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(The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m.) MAYOR HUBBARD: We'11 call the meeting to order. Pledge to the flag.
(A11 Stood for the Pledge of Allegiance) MAYOR HUBBARD: Can you please remain standing for a moment of silence for the unfortunate victims of the school shooting in Florida.
(A11 Remained Standing for a Moment of Silence)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. Good evening. We'11 start with Chief Miller from the Fire Department.

CHIEF MILLER: Good evening, everyone.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Good evening.
CHIEF MILLER: I don't have a lot tonight.
Our Wardens meeting is the following week, so it's going to be short.

I gave an application for membership to Sylvia, so that's one thing we need approved.

And I took a look at -- we spoke about doing the floors in the firehouse, so I talked to Derry1 and talked to Paul, and I looked at the light plant, what was done up there. So we're going to have a meeting tomorrow with the company
that did that, so he's going to come and check out both the firehouse. So, hopefully, we're moving forward with that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Good.
CHIEF MILLER: And that's -- I don't really have a lot, so if you guys -- any questions?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You got trucks to spruce up and chowder to cook.

CHIEF MILLER: Yeah, yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You have chowder to cook, yes.

CHIEF MILLER: Yeah, well.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do have one question, though, and that's dealing with the mention of the fire alarms going off at Lakeside.

CHIEF MILLER: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm not sure. Mayor, did you and Paul have an opportunity to kind of discuss that or not?

MR. PALLAS: I haven't discussed it with the Mayor at all at this point.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Al1 right. Okay. MAYOR HUBBARD: Normally, the fines and the stuff on that goes through the Southold Town P.D., that it's done there. It's not done
through the Village through our Building Department, because the Building Department wouldn't even know when there's a call going out. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So the Police Department, they dispatch an officer, and if it's something, if they're over their quota, then the Police Department, and then that's done through the Town's Building Department --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Well --
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that bills for that.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That was one point of it, but the other point of it is the number of alarms, and the stuff hasn't been, you know, there. There was some violations or some remedy to fix some violations back, what, in 2016, I think it was.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, so --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've never seen anything that's -- I kept going through the reports to see if there was anything that had been a positive, that things had been fixed. There was letters that said, you know, that they were waiting for workmen or whatever. But I think that given our Fire Department has expressed a concern, I was
just hoping that the Fire Marshal would make a visit to the Lakeside Apartments and --

MR. PALLAS: Again, I'11--
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- do an inspection.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah, I'11 check on the status of those violations as well and I'll ask the Fire Marshal to take another look at them.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Because I do know when the rental permit law went into effect, I believe we exempted -- at the time, we exempted the Housing Authority from inspections, because they have their own inspectors. So since we've now changed the rental permit law, I think it's an opportunity to make sure that the building is safe, especially when I'm reading that there's a possibility of people going down and shutting the alarm off themselves.

CHIEF MILLER: Yeah, they do do that.
There's numerous times we've been there and, you know, they'll silence the alarm, but there's been quite a few times where we've gone and they just reset the alarms. So we have no idea where, you know, the alarm came in. Yeah, it's a bit of a nuisance. I mean, it's constantly going off. The showers, they take a shower, the steam sets
it off. They cook, you know, they burn something a little bit, or a little bit smoky, it sets the alarm off. So it's a constant battle down there with them, but --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, I think it's just -- it behooves us to take the steps to help them make it a safe building. I think that's the goa1.

CHIEF MILLER: I mean, I've shown up there many times and they're like, "Oh, don't fine us, don't fine us." I said, "Oh, I'm not fining you, I have nothing to do with that," you know. But I don't know if the Housing Authority is fining the residents when that happens, or what, but --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, you know what --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, we should be fining the owner of the building.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, no. The Mayor just said that it goes to the Southold Town Police Department.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's done through the Southold Town Police Department.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And do we know if they've issued fines?

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's nothing that's ever
been. There's never a report on it or anything, because the Fire District, part's in the Village, part's out of the Village and all, and they keep track of it up there. It's not something that we have any involvement in, really.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, my concern is really the safety of the issue. And if our Fire Department is making mention of it, then it's obviously more than just one incident. And going back and doing some research, I think it behooves us to make sure that regardless of who it is and how it's structured, that that building, since it is a multi-family building, is given the opportunity for them to correct stuff or to be made aware of what they need to correct.

MR. PALLAS: Understood.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I actually went in and had a conversation with Paul earlier this week and asked that when do roll out the beginning of the rental law, that we prioritize the multi-family units first and have the Fire Marshal get in to check all of those. There are several of them in the Village, not -- you know, Lakeside Gardens, I believe, being the largest. But, you know, we have a complex over on 5th Avenue, the Ludlam

Place apartments, obviously, so I would hope that those would be first on the list of --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So no rental permit has ever been issued to that building?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't --
MR. PALLAS: I don't believe so.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I don't think it was --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I mean, the original -- the original law was not -- was not part of it.

MR. PALLAS: The original, we didn't -- we didn't include those, because it was -- right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We didn't include those? I don't -- well, we did -- no. We included them, but we never got any further with the process, remember? It was just that they weren't going to be inspected by us, because they had their own inspectors.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, that's correct.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That was what the original law was. So now what I'm asking is that we get in there, and they're going to have to fill out -- they're going to have to follow through the same way all of us are --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- who have rentals, that they have to apply for a permit and they have to get inspected.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yup.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that was deleted out of the law when we changed it, okay?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I just want to briefly just -- I know you haven't had your meeting yet. Did you poll the wardens and members about the service awards referendum vote? Has it been --

CHIEF MILLER: No, I didn't. To be honest, it slipped my mind. But we have had conversations and they're all in favor of doing it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Because the Village
Clerk is working on resolutions, paperwork, and trying to schedule a date and everything else, and that takes some time to go and do that.

CHIEF MILLER: Yeah. So --
MAYOR HUBBARD: She's got stuff that's going to be part of her report.

CHIEF MILLER: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't see why anybody would complain about it in the Fire Department,
but I just want to mention it --
CHIEF MILLER: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- as part of her report, so if we vote on something next week, to schedule the referendum vote and everything else, that you're aware of it.

CHIEF MILLER: Yeah. No, I'11 bring it up at the Wardens meeting, but, yeah, to be honest, it slipped my mind, so.

MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. We11, whatever we -- is going to be on the agenda, we'11 send you a copy of that, and you can explain that to them at the Wardens meeting, then.

CHIEF MILLER: Al1 right. Sounds good. MAYOR HUBBARD: Anything else for the Chief?
(No Response)
CHIEF MILLER: A11 right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. Thank you very much.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Good luck Saturday.
CHIEF MILLER: I hope to see you Saturday.
MAYOR HUBBARD: See you Saturday.
CHIEF MILLER: And hope we get some nice weather.

MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. Thank you.
CHIEF MILLER: A11 right. Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Next is Village
Administrator.
MR. PALLAS: Good evening, everybody. I just have two resolutions. One is for the New York Association of Public Power Annual Spring Conference. It's a two, two-and-a-half day, or two full days, but over the span of three days, Apri1 -- I want to say 2 nd and $3 r d$, but the date's escaping me at the moment.

The next resolution is for operator -- a sewer plant operator training for Craig Johnson. That's -- we've done that before for others.

That's it on the resolutions.
Moving to the Road Department, Water Department, just a couple of minor, minor highlights, just to continue to do patching as necessary, road patching as necessary.

I just want to note that there's a couple of items where they talk about repairs to vehicles. They, and I've mentioned this before, they do a lot of repairs inhouse. It saves us, you know, considerable money to do that kind of repairs inhouse, rather than sending it out. I
just wanted to mention that.
A couple of projects they've been working on. The Village Hall, we're doing some work in Village Hall, moving some things around, moving people around. And the road crew has been helping, instrumental in a lot of that work, and I just want to commend them for their efforts in assisting us with that.

Any questions on Road Department?
(No Response)
MR. PALLAS: Okay. Moving to the Sewer Department, just one highlight. Suffolk County Department of Health inspection was conducted and there were no issues found, so that's a positive thing for our Sewer Department. They're doing really quite a good job.

Moving to the Electric Department, we have our usual statistics, load statistics, and the NYPA report is attached to the work session. Again, a couple of highlights. The -- they did put in, energize a new pad-mount transformer for Matchbox Distillery. There was an outage that they worked on as well.

They also did prep work, and it's actually now completed, but as of the writing of this, for
the basement floor, re-epoxying the floor in the basement of the power plant. It came out really well.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's done?
MR. PALLAS: So that's all done. I believe that was the last major item that was -- that Suffolk County Department of Health had required us to do. So I think we're all caught up on all of that work as well.

It's not written here, but we did -- we are -- the cooling tower is now $100 \%$ complete. We're going through testing. We ran one of our units today, and we're running another one tomorrow. The cooling tower is performing as designed, so that's good news.

Moving on to Building Department, again, we don't have our Building Inspector yet, but we -so I don't have the formal report for the Building Department.

Just, again, a couple of notes on Code Enforcement. In advance of snowstorm this season, we did get out notifications to residents and businesses about parking rules and all that kind of in advance of that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you know how many
tickets he ended up writing?
MR. PALLAS: I would have to check, Trustee Phillips. I don't --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's in his report?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thirty.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's in the report.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's in the report here.
Thirty of them?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's the snow?
MR. PALLAS: The snow?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thirty of them?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thirty.
MR. PALLAS: Oh, here it is. Yup, 30. I'm sorry. I didn't see it. I missed it when I read through it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I've got something we need to talk -- I'd like to talk about with the Building Department. I guess my first question is where are we in the search for a Building Inspector?

MR. PALLAS: We are still in the process of doing it. There's very specific requirements that Civil Service puts on us. We are continuing to do interviews. That's where we are. We don't have anything to report right now in terms of
recommendations. I'm hopeful that we may be able to make a recommendation in the next couple of weeks. I just -- we don't -- I can't say that for certain right now.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Do we -- is it possible? Do you know if municipalities where the Building Inspector is an appointed position?

MR. PALLAS: It's a Civil Service title.
We're required by Civil Service to go through their process. You can't have a -- you can't have a title -- you can't have someone doing work that's a Civil Service title.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is there a Civil Service title for Treasurer?

CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah. Yeah, yes.
CLERK PIRILLO: The answer is yes, but it's exempt. So that's -- the Treasurer is on the list of Civil Service titles, but it's considered to be an exempt position, according to Civil Service, similar to Village Clerk as well.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aren't we, even as Village Trustees, on the Civil Service list?

CLERK PIRILLO: I believe so --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, we are, as exempt.

CLERK PIRILLO: -- but I can't swear so to that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, as exempt,
because --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So that's --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But a Building Inspector cannot be exempt?

MR. PALLAS: No.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, they have to take the test.

CLERK PIRILLO: Correct.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So we have no choice but to follow this process.

CLERK PIRILLO: That's correct.
MR. PALLAS: That's correct.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. What are we posting as the salary, if you'd remind me?

MR. PALLAS: I don't recall specifically.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And my next question would be where does it sit in the Suffolk County average of --

CLERK PIRILLO: A little over 52. A iittle over 52.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, it's a little over 52.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And where -- do you know where that sits in the Suffolk County average -MR. PALLAS: I do not.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: -- Building Inspector, who --

MR. PALLAS: I do not know the answer to that question.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you think we would get a better applicant pool if we posted a better salary?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, because you have to go by the list.

MR. PALLAS: It's not -- it's not a -
MAYOR HUBBARD: We're going -- it's people that are on the list.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The list.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And whatever is left on the list, that's what you're going through. They canvassed the top 40 people on the list and they already had taken jobs, because the list is two years old. I think it's two years old.

MR. PALLAS: Two years old, correct.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Two years old.
MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Have we made any offers?
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MR. PALLAS: No, it's only an interview process, so we haven't gotten to the point where --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You haven't been able to make an offer yet?

MR. PALLAS: No. Right, correct, we haven't completed interviews.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. All right.
MR. PALLAS: We expect to complete them shortly, that I will tell you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And there's been a lot of talk about how when the rental permit -when we have a new Building Inspector, then we can start on the rental permit law. Is that --

MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: That's correct
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What is different about this Building Inspector than the one we had before, that now we would be able to start on the rental permit law?

MR. PALLAS: I'm not sure I understand the question.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So rental permit law has been in place for six years, we've done
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absolutely nothing with it.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Six years?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Five years?
MR. PALLAS: With the new rental law is what I was referring to when I said --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
MR. PALLAS: -- when the new Building Inspector comes in, we will then move forward with the new rental law as written.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. But I think you understand my question. So old or new, they both required a big process, and we didn't really get too far with the old one. So will this Building Inspector's time be organized differently, such that he or she has the time to implement a rental permit law, which we streamlined to make it easier, so --

MR. PALLAS: Yes, that's the hope, because it's more streamlined, that we'll be able to go through it faster, I guess is the best -- more efficiently is the best way to phrase it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you know what percentage like of the pie chart of the Building Inspector's time, what percentage will be rental permit law?

MR. PALLAS: I do not. We haven't gone through that the process. And it's not just the Building Inspector. We also have our Fire Marshal, we also have our Code Enforcement, who's trained to do those things, can do the simpler ones. We're going to figure out a -- once we get a Building Inspector and see where we are with workload, and all that, try to divvy up the time as most -- as efficiently as possible.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you have an idea for calendar months or weeks from launch to completion of the rental permit law process? Is it three months, is it 12 months, is it --

MR. PALLAS: I do not. I do not have -- I do not have an answer to that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. We have a new --
MR. PALLAS: But my anticipation would be the first round, because that's when you're going to collect all of the information from applicants, is probably longer than the renewal process as we move forward.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is it -- it's not weeks, probably, it's probably months, right?

MR. PALLAS: It's months, definitely it's months.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Maybe years?
MR. PALLAS: No, I don't believe it to be years.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: I think it's less than a year.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do believe that there's people -- Paul, just correct me, because you and I have been talking about it. There have been people who have been -- already coming to Village Hall wanting the application to get the process started.

MR. PALLAS: Yes, yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's good. Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have two associates that have two rental properties in the Village, both of them year-round, and they've had their properties inspected already. They came in ahead of our passing the law, to be honest with you. Some people, some landlords just want to do it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Inspected by us?
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes.
MR. PALLAS: Under the old -- under the old 1aw.
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TRUSTEE ROBINS: Under the old law, yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Under the old one. And that would count, right?

MR. PALLAS: We did a -- we did a number --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: The old application was more cumbersome, and they were looking --

MR. PALLAS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- you know, looking to comply.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But that will count.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: As a matter of fact, the properties that I manage were all inspected about three years ago, I think, or two years ago, something like that, under the old law.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah. There's a renewal process, and because of the changes to the law, we're probably going to reinspect all of them.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think, also, you have to understand when that permit law was originally voted on and approved, we didn't have a Code Enforcement Officer, we didn't have a Fire Marsha1.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We just had one person
who was dealing with all of that information.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. We were low functioning, and now we're high functioning, that's the way $I$ see it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Those were some of the goals that were set. Those were some of the goals that were set when there was a revamping of thinking of how the Building Department -- at least that's my understanding. And George, I mean, Mayor, the Mayor at the time and our Mayor currently, the three of us, that's how we envisioned it, was growing it so that it would be streamlined enough so that it made it easy for the applicant, easy for us to maintain it. And the previous law was, and you have to admit yourself, it was extremely complicated.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No. We made progress, I'm with you, yup.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. And, originally, the other one, the Building Inspector didn't have enough time to take care of everything that was going on, because there was one person in the Building Department. Ed Ward came on part-time
and Ed Ward did $95 \%$ of the inspections. And then Ed left us, and then we went through the training process, and Greg Morris is now trained to do the stuff, but it took a year to get him the training to be able to go and to do that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So he's able to do inspections now with Andrew, our Fire Marshal, who didn't have enough time. So they're working together with that, because we have to redo the 87 places we did that expired from two years ago --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yup.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- and then add the new ones on.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So when the new person comes on, it's going to be priority, but it's not going to be -- you're asking, you know, about the Building Inspector, but that's one part of it, because Greg is now trained, Andrew is trained.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So we'11 have three people that are able to go out and do inspections. So instead of one person doing it, you have three
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that can do it. It will go through a lot faster.
Yes, there's going to be an additional hundred places to inspect, but they'11 get through it a lot faster.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is the new employee in the Code Department going to be trained to do these inspections as well?

