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## (*The meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. *)

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Welcome to the Village of Greenport Planning Board meeting. This is a Work Session and Regular Meeting; it's February 24th, approximately 4 p.m..

Item No. 1 is a motion to accept and
approve the minutes of the January 27th, 2022
Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting.
Can I have a second to this motion?
MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: A11 in favor?
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Motion carries. (Approved

- VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Buchanan).

Item No. 2 is motion to schedule the combined Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting for 4 p.m. on March 31st, 2022. Do I have a second on that?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Al1 in favor?
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Meeting is so scheduled (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Buchanan).

Item No. 3-19 Front Street. This is a motion to accept the Findings and Determinations for 19 Front Street. This property is located in the (W-C) Waterfront Commercial District and is not located in the Historic District. And SCTM 1001-5.-4-29. Do I have a second to this motion?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Al1 in favor?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The Findings and Determinations are so approved (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 Not Present: Member Buchanan).

Item No. 4-817 Main Street. This is a continued discussion on the Pre-Submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for March 31st, 2022, regarding the site plan application of Peter Saitta.

The applicant proposes a conversion of one-story existing non-conforming attached garage back to residential space as part of the first floor of the existing residence. The space is
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proposed to then be utilized as a physician's (dermatologist) office. Proposed site improvements include the following: New plantings, fences and gates, a driveway and parking area, on-grade paths and walkways, an in-ground swimming pool, a fountain, exterior lighting and repairs to the existing arbor. This property is located in the R-1 One Family Residential District and is also located in the Historic District. It's Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-2.-1-25.

Okay. So --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chair, if I may?
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just before you
start the discussion. I don't -- I'm just going to formerly introduce the Planning consultant, Laura Feitner from LKMA. She had prepared some remarks or comments on this preliminary, very preliminary application. I know that it was sent out a little bit last minute, we only finalized the relationship between LKMA and the Village recently, so that's why they came out so late.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I just wanted you to

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
be aware of that.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Well, thank you. And welcome, Laura --

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: -- to our Board Meeting.
The -- I did receive the application. I took a chance to review it and I thought it was a good -- from my perspective, it's a good outline for addressing, you know, any issues with this application that may exist. And is -- are you here for the applicant?

MS. LAU: I am, yes.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Do you want to announce yourself, please?

MS. LAU: Yes, absolutely. My name is Olivia Lau, here on behalf of Heitter Houstoun Architects, we're the architect for Peter Saitta at this property.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. LAU: So, I was here at the last time, you guys are familiar faces. So, I wanted to know if you want to take the lead or if you have any follow-up questions from the previous meeting.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah. What I think I'm
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going to -- I'm going to suggest is I'm going to go through Laura's memo to the Board, because it's very -- it kind of pinpoints some specific things that we wanted to see how are they going to be addressed, so -- and whether or not you can answer them or not or you need to do research to find out.

MS. LAU: Sure. And just to be clear, this memo was issued to the Board but not to --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Just the Board.
MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You haven't seen it.
MS. LAU: Right, of course.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I don't mean to b1ind-side you. These are very -- they're very clear questions.

So, one of the first is making sure that you're going to be creating an office in this garage, you're converting it into an office, and we want to make sure that the office or studio is incidental to the residential use of the premises and is carried on by a resident thereon and not more than two non-residential assistants.

So, I believe at the prior meeting it was made clear that it was the owner who was going to
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be practice -- it's his practice, right?
MS. LAU: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. And do you know if there's going to be no more than two assistants?

MS. LAU: Yes, no more than two assistants; that's correct.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay, good.
The second issue that has been brought up by Laura's memo is that according to Code, that this space can't be -- cannot combine more than $30 \%$ of the area of the ground floor of the main building. I think -- I think based on this analysis that we've seen, if you don't include the pergola space it falls within that requirement, it's about 26\%; but if you do include the pergola space it might exceed the $30 \%$. So I guess the question is what will the pergola space be used for; do you know?

MS. LAU: The pergola space is outdoor space open to the air. It's actually existing, so there's an existing pergola there, we're repairing it. So that space is just for a waiting porch and sort of like outdoor activity. It's really just incidental space not used for any particular function.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: When you say a waiting porch, you mean for patients, a waiting porch?

