a use
variance because there is a residential component in the Commercial District, so it wouldn't be a use variance.

MR. KAPELL: No, if it's a
multi-family, if you're talking about a multi-family use, then it would be a use variance. That's exactly what you're discussing, but why put the applicant through that? Look, the point is, we wanted to make it easy, you're trying to make it hard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: In all fairness to us, Mr. Mayor, now 15 years later, perhaps you should have wrote a law that wasn't so ambiguous.

MR. KAPELL: I don't think it's
ambiguous. I think --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can $I$ quote from your statement?
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MR. KAPELL: Quote from the law; quote anything you'd like, John.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If I thought you would listen, I would.

MR. KAPELL: I'll be happy -- look, I'm not the one that has to make the decision, you are. We're just here, we came here, the three of us because we feel very strongly about the issue, we did at the time, we still do, we think it's even more relevant now than it was then, and what you're proposing to do, if you are to interpret the zoning such that the multi-family provision applies in the Commercial District would be to shut down the very process that we tried to put in play in 2002; and did put in play. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But that's not -our job is to support the code.

MR. KAPELL: Your job is to support the Village, John. That's a technical argument you're making, and I challenge you to think about what's good for this Village.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's not. Our job
is to support the code.
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MR. KAPELL: And the Village.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: By extension of the Building Code.

MR. KAPELL: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If the code says something, we don't have the power or the authority to ignore it.

MR. KAPELL: Hey, listen, I'll grant you one thing, if your desire is to stop this, then you're absolutely right, go for it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Now you put it on a personal level.

MR. KAPELL: No, I'm not. I'm putting it on --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm telling you --
MR. KAPELL: I'm not putting it on a personal level, I'm putting you on the spot. I'm putting you on the spot. If the intent is to slow this process down or stop it, then you should interpret the way you're suggesting it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I would say be inclined to interpret what the man that
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> you.

MR. KAPELL: I'm right here telling

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- said for the official record, not 15 years later in hindsight.

MR. KAPELL: John, I'm going to repeat what I said. If you're inclination is to vote to stop this process, then go for it, but understand, you know, the hardship that you're creating for the people that are affected by this and for the impact that it will have on the character of the Village going forward. The Village is in a very, very important transitional moment and without this sort of opportunity, it's just gonna get worse.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Village Board is well within its power to clarify the law that was written back then that's ambiguous today, so the ZBA is not on the spot. The ZBA is charged with interpreting the code, the Village Board legislates.

MR. KAPELL: Can I ask, who brought the Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

Proceedings 5-16-2017
question up?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Building Inspector.

MR. KAPELL: For what reason?

MR. TASKER: Hasn't she told you?
MR. KAPELL: No.
MS. WINGATE: No.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'd just like to point out to you very respectfully, that this is actually the second trip through this Board for this question. The first time it came to the Board, the Board voted not to accept the question. Then it came back again.

MR. KAPELL: I think that was the proper decision, and I encourage you to act likewise.

MS. WINGATE: My decision on an issue with a building permit for the Mason Ole building was challenged, and I felt perfectly justified --

MS. NEFF: For what building?
MS. WINGATE: The Mason Ole building.
I gave the building permit for three
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apartments. I felt that the
me, I was challenged and --

MR. KAPELL: Challenged by whom?
MS. WINGATE: It doesn't matter.

MR. KAPELL: I think it does because if it was an outside party challenging your decision and bringing this question before the Zoning Board, that would be one thing, but for the Zoning Board basically to take it up as an internal Village matter, I don't understand that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure what you're accusing the Zoning Board of.

MR. KAPELL: I'm not accusing anybody of anything. I'm just observing.

MS. GORDON: I'd like to ask Eileen, the Board's role is a quasi judicial one. We are supposed to be dealing with what lawyers call cases in controversies; and I'm not convinced that this matter that arose that you dealt with constitutes a case in controversy of the sort that we're supposed to be hearing. I thought we were supposed to be interpreting in the context of a
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matter that was before us to decide on a variance or not and this was not such a thing. We have not had before us the Mason Ole issue at all; and we have just been through a training which the discussion of the Zoning Board's responsibilities suggested that interpretations would be raised, initiated by a neighbor or by the applicant. Now, the law does allow for an internal initiative, but it seems to me that it really is, our task is really in the context of an ongoing dispute about the property owner's rights with respect to what is in the code.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you guys have anything else? Let somebody else speak. MR. KAPELL: One more thing, John. I just want for the record, I want to point out that at the Planning Board meeting, at one of the Planning Board meetings on the Olinkiewicz application on Mason Ole, you spoke up and promoted this question to be brought before the Zoning Board for interpretation.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's certainly
true and I was about to say that. I
questioned the appropriateness of
multi-family in the Commercial Retail
District. It had nothing to do with Mr.
Olinkiewicz. It had nothing to do with the Mason Ole building. It raised the question to the Planning Board.

MR. KAPELL: But you actually
advocated, you advocated for the question to be referred to the Zoning Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I asked -- no, I didn't.

MR. KAPELL: Yes, you did. It's on the record.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, you're mistaken.

MR. KAPELL: It's in the record.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I know it's in the record because I have the record, you're mistaken.

MR. KAPELL: You advocated for it to be referred to the Zoning Board, John, for an interpretation. I was there, I heard it. I
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even, I warned you at that time that you
were -- that was something you shouldn't do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Mr. Mayor --

MR. KAPELL: It compromises --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We can take another
time and read the record into the record --
MR. KAPELL: Excuse me, sir, this is a public hearing, I have the right to be heard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Nobody is denying that right.

MR. KAPELL: Yes, you are.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I am not, you're just going over the same thing over and over.

MR. KAPELL: No, I'm not.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm more than willing to listen, but I have to correct the record as you say it.

MR. KAPELL: I think you should recuse
yourself. I think you compromised yourself when you promoted at a public hearing, public meeting of the Planning Board that the matter be referred to your board for
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your vote as an interpretation.

> Zoning Board?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You don't think zoning issues should be referred to the

MR. KAPELL: I don't think it's the place of the Zoning Board chairman to promote that at a public meeting for the Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I wasn't the chairman. I think it's the place of anybody that's familiar with the code to make another statutory board aware of perhaps they're misinterpreting the code.

MR. KAPELL: I think as a Board member, you have --

MR. TASKER: I would support you completely on that, John.

MR. KAPELL: I think you have an obligation to maintain neutrality until your --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think I am neutral. I think I am more than capable of deciding this question.

MR. KAPELL: Thank you.
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 in the code, but you also have the housing stock which predates all of, we have the ability with two commercial streets basically, maybe in others with one-family, I mean one-story, two-stories and three-story; and I think why we are having this conversation is that some are three-story; and I have also been in apartments over commercial areas in this village that have unbelievably beautiful apartments that were built long before any of this. They were just part of how the Village evolved. If we think in any way to limit the number without looking at the structure we're dealing with, we're not making a plan for how there's gonna be all this multi-family built in this Village, it's way too small, way too limited for that to be a question; so I would look at each proposal, as you said, Dini, you have to look at the individual one and the right board has to be looking at the questions and sometimes several boards will, as we well know; but to begin by saying multi-family

Proceedings 5-16-2017
means if it's in the Commercial, it shouldn't be, therefore, not three apartments doesn't make sense to me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The question is not so much should it be, shouldn't it be. The question is, is it a permitted use under the code?

MR. TASKER: That's the question we have been asked to look at.

MS. NEFF: I think if it's New York State building things, the building, I think a question of saying it can't be three apartments because of something the code says, that is multi-family as this code -it almost like some other place, it's not about this place. We have to look at what we have and try to promote something that fits.

MR. KAPELL: Thank you very much.
MR. TASKER: Mr. Burns, I'm sorry.
Would you mind clarifying again because I didn't quite understand the last statement you made with regard to multi-family housing being permitted in the district -- I believe
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you said that it is your contention, recommendation, I don't want to put words in your mouth, that multi-family housing should not be permitted in that district. We can check the --

MR. BURNS: That's not what I said. What I said was --

MR. TASKER: We can check the stenography in due course, but I just want to know for the purposes of this discussion whether that is what, in fact, you said.

MR. BURNS: What I understand is multi-family requires a certain amount of ground, certain amount of area to begin with before you can call it a multi-family area. Two acres, is that the minimum?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Forty-eight thousand square feet.

MR. KAPELL: That's an important point.
MR. TASKER: And therefore, with
respect to --
(Whereupon, Mr. Tasker and Mr. Burns spoke simultaneously.)

MR. TASKER: Therefore, with respect to
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the CR District, how does that work in terms of your determining the scope of that?

MR. BURNS: Maybe I'm --
MR. TASKER: Your remarks lead me to believe that you felt that multi-family housing should not be permitted in the CR District and that's what I'm trying to get you to clarify; is that what you meant?

MR. BURNS: You can interpret what I meant that way, but I'm --

MR. TASKER: I'm asking you, is that what you meant?

MR. BURNS: My understanding of the code is that you need more space, like two acres in order to begin to build a multi-family unit.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There's a portion of the code, 150-18, that sets standards for multi-family. They're unbelievably harsh. I'm sure the mayor knows the reason that they were implemented in 1971. There was a mindset among people that think they know the reason. Nobody disputes that. No one disputes that, so that that portion of the
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code is in an envelope, a standalone article that if you were to think about it, it was -- I'm trying not to say something here and I apologize but --

MR. KAPELL: I think this makes it an important point though actually, which is that inasmuch as a multi-family dwelling requires a two-acre lot and inasmuch as there are no two-acre lots in the Commercial Retail District, then it can't apply as a matter of logic, it cannot apply. In order for a property to be considered a multi-family, it would have to be on a two-acre lot. There are no two-acre lots in the Business District, it cannot apply.

MR. TASKER: That would be appropriate if you didn't have a permissive code. We have a permissive zoning code that says, you may do this in the District A, B, C, D, you may do this in that District $A, B, C$ and $D$ and if it's not, it isn't permitted. That's a permissive code. If we had a code that said unless it's forbidden, you can do it, like the Italians, probably in their laws,

Proceedings 5-16-2017 unlike the Germans who have different ways of viewing those. We have a permissive code, it says you may do certain things in certain districts; if they aren't listed, you can't do it without a variance or some other form of permission.

MR. KAPELL: Again, $I$ would state that inasmuch as the definition of a multi-family property is two acres, and no such properties exist in the Business District. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're misstating it.

MR. KAPELL: What is that?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're misstating, that's not the definition. That's the standard for construction.

MR. KAPELL: Right, you can't --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But the definition is something entirely different.

MR. KAPELL: But, John, there are no two-acre lots. I mean this is a matter of common sense, it's a matter of common sense, there are no two-acre lots in the Business District.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's 48,000 square feet.

MS. WINGATE: Everybody seems to not be looking at, I think it's 415 where it says apartments over retail, it doesn't say
limited to two, there is a plural and nobody seems to have --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to respond to that --

MR. TASKER: John, let's get the public hearing underway.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Is there anybody else from the public that would like to speak?
(No response.) No. What is the pleasure of the Board; are we gonna keep this up or are we gonna close the public hearing?

MR. CORWIN: I motion we close the public hearing.

MS. GORDON: Second.

MR. TASKER: They've stayed away in droves.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I couldn't hear
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MR. TASKER: The public has stayed away in droves.

MS. GORDON: You have a seconded motion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I know. All those in favor of closing the public hearing?

