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(*The meeting was called to order at 6:01 p.m. *)
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Good afternoon. We1come
to the Village of Greenport's Planning Board.
This is a Work Session and Regular Meeting and it is Thursday, October 28, 2021 at approximately 4 p.m.

Item No. 1 is a motion to approve and accept the minutes of the September 30, 2021 Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting. Do I have second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Al1 in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Motion carries (VOTE: 3-0-0-1 - Not
Present: Member Reed Kyrk).
Item No. 2 is a motion to schedule the
combined Planning Board Work Session and Regular
Meeting for 4 PM on November 29, 2021. Paul, do you know what day of the week that is? Is that -- it's not a Thursday, obviously. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's a Monday.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It's a Monday?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay, thank you.
Do I have second on this motion?
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MEMBER HAMMES: Second
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I won't be able to makes it, but just as a head's up.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. But do you approve the motion?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sure, aye (7aughter).

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: Well, if she can't make it we'11 have to make sure that Reed can or we have a new member appointed because we will not otherwise have a quorum.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, that's why I figured I would mention it.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right. I mean, it's always subject to having a quorum.

MEMBER HAMMES: This goes back to the point I raised earlier.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah. Okay, the motion carries (VOTE: 3-0-0-1 - Not Present: Member Reed Kyrk).

Item No. 3, 124 Front Street. Oh, I'm
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sorry. Oh, yes, that is correct. Motion to accept the findings and determinations for 124 Front Street. This property is located in the C-R (Retail Commercial) District and is not located in the Historic District, SCTM 1001-4.-9-26. Do I have second to this motion? MEMBER HAMMES: Second. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Al1 in favor?
(*"Aye" Said in Unison"*)

The findings and determinations are so accepted and approved. (VOTE: 3-0-0-1 - Not Present: Member Reed Kyrk).

Item No. 4, 19 Front Street. This is a Pre-Submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for November 29, 2021, regarding the site plan application of Kathleen McDowel1. The applicant proposes to have outdoor seating in the rear of the building. This property is located in the W-C (Waterfront Commercial) District and is not located in the Historic District. SCTM 1001-5.-4-29. Is there anybody who would like to speak on behalf of the applicant at this time?
(No Response)
MEMBER HAMMES: I guess I wonder whether

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
she even knew that she had to be here. Because if you look at her submission it says to let her know if there's any additional paperwork. I don't know if she understood the process or not. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It was explained to the applicant.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. Well, I guess we'11 end up tabling this, but just one question, Paul, that maybe you might be able to answer for me to understand this.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
MEMBER HAMMES: Did this come about because she got a violation because she's already doing this in the back or was it just of her own?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I generally don't discuss any violations.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'11 1ook. But, I mean, there were -- there was some tables set up outside.

MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, my point was more it seems to me that -- I know she was using the \{parklets\} and the \{Parklets\} came down, so it's my understanding or my interpretation that she's moved some of that seating in the back to expand
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her seating, because I don't know whether she has indoor seating right now or not. But I would be interested, whenever we get around to discussing this officially in the Village's views in terms of other parts of the Village where there have been site plan modifications due to COVID that were never officially approved that are still in place because they weren't effected by the parklet's, what the Village's plans are with respect to those.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I can't answer that now. I mean, we are actively reviewing all of those and taking action where it's necessary.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We11, my question is the applicant's not here for the Pre-Submission Conference. Are we precluded from scheduling a public hearing or not?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't believe -- I don't believe there's any legal requirement or any legal impediment to you scheduling. It's really a question for the attorney, but it's not unprecedented that that's happened.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But this was up because it's in the Waterfront and it's a
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conditional use. I mean --
MEMBER HAMMES: I think it's a site plan modification.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's the site plan modification.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We11, how do you guys feel about just going ahead and scheduling it? Or would you rather continue to wait and talk to the app1icant?

MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I'm not going to want to make a decision on it until the applicant was here to answer questions. But I'm happy if she shows up to have a public hearing at the same time.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I guess I'm -- I mean, I -- you know, when Rob gets here I guess I could ask him this, but I am -- because this is kind of an outside area, I'm not quite sure how this works into Certificate of Occupancy and occupancy limits and all of that type of thing, which is not -- she's not going to be able to answer. But I guess to the extent particularly if some of these other site plan modifications
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that have happened around the Village related to COVID expansions come before us, I think we need to think about how we want to approach those with an overall impression. They're a little bit different than what we're normally doing when we're looking at an inside business that's coming in with a new business, whereas this is really modifications $I$ guess coming about because of COVID because it will be a permanent modification.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh.
MEMBER HAMMES: And I don't want to set precedent with respect to one realizing that we've got potentially several of these that may be coming before the Board at some point.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right. I mean, I guess what I'm wondering is if there's other restaurants that have taken over their parking area to become outdoor seating.

MS. MAHONEY: Can you speak closer to the microphone, please?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I was saying there are other -- like other places that have taken over their parking, what was parking, and then turned it into seating without site plan.
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MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah. See, I don't know if this was parking or not, that would be a question I would have for her.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: We11, I think it --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It was not parking MEMBER HAMMES: It wasn't? It was just like an empty space back there?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
MEMBER HAMMES: And probably feasibly can't be used for parking, I assume?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I believe that's correct because there's parking adjacent to it, there'd be no access. The cars would be blocked in if they used it as parking

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, I definitely will have questions about this particular one, but I have a bigger question in terms of some of these that are going to be a little different than what we're normally looking at because they're kind of an outdoor expansion of businesses and how that would be perceived. Because it's not even really a building code issue at that point, right?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: For this space it's
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not, in particular it's not. I mean, there are some elements in her write-up that would be building code but not site plan.

MEMBER HAMMES: Right. So like maybe the fire pit and things like that.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I may, in terms of -- with regard to the occupancy question specific, the exterior of the occupancy limits are much more liberal in an outdoor space just by its nature. There's no egress issues here because there's nothing -- there's no -- there's nothing enclosing it, so there's no --

MEMBER HAMMES: Well, I think she's proposing to put a tent at least over it, based on her write-up.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, over it, now -- which would end up as -- you know, if it's permanent -- that would be one of the questions, perhaps, that should be asked and I don't think we asked that, whether it's 365 days or half the year. If it's permanent that triggers different building code questions as opposed to temporary. And, you know, also that question is for you all in terms of the site plan. You know, if you put a tent, what comes next, you know?

MEMBER HAMMES: I'm just a little bit uncomfortable, not specifically with this proposal but with the direction of this whole thing I guess is my point

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, I tend to agree with you. I feel also the kind of application itself is a little flimsy and I think it's the kind of thing that having the applicant here to talk about it would be helpful. So I move to continue the Pre-Submission until the next meeting and not schedule the Public Hearing. Do I have second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
So moved.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just if I may, show one more item on this application. For the Board's information, we did request -- this is after several attempts to get information, this is as much as we were afforded.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I understand. That was no reflection on you at all.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I understood. I just want to make you aware of that so that when it comes back to the Board you understand that we
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did attempt. Sometimes it's hard to explain to people how much detail is actually needed. You know, they think, Well, I'm just putting some tables outside, and there's implications that go beyond that. So I just wanted to -- we are aware of that at the staff level.

MEMBER HAMMES: And there's no Village Code provisions that would specifically address this kind of expansion.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not -- no, it's all part of the site plan. It would all be covered under the site plan code

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Item No. 5, 817 Main Street, a Pre-Submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for November 29, 2021, regarding the site plan application of Peter Saitta. The applicant proposes a reversion of a one-story, existing, non-conforming, unconditioned garage back to a conditioned residential space. The space will be utilized as a physician's office. Proposed site improvements include the following: New plantings, fences and gates, a driveway and parking area, on-grade paths and walkways, an in-ground swimming pool, a fountain, exterior
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lighting, and repair to existing arbor. This property is located in the $R-1$ (One-Family Residential) District and is located in the Historic District. Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-2.-1-25. Would the applicant or somebody on behalf of the applicant like to speak at this time?

MR. HOUSTOUN: How are you?
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Good. Please announce your name and address.

MR. HOUSTOUN: Doug Houstoun from 15 West 36th Street, New York City. I'm the architect, Heitler Houstoun Architects

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Great. Would you -- is there anything you'd like to summarize about the app1ication?