MS. LAU: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. LAU: If patients decide to wait outside in, you know, nice weather.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Yeah, it's kind of a tricky question, right, whether or not you would -- we require to include that space or not in the calculation. Is it --

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: It would seem to me that if it's used to support the use in any way, shape or form it should be part of the calculation. And it's also hard to dissect the pergola area from the existing space, so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Let's assume that's the case; does that mean we have to get a variance through Zoning?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It would be over the $30 \%$ which would trigger a requirement to get a variance.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MS. LAU: I would like to see the section of the Code that specifies the outdoor open air pergola space as part of an accessory structure.
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I don't believe there is anything in the Village Code that specifies this. So we are considering the pergola space as outdoor, part of the outside landscaping. The pergola itself is a structure but, you know, the waiting porch underneath, open to the air is something that we're considering part of the landscape.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, from the Village -- if I may?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: From the Village's perspective, if that space is -- and it is designated on the plans to be used as part of the office, it certainly is part of the operation of the doctor's office and an expansion of the floor space, essentially, of that office which, you know, again, would drive it to be above the $30 \%$ from what $I$ can tell.

MS. LAU: Well, we're also happy to provide you a look at the calculations and show compliance that it is within $30 \%$, because --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Even including the pergola space?

MS. LAU: Right, that would be accessory, but garage structure is well below $30 \%$.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That'11 be the best way to resolve this so you don't have an issue.

MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It's kind of out of our hands as a Board to make that determination. It's really -- frankly, it's the Building Department's call of how to characterize it. So, I think if you could do that, I think that would be the best way to solve that particular point.

MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: Can I just ask one question while we're on this point?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: I thought -- and maybe it was just Historic. I thought that they had to go get a variance anyway, or was that not the case?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It was -- they modified the plans to eliminate the need for a variance and it -- if it was on prior plans as a waiting porch we missed it. I don't recall it being on prior plans.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. And then -- but they have gotten the HPC approval in order to --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: HPC approva1,
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correct, yes.
MEMBER HAMMES: Sorry, I just wanted to make sure that that --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Are you saying if the pergola was on this -- was on prior plans?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, the pergola was on; the use of the pergola was not noted.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Oh, okay.

## ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If it was I missed

 it.CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
Okay, the next point to address is that the equipment that's being used in the practice, is it capable of causing interference with radio or television reception in the neighborhood, in which case it shall be prohibited unless also equipped with means to prevent such interference. Do you know the answer to that?

MS. LAU: To the best of my knowledge, the equipment would not interfere with any sort of radio television signals. It's, you know, dermatology equipment, most of it is portable. We don't anticipate any sort of interference.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Could you get the applicant to confirm that?
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MS. LAU: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
The next deals with the parking. So, you've got -- for one doctor's office space you need five parking stalls, and I think you've got five. And then you have two residential stalls which are required per one residential unit. One is I think in the old driveway, and the other one is kind of hidden beside the back exit which used to be the exit way, I guess it's now become an alternate entrance, right?

MS. LAU: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. So, Laura has pointed out some interesting things and I think that we have to address these points.

The parking stalls provided are not all usable as one of the stalls is blocking the walkway/accessible route to the office entrance and does not appear to have sufficient backup space.

Point two, the applicant -- application must comply with the American Disabilities Act provisions contained within New York State Building Code for accessible parking. I take it that the Building Department is handling that?
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We11, ultimately we would address that specific issue.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But as it's laid out currently, it doesn't -- it doesn't get to that point to review it.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Got it. And third, the existing site contains two different areas for traffic turnaround on-site which minimized the need for vehicles to back into Main Street and on Web Street. The proposed site plan eliminates both of those turnaround areas and has the potential to impact conditions on adjacent roadways.

So put aside the ADA issue, but can you address those two other two points?

MS. LAU: Like sufficient turnaround space for the cars?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sufficient turnaround space and also the ability to -- the blocking of the walkway by one of the stalls.

MS. LAU: Yes. So, we can revise the -- we can look at the site plan and create an extension for the walkway in order to not block that entrance off of the parking area. That is
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something that, you know, we are taking a look at.