MR. TASKER: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MS. GORDON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Aye. The last item on the agenda is discussion and possible action on the request for the interpretation of 150-18 with respect to the zoning districts. To respond to Eileen, if I could, it's true it says $S$, there is an $S$. The Building Department, perhaps this Board, perhaps members of the audience, have to decide for themselves in essence if $S$ is two or more than two. In making an interpretation, Zoning Board goes by the dictionary, commonsense interpretation or the legislator's written intent.
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MR. TASKER: If there is ambiguity.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well --
MR. TASKER: If there is ambiguity, you can go to the legislative intent. If there's not ambiguity, there is nothing to interpret. I think the starting point is, look at 150-18 and see what it has to say about where multi-family housing can be conducted, and since -- and I'm going to jump ahead, 150-18 says absolutely nothing about where multi-family housing can be permitted, so that interpretation, as I said at the February meeting, is going to be mercifully brief. There is nothing to interpret. There is no ambiguity in 150-18 as to where multi-family housing can be and can't be because it doesn't even address that topic. That's because looking at 150-18 as a starting point puts the cart before the horse. 150-18 arises out of a reference to the conversion of a property to a multi-family home which is conditional use in the R-2 District. It says, conditional uses, the following conditional uses are
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permitted, subject to approval by the
Planning Board. Number 2, conversion of an existing dwelling to a multi-family dwelling as provided in article 7 of this chapter. In other words, if you're going to convert an existing dwelling or multi-family dwelling in an R-2 District, you do it according to article 7. Well, flip to article 7 and that's 150-18. That puts the horse before the cart. So 150-18 does have a home, it has a home in support of 150-9, 150-8b1, and that's where 150-18 fits; so it doesn't tie into, 150-18 doesn't back into any other district in the Village because there is no such reference in any other district in the Village than $R-2$. You can beef all you like about the fact that it becomes an impossibility because of 48,000 square feet, not 48,000 square feet. The Village is built out, there isn't room to build anything. There are a lot of attempts to build things, but this Village is built out. Trying to permit substantial numbers of apartments, I won't say too many, how
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many, three, four, multi, whatever, trying
to permit substantial numbers of apartments
above commercial in that district is
shoveling sand against the tide because the
ship has already left in terms of what has
happened in this Village as the mayor
pointed out, it started in 1971 in
reference, started in 2002, it was well,
single-family conversions, two-family
housing, some of the other examples that you
made, you're going to have to repeal the
laws of economics to change that. I'd be
interested to know whether the Building
Department can tell us how many potential
buildings in the Commercial Retail District
could be affected by this. What's their
square footage; how does that fit with the
apartment size that's permitted by the
Building Code? Take a census, in other
words, but I would venture to say that the
difference between permitting two which is
plural or three which is also plural
apartments in that district is not gonna
create but a few additional apartments, so I
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> Proceedings 5-16-2017 don't think that this Board can be suggesting, and I think someone said erroneously that we're gonna turn the Village of Greenport into a real bad place for anybody who might want to live here, is an apt characterization of what we're trying to do in interpreting the statute. We are obligated to interpret the ordinance by virtue of the question the Building Department and statutes provide for that request, the statute provides for us to make that interpretation. The statutes and the laws also say how you make that interpretation in terms of first looking for ambiguity and without ambiguity, it's over. There is nothing to interpret, so I'd like to start from that point in terms of our discussion. Is there ambiguity in 150-18? No one from the public has suggested that. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think anybody suggested that. I think it's hard to answer a question, as somebody said, I think it was Arthur that said you can't ask a question that's in a vacuum. If the
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reason for doing or not doing something is because of a portion of code that some might or might not think is appropriate or applies to a particular district and it's too laborious to follow, I'm not sure where that leaves us. I mean, I just don't know where we go from there. If everything else reverts back to that particular portion of the code, I'm just -- David, do you have something? Dini?

MS. GORDON: I agree with Arthur. I don't think there is a real issue here. I think we shouldn't be having this hearing, and I really do feel that the context of an interpretation should be a matter that is contested, that is before us which is not the case; so I have a basic procedural disagreement with this.

MR. TASKER: Okay. You know what, Dini, I'm willing to forgo my procedural objection.

MS. GORDON: Well --
MR. TASKER: And do this, let's
interpret officially, if you will, for want
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of a better word, let's interpret 150-18 as
to whether or not it says anything about its applicability in any zoning district. I
think the answer should be no -MS. GORDON: I do too. MR. TASKER: -- we can discuss that. But I'm willing to forgo my procedural concern and say let's take the second half of the question that the Building Inspector asked us and go down zoning district by zoning district to see whether or not multi-family housing is permitted, whether officially or conditionally, whether it's a permitted use in district by district. What that will turn out to be, as it already existed in the $R-2$, is that if it's permitted in a particular district then 150-18 applies to it, if it's not permitted in that district, you don't have to look at 150-18; but take it a step further, interpret and, again, I'm not sure if it takes any interpretation beyond a simple reading as to what, in what districts is a multi-family housing permitted under any conditions.

MS. GORDON: Isn't it only the R-2 District with the conversion situation; how could it be anywhere else?

MR. TASKER: There is a great deal of hinging on plurality here, numbers of things, whether an $S$ means two or three or five or ten, it can mean any of those, but let's look at 150-9 which are the standards for Retail Commercial District and I'm going to skip over some of the language, but it says Retail Commercial District nothing can be used or intended for any use except as listed below, and it lists the following permitted uses: Retail stores and banks, plural, plural stores, plural banks; personal service stores, plural; eating and drinking places, plural; business, professional or government offices, plural; service establishments, plural; theaters, plural; hotels, plural; motels, plural; outlets and pickup stations, plural; clubs and fraternal lodges, plural; mortuaries and funeral parlors, plural; marina and docking
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 facilities, plural; gasoline service stations, plural; self-service gasoline stations, plural; service stations and repair garages, plural, and then accessory apartment dwelling units, plural. Is anyone going to suggest that eight out of ten of those that I just cited would be permitted in even two to be on a given parcel of land, let alone three? In other words, I don't see how you can bootstrap a long list of plural things as to the kinds, plural, of things that are permitted in a district are bootstrapped into how many is plural; and I think that's what we're trying to be squeezed into doing. Frankly, if I had known that the Mason Ole question of three apartments had come up previously, and I was not at the Planning Board meeting when I
guess John expressed his concerns about that, I would have strongly supported him at that time at that meeting for just this very question. I don't know how -- and to then ex post facto ask for an interpretation, I just don't get that.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else?

Ellen? David?

MR. CORWIN: I can only interpret

150-18 one way, accessory apartment dwelling units over retail stores and residences, professional and government offices existing as of July 1, 2002, and to me this little section is to legalize existing apartments at that time. July 1, 2002. It doesn't, to me it doesn't say they're allowed after July 1, 2002 .

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There was just, I might not be following what you just said, but just to add some clarity, there was an interpretation by the Zoning Board in 2012. MS. WINGATE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, 2014, where they reaffirmed that this portion of the code didn't necessarily mean apartments but buildings, the building had to be in existence prior to, so we have a subsequent interpretation by the Zoning Board that it was buildings and not individual apartments.

MR. TASKER: As to pre-existence?
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.

MR. CORWIN: Which I didn't agree with at that time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

MR. TASKER: I don't think that restrains us.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think it restrains us from asking this Board, resolving this question. I don't think anybody questioned that residential was a permitted use over commercial. I think the question is how many, from reading the record, from reading the intention of the Village Board as expressed to the public during the public hearing without any mention in the official record of the International Fire and Building Code, you know, to 15 years later.

MR. TASKER: I haven't scanned the minutes of the July 2002 meeting where this was discussed by the Board members and so forth, including then Mayor Kapell, but I suspect that if you did a word search, you wouldn't see multi-family anywhere in that
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CHAIRMAN SALAD
multi-family was --
MR. TASKER: So that the attempt now to roll it back and set multi-family into the intention stands for what it is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If anything, the record reflects that multi-family when raised, the question of multi-family was disputed, it said no, only two apartments.

MR. CORWIN: My understanding of multi-family, and correct me if I'm wrong, from the State Building Code, you can have residential which can be two units, after two units when it gets to three, it's multi-family.

MR. TASKER: So that's consistent with the Village's definition of multi-family, and it doesn't go beyond.

MS. GORDON: The Village's definition of multi-family uses the term dwelling house, it seems to me, we don't have --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, not always, not always.
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MR. TASKER: The definition is what it is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If I could, there's definition of multi -- there's one chapter that defines multi-family dwelling, there's another chapter that defines
multiple-dwelling building. There's another chapter that goes on to mention multi-family dwelling.

MR. TASKER: None of which terms are defined. None of which terms are defined except for multi-family dwelling.

MS. GORDON: Right. Which is a dwelling house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. There's Chapter $88-1 B$ says multi-family, multiple-dwelling building is any building wherein there are two or more dwelling units.

MR. TASKER: But a multi-family dwelling is three or more by definition.

MS. GORDON: Definition in our code.
MR. TASKER: I don't know where the definition of multi-unit dwelling -- is that
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the term?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Multi-dwelling building.

MR. TASKER: Multi-dwelling building, where is that definition found?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 88-1B.

MR. TASKER: Of?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Our code.

MS. WINGATE: Also New York State uses the term mixed use, they don't use -- they reserve multiple-family dwellings for apartments, it is not -- it's categorized differently.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Also in Chapter 103-4 of our code, there is a definition for multiple-dwelling buildings, a building or portion thereof containing three or more dwelling units.

MR. TASKER: Sorry, John, what is the reference on that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 103-4.

MS. NEFF: But the context here is zoning and we are looking at the zoning chapter and multi-family is clearly intended
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dwelling house.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, read the whole definition.

MS. GORDON: Okay, the whole definition designed to accommodate or accommodating three or more families and shall include but not be limited to an apartment house, garden apartment house, cooperative apartment house, apartment hotel and condominium. It does not include anything that looks like mixed use which is what our --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't have a mixed use definition.

MS. GORDON: We don't have a mixed use definition, but we have a mixed-use situation, many of them.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right. But we also have a section of the code, in the zoning portion of the code that defines multi-family with more than just dwelling house.

MS. GORDON: It's not the definition, it's a set of standards, it's a restrictive
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, this is a

MS. GORDON: This is a definition. This doesn't say what you said it says. It says the section of the code which I think you're thinking of is not a definition, it's a set of restrictions.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. I'm reading this definition. I'm reading over your shoulder.

MS. GORDON: A dwelling house.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it says more than dwelling house. It lists more than just --

MS. GORDON: Do any of these listed items include commercial establishments? No. Apartment house, garden apartment house, cooperative apartment house, apartment hotel and condominium. No retail commercial activity.

MR. TASKER: All of which are residential uses, not --

MS. GORDON: Right, and not mixed uses in the sense that, as I understand it, New
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York State defines the buildings downtown which have apartments.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Under New York State Building Code, a lot of those things are mixed-use buildings, condominiums, apartment houses, they are mixed uses. MS. GORDON: Trump Tower. MR. KAPELL: Ownership is not a use. Condominium, cooperative, rental, that's not a use, that's a --

MS. WINGATE: New York State Building Codes and residential Building Code, anything other than a two-family house would be found in the commercial code which is where they use the term mixed use most of the time, they use multi-family in apartments, they wouldn't use it for townhouse, they use the word townhouse, they don't use the word condominium all that often and that all has to do with fire separation and townhouses, even though they're attached, they're separate ownership, so it's actually not a multi-family use because they have fire Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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separation between each unit, so going back to the Building Code only makes it more complicated.

MR. TASKER: Which we are not being asked to interpret.

MS. WINGATE: I have one other thing. Anything more than two families requires fire suppression and fire suppression in a 150-year-old Village is a wonderful thing.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: If it's commercial and residential it's mixed use.

MS. WINGATE: If it's commercial and residential.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So if it's commercial on the first floor and there's three apartments on the second floor, what is the mixed use?

MS. WINGATE: Retail and residential.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's not retail
multi-family?
MS. WINGATE: No. It's retail
residential, it would be considered R-2.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could you repeat
what you said about fire suppression?
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MS. WINGATE: Anything with more than two apartments has to have fire suppression. MR. CORWIN: More than two apartments or anything commercial with --

MS. WINGATE: Let me start again. Any residential over retail, unless it's existing, needs fire suppression, so that means --

MR. TASKER: And that's the State Building Code.

MS. WINGATE: That's the State Building Code. Let's take an old one, Mills building doesn't have fire suppression because it's been around forever. The Suffolk Times building put in fire suppression. The Daniel Gale building was putting in fire suppression. Stirling Square is putting in fire suppression, so anything with commercial and residential requires fire suppression and again, 150-year-old Village, it doesn't get better than that.

MR. TASKER: I think we're getting led away from our focus here. We're not worried about a Building Code, we're not worried
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Proceedings 5-16-2017 about definitions of the Building Code that are non-apropos of the objects and subjects that we're discussing here, so let's get back to those. I suggested interpreting 150-18 in a negative manner and withdrawing my objection to going beyond and looking at the second part of question that was asked and zoning district by zoning district, look at it. Is multi-family permitted in that district, yes or no, and then we can go home.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You wouldn't like to think about this a little while?

MR. TASKER: John, I already have.
MR. CORWIN: Does that imply when you say multi-family, does that imply the definition of multi-family is three or more or --

MR. TASKER: It is, 150-2 definition is multi-family dwelling three or more.

MR. CORWIN: So we all, I think, agree that 150-18 doesn't apply because there is no place to put it.

MR. TASKER: Not that there is no place
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MR. CORWIN: Okay.
MR. TASKER: So if it's to be done, it needs the variance as the chairman suggested.

MR. CORWIN: That says that, 159 paragraph 18, does not allow multi-family, it allows two apartments, and that is what I always understand from back in 2002 when I attended many meetings the way it was going to be. I think that question was asked, how many apartments, and the answer was two. It may not have been --

MR. KAPELL: I think the transcript supports that recollection.

MR. TASKER: To further elaborate just a little bit, the examples that I read, the listing of permitted uses in commercial retail district, take, for example, theaters, hotels and motels, can anyone imagine more than one of those, let alone more than two of those on a single parcel in the Village in the CR District? Can anybody
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imagine more than one, let alone two, more than two funeral parlors on a single parcel in that district? More than one, let alone more than two gas stations on a single parcel in the CR District; so the argument that accessory apartment dwelling units can't be limiting whether as a practical matter or as the statutory matter is a specious argument. The fact that it's plural at all simply follows the pattern of writing the ordinance. It doesn't address the limitations on what plural means.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Like David, I was at that meeting, at that public hearing and I left that public hearing with the mindset that it was two apartments from the testimony of the mayor, some of the members of the Village Board, the questions by the public, in my mind, I was assured that it meant two. I was certain in that and then when the question arose about a different district, about the Commercial District, the response by the Board was that the same logic would apply as in the Residential
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District.
MR. KAPELL: Is that in the minutes, John?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes, it is.
MR. KAPELL: Can you read it?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes, I can. This is from the mayor. I'm not going to read the entire --

MR. KAPELL: Can you tell us what page you're on, John, please?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seven. It's a quarter way down the page. The maximum number of dwelling units that would be allowed in a house would remain at two, so there is no potential here by the creation of a small accessory apartment in one of these houses for another one to be created such that you would have the three-family house.