MR. HOUSTOUN: Can I lead off with a question? I'm just curious about what of this application is bringing me to the Planning Board. I'm not exactly sure. Based on the Zoning Code, there's several things that trigger Planning Board approval; I'm not exactly sure what I'm here to defend or present.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh.
MEMBER HAMMES: I assume it's the site plan
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approval. It's not a conditional use, right, it's a site plan approval?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Me?
MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, it's simply a site plan approval. Typically, for changes to a residence it's -- we generally don't bring them here, but this is -- was an existing bed and breakfast that was approved by the Planning Board. It is now going to be become, for all intents and purposes, a mixed-use site within the -- within the R-2 Zone there are -- there's a parking lot being in our -- sorry, R-1. There's a parking lot being proposed as part of that for the office. So there's an intensity of use here that just cries out for a Planning Board review

MEMBER HAMMES: Right. But if I recall correctly, I don't have the Code in front of me, the Code does allow for professional offices in the residential area, right?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It is permitted. There -- in addition to what $I$ just stated, there will also be some variances that were triggered. It is in the Historic Zone. The HBC did already rule on parts of the application, but not the
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entire application. It went before HBC before you folks in error and this is -- it should have come here first. Regardless, it's here now and the agency still will need to review it for other pieces, as will the Zoning Board.

MEMBER HAMMES: One other question on this. So, under the Code, if something has been approved as a bed and breakfast and it ceases to be used in that way, does it automatically revert to a residential building?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's a question for your attorney. As -- from our perspective, staff perspective, it would have to be -- I believe would have to be affirmatively removed by you folks, particularly as it's being changed in this fashion.

MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, that just seems like kind of a no-brainer from my perspective. But I guess if --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Here we are.
MEMBER HAMMES: Paul, not to take over from you, but if it would have to go to ZBA and Historic we should probably start Lead Agency Status and have it moved on and then come back to us, right?
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right.
MR. HOUSTOUN: Are you Alex?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, I'm Paul.
MR. HOUSTOUN: Okay. Hi, Paul. Oh, we spoke.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, we did.
MR. HOUSTOUN: I think that the proposal we have in front of you is -- at least the intent of it is to be as-of-right, so I'm not as of yet aware of any variances that we would need based on the uses being proposed.

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't know if -- it's probably not a use variance, it's probably some kind of a -- I don't know. I'm not -- the Village would have to speak to what variances it's determined. But I would have thought that given the -- and my understanding of the Code is that in residential areas you can have a professional office as long as it's not stacked up per se.

MR. HOUSTOUN: That is a permitted accessory use.

MEMBER HAMMES: That is a permitted use. I wouldn't assume that this is a use variance, but again, the Village would have to speak to
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that from the Building Department's perspective. But just -- I mean, I guess the way this normally works is things come to the Planning Board, we take Lead Agency Status but we will wait, usually, until after the Zoning Board or the Historic Board has weighed in if they need to and the Village has advised us that they need to. That's usually our plan.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Where is it with Zoning, Pau1?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There will be area variances. I don't -- I'm not going to say affirmatively there's no use variance, but there definitely are area variances. I have to review the file --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- and see if we got the application necessary to go to the Zoning Board.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. So, just so you know, the procedure is whenever there is the Zoning Board also involved, it first goes to them and they clear it and then it comes to us. So, we can't schedule a public hearing until that process takes place.
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MR. HOUSTOUN: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: I would -- but would we even have to have a public hearing on this type of a proposal if we decided not to? Again, you're going to say that's a question for Rob.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That's a Rob question, yeah.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, yeah, that's definitely your attorney's question.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, okay. Well, I think that's something we should ask Rob about.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We will, yeah.
MR. HOUSTOUN: So learning more about what Paul said. I mean, maybe I can make a statement about the proposal, understanding what the concerns are?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sure.
MR. HOUSTOUN: So, the existing accessory structure, which is a garage currently; when the house was constructed it was a residence, it was converted to a garage, it's evident in the construction of the building. That garage is within the side yard setback, so it's an existing, non-conforming structure, so perhaps that may be the trigger to an area variance to
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continue that non-conforming building location.
You correctly stated that the professional office is a physician's office, is a permitted use as-of-right in an R-1 District. We also are looking for a swimming pool which is another permitted accessory use.

MEMBER HAMMES: That shouldn't be a Planning Board issue.

MR. HOUSTOUN: We're within all the setbacks of al1 the screening requirements, so we're going to prove compliance of the Zoning Code for that. Parking currently is a condition for the conditional use of the bed and breakfast.

It is also a requirement for a professional office which leads you into the Parking Zoning Code and it's five spaces per physician, one physician here.

MEMBER HAMMES: Do you know how many spaces were currently required for the bed and breakfast?

MR. HOUSTOUN: I don't exactly know what the application was, but I think there were four rooms in the bed and breakfast and I believe the bed and breakfast requirements are one space per room. But there was also the owner occupied the
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cellar level, and so $I$ don't know if that counted as a room so there would be five. But we're planning on using the existing parking size as it is now.

CHAIRMAN fOOTE: Right.
MR. HOUSTOUN: There was a previously existing screening requirement for the bed and breakfast, so presumably that still exists today. Although we're going to make some improvements on planting anyway, the pool will be screened, the parking lot will have more screening than it is currently right now.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So you're saying other than the existing one-story frame, all the other proposed improvements fall within the setback requirements of the Zoning Code; did you say that?

MR. HOUSTOUN: Yes, yes.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. And is the actual owner going to be the one practicing there? MR. HOUSTOUN: Yes, that's Dr. Peter Saitta that's on the application, yeah

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Well, thank you, that was good input. And at this time we'11 have to table it until we get -- it goes through
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Zoning.
MEMBER HAMMES: And Historic.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And we'11 take -- and we'11 also take the Lead Agency. Do I have second on that?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: A11 in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Okay, so moved. (VOTE: 3-0-0-1 - Not Present: Member Reed Kyrk). A11 right, thank you very much.

MEMBER HAMMES: So then you'11 need to discuss with the Village about the Zoning Board process.

MR. HOUSTOUN: Yeah. Is that the person to speak -- this is now the second meeting I've been to, asked to be at, that we've tabled and moved and changed the process. I, mean, I just want to get a clear path forward.

MEMBER HAMMES: So again, I think normally it would come to us, it shouldn't have gone to Historic in the first board -- in the first place. And then we would have been informed by the Village at that point that it needed to go to Historic and potentially the Zoning Board if
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they've made that determination, at which point we would do what we just did. So, unfortunately, the first meeting shouldn't have been scheduled. MR. HOUSTOUN: It should have been Zoning, the first meeting?

MEMBER HAMMES: No, because we take Lead Agency Status. Unfortunately, I think the process works that you have to come here first and then we will pass it over to ZBA and Historic. Although you should be able to run those I believe in tandem, but you would have to talk to the Village again about that.

And then once you've been through those processes, assuming you get the results that you need or make any changes that they require, you would come back to us and then at that point I think we would determine whether or not we need to have a public hearing; if we do it'll be a month from when we see you again to schedule the public hearing, unfortunately.

MR. HOUSTOUN: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: And then hopefully we'11 be able to decide at that point depending on the input that we receive.

MR. HOUSTOUN: Okay.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Paul, just -- just one other question. What is the reasoning why it's going to be going to Zoning? Is it because of the --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There are area variances involved here, there's also questions of square footage of the professional office space relative to the first floor which may require a variance as well.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. What are the area variances in question besides that one?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There would be setbacks, potentially, and the square footage use of the professional office space. As of now that's what our review has suggested.

MR. HOUSTOUN: And the square footage will be proven to be less than the $30 \%$ required, so I don't think we'll end up with a variance because I think that's per the Zoning Code. But I agree with Paul that if there was one it may be because of the intrusion to the side yard setback.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Of the existing structure, you mean?

MR. HOUSTOUN: The existing structure, existing in the side yard setback.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, I just -- if it's there it's existing. Any time you make a renovation to an existing structure that doesn't -- you have to go through Zoning?