In terms of the turnaround space, you know, there is -- we believe there is sufficient space for cars to back out of their individual parking space, turn around and then proceed on to -- out to Web Street.

In terms of the parking space off the Main Street driveway, you know, it's a one-family house, there's a single occupant. We were anticipating, you know, a lot of -- the applicant coming in and out of his own residence for -- you know, a lot of times that would be disruptive to the Main Street traffic. And we didn't see anything in the Village Code that outlined specific turnaround clearances for a single-family residence parking space.

So this is something that we can take a closer look at. But as it stands we are providing sufficient spaces for the residents, himself and the five spaces for his practice.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: So is there a total of six spaces?

MS. LAU: There's a total of seven.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
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MS. LAU: So the five spaces for practice within the existing drive, one space is being provided by the driveway off of Main Street, and there's an alternate residential space off of Web Street in the back.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, as a Code question, what is that? Is there -- you know, on the one hand that's Main Street, right? It's very busy, so I need to think, gosh, pulling out of there, backing out into Main Street; but on the other hand there's got to be --

MEMBER HAMMES: A11 those houses.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: There's so many driveways that are set up that way, all along Main Street.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we discussed that a little bit. But $I$ think the point that's being made here is that the existing site has the ability to drive straight through and out.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Now that's being eliminated at their -- you know, because that's what they wanted. And that is the only -something for you to consider, essentially.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I agree that there's no specific code, I don't know that there would.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But, yeah.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: If I may, Mr. Chair?
I think what's important here is that the Planning Board does have that -- that is discretion for the Planning Board, right. So the Code in 130-A, you know, specifically gives the Planning Board the power to sort of evaluate all of these changes to the site as an impact and to consider how they're going to impact the roadways, the interested neighbors.

And one of the big things that we really need to know a lot more about is we need to know a lot more about the operation that the physician is proposing here. And since the applicant can't -- the owner is not with us tonight and there's other information, perhaps he might want to just submit a letter to the Board. There's a number of questions in here. Paul, is it a practice we would be providing this to the applicant?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, normally we would if we had a little more time with it, certainly.
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MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Right.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And I know that that -- I will note that there are going to be some changes to this particular --

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- pre1iminary; once those changes are done I'11 submit them to the applicant. That should be done in the next few days, I would suspect.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But not substantive changes, more format and the like, so.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah. So I think what's important to understand is, you know, we'd like to know more about, you know, how many total staff; the type of staff that he's hiring; the hours of operation; how many patients he intends to see on any given day of the week; is he going to be operating all days of the week; how long does each patient stay on-site?

So, you know, in order for the Board to consider how many cars are actually going to be really using the parking lot at one time or how many cars could be trying to access the site over, you know, a given period of time, it's
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really necessary for the Board to have that information in order for them to determine whether or not this change to the traffic pattern is going to create an impact.

So, it's simply I pointed it out as a change. Right now it seems to me that there's, you know, ample ability for people to not have to back into Main Street if they don't want to and -- but that doesn't mean they always did, I mean.

But the one thing that I will also be asking to see on the plan, which I think will help the Board evaluate the potential for impacts, is it is a requirement of the Code that you show all the adjacent driveways, so there's an adjacent driveway to the Web Street entrance, there's an adjacent driveway to the Main Street entrance. And also, Web is a one-way street, so I do think that that should be noted on the site plan as well.

So I think what we basically are, you know, looking for tonight is that there's just a lot of unknowns right now so it's hard to actually quantify whether or not -- I mean, this is a non-conforming building, it's only three feet off
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the property line. Without knowing the level of activity that's going to be going on in the -- in this physician's office, it would be hard for the Board to evaluate if that's going to be an impact to the adjacent residence. It's only three -you know, it's on1y a few feet away.

So, those are the -- it would be, I think, beneficial to the applicant if they could perhaps in a statement to the Board if they can't appear before the Board himself.

MS. LAU: So you would be looking for driveways of the adjacent property owners and their proximity to our property.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: There are two that are within, like, you know, eight -- well, two that are within eight to two ten feet.

MS. LAU: Okay.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: So the one on the Main Road just to the south of it and the one on Web Street just to the west of it are within a few feet; so those two. I mean, the Code requires to show all driveways in a certain amount, but I think we'd be concerned with ones that they could actually conflict with, so.