MR. KAPELL: In the Residential District, that was relative to the $\mathrm{R}-2$ District.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If I could, further down the page, you go on to say, in the
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Business District, the same logic applies. I think if after time has passed, some people's thinking changes, some people's recollection is clouded, some people, what we have is the official record, we have the intent of the Board at that time.

MR. TASKER: My position is, if you don't have ambiguity, there is nothing to interpret and the intent doesn't mean a thing, the ordinance is all that counts.

MS. GORDON: I never thought I would describe myself as a contextualist, but I think we have a plural here, it does not say two, and I think you're wrong, Arthur, you can have more than two retail stores in one parcel, in fact, we have Ralph's in the front and the new parenting store in the back and the oyster people, again that's one parcel.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, it's not.

MR. TASKER: Funeral parlors, gas
stations and so forth, the fact that plural are permitted doesn't mean that it's going to happen.
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MS. GORDON: It doesn't mean it's going to happen, but a whole list here with -- it doesn't say two dwelling units, it says dwelling units general. I just -- you know, I don't understand why we aren't sticking to the language and of course you're sticking to the language also when you say there is nothing really to interpret because Section 150-18 doesn't give us any clues. The text doesn't give us any clues.

MR. TASKER: The text of the other permitted uses in the other zoning districts doesn't give us clues, it gives us answers as to what's permitted in each district. What I'm saying is, $I$ don't think it's appropriate for us to even think about what might they have meant when they used a plural in defining accessory apartment dwelling units. We don't even have to think about what that means because we don't have to go to that to answer the question of what uses are permitted in each district. Let's not beat ourselves up over whether units means two, three, five, seven, however many, Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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multi-family permitted.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is this something we have to decide tonight? We have 60 days.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: You have 60 days.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you think we have to decide tonight?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, you do not have to decide if you're asking me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sure the Village would extend the time limit if we asked for it.

MS. WINGATE: Why not?
MR. TASKER: Well, my concern over a delay is this, that there is gonna be another application called Mason Ole two for a building permit for three apartments, four apartments in the arcade before we have interpreted this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We had an assurance from --

MR. TASKER: No, we didn't have assurance. The answer that I got to my
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question as to whether or not there would be an assurance that such an application would be brought to the attention of this Board was, we're getting in the busy season, I don't think we're gonna get any; that was not an assurance.

MS. WINGATE: I still don't think that we're going to get any, but.

MR. TASKER: You're entitled to your opinion but that isn't an assurance.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't think the reason to make a decision on a particular night is that you think there is, you know, a prospective application that hasn't occurred yet, that may be coming, I think the Board should think.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I agree, we shouldn't negotiate for the unborn. Sometimes when there is a pending question, things move from a back burner to a front burner regardless of --

MR. TASKER: It's easy to imagine the owner of the arcade getting religion and firing in an application for four or five
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think she has other things on her mind at this particular moment in time, but $I$ understand your point. I would be willing to take the Building Inspector at her word.

MR. TASKER: We don't have that.

MR. CORWIN: I would like to try to come to a decision tonight, and to put it simply, I'm sorry I can't verbalize better, my position is three apartments or more is a multi-family that goes to 150-18, but there is no place in multi-family that's allowed, so in these commercial districts that I object to because I don't read it that way. By your interpretation, they can have apartments plural, two apartments and that's what I remember from the public hearing and the discussions that went on before that.

MS. NEFF: I think that it does depend on what the building we're talking about is, its size, all those things matter; and the idea that we say never three and make the
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language be there can never be three, that doesn't make sense to me. Mostly, it may be one or two, but that it's something the Village has and needs more of, it seems also clear.

MR. CORWIN: But that is up to the Village Board. If there is an ambiguity or if the code is not clear then it's the Village Board. We make an interpretation, if they don't like it, they change the code. We don't change code.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is the Board prepared to vote on this tonight?

MR. CORWIN: I am.
MS. GORDON: What would we be voting on?

MS. NEFF: Yes, that's a good question.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We would be voting on the interpretation asked for by the Building Inspector of 150-18, and in what zoning district is multiple-family a permitted use. Is that what I got from this? And I say that because I copied a section of the minutes from -- I know the
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[^0]original question, and there was a colloquy between myself and Eileen, and it says, Ms. Wingate says about different districts, Commercial GC and Waterfront Commercial, because the $C R$ and the regs, there is a residential component, and I asked but not multi-family, and the response was, that's what I'm asking you, that is the question. So to me that, you know, we understand the original question and then as Zoning Board meetings do, it progressed this question. MR. TASKER: Well, that, it seems to me, to take that as how we got where we are as a smokescreen for ignoring the question where does Section 150-18 apply, when the real question was, can multi-family -- is multi-family permitted in the CR District, that's the real question.

MS. WINGATE: Not necessarily --
MR. TASKER: Let me finish. I tried to
press that question on the Building
Inspector at least two prior meetings when
this came up, and I got no answer. I got
the same story, no, the question is, where

Proceedings 5-16-2017
does 150-18 apply, oh, and by the way, what district is multi-family permitted, two completely separate questions. I told you that I would withdraw my procedural objection to go into that separately, but not separate. I'm not going to do one without the other.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Wasn't there a public notice for this hearing?

MR. TASKER: Yes.
MS. WINGATE: The reason that I was persistent on not focusing in necessarily on the CR was because we also have WC, we also have CG, which also have potential for development.

MR. TASKER: No, but not for multi-family housing.

MS. WINGATE: WC, other than condos and townhouses, it has potential, we have 123 Stirling, I mean --

MR. TASKER: You mean because there is a building that exists that could have more than two residential units in it that there is ambiguity in what is a permitted use in
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that district?

MS. WINGATE: I'd like --

MR. TASKER: You're bootstrapping.

MS. WINGATE: I liked your idea of going district by district and then you got away from that, I thought that made perfect sense. This would be --

MR. TASKER: I haven't withdrawn that.

MR. KAPELL: What did the public notice advertise, what's the question?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's online, Mr. Mayor, you can read it online.
(Whereupon, several people spoke simultaneously.)

MS. WINGATE: It's right there on that, it is on that sheet.

MR. KAPELL: I think it's very relevant, that's what the hearing is about.

MS. GORDON: Does somebody have the public notice? Just the interpretation of 150-18.

MR. KAPELL: You know, otherwise it's not right.

MS. WINGATE: I can't paraphrase it,
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but the question was, where does 150-18 fit, into which districts? That was the question, but I'll pull it up here on the website. An interpretation also liability inspector on how Chapter 150-18 of the code of the Village of Greenport relates to the Village to zone districts. The requested interpretation should include which zoning districts should use 150-18 as a guideline for development. That's the question.

MR. CORWIN: My answer is none of them. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can we take five minutes here for, can I recess the meeting re-sense if meet for five minutes until the absent member comes back; is that okay with the Board?

MR. TASKER: Yes.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken at this time.)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think the sense of the Board is they would like to resolve this this evening. Me personally, I'm of the opinion that either myself or with the help of the attorney comes up with a

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107 Proceedings 5-16-2017 resolution that would, a formal resolution as opposed to one that's proposed here tonight, present it to the members next month, add or subtract comments to it and vote on that formalized resolution at that time. I have to be honest with the members and the public, I'm uncomfortable writing a resolution to this complicated question here and now at this particular moment.

MR. TASKER: Mr. Chairman, can I suggest that -- I sympathize with your concern.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MR. TASKER: May I suggest a motion, may I suggest a motion to the effect that it is the sense of the Board that we should write a resolution does the following, that makes the following interpretation, and then have something as you suggest to present to the Board at the next meeting, we can argue over the details, we can amend it, but then we can go forward. Knowing what the sense of this Board is, the only way we're going to be able to draft a resolution of
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur, that's a great suggestion, the problem I see with it just from listening here tonight a little bit, that this is probably going to be a split vote and the resolution is not going to express the sense of the entire Board; it's going to present a position and either be agreed to or disputed by perhaps one, two or three members or everyone, I'm not sure; but I'll put it to my colleagues. What do the members think?

MR. CORWIN: I'll go with Mr. Tasker's suggestion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana. I'll give you a second. Ellen.

MS. GORDON: I think drafting something with the intent to discuss it, in a way to tabling the issue with the intent of having a statement drafted.

MR. TASKER: What I'm suggesting is a direction that the drafting is going to be made for the further review before its adoption.
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& \text { Proceedings } 5-16-2017 \\
& \text { CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm personally }
\end{aligned}
$$ comfortable with that, but again $I$ can't speak for the rest of the members.

MR. TASKER: If we end up with a split vote in either direction, we end up with a split vote. I don't think we need to try to do this in a way that would guarantee unanimity.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, that's not what I'm saying. I'm not saying the vote tonight -- what I'm saying is drafting a resolution knowing that there's perhaps one, two, three members that aren't going to agree with the wording of that resolution just in my mind makes it even harder to write the next resolution; but I'm willing to do that, willing to put that up for vote; are you willing to write it, draft it?

MR. TASKER: I've got a problem because I'm going to be traveling for ten days immediately prior to the meeting. That's a separate point. Let me suggest this: I'm going to propose a motion that it is the sense of the Zoning Board of Appeals that
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Section 150-18, the interpretation of Section 150-18 is that it makes no mention of the zoning districts in which it is applicable, and further, that an examination of each of the zoning districts within the Village of Greenport indicates that multi-family housing is not a permitted use in any except -- and I would do it district by district, but I'm compressing it in terms of the resolution, of the sense of the Board -- that multi-family housing is not a permitted use in any of the districts in the Village with the exception of $R-2$, where it is a conditional permitted use under the conditions of Section 150-18 which gives 150-18, which affirms that 150-18 does indeed have a home. So I'll make that resolution that that is the sense of the Board.

MR. CORWIN: I second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just as a discussion, so it's clear in my mind, this is a draft?

MR. TASKER: Yes. To create a draft

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proceedings } 5-16-2017 \\
& \text { resolution that follows that sense. } \\
& \text { ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the vote is not } \\
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$$ create this document which will then be presented as consideration for the Board.

MR. TASKER: Right, for debate and adoption or not.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This will be for our next meeting?

MR. TASKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We had the motion and we have a second.

MS. GORDON: Can I say something?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.
MS. GORDON: I think the first part of Arthur's resolution was fine. I feel that the Section 18 doesn't give us any guidelines to decide this question, and I would stop there, so I would stop there; but if everybody else wants to do it this other way.

MR. TASKER: Procedurally, Dini, you get another bite at that apple when the formal resolution is present that follows

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

Proceedings 5-16-2017
Page 76
that sense, you get a chance to state your objection to the latter part again.

MS. GORDON: And to express my concern about the way I think most of the rest of us are defining multi-family.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The discussion will be the discussion, you can raise any question you want.

MR. TASKER: I shouldn't think we would get into a debate again over what the definition of a multi-family is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think by creating a draft resolution, it leaves everybody the right to rethink the issue.

MS. NEFF: Modify it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Modify it, add a comment, agree in total, disagree and everybody's opinion will be expressed by their vote.

MS. GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we did, David voted, Dini?

MS. GORDON: Um-hmm.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes. I'm voting
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yes. Ellen?

MS. NEFF: Yes.

MR. TASKER: Yes.

MR. CORWIN: We just had a roll call
vote; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.

MR. CORWIN: I just want to make sure, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure I understand.

MR. CORWIN: You didn't call my name, and I didn't get the opportunity to say yes. You didn't run down the names of the members.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I apologize.

Should we vote again?

MR. CORWIN: Yes.

MR. TASKER: Call the roll.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Mr. Corwin?

MR. CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana?

MS. GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen?