MEMBER HAMMES: No, no.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But there's other structures proposed -- there's other structures proposed on the site that may be triggering as well, as well as a wall that's being built that would qualify as a structure which I believe encroaches on the side yard as well. There's this -- there's a lot going on on the site.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's taking us a little time to go through it all.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

MR. HOUSTOUN: Okay. See you again.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yep.
MEMBER HAMMES: Yep. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Item No. 6, 400 Main Street. This is a Pre-Submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for November 29, 2021, regarding the site plan application of Matthew Miche1. The applicant
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proposes to make various exterior modifications, per the attached letter. This property is located in the C-R (Retail Commercial) District and is located in the Historic District at Suffolk County Tax Map No. 1001-4.-7-24. Would the applicant like to speak at this time? MR. MICHEL: Hello. How are you? CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Hi. Could you just announce your name and address?

MR. MICHEL: Yes. Matthew Miche1, 2760
Yennecott Drive in Southold.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MR. MICHEL: So, we are proposing -- I guess I'11 just summarize kind of quickly what we're planning on doing.

Currently the lot is a one-story structure at 400 Main Street and about 3100 square feet. We are proposing demolition of the property. We did an exploratory demo a few months ago and it was determined by a structural engineer, and also confirmed by the architect and a builder, that the building as is is not really viable.

Just to get up to current energy and structural code, it would be a huge undertaking and a lot of money and the decision was kind of
made that the best decision is to demolish the building and put up a new structure. And that brings up a lot of positives, putting a new structure up will allow us to restore the street 1ine. Right now the building is set back a little bit towards the center of the property, not really offering that much of a view to the adjacent buildings. So we decided to pull the building forward a little bit to the front which still leaves a little space for Clarke's Garden to our north, and then also kind of pull the building, the new building towards Central Avenue which would, you know, improve that street line a little bit.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Is the new building, the main building, one-story?

MR. MICHEL: It's one-and-a-half stories on the restaurant portion, and then there's an adjacent building being proposed that's two retail spaces and two apartments above.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: When you say one-and-a-half stories, what do you mean by that?

MR. MICHEL: So, the single-story above it will be -- half of it will be, you know, a seating area for more space, some offices,
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bathrooms, and a partial outdoor area as well, like a terrace outside. And the other half would be like a green roof and there'11 possibly be some, you know, air compressors and things on the roof over on that section

MEMBER HAMMES: (Inaudible)
MR. MICHEL: Yeah, so that's the second story there.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, just looking at this, it looks to me like you are going to need some variances and Historic Board approval.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, so I think we had some questions maybe we needed some clarification about that just because it looked as if artist dwellings were allowed. And I -- and we saw nothing on the -- in the Code that didn't allow the retail spaces either; we could use some clarification.

Also, the square footage that we're proposing taking up I think falls under, you know, the $40 \%$ lot coverage.

MEMBER HAMMES: So your proposal is the apartments would be used as artist studios, not just general residential.
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MR. MICHEL: Yes.
MEMBER HAMMES: Because I believe the Code -- I don't have, again, the Code in front of me, I know there's a specific --

MR. MICHEL: There's specific rules for that, yeah. I think we would be willing to use them in that way, for sure. We weren't completely clear on what that meant, what an artist dwelling is versus a residential dwelling.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, I don't have the drawing; I don't have the Code with me, but --

MR. MICHEL: But that was the proposal. And then -- so as we bring the building over towards Central, that would eliminate the 7 to 10 parking spots that are there now, but it would create a curb, it would create a curb and a sidewalk there and seven street spots there. And then also on Main Street, closer to Clarke's Garden, there's a driveway that would be eliminated that would create two more parking spaces, I believe, as well. And we'd have an outdoor courtyard area as well as an outdoor bar that's shown on the plan.

MEMBER HAMMES: Paul, has the Village done their analysis on this?
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We're stil1 working on that. I do believe there will be -- I think there's going to be at least one variance on this, so. It has to do with proximity to the Residential District, I need to look through the Code a little more and speak with the Village Attorney a little more and get a little clarification on that, but it does appear that it would be -- need to be a variance for that; it's not a big deal but it's a variance nonetheless.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. I hate to ask this question but $I$ 'm going to go ahead and ask it. What about -- have you made a determination on whether this benefits from the grandfathering clause of the Code on parking?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Similar applications have been approved.

MEMBER HAMMES: Right, the one across the street was for sure.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct. So it's -yeah.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The Gallery Hotel?
MEMBER HAMMES: No, no, the -- \{Demarshali
\& Sushi building was never required to -- it used to be an auto mechanic shop --
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- and it was torn down and rebuilt and it was not subject to -- it was viewed as a grandfathering because it was practically the same area footprint.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just to be clear, using that as an example -- if may I, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: To use that as an example, there were specific spots allocated as part of that site plan approval on that prior application. I haven't looked at the application, the original application but it's whether the spots that are on the side of the building were part of the site plan, they would still need to be included.

The sites that are pointed out here as off-site parking, those are not just -- those aren't dedicated spots to this applicant, so they're just general use spots.

MEMBER HAMMES: Right, but they're not existing spots right now because of the way that property is --
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Because of the way that parking is, correct, yes.

MEMBER HAMMES: So, to some extent, I mean, it's like -- it's a pseudogenerous thing --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- because they are creating additional spots even though they are not specific to the property, right?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's correct.
MEMBER HAMMES: So your preliminary determination has been, though, that they won't require a parking variance?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It does not appear that way. I just need to verify the old application, whether there's any stipulation on there about that, but there will be likely one area variance, and just for the Board's information, will require Historic review as well.

MEMBER HAMMES: It will require what? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Historic, HPC.

MR. MICHEL: So, as the building stands now, we've sort of -- architecturally speaking the building really isn't contributing historically to the community, you know, right
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now. So, how do they move forward with that? Just because it's in the district, they need to know that the plans will meet certain expectations for the Village, correct?

MEMBER HAMMES: We11, I can't speak for the Historic Board, but having personally gone through it, I mean, if you're at the Historic Board, you have to go, they -- they look at what you're doing on the external basis until you give them feedback --

MR. MICHEL: Right, right.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- whether or not they think it's in line. I know they've done a lot of work recently on putting together guidelines --

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, that was my next question.

MEMBER HAMMES: -- so I suspect that you might want to get a copy of those guidelines (7aughter).

MR. MICHEL: Yes.
MEMBER HAMMES: Because I -- my preliminary take on your -- while I think the building looks nice that you're proposing, I suspect they're going to have a fair number of comments on it.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, we were thinking they

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
would, as far as guidelines go.
MEMBER HAMMES: (*Laughter*) Because -- I mean, $I$ suspect they're going to think it looks a little bit modern for the area, that's just my gut instinct taking a quick look at it.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I think it looks very nice, but --

MR. MICHEL: Yeah. We11, I think Vincent could speak on that a little bit, just in terms of moving forward with architecture. You're not going to be building a building from, you know, the same way you would in the 1900s, so naturally we've progressed.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yes, in construction.
MR. MICHEL: And as it stands now, I don't think anything was held to any certain standard. So, I'm just wondering how they'11 perceive it, you know.

MEMBER HAMMES: I can't -- I'm not on the Historic Board.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, yeah, right.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I have my gut instincts as to what the reaction will be, but --

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, yeah.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I think a good starting point is you need to come up with elevations. I know you have this one -- well, I guess Central Avenue, but $I$ think you need a ittle more detail and you should need Type I elevations on all three sides, particularly --

MR. MICHEL: We have the elevations on the survey, but you want them --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: In the application I'd like to see those.

MR. MICHEL: Okay.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, I would even like to see those.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: This is just very generic.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, put this on all sides like the other building. I mean, not this, but --

MR. MICHEL: Oh, The elevation building, yeah.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: With all three sides so you can really like imagine what they'11 all look like in the end.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But before you do that,
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you probably should talk to the Historic Board. MR. MICHEL: Of course.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: For a very strong opinion, as she was saying.

MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I'm not -- I don't really feel like it's our job to weigh in on the outside --

MR. MICHEL: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- additionals of this at this point because I think that's really the in Historic, since it is in the Historic District.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Wel1, but that's -- we have the right to have an opinion on it, the way it looks.

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't disagree.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right. So, I guess what this all means, unless you -- you guys have any further questions at this time?