MR. PALLAS: In training now, in fact.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: She is.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Great.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. But somebody that's on the list, because they passed the test, doesn't mean --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That she has the training, right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- they have all the qualifications to do it right now. They may have to go to school to do that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's why I'm asking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So they could be, you know, hired as the Building Inspector because they
scored well on the test, but not be certified to do it, and then, you know --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yup.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- it would take time for them get up to speed to get the certification to do it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Have any of the applicants had the certification?

MR. PALLAS: We are also working with a newer -- a different iist, rather, that it appears that they are certified so far.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Great. Al1 right.
MR. PALLAS: You know, but again, we're not finished with the process.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The increase, the budget transfer to increase $\$ 7,000$ on the Code Enforcement line, since we're on Building Department, is that for this effort?

MR. PALLAS: I don't -- I haven't spoken to Robert about it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I believe that's dealing with the salary of adding another person.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, I think that's --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Am I right, Stephen?
MR. GAFFGA: No.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What it is?
MR. GAFFGA: The budget amendment's to -because we took in more revenue for Code Enforcement in the Justice Court, we've had more expenses for paying for that enforcement.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. GAFFGA: So we've raised both the revenue and expense line so that they offset each other

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Al1 right. Thanks. It's not -- it's not new people.

MR. GAFFGA: No.
MR. PALLAS: I didn't know you were referring to the budget.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah. Sorry.
MR. PALLAS: I thought you were talking about the next year's budget.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, okay. So then on January 18th, we got a copy of this policy regarding Fire Marshal inspections and change of use evaluations, and I don't see anything in this month's set of reports about that. Where is that?

MR. PALLAS: We -- my understanding was we requested comments from -- and I haven't received
any, but --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I haven't finished reading. I'll be honest with you, I set it aside, so I'11 be honest with you, so.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah. I mean, I gave comments last month. I could give them again, but I think that, you know, this is -- all right. So you're basically -- you're waiting for us to get back to you.

MR. PALLAS: You are al1 -- I mean, we've been trying to use that as our guide, you know, but it would be helpful if I had some formal, you know, acceptance by the Board of this policy.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think you know where I am on that. I think that the idea that we have to -- there's something in here that says any time there's a change of tenancy or ownership, there has to be -- that has to go before Planning Board. And if that's still in there, that is -that process is killing business in this Village. The process of -- and I've got somebody who came to me just yesterday, "I don't know what to do. I went into Village Hall. I'm trying to open a place where $I$ 'm going to sell things that $I$ make, and the last place in there was a retail. I'm
doing no construction work, I'm making no changes. I'm not changing egress or exit. I'm not changing the site plan. I was told I have to go hire an architect and develop a site plan with an architect, despite making zero changes, and then go before the Planning Board." We did this to the Art Collective twice, we did it to Doug Roberts' businesses once, we did it to Doug Roberts' office once.

So what are we doing? Why are we still doing these illegal fake use evaluations when what we need to do is have the Fire Marshal inspect the place, make sure it's safe? And if somebody is making no change to the use and no change to the building -- and when I talk to you, Mr. Mayor, about this, you agree with me on it, and I appreciate that. I know that you don't want to get in the way of these -- and a lot of these folks are local people. These are not people coming from Manhattan or Florida, or whatever, to come and develop, and that's fine, too. But when we're telling Greenport High School graduates that in order for them to open their dream businesses, they have to go hire an architect? Where are we coming up with this?

It's not in the code, it's not in the law. It's something that was made up and put into practice here, and we have to stop it, and I don't see us stopping it yet.

MR. PALLAS: First of all, the -- I don't know which application you're talking about specifically --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They haven't --
MR. PALLAS: -- so we can talk about it offline, perhaps.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. They haven't applied yet, because they're a little freaked out and don't know what to do, because they don't want to go hire an architect to draw a picture of a space.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Who told them to hire an architect?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Who told them they had to hire an architect?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Village Hall.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Who? The Village Hall?
MR. PALLAS: You can ask them to contact me and I'll find out the specifics.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm not going call out our own employees. I'm just telling you.

MR. PALLAS: We'11 look at the specifics of the case and see if it's required. I will tell you that this policy and the -- and the draft law that had been prepared were both vetted by -vetted by our Village Attorney and the Planning Board Attorney, both, and they both feel that this is a good -- a good approach. The Fire Marshal thinks it's a good approach.

We do need site plans that show what's in the building. The Fire Marshal needs that kind of information to do inspections. We need to know occupancies. We need to know what's going on in structures for safety reasons. You know, I -- that's -- that's what I have.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So in Section 3-A, where it says, "For all changes in use or occupancy," occupancy refers to a new tenant, a new owner?

MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It refers to the use, the use.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. For real estate, yeah.

MR. PALLAS: That it's being occupied differently than it was.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: To see that there's a
different person, yeah, different business.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Being occupied by a different person, even if it's the same use. So this -- this proposed policy does not change the use evaluation policy. In fact, what it's going to do is, I guess -- is this code or is this a policy?

MR. PALLAS: It's an interim policy that we thought would be helpful in -- ahead of any changes in the code, which were also supplied, I believe, in one of Joe's reports.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. So according to this -- and I want to preface this statement by saying that $I$ in no way, shape or form think that the American Legion should have been asked to go for a use evaluation for what they're doing. But according to this, they would have had to have come in for a use evaluation to have a party that was not roller-skating? I mean, is that -- the way we handled the Legion, by the way, was perfect. We handled them absolutely right. They deserved to do it. That's one of the best things that's happening in this whole area and I want to support it. But the local merchant who wants to open a shop deserves the same treatment.

And we're asking these people -- I mean, there was another -- another potential applicant who came to last month's use evaluation, you know, whatever, hearing for the Art Collective, and was saying, "I'm just so confused by this, I don't" -- and this is a person who's been working in this Village a long time and has to move her shop, because the landlord is new. And she's going, "I don't know what this is. No one will help me. I don't know how to do this."

And we're sending a message to the greater world, don't invest in Greenport, go somewhere else, because when you come to Greenport, you get confusing instructions, and you have to do something called a use evaluation, which, literally, if you go Google "use evaluation", all you find are documents from Greenport, because nobody else does it anywhere. We made it up. It's hurting people, it's hurting business.

And I think, especially since the Mayor has told me he doesn't really support all this, we should relax, and we should make sure these places are safe. We should do the fire inspections, but we need to relax with this dragging people before the Planning Board and
having their plans ripped apart.
By the way, your plants get ripped, and I -- because I went through this. Sorry I'm getting fired up, but $I$ went through this. My plans were ripped apart in front of the Board.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I haven't even started yet.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: My plans were ripped apart and I wasn't even given the response. The Planning Board had it and they're going, "Hey, you have to do this." I'm going, "That doesn't sound like a bad idea. Can someone show me what you're looking at? Because I'm the applicant."

This -- and I'm not trying to beat us up, because maybe we're having a tough time because the Building Inspector is not here. So what I think we should be doing is saying, "Look, we should not be adding more process on top of more process." What we should be doing is saying, "Let's get this right." In the meantime, Greenport High School grad who wants to open a shop in the same exact space, just selling, you know, widgets instead of trinkets, go sell your
widgets.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, some of that, I mean, having to get the architect and go through the process, when you want to build a deck on your house, you have to have stamped plans, you have to get an architect to do that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: There's stuff you have to do. Now this store --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Don't disagree.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't know the store, the place, but it could have been something that was looked -- last looked at 20 years ago, and it has to be up to current code. Even though it's a change of use, it has to be looked at. A lot of laws that are in effect now were not in effect. It was a long time ago. If it was six month ago, if it's seasonal, monthly, you know, yearly thing, that's different. But if it's one of these other stores that closed up after somebody was there for 20 years, it's got to be looked at. That's all they're trying to do, and that's why they're trying -- this policy was supposed to make it easier to make it so that you can just go through the process.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mayor, right. So you have to look at safety things, that's what the Fire Marshal is for. You don't have to be hauled before the Planning Board to talk about, you know, what sort of plants you have, and what color you're going to paint this wall. I mean, what goes on with this is just -- it's not right.

And I think what we need to do is focus on the safety aspect, because you're absolutely right about that. If tenancy changes after 20 years, that's a Fire Marshal issue. The plan needs to be -- the place needs to be looked at for safety. But to require people to go to architects when they're making no changes to their physical structure, I mean, it's absurd. Go ask anybody out in the real world who doesn't follow what's going on with the government, it's absurd, and it scares people away from wanting to build here.

MR. PROKOP: But we don't --
MR. PALLAS: I will -- first of all, Doug, just to be clear, the Fire Marshal is not going to go in and look at and inspect a property without a plan, it's that simple. So even what you're suggesting, that it doesn't go before the

Planning Board, that's ultimately up to -- up to this Board. But I'm still going to require some kind of a plan, because the Fire Marshal will not go in there without a plan. He has to know what he's inspecting against. What is -- what is the site? What is the site approval for? What is this space approved for? What's in the space? TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But doesn't the $C$ of 0 dictate that? Doesn't the existing plan dictate that? Does not the Zoning Code dictate that? MR. PALLAS: If there's a plan. If there's no plan in the file --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If there's never ever been a plan for the place.

MR. PALLAS: If there's no plan --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you know if that happens a lot?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That happened in my house, like slightly different.

MR. PALLAS: Often, very, very often.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's continuous.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: But that was totally different than yours.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But a lot of these houses were built or buildings were built
before --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A lot of them have preexisting COs.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Before zoning, before anything else, and plans.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I wanted to get a building permit for my house. I had to get plans drawn for the unbelievable disaster that my house is currently and then for $I$ wanted --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah. We're not talking about houses, we're talking about use evaluations.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Same concept.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No, it's not.
MR. PALLAS: The same exists -- the same exists in that space.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, if anything -wait, wait, wait, wait. We then get into the classifications within New York State, okay, between manufacturing and merchandise, and that dictates a fair amount of things also, because I got caught in that, okay, and something that really was fish related became a big mess, which, of course, you were very vocal about, okay?

So I understand where everyone's coming
from, but he is right, there are not a lot of plans in the Building Department files. There's -- to be honest with you, I just ran into it myself with something else that's coming up, and I'm going to have to have an architect come and draw the plans, even though I know that building inside and outside better than an architect does. But in order for me to get a permit, $I$ 'm going to have to get an architect to come in and deal with it, because it isn't in the file. That building hasn't been inspected for 50 years

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So if that's -- if this is such a big issue, then should we not go to all of our business owners and say, "Hey, you got to give us a plan for what you're doing"? Why must it always be for the new people? Because I think we all know there are couple of properties around here who open new businesses on their properties without getting approval from the Village. A yacht brokerage opens up down by the wharf and no one bats an eyelash. So are some businesses immune from this, but other businesses must go through the process? Be consistent.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What brokerage are you
talking about?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Claudio's opened a yacht brokerage two or three years ago and there was no mention of, oh, we must all rush and get plans from them.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The brokerage, there was two boats tied to a bulkhead. I mean, but that's --

MS. ALLEN: And they got approval.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm telling you they did not. So I'm telling you that some businesses are allowed to do whatever they want, but we crucify these new businesses with processes that do not exist anywhere in our code or law. And to codify a use evaluation in law is the worst decision this Board could ever make.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So let me ask you a question.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: So --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Wait a minute, wait a minute. Are we -- are we crucifying them because they're coming in and we're trying to create a system where everybody is fairly treated, and because we have old files that we have to catch up with, okay? That's going to happen, it
happens everywhere. So I understand what you're talking about. Use evaluation $I$ have fought since day one, I don't -- that has been not something that $I$ have been comfortable with. I wasn't comfortable with it when it was going on. But he is right, the Fire Marshal's got to have something to go in to inspect, okay, he does have to. So how are we going to do that, okay? We're going to do it with getting something done. Everybody gets wild about the -- I have no idea what it costs for an architect these days to do -- to do plans. I'm going to find out shortly, okay?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's between 400 and two grand, probably.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, sometimes --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And maybe that's the cost of business, and maybe the pushback is, "Hey, Roberts, you got to do a plan, at least, and have the fire" -- that would be not a terrible response, I suppose. But to come up with this fake use evaluation that you and $I$ both know is not a real thing.

And this -- and maybe it's our fault as a Village Board. We're supposed to be providing
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the oversight with a plan. We have not -- where is the LWRP, does anybody know? It's like AWOL. So the LWRP is supposed to be the governing document with a plan. I know that people are concerned that, you know, the survey that I'm going to share with you later, people are concerned that every single business downtown is going to become a $\$ 50$ a plate entree restaurant. That is a real concern, I feel it myself. So the LWRP and a Planning document can then affect the Zoning Code, which can help us avoid something like that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But we already -- okay. We have an updated LWRP that's in the process, we already have a current LWRP that --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's like eight, six years old, eight years old?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But it's still valid. It's --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Maybe. I don't even know. Do we -- we don't know where it is.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'11 send you a copy of it. I have --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I have a copy, but like where is it in the process? Why hasn't it been
approved? Why haven't we voted on it?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you know what the old one says? That's the one we have to be working on right now. The new one it not even approved yet.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's not adopted, right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's not adopted. So what we should do --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And things have changed since you guys worked on it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, some things have changed, but some things haven't.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Mary Bess, I just want to get this clear. So whether we call it a use evaluation or we call it a review by the Fire Marshal and a plan submitted, I mean, are we talking semantics here --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- or are you just disagreeing with the fact that we shouldn't require a plan, and that don't need to know about when a new business goes in, who they are, what they're doing, and how they're going to occupy the space? Even if it was just reused, you say just go in and paint and do business?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No. The Village needs to know, the Village needs to make sure it's safe. And so if that requires drawing plans in some cases, I guess I'm understanding that sometimes we make them draw plans because there aren't any. But I'm not sure that we've delved -- I don't think anybody delved into the file in this particular case and said, "Oh, yeah, there are no plans." The pat quick response was, "Go get an architect, bring us plans." So maybe we can look into that, and maybe we can just make sure that there aren't plans before we send people out to do that, okay?