MS. NEFF: Yes.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur? |  |  |
| MR. TASKER: Yes. |  |  |

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And Saladino, yes. Item number 8 is a motion to adjourn. MS. NEFF: So moved.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
(Time noted: 7:35 p.m.)
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Board's [2] 25/18 26/7
boards [1] 31/24
bootstrap [1] 46/11
burden [1] 20/5 burner [2] 64/21 64/22

## Burns [3] 5/8 32/21 33/23

business [11] 8/10 8/22 14/8 14/10 14/22 18/14 35/16 36/11 36/24 45/19 61/2
busy [1] 64/5
C
call [5] 25/20 33/16 77/5 77/12 77/19
called [1] 63/18 came [6] 10/7 11/23 21/8 24/13 24/14 67/24
can [49] $8 / 2411 / 3$
12/14 14/3 15/2
16/21 16/22 20/24
23/25 28/6 30/23
33/5 33/9 33/16
34/10 35/24 39/5
39/9 39/12 39/17
40/17 41/15 42/2
44/7 45/9 45/13
46/11 47/4 49/14
49/15 57/11 58/22
58/25 60/6 60/7
60/10 61/16 65/18
66/2 67/17 69/13
70/13 70/14 71/11
71/21 71/22 71/23
75/14 76/8
can't [11] 9/10 32/13 35/11 36/6 36/18

39/18 42/24 59/8 65/12 69/25 73/3 cannot [2] 35/12 35/16
capable [1] 29/23 cart [2] 39/20 40/11 case [3] 13/7 25/22 43/18
cases [2] 8/21 25/20 categorized [1] 51/13
census [1] 41/20
Central [1] 5/8 certain [6] 30/16 33/14 33/15 36/4 36/5 59/21
certainly [1] 27/2
certify [2] 79/9 79/12 CG [1] 68/15
chaired [1] 6/13
chairman [5] 1/12
29/7 29/11 58/6
71/11
challenge [2] 9/9 21/22
challenged [3] 24/21 25/3 25/4
challenging [1] 25/7 chance [1] 76/2 change [4] 30/25 41/13 66/11 66/12
changes [1] 61/4 chapter [11] 2/8 2/10
4/22 40/5 50/5 50/7
50/9 50/17 51/15
51/25 70/6
character [1] 23/14
characterization [1] 42/7
characterized [1] 7/20
charged [1] 23/23 check [2] 33/6 33/9 cited [1] $46 / 8$ clarify [2] 23/20 34/9 clarifying [1] 32/22 clarity [1] 47/15 class [2] 7/21 12/3 clear [4] 19/2 66/6 66/9 74/23
clearly [1] 51/25 CLERK [1] 1/20 close [2] 37/18 37/20 closing [1] 38/8 clouded [1] 61/5 clubs [1] 45/23 clues [3] 62/10 62/11 62/14
code [50] 9/14 13/20 15/3 15/4 21/19 21/25 22/4 22/6 23/23 25/2 26/15 29/12 29/14 30/21 31/2 32/8 32/14 32/15 34/15 34/19 35/2 35/18 35/19 35/23 35/23 36/4 41/20 43/3 43/10
47/20 48/18 49/14 50/23 51/9 51/16 52/19 52/20 53/6 54/5 54/13 54/15
55/3 56/11 56/13

56/25 57/2 66/9 66/11 66/12 70/6 Codes [1] 54/13 colleagues [2] 11/13 72/12
collective [1] 6/8 colloquy [1] 67/2
come [5] 9/11 10/24 46/18 63/2 65/11 comes [3] 11/8 70/16 70/25
comfortable [1] 73/3 coming [1] 64/16 comment [4] 15/11 15/11 15/13 76/18 comments [1] 71/5
commercial [35]
5/14 6/23 12/24
14/15 15/15 16/13
16/25 17/22 19/6
19/22 20/8 21/15
27/5 31/4 31/10 32/2
35/10 41/4 41/16
45/11 45/13 48/12
53/17 53/21 54/15
55/11 55/13 55/15
56/5 56/20 58/20
59/23 65/16 67/5
67/5
common [4] 8/7 8/13
36/23 36/23
commonsense [1]
38/24
completely [2] 29/18 68/4
complicated [2] 55/4
71/9
comply [2] 8/11 18/15
component [2] 20/8 67/7
compressing [1] 74/10
compromised [1] 28/22
compromises [1] 28/5
con [1] 6/15
concern [4] 44/9
63/16 71/13 76/4
concerns [1] 46/20 conditional [4] 39/23 39/24 39/25 74/15 conditionally [1] 44/14
conditions [3] 7/12 45/2 74/16
condominium [4]
52/10 53/20 54/10 54/20
condominiums [1] 54/6
condos [1] 68/19 conducted [1] 39/10 configuration [1] 6/5 confusion [1] 7/22
consensus [1] 6/17 consideration [1] 75/6
considered [3] 19/4 35/13 55/23
considering [1]
11/12
consistent [1] 49/18


72/19
discussed [1] 48/22
discusses [1] 18/25
discussing [2] 20/14
57/4
discussion [9] 2/10
10/11 26/6 33/11
38/14 42/19 74/23
76/7 76/8
discussions [1]
65/21
dispute [2] 12/14 26/13
disputed [2] 49/11 72/10
disputes [2] 34/24 34/25
district [70] 6/24
8/10 8/23 12/24 14/8
14/11 14/22 15/13
15/16 17/23 18/14 18/21 19/5 19/6 20/8 21/15 27/6 32/25
33/5 34/2 34/8 35/11 35/16 35/20 35/21 36/11 36/25 39/24 40/8 40/15 40/17 41/4 41/16 41/24 43/5 44/4 44/11 44/12 44/15 44/15 44/18 44/20 45/4
45/11 45/13 46/13 57/9 57/9 57/11
58/21 58/25 59/4
59/6 59/23 59/23
60/2 60/22 60/23
61/2 62/15 62/23

63/3 66/22 67/18 68/3 69/2 69/6 69/6 74/9 74/10
districts [17] 4/23
8/7 9/25 13/4 18/12 36/5 38/17 44/24 62/13 65/16 67/4
70/3 70/8 70/10 74/4 74/6 74/13
do [34] 6/18 8/15
8/19 11/8 15/2 21/11
21/13 26/16 27/6
27/7 28/3 35/20
35/21 35/24 36/4
36/6 40/8 42/8 43/10
43/15 43/24 44/6
53/16 54/21 63/8
63/10 67/12 68/7
72/12 73/8 73/17
74/9 75/21 79/9
docking [1] 45/25
document [2] 16/6 75/5
does [20] 9/25 13/3
13/5 25/6 26/10 34/2 40/11 41/18 52/11
57/16 57/17 58/9
61/14 65/22 67/16 68/2 69/20 70/2 71/18 74/17
doesn't [25] 17/15
17/17 25/5 32/4 37/6 39/18 40/14 40/14
47/10 47/11 49/20
53/5 56/14 56/22
57/23 59/12 61/10
61/24 62/2 62/4

D
doesn't... [5] 62/10 62/11 62/14 66/3 75/18
doing [6] 8/16 10/16 19/5 43/2 43/2 46/16 don't [40] 10/6 11/13 20/22 22/7 25/11 29/3 29/6 30/17 33/3 42/2 42/21 43/7 43/13 44/20 46/10 46/23 46/25 48/6 48/8 48/10 49/23
50/24 51/11 52/13 52/15 54/20 61/9
62/6 62/16 62/20 62/21 63/2 64/6 64/8 64/12 65/9 65/17
66/11 66/12 73/7 done [1] 58/5
down [7] 8/25 21/16 22/21 44/11 60/13 60/25 77/14
downtown [2] 30/18 54/2
draft [5] 71/25 73/19 74/24 74/25 76/14 drafted [1] 72/21 drafting [3] 72/18 72/23 73/12
drinking [1] 45/19 drive [1] 11/21 droves [2] 37/24 38/4
due [1] 33/10 during [1] 48/16 dwelling [38] 8/12
$14 / 10 \quad 15 / 5 \quad 18 / 7$
18/11 18/16 18/20 35/8 40/4 40/4 40/7 40/8 46/6 47/5 49/22 50/6 50/8 50/10
50/13 50/15 50/18 50/19 50/22 50/25 51/3 51/5 51/17 51/19 52/2 52/21
53/12 53/14 57/21 59/7 60/14 62/4 62/5 62/20
dwellings [2] 8/4
51/12
dynamic [1] 6/12
E
each [5] 31/20 55/2
62/15 62/23 74/6
easier [1] 7/8
easy [3] 8/17 20/16 64/23
eating [1] $45 / 18$
economics [1] 41/13
effect [3] 6/5 13/20
71/16
eight [2] 33/18 46/7 EILEEN [4] 1/19 25/17 38/17 67/3 either [3] 70/24 72/9 73/6
elaborate [1] 58/18 election [1] 11/16 ELLEN [5] 1/14 47/3 72/17 77/2 77/24
else [8] 11/5 26/17 26/17 37/14 43/8 45/5 47/2 75/21
enacted [1] 8/9 encourage [1] 24/17 end [3] 6/17 73/5 73/6
enough [1] 30/19 entire [2] 60/9 72/8 entirely [1] 36/20 entitled [1] 64/10 envelope [1] 35/2 erroneously [1] 42/4 essence [1] 38/21 establishments [2] 45/21 53/17
even [9] 11/25 21/11 28/2 39/18 46/9
54/22 62/17 62/20 73/16
evening [2] 4/19 70/23
ever [1] 6/13
everybody [4] 4/13 37/4 75/21 76/14
everybody's [1]
76/19
everyone [1] 72/11 everything [1] 43/8 evidence [1] 19/8 evolved [1] 31/14 ex[1] 46/24
exactly [2] 15/18 20/13
examination [1] 74/5 example [1] 58/21
examples [2] 41/11 58/19
except [3] 45/14 50/13 74/9

| E | 40/20 40/20 | Fork [1] 11/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exception [1] 74/14 | felt [3] 24/21 25/2 | form [1] 36/7 |
| excuse [2] 17/10 | $34 / 6$ | formal [2] 71/2 75/2 |
| 28/8 | few [1] 41/25 | formalized [1] 71/6 |
| exist [1] 36/11 | find [1] 30/8 | forms [1] 13/6 |
| existed [2] 16/10 | fine [1] 75/17 | forth [2] 48/23 61/23 |
| 44/17 | finish [3] 10/3 12/18 | Forty [1] 33/18 |
| existence [2] 47/22 | 67/21 | Forty-eight [1] 33/18 |
| 47/25 | fire [14] 4/2 48/18 | forward [2] 23/15 |
| existing [6] 8/18 | 54/21 54/25 55/9 | 71/23 |
| 40/4 40/7 47/7 47/9 | 55/9 55/25 56/3 56/8 | found [2] 51/6 54/15 |
| 56/8 | 56/17 | four [3] 41/2 63/19 |
| exists [1] 68/23 | 56/19 56/20 | 64/25 |
| Explain [1] 20/2 | Firehouse [1] 1/8 firing [1] 64/25 | Frankly [1] 46/16 fraternal [1] 45/24 |
| express [2] 72/8 | first [8] 11/16 11/23 | front[6] 5/4 9/2 12/8 |
| 76/4 | 19/25 20/4 24/12 | 12/9 61/18 64/21 |
| expressed [3] 4 $48 / 1576 / 19$ | 42/15 55/16 75/16 | funeral [3] 45/25 |
| extend [1] 63/13 | fit [3] 13/5 41/18 70/2 | 59/3 61/22 |
| extension [1] 22/3 | fits [2] 32/19 40/13 | further [8] 17/8 |
| F | five [5] 45/9 62/25 | 17/10 44/21 58/18 |
|  |  | 79/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilities [1] } 46 / 2 \\ & \text { fact [5] } 33 / 1240 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | floor [2] 55/16 55/17 | future [1] $7 / 5$ |
| 9/10 61/17 61/23 | focus [1] 56/24 | G |
| facto [1] 46/24 | focusing [1] 68/13 |  |
| fairness [1] 20/18 | follow [1] 43/6 | Gail [3] 5/6 5/16 30/3 Gale [1] 56/17 |
| familiar [1] 29/12 | following [5] 39/25 |  |
| families [6] 5/25 6/2 | 45/15 47/14 71/18 | ganging [1] $4 / 24$ |
| 6/7 10/21 52/7 55/8 | 71/19 | garages [1] 46/5 garden [2] 52/8 |
| family [99] | follows [3] 59/11 | garden [2] 52/8 $53 / 18$ |
| favor [5] 3/9 3/18 4/4 38/8 78/7 | $75 / 275 / 25$ footage [1] 41/18 | gas [2] 59/5 61/22 |
| February [1] 39 | forbidden [1] 35/24 | gasoline [2] 46/2 |
| feel [3] 21/9 43/15 | forever [1] 56/15 | 46/3 |
| 75/17 | forget [1] 11/24 | gave [1] 24/25 |
| feet [4] 33/19 37/3 | forgo [2] 43/21 44/8 | GC [1] 67/5 general [1] 62/5 |

## gentrification [1]

7/14
Germans [1] 36/2 get [13] 4/13 23/18 34/8 37/11 46/25
56/22 57/4 64/6 64/9
75/24 76/2 76/11
77/13
gets [1] 49/16 getting [3] 56/23 64/5 64/24
give [6] 10/9 62/10 62/11 62/14 72/16 75/18
given [1] 46/9
gives [2] 62/14 74/16 giving [2] $5 / 1119 / 8$ go [15] 4/16 7/8 8/24 22/11 23/10 39/5
43/8 44/11 49/20
57/11 60/25 62/22
68/6 71/23 72/14
goes [3] 38/23 50/9 65/14
going [30] 4/12 7/17 10/11 19/4 23/8
23/15 28/15 39/10
39/14 40/6 41/12
45/11 46/7 55/2 57/7
58/12 60/8 61/24
62/2 64/9 68/7 69/6
71/24 72/6 72/7 72/9
72/23 73/14 73/21
73/24
gonna [9] 12/10
23/18 31/17 37/17