MEMBER HAMMES: No. I mean, I -- you know, I think we need to go and review the Code on the studio apartments and give some thinking to that. Because as you know, housing is a big issue in the Villages right now --

MR. MICHEL: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- and I could tell you
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right now, I wouldn't want to see those become air BNBs (laughter).

MR. MICHEL: Yeah. I mean, I would -- I mean, I wouldn't either because I can't -- none of the people that work for me can't find a place to live, so I don't intend to turn those around into Air BNBs.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Are you amenable to making them available for affordable housing, those two units?

MR. MICHEL: Depending on what that means, I am amenable. You know, I don't know what the --

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't think there's any criteria in place for that right now.

MR. MICHEL: If there's no cri -- yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: But I think there are things that we could talk about if we get -- when we get to that point.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Would you have wanted to house your staff there if you could do whatever you wanted?

MR. MICHEL: I mean, I think that that might naturally happen --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Uh-huh.
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MR. MICHEL: -- in some case where I'd have to rent to staff who can't find places, I mean, that's one of the thoughts I have. I would agree that I would keep year-round tenants in there, a hundred percent.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah. To me I think they're like resident -- you'd want them to be resident restricted for sure.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah.
MR. MICHEL: Yeah, I'm not interested in running an Air BNB house, so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Good.
MEMBER HAMMES: I'm sure that as we go through the process, and particularly when we have the public hearing, as you can imagine there'11 be some further comments and questions.

MR. MICHEL: Sure.
MEMBER HAMMES: But it would seem prudent to me at this point to allow it to go to Historic and, to the extent it needs to, the ZBA and then come back to us after that's been waiting so we can kind of see where that all shakes out.

MR. MICHEL: Sure. And what was the item for Zoning, the main item that we were --
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I believe it's going to be proximity to the Residential Zone.

MR. MICHEL: Which, R-2, Jay, or --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
VINCENT: I think that the 10 -foot setback is a requirement and I think --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's not for this Board. We can discuss that after -- off-line, if you don't mind.

MR. MICHEL: No problem. But if you show it in the plan they still need to approve it because it's a change for --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Again, I --
MR. MICHEL: Okay (7aughter).
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There's other things we need to talk about with Historic as well.

MR. MICHEL: Yeah, no problem. Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So we'11 do that off-line.

MR. MICHEL: Great. A11 right.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, at a minimum, we'11 establish ourselves, again, as the Lead Agency on this application, so.

MR. MICHEL: Okay. I guess that is it, if you have no other further questions.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That's it for now.
MR. MICHEL: All right. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Great. Thank you very much.