What I do think is that the use evaluation, and I'm not alone in this, the use evaluation with the Planning Board is -- it's just a bureaucratic mess that has no basis in law or code, and we should do it -- do away with it. Look at the plans, make sure they're safe, and as long as you're following the Zoning Code, there's nothing really we can do to tell you currently, because the zoning code doesn't say, you know, what sort of shops can happen on which blocks, etcetera. So if you're opening up a retail shop in a commercial retail zone and you're following
the code, you're good, here's your approval, go on about your business.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, I was involved. My office moved this was six months, from the location where the Art Collective was, as a matter of fact. And my broker asked me what do I have to do. I said go in and find out, you know, what you need to do. He says basically we're, you know, setting up an office and putting up a sign, and said you're going to have to go through probably one cycle of Planning Board, you know, use evaluation, or whatever, and it was no big deal. I mean, we were in quick. He didn't find it was an oppressive process by any means. TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So maybe you -- maybe you pulled some strings --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Me?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: -- that I didn't, I don't know. I had three Planning Board meetings, I got a bill.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I said, "Go to Village Hall," that's all I said.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Everyone knows my office. It's a desk with a room in it and a phone. I got a bill for $\$ 400$ from this Village for taking the
record, for a Planner, for Attorney fees, for a desk with a phone. So I'm glad you had a better experience. Either way, it's not in the law or the code and we should stop it, and I wish we would. And if the -- now before --

MAYOR HUBBARD: You could take -- you could take the term "use evaluation" and, you know, take that out, we're not using that as a term. Anybody that moves into a building still has to be reviewed. I explained this to you when we talked about it with my shop in Shelter Island. When we changed from an insurance office to a brewery in the front building, it had to go to the Planning Board on Shelter Island. They had to go in with plans, what they're going to do, Board of Health certificate, and what they're going to be doing with the building. And it went to the Shelter Island Planning Board, that was part of the process. It took 40 days.

And if somebody's going to open up a business, you know, $\$ 400$ for the architect, it might sound like a lot of money, but, really, if you're going to open up a business, you're looking at a capital investment, and $\$ 400$ to make sure that everything is legal and right and you
get all your permissions is not -- to me, that's not out of the ordinary. And I went through it with all three places that $I$ have on Shelter Island, my shop and I had the two rentals. And each time it changed, it went from one thing to another, you had to fill out the application, you had to go to the Planning Board on Shelter Island, they had to review it, see what you had. Said, "No, you can't do a sign here. You can do this. Where is your parking?" And we did the whole thing, and that's how Shelter Island Town does it. It's not called use evaluation, but it has to be reviewed through the Building Department, is where you go and get the files. And then Shelter Island doesn't have a Planning Board, the Town Board is the Planning Board.

So then my tenant had to go to the Town Board meeting and they said this is the stuff we came up with. He went, he looked at it, came back to me, "We've got to move this, we've got to move that, this edge can't be here." You know, we straightened out what we had to do, showed the designated parking shots. He went back the following month with the changes and he had permission to open up. That's just how the
process worked over there. Not called that term, but it's the same basic process. Any new business has to be reviewed just for compliance of what they're doing.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I think what I'm hearing is two different issues here. One issue is I'm hearing, the process that we currently have, we're trying to change. But the other process, or the other part of what I'm hearing is that perhaps we have a communication problem coming out of Village Hall. When people come in to discuss an issue, that perhaps there needs to be a -- more of a customer related approach of taking five seconds to ask them what they do, instead of, you know, "I want" -- "I want to do this, what do I have to do," and it becomes a quick pat answer. I think that --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Or a document. The process is not documented anywhere.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. So that -there's two things. There's the actual process of what we're doing, but there's also the customer relationship that's going on in Village Hall. And I think that's probably what I'm hearing, especially if you're saying this person
came to you and was completely confused. Because I have observed times when people have been able to explain things, as there's interaction between people, and there's other times when people have come in and been intimidated, because they're walking into Village Hall, they're walking to a counter, there's all kinds of people around, and they can't talk freely as to what they want to talk about. I can understand that, too.

So there's two issues I'm hearing here, and, Paul, that's probably -- part of it is maybe there needs to be some type of a check list off for someone who wants to open up a new business, or someone wants to do something. That would ease some of the stress, okay?

The other part of it is the use evaluation, maybe it's just -- it needs to have a different terminology, because we all get our backs raised with that term. I've been -- had it raised for a long time.

MR. PROKOP: We're in agreement with what we -- Paul and I, and Mr. Connolly, and Management are in agreement with everything that we just heard. We did -- I thought that we were not doing use evaluations anymore, we were doing
a Fire Marshal inspection. The Fire Marshal is only supposed to refer to the Planning Board in two occasions, one, if there's going to be a change in the physical condition of the building, and the second is there are - there are some areas of the Village that where uses, certain uses are conditional.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
MR. PROKOP: And in that case, it has to go before the Planning Board.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Absolutely.
MR. PROKOP: But those are the only two situations. And I don't -- I'm surprised to hear that anybody thinks that we're still doing this, because I don't believe that we are.

MR. PALLAS: We're not as a general matter. The other -- I think the other time when we would go to Planning Board is if they're requiring a building permit, if $I$ remember correctly, where there's a significant change to the space.

MR. PROKOP: If there's an alteration.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah, an alteration that requires -- an alteration that requires a building permit. That was the intent of what we were trying to do with this policy. If we missed
the mark, we'll take a look at it and make sure. But, as a general matter, we've toned down significantly what goes to the Planning Board. We -- as far as people coming in and asking questions and given pat answers, that is -- as far as I know, is not happening. We get information. If people don't understand, there's not a whole bunch.

I speak -- because we don't have a Building Inspector, I've been spending a considerable amount of time personally speaking with applicants. So whoever this applicant is, if you know them, feel free to give me a call. And it sounds to me like there's a misunderstanding either on the part of the applicant or on the part of whoever spoke with them. If it was me, I apologize.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: But I do not believe that that has happened.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: There's also a -- you know, I am told many times from all over the place they send emails into Village Hall, nothing comes out. So that lends to a feeling that these people don't really want me to open my business
here. And it's just not like -- we're struggling to hold onto our year-round economy, and if we are making it hard for locals to start businesses, that's going to be a real problem for us going forward, because people will just leave.

So the last thing I want to throw out there as a suggestion is that we look into a CRM, a customer relation management tool to help manage the work flows on these, particularly communications to the public. They're not expensive. The most common one is salesforce.com. But if we can look into that, maybe before next month, as a way to manage these applications, and so that everything, that everyone who walks in that door, somebody in the office there puts it into the system, so we know there's now a record. This is an applicant. We need -- they're this kind of account or this kind of customer, essentially, and so -- and in a month, you get a reminder, "Hey, I haven't responded to the email that this person sent." Then we have it all in one place. I think it would help your team manage it. But, you know, maybe we're all -- maybe this Board's at odds about use evaluations,
whether they're -- or when the Planning Board is required or not, I think we should stick with the code, and the code is pretty clear. There's nothing that says anything about use evaluations or Planning Board, except for those -- those two things you pulled out. So the Zoning Code is pretty clear, let's stick to it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You do realize that some of the problem is, is when a new -- when a business vacates and a new business probably in the same retail, whatever, has to deal with some of these ADA compliances; is that not right, Paul?

MR. PALLAS: That's correct. If they're changing, there's, yes, the trigger --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that involves a building permit, correct?

MR. PALLAS: -- of the building permit, which then, again, in terms of the way that we were crafting this --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Understood.
MR. PALLAS: Would end up going go to Planning Board, again, because it's a significant change to the physical structure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That $I$ understand.

MR. PALLAS: That's all the intent of this was. Again, we'11 take another look at it and we'11 -- if we missed that, we'11 revise it. But in terms of your other suggestion, the variety of types of applications, I mean, any -I would be shocked if any two applications in the same month followed the same path. There is such a variety of differences, zones, permitted uses, conditional uses. There are -- it would -- I appreciate the tools, but I don't know that they -- that they'd be in our -- in a Building Department this small would be of much value, and --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which tools?
MR. PALLAS: -- particularly the sales force tool you're talking about.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's just -- that's just a tool that manages to make sure you communicate back with people, which we're not great at.

MR. PALLAS: I would dispute that, quite frankly.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry.
MR. PALLAS: I dispute that. We are --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Customers are right, and that's what they said.

MR. PALLAS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Doug, I'11 be honest with you, I know that there's stress with the Building Department, because they are shorthanded, and there's been plenty who have come to me and asked questions. But I'11 be honest with you, I've taken them by the hand and I've called Paul, and I said, "Listen, this person came in and said they hadn't heard anything." I think we as Trustees can do that. We don't need to get involved in it. But instead of, you know, take the extra step until we get a Building Inspector. And, Paul, I think I've done that a couple of times with you, because it helps.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah. No, I'd be more than happy to assist.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, that's part of -- that's part of us helping out a situation. I understand where you're coming, but --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But think about that for a second, though, Trustee. That means that one's proximity to an elected official determines whether he or she gets a fair shake on the app1ication?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, no, no, no, no. What I -- no. What I'm saying is, is that I mentioned to him that someone got a hold of me, here's his telephone number, and I'm out of it, okay? I'm not personally taking them in. They complained about something. I -- they complained about not having an email answered, okay? They complained because they didn't get this paper, okay? I sent him an email that says --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- let's do something with it. Now that's helping out, in my mind. That's not influencing, that's not taking somebody by the hand, that's just helping them out.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, I didn't mean that. But, respectfully, I think that's a Band Aid, and I think we need a policy and a process.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think we need to finish getting the Building Inspector process done and start getting the program and the department -- we're moving forward, that's how I feel.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It was like this before, so -- so if you're saying new beginning, we're
going to see a whole -- then I'11 wait and see the new beginning.

MR. PALLAS: I think, Doug, and, you know, again, I think a lot of that has already changed. I think the communication on folks that come in and call get fairly fast responses. Things that require some thought and discussion get some thought and discussion. You know, on one hand, you know, we give somebody a quick answer, that's no good. And if we answer too long, that's no good. I -- you know, there's got to be a happy medium somewhere. And I don't have a Building Inspector who would field most of this, these types of initial questions. And when that person is hired, we will -- hopefully, we'11 see significant differences yet again, even though I believe personally that there -- all those differences are already there.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. I've said my piece. Thanks for listening. Thanks for 1istening.

MR. PALLAS: Moving on. Recreation Department: Just, again, some quick items.

Ice rink is running very well. The Skate School, again, is doing -- is doing great.

E-reservations for next summer for the Marina already starting to pick up. Our new reservation system is I think working, working out very, very well for us at this stage. We're getting mooring and baymen's dock renewals already as well. That's going fairly well.

The Rec. Center itself, the After School Program has continued to be a success. They do a lot of -- many programs. You can read about them in the -- in the report there.

And that's what I have.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have a question about the campground. We're starting to get ready, or you're going to start getting ready for spring, or is his -- is his contract up for renewal or --

MR. PALLAS: It -- in fact, it is. We will get that on the agenda for next month.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. And then the reservations, the Dockwa for the reservations, do we have any idea of advanced reservations, idea of what's coming up?

MR. PALLAS: Well, that's what -- that's what I was talking about.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
MR. PALLAS: They're all coming through the

Dockwa System.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: I don't -- I don't know if I -- I don't have any detail on it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: But I can certain1y -- I
can -- I'm not familiar enough with the system to know what kind of reports are available, but I can certainly find out. And if there's any reports, I can add them to the --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Maybe when Robert gets back, we could get him --

MR. PALLAS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- to give us something, so it gives us some advanced notice as to what's transpiring with the Marina.

MR. PALLAS: Uh-huh.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because spring will be coming soon. And I'm assuming that since we now have Rich?

MR. PALLAS: Rich, yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Rich, you've changed some -- you've done some changes as far as responsibilities, or you're starting to let him take more. Can you explain some of what's going
on?
MR. PALLAS: That's still a bit of a work in progress, as in -- yeah, it's a work in progress, but the short answer is yes, we -- he is building up more responsibility and taking on more work, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So he will be actually being doing the hiring of the dock help this year?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah, he's going to be directly involved in the dock.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: Dock workers, correct.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. And the scheduling and --

MR. PALLAS: Scheduling. Everything to do with the dock workers he's going to be directly responsible for, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So who will be taking care of oversight of the Carousel?

MR. PALLAS: That wil1-- at present, is Margo.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that will stay with

Margo?
MR. PALLAS: That's correct, as of now.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. A11 right. So there'11 be a separation between the two?

MR. PALLAS: As of -- yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As of now.
MR. PALLAS: As of now.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Al1 right.
MR. PALLAS: That's where we're headed, yup.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anything else for Pau1?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Robert Brandt is still out. We have the Assistant Treasure, Stephen Gaffga.

MR. GAFFGA: Good evening, everybody.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Good evening.
MR. GAFFGA: Relatively light work session report.

The first one is to -- resolution to schedule the public hearing for the budget on April 10th at the 01d Schoolhouse.

The second resolution we talked about
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earlier, it's a budget amendment raising the revenue and expense lines for Code Enforcement and the Justice Court fees. That's just to continue to pay for the bills. And we took in a little more revenue, which is a positive, so that we're able to take care of those fees.

In regards to Utility Billing, we're right on target. Sectors 1 and 2 have gone out. We're working on Sector 3 reading right now.

One thing to note is that the work session says that we were preparing the sewer and water tax levy notices. They have gone out, so they are out in the mail. People should be receiving them and have received them already. So if anybody has any questions about their tax levy notices, just call Village Hall and they could speak to the Utility Biliing Department.

Just the basic stuff with Community Development. Five recertifications and four interims. One family relinquished their voucher. And five vouchers were issued in January, and all five have a start date of February 1st.

One thing to note, a positive, is that we were able to submit the grant application to Suffolk County for the Water Quality Protection

Program for the Village's proposed Road End Drainage Improvement Project.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Awesome.
MR. GAFFGA: We're just finishing up some minor details with Suffolk County to formally accept the application, but everything is looking good for that.

And that's pretty much -- that's pretty much all I have for this month.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have a question. Paul, if we do get that grant, which I'm assuming we will, what would be a start date for that work?

MR. PALLAS: I'd have to go back. I'm sorry. I'd have to go back to the original application and see what the timing on that would be. I don't -- I just don't recall at the moment.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: It couldn't start until we got the grant.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, of course.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah. But I will say that we're trying to do a lot of -- some of the work inhouse, but a portion of the work is going to be done through existing State and County contracts.
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So it will 1 imit the bidding requirements to speed things up.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anything else for Stephen?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. The Village Clerk, Sylvia Pirillo.

CLERK PIRILLO: Good evening, Ladies and Gentlemen. I do have a few additions, of course, and I'11 try to make them brief. One is authorizing the Village Administrator to work with HPC Chairman Bull on a grant opportunity. We did a similar thing not too long ago. This grant opportunity would provide us with the availability to receive the substantial part of the funding required to provide a twentieth year inventory of our HPC properties. So we would like to have that on the agenda for Thursday.

We talked a little bit while the Fire Chief was here regarding the public referendum that would be required to make our LOSAP change, our proposed LOSAP change. With that comes a variety of resolutions on my part, similar to resolutions that we would have for an election, okay? The
first one would be to approve a resolution that was created for us and is being reviewed by Attorney Prokop by Penflex. So that would be the first one. The second one would be to establish the date of the public referendum, assuming all is -- all goes well at tomorrow's Wardens meeting. That date would be August 14th of this year.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is that -- I'm sorry. Is that required by law to be that time of year?

CLERK PIRILLO: To be in August?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: No, it is not.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We can pick any --
CLERK PIRILLO: We can choose the date, yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
CLERK PIRILLO: Yes. And we chose it to make it the most opportune time for year-round and seasonal residents

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Got it, okay. You can't do it on the other -- on the regular Election Day, when everyone's already --

CLERK PIRILLO: We can, but we have an election coming up in March that entails the election of two Trustees and the Mayor, and we
were --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, I mean the national one, November.

CLERK PIRILLO: I'm sorry.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, to put it on --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh, no, because the voting district's all different.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They're different.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry.
CLERK PIRILLO: No, it's not --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The idea was to keep it a topic referendum so people know exactly what it is, not flip over for --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Got it.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- the back of the page, or whatever.