37/18 41/24 42/4 63/17 64/6
good [3] 9/6 21/23 66/18
GORDON [1] 1/15 got [8] 16/20 63/25 66/23 67/14 67/24 67/24 69/6 73/20
gotten [1] 7/13 government [2] 45/20 47/7
grant [1] 22/9
great [3] 11/7 45/6 72/4
GREENPORT [12]
1/2 1/8 3/3 4/2 5/4
5/7 5/9 10/22 11/19 42/5 70/7 74/7
ground [2] 30/20 33/15
guarantee [1] 73/8 guess [2] 10/9 46/20 guideline [1] 70/10 guidelines [1] 75/19 guys [2] 4/24 26/16
H
had [19] 5/22 5/25
6/13 8/14 12/13
14/21 15/10 17/20
17/24 26/4 27/6 27/7 35/23 46/16 46/18 47/21 63/22 75/12 $77 / 5$
hadn't [1] 6/21
half [1] $44 / 9$
Hall [2] 7/22 10/12 hand [1] 79/17
happen [2] $61 / 25$ 62/3
happened [1] 41/7
happening [1] 18/21
happy [1] 21/6
hard [2] 20/17 42/22
harder [1] 73/16
hardship [2] 7/18 23/11
Hardware [1] 8/24 harsh [1] 34/20 has [18] 4/11 8/19 10/11 11/5 21/7
31/23 38/3 39/8 40/12 41/6 41/6
42/20 54/21 56/3
61/3 65/4 66/5 68/20
hasn't [2] 24/6 64/15
have [97]
haven't [2] 48/20 69/9
having [4] 12/5 31/7 43/14 72/20
hear [1] 37/25
heard [2] 27/25 28/10
hearing [20] 2/8 4/20 6/9 6/11 9/12 15/10 25/24 28/9 28/23 37/12 37/19 37/21 38/8 43/14 48/16 59/15 59/16 65/20 68/10 69/19
hearings [1] 6/12 heartily [1] 11/22 heavy [1] 20/5 held [1] 6/9

52/22 53/12 53/14 53/18 53/19 53/19 54/14 60/15 60/20 housed [1] 30/10 houses [3] 12/2 54/7 60/18
housing [28] 5/20
6/6 8/18 10/19 11/4
11/17 13/6 13/8
13/11 13/14 13/21
17/19 17/22 30/9 30/16 31/2 32/24 33/4 34/7 39/9 39/12 39/17 41/11 44/13 44/25 68/18 74/8 74/12
how [22] 4/21 6/25 7/2 7/23 10/6 19/24 30/15 31/13 31/17 34/2 40/25 41/15 41/18 42/14 45/4 46/11 46/14 46/23 48/13 58/13 67/14 70/6
however [1] 62/25
I

I'd [6] 5/10 24/9
25/17 41/13 42/17 69/3
I'II [7] 21/6 22/9 70/4 72/12 72/14 72/16 74/18
I'm [63] 4/12 5/4 9/17 10/2 10/8 12/4 12/13 12/20 14/2 15/19 15/23 21/6 22/15 22/15 22/17 22/18

22/19 22/20 23/3 23/8 25/13 25/15 25/16 25/20 28/17 28/18 32/21 34/4 34/8 34/11 34/12 34/21 35/4 39/10 43/6 43/10 43/21 44/8 44/22 45/11 49/13 53/9 53/10 60/8 62/16 63/12 65/12 67/9 68/7 70/23 71/8 72/11 72/22 73/2 73/11 73/11 73/12 73/17 73/21 73/23 74/10 76/25 77/10
I've [2] 30/5 73/20 idea [2] 65/25 69/5 identity [1] 11/6 ignore [1] 22/8 ignoring [1] 67/15 imagine [3] 58/23 59/2 64/23
immediately [1] 73/22 impact [3] 10/18 19/13 23/13
impacting [1] 7/15 implemented [2] 8/5 34/22
imply [2] 57/16 57/17 important [3] 23/16 33/20 35/7
impossibility [1] 40/19
inasmuch [3] 35/8 35/9 36/9

| I | 34/10 39/7 39/16 | 38/18 42/16 42/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inclination [1] 23/9 | 42/9 42/17 43/25 | 43/5 44/14 44/17 |
| inclined [1] 22/25 | 44/2 44/22 47/4 55/6 | 44/19 49/16 51/13 |
| include [4] 52/7 | 61/10 62/9 | 52/23 52/24 52/24 |
| 52/11 53/17 70/9 | interpretation [26] | 53/7 54/24 55/11 |
| including [1] 48/23 | 4/21 9/20 9/21 26/25 | 55/12 55/13 55/15 |
| increase [1] 9/8 | 27/25 29/2 38/15 | 55/20 55/22 56/7 |
| indeed [1] 74/18 | 38/23 38/24 39/13 | 56/14 58/2 58/5 |
| INDEX [1] $2 / 2$ | 42/13 42/15 43/16 | 59/10 60/12 61/21 |
| indicates [1] 74/7 | 44/23 46/24 47/16 | 61/24 62/2 62/16 |
| individual [2] 31/22 | 47/23 65/18 66/10 | 64/23 66/4 66/9 |
| 47/24 | 66/20 69/21 70/5 | 69/12 69/16 69/18 |
| initiated [1] 26/9 | 70/9 71/19 72/2 74/2 | 69/23 72/9 74/23 |
| initiative [1] 26/11 | interpretations [1] | 75/4 |
| inspector [7] 1/20 | 26/8 | Italians [1] 35/25 |
| 24/4 44/10 65/8 | interpreted [1] 63/21 | item [9] $2 / 3$ 3/5 3/14 |
| 66/21 67/23 70/6 | interpreting [4] | 3/23 4/9 4/15 4/20 |
| intend [1] 9/15 | 23/23 25/25 42/8 | 38/13 78/5 |
| intended [5] 7/2 8/14 | 57/5 | items [1] 53/17 |
| 10/14 45/14 51/25 | is [220] | its [4] 23/20 44/3 |
| intent [12] 14/4 | isn't [5] 18/4 35/22 | 65/24 72/24 |
| 16/11 16/12 17/12 | 40/21 45/3 64/11 | J |
| 19/9 22/20 38/25 |  | job [3] 21/19 21/20 |
| 39/5 61/7 61/10 | 24/19 26/5 43/ | 21/24 |
| 72/19 72/20 |  | JOHN [19] 1/12 |
| intention [5] 15/8 | issues | 12/13 12/17 16/3 |
| 15/9 18/2 48/14 49/7 | it [190] ${ }^{\text {it's [70] }} 10 / 1410 / 25$ | 17/11 19/25 21/3 |
| interest [1] 10/13 | it's [70] 10/14 10/25 | 21/21 23/8 26/18 |
| interested [2] 41/14 | 11/22 11/25 13/13 |  |
| 79/14 | 16/2 19/2 19/4 19/18 |  |
| interesting [1] 7/19 | 20/4 20/6 20/10 | 57/15 60/4 60/11 |
| internal [3] 10/10 | 20/22 21/11 21/24 | JOSEPH [1] 1/18 |
| 25/11 26/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 1727 / 15 \text { 27/1 } \\ & 27 / 20 \text { 29/6 29/1 } \end{aligned}$ | Ju [1] 4/10 |
| International [1] | 27/20 29/6 29/11 | Ju-lie [1] 4/10 |
| 48/18 | 31/19 32/2 32 | judicial [1] 25/18 |
| interpret [16] 17/4 $21 / 1322 / 2222 / 25$ | $36 / 2337 / 237 / 5$ | July [5] 17/12 47/8 47/10 47/11 48/21 |


| J | L | letter [1] 4/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jump [1] 39/11 | laborious [1] 43/6 | level [2] 22/14 22/19 |
| June [1] 3/25 | land [1] 46/9 | liability [1] 70/5 |
| just [39] 4/17 7/16 | language [5] 18/23 | liberalize [1] 7/6 lie [1] 4/10 |
| 9/10 9/16 11/15 | 45/12 62/7 62/8 66/2 | lie [1] 4/10 |
| 15/17 15/18 17/11 | last [2] 32/23 38/13 | like [18] 14/3 16/20 |
| 19/23 21/8 23/17 | later [4] 7/11 20/19 | 21/3 24/9 25/17 |
| 24/9 25/16 26/5 | 23/6 48/19 | 32/16 34/15 35/25 |
| 26/19 28/15 30/19 | latter [1] 76/3 | 37/14 40/18 42/17 |
| 30/23 31/13 33/10 | law [6] 13/12 20/20 | 52/11 57/13 59/14 |
| 37/9 43/7 43/10 46/8 | 21/2 23/2 23/20 | 65/10 66/11 69/3 |
| 46/22 46/25 47/13 | 26/10 | 70/22 |
| 47/14 47/15 52/21 | laws [3] 35/25 41/13 | liked [1] 69/5 |
| 53/15 58/18 62/5 | 42/14 | likewise [1] 24/18 |
| 69/21 72/5 73/15 | lawyers [1] 25/20 | limit [6] 12/11 16/12 |
| 74/22 77/5 77/8 | lead [1] 34/5 | 16/20 30/16 31/15 |
| justified [1] 24/22 | least [1] 67/23 | 63/13 |
| K | leaves [2] 43/7 76/14 | ation [1] |
| Kapell [2] 5/3 48 | led [1] 56/23 |  |
| keep [1] 37/17 | left [3] 7/17 41/6 | limited [3] 31/19 $37 / 752 / 8$ |
| keeping [1] 11/18 |  | limiting [2] 10/19 |
| kind [1] 7/8 | legislate [1] 19/19 | 59/8 |
| kinds [1] 46/12 | legislates [1] 23/24 | LINGG [1] 1/20 |
| know [19] 11/13 | legislative [2] 19/7 | list [2] 46/11 62/3 |
| 19/24 23/11 27/20 | $39 / 5$ | listed [3] 36/5 45/15 |
| 31/25 33/11 34/23 | legislator's [1] 38/25 | 53/16 |
| 38/7 41/14 43/7 | less [2] 8/25 17/16 | listen [4] 16/3 21/5 |
| 43/20 46/23 48/19 | let [12] 10/3 12/17 | 22/9 28/19 |
| 50/24 62/5 64/14 | 15/2 19/16 26/17 | listening [1] 72/5 |
| 66/25 67/10 69/23 | 46/10 56/6 58/23 | listing [1] 58/20 |
| knowing [2] 71/23 | 59/2 59/4 67/21 | lists [2] 45/15 53/14 |
| 73/13 | 73/23 | little [4] 47/8 57/14 |
| known [1] 46/17 | let's [9] 12/17 37/11 | 58/19 72/5 |
| knows [1] 34/21 | 43/24 44/2 | live [3] 11/2 11/3 |
| KRISTINA [1] 1/20 | 45/10 56/13 57/4 $62 / 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 / 6 \\ & \text { lived [1] } 7 / 4 \end{aligned}$ |


| L | 10/22 10/22 16/24 | 34/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lodges [1] 45/24 | 19/20 20/16 20/16 | mayor [10] 16/5 17/9 |
| logic [5] 15/14 15/15 | 21/7 29/12 32/4 | 20/19 28/4 34/21 |
| 35/12 59/25 61/2 | 42/12 42/14 64/13 | 41/7 48/23 59/18 |
| long [2] 31/12 46/11 | 65/25 66/3 66/10 | 60/8 69/13 |
| look [11] 18/22 20/15 | 74/18 77/8 | me [21] 10/3 12/20 |
| 21/6 31/20 31/22 | makes [8] 9/12 11/6 | 17/10 20/3 25/3 |
| 32/10 32/17 39/8 | 18/16 35/6 55/3 | 26/11 28/8 32/4 34/5 |
| 44/20 45/10 57/9 | 71/19 73/16 74/3 | 47/8 47/11 49/13 |
| looking [7] 31/15 | making [3] 21/22 | 49/23 56/6 63/11 |
| 31/23 37/5 39/19 | 31/17 38/22 | 66/3 67/10 67/14 |
| 42/15 51/24 57/7 | man [1] 22/25 | 67/21 70/23 73/23 |
| looks [1] 52/11 | manner [1] 57/6 | mean [11] 13/3 31/6 |
| Loot [1] 8/23 | many [10] 8/21 40/25 | 36/22 43/7 45/9 |
| lose [3] 11/5 | 41/2 41/15 46/14 | 47/20 61/10 61/24 |
| 11/6 | 48/13 52/17 58/12 | 62/2 68/21 68/22 |
| losing [1] | 58/14 62/25 | means [7] 19/3 32/2 |
| lot [8] 9/2 9/5 13/16 | March [1] 3/15 | 45/8 56/9 59/13 |
| 13/24 35/9 35/15 | marina [1] 45/25 | 62/21 62/25 |
| 40/22 54/5 | marriage [1] 79/14 | meant [6] 17/25 34/9 |
| lots [6] 8/11 14/7 | Mason [8] 24/20 | 34/11 34/13 59/21 |
| 35/10 35/15 36/22 | 24/24 26/4 26/22 | 62/18 |
| 36/24 | 27/8 30/13 46/17 | mechanic's [1] 8/24 |
| M |  |  |
| made [12] 7/20 12/2 | 18/13 25/5 25/11 | 2/6 3/4 3/6 3/16 3/24 |
| 15/10 15/11 15/13 | 25/21 26/2 28/25 | 17/12 26/20 28/24 |
| 16/14 16/15 18/7 | 35/12 36/22 36/23 | 29/8 39/14 46/19 |
| 32/24 41/12 69/7 | 43/16 59/9 59/9 | 46/22 48/21 59/15 |
| 72/24 | 65/24 79/15 | 70/14 71/21 73/22 |
| Main [1] 8/25 | maximum [1] 60/13 | 75/10 |
| maintain [2] 7/25 | may [13] 1/10 3/3 | meetings [4] 26/21 |
| 29/20 | 16/7 35/20 35/21 | 58/12 67/12 67/23 |
| major [1] 19/17 | 36/4 58/15 64/16 | member [6] 1/13 |
| majority [1] 16/10 | 66/3 71/15 71/16 | 1/14 1/15 1/16 29/15 |
| make [23] 7/8 7/19 | 79/11 79/17 | 70/16 |
| 8/17 8/20 9/19 10/20 | maybe [3] 11/22 31/5 | members [11] 16/8 |