MR. MICHEL: Appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yep.
Item No. 7 is a motion to adjourn.
Do I have second?
MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Meeting is adjourned.
(*The meeting as adjourned at 4:37 p.m. *)
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| 1 | 8 | air $[2]-27: 4,36: 2$ <br> Air $\left.{ }_{[2]}\right]-36: 7,37: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:22, 30:5, 31:17, } \\ & 33: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 2:17, 8:15, 11:25, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{[1]}-2: 7 \\ & \mathbf{1 0}_{[1]}-28 \end{aligned}$ | 817 [1] - 12:13 | Alex [1] - 16:2 | areas [1] - 16:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 16,15: 5,17: 3, \\ & 17: 5,17: 6,17: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| 10-foot [1] - 38:5 | A | Alison [1] - 40:23 | $27: 24,28: 9$ | $17: 22,19: 8,21: 13,$ 21:25, 27:12, 32:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1001-4.-7-24 [1] - 25:5 } \\ & \text { 1001-4.-9-26 [1] - 4:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { able }[5]-3: 5,5: 9, \\ & 7: 23,22: 10,22: 23 \\ & \text { accept }[2]-2: 8,4: 2 \\ & \text { accepted }[1]-4: 11 \\ & \text { access }[1]-9: 14 \\ & \text { accessory }[3]-16: 22, \\ & 18: 18,19: 6 \\ & \text { action }[2]-6: 13, \\ & 40: 16 \end{aligned}$ | allocated [1] - 30:12 allow [4]-14:19, 26:4, | as-of-right [2]-16:9, 19:4 <br> assume [3]-9:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 8,33: 21,35: 1, \\ & 38: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1001-5.-4-29 [1] - 4:21 |  | allowed [1] - 27:16 | assume [3]-9:11, <br> $13: 25,16: 24$ <br> assuming $[1]-22: 14$ | Board's [2]-11:18, |
| 11944 [1] - 40:14 |  | ALSO ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:20 |  | 31:17 |
| 124[2] - 3:25, 4:2 |  | amenable [2] - 36:8, | assuming ${ }_{[1]}-22: 14$ <br> attached [1]-25:2 | breakfast $[7]$ - 14:9, |
| $15{ }_{[1]}$ - 13:11 |  | 36:12 | attempt [1] - 12:1 <br> attempts [1]-11:19 |  |
| $19{ }_{[1]}-4: 13$ |  | analysis [1] - $28: 25$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 8,19: 13,19: 20 \\ & 19: 23,19: 24,20: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1900s [1] - $33: 13$ |  | announce [2] - 13:9, $25: 9$ | ATTENDANCE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:20 | bring [2] - 14:7, 28:13 <br> bringing ${ }_{[1]}-13: 19$ |
| 2 | actively ${ }_{[1]}-6: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { answer [4] - 5:9, 6:11, } \\ & 7: 13,7: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attorney [2] - 6:21, } \\ & 15: 12 \end{aligned}$ | brings [1] - 26:3 |
| 2 [1]-2:16 | ition [1] - 14:22 | anyway [1]-20:1 | Attorney [1] - 29:7 | builder [1] - 25:21 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2021[9]-1: 10,2: 5, \\ 2: 8,2: 18,4: 15, \end{gathered}$ | additional ${ }^{[2]}-5: 3$, | apartments [3] 26:20, 27:24, 35:2 | attorney's [1]-18:9 auto [1] - 29:25 | Building [1] - 17:1 <br> building [26]-4:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 16,24: 24,40: 12 \\ & 40: 20 \end{aligned}$ | additionals [1] - 35:9 <br> address [3] - 12:8, | appear [2] - 29:8, | automatically ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:9 | building [26]-4:18, 9:23, 10:3, 10:22, |
| $2760{ }_{[1]}-25: 10$ | 13:10, 25:9 | applicant [12]-4:17 | available [1] - 36:9 | 25:22, 26:2, 26:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28[3]-1: 10,2: 5, \\ & 40: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adjacent }[3]-9: 13, \\ & 26: 8,26: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:23, 5:6, 7:10, 7:12, } \\ & \text { 11:8, 12:17, 13:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avenue [2]-26:12, } \\ & 34: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 9,26: 12,26: 15, \\ & 26: 16,26: 19,28: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 29[4]-2: 18,4: 15 \\ 12: 16,24: 24 \end{gathered}$ | adjourn [1] - 39:7 <br> adjourned [2] - 39 | 13:6, 24:25, 25:6, | $\begin{gathered} \text { aware }[3]-11: 24, \\ 12: 5,16: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:24, 30:17, 31:22, } \\ & 31: 24,32: 22,33: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 39:13 | applicant's [1]-6:1 | $\text { Aye }[7]-2: 13,3: 3,3: 4 \text {, }$ $4: 9,11: 14,21: 8$ | 34:18, 34:20 <br> buildings [1] - 26:8 |
| 3 | ADMINISTRATOR ${ }_{[47]}$ <br> - 1:21, 2:21, 2:23, <br> 5:5, 5:11, 5:15, 5:18, | application [18] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:9, 11:14, 21:8, } \\ & 39: 11 \\ & \text { aye }_{[1]}-3: 9 \end{aligned}$ | built [1]-24:9 <br> business [2] - 8:6, 8:7 <br> businesses [1] - 9:21 |
| $3_{[1]}$ | 5:5, 5:11, 5:15, 5:18, $6: 11,6: 18,7: 4,9: 6,$ | 12:17, 13:16, 13:19, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 23,4: 11,21: 9 \\ & 30_{[1]}-2: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 9,9: 12,9: 25,10: 6, \\ & 10: 16,11: 16,12: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:22, 20:22, 24:25, } \\ & 30: 14,30: 15,31: 15, \end{aligned}$ | B |  |
| 30\% [1]-23:17 | 14:3, 14:5, 14:21, | 34:9, 38:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{bar}_{[1]}-28: 22 \\ & \text { based }[3]-10: 14, \\ & 13: 20,16: 10 \\ & \text { basis }[1]-32: 9 \\ & \text { bathrooms }[1]-27: 1 \\ & \text { become }[3]-8: 19, \\ & 14: 10,36: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $3100{ }_{[1]}$ - 25:17 | 15:11, 16:3, 16:6, | applications [1] |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { carries }[2]-2: 14,3: 23^{\text {cars }_{[1]}-9: 14} \\ & \text { case }_{[1]}-37: 1 \\ & \text { ceases }[1]-15: 8^{\text {cellar }_{[1]}-20: 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| 365[1] - 10:20 | 17:11, 17:17, 18:8, | 29:16 |  |  |
| 36th [1] - 13:12 | 23:5, 23:12, 24:6, | appointed [1] - 3:14 |  |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 20,30: 7,30: 11, \\ & 31: 1,31: 5,31: 9, \end{aligned}$ | approach [1]-8:3 <br> approval [6] - 13:22, |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | center [1]-26:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4[3]-2: 5,2: 18,4: 13 \\ & 40 \%[1]-27: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 13,31: 21,38: 1 \text {, } \\ & 38: 4,38: 7,38: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 1,14: 2,14: 6, \\ & 27: 12,30: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bed }[7]-14: 8,15: 8 \\ & \text { 19:13, 19:19, 19:23, } \\ & \text { 19:24, 20:7 } \end{aligned}$ | ```Central [3]-26:12, 28:14, 34:3 certain [2]-32:3, 33:17``` |
| 400[2]-24:21, 25:17 | 38:15, 38:18 | approve [3] - 2:7, 3:7 |  |  |
| 4:00 [1] - 1:11 | aDMINISTRATOR ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 38:11 | behalf [2]-4:22, 13:6 |  |
| 4:37[1] - 39:13 | 11:23 | approved [5] - 4:11 | benefits [1] - 29:14 | Certificate [1]-7:21 certify ${ }_{[2]}$ - 40:9, |
| 5 | affirmatively [2] - | 29:1 | best [1]-26:1 <br> beyond [1]-12:5 | 40:15 |
|  | 15:14, 17:13 | arbor | big [2] - 29:10, 35:22 | CHAIRMAN $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ - |
| $5[1]-12: 13$ | affordable [1] - 36 : | architect [2] - 13:1 |  | 11:21, 13:14, 15:20 |