CLERK PIRILLO: Proposition 1, 2.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It's just --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- you know.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay? We'd like it to be stand-alone.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Also, it was my suggestion to do it during the summertime, when people are
more available, than try to do it the second week in December, when people are away. And just so it's very transparent, it's very open, it's during the summertime, and just get it done so everything is in place. Because they said you could do it at the Village election in March and do it retroactive, but then $I$ don't think the Fire Department and the work that the EMS does should be a political topic. I think it's easier to just --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- stand alone and just
have the vote for them. That's -- you know, that's how I explained it with the meeting we had with them.

CLERK PIRILLO: Absolutely. To that end, the voting hours right now will be set for 12 noon to 9 p.m. That will be a separate resolution. Also, we will need inspectors, as always, election inspectors. We'll be asking, as usual, for the availability to have a non-Village resident serve as an election inspector, and that is always a precaution of ours.

We will be adding as well a resolution appointing Stephen Kiely as Village Prosecutor,
and his term, actually, will expire on the 5th of April of this year, for a possible reappointment by the Mayor at the Organizational Meeting.

In addition, a resolution scheduling a public hearing for the North Ferry Company. As we know, the North Ferry Company current Wetlands Permit Application is on hold. They would like to actually just repair the ramps that are leading to the slips. For those ramps, there are two permits. One is a DEC permit particular to North Ferry, and the other is an Army Corps permit that is a general works permit. So they would like us to schedule the public hearing, if possible, for the March meeting, which would be the 22nd of March.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Neither one of those -- those are task specific, they are not maintenance permits, correct?

CLERK PIRILLO: The Army Corps is a maintenance permit.

MR. PALLAS: The Army Corps is the general maintenance permit.

CLERK PIRILLO: That's a general maintenance permit.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It is a general
maintenance permit?
CLERK PIRILLO: Yes, it is.
MR. PALLAS: And the DEC permit is the --
CLERK PIRILLO: Task specific
MR. PALLAS: I'm sorry, is -- right, it's task specific. These ramps were part of their original overall application, so that they're just asking to do the ramp portion of it, since the DEC permit we already have. It's just the Army Corps general permit which would apply, because this is -- they're going to replace it, we believe. Quick review of it indicates that the work that they're doing is replacing what's already there, they're not doing anything other than that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. But the Army Corps maintenance permit is going to be specific to this, those ramps, it's not going to --

MR. PALLAS: Yes. I'm sorry, yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm asking.
MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's what I'm saying, it's only going to be specific to that work.

MR. PALLAS: It's not going to be used

1 for -- correct, for the overall project, absolutely not.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's not going to be used or it's not going to be slid over to be used for it.

MR. PALLAS: Absolutely not.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: No. They've already --
they're well aware of that.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's what I'm asking.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Martilotta, if you'd like to jump in on this one.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
CLERK PIRILLO: Last request is a request for this evening, if the Board is so inclined, to please vote on a resolution approving an Intermunicipal Agreement between the Village and the School, similar to what we did last time during a break. Trustee Martilotta provided the contract, which we edited, which was already signed by the School Board.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
CLERK PIRILLO: I took the liberty of
creating a resolution. Do you have anything to add?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No. Just we had spoke about it. I wasn't able to get you a copy of the contract prior, because $I$ didn't get it.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But now that I have it, it is essentially the exact same thing we did over the Christmas break, which was very successful, to do it over the February break, and then again over -- is that April?

MR. PALLAS: Apri1.
CLERK PIRILLO: April.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Apri1.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Spring break.
MAYOR HUBBARD: The Spring break in April.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The spring break as well. To open up the gym, the gymnasium for four days, a couple of Village employees, handful of Village employees, couple of school employees. I think it's a great thing, at essentially no cost to the Village.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Sylvia, can you -- I mean, the break starts tomorrow, doesn't it? TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No.

CLERK PIRILLO: The break starts --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Saturday.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Saturday?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Saturday. Monday.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Monday.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can you execute that in time?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Monday is Presidents Day.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Monday is Presidents Day.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So it would be Tuesday through Friday of next week.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Next week.
CLERK PIRILLO: It's the 20th through the 23rd, for that break.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right, okay.
CLERK PIRILLO: And then the other break is similar, because it doesn't start on the Monday.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: It begins on the Tuesday, so that would be the 3rd of April.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So you realize --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm just checking, does he have enough time do it for next week, is what I'm
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asking you.
CLERK PIRILLO: We do if this Board would please vote on it tonight --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or does it tonight.
CLERK PIRILLO: -- and we ratify it next week. The School Board has already signed.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: They did last night.
CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Martilotta provided the signed copies. If you approve it and the Mayor can then sign the contract, then we do have enough time.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah. And just so you guys know, I didn't like Shanghai Paul or George with it, but, you know, I let them now I think it's coming.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So there was some planning behind it.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No problem, no problem.
Can I make a motion to --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You want her to read the reso?

CLERK PIRILLO: You may. Thank you.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: A resolution approving the

Intermunicipal Agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Union Free School

District for a joint program from 12:30 p.m.
through 3:00 p.m. on Friday -- excuse me --
February 20th, 2018 through February 23rd, 2018, from Apri1 3rd, 2018 through Apri1 6th, 2018 at the Greenport School, and authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the Intermunicipal Agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Union Free School District. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I'11 sign it this evening and get a copy back.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you. Okay. That concludes the additions.

Moving on to the report. Under Agreements and Contracts, the fully executed agreement with PERMA was received today, so that has been filed appropriately.

Mass Public Assembly Permits: It was
brought to our attention, we'd like to let the Board know, for the East End Seaport Museum Maritime Festival, that there maybe what is being called a Schooner Festival, which would entail four or five schooners coming to visit, which is very consistent with the feel of the Maritime Festival. The longest of these is 110 feet and is the Mystic Whaler. This is not something that is concluded yet, so we don't know that it will be for sure, but they are in conversation with the Seaport Museum, so we wanted to bring that to the Board's attention.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's awesome.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is there anything we would have to do on that?

CLERK PIRILLO: No, but there was a concern that it wasn't --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Just give them dock space?

CLERK PIRILLO: We11, yeah, we'11 work out
dock space. They're going to pay for it, of course.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay? It's part of the Classic Boat Exhibit, okay? We can -- Paul and I can work out the details. But since it wasn't part of the application, we wanted to let the Board know. Okay?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is that resolution including the open container?

CLERK PIRILLO: It is.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, that's in that --
CLERK PIRILLO: And that's -- that opens that conversation.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: When do we talk about that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Now.
CLERK PIRILLO: Right now, if you'd like, because --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I've talked a lot already, you go first.

CLERK PIRILLO: Because on my work session report, we have the resolution that we've used for several years. If this Board would like to
change it, I believe the discussion point is now.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I believe I sent an email, and the Village Attorney sent one back today that he has some information for us. So, I guess, Joe, what I'm asking is, you know, can we change it? What are the rules? You know, can we just on Sunday that it's from noon -- starts at noon and not at 9 o'clock, like we've always done in the past?

MR. PROKOP: Not at 9 a.m., is that --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. In other words, Sunday move it to serving -- you know, the open container law wouldn't start until noon on Sunday.

MR. PROKOP: We could, we could do that, yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Or maybe both days, 9 o'clock in the morning.

MR. PROKOP: So is your question to me can we have the open container waiver, that we normally put into effect, start at noon; is that your question?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct, that's --
MR. PROKOP: Yes, we can do that. Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's been -- because
that -- our only issue is the -- is where our code is dealing with the open container law. It's not dealing with serving alcohol, it's dealing with people walking around with open containers, correct?

MR. PROKOP: That -- of our existing code, that's correct, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So that that's what I wanted to get clear. So we could state that on -- the resolution could say, and I'm just putting this out here for discussion, could be from 9 o'clock to the normal, and then on Sunday, it would be not being able to start until noontime on Sunday.

MR. PROKOP: That's fine, we could do that.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We could do that?
MR. PROKOP: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's my question.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But you originally brought this out by email because you -- of the New York State Law, which I believe has been changed, the B1ue Law on Sunday morning has been changed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, it's 10 o'clock.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's 10 o'clock.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I have a hard time with this one, because I guess -- this is weird. I should recuse because of my business. However, my position is potentially against my business' profit. As a Trustee, I've heard a ton of people raise real concerns about whether we should continue to do this. We've talked about it before. So I guess we could just put it out there, as we always have, and then if people are concerned, they can come and voice those concerns. But, you know, our business would be joining the ranks of those who say that maybe this isn't the best thing for a whole variety of reasons.

So I know I personally, as an owner of a place, I would rather be able to contain where people are drinking the stuff we serve them. And maybe I'm paranoid, but that's just -- it's a safety issue. But a ton of locals have raised concerns with this. So I want to throw out to this group, should we talk about this and decide if we want to do this? Should we take some time
and let the community react to it and give us input?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me ask you a question. With the liquor license, I believe that they are supposed to try to contain where the consumption is; is that right?

MR. PROKOP: Right. So one of the things, one of the things that came up is that the when we have these festivals, the service of alcohol moves out of what is the premises, and that's acceptable to the SLA, as long as there's some interaction between the owner and the SLA. The SLA understands, this is now done in many different communities, things like this, some weekly. The SLA -- so the SLA knows that people are now consume -- selling and consuming alcohol outside and not just inside buildings.

So what they - the preference of the SLA is that the consumption of the alcohol is generally in the area of the premises where it's purchased, but they're not going -- it's not the same limitation where it is otherwise, where you cannot purchase a drink in a building and then leave with it. They understand that with the outside service is going to come leaving the
premises, that's understood with this.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And go anywhere.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, isn't it
contained --
MR. PROKOP: We11 --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- within the barricades of the festival? I mean, you can't -- you're not supposed to --

MR. PROKOP: It's within the festival, that's right.

CLERK PIRILLO: Within the festival parameters, yes.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
MR. PROKOP: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. But let me ask you the next question, okay, is because there is a liability attached to a liquor license, and there is a liability of an accident happening and going back to the last place of consumption. So where is the Village sitting on this? I mean, that's my next question.

We have someone who's been to the festival -- and I'm just hypothetically, okay? Someone's been to the festival and they were in a major accident coming -- going home, or whatever.
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1 Insurance companies -- oh, I mean, litigating lawyers like to go after those who have the biggest pockets. So where is the Village's position in that type of a situation? Because I know some restaurant owners who have had to deal with this and it's been painful.

MR. PROKOP: Well, I mean, that's generally regulated by the police. We -- you know, we -- I think that that would be dangerous to dictate a policy about that. I think generally those kinds of issues are monitored by the police when the police are -- because the police do have jurisdiction over this event.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, no, no, no, I'm not talking about arresting, I'm not talking about that. I'm talking about when someone's in an accident.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
MR. PROKOP: Well, things like public intoxication, public --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, who falls in the water, right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Intoxication in the water and dies.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fall off the bulkhead.

MR. PROKOP: Right. So, you know, again, I think that's something for the police.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: My reaction to your question --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm talking the insurance liability.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Her question is --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can they sue the Village,
is what you're saying?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's it, right.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: If somebody fell here? Also, somebody can sue the Village if they aren't drinking.

MR. PROKOP: I can never -- I would never say that. I say that -- whenever, I'm asked that question --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's fine.
MR. PROKOP: -- do we have liability, I could never say it. I wouldn't --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine, that's fine. I can use my own experience.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. I mean, you know, all we're voting to do, in the past we voted to suspend the open container law, so that you could

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
walk down the street as part of the festival and have something on you. Besides that, you know, people serving somebody drunk, or whatever, that's really not our liability, because we're not serving the alcohol. We're just saying you're allowed to walk down the street on a closed road with a beverage that you bought somewhere, and that's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine.
MAYOR HUBBARD: That's our limit on it.
The question $I$ had for you is now you're proposing or you want to ask about changing it so that the festival will be from 9 until 6 , but no alcohol or open containers from --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On Sunday from noon to closing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In other words --
MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what you're proposing, that's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm proposing, is that we change that Sunday, that the open container law doesn't start until noontime.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Instead of 9 o'clock.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And I'm throwing out a question as to whether -- whether we need this in our Village for a weekend every year. Do we really need -- and look, I'm no -- I'm no teetotaler, so it's not about that. It's just, you know, there are plenty of ways for people to go have beverages that weekend. I've had some pushback from people about whether -- whether we need this. And saying the festival has changed, it's changed from a festival celebrating our maritime heritage to a Vegas style beer fest. And so, you know, I think this Board should take up that question. And if everyone else is comfortable with it, then --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, I had also asked the question --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm thinking that --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I had also asked a question that Paul, or whoever is interacting with the committee, pass by this suggestion that it be pushed back until noontime. That was the original question. You know, how about we have a discussion with the Maritime Committee that's putting the festival together and say, hey, we're
talking about this. There's been -- there was concern after the festival last year, what are their thoughts on it? And that's where I'm at. I still think that, in all honesty, this particular one, I'm not sure what the time limit is as far as having to have this resolution on this month, or if it could go on next month, or if there's conversation that needs to take place. You know, I just -- I'm not sure if the committee was even broached on this yet. So that's my question.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We could wait a month.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: As far as the hours are concerned, I think that's simply the hours of the festival, that's where they got that from. That's when they start, you know.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We11, I understand that. But then I think Trustee Roberts is talking about having alcohol only in the restaurants and not on the street at all, if that's --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, if that's the case, then I think Trustee Roberts has to recuse himself on this, to be honest with you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure, I will.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: You're in the business of
selling alcohol, and it would actually probably be more beneficial to you if it was contained within your business and stores.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No, no, ma'am.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I got to tell you, I'm thinking against this the other way.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'11 show you my books.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's the other way around.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't know.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It's not even close.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Whatever. I mean, I'm just saying --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I will recuse, though.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: You need to recuse yourself on this.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You bet, you bet, you're right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Whatever we're talking about, I want to make sure we're talking about this, and we're going to discuss it with the Maritime Museum and the committee that we're discussing the topics that the Board here wants to have discussed. One is make it Sunday from
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noon to six for alcohol, not before noon. If they're allowed to sell it at 10 o'clock in their restaurant, they could do it inside their restaurant, or whatever. We can't control that, you know. But the open container law would be in effect, the ban on it would be in effect from noon until six.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: On Sunday.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On Sunday.
MAYOR HUBBARD: On Sunday.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's 5, I thought it
was. It's 6?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And Saturday is still 9 to 6.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Saturday leave it alone. But as I said, I did ask this a while ago, before this resolution was coming up, that some communication take place with the Maritime Committee, so that there could be input brought back to us.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We could go with 6.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Did they come in and talk to you?

CLERK PIRILLO: May I?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Could we postpone a month
and then let people respond?
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: May I? May I tell you something? It's my understanding that there are several parties in the Seaport Museum Committee that are aware of the fact that this Board will be discussing it. It hasn't really been a secret that this is an issue amongst the Board. So they are aware of the discussion. And, frankly, it's the privy of this Board to decide on this permit.

MR. PALLAS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's all I need to know.