| M | 52/16 54/6 | Ms [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| members... [10] | Modify [2] 76/16 | much [3] 7/13 32/6 |
| 38/20 48/22 59/18 | 76/17 | \| 32/20 |
| 71/4 71/7 72/11 | moment [4] 17/5 | multi [88] |
| 72/13 73/4 73/14 | 23/16 65/6 71/10 | Multi-dwelling [2] |
| 77/15 | month [1] 71/5 | 51/3 51/5 |
| mention [5] 18/7 | more [34] 11/23 | multi-family [83] |
| 18/19 48/17 50/9 | 11/25 16/22 17/16 | multi-unit [1] 50/25 |
| 4/3 | 21/11 26/18 28/18 | multiple [5] 50/8 |
| mercifully [1] 39/15 | 29/23 30/20 34/15 | 50/18 51/12 51/17 |
| might [6] 4/16 42/6 | 38/22 50/19 50/22 | 66/22 |
| 43/3 43/4 47/14 | 51/18 52/7 52/21 | multiple-dwelling [3] |
| 62/18 | 53/13 53/14 55/3 | 50/8 50/18 51/1 |
| Mills [1] 56/13 | 55/8 56/2 56/4 57/18 | multiple-family [2] |
| mind [8] 6/24 8/18 | 8/23 58/24 | 51/12 66/ |
| 12/13 32/22 59/20 | 59/2 59/2 59/4 59/5 | my [24] 5/3 6/12 10/3 |
| 65/5 73/15 74/23 | 61/16 65/13 66/5 | 11/13 12/21 24 |
| mindset [2] 34/23 | 68/23 | 34/14 43/21 44/8 |
| 59/16 | mortuaries [1] 45/24 | 49/12 57/7 59/20 |
| mine [1] 11/21 | most [3] 6/12 54/16 | 61/8 63/16 6 |
| minimum [1] 33/17 | 76/5 | 65/13 68/5 70/12 |
| minutes [11] 2/4 2/5 | Mostly [1] 66/3 | 72/12 73/15 74/23 |
| 3/6 3/15 6/11 15/25 | motels [2] 45/22 | 76/4 77/12 79/1 |
| 48/21 60/3 66/25 |  | myself [3] 61/13 67 |
| 70/14 70/15 | $3 / 2437 / 2038 / 6$ |  |
| misinterpreting [1] | $71 / 1571 / 1673 / 24$ | N |
| 29/14 | 75/12 78/5 | name [2] 5/3 77/12 |
| misstating [2] 36/12 $36 / 15$ | mouth [1] 33/4 | names [1] 77/14 |
|  | move [1] 64/21 | Natural [1] 4/18 |
| taken [3] | moved [4] 3/7 3/16 | nature [1] 10/18 |
| mixed [12] 16/25 | 4/2 78/6 | necessarily [4] 12/3 |
| 51/11 52/12 52/14 | Mr [12] 16/5 17/9 | need [5] 10/20 30/20 |
| 52/15 52/16 53/24 | 20/19 27/6 28/4 | 34/15 63/2 73/7 |
| 54/6 54/7 54/16 |  | needed [2] 6/18 |
| 55/12 55/18 mixed-use [3] 16/25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69/12 71/11 72/14 } \\ & 77 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | $11 / 22$ <br> needs [3] 56/8 |

needs... [1] 66/5 NEFF [1] 1/14 negative [1] 57/6 negotiate [1] 64/19 neighbor [1] 26/9 net [1] 6/5 neutral [1] 29/23 neutrality [1] 29/20 never [4] 16/12 61/12 65/25 66/2
new [12] $1 / 31 / 88 / 19$ 8/20 32/11 51/10
53/25 54/4 54/12 61/18 79/3 79/8 next [5] 3/24 71/4 71/21 73/16 75/10 night [1] 64/14 no [62] 4/25 6/24 7/25 8/11 9/12 10/7 11/4 12/25 14/7 14/9 15/22 16/2 17/7 18/7 18/13 18/16 19/22 19/24 20/2 20/10
22/15 24/7 24/8
27/13 27/17 28/17 34/24 35/10 35/15 36/10 36/21 36/24 37/16 37/16 39/16 40/16 42/20 44/5
47/18 49/11 49/24 50/16 53/2 53/9 53/18 53/20 55/22 57/11 57/24 57/25 58/2 60/16 61/21 63/10 63/24 65/15 67/24 67/25 68/17

73/10 74/3 79/14 nobody [3] 28/11 34/24 37/7
non [1] 57/3
non-apropos [1] 57/3
none [3] 50/11 50/12 70/12
North [1] 11/5
not [109]
Notary [1] 79/8
noted [1] 78/12 nothing [10] $8 / 19$ 27/6 27/7 39/6 39/11 39/15 42/17 45/13 61/9 62/9
notice [3] 68/10 69/10 69/21
now [13] 11/25 12/15
14/25 16/22 17/6
17/25 20/19 21/12 22/13 26/10 49/5 65/2 71/10
number [11] 3/5 3/14
3/23 4/9 4/16 4/20
17/16 31/15 40/3
60/14 78/5
numbers [3] 40/24 41/3 45/7

0
O'KEEFFE [2] 79/7 79/21
object [1] 65/17
objection [4] 43/22
57/7 68/6 76/3
objects [1] 57/3
obligated [1] 42/9
obligation [1] 29/20 observed [2] 5/18 7/12
observing [1] 25/16 occupied [1] 7/2 occurred [1] 64/16
off [1] 19/25
offer [1] 4/25
offices [2] 45/20 47/7
official [4] 16/6 23/6 48/17 61/6
officially [2] 43/25 44/14
offset [1] 7/9
often [1] 54/21
oh [2] 12/19 68/2
okay [9] 4/15 37/13
43/20 47/17 48/5
52/5 58/4 70/16 76/21
old [3] 55/10 56/13 56/21
Ole [8] 24/20 24/24 26/5 26/22 27/8 30/13 46/17 63/18
Olinkiewicz [2]
26/22 27/7
once [1] 4/24
one [37] 5/21 6/4 6/4 6/12 7/7 9/3 9/3
10/23 19/22 21/7
22/10 25/9 25/18
26/18 26/21 30/6
31/5 31/6 31/22
34/24 42/20 47/5
50/5 55/7 56/13


P
people's... [1] 61/4 perceive [1] 13/8 perfect [1] 69/7 perfectly [1] 24/22 perhaps [6] 20/19 29/13 38/19 38/20
72/10 73/13
permission [1] 36/7 permissive [4] 35/18 35/19 35/23 36/3
permit [6] 6/20 24/20
24/25 40/24 41/3
63/19
permitted [37] 5/13 6/21 13/21 17/19
17/22 32/7 32/25
33/5 34/7 35/22
39/13 40/2 41/19
44/13 44/15 44/18
44/19 44/25 45/16 46/8 46/13 48/12
57/10 58/2 58/20
61/24 62/13 62/15
62/23 63/4 66/23
67/18 68/3 68/25
74/8 74/13 74/15
permitting [1] 41/22 persistent [1] 68/13 personal [3] 22/14 22/19 45/18
personally [2] 70/23 73/2
physical [2] 14/11 18/14
pickup [1] 45/23
place [17] 7/19 10/21

10/25 11/4 18/18
position [3] 61/8 18/25 19/3 29/7
29/11 30/10 32/16 32/17 42/5 57/24 57/25 58/2 65/15
places [1] 45/19 plan [1] 31/17
Planning [8] 26/20 26/21 27/9 28/24 29/9 30/12 40/3 46/19
play [2] 21/17 21/17 please [3] 10/4 12/16 60/11
pleasure [1] 37/17 plural [30] 37/7
41/23 41/23 45/17 45/17 45/17 45/18 45/19 45/20 45/21 45/22 45/22 45/22 45/23 45/24 45/25 46/2 46/3 46/4 46/5 46/6 46/12 46/12 46/14 59/11 59/13 61/14 61/23 62/19 65/19
plurality [1] 45/7 point [10] 20/15
24/10 26/19 33/20
35/7 39/7 39/20
42/18 65/6 73/23
pointed [1] 41/8
policy [2] 11/10 19/17
portion [7] 34/18 34/25 43/3 43/9 47/19 51/18 52/20

65/13 72/9
possibility [1] 18/19 possible [1] 38/14 post [1] 46/24 potential [4] 41/15 60/16 68/15 68/20 power [2] 22/7 23/20 powerful [1] 7/14 practical [1] 59/8 pre [1] 47/25 pre-existence [1] 47/25
predates [1] 31/3 prepared [1] 66/14 present [4] 71/4
71/20 72/9 75/25
presented [1] 75/6
press [1] 67/22
previously [1] 46/18
prior [4] 17/20 47/22
67/23 73/22
pro [1] 6/14
probably [2] 35/25 72/6
problem [2] 72/4 73/20
procedural [4] 43/18 43/21 44/8 68/5
Procedurally [1] 75/23
proceedings [1] 79/10
process [6] 5/25 7/6 9/11 21/16 22/21 23/10
product [1] 10/10

P
productive [1] 14/23 professional [2]
45/20 47/7
profound [3] 10/17 11/10 19/13
progressed [1]
67/12
projects [1] 8/6
PROKOP [1] 1/18 promote [3] 29/8 30/8 32/18
promoted [2] 26/23 28/23
proper [1] 24/17
properly [1] 19/14
properties [2] 18/13 36/11
property [4] 26/14 35/13 36/10 39/22 proposal [1] 31/21 propose [1] 73/24 proposed [1] 71/3 proposing [1] 21/13 prospective [1] 64/15
provide [1] 42/11 provided [1] 40/5 provides [2] 15/4 42/12
provision [11] 7/24 9/13 9/23 10/17
12/11 12/23 13/11 14/5 16/3 18/11 21/14
public [30] 2/8 4/20 4/23 6/9 10/9 10/13

11/10 15/10 28/9 28/23 28/24 29/8 37/11 37/14 37/18 37/21 38/3 38/8 42/20 48/15 48/16 59/15 59/16 59/20 65/20 68/9 69/10 69/21 71/8 79/8 pull [1] 70/4 purposes [4] 9/7 14/23 14/25 33/11 purview [3] 10/14 11/9 19/19
put [16] 9/6 14/16 15/6 19/15 20/14 21/17 21/17 22/13 33/3 56/16 57/24
58/2 58/3 65/11
72/12 73/18
puts [2] 39/20 40/10 putting [6] 22/15
22/18 22/19 22/20
56/17 56/18
Q
quarter [1] 60/13 quasi [1] 25/18 question [56] 5/3 5/11 11/10 12/8 12/9 13/2 13/2 13/4 13/8 19/12 19/15 24/2
24/12 24/14 25/8
26/23 27/8 27/11
29/24 31/20 32/5
32/7 32/9 32/13
42/10 42/23 42/25
44/10 46/17 46/23
48/10 48/13 49/10

57/8 58/13 59/22 62/22 64/2 64/20 66/18 67/2 67/9 67/11 67/12 67/15 67/17 67/19 67/22 67/25 69/11 70/2 70/4 70/11 71/9 75/19 76/9 questioned [2] 27/4 48/11
questions [3] 31/23 59/19 68/4
quite [1] 32/23
quote [3] 20/24 21/2 21/2