| 6 | ```afternoon [1] - 2:2 agency [1] - 15:4 Agency [5] - 15:23, 17:4, 21:4, 22:7, 38:22 ago [1]-25:19 agree [3] - 11:5, 23:19, 37:3``` | Architects [1]-13:13 | bigger [1]-9:18 <br> bit $[7]-8: 4,11: 1,26: 6$, | Chairman [1] - 30:9 |
| 6 |  | Architects [1] - 13:13 architecturally ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 9,26: 14,33: 4, \\ & 33: 10 \end{aligned}$ | CHAIRMAN [68] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:12, 2:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6[1]-24: 21 \\ & 6: 01[1]-2: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | 31:23 <br> architecture [1] - | blocked [1] - 9:14 <br> blood [1] - 40:16 <br> BNB [1] - 37:12 <br> BNBs [2] - 36:2, 36:7 <br> board [1] - 21:22 <br> BOARD [1]-1:7 <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 24,3: 2,3: 4,3: 7, \\ & 3: 11,3: 18,3: 22,4: 8, \\ & 6: 14,6: 23,7: 7,7: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 |  | architecture [1] - $33: 11$ |  | 6:14, 6:23, 7:7, 7:16, <br> 8:11, 11:5, 11:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7[2]-28: 14,39: 7 \\ & \text { 7th [1] }-40: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 12:24, 14:20, 17:11, } \\ \text { 17:14, 18:25, 23:5, } \\ \text { a padiy, } 2 \& 2 \$, \text { ra: } \end{array}$ |  | 16:1, 17:9, 17:16, <br> 17:20, 18:6, 18:12, |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:17, 20:5, 20:13, } \\ & \text { 20:19, 20:23, 21:3, } \\ & \text { 21:7, 23:1, 23:10, } \\ & \text { 23:22, 24:1, 24:13, } \\ & \text { 24:16, 24:19, 24:21, } \\ & \text { 25:8, 25:12, 26:15, } \\ & \text { 26:21, 27:9, 29:22, } \\ & 30: 1,30: 6,30: 10, \\ & 34: 1,34: 9,34: 14, \\ & 34: 25,35: 3,35: 12, \\ & 35: 16,36: 8,36: 25, \\ & 37: 10,37: 13,38: 21, \\ & 39: 1,39: 3,39: 6, \\ & 39: 10 \\ & \text { change }[1]-38: 12 \\ & \text { changed }[2]-15: 15, \\ & 21: 18 \\ & \text { changes }[2]-14: 6, \\ & 22: 15 \\ & \text { City }[1]-13: 12 \\ & \text { clarification }[3]- \\ & 27: 14,27: 19,29: 8 \\ & \text { Clarke's }[2]-26: 10, \\ & 28: 18 \\ & \text { clause }[1]-29: 15 \\ & \text { clear }[4]-17: 23, \\ & 21: 19,28: 8,30: 7 \\ & \text { closer }[2]-8: 20, \\ & 28: 18 \\ & \text { code }[5]-9: 23,10: 3, \\ & 10: 22,12: 12,25: 24 \\ & \text { Code }[17]-12: 8, \\ & 13: 20,14: 18,14: 19, \\ & 15: 7,16: 17,19: 12, \\ & 19: 16,20: 16,23: 19, \\ & 27: 17,28: 3,28: 11, \\ & 29: 6,29: 15,35: 20 \\ & \text { combined }[1]-2: 17 \\ & \text { coming }[3]-8: 6,8: 8, \\ & 8: 15 \\ & \text { comments }[2]-32: 24, \\ & 37: 17 \\ & \text { Commercial } \\ & \text { [3] }-4: 20,25: 3 \\ & \text { community }[1]-31: 25 \\ & \text { completely } \\ & \text { complian }-28: 8 \\ & 19: 11 \\ & \text { compressors }[1]- \\ & 27: 4 \\ & \text { concerns }[1]-18: 16 \\ & \text { condition }[1]-19: 12 \\ & \text { conditional }[3]-7: 1, \\ & 14: 1,19: 13 \\ & \text { conditioned }[1]- \\ & 12: 20 \\ & \text { Conference }[4]-4: 14, \\ & 6: 16,12: 14,24: 22 \\ & \text { confirmed }[1]-25: 21 \\ & \text { conforming }[3]- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```12:19, 18:24, 19:1 constructed [1] 18:20 \\ construction [2] - \[ 18: 22,33: 15 \] \\ contains [1]-40:10 \\ continue [3] - 7:9, 11:9, 19:1 \\ contributing [1] - 31:24 \\ converted [1] - 18:21 \\ copy [1] - 32:18 \\ correct \([7]\) - 4:1, 9:13, 29:20, 31:2, 31:9, \[ 32: 4,40: 11 \] \\ correctly [2] - 14:18, 19:2 \\ counted \({ }^{[1]}-20: 1\) \\ COUNTY [2] - 1:5, \\ 40:5 \\ County [2] - 13:4, 25:5 \\ course [1] - 35:2 \\ Court [1] - 40:7 \\ courtyard [1] - 28:22 \\ coverage \({ }_{[1]}-27: 22\) \\ covered [1] - 12:11 \\ COVID \([3]-6: 6,8: 2\), \\ 8:9 \\ CR [2] - 4:4, 25:3 \\ create [3]-28:16, 28:20 \\ creating [1] - \(31: 7\) \\ cri [1] - 36:16 \\ cries [1] - 14:16 \\ criteria [1] - 36:15 \\ curb [2]-28:16 \\ curious [1]-13:18 \\ current \({ }_{[1]}\) - 25:23``` <br> D <br> days ${ }_{[1]}-10: 20$ <br> deal ${ }_{[1]}-29: 10$ <br> decide ${ }_{[1]}-22: 23$ <br> decided [2] - 18:4, <br> 26:8 <br> decision [3]-7:12, $25: 25,26: 1$ <br> dedicated [1] - 30:21 <br> defend [1] - 13:23 <br> definitely $[3]-9: 16$, $17: 14,18: 9$ <br> Demarshali [1]-29:23 <br> demo [1] - 25:19 <br> demolish [1]-26:1 <br> demolition [1] - 25:18 <br> Department's [1] - $17: 1$ <br> detail [2] - 12:2, 34:4 | ```22:1, 29:13, 31:11 determinations [2] - 4:2, 4:10 determine [1]-22:17 determined [2] - 16:16, 25:20 different [3]-8:5, 9:19, 10:21 direction [1]-11:3 disagree [1]-35:15 discuss [3]-5:16, 21:13, 38:8 discussing [1] - 6:3 district \({ }_{[1]}\) - 32:2 District \([11]-4: 4,4: 5\), 4:20, 4:21, 13:3, 13:4, 19:4, 25:3, 25:4, 29:5, 35:11 done [2]-28:24, 32:13 Doug [1] - 13:11 DOUGHERTY \({ }_{[13]}\) - 1:16, 3:5, 3:9, 3:16, 6:24, 7:6, 8:16, 8:22, 9:4, 34:12, 34:17, 34:22, 36:20 DOUGHERTY- JOHNSON [13] - 1:16, 3:5, 3:9, 3:16, 6:24, 7:6, 8:16, 8:22, 9:4, 34:12, 34:17, 34:22, 36:20 down [2]-5:23, 30:2 Dr [1] - 20:21 drawing [1] - 28:11 Drive [1] - 25:11 driveway [2] - 12:23, 28:19 due \([1]\) - 6:6 dwelling [2] - 28:9 dwellings [1] - 27:16```  | engineer ${ }_{[1]}-25: 20$ <br> entire ${ }_{[1]}-15: 1$ <br> error ${ }_{[1]}-15: 2$ <br> establish ${ }_{[1]}-38: 22$ <br> evident ${ }_{[1]}-18: 21$ <br> exactly $[3]-13: 20$, <br> $13: 22,19: 21$ <br> example $[2]-30: 8$, <br> $30: 12$ <br> existing $[14]-12: 18$, <br> $13: 1,14: 8,18: 18$, <br> $18: 24,20: 3,20: 7$, <br> $20: 14,23: 22,23: 24$, <br> $23: 25,24: 2,24: 3$, <br> $30: 24$ <br> exists $[1]-20: 8$ <br> expand $[1]-5: 25$ <br> expansion $[2]-9: 21$, <br> $12: 9$ <br> expansions $[1]-8: 2$ <br> expectations $[1]-$ <br> $32: 4$ <br> explain $[1]-12: 1$ <br> explained $[1]-5: 5$ <br> exploratory $[1]-25: 19$ <br> extent $[3]-7: 24,31: 3$, <br> $37: 21$ <br> exterior $[3]-10: 8$, <br> $12: 25,25: 1$ <br> external $[1]-32: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { footage }[4]-23: 7, \\ & 23: 13,23: 16,27: 20 \\ & \text { FOOTE }[70]-1: 14, \\ & 2: 2,2: 12,2: 22,2: 24, \\ & 3: 2,3: 4,3: 7,3: 11, \\ & 3: 18,3: 22,4: 8,6: 14, \\ & 6: 23,7: 7,7: 16,8: 11, \\ & 11: 5,11: 13,11: 21, \\ & 12: 13,13: 9,13: 14, \\ & 13: 24,15: 20,16: 1, \\ & 17: 9,17: 16,17: 20, \\ & 18: 6,18: 12,18: 17, \\ & 20: 13,20: 19,20: 23, \\ & 21: 3,21: 7,23: 1, \\ & 23: 10,23: 22,24: 1, \\ & 24: 13,24: 16,24: 19, \\ & 24: 21,25: 8,25: 12, \\ & 26: 15,26: 21,27: 9, \\ & 29: 22,30: 1,30: 6, \\ & 30: 10,34: 1,34: 9, \\ & 34: 14,34: 25,35: 3, \\ & 35: 12,35: 16,36: 8, \\ & 36: 25,37: 10,37: 13, \\ & 38: 21,39: 1,39: 3, \\ & 39: 6,39: 10 \\ & \text { fOOTE }[1]-20: 5 \\ & \text { footprint }[1]-30: 5 \\ & \text { foregoing }[1]-40: 10 \\ & \text { forward }[4]-21: 19, \\ & 26: 9,32: 1,33: 11 \\ & \text { fountain }[1]-12: 25 \\ & \text { four }[1]-19: 22 \\ & \text { frame }[1]-20: 14 \\ & \text { front }[4]-14: 18,16: 8, \\ & 26: 9,28: 3 \\ & \text { Front }[3]-3: 25,4: 3, \\ & 4: 13 \end{aligned}$  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| nport's [1] - 2:3 | 5:8 | interested [3] - 6:3, | $\operatorname{LILY}_{[1]}-1: 16$ | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| und [1] - 12:25 | Historic [22] - 4:5, | 11, 40:1 | limits [2]-7:22, 10:8 | :24, 7:2, 7:6, 7:11 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { guess }[14]-4: 25,5: 7, \\ 7: 17,7: 18,7: 24,8: 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 21,13: 4,14: 24, \\ & 15: 23,17: 6,21: 2, \end{aligned}$ | interpretation [1] 5:24 | line [5] - 26:5, 26:13, $32 \cdot 13,38 \cdot 8,38 \cdot 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 17,8: 12,8: 16, \\ & 8: 22,9: 1,9: 4,9: 7, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:17, 7:18, 7:24, 8:8, } \\ & \text { 8:17, 11:4, 15:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:23, 17:6, 21:2, } \\ & \text { 21:22, 21:25, 22:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:24 } \\ & \text { intrusion }[1]-23: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 32:13, 38:8, 38:19 } \\ & \text { live[1] - } 36: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 22,9: 1,9: 4,9: 7, \\ & 9: 10,9: 16,10: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 17,2, 25:14, 34:3 | :4, 27:12, 31:18, | involved [2]-17:22, | located [8]-4:3, 4:5, | :13, 11:1, 11:1 |