CLERK PIRILLO: So they are very aware of it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Then my suggestion is, is that we put the resolution on for this month from --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And with the one change.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: With the one change on Sunday from noon to --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's 5, not 6.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: To whatever the times are.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And you don't want to let the public have a month to even know we're voting on this and sound off?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, I think that it's going to be in the newspaper, because I'm sure the gentleman behind me is busy writing away as he's typing. I think, also, that the opportunity wil1 come at next month's -- I mean, the next week's Board meeting.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that we need to give a subtle change to something that has been going on for years. And if the Maritime Committee wants to make a comment, I think we need to comment -- we need to contact them that this resolution is being changed. It's going to be on next week's agenda, and that if they wish to comment, that that's the time to come do it, SO --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And the public as well, the Village residents.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, everybody.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It will be a resolution
that they can all speak on. I think that's only fair, because $I$ do know that it takes time for them to get that exemption for the liquor license. So let's start with a small change this year. I understand where you're coming from. TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And, honestly, it's people, it's not me.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no. Believe me, I heard a lot about it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In October we heard a lot of it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, yeah, I heard a lot about it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Everyone's forgotten.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Not everybody's forgotten, but $I$ heard a lot about it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So 1et's just -- let's just -- you know, sometimes we don't need to do drastic measure, we just need to do small changes and see how they come out.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair enough.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that's my suggestion. MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, that's fine. We also, when they have the conversation with the

East End Seaport Museum Committee, to let them know that if they're going to be having their vendors that are serving alcohol, as far as the Liquor License Authority, they need to supply a 1ist to the Liquor Authority of the people that are doing that, and canvas those people, because that's part of their being able to use the outside thing, or they need to know who's doing it.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It could be one application with 30 restaurants or bars, or whatever, that are doing it, but they al1 -- they need to comply on that list and supply that to the State Liquor Authority.

MR. PALLAS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: That's not something we're going to do. It's not our parade, it's not our thing, but they need to be notified. Seeing that the question was asked, that's one of the answers that came from the State Liquor Authority. So when you tell them that's what the State says, and have them put together a list of whoever is going to be serving alcohol, and then put the list together and make sure they furnish that to
us and the State Liquor Authority.
MR. PALLAS: Got it.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And for the record, I wil1 recuse.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay. To remain consistent, then, continuing with the topic of public assembly permits, the Friends of Mitchell Park has requested of this Board a permit waiver, a waiver -- I'm sorry -- a waiver of their permit fee based on the fact that they are a not-for-profit 501(c)(3).

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: For Tai Chi?
CLERK PIRILLO: For Tai Chi.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it's a good idea.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Why not?
MAYOR HUBBARD: I think we jut put it on.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Just put it on.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Those guys do so much for us.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, they're doing --
they're donating, brought in a lot of stuff down there, and everything else.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And, you know, for them to
pay us $\$ 250$, or is it 500 ?
CLERK PIRILLO: Five hundred.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Five hundred. For them to pay us $\$ 500$ to donate something when their name is Friends of Mitchell Park, still, we should be working together, so --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think that since they've actually gotten organized and they're moving forward, they are doing a lot.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yup.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, and they're actually functioning --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- with their mission statement, which for a while there they were kind of floundering, but they seem to be moving forward really successfully, so.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Now would you be comfortable doing for future policies, instead of doing it as a one time thing?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Just to make them not pay anymore?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, just --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Any time the Friends wants to do an --

MAYOR HUBBARD: To do an event at Mitchell Park, that they don't have to --

CLERK PIRILLO: Now does this apply to only Friends of Mitchell Park, or to any 501 (c)(3) that approaches us?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm thinking myself Friends of Mitchell Park.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Me, too.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I still -- but they still would have to -- we may be waiving the fee, but they still have to fill out the application.

CLERK PIRILLO: Sure.
MAYOR HUBBARD: They still have to fill out the application. Just put it on the application --

CLERK PIRILLO: Absolutely.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- we're just not charging them the $\$ 500$ fee.

CLERK PIRILLO: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I was approached by one of the committee members today that wants to bring in, possibly bring in a boat to take the 7th Graders for stuff they're doing in school, and
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bring a boat in for three days in the end of May, beginning of June. And he asked the same question, you know, "Are you going to charge us the fee?" And I was like, "No." I don't see a reason. You know, the Marina is not full at that time. But to do some sailing with the history class in the 7 th Grade and bring them out there, and also do some stuff for the public. And they're trying to promote and do more stuff like that, which is the ideal use of Mitchell Park and the dock, and everything else, especially in the off-season. So that's why I'm asking. Before he comes back and speaks to me next week, that if we're going to do it, the Friends of Mitchell Park is going to do something that's cultural in the park --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mitchell Park.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that we waive their fee.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I'd like to do it one time, instead of having to vote on it four or five times a year --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- for different stuff.

But they still have to fill out the application.
CLERK PIRILLO: Yup. Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? So we'll word the resolution accordingly, that if they're --

CLERK PIRILLO: Okay.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's great, no-brainer.

CLERK PIRILLO: It will be -- it will be in the permission of their -- in the granting of their application.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
CLERK PIRILLO: Okay? We have received information from DASNY, which is the authority from which we applied for $\$ 125,000$ grant some time ago; that the money that we are going to be reimbursed for the paving is expected on the 28th of this month. So that will be confirmed by them via email prior to sending.

Effective February 12th, a second HEAP benefit is available to assist households with emergencies. That doesn't always happen, so that's good news that we have a second benefit for this season.

The "Free Skate" Sunday event that was scheduled for the 11th has been postponed to the

25th.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, 25th now?
CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: It is the 25th.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'11 write that down.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Pray for cold water.
CLERK PIRILLO: And thank you again to Friends of Mitchell Park.

Last topic, reminder for Board discussion of Chapter 118, Subdivision and Merger of Lots. I do have copies of the latest go-around, if anyone needs them. I don't know if the Board would like to discuss it at this time or not.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure. I mean, I read the version of it. I mean, it goes back to the original wording of what we were trying to do with the request of -- just to clarify a few things on it.

The request came in by two property owners in the Village that had a substandard lot next to their lot. They wanted to put a deck on the house and do some kind of addition. They were not allowed to because they couldn't use that piece of property.

Our code states that you cannot merge lots. State Law does allow it, but we're not doing it because of the State Law State, to comply with State Law and everything else, we're doing it just because our code is very cut and dry and says you cannot do it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So the wording is to take -- you have a common property owner with a substandard lot with an adjacent property, you can merge a substandard lot to the main lot with permission of the Zoning Board. And it's going to the Zoning Board, because it fits in better in the character of what -- the Zoning Board Attorney, the Village Attorney, and Attorney at that public hearing, and that was the consensus of everybody, the proper way to do it would be going to the Zoning Board and not the Planning Board.

So that's basically where it came from. They're the long-winded one. We kind of got off track trying to switch things around, and that was the original intent of the original request that we had six months ago from two property owners. That's the newest version that I talked
to Joe about and Paul, and that's kind of what we put together. That's where we're at, so that's -- if everybody's seen it, that's what we're talking about, you know.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The one that looked like the original one, right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Basically, it's like the original. Just taking a substandard lot can be merged with a standard lot.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And it has to be the same owner, correct?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, yeah, same owner.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Same owner.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Seems al1 right to me.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And it goes to the Zoning Board just for the Zoning Board to review it, because originally it was going to be talked about being done by the Building Department, and we did not feel that was appropriate, that it should go before a Board. So you got five people looking at it, instead of a Building Inspector just says, "Yeah, you can do that." That's why
we're sending it to the Zoning Board. That was the original intent, and I think we're back at that now with just a minor change to the code.

Open for discussion.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have a question, I guess probably a legal one. Okay. If we do nothing, is there any relief for a homeowner, for this homeowner that wants to build a deck?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: He can't build a deck?
MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: He can't okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: They cannot merge their lots. There's nothing, and our code forbids, so there's nothing there to do.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, the Zoning Board can't override that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And we tried to get that to the Zoning Board, and there's nothing in the code that allows them to go to the Zoning Board or anything. They just -- they have a substandard lot that they can't use or they can't merge it onto their own. They could put a smaller house that fits the $30 \%$ guideline on there, but they can't --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Utilize the --
MAYOR HUBBARD: They can't utilize that --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: As an extension of their property.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- to give them the setbacks that they need on their main piece of property.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, Joe, the question of the Zoning Board or the Planning Board, could you just clarify that for me? Since the Planning Board deals with the actual subdivisions of property and the overall building envelope on a property, so zoning is just really doing what by sending it to the Zoning?

MR. PROKOP: Zoning is applying a set of criteria as to possible impacts on the -- on the community, and then making a decision based on that. So it would be a -- it would be an authority that you're giving the Zoning Board by virtue of our code that they wouldn't automatically have by statute.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So would this be an area variance?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: It is an area variance.
MR. PROKOP: So the criteria that I
included in this, it wouldn't be an area variance, but it would be -- the criteria was drafted to be the same criteria as an area variance --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh.
MR. PROKOP: -- plus whatever other criteria the Zoning Board feels is relevant.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What are the five questions that they would ask?

MR. PROKOP: It has to do with whether the -- whether -- two or three of them are redundant. It's whether or not there's an impact on the community, whether the net result would change the character of the community, whether there's an impact on the environment, whether there's an impact on the surrounding neighbors. Whether the relief is substantial, and whether it's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Self-created.
MR. PROKOP: Whether it's self-created, right, is the last one.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, it's going -- I mean, the answer to that is always going to be yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, that's pretty
much it, that's a given. I'm more comfortable with this, going back to the original, because it's -- it being the same owner makes me more comfortable than what was written before. You're right, this is going back to what I thought we were all discussing, so I'm comfortable with it, so.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Wasn't it always the same owner? I mean, it was always the same owner.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
MAYOR HUBBARD: No. The last version we had, it got -- we were trying to figure in different things, and everything else, and somehow it just -- the wording got -- the key parts that we wanted, it ended up being a three-page document, when we need a one-paragraph document, and it didn't have single ownership and other things, and it was --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It had too many --
MAYOR HUBBARD: It said any -- you know, any lots instead of substandard lots. It just said --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It just said all lots.
MAYOR HUBBARD: In rewording it over five different public hearings, and everything else,
it just got changed, so.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And why do you think Zoning versus Planning? If Planning does subdivisions, logic -- you know, I'm not an expert, you've been doing this a long time, so -but it seems to me if subdivisions, you split lots up at Planning, wouldn't you also put them back together at Planning?

MR. PROKOP: I think when I -- the -- my recommendation would be Zoning, only because the -- of the criteria that I think should be applied. So the criteria that I -- the standard of criteria that I think that my suggestion would be applied is something that the Zoning Board is norm -- is used to normally applying, that's why.

The Planning Board, when the Planning Board looks at subdivisions, they look at a very limited set of criteria. It has to do with impact on the environment, also impacts on traffic and things like that, but it's limited. The Zoning Board has a fuller set of criteria that they can -- that they are accustomed to applying.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Doesn't the Planning Board, when considering a subdivision, also have
to consider the possibility of creating two substandard lots? Don't they consider that in their --

MR. PROKOP: Yes, but they don't have the power to do that. One of the --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right, ZBA has to do that.

MR. PROKOP: The ZBA has to do it.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right, right. I'm sorry.
MR. PROKOP: One of the other things --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I apologize.
MR. PROKOP: Because it's a
nonconforming -- one of the other things I thought of, and I don't want to -- I don't want to overcomplicate this, is to -- in our code, under almost all situations, the Planning Board has the opportunity to make a recommendation to the Zoning Board of Appeals, so that could happen in this case. The Planning Board in this case could make a recommendation of Building --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or the Zoning Board, the Zoning Board could ask them for their opinion, correct?

MR. PROKOP: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's part of
their procedure, they can ask for their --
MR. PROKOP: But it's actually in our code, and we have an adopted process now, I think, if I'm not mistaken, where the Planning Board has the opportunity. Even if they're not asked, they have the opportunity to send a recommendation or a comment.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And just so I make sure we're covering all the bases, if a person owns -one owner owns two standard lots, conforming lots, and wants to put an accessory structure on the -- so the house is on the big lot and then they have a small lot next door, and they want to put an accessory structure, they can't do that unless they get a variance from ZBA, correct?

MR. PROKOP: Yes, that's right.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. So the --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, no, no, no, no. Hold on a minute. Hold on. This is speaking about nonconforming lots.

MR. PROKOP: No, he's asking a different question. He's not asking --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, he's asking -- oh, I'm sorry.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, because the
previous law allowed you to merge any lots.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, that's --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So if somebody has two conforming lots, do they have a mechanism by which they could get approval to put an accessory structure on a conforming lot that doesn't have a main structure?

MR. PROKOP: On1y with the ZBA.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But it is -- but they can app1y to ZBA?

MR. PROKOP: They can apply to ZBA.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They have a path?
MR. PROKOP: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: All right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So everybody's comfortable with the wording that what we have on this? Now do we have to renotice because it's changed now?

MR. PROKOP: We have to renotice, yes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Now we need to do a public hearing again?

MR. PROKOP: Yes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So we schedule a public hearing and post it to the website and al1, and we'11 schedule a public hearing for next
month, and then try to wrap that up.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So this is the final
version is going to show -- okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
CLERK PIRILLO: That concludes my report, unless anyone has questions or comments.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: No. A11 right. Thank you.
CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I see that you jumped the agenda on me.

CLERK PIRILLO: I did.
MAYOR HUBBARD: That was down farther.
(Laughter)
CLERK PIRILLO: I'm sorry, sorry.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I wasn't saying anything.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Okay, the Village Attorney report.

MR. PROKOP: We11, most of my report I gave during the other reports.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
MR. PROKOP: But I'11 -- just a couple of things I'd like to talk about.
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The month1y update, I'm asked to give a month1y update on Genesys, the Genesys litigation. There's still two cases pending, and the first case where the Village of Greenport is suing Genesys, and the second case, Genesys is suing the Village of Greenport. Both cases are stil1 pending.

In the case where Genesys is suing the Village, our response to their complaint is due on February 21st. We have been getting information, both sides have been getting information from engineers that have been working with them, including one with the Village. And we are probably going to try to have a meeting with Genesys prior to that 21 st date, or maybe put off the 21 st date slightly, so we can have the opportunity meeting with Genesys again and see if there's any common points of discussion.

Does anybody have any questions about that at al1?
(No Response)
MR. PROKOP: The next thing, I just want to give my monthly update on Habitat. Habitat hasn't -- because of -- there's one person in Habitat that does their closings he hasn't been
available, basically, for the last two months, while we were trying -- hoping to close it. I do speak to him and we're hopeful that we can close the -- transfer the two properties to Habitat in the next two weeks. We've sort of -- we've sort of committed ourselves to that.

Paul and I have done some work on reviewing what we call Chapter 44 , which is the Mass Assembly Permit Law. I had some recommendations that I made. And Paul and I had a discussion that we're doing, involved in regarding that, and if we come up with anything, we' 11 make a recommendation. We're looking at other chapters, also, but $I$ just want to mention 44 is one that we're reviewing.

We have a process that we're going through now, which is the microgrid project, proposed project, with the assistance of what's called -an agency which is called GOSR. It's GOSR, G-O-S-R. GOSR is the Government's Office of Storm Recovery. It's a huge operation, conglomerate of attorneys and financial people that's assembled. Basically, they're still distributing Superstorm money.

And the Village has put out an RFP for a
design engineer to work on the microgrid project. And we started to work with GOSR directly in the -- in what's called the subrecipient process, which is a process whereby the project, if it goes forward, will be funded by GOSR. There's a subrecipient agreement, which we received this week. I have it to look over and then circulate, make sure the Board is okay with it. And my preliminary review of it is that it's the standard agreement that I've seen in other GOSR transactions. There's no surprises in it.

We, Sylvia and I had a conference call today with -- Clerk Pirillo -- I'm sorry, Sylvia -- and I had a conference call today with GOSR staff, basically just checking, checking off general questions about the process. One of the things that was interesting to me is they mentioned a program that they have started using called -- I think it's called Eval, if I'm not mistaken. Sylvia can correct me, maybe. CLERK PIRILLO: Yeah. MR. PROKOP: But they have a program which monitors the process of contracts that are in process. And I was -- if we have -- if we purchase this, I think it might be something
that's useful to us in our other contracting that we do internally. I'11 give the information to Paul to look at.