But yes, that would be something that -- so
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I think once -- and then also I think there is enough site plan issues. But the stall that we're talking about, the same stall is blocking the walkway that can't back up. So I don't see a way with the current site configuration you're going to be able to fit five stalls here, so that's why I think we suggested that you may want to work directly with the Village staff to come up with a site plan that may be -- you know, I think you're going to have to change some things in order to fit the required parking. We don't want to say out of turn that you can't meet the required parking and send you to Zoning, you know, we give you the opportunity to adjust the plan so that you wouldn't have to go to Zoning.

MS. LAU: Okay.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: But -- and just to -Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Is it okay if I continue?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Absolutely, yeah.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Okay. So, I just wanted to go back to the pergola use, if that's okay?
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: So, I just thought that that was, again, something that could be -the owner could talk about the usage of that pergola. Because I think if it's not something like -- for example, is he going to let his kids store their bikes there? Are you going to have this -- you know, will his wife be able to sit and have her tea there? If it can't be used by the residents, it's hard to make an argument that it's not part of the professional office space.

So, I think that is -- you know, while the Code has some gray areas, that's why we have boards and they are certainly, you know, able to consider the information presented. So I think that we do need a lot more information about that pergola and how it's going to be used, both for the residents and the office and how that's going to be distinguished.

MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But I think her point was that their measurements show that even if you assemble as part of the office they still are under 30\%.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: No, I --
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MS. LAU: We can do that.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'11 be honest, I don't see how that math would work.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Oh, okay.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: I think the only way you could do it is removing the pergola, but you've already been to the Historic Preservation Commission so I'm not sure how that would effect their -- that vote approval and that would mean going back there or not; I don't know if the pergola is an historic part of this.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They consider al 1 aspects of the property, so they would have to go back.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I must say, it would be, frankly, a shame, from my perspective, to have to go to Zoning just because of this pergola issue and its ambiguous usage. So, I mean, if you can demonstrate that it's not strictly going to be for patients but it's going to be available, really, for the residents, you know, maybe there's a way to not incorporate it into the calculation.

MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That's the -- I think what
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the message is here.
MS. LAU: So, as you know from the drawings, the outdoor walkway would be up to, you know, probably like a third of the pergola space and that's where the entrance into the office would be. You know, I'11 just throw this out here. If we were to, say, put up some sort of fencing to separate the office entraps from the rest of the pergola space and, you know, that would prevent any such patients from using the remaining part of the pergola space. Would that be satisfactory?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I think it would from my perspective, yeah.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, I think the on1y question is whether or not that requires going back to HPC at that point.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: I don't know the answer to that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But going through HPC is a lot more efficient than going through Zoning.

MEMBER HAMMES: Oh, that's for sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But it would also have -- it would also -- if I may. Whatever

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
remaining -- whatever that space is still needs to be included in the calculation.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right, I think so.
MS. LAU: Okay, so we'11 take a look at the calculations. If the whole pergola space exceeds the $30 \%$ we'11 take a look at putting up some sort of barrier fencing to prevent patients from utilizing the entire pergola space, and we can also look at some other options with the owner.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So I have one question. On the driveway onto Main Street for the residents, is it -- is it possible to create a turnaround due to landscaping in the front?

MS. LAU: It is possible if we remove some of the landscaping. That is not something that we discussed with the owner. But, you know, to go -- to fold in on the point about the existing property having a driveway that goes through from front to back up. One of the main aspects of the new landscaping pl an and sort of the element that, you know, the owner and the designer would really appreciate is that we are increasing the amount of permeable area on the site. So, removing a lot of the unsightly asphalt and really improving the aesthetics and usage of the
property with the increased landscaping.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So as a practical matter, I think going back to what you were describing in terms of the initial use or lack of use of the front driveway; are you anticipating that what'11 happen is it'11 just be $99 \%$ of the time there'11 be parking in the back.