## R

R-2 [10] 18/21 19/4 39/24 40/8 40/17 44/17 45/3 55/23 60/22 74/14
raise [1] 76/8
raised [3] 26/9 27/8 49/10
Ralph's [1] 61/17 ran [2] 11/16 11/17 rationale [1] 5/17 re [1] 70/15
re-sense [1] 70/15 read [9] 4/12 15/24 28/7 52/3 58/19 60/6 60/8 65/17 69/13 reading [5] 44/24 48/13 48/14 53/9 53/10
reaffirmed [1] 47/19 real [9] 7/18 10/25
11/2 11/2 19/14 42/5
real... [3] 43/13 67/17 67/19 reality [2] 14/11 18/14
really [8] 6/11 13/5
19/17 26/12 26/12 30/7 43/15 62/9
reason [9] 5/17 10/25 11/3 24/5 34/21 34/24 43/2 64/13 68/12
reasons [1] 10/24 recess [2] 70/14 70/19
recollection [2]
58/17 $61 / 5$
recommendation [1] 33/3
record [13] 23/6 26/19 27/16 27/19 27/21 27/21 28/7 28/7 28/20 48/14 48/17 49/9 61/6 recuse [1] 28/21 reference [5] 15/12 39/22 40/16 41/9 51/21
referred [4] 27/12 27/24 28/25 29/4
reflects [1] 49/9
regard [2] 7/5 32/24
regardless [1] 64/22 regs [1] $67 / 6$
REGULAR [1] $1 / 6$ related [1] 79/12
relates [2] 4/22 70/7
relation [1] 19/20 relative [1] 60/22 relevancy [1] 8/2 relevant [2] 21/11 69/19
religion [1] 64/24 remain [2] 8/22 60/15
remarks [1] $34 / 5$ remember [1] 65/20 rental [6] 5/20 6/7 8/17 10/19 11/4 54/10
rentals [2] 12/2 12/5 repair [1] 46/5 repeal [1] 41/12 repeat [2] 23/8 55/24 Reporter [1] 79/7 request [3] 4/21 38/15 42/12
requested [1] 70/8 requirements [1] 8/12
requires [6] 13/15 13/23 33/14 35/9
55/8 56/20
reserve [1] 51/12 residences [4] 5/23 5/24 6/23 47/6
residential [23] 5/13
8/7 9/7 14/14 14/25
15/12 15/21 18/12 20/7 48/11 49/15
53/23 54/13 55/12
55/14 55/19 55/23
56/7 56/20 59/25
60/21 67/7 68/24
resolution [16] 71/2
71/2 71/6 71/9 71/18 71/25 72/7 73/12 73/15 73/17 74/11 74/19 75/2 75/17 75/25 76/14
resolve [2] 19/16 70/22
resolving [1] 48/10 respect [6] 7/23 13/3 26/14 33/22 33/25 38/16
respectfully [1]
24/10
respond [3] 6/18 37/9 38/17
response [4] 30/23 37/16 59/24 67/8
responsibilities [1] 26/7
rest [2] 73/4 76/5 restored [1] 6/3 restrains [2] 48/7 48/9
restrictions [1] 53/8 restrictive [1] 52/24 result [1] 7/18 retail [17] 14/22 17/23 27/5 35/11 37/6 41/16 45/11 45/13 45/16 47/6
53/20 55/19 55/20
55/22 56/7 58/21
61/16
rethink [1] 76/15
reverts [1] 43/9
review [1] 72/24

| R | 28/20 30/23 35/4 | 48/25 72/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| right [17] 13/15 18/9 | 37/6 39/8 40/25 | seems [7] 18/22 |
| 22/5 22/11 23/3 28/9 | 41/21 42/14 44/9 | 26/11 37/4 37/8 |
| 28/12 30/14 31/22 | 47/11 53/5 57/17 | 49/23 66/5 67/13 |
| 36/18 50/14 52/18 | 60/25 61/14 62/4 | self [1] $46 / 3$ |
| 53/24 69/16 69/24 | 62/8 65/25 66/24 | self-service [1] 46/3 |
| 75/7 76/15 | 75/14 77/13 | sense [22] $8 / 88 / 13$ |
| rights [1] 26/14 | saying [12] $12 / 4$ | 9/12 16/14 16/15 |
| rise [1] 10/9 | 15/20 16/16 17/6 | 18/16 32/4 36/23 |
| role [1] 25/18 | 17/25 19/21 31/25 | 36/23 53/25 66/3 |
| roll [3] 49/6 77/5 | 32/13 62/16 73/11 | 69/8 70/15 70/21 |
| 77/19 | 73/11 73/12 | 71/17 71/23 72/8 |
| room [3] 6/14 6/17 | says [20] 16/3 18/5 | 73/25 74/11 74/19 |
| 40/21 | 22/6 32/15 35/19 | 75/2 76/2 |
| round [1] 5/22 | 36/4 37/5 38/18 | separate [4] 54/23 |
| run [1] 77/14 | 39/11 39/24 44/3 | 68/4 68/7 73/23 |
| S | 50/17 53/5 | separately [1] 68/6 |
|  | 53/6 53/13 58/8 62/4 | separation [2] 54/22 |
| said [31] 13/20 14/17 | 67/3 67/4 | 55/2 |
| 14/18 14/20 14/25 | scanned [1] 48/20 | seriously [1] 19/10 |
| 15/17 15/18 15/20 | schedule [2] 2/6 | service [5] 45/18 |
| 15/23 17/4 17/5 | 3/24 | 45/21 46/2 46/3 46/4 |
| 17/11 17/23 18/3 | scope [2] 11/11 34/3 | SESSION [1] 1/6 |
| 23/5 23/9 30/24 | search [1] 48/24 | set [4] 49/6 52/24 |
| 31/21 33/2 33/7 33/8 | season [1] 64/5 | 53/8 79/16 |
| 33/12 35/24 39/13 | second [11] 3/8 3/17 | sets [1] 34/19 |
| 42/3 42/23 42/24 | 4/3 24/11 37/22 44/9 | seven [2] 60/12 |
| 47/14 49/11 53/5 | 55/17 57/8 72/17 | 62/25 |
| 55/25 | 74/21 75/13 | several [3] 30/7 |
| SALADINO [2] 1/12 | seconded [1] 38/5 | 31/24 69/14 |
| 78/4 | section [14] 8/2 8/13 | shall [1] 52/7 |
| same [6] 15/14 15/14 | 9/14 13/19 47/9 | she [2] 24/6 65/4 |
| 28/15 59/24 61/2 | 52/19 53/6 62/9 | sheet [1] 69/17 |
| 67/25 | 66/25 67/16 74/2 | ship [1] 41/6 |
| sand [1] 41/5 | 74/3 74/16 75/18 | should [18] 11/12 |
| say [25] 11/15 17/15 | see [7] 12/2 30/21 | 15/24 19/9 19/15 |
| 18/24 22/24 27/3 | 39/8 44/12 46/11 | 20/20 22/22 28/21 |



| S | stock [4] 5/19 6/6 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| starting [2] 39/7 | $11 / 431 / 3$ | 73/23 |
| 39/20 | stop [5] 22/10 22/21 23/10 75/20 75/20 | suggested [5] 26/8 $42 / 2042 / 2257 / 5$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { state [15] } 1 / 315 / \\ 15 / 332 / 1236 / 8 \end{gathered}$ | store [1] 61/18 | 58/7 |
| 49/14 51/10 54/2 | stores [9] 6/23 7/4 | suggesting [4] 9/19 |
| 54/5 54/12 56/10 | 12/6 14/5 45/16 | 22/23 42/3 72/22 |
| 56/12 76/2 79/3 79/8 | 45/17 45/18 47/6 | suggestion [2] 72/4 |
| stated [2] 15/8 15/9 | 61/16 stories [4] 5/14 | 72/15 support [5] 2 |
| statement [3] 20/25 | stories [4] <br> $12 / 12151 / 6$ <br> $1 / 6$ | 21/20 21/25 29/17 |
| $13 / 4$ | story [5] 9/3 31/6 | 40/12 |
| stations [6] 45/23 | 31/7 31/9 67/25 | supported [2] 25/2 |
| 46/3 46/4 46/4 59/5 | Street [5] 1/8 5/4 5/7 | 46/21 |
| 61/23 | 8/25 9/2 | supports [1] 58/17 |
| statute [5] 17/13 | streets [1] 31/4 | supposed [3] 25/19 |
| 18/24 19/3 42/8 | strongly [2] 21/9 | 25/23 25/24 |
| 42/12 | 46/21 | suppression [10] <br> 55/9 55/9 55/25 56/3 |
| statutes [2] 42/11 | structure [1] 31/16 structures [1] 8/18 | 55/9 55/9 55/25 56/3 |
| 42/13 <br> statutory [2] 29 | subject [2] 30/16 | 56/18 56/19 56/21 |
| 59/9 | 40/2 | sure [8] 25/13 34/21 |
| stayed [2] 37/23 38/3 | subjects [1] 57/3 | 43/6 44/22 63/12 |
| stenography [1] | submit [4] 7/10 | 72/11 77/8 77/10 |
| 33/10 | 10/12 10/13 11/7 | suspect [1] 48/24 |
| step [1] 44/21 | subsequent [1] | sympathize [1] |
| STEPHANIE [2] 79/7 | 47/22 | 71/12 |
| 79/21 | substantial [2] 40/2 | T |
| Sterling [1] 5/6 |  | tabling [1] 72/ |
| stick [1] 18/23 |  | $\text { take [12] } 14 / 319 / 9$ |
| sticking [2] 62/6 | such [7] 19/13 21/14 <br> 26/3 36/10 40/16 | 25/10 28/6 41/20 |
| 62/7 | 26/3 36/10 4 | 44/9 44/21 56/13 |
| still [7] 8/21 9/4 |  | 58/21 65/7 67/ |
| 10/25 11/2 11/21 21/11 64/8 | SUFFOLK [3] $1 / 2$ 56/15 79/5 | 70/13 |
| 21/11 64/8 ${ }^{\text {Stirling [2] }} 56 / 18$ |  | taken [2] 70/19 |
| $\underset{68 / 21}{\text { Stirling [2] } 56 / 18}$ | suggest [9] 8/8 8/14 19/11 46/7 71/12 | takes [1] 44/23 talked [1] 6/16 |

tell [2] 41/15 60/10 telling [3] 16/11 22/17 23/3
ten [3] 45/9 46/7 73/21
term [4] 49/22 51/2 51/11 54/16
terms [8] 17/11 34/2 41/6 42/15 42/18 50/11 50/12 74/10 testimony [1] 59/18 text [2] 62/10 62/12 than [25] 6/25 11/23 16/23 21/12 28/18 29/23 38/22 40/17 52/21 53/14 53/14 54/14 55/8 56/2 56/4 56/22 58/23 58/24 59/2 59/3 59/4 59/5 61/16 68/19 68/24
Thank [5] 29/25 30/2 30/21 32/20 71/14 that [333]
that's [56] 6/24 7/2 10/2 11/7 11/10 11/11 12/4 12/8 12/12 15/7 15/17

15/18 15/19 15/22 15/23 17/7 18/9 18/24 19/3 20/13
21/18 21/21 23/21 27/2 29/12 30/15
32/9 33/7 33/20 34/8
35/22 36/16 36/16
39/19 40/10 40/13
41/19 42/25 46/15 49/18 54/10 54/11 56/10 56/12 61/19 65/15 65/19 66/18 67/8 67/19 69/19
70/11 71/3 72/3 73/10 73/22
theaters [2] 45/21 58/22
their [5] 4/17 6/3 35/25 41/17 76/20
them [3] 19/16 52/17 70/12
themselves [1] 38/21 then [22] 19/2 20/12 21/12 22/11 22/22 23/10 23/21 24/14 30/11 35/11 44/18 46/5 46/23 48/23 57/11 59/21 66/9 67/11 69/6 71/19 71/22 75/5
there [69] 5/19 6/14 6/17 7/13 7/22 7/25 8/10 9/2 9/3 9/4 10/7 11/19 14/7 14/9 14/14 16/9 16/21 18/13 18/18 20/7 27/25 30/18 34/22
$35 / 1035 / 1536 / 21$ 36/24 37/7 37/13 38/18 39/2 39/4 39/6 39/15 39/16 40/16 40/21 40/22 42/17 42/19 43/8 43/13 45/6 47/13 47/15 50/19 51/16 57/23 57/25 58/2 60/16 61/9 62/8 63/17 64/2 64/14 64/20 65/2 65/14 66/2 66/8 67/2 67/6 68/9 68/22 68/24 69/16 75/20 75/20
there's [10] 31/17
34/18 39/6 50/4 50/5
50/6 50/8 50/16
55/16 73/13
therefore [4] 19/5 32/3 33/21 33/25
thereof [1] 51/18 these [9] 6/25 7/3 13/21 14/23 15/6 30/25 53/16 60/18 65/16
they [23] 4/17 7/2 11/3 31/13 34/22 34/23 36/5 47/19 51/11 51/11 54/7 54/16 54/17 54/18 54/19 54/19 54/25 62/18 62/18 65/18 66/11 66/11 70/22 they're [7] 14/24 29/14 34/20 47/11 54/23 54/23 65/3