And that -- I think that's my report. I can answer any questions anybody has.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions? (No Response)

MR. PROKOP: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. Thank you.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We're discussing litigation in executive?

MR. PROKOP: Yeah. I'd prefer -- any litigation I'd prefer not to -- it would be in --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But you have items? We are going to move to go to executive?

MR. PROKOP: It's up -- I gave a litigation report to the Trustees. It's up to you, I think, whether you want to.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh, okay. I was just asking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Nothing I plan on right now, but we'11 see what goes on by the end of the meeting, if there's something else. I mean, I think everything's kind of been covered, so, I mean, if something else comes up, you
know - -
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I didn't see anything.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Just asking. Just asking.

MR. PROKOP: I love to have Executive Sessions and talk about litigation.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, you do.
(Laughter)
MR. PROKOP: But, I mean, I don't -- I'm not going to do it just for the sake of having an executive session.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We11, exactly. If we don't have to, that would be a --

MR. PALLAS: Bonus.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. Report from Committees:

Finance Committee was supposed to meet this month. With Treasurer Brandt being out getting his treatments, we did not have it, we scheduled that for next month. And that was the only committee reports we had.

Report of Mayor and Trustees. We'11 start on this side of the room, this side.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Go ahead.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Excuse me, hold on one second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Trustee Martilotta.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So continuing to work with the school on trying to come up with ways to improve our coordination with the Rec. Center. We were able to move through. We thank you guys all very much for the next couple of breaks.

And a couple of things that I'm -- I sent out in an email to the Board that I'11 be trying to bring to the public. In our discussions as to how to move forward and what opportunities we might be able to provide kids with, in conjunction with the school, again, the shared service, we're going to be reaching out to hopefully the majority, if not all, of like the great institutions that we have within the Village that we might be able to partner with, to give kids more opportunities, be it over the summer or over the break. These things take quite a bit of planning. We have to be able to work them into the school budget, into our budget. We've have to come up with and see what it's going to cost. But we're still very much in
the planning stages of it, which is taking considerably longer than I've originally anticipated, but we're moving forward with it. So things that come to mind, if people will be contacting, probably be the BID, Trustee Robins, to see if they have any ideas, you know, the Train Museum, the Fire Boat, you know, the Hospital, etcetera, etcetera. We've got a list of probably about 15 to 20 people.

Again, I circulated some of that list to the Trustees. And as we flesh it out, I'll make sure that we keep you all in the loop. That's been most of it.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But we're moving along, and as things happen, I'11 let you know, so thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Thank you, sir.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Trustee Roberts.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you. One Greenport is back. Dinni Gordon is chairing the One Greenport Committee. I want to amend my report. They would like to change the date, after they had their first meeting, to June 3rd. I'm
assuming you guys are okay with voting on this. June 3 rd, with rain date June 10 th, same times. And that's based on availability of $I$ think one of the people who's going to perform. So --

CLERK PIRILLO: Excuse me.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
CLERK PIRILLO: Also availability of the venue, which I'11 need to check tomorrow.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes, ma'am. Yeah, okay, sure.

A11 right. So Dinni asked me to -- she's got the flu. She asked me to read this to you guys. And I gave you guys a paper copy, but just for the public.
"One Greenport Festival 2018. Building on the success of last year's event, One Greenport proposes to bring music, dance, art and food to Mitche11 Park on June 3rd, rain date June 10th, 1 to 4 p.m.

We are in the process of lining up performers from last year, Main Stage Dancers, the Spanish Clown, and a school chorus, among others, and exploring new ones. To broaden the multicultural aspect of the festival, we are hoping to engage Greek and Irish dancers.

And we envision a little entrance parade, marching from the benches across from Capital One to the park, led by bagpipes. School Superintendent David Gamberg, will be featured with his team of jugglers.

And the art teachers in the schools will again hold a competition for the poster advertising the event." Awesome.
"Local restaurants will contribute finger food. We will seek support from the Friends of Mitche11 Park, church groups and Greenport businesses.

We look forward to sponsorship from the Village Board. Comments and suggestions should be sent to member of our Planning Committee, Dinni Gordon, Sister Margaret Smyth, Doug Roberts, Val Shelby, Ellen Neff, Beatrice Aguilar, and Penny Rudder." Thank you.

We already did No. 4.
So does anybody -- I think this 25 mile per hour speed limit is a pretty good idea. It seemed like other people do, too.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I like it.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So what do we have to do to do it?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me ask you what -where are you planning on doing the 25 miles per hour, because we can't do it on Main and Front Street.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: How come?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because state road. We have to go to -- we have to go to New York State to change the speed limit.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So sometimes I hear it's a State road, but they don't come and plow it. And we just put a bunch of sidewalks and rain gardens in.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I -- okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But either way, we could go do that, right? We could go, we could ask --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We have to go through the Legislators to go to --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- the State of New York to ask that, okay? That's --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So let's do it. But we could do it Village-wide and just sort of let that process unfold.

Look, on my way here tonight, I almost got hit by a guy going 45 down my street. So it
would be nice if we had a way to communicate out to folks that, you know, we need to drive slow around here because kids are playing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, I understand that.
Changing it from 30 to 25 , it's not going to stop the person that's still going 45 --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Forty-five miles an hour.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- 50 miles an hour down the road. I mean, it's --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I was thinking the same thing. If you're going to change it, I wouldn't drop it five miles an hour, I'd drop it - -

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Great.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: -- 20, which both is fine.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I don't think we can. Joe, we asked that question, did we not?

MR. PROKOP: You can't do it. The only -you can only have lower than 20-- you can't make it lower than 25. You can only do it in a school zone, on a bridge, and in a particular hazard area.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Unless you get approval
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like Southampton Village did, right?
MR. PROKOP: Unless you get New York State legislation, right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which we have to do anyway.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But that's for their State roads, correct, Joe? Southampton has a lot of State roads, correct?

MR. PROKOP: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Isn't that what Assemblyman --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They did it Village-wide.
MR. PROKOP: They did it Village-wide, they got approval. They got -- from what I understand, they got approval from the State to have it Village-wide.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And if I remember correctly, most of Southampton's roads are mostly all State roads, are they not?

MR. PROKOP: Yes. A lot of them are, yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes. So, okay, that's the difference. I mean, I'm not disagreeing with you, okay? I'm just stating that a lot of people are upset, because Front and Main has become a highway, a speed highway, okay? And I saw it the
other night, too. We've now paved the road, so, of course, there's no more bumps and jumps and whatever.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's wider.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I do know that there was a complaint to the Southold Town Transportation Commission. The response from the Chief at that point was, and communicated back to Paul, I believe, and to Derryl, was to put some type of signage at the beginning of the borderline between the Town of Southold and the Village of Greenport that says 30 miles an hour. That's a Village-wide speed limit, is 30 miles an hour. I mean, you don't have any signage coming into the Village off the North Road that says speed limit. It's kind like let's plow through.

There is a -- there is a problem in the hospital area, I'11 be the --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- first one to admit that, okay? But, in the meantime, I think that you're not going to get 20 miles , and you're not going to get 10. I can hear the tractor trailer trucks that come up First Street (demonstrating sound).

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I get it. I mean, to your point, if this is just a matter of bringing this up to the State Assembly, I'm all for doing it tomorrow, if we could put a sign in front of Saint Agnes Church on Sixth Street to go 30 miles an hour. They're flying. I mean --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or maybe --
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I cross that street with my little kids all the time. I'm on the corner of -- you guys know, I'm on Fifth. So, you know, I'd be 18 feet past the speed limit. I mean, I don't know even --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But they still -- you just said it before, they're still going to go. Whether it's 20 miles an hour or 30 miles an hour, if he's going to speed, somebody's going to speed. So why -- why -- let's take it in a different approach. Maybe we should have the Mayor contact Chief Flatley and see if there's some other kind of ideas that we can come up to slow the traffic down.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I agree with you, too.
I think we should put a stop light someplace over by 7-Eleven, so they slow down coming in.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Or that's something we could do, but things that we can do. Thirty miles an hour is too fast either at the beginning of the Village at Third Street. So that means that there's four more streets before the street ends. Anyone over 30 miles an hour through a walking village, it's scary with little kids, it really is.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: How do you accelerate from the corner of Fifth and Front for the one block to 30 and then have to slow down again at the stop sign and then do it again? I mean, that's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We11, that's why all the stop signs were put on First Street --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, that's what --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- was to do passive, passive slowing down of people. That was the whole goal of having stop signs that were put around the Village into the different areas.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm more worried myself about that, you know, from the front of Saint Agnes as we're going -- I think that to me is just -- I can't speak to Sixth. I don't live on Sixth, I don't know. I know on Fifth there's
a stop sign every block. You know, so there's a guy who gets on a motorcycle all night and speeds up and slows downs, I get it, it's annoying, but like how fast is he going? That thoroughfare there concerns me very much in front of the church all the way down into town. People drive, they very drive very fast. And if it's a matter of just asking New York State if we could lower it to whatever would be an appropriate number Joe Prokop says is, I'm 100\% for it. I just want to put that out, like --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. So, I mean, we could -- we could put in a petition to change Front and Main Street to 25 miles an hour, do Village-wide at 25. It's really -- it's only a few spots that it is. Most of them there are stop signs. My road, people go flying up and down my road.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: But more of it needs to be enforcement to control it, to let people know about it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I know when I've traveled up to New Hampshire and they say when you go
through Norwich, make sure you slow down, because you're going to get a ticket. And there's a cop sitting right there, and if you're going 34 miles an hour, you get pulled over. If you're going 75,80 on 91 and then you come in there, and it's like you feel like you're not even moving, but if you go five miles an hour over the speed limit, you get pulled over. A lot of it's enforcement.

So if the Chief says signage is lacking, so that's why they're not doing it, or whatever, if we petition to change the whole Village to 25 , get new signs, put them up, and then ask for enforcement over new laws, and try do it that way.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Could we perhaps maybe invite Chief Flatley to a work session to discuss it, you know, just, you know, his ideas, because his officers see a lot around the Village during the day that we don't see, and I do know that they keep track. They've been keeping track of a lot of traffic issues, especially in the Village. To be honest with you, they've been observing it for a while. So maybe we could just ask him to come and see if he has some suggestions that would slow them down until -- until we -- even
getting the petition is going to take a long time to get through the State of New York. I don't think the Town of Southampton got it through in a month. I think it took a long time.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No.
MAYOR HUBBARD: No. It's going take six months to a year.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So we could perhaps have some ideas from him how to do some passive slowing down people, you know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure. Those signs that tel1 you how fast you're going, those work, I don't know how you get one of those.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the Town --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you know how we get one of those?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the Town has one. We could ask them just --

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's right, I try to see how high I could get it going.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Could we buy one? Do you know what they cost?

MR. PALLAS: I have no idea what they cost. But, typically, the P.D. is the ones that put the
signs out.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. And I've never really seen it in Greenport.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Can we petition? Yeah.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've never seen in Greenport. Has it been in Greenport?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So we could ask him to have it --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah, they got one on the outside of Mattituck High School.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's awesome.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah. I'm trying to think where else they them.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think this is also occurring more in the off-season, in the quieter season. I mean, in the summer, it's pretty hard to come flying into town and --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You'd be surprised.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Come to my street.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah, you'd be surprised.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: People are in a big rush to get to the beach, for some reason. I don't
know why.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, but your -- you got stop signs every street on Sixth Street, don't you?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Come, come see it in the summer, it's crazy.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And they're in a hurry to get on that ferry 1 ine by my house. I don't know where they're going.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: When we first proposed this, for some reason a thought came into my mind, and that somebody flying into the Village and a cop putting his light on behind him and starting a hot pursuit, you know, and we have a high speed chase through the Village. I mean, could that be a result of, you know -- if you're going to step up enforcement and try to catch speeders, I --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Whatever the number is, unless you have enforcement or something being done about it, it doesn't matter.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It doesn't matter.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It's just a number on a sign.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Really, it's a matter of having enforcement on it. And so before we petition the State, should we have a public hearing on this, on the proposed change?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I would suggest that.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And then we could ask the Chief of Police to come and let everybody discuss it, talk about it. So we'11 schedule a public hearing about proposing the change from 30 miles an hour to 25 miles an hour Village-wide.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thanks, yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And that way the public can weigh in on it, we can get everybody else's feelings on it. We could ask the Chief of Police to come down and discuss it, and then --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that would be a great idea.

MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's great.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So if we can schedule a public hearing for that for next month.

CLERK PIRILLO: (Nodded).
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thanks. That's great.
I'm going to skip to No. 6, just -- and
just mention that the -- I did this survey. I just threw it out there on Facebook. A hundred -- 99 people responded. A few of them are not Village residents, and they indicated as such. I don't have a way of parsing. I could get you -- I could parse it by what the non-Village resident said versus the -- it would just look like a big long table. But $I$ thought these were just, you know, just graphic images to share with everyone. I thought the public should see it and you all would want to see it.

I could slice and dice this for you if you want, but $45 \%$ of the people responding said finding more opportunity -- and look, I'm not going to pretend that I'm, you know, Connecticut College or Gallup. I'm just conducting a survey. There's -- you know, it may not be scientific. These are thumbnail gut reaction things.

Forty-five percent said that they would like more opportunities for year-round rental housing. As a top priority for how we spend our money, twenty-four percent said easing parking and traffic congestion. Thirteen percent said trying to encourage year-round jobs.

So on people's -- excuse me -- second
priority, I was surprised to see $34 \%$ of people said that they're -- like if they had to prioritize one and two, their number two priority, 34\% of them was ensuring diversity of businesses that -- and including things to cater to locals.

So just good data to share with you al1. I hope it is useful in all things we do, which brings me to No. 5, which is I think it's time for us to take on this issue of short-term rentals, especially since my little survey said that $45 \%$ of the people think we need to deal with the year-round housing problem.

I also reshared with you al1 in the report and with the public my great Greenport High School grad intern over the summer did -- I asked -- she spent a week just going through Airbnb. I had her search for like this week of the year, the deadest time of the year, first week of February, and look at, you know, what the -- find all the properties that are for rent. So I think we got most of them. And I had her go -- because Airbnb doesn't parse between Village and non-Village.

So people -- you know, there's been a lot
of Facebook discussion on Trustee Phillips' site and elsewhere, talking about there are 250, 275 Airbnbs in Greenport. That may be true about the greater area or our zip code, but I'm pretty sure that -- you know, Isabelle and I went block by block, and I -- you know, I parsed out the things that are on Eighth Street and Seventh Street and up north, and this is what we got. Sixty-two short-term rentals as of July when we did this analysis. So it's there for you.

The average, average rent is $\$ 300$ a night, $\$ 300$ a night. The average Airbnb had a surprisingly high number of bedrooms, because some of them -- right. I'm sorry. This is not the number of bedrooms, this is the number of people they say they can accommodate, because you got some that say they can accommodate 12 and 14 . And, you know, this is all pulling off of publicly available information. So I think that we need this information.

One of the things that's been challenging for me is that I've heard that we can't talk about short-term rentals until we have a, quote, registry from this rental permit law process, which, we were talking about before, took years
on the old rental permit 1 aw, and we never really got anywhere, and I'm worried this will take years again. And in that time -- you know, I think everybody knows what's going on with real estate. I know that I've gotten calls from real estate brokers asking me when I'm going to sell my house, and I told them read the obituaries, and when you see my wife and my name in there, talk to my kid, so -- but that's what's happening. And that means that deals are happening before -- like the sign doesn't even go up anymore. The sign goes up with a sold sign on it, because everyone's hovering, and they're hovering over our Village. Why? Because we don't have any sort of plan on short-term rentals. We allow laissez faire.