MS. LAU: Yes, right, park in the back or park, you know, at the front and we don't really anticipate a lot of vehicle activity at the front on Main Street.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah. I mean, I think our concern, maybe to put it a different way, is that people come speeding down. I live on Main Street, people come speeding down Main Street, I would never want to have to back out onto Main Street, for my own safety as well as for the people that are out there. And so, notwithstanding that nobody can say for sure that the prior owners weren't backing out; I'm fairly confident that they weren't. And so --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Especially where --
MEMBER HAMMES: -- we set it up so that when that space gets used there's no choice but to back it out, that becomes a serious safety
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issue, in my mind, on Main Street.
MS. LAU: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: And so while I appreciate the aesthetics and, you know, getting rid of some of the asphalt and all that and that's great, I don't know that that can be done at the cost of a safety, a serious safety issue which I do believe is the case with that -- a driveway, you know, somebody trying to back out of a driveway at that point. Because I believe that's also pretty close to the intersection across the street. You don't just have Main Street traffic -- no. Well, not just that, Monsello or whatever it is that's coming out.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, but it's also --
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: And the hospital traffic, that goes through there, so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It's right before you hit the fork. It's a very --

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't -- I mean, I really -- I personally have serious concerns about there not being either a turn -- ability to turn around or a way for whoever is parked there to get out through the Web Street entrance.
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MS. LAU: Okay. And I hear those concerns, absolutely.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I think the recommendation is just work with the Planning Board to come up with something acceptable.

MEMBER HAMMES: Zoning.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I'm sorry; yeah, don't go to the Planning Board.
(*Laughter*)
MEMBER KYRK: I have a question about -and this may just be an artifact, but it shows on this diagram you'll see the wetlands, we see the setback from the wetlands. But it seems to me that on the very lot that we're talking about there's a tiny square pink which according to the legend is of environmental concern, or what does it exactly say; significant natural communities, and I have no idea whether this -- because I didn't check it until after I got away from -- I don't know whether this is a pre-notifact or whether it actually does show a tiny square.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: So this is -- the square here is to indicate -- is to indicate that that's the address that I looked up.

MEMBER KYRK: It should be (inaudible).
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MS. FEITNER CALARCO: But you are correct that --

MEMBER KYRK: (Laughter) X marks the spot or something.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Right. Yeah, I know. There are -- I mean, if you turn on the New York State DEC Environmental Mapper, pretty much al1 of Suffolk County shows in light pink as significant active communities --

MEMBER KYRK: Agreed.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: -- and I believe it's primarily due to like the long-eared bat, osprey, a lot of birds.

MEMBER KYRK: Eastern box turtles.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: The box turtles, yeah. So, I am sure between the proximity of the wetlands and the waterways here that they are probably some species --

MEMBER KYRK: (Inaudible)
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah. But I don't think that there's anything that would rise to the level of concern with this application.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah, I really wasn't making a judgment so much as trying to understand what was presented in front of me.
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MS. LAU: Yes, yes. I -- we can go over some of these things perhaps after the meeting and talk about different materials that you would like or not like me to provide you.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah. No, I just like it designated in a color other than what's on the 1 egend.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: (Laughter) Yes. Right, that makes sense. I can understand -- I can understand the --

MEMBER KYRK: A11 right.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah, it would be nice if it just labeled the address. Like I searched for the address, it puts the dot there and it would definitely be nicer if it just labeled the address or like you said, $X$ marks the spot.

MEMBER KYRK: Okay, no worries.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Unfortunately I can't control that.

MEMBER KYRK: Yep.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So, Laura, do you want to also just weigh in on what you referred as 150-30D regarding showing underground utilities and any existing proposed outdoor lighting?
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MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah. So, as it was mentioned at the start of this meeting, we're very new to the Village, we only just had a brief period of time to take a look at this plan. But I noticed, you know, there was no underground utilities. You talk about the changes to the impervious surface but there's no drainage calculations, there's no calculation of the, you know, impervious surface, there's no -- I don't even believe I saw a mention of what type of pavement you're going to be using. All of these things are things you're going to need to have in a plan for final consideration. So, rather than do a detailed review of this plan, once I saw that there were some issues with the parking stalls and things might need to be configured, I thought it would be more appropriate to give you guys a chance to work out an overall layout that worked and in so doing that to just make sure you go through the Code. The Code is very clear but I am going to be thoroughly reviewing the next reiteration of this plan for all of the items within 150-30 D which are lighting, parking, drainage. There's a laundry list of things there that the plan does do some of them. I mean, I
will say the plan, I think, did a very good job in trying to pull everything out of the Zoning Code and trying to point out, you know, where it was or wasn't complying. That zoning analysis page is very helpful, but the site plan does need to be more developed to the Planning Board's standards in order for them to fully consider all the impacts.