| 35:16, 38:24 | :21, 32:6, 32:7 | 23:6 | 4:20, 13:2, | :25, 14:4, 14:17, |
| guidelines [3] - 32 | 3:21, 35:1, 35:11 | issue [3] - 9:23, 19:8, | 3:3, 25:3, 25:4 | 5:6, 15:17, 16:12, |
| 33:1 | :20, $38: 16$ | , | ion [1] - 19:1 | 6:23, 18:2, 18:1 |
| gut [2] - $33: 5,33: 23$ | historically [1] - 31:25 | issues [1] - 10:10 | look [6]-5:2, 5:18, | 9:7, 19:18, 21:2, |
| guys [2] - 7:7, 35:17 | hopefully [1] - 22:22 | it'll [1] - 22:18 | 29:5, 32:8, 33:5, | 21:6, 21:12, 21:20, |
| H | house [3] - 18:20, | item [9]-2:7, 2:16, | looked [2] - 27:1 | 20, 27:6, 27:10, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { half }[5]-10: 20,26: 17, \\ \text { 26:22, 26:24, 27:2 } \\ \text { HAMMES [80] - 1:15, } \\ 2: 11,3: 1,3: 3,3: 12, \\ 3: 20,4: 7,4: 25,5: 7 \text {, } \\ 5: 12,5: 17,5: 21,7: 2, \\ 7: 11,7: 17,8: 12,9: 1, \\ 9: 7,9: 10,9: 16,10: 4, \\ 10: 13,11: 1,11: 12, \\ \text { 12:7, 13:25, 14:4, } \\ \text { 14:17, 15:6, 15:17, } \\ \text { 15:21, 16:12, 16:23, } \\ \text { 18:2, 18:10, 19:7, } \\ \text { 19:18, 21:2, 21:6, } \\ 21: 12,21: 20,22: 6, \\ 22: 22,24: 5,24: 20, \\ 27: 6,27: 10,27: 23, \\ 28: 2,28: 10,28: 24, \\ 29: 11,29: 18,29: 23, \\ 30: 2,30: 23,31: 3, \\ 31: 6,31: 10,31: 20, \\ 32: 5,32: 12,32: 17, \\ 32: 21,33: 2,33: 7, \\ 33: 15,33: 20,3: 23, \\ 35: 5,35: 9,35: 15, \\ 35: 19,35: 25,36: 14, \\ 36: 17,37: 6,37: 14, \\ 37: 19,39: 9 \\ \text { hand }[1]-40: 20 \\ \text { happy }[1]-7: 13 \\ \text { hard }[1]-12: 1 \\ \text { hate }[1]-29: 11 \\ \text { HBC }[2]-14: 24,15: 1 \\ \text { head's }[1]-3: 6 \\ \text { hearing }[7]-6: 17, \\ 7: 14,17: 24,18: 3, \\ 22: 18,22: 20,37: 16 \\ \text { Hearing }[4]-4: 15, \\ 11: 11,12: 15,24: 23 \\ \text { Heitler }[1]-13: 13 \\ \text { held }[1]-33: 17 \\ \text { hello }[1]-25: 7 \\ \text { helpful }[1]-11: 9 \\ \text { hereby }[1]-40: 9 \\ \text { hereunto }[1]-40: 19 \\ \text { Hi }[1]-16: 4 \end{gathered}$ | housing $[2]-35: 22$ $36: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 13,37: 24,37: 25, \\ & 39: 7 \end{aligned}$ | looking [4]-8:6, 9:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:24, 29:11, 29:18, } \\ & \text { 29:23, 30:2, 30:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | HOUSTOUN ${ }_{\text {[19] - }}$ - 13:8, 13:11, 13:17, | itself [1]-11:6 | looks [5] - 27:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 3,31: 6,31: 10, \\ & 31: 20,32: 5,32: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | :2, 16:4, 16:7 |  |  | $32: 17,32: 21,33: 2,$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:21, 20:6, 20:18, } \\ & 20: 21,21: 15,22: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jay [1] - 38:3 } \\ & \text { job }[1]-35: 6 \end{aligned}$ | M | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 23,34: 12,34: 17, \\ & 34: 22,35: 5,35: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 25,23: 16,23: 24, \\ & 24 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | JOHNSON [13] - 1:16, | Mahoney [1] - 40:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 15,35: 19,35: 25, \\ & 36: 14,36: 17,36: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | hOUSTOUN [3] - | 8:16, 8:22, | 40:7 | 7:6, 37:14, 37:19, |
|  | 18:13, 18:18, $22:$ | 12, 34:17, 34:22 | Main [4]-12:14 | 39:9 |
|  | Houstoun [2]-13:11, <br> $13: 13$ |  | $24: 22,25: 17,28: 18$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { member }[3]-3: 14, \\ 12: 7,15: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | HPC [1] - 31:2 | K | Map [2] - 13:4, 25:5 | mention [1]-3:17 |
|  | huge [1] - 25:24 |  | marriage ${ }_{[1]}-40: 17$ | Michel [2]-24:25, |
|  | hundred [1] - $37: 5$ |  | $\text { matter }[1]-40: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10 } \\ & \text { MICHEL }{ }_{[44]}-25: \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1 | kind [11] - 7:20, 9:20, | $25:$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 13,26: 17, \\ & 26: 23,27: 7,27: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | imagine | 18, 16:14, 25:14, | mean [30]-3:18, 5:19, | 28:1, 28:5, 28:12, <br> 31:22, 32:11 32:15, |
|  | impediment [1] - 6:20 | $\text { KYRK }_{[1]}-1: 18$ | 5:21, 6:12, 7:1, 7:11, | $32: 20,32: 25,33: 6,$ |
|  | implications [1]-12:4 | Kyrk) [4]-2:15, 3:24, | D:1, 15:17, 17:2, | 33:9, 33:16, 33:22, |
|  | impression [1] - 8:4 | 4:12, 21:10 | :8, 18:14, 21:18, | $3: 25,34: 7,34: 11,$ |
|  | improve [1] - 26:13 |  | 3:23, 26:22, 27:10, | 34:16, 34:20, 35:2, 35:8, 35:24, 36:3, |
|  | improvements [3] - 12:22, 20:9, 20:15 | L | 1:3, 32:7, 33:3, | $6: 11,36: 16,36: 23,$ |
|  | $\mathrm{IN}_{[2]}$ - 1:20, 40:19 | Laughter (1]-33.2 | :5, 35:19, 36:3 | $7: 1,37: 9,37: 11,$ |
|  | in-ground [1] - 12:25 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { laughter) }[4]-3: 10, \\ 32: 19,36: 2,38: 14 \end{array}$ | $36: 4,36: 23,37: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18,37: 24,38: 3 \\ & 38: 10,38: 14,38: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Inaudible [1] - 27:6 | $\begin{array}{r} 32: 19,36: 2,38: 14 \\ \text { Lead }[5]-15: 23,17: \end{array}$ | means [2]-35:17, | 38:20, 38:24, 39:2, |
|  | included [1] - 30:1 | 21:4, 22:6, 38:22 | 28: | :5 |
|  | indoor ${ }_{[1]}$-6:2 | lead [1] - 13:17 | echanic [1] - 29:2 | icrophone [1] - 8:21 |
|  | information [3] - | leads [1] - 19:15 <br> learning ${ }_{[1]}-18:$ | $t[1]-32: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { might }[3]-5: 9,32: 18, \\ & 36: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:18, 11:19, } 31: 18 \\ \text { informed }[11-21: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { least }[3]-10: 14,16:$ | ing [6] $-2: 1$, | mind [1] - 38:9 |
|  | input [2] - 20:24, | 29:3 | $22: 5,39: 13$ | nimum [1] - 38:21 |
|  | 22:24 | leaves [1] - 26:10 | Meeting [4]-2:4, 2:9 | minutes [1] - 2:8 |
|  | inside [1] - 8:6 | legal $[2]-6: 19,6: 20$ | 2:18, 39:12 | mixed ${ }^{[1]}$ - 14:11 |
|  | $\text { instinct }[1]-33: 5$ | less [1]-23:17 | Member [4]-2:15 | ixed-use [1] - 14:11 |
|  | instincts [1] - 33:2 | ter [1]-25:2 | 3:23, 4:12, 21:10 | modern [1] - 33:4 |
|  | intend [1] - 36:6 | vel [2] - 12:6, 20: | MEMBER [92]-1:15, | modification [3]-7:3, |
|  | intensity ${ }_{[1]}-14: 15$ | liberal [1] - 10:9 | $: 16,1: 18,2: 11,3: 1$ | 5, 8:10 |
|  |  | lighting [1] - 13:1 | 3:3, 3:5, 3:9, 3:12, | modifications [4]- |
|  | inFehyshn G:tenog | dishyy \& ${ }^{1 \text { TTFans }}$ |  | 6:6, 7:25, 8:8, 25:1 |