And I'm not -- I'm the one bringing this up. I'm also not opposed to short-term rentals as a concept. I think they belong as part of a blended diverse housing plan. But $I$ do know that that 62 units, now who knows how many of them were summer homes before, so they were never housing people who were here year-round. We can't know that. That's requires deeper analysis that, you know, my intern and I couldn't do. But
surely I know of anecdotally several of them that used to be apartments for year-rounders and now are not.

I have employees who can't find a place 1ive. I've been through all this with you guys so many times, so I'm not going to say it again. Here's what I think. When we're thinking about spending money, I've had to -- I and my neighbors have had to put up with that PSEG rig. It shakes -- it doesn't shake my house, but it's like loud and vibrating and it's kind of annoying. But, you know, for the greater good of the Village, we put up with it. And the people on Fifth Street are about to put up with a lot more than I've had to put up with, frankly, and everyone's being really great about it and I appreciate it.

But we're about to bring in a bunch of money, and I'd like to see a capital plan that looks at what we're going to spend money on. And if we're talking about doing things like buying property -- and, by the way, buying property in this kind of a market for a municipality I think is insane, given the prices. But, anyway, that's -- we should be selling, not buying.

But if we're going to talk about spending that kind of money, we should be talking about investing in -- we didn't get our grant. The grant that I wrote, with some help from Trustee Phillips and others for doing a housing study last Fall, we didn't get it. So here we are, but we've got a drill going nuts at the end of Fifth Street that's going to produce $\$ 1.2$ million in cash. I would like to see, you know, one or two percent of that go to a housing study that will tell us faster than I -- I don't trust we're going to get our rental permit law implemented too quickly.

This study will not only tell us what we've got, it will tell us what we can do. So if our goal as a community, and I think if you're reading the community right, now look, maybe my -- maybe people were finding my survey skewed a certain way, that's -- I would accept that. But $45 \%$ is a big number, and a lot of people on there, you know, they didn't tell me who they are, but I'm guessing they're not all, you know, my best friends.

So $45 \%$ of people think we need to do something. Fifty, 60 grand to hire a regional
plan association or some organization like that. Put out an RFP, get a study that not only tells us what we've got, tells us about how many rental units we've lost, and then tells us what we could do if we want more rental units within our current envelope. And maybe the conclusion will be you can't do anything unless you -- unless you build stuff, which we all know we don't have too many places to do that. So then at least we'11 know and then we can go in a different direction, you know, and do like -- you know, I've been in touch with the folks at Town Hall. They called me about this Vineyard View project. I'm very excited about it. I've offered my help to them, you know, since we're the neighbor, this the Village. I offered to help support that. It's a great thing. People seem excited about it.

So I'm just here to -- I'm not saying we have to write a short-term rental law tomorrow, but I think we have to stop not talking about it, because everyone's going to come back for the summer, we're going to start hearing about it, and once again, we've done nothing, whereas, I think we can set a plan in motion. We're doing a study, we're trying to figure out what we have in
terms of STRs so we can come up with a real policy.

You all know I proposed, I don't know when that was, a couple of years ago, a cap on short-term rentals. People didn't like that. Okay, that's fair. Maybe that's not the right idea, but we haven't had another idea come out.

So let's -- these folks who do these planning studies have done -- have helped communities like Patchogue, and Amityville, and Port Jeff do really great things for their folks. And so I think we don't need to spend that much money, and we've got a bunch coming in. So I'm just lobbying for this to be in whatever capital plan we're doing. Thanks.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Any reaction to that?
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'11 express myself in an email and discuss it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And speaking of recusing, I do think you should recuse from this issue, as you are a real estate broker.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm fine. Recused from the issue?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh, yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: You have the rental law. I've already --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But the issue of whether we -- whether we cap short-term rentals or not. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: There's no way if someone who makes her profit off of the short-term industry can --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't. I mean, if you didn't check, Airbnb has nothing to do with realtors, by the way.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But the people who -- the investors who buy these properties -- the reason the prices are being driven up -- come on, we all know this -- it's because investors are hovering and waiting to buy nice homes that it can rent out an Airbnb.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: You can't prove that. I'm not arguing with you. What I'm telling you, though, is that you're trying to say that you can decide what these buyers are doing. You know, there is a market for these houses and you don't know they're going to becoming, you know, Airbnbs. And if somebody buys a house, and we had a law and they said you can't do an Airbnb,
there would be another buyer that would come along and have enough money to live in it and use it as a second home. Most of these are second home purchases, they're not investments, you know. There's certainly some investors that are coming out. This is just what I'm hearing, you know. And believe me, I'm not getting rich in this market, by the way. So if you want to just -- I'm not -I don't even want to say that, but --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joe.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- you know --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, go ahead.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: But -- but these are buyers. This is being driven by a huge media campaign, you know, that's zeroed in on Greenport. I mean, you know, every week there's another article that says you got to be in Greenport, okay?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: And these buyers are coming, they've got a lot of money, but they're not just buying for investment, they're buying because they want to be a part of the Greenport scene. They want to be here. They want to bring
their kids here, they want to be here with their families.

So, I mean, you know, I just don't see, you know, beyond what we're already attempting to do, which is -- which is basically regulate all rentals, you know, and just know that they're safe. I mean, I don't think we are going to be able to address the affordable housing situation here in Greenport for our people. I wish we could. My kid can't come back here anymore. You know, he knows that, he grew up here. I mean, and most of the -- most of the kids that went to school with him know the same thing. Guess what, they moved on. They moved somewhere else and they're making their life somewhere else, you know. But to expect that, you know, it's our job, this municipality, to try and, you know, make housing for people who say, "I got to live in Greenport," and not just greater Greenport, the Village of Greenport, I mean, considering we're built out. And with what's going on in the real estate market, it's out of control. I mean, I can't afford to live here either. So, you know, $I$ mean -- and I don't have a million dollar house to sell. Actually, your house is worth
more than a million right now, so.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I'm not --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Wow, okay, that's great.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I'm not going there with mine. And, Joe, I have to ask you a question.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, though, I need to respond to that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So does that make me ineligible to discuss this issue?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Of course not. Of course not.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: But I don't think I'm ineligible to discuss this --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you want to discuss any of my other assets?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- because I'm a realtor, you know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you want to discuss any of my other assets?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you want -- should we
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we discuss your assets?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mayor, I think this is getting --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's ridiculous.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Come on.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's ridiculous, Trustee Robins.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Come on, guys, let's get back, let's get back to the topic here, okay?

Joe, months ago I asked if -- you know, and I kind of broached the subject of let's look at it in a different direction. Do we have the availability of doing something to encourage people to do long-term rentals? In other words, can we give them some incentive to -- you know, to encourage, instead of short-term, continue doing a long-term rental?

MR. PROKOP: So, yes. I don't think we can give them an abate -- there's a -- in taxes, we can't give them an abatement. What we could consider doing is maybe changing the assessment structure. That's one -- although we're not -we're not assessing units.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's the Town of Southold.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: And the amount of tax that they're paying on their Village tax is so low, I mean, what kind of incentive can you give them, \$700? I mean --

MR. PROKOP: I'11-- I did explore that at the time. I called NYCOM, and I also researched it, and my feeling was that we would not be able to give an abatement with taxes, but I'11 1ook at it again to see if there's anything we can do.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, as I expressed in my email, I see both points of view, okay? I also know that -- and don't shoot the messenger -- Airbnb provides a service to our economic basis that's currently in the Village of Greenport, which is tourism. Let's be honest about that, okay? It's supply and demand of rooms, because we do not really have enough rooms for people to stay in our wonderful Village, and eat in our restaurants, stay at our beaches, and go to Mitchel 1 Park. So they do in one - - one way they do make a service that's available to keep our economic engine going in the downtown business district, okay? I don't want to marry it up on the North Road, and that's what would happen if we start to curtail completely all
types of rental housing, whether it's long-term or short -- the short-term. We'11 be limiting rooms, and some day somebody's going to say, "Okay, let's put a big motel up on the North Road," and once that happens, everything that's geared for downtown is going to go west, okay?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But, so the Town --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm just -- I'm just stating that, you know, that's --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I hear your perspective. The Town put their law in place, what, two years ago, the two-week law, and no -- they have -- we have 62 Airbnbs?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They're not enforcing. They're not enforcing it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, just theoretically, we have 62 Airbnbs, they have hundreds, no Marriotts have been proposed. So right now we have no plan. And so all I'm saying at this point, aside from our little thing here, but all I'm saying at this point is that if we spend 60 grand on a planning organization to give us a plan, then we have information, and they can help us figure out things like incentives. I don't know if it's building fees, $I$ don't know what it
is. I don't know if it's -- I just don't know.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So what I'm taking away is, because I completely misunderstood what you were trying to say in your report, is you're really looking towards taking money out of the PSEG funding to move this forward; is that what you're asking?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: My first step I'm asking is that we take money from somewhere. I'm throwing PSEG out, because I'm getting tired of that drill. And I'm trying to tie it to the survey that I did where everyone said, "Please, do something about year-round rental housing," or almost half the people said that, and say, you know, if we're going to start spending money on any kind of capital projects, I would like this to be included.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Well, that brings up the next discussion, Mayor. When are we going to start discussing about the capital improvements that are dealing with that funding from PSEG? Are we --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Some of that is in the plan. That's why we're trying to maximum the money we have with grants, and the road end
project and everything else was the first step of that, because there's going to be in-kind services. There's money we're going to have to spend ourselves on that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We have to do -- well, don't we have to do a drainage situation down off the end of Fifth Street once they leave?

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Don't we have to do drainage, something with drainage that --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, that's part of it.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Al1 right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: The drainage at the end of Fifth Street. The other four road ends, Brown, Clark, Flint and Fourth Street, the total cost of that is around -- estimated around $\$ 500,000$, which could end up being about $\$ 200,000$ as our part of that. But we're taking and getting -hopefully, we'll get the grant money, and we could take the $\$ 1.3$ milition in money from PSE\&G and turn that into 3 or 4 million dollars worth of work, and using that for our match on it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Instead of raising taxes, we're protecting the water and everything else.

That's the idea for that.
The project at the end of Fifth Street was estimated at \$130,000, when we originally did that, so that's going to come right off the top --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That sounds enough.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that's -- that has to be done, as soon as they finish drilling and everything else, do the drainage project there. That's the first one. The next one is to take care of the other road ends at Widow's Hole, and everything else will stop, all that become MS4 compliant.

So I'm hoping to maximum what we have, instead of raising taxes, to use that for that. The rest of it, there really is not a plan on that yet.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I started working on the capital budget. Robert has, with Paul and myself, for the next couple of years -- he's been out for the past couple of weeks -- on this project. We have to go and start getting the regular budget done first, and then we'11 go back onto the Capital Budget.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: But we have part of that. And that's not -- that's stuff that we're going to do, that's not the PSE\&G money, you know. Some of that, I want to make sure that we do playground equipment down at Fifth Street Park this year with the shade arbor, that money for that, along with the road end. I'd like to do the road end on Sixth Street, the same as we're doing for Fifth Street, and then tie all MS4 stuff, all that road runoff, and that whole area is taken care of. And then the rest of that we're going to have suggestions from the rest of the Board on it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Just to clarify, on the topic on, you know, the Airbnb and stuff like that, Shelter Island passed a law seven months ago. They're being sued now. They did a 14-day minimum, like everybody else was doing --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And it's unconstitutional.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- and homeowners sued them. And now they have a new Supervisor in who wants to change the law, but he can't until the
lawsuit gets settled. So they're --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because they believe the law is unconstitutional. I think that's what -isn't that what it is, the law's unconstitutional.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We11, they're saying that they're restricting the property owners' rights of what they're doing to be able to stay in their house, and everything else. So Southold has the law, but Southold hasn't been vigorously enforcing all of it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So they're not getting sued, because they're not enforcing it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And so, really, it's just something that's on the books if they attempted. But let me just finish clarifying.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure.
MAYOR HUBBARD: When we had the public hearing, the gentleman that bought the house on Wiggins Street said, "If you had this law and I wasn't going to be able to rent it out, I wouldn't have bought it." But he bought it at an inflated price, but he also hired local contractors and spent $\$ 240,000$ remodeling it, and put local people work and did that. And he -- at
our public hearing, he said, if it was, "If I couldn't rent it out, I wouldn't have spent the money there." And a lot of these, you know, rundown houses are being bought, they're being used. Some are short-term rentals, some aren't, but they are boosting our local economy and putting our local people to work --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- by investing in
Greenport. And seeing the amount of construction going on, it's a good thing, you know. Whether it's all Airbnb or not, that's -- I don't know how big an impact it really has on the whole thing, but --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I'm glad. So now we're talking about it, and I think that to not talk about it is -- it communicates that we're putting our head in the sand about it. So I'm glad we're talking about it.

And I think I was opposed to this at first, but it's making more sense. Sometimes, you know, you grow wiser with age. But people have proposed to us that we put short-term rentals into something like what we have for B\&Bs. And that kind of a -- that kind of a Zoning Code
change -- I think Shelter Island's getting into trouble because it's not a Zoning Code, it's a -it's a ban, or whatever, it's a limit that they sort of can challenge on its Constitutional ground. But if we change our Zoning Code, which is in -- within the rights of this Board to do, I doubt somebody would be able to challenge that legally and that -- I'm not necessarily advocating that direction. I thought it was a -I like it more now than I did then.

I guess what I'm trying to say to you all is that right now I'm just pushing for a study, but -- so my idea of the cap may be wrong. So what are your ideas? I'm ready to compromise on what I was thinking. I'm ready to work with you on coming up with a plan. But I think if we just ignore, it's -- that's not a good plan.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: How much do you think the study costs?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Between 50 and 70 .
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But do you have -- okay. But part of the -- part of the process of dealing with the short-term -- with the rental permit law, if I'm going way back, and, I mean, this thing has been floating around, the different
versions, was that as they were being registered, we were going to get a listing of they were short-term or long-term, correct? Isn't -- that was part of the goal? Part of the goal was to ask them when they were coming in for a permit, a rental permit, that they were going to be short-term or long-term. Was that not part of the --

MR. PALLAS: The current law that was passed?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The one that we just passed.

MR. PALLAS: No, I don't -- I don't believe there was any distinction.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think --
MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't think --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think we should make a distinction, so -- but that's on the application. But, I mean, in the meantime, at one point I had suggested that the short-term rental be part of the code, and let them go get approval from someplace, and then that would be on their CO, if I remember correctly. I had suggested that a long time ago.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And maybe that's the way
we should go. But you don't need a rental permit law and process to figure this out, it's publicly available. The July version of that's in that document. It would take somebody an hour to go update it from what I put in there in July and see how the numbers have changed. It's just not -- it's out there for the public. The only -- the hard -- the hard thing to do is do a search of Greenport, you get 200-something back, and then you have to go parse which ones are in the Village or not.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But if we feel that there should be some type of regulation, we could always go out and say that -- deal with the Zoning Code and propose --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- that, in that direction, instead of us all sitting here arguing about which way to go. Put it out there for people. If you're going to do a short-term rental, we need to change the code, that you have to get permitted for it.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I thought that's what the rental law says. If you're going to get the short-term rental or a long-term rental, you have
to get a permit.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No, it's just rental.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's just rental.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, I still think that, you know --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, it's any rental.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Any rental.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Any rental, if you're renting out in Greenport, you have to register.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I think that's plenty for us to deal with.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: We did not ask them if it's short-term or long-term, because that's -- that's a personal decision. They might be doing long-term now, but if they find something, they could change, you know, so it's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They might -- okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A B\&B needs Planning Board approval, because it's a conditional use.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So this could be a conditional use. We have a tried and true process for it. Again, I didn't love this in the beginning, but I'm liking it more, because, you
know, that's what compromise is about. Thank you. I'm done.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Trustee Philiips.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've got to get my head wrapped around here. Hold on a minute.