MS. LAU: So even though the applicant wil1 be decreasing the amount of impervious area, we would stil1 need drainage --

MS. LAU: I'm not saying -- you need calculations, that doesn't necessarily mean you're going to have to provide storage. The Village Code does not require a specific amount of storage, they just want you to demonstrate that your mode1 will not cause an impact to other properties; am I correct, Paul?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's correct, yes.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Right. So I think especially if you're saying -- if the Planning Board is trying to weigh the reduced turnaround area and you're with the reduction in an impervious area, we don't have anywhere to quantify what that reduction and impervious area
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is right now, but $I$ could see -- I didn't see this in the plan.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I didn't see that either.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Did you, Paul? Yeah.
MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Isn't one solution just to have a permeable surface for your driveway?

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Right, they just -they haven't told us what their surface of the driveway is. Do you typically ask people that?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sometimes we've asked them, sure.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: I think because of the use as a parking lot for quasi-commercial purposes we'd want to make sure that that was a sufficiently paved surface. And yes, there is permeable options, but they need to --

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But it could be that the front is impervious since it's just one car; if you like more permeable than the back could be more robust.

MS. LAU: Right. So as it stands now, both the back parking area and the front driveway are existing asphalt and our intent is to keep the
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existing asphalt drive and just remove the asphalt sort of past where it goes along the entire length of the property.

I've been, you know, bringing up the point about turnaround clearance for the cars, especially along Main Street, that's something we can take a look at if the owner would be open to, you know, having some sort of permeable surface for the cars instead of just covering it with more asphalt.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I have a question, Laura. Why -- why would you want the back parking lot -assuming we had a choice, why would you think making it paved is more appropriate? Just because it's a parking lot?

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Actually, I did say that -- well, I think there's a portion of Village Code, actually, that requires at least a hard surface I believe is the way that the Code reads.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I believe so. I'd have to double check, but $I$ believe so.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah. There's some -- like for parking areas for doctor's offices and uses like that, they want to make
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sure that it's at least -- I think it's like a sturdy dust-free surface, it says something along those lines in the Code. I've only been reading your Code for three or four days now, but.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Even in the context of an accessory use?

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: So -- but I think it -- again, I think this goes back to knowing how many cars we're going to see. If the owner turns around and says, Hey, you know, I only intend on using this doctor's office two days a week for six hours each day and I don't intend on having more than four patients each day, then I think it'd be perfectly reasonable for the Planning Board to recommend that pavement be, you know, a permeable, environmentally friendly surface.

If they turn around and they say, No, we're anticipating using this, you know, five days a week and maybe even Saturday morning and that there's going to be, you know, 20 cars coming and going every single day, the more cars backing up on the stuff, that's what you want to consider in terms of what type of surface you have there.

So I think until we have the statement from
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the owner about how many cars are going to be really accessing and utilizing the site, that's when we could then start thinking about how we should plan the site and design the site to support the use.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. LAU: And to your point, I just want to reiterate that the parking area for the physician is existing, dust-free aspha1t. It's already paved, so our intent is to keep that pavement where the five parking spaces are.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right. We understand.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Mr. Chair, I would just point out that what -- and I took a drive by the site as well. But what the pavement is shown existing, this is more that's on the plan. It's definitely a totally different shape and a more expensive pavement than is what is existing there, so this is not existing. I just want to point that out for the record, which is on the plan. It is an expansion of that back parking area.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. LAU: It's not significant.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Understood. Thanks for
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clarifying.
Okay. So, one other point from Laura's memo is to make sure that you all are consulting with the Suffolk County Department of Health Services in association with change of use from residential to medical office and for any necessary approvals to operate a medical facility. Has that been part of your review?