| T | thinking [2] 53/7 | 24/13 28/2 28/7 30/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| They've [1] 37/23 | $61 / 4$ Third [1] $1 / 8$ | 46/22 47/10 48/4 $54 / 1761 / 361 / 7$ |
| thing [9] 7/5 22/10 | Third [1] 1/8 this [118] | $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 17 \text { 61/3 61/7 } \\ & 63 / 1365 / 670 / 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25/9 26/4 26/18 | this [118] those [10] 30/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63/13 65/6 70/20 } \\ & 71 / 778 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 28/15 55/7 55/10 $61 / 11$ | 36/3 38/7 45/9 46/8 | Times [1] 56/15 |
| things [12] 11/19 | 54/5 57/5 58/23 | today [2] 7/11 23/22 |
| 30/25 32/12 36/4 | 58/24 65/24 | told [2] 24/6 68/4 |
| 40/23 45/8 46/12 | though [2] 35/7 | tonight [7] 63/6 63/9 |
| 46/13 54/5 64/21 | 54/22 | 65/11 66/14 71/4 |
| 65/5 65/24 | thought [6] 5/10 | 72/5 73/11 |
| think [77] 6/10 8/13 | 12/19 21/4 25/24 | too [6] 11/20 31/19 |
| 11/25 19/9 20/22 | 61/12 69/7 | 31/19 40/25 43/5 |
| 20/23 21/11 21/22 | thousand [1] 33/19 | 44/6 |
| 24/16 25/6 28/21 | three [29] 4/24 16/9 | topic [1] 39/19 |
| 28/22 29/3 29/6 | 21/9 24/25 30/13 | total [1] 76/18 |
| 29/11 29/15 29/19 | 31/7 31/9 32/3 32/13 | totally [1] 12/5 |
| 29/22 29/23 30/11 | 41/2 41/23 45/8 | Tower [1] 54/8 |
| 30/13 30/14 30/17 | 46/10 46/17 49/16 | townhouse [2] 54/19 |
| 30/24 31/7 31/14 | 50/22 51/18 52/7 | 54/19 |
| 32/11 32/12 34/23 | 55/16 57/18 57/21 | townhouses [2] |
| 35/3 35/6 37/5 39/7 | 60/19 62/25 63/19 | 54/22 68/20 |
| /2 42/3 42/21 | 65/13 65/25 66/2 | training [1] 26/6 |
| 42/22 42/24 43/4 | 72/11 73/13 | transcript [3] 49/2 |
| 43/13 43/14 44/5 | three-family [1] | 58/16 79/10 |
| 46/15 48/6 48/8 | 60/19 | transitional [1] 23/1 |
| 48/10 48/12 53/6 | three-story [2] 31/7 | traveling [1] 73/21 |
| 56/23 57/14 57/22 | 31/9 | trend [2] 6/19 7/9 |
| 58/13 58/16 61/3 | through [6] 6/16 | trends [2] 5/21 7/15 |
| 61/14 61/15 62/16 | 20/15 24/11 26/6 | tried [2] 21/16 67/21 |
| 62/17 62/20 63/8 | 30/5 30/6 | trip [1] 24/11 |
| 64/6 64/8 64/12 | tide [1] $41 / 5$ | true [4] 17/7 27/3 |
| 64/14 64/16 64/17 | tie [1] 40/14 | 38/18 79/9 |
| 65/4 65/22 69/18 | time [28] 5/18 5/23 | Trump [1] 54/8 |
| 61 72/13 72/18 | 6/9 8/9 14/13 14/15 | try [3] 32/18 65/10 |
| 73/7 75/16 76/5 | 16/11 17/5 17/6 18/3 | 73/7 |
| 76/10 76/13 | 19/7 21/10 23/2 | trying [12] 8/15 8/17 |


| T | $\mathbf{U}$ | 62/24 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trying... [10] 16/24 | Um [1] 76/24 | 67/24 70/4 70/25 |
| 17/3 20/16 30/8 34/8 | Um-hmm [1] 76/24 | 73/5 73/6 73/18 |
| 35/4 40/24 41/2 42/7 | unabated [1] 7/17 | upper [3] 5/14 12/12 |
| 46/15 | unanimity [1] 73/9 |  |
| turn [2] 42/4 44/16 <br> twice [1] 30/6 | unbelievably [2] $31 / 1134 / 20$ |  |
| twice [1] 30/6 two [59] 6/2 7/7 |  | 19/8 20/19 21/9 26/2 |
| 12/11 13/16 13/23 | uncomfortable [1] | 26/4 41/15 42/12 |
| 14/7 15/20 16/13 | 71/8 | 43/7 43/17 44/11 |
| 16/24 17/2 18/13 | under [6] 6/21 11/9 | 48/7 48/9 60/10 |
| 31/4 31/6 33/17 | 32/7 44/25 54/4 | 62/10 62/11 62/14 |
| 34/15 35/9 35/10 | 74/15 | 62/14 62/17 75/18 |
| 35/15 35/15 36/10 | understand [15] | 76/5 |
| 36/22 36/24 37/7 | 7/21 9/10 10/6 12/7 | use [37] 8/21 9/6 |
| 38/21 38/22 41/10 | 16/4 23/11 25/12 | 16/25 20/4 20/6 20/9 |
| 41/22 45/8 46/9 | 32/23 33/13 53/25 | 20/12 20/12 32/7 |
| 49/11 49/15 49/16 | 58/11 62/6 65/6 | 39/23 44/15 45/14 |
| 50/19 54/14 55/8 | 67/10 77/11 | 48/12 51/11 51/11 |
| 56/3 56/4 58/10 | understanding [3] | 52/12 52/14 52/15 |
| 58/14 58/24 59/2 | 12/21 34/14 49/12 | 52/16 54/6 54/9 |
| 59/3 59/5 59/17 | underutilized [1] 9/5 | 54/11 54/16 54/16 |
| 59/21 60/15 61/15 | underway [1] 37/12 | 54/17 54/18 54/19 |
| 61/16 62/4 62/25 | unit [4] 15/5 34/17 | 54/20 54/25 55/12 |
| 63/18 65/19 66/4 | 50/25 55/2 | 55/18 66/23 68/25 |
| 67/23 68/3 68/24 | units [16] 12/11 | 70/10 74/8 74/13 |
| 72/10 73/13 | 16/13 17/16 46/6 | 74/15 |
| two-acre [10] 8/11 | 47/6 49/15 49/16 | used [3] 8/23 45/14 |
| 13/16 13/23 18/13 | 50/20 51/19 59/7 | 62/18 |
| 35/9 35/10 35/15 | 60/14 62/4 62/5 | uses [13] 5/13 14/ |
| 35/15 36/22 36/24 | 62/20 62/24 68/24 | 39/25 39/25 45/16 |
| two-acres [1] 14/7 | unless [2] 35/24 56/7 | 49/22 51/10 53/23 |
| two-families [1] 6/2 | unlike [1] 36/2 | 53/24 54/7 58/20 |
| two-family [6] 7/7 | until [2] 29/20 70/15 | 62/13 62/23 |
| 15/20 16/24 17/2 | up [19] 4/24 6/3 8/25 | V |
| 41/10 54/14 | 10/7 19/6 24/2 25/11 | vacant [3] 8/22 14/2 |
| two-stories [1] 31/6 | 26/23 37/18 46/18 | 65/3 |


| V | 73/7 73/11 73/18 | 65/23 71/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vacuum [1] 42/25 | 75/3 76/20 77/6 | website [1] 70 |
| variance [8] 19/23 | voted [2] 24/13 76/23 |  |
| 20/5 20/7 20/9 20/13 26/3 36/6 58/6 | voted [2] 24/13 76/23 voting [3] 66/16 | 31/24 39/3 40/9 41/9 $43 / 2352 / 353 / 13$ |
| various [1] 30/25 | 66/19 76/25 | 63/16 67/13 |
| venture [1] 41/21 | W | nt [1] 65/21 |
| verbalize [1] 65/12 | want [10] 11/15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { were [23] } 5 / 16 \text { 6/2 } \\ 6 / 66 / 146 / 156 / 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| very [10] 10/18 20/5 | 18/23 26/19 26/19 | $7 / 37 / 38 / 158 / 16$ |
| 21/9 21/16 23/15 | 33/3 33/10 42/6 | 9/19 10/16 11/19 |
| 23/16 24/10 32/20 $46 / 2269 / 18$ | 43/25 76/9 77/8 | 16/7 25/24 28/3 30/7 |
| 6/12 | wanted [3] 17/21 | 30/10 30/14 31/12 |
| viewing [1] $36 / 3$ | 20/15 30/4 | 31/13 34/22 35/3 |
| village [53] $1 / 21 / 18$ | wants [2] 11/8 75/21 | what [99] |
| 1/19 3/2 4/22 5/16 | warned [1] was [84] | what's [4] 21/23 |
| 6/13 7/15 7/21 7/22 | wasn't [3] 20/20 | 41/17 62/15 69/11 |
| 9/5 9/9 9/10 10/8 | 29/10 68/9 | whatever [3] 15/3 |
| 10/12 10/18 11/8 | wasted [1] 14/24 | 19/6 |
| 11/9 11/13 19/13 | Waterfront [1] 67/5 | whatsoever [2] 8/2 |
| 19/16 19/19 21/21 | wave [1] 7/14 | 9/12 |
| 21/23 22/2 23/14 | way [20] 7/8 17/13 | when [23] 5/16 6/2 |
| 23/15 23/19 23/24 | 18/22 22/22 30/15 | 8/4 11/16 11/23 |
| 25/11 30/9 31/11 | 31/14 31/19 31/19 | /24 15/9 15/14 |
| 31/14 31/18 40/15 | 34/11 47/5 58/12 | 28/23 30/12 30/25 |
| 40/17 40/21 40/23 | 60/13 65/17 68/2 | 46/19 49/9 49/16 |
| 41/7 42/5 48/15 | 71/24 72/19 73/8 | 57/16 58/11 59/22 |
| 55/10 56/21 58/25 | 75/22 76/5 79/14 | 62/8 62/18 64/20 |
| 59/19 63/13 66/5 | ways [2] 30/8 36/2 | 67/16 67/23 75/24 |
| 66/8 66/10 70/7 70/8 | ways [2] $30 / 836 / 2$ | where [25] 8/10 13 |
| 74/7 74/14 | WC [2] 68/14 we [124] | 13/5 13/20 17/18 |
| Village's [2] 49/19 |  | 18/18 18/20 19/4 |
| 49/21 |  | 37/5 39/9 39/12 |
| virtually [1] 8/10 | 25/23 31/16 31/16 | 39/17 40/13 43/6 |
| virtue [1] 42/10 | 42/4 42/7 46/15 | 43/7 47/18 48/21 |
| vote [13] 23/10 29/2 | 56/23 56/24 56/25 | 50/24 51/6 54/16 |
| 66/14 71/6 72/7 73/6 | 57/4 64/5 64/6 64/9 | 67/14 67/16 67/25 |

where... [2] 70/2
74/14
wherein [1] 50/19 WHEREOF [1] 79/16 Whereupon [3]
33/23 69/14 70/19 whether [13] 9/22
12/10 12/22 33/12 41/14 44/3 44/12 44/13 44/14 45/8 59/8 62/24 64/2 which [35] 5/25 7/24 8/4 9/9 9/11 11/24 13/15 14/8 26/6 30/7 31/3 35/7 39/23 41/22 41/23 43/17 45/10 48/3 49/15
50/11 50/12 50/14 52/12 53/6 53/22 54/3 54/15 55/5
68/15 70/3 70/9 74/4 74/16 74/17 75/5 while [1] 57/14 White's [1] 8/23 who [3] 23/25 36/2 42/6
whole [3] 52/4 52/5 62/3
whom [1] 25/4 why [8] 12/4 16/18 16/19 16/23 20/14 31/7 62/6 63/15
wide [1] 6/17 will [8] 23/14 31/24 43/25 44/16 75/5 75/9 76/7 76/19
willing [7] 28/19
43/21 44/8 65/7
73/17 73/18 73/19
WINGATE [2] 1/19
67/4
wisdom [3] 6/8 6/8 6/19
wise [1] 30/18
withdraw [1] 68/5 withdrawing [1] 57/6 withdrawn [4] 2/7 2/9 4/11 69/9
withdrew [1] 4/17
within [5] 10/11
23/20 74/6 79/8 79/9
without [6] 23/17
31/15 36/6 42/16 48/16 68/8
WITNESS [1] 79/16 won't [2] 4/19 40/25
wonderful [1] 55/10
word [5] 44/2 48/24
54/19 54/20 65/8
wording [1] 73/15
words [6] 17/21 18/2
33/3 40/6 41/21 46/10
work [1] 34/2
working [4] 7/21
10/21 11/18 12/3
works [1] 19/25
worried [2] 56/24 56/25
worse [2] 7/13 23/18
would [60] 7/10 7/25
8/9 8/11 9/8 10/8 10/17 12/11 14/6

14/14 15/5 15/14
15/15 15/20 16/15
16/19 16/23 17/23
19/11 19/20 20/12
21/5 21/5 21/15
22/24 25/9 26/8
29/17 31/20 32/22
35/14 35/17 36/8
37/14 41/21 46/8
46/21 54/14 55/23
59/25 60/14 60/15
60/19 61/12 63/13
64/2 64/3 65/7 65/10
66/16 66/19 68/5
69/8 70/22 71/2 73/8
74/9 75/20 75/20 76/10
wouldn't [5] 16/14
20/9 48/25 54/18 57/13
write [3] 71/18 73/16 73/19
writing [2] 59/12
71/8
written [2] 23/21 38/25
wrong [2] 49/13 61/15
wrote [4] 17/13
17/14 20/20 23/2
Y
Yeah [1] 10/2
year [3] 5/22 55/10 56/21
year-round [1] 5/22
years [6] 5/15 7/11
20/19 23/6 30/7
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