| Monday [2]-2:21, | 12:22, 26:2, 26:3, | One [2] - 13:2, 40:12 | particular [2]-9:17, | plantings [1]-12:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:22 | 26 | one-and-a-half [2] |  | [1]-2:18 |
| money [1] - 25:25 | NEW [2] - 1:5, 40:3 | 26:17, 26:2 | particularly [4] - 7:24, | point [13]-3:20, 5:21, |
| month [1]-22:19 | next [3]-10:25, 11:10, | One-Family [1] - 13:2 | 15:15, 34:6, 37:15 | 8:15, 9:23, 11:4, |
| months [1]-25:19 | 32:1 | one-story [4]-12:18, | parties [1] - 40:1 | 22:1, 22:16 |
| Motion [1] - 4:1 | nice [2] - 32:23, 33:8 | 20:14, 25:16, 26:16 | parts [2] - 6:5, 14:25 | 23, 34:2, 35:10 |
| motion [11] - 2 | no-brainer [1] - 15:18 | opinion [2]-35:3, | pass [1]-22:9 | , 37:20 |
| :14, 2:16, 2:25, 3:8 | non [3] - 12:19, 18:24, | 35:1 | - 21 | pointed [1] - 30:19 |
| 6, 4 |  | osed [1] - 10:22 | paths [1] - 12:2 | pool [3]-12:25, 19:5, |
| 12:15, 24:23, 39:7 | non-conforming [3] | order [1]-2: | PATRICIA ${ }_{[1]}-1: 15$ | 20:10 |
| move [2] - 11:9, 32: | 12:19, 18:24, 19:1 | original [1] - 30 | Paul [10]-2:18, 5 | ortion [1] - 26:18 |
| moved [5] - 5:2 | no | otherwise [1]-3:15 | 1, 16:3, 16:4 | positives [1]-26:3 |
| 11:15, 15:24, 21:9 | nonethele | ourselves [1] - 38:22 | 18:14, 23 | possible [3]-4:14, |
| 21:17 | 29:10 | outcome [1] - 40:18 | 23:20, 28:2 | 15, 24:2 |
| moving [1] - 33:11 | normally $[4]-8: 5$,$9: 20,17: 2,21: 20$ | outdoor [7] - 4:17, | PAUL [1] - 1:2 | possibly [1] - 27:3 |
| MR ${ }_{[65]}-13: 8,13: 11$, |  | 19, 9:21, 10:9, | people [2]-12:2, 36 | potentially [3]-8:14, |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 9:20, 17:2, 21:20 } \\ \text { north }[1]-26: 11 \end{gathered}$ | 8:22 | per [5] - 16:20, 19:16 | 25, 23:13 |
| 13:17, 16:2, 16:4, <br> 16:7, 16:21, 18:13, | north [1] - 26:11 | outside [5] - 5:20 | 19:24, 23:19, $25:$ | practically [1] - 30: |
| 16:7, 16:21, 18:13, <br> 18:18, 19:9, 19:21, | Notary [1] - 40:8 | 20, 12:4, 27:2, | perceive [1] - 33:18 | practicing [1] - 20:20 |
| $20: 6,20: 18,20: 21$,$21: 15,22: 4,22: 21$, | $\begin{gathered} \text { nothing }[3]-10: 11, \\ 10: 12,27: 17 \end{gathered}$ | 35:7 | perceived ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:22 | Pre [5] - 4:14, 6:15, |
|  |  | overall [1] - 8: | percent [1] - 37:5 | 11:10, 12:14, 24:22 |
| $22: 25,23: 16,23: 24$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { November [5] - 2:18, } \\ 4: 15,12: 16,24: 24, \end{gathered}$ | own [1] - 5:1 | perhaps [2]-10:19, | Pre-Submission [5]- |
|  |  | owner [2] - 19 | 18:2 | 4:14, 6:15, 11:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:13, 26:17, 26:23, } \\ & \text { 27:7, 27:13, 28:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 15,12: 16,24: 24, \\ & 40: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 20:20 | permanent[3]-8:9, | 4, 24:2 |
|  | 40:20 <br> number [1] - 32:24 |  | 10:18, 10:2 | precedent ${ }_{[1]}$ - 8:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 27: 7,27: 13,28: 1, \\ & 28: 5,28: 12,31: 22, \\ & 32: 11,32: 15,32: 20, \end{aligned}$ | NY [1] - 40:14 | P | $\begin{gathered} \text { permitted [5] - 14:21, } \\ 16: 21,16: 23,19: 3, \end{gathered}$ | precluded [1] - 6:16 preliminary [2] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 25,33: 6,33: 9 \\ & 33: 16,33: 22,33: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p.m }[4]-1: 11,2: 1,2: 6, \\ & 39: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 19:6 | $31: 10,32: 21$ RESENT ${ }^{1]}$ - |
| 34:7, 34:11, 34:16, | obviously [1] - 2:20 | PALLAS [48]-1:21, | personally [1] - 32 | present [1] - 13:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 34: 20,35: 2,35: 8 \\ & 35: 24,36: 3,36: 11, \end{aligned}$ | Occupancy [1]-7:21 | 2:21, 2:23, 5:5, 5:11 | perspective ${ }^{4}$ ] - | Present ${ }_{[4]}$ - 2:15, |
|  |  | 15, 5:18, 6:11, | :12, 15:13, 15:18 | 3:23, 4:12, 21:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 24,36: 3,36: 11, \\ & 36: 16,36: 23,37: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { occupancy [3] - 7:22, } \\ & 10: 7,10: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 8, 7:4, 9:6, 9:9 | 17: | presumably [1] - 20:8 |
| $37: 9,37: 11,37: 18$ | occupied ${ }_{[1]}-19: 25$ | 9:12, 9:25, 10:6, | Peter [2]-12:17, | previously ${ }_{[1]}$ - 20:6 |
| $37: 24,38: 3,38: 10,$ | october [1]-1:10 | 16, 11:16, 11:23 | 20 | problem [2] - 38:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 14,38: 17,38: 20, \\ & 38: 24,39: 2,39: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October }[2]-2: 5 \text {, } \\ & 40: 12 \end{aligned}$ | :10, 14:3, 14:5, | physician [2]-19:16, | 38:17 |
|  |  | :21, 15:11, 16:3, | 19:17 | procedure [1] - 17:21 |
| MS [1] - 8:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF }[5]-1: 4,1: 5,40: 3, \\ & 40: 5 \end{aligned}$ | :6, 17:11, 17:17, | physician's [2] | oceedings |
| N |  | $: 14,29:$ | 12:21, 19:3 | 40:11 |
|  | off-site [1] - 30:20 | 9:20, 30:7 | pit [1] - 10: | 25, 21:14, 21:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { naturally }[2]-33: 13, \\ & 36: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  | $9,31: 13,31: 2$ | place [5] - 6:8, 17:2 | 22:8, 37:15 |
|  | offering [1]-26:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 9,31: 13,31: 2 \\ & : 1,38: 4,38: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 23, 36:5, | processes [1] - 22:14 |
|  | office [8] - 12:21 | $8: 13,38: 15,38: 18$ | an [20]-4:16, 6 | ofessional [6] - <br> 14:19 16:19, 19: |
| necessary [2] - 6:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 15,16: 19,19: 3 \\ & 19: 15,23: 7,23: 14 \end{aligned}$ | paperwork [1] - 5:3 |  | 19:14, 23:7, 23:14 |
| need $[20]-8: 2,15: 4$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { offices [2] - 14:19, } \\ & 26: 25 \end{aligned}$ | parking [20] - 8:18, | $: 3,10: 24,12: 1$ | progressed [1] - 33:14 |
|  |  | 4, 9:2, 9:6, 9:1 | $: 12,12: 16,13: 25,$ | property [8] - 4:3, |
| $16: 10,17: 6,17: 7 \text {, }$ | officially ${ }_{[2]}-6: 4,6: 7$ | 12:24 | 4:2, 14:6, 17:8, | 4:19, 13:2, 25:2, |
| $27: 11,29: 5,29: 9$ | old [1] - 31:14 |  | :24, 28:23, 30:13, | :18, 26:6, 30:25, |
| $30: 18,31: 14,32: 2,$ | on-grade [1] - 12:24 <br> once [1]-22:13 | $20,29: 15,30: 20$ | 30:17, 38:11 | 31:8 |
| $34: 2,34: 4,34: 5 \text {, }$ |  |  | NG [1] | proposal [6] - 11:3, |
| $35: 20,38: 11,38: 16$ | $\text { one }[23]-5: 8,8: 13 \text {, }$ 9:17, 10:18, 11:17, | [1] - 19:15 | $\text { planning [2] - } 2$ | 27.23, 28.12 |
| needed $[3]-12: 2$, | 12:18, 15:6, 19:16, | rklet's [1] - 6:9 | Planning [9] - 2:3 | proposed [7]-12: |
| 2:24, 27:14 | $19: 24,20: 14,20: 20$ | klets [1] - 5:23 | $: 17,13: 19,13: 21$ | 14:14, 16:11, 20:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { needs [1] - 37:21 } \\ & \text { never }[2]-6: 7,29: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  | klets [1] - 5:23 | 14:9, 14:16, 17:3, | 24:7, 24:8, 26:19 |
|  | 25:16, 26:16, 26:17, | $\mathrm{rt}_{[4]}-12: 11,14: 14$ | 19:8 | proposes [3]-4:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { New }[2]-13: 12,40: 8 \\ & \text { new }[7]-3: 14,8: 7 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 26:22, 29:3, 29:18, Filynam:3Stenng | 0:13, 30:17 |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { proposes } \\ 12: 18, \\ \hline 35: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | ra | pitioning ¢erdaic | proposing [5] - 10:14, |