MS. LAU: No, we were not aware of the need to consult with Suffolk County at-large with the change of use. I mean, we are changing the use of accessory structure, but on the whole the entire property is remaining as a one-family residential use.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I may. I think the point is that they're using it as a medical office. I don't think the point was about the house or anything, it's about the medical office and I think that we can get the Suffolk County approva1 --

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah, it does.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- in any event.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: You do need to app1y --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Regardless if it's

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
accessory or not.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: -- to the Health Department.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Is there like a medical waste issue? Is there anything that's going to be coming out of there that needs to get picked up in a certain way?

MS. LAU: To the best of my knowledge no. It's a dermatologist office, we're not doing surgery, you know, there's no blood work or anything like that, so we were unaware of this requirement. We appreciate more information about it. We haven't gotten any sort of the comment that this was needed.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry; if I may.

On the site plan -- and I'm not familiar with what a dermatologist does, but I've talked to other people about it anecdotally. There's a MOSE $1 a b$ in there. My understanding is that's when you actually remove -- there are samples that are taken that do have to be picked up.

MEMBER HAMMES: (Inaudible) some kind of bio hazard even with a dermatology practice.

MS. LAU: Yeah, this is going to be another
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question for the owner as part of, you know, the operations day-to-day of their practice.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: And Mr. Chair, that's a very excellent point. Because we will need to know the additional, you know, traffic trips associated with any types of companies that might be servicing the office or how they intend to, you know, transport samples or dispose of the medical waste, if there's going to be recycling. You know, where are -- also, I know, you know, one of the things where are the waste containers for the -- this is all in part 150-30 days as well for the office itself, just even for the regular paper trash and things like that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay, thank you. Is there any other point you want to raise, Laura, from your memo? I thought I hit most of the points.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Yeah, I think -- like I said, I think until we have sufficient information from the applicant about more on the use, $I$ think we'11 be -- there'11 be more to discuss once we know more. So I think we probably -- unless there's anything that Mr. Pallas --
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, I'm good. Thank you.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: And I will clarify Suffolk County Department of Health Services is -- I mean, Suffolk County is a whole totally separate governmental agency and jurisdiction than the Village of Greenport and they are the ones who govern medical use, having a doctor's office. And even though the Village of Greenport has a Sewer Agency, right, you know, we connect into the sewer, you'11 have to -- you'11 have to get a letter of sewer availability from the Village of Greenport to provide to the Suffolk County Department of Health Services. So if you haven't consulted with them at all yet in terms of -- they calculate -- they calculate all square footage by its use, so they will consider the change of use of this square footage only because there's a sewer connection. It's not like you have to worry about a septic system or anything, but it is an approval that is required, as far as I understand, from the County Health Department. But you should consult with them and they will tell you all about what you need to do because that's separate from the Village of Greenport.
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MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Isn't that a -- are you referring to the septic requirements of Suffolk County?

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Well --
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Because this is not a septic system.

MS. FEITNER CALARCO: No, it's wastewater. But wastewater does get -- right, they don't need their --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I may. We get -we frequently get those requests from people and changes to their house or business --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- that the County would issue them all the time, you know, in the order of more than one a month that of someone would say we need a letter of availability from the Village for the sewer availability. And I would think that when they approach the County about getting approval for the site, that's going to be one of the things the County is going to look for.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh. Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's relatively
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routine but is necessary.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Part of the process, yeah. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And a condition to getting approval.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
MS. FEITNER CALARCO: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Well, there's some things that -- some more homework.

MS. LAU: (Laughter) Yes, there is.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Unfortunately. And I think at this time we have to table this pre-submission so you can get the paper work modified and get some answers back and we can go from there.

So at this point, if nobody else has any other questions or comments, I move that we continue this to the next meeting at March 31st.

MS. LAU: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Do I have a second?
MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So approved. Thank you very much.

MS. LAU: Thank you. You'11 be sending this memo to --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will send it to you as soon as it's finalized

MS. LAU: Okay. All right, thanks a lot.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If you would, on any future submittals just the site plan and relevant pages, not the building plans. The State is not looking for a permit at this stage.

MS. LAU: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay.
MS. LAU: Okay, great. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you.
Item No. 5 is a motion to adjourn. May I have a second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: A11 in favor?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Meeting is adjourned.
Thank you.
(*The meeting was adjourned at 4:41 p.m. *)
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