Two things. First of all, last month I had given -- Robert, Paul and I had worked on some grant proposals that I had asked for input from people on. I didn't hear anything, so I'm assuming that you all were comfortable with just throwing these ideas out and seeing if we could get something for them to improve around the Third Street Park, down on Fifth Street. So if that's -- everyone's comfortable, I do need resolutions to go ahead to start applying for them. So that's --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's Community Dev, right?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: This is Community Development. This would be through the State of New York, the Suffolk County consortium. We will be -- my understanding, in talking to Suffolk County last week, they are working up new contracts. They're waiting to hear about the Town of the Brookhaven's pulling out of the

Suffolk County Consortium, becoming -- because they're becoming their own little city.

So we hopefully will get some type of information about joining the consortium, which will give us another avenue of grant possibilities. But Robert, and I and Paul discovered -- decided that we would put this through New York State, just to the New York State CDBG and see what we could get. So that's number one.

Number two, I did send you all a lengthy email with examples. And tonight I did send you, and it was late, because my son just had sent them to me. I had them go out and take pictures of Stirling Harbor's entrance from the water side, because I could only take them from the dockside, since I was on land and they were on a boat.

The inlet is shrinking, it's shrinking dramatically. So what I'm asking for is that -Paul and I had discussed it, and he thought that perhaps we could find a few dollars to have J.R. Holzmacher update the paperwork that he started back in 2012, and try to get a grant-ready package together, so that when the
consolidating funding applications come up in the next month or two, the application process, we could probably put this through to see what we could get to not only take care of the dredging, but also to take care of the test that the DEC is requiring, the boring test. Is that what was the most expensive item on it?

MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've never heard them do this before, dredging before, but apparently it's -- are they requiring it because of MS4?

MR. PALLAS: I would have to -- I'm sorry, I would have to review it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't remember.
MR. PALLAS: I don't remember why. I know it was a DEC requirement when we started the process with them before the --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But if we had the opportunity to get that waterway opened with grant money, it would save -- because, normally, in the past, it's come out of the Village budget, so -- because we are that body of water, we're responsible for -- the Town of Southold gets into where Stirling Harbor Marina is and Brewer's is, but our -- we have the major regulatory control
over Stirling Harbor.
So that's my request, is that we put a resolution on to go ask Holzmacher, spend some money for -- and I don't know how much. Paul?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, I have no idea how much to say.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
MR. PALLAS: I have to talk to them about it.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But that's one of my things, okay? It is shrinking. It's going to be a problem. The past winter has made Sandy Beach's point become closer and closer to where 123 Sterling is. If they decide to put a boat on their bulkhead, there's going to be some issues trying to get in and out of the inlet. And it's not just the big boats, it's going to be some of the bigger boats in the recreational category in Stirling Harbor.

So I think the kids did a sounding and it went anywhere from 9 feet to 11 feet and it's supposed to be 13 feet, so.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: At what tide was that?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Low tide, so.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Paul, do you know where we are on the County dredge list?

MR. PALLAS: I don't, Mayor, I'd have to check.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, you have to talk to A1 Krupski on the other paperwork that you need --

MR. PALLAS: Yup.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- for the road end grant, find out where we are on the list. We've been on the list for 10 years. You know, we've got to be getting closer to the top of that, and everything e1se. I mean, you know --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A little closer.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that's what they do, you know, through County taxes. That's what we pay for, and everything else, so let's find out where we are on their list. And if the holdup is the boring samples that we need, let's get the boring samples done to get the permit from DEC, so that -- and find out where we are, because, you know, the County should be taking care of that. They got the equipment and everything else.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A11 right. The other thing is, is that I think the DEC, we were in conflict, because our current LWRP says that the dredge spoils can be put onto Sandy Beach, if I'm
reading it correctly. It's been a long time since I've looked at that section. But, in the meantime, it's just the mouth is shrinking, and there is a fair amount of economic business within that body of water. So you have Costello's, you have Townsend Manor, you have the Hospital, you have the two shipyards, you have me, you have a couple of other people. So it's shrinking and it's getting to be a concern.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. So, I mean, at this time right now, they could go and bump it to 123 Sterling and to dump trucks and take it to the Town or for the Town beach.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, they could.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Just like they do from -you know, that's -- they do out -- Cross Sound Ferry does that in Orient.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So, I mean, you know, if they need a place that -- we need to shore up the monument there on Sandy Beach, so some of it needs to go there.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, that's the other part of it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: But, I mean, if there's
more than is needed there, we could just put it up and just cart it up to the Town beach, so.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's pretty much mine.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So if we were to do this CFA, would this then displace doing a CFA to sewer and clean up to the pollution in Stirling Creek?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, you can do -- you can do as many CFA applications as you want.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But it's more about the team's time. We've not succeeded in doing one CFA yet since I've been here.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's why I'm asking that Holzmacher be the lead in finishing -- I mean, this is halfway done. In 2012 it was halfway finished.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right, okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's why I sent all of you the documents going back that far.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is it needs to be updated and it needs to be completed, and that's not -- the leg work's been done on most of it,

Paul, I'm pretty sure that's --
MR. PALLAS: Yeah, this -- I mean, this type of a project is not the same as a sewer main extension, where you have to have plans and specifications. This is just a maintenance activity. So the application process itself is not quite as onerous.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Ah, so it's a light lift.
MR. PALLAS: Yeah. And, again, I think, you know, it would just be a matter of getting the updates, as Trustee Phillips has indicated, from Holzmacher to reapply, or apply, rather, for a grant.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I also at one point -- at one point, there was some discussions with Senator LaValle's office. I'd like to continue those at some point, because he felt that maintenance at that -- you know, maintenance was being pushed too much onto the villages and towns to maintain stuff that belongs to the State ask to -- and we also have a Federal waterway that goes through there --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- which is really the Army Corps of Engineers' responsibility, so --
for dredging, which is what they're supposed to do, but they've shoved it onto us.

So two things. I'd like to get the process, so we have something ready to go when it's time, when there's something available, and also contact Senator LaValle's office to see if we can get something.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What about our Congressman?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A11 of them.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's Federal, yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, we can to do all of it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: This sounds like -- this sounds like it's like an under \$10,000 Holzmacher project?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, I think so, but I can't -- I'm not going to commit to that. I have no idea, honestly.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. I would be concerned if we spent a lot of money and if we displaced -- because I think if you're looking at the problems we have right now over there, the pollution in the creek is a way bigger problem for a lot more people than this, which I think
affects just a couple of businesses, and none of the other businesses have said anything. I mean, 10 feet is plenty of draw to get -- most of what's in there is plenty --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've already talked to Stirling Harbor and Brewer's and they're going to give me a letter. I've talked to Costello's already in the past, and they'11 give me a letter as well. So it is a concern to them. I have had some comments of people that, you know, it really needs to get done, okay? So I understand --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. As long as it doesn't displace the sewer project.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't -- okay. If it closes up, then you're not going to need to worry about the sewer, because you won't need to dredge it, because you can just go right under the land.

But anyway, I think at this point it's an idea, Doug, it's a discussion. We've got the project halfway done. We've spent money on this already. Let's just see what it's going to cost to finish. I don't think it's going to be a 10,000, \$12,000 job.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's going to be
maybe $\$ 1,000$.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right? So anyway. But we will find out, right, Paul?

MR. PALLAS: I'11 speak with -- I will speak with Holzmacher, if that's what the Board wants.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, find out what it's going to cost and everything else. And go back and, you know, look at the application. Find out, like I said, where we are with it. If the County put us on the bottom of the list because they didn't have the samples or what needs to be done, then, you know, we've wasted 10 years of being on the list waiting for them to get here. There's on1y -- you know, they only dredge for a month, you know, with the Piping Plovers, and everything else. It's a very small window of when they're actually doing it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because they're not allowed to do it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You know, that's what they kept saying, and you're on the list, you're on the list. But, I mean, we've talked about this for 10 years, that it's needed it, and it's only
getting worse, so --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, Doug, I'11 be real honest with you, okay? Since Federal Fisheries Management has changed, the big blue boat doesn't come home to dredge that alleyway every winter like it always has. So that's the other part of the problem, okay? I'11 be real honest with you, the big blue boat draws 13 feet, and she used to come in and out of there and displace the sand. So in summertime, everybody else got to enjoy coming in and out of the creek, okay? So it is important, okay? It's not just important -- it's not important, it's not important to me, to be honest with you, okay?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm just learning about it for the first time.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I'm just asking questions.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's it for me.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Trustee Robins.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. We had a Carousel meeting the other day, but really didn't get much done. We're going to do -- they're going to do a
repeat this coming week, because they were kind of stuck a little bit on this artist for this project for the inner panels.

So I'm going to give a -- this is just a review of some of the stuff the BID has been working on lately. The biggest thing right now is to increase the business participation through development of their website and communication by creating a shared file in Google that BID members will all have access to. It's going to kind of be a twofold thing, because not only are they going try and increase participation on the web, having everybody update their sites, you know, to have updated hours and information about their businesses, but also to have a -- somebody reaching out physically and going around and talking to businesses, and encouraging them to be more involved, communicate with the BID, and let them help them do things to help develop their businesses.

And when you were talking earlier about the -- your concerns for some of the new businesses, Doug, I mean, actually, I haven't heard anything coming in to any members of the BID, complaints, you know, about anybody having difficulty. I
know that they were advocating for the Artist Collective, you know, and are aware of what's going on. But, you know, I will mention to them, you know, that, you know, maybe they --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Why were they advocating for the Art Collective?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: They did. I mean --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- of course they did.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They were advocating for the Art Collective because they thought everything works perfectly? They were advocating for the Art Collective, because they were supporting them, because they were --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No. I mean --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: -- getting a tough process.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was -- I had watched the Planning Board hearing. There was never a -there was never controversy about the Art Collective getting approved. I mean, they got approved unanimously by the Board.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's what they went through, and that they went through -- ask the Art Collective.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. Anyhow, so one -another thing is they're going to provide an information kid to the businesses to sign up to receive a monthly email, and also feature -- a featured photo every month that they can use on their site.

Katharine Schroeder's archiving photos right now. She has hundreds and hundreds of photos, so they will be available for businesses to use on their site.

A survey was sent out asking businesses for their winter hours. They think it's important that people know when stores are open. I've heard a number of people express frustration coming to the Village. They don't know what store is going to be open, yes, no. Especially to the -- in the winter, but I think it's very important that they do their stat.

The direct increase on the skate rink hours and information on the Carousel will be directed to the Village of Greenport website. And the intention is to become more of a magnet to visitors in all seasons.

And the other suggestion was made, maybe the businesses should do some kind of a quarterly
social get-together, rather than just a yearly meeting, you know, so people could get together and again share their ideas.

Rich Vandenburg is providing a map of the BID districts to locate businesses.

There was a travel show in New York this year. Unfortunately, it was not as successful as years past. I think last year -- the last couple of years, Carolyn Waloski, who is on the Board, was instrumental in making a presence there, and this year didn't quite happen. So at today's meeting, they actually decided to buy their own booth, you know, and staff it with people who specifically will, you know, concentrate just on Greenport.

The holiday events and decorating went smoothly. BID is thankful to the Village, and the Road Department and the Light Department for their help in making the downtown festive this year. They hope to build on this year's activities and plan for next year.

Yvonne Lieblein is working on a Greenport radio station that will broadcast what's going on in Greenport, and she's hoping to engage some of the students from the school in that.

The SOBO Committee, the Sidewalk Beautification, is working I think to -- you know, they take care of all the planters around the Village, and they are working, I think, with Derry 1 Baumer --

MR. PALLAS: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- to kind of coordinate the plantings that are going to be in the planters with the rain gardens that we're going to go be taking care of.

So that's it for the BID, and thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
Pretty much everything I had we've discussed under al1 the other stuff that we've gone through, so --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just have one more question --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- just because it's an observation. Front and Third, they're in the midst of construction. At some point in the next month or two, we're going to start to get a little busy with the traffic on Third Street. Do we have a traffic plan for them to alleviate some of the -- some of the tie-ups that I've seen with
delivery trucks coming with equipment?
MR. PALLAS: I haven't spoken with them about that specifically. You know, if it's an issue, we try to keep an eye on it. You know, I think as the construction moves forward, there's going to be less and less of the heavier stuff coming in and out. The faster they get some of the heavier work done, I think that's going to be alleviated all on its own.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What I'm asking is do we have a plan of our -- is there a discussion between them that if -- when it starts to get into the busy season with the traffic on Third Street, that --

MR. PALLAS: We have not had a specific discussion about that. We do -- have spoken to them on several occasions about making sure that they keep the traffic clear.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, okay. Just because it's going to start.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? You okay?
MS. BRAATEN: Take just five minutes?
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yup. Okay. We'll take a five-minute break, and then we'11 have the public to address the Board.
(The meeting was recessed at 9:20 p.m. and resumed at 9:28 p.m.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We're back, we're back on camera. Al1 right. I'11 open up to the public to address the Board. Just name and address for the record. Come on up.

MR. DEFRANCESCO: How are you doing? I'm Jim Defrancesco. I live at 49 Caleb's Way in Pheasant Run Estates. I know that's not in the Incorporated Village, but I would like to address or have you address what you -- have any plans of doing anything on Moore's Lane as far as the road.

We have 16 units in there, all elderly people. Sometimes there's like stagnant water sitting in the summertime on the sides of the road, which is a mosquito infested pond, which is a health hazard. The road has been patched I don't know how many times. I've been coming out here for 25 years. I know there's probably -- I don't know whether the Town owns it, I don't know if the State owns it, I don't know if the County -- if Southold owns it, but something has to be addressed at the end of that road.

I see you put a brand new sign in, a
beautiful sign, "Welcome to Greenport". I see you doing roadwork around in the town. Road gets used constantly, as you know. Tons of traffic comes through that road, and you have the park right down the block, and the road really needs to be addressed. You can't patch it anymore.

I know you guys -- and also the walkway. I see people walking and riding their bicycles, walking through the park there, people with strollers, and you have these roots coming up all over the place, and I know it's been marked. So, obviously, if you've marked the problem of the roots being in the walkways, then obviously you know about the problem, which also means if something happens, then you'd be liable for it. So I'm wondering if there's anything that you guys can do to address what's going on over there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The stuff on the side of the road and everything else, I mean, that -- trucks have driven in there and made the situation worse. We could fill that in. The north end of the road has not been paved. It was done from the water tower through the bend, and everything else. That last section after --
that's the next section that needs to be done. Right now we haven't worked on the capital plan for next year, but when we look at paving again, we'll take a look at that.

MR. DEFRANCESCO: Yeah, I really appreciate
it. And at least -- at least -- and I know you have to -- you own the walkway through there, right? I know --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, the whole road, the whole road is the Village control.

MR. DEFRANCESCO: Is it the Village's? MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, it's a Village road. MR. DEFRANCESCO: It is the Village. I really do think you have to address -- I mean, I know you're doing a lot of paving around. I hear you talking about, you know, getting so many million of dollars from LIPA, whoever may be over there. Maybe it's a good thing to do, because you have that sign, great looking sign, "Welcome to Greenport", you know, and then you turn and make a right-hand turn there and it's horrible, it's horrible. Something has to be done about it. All right? I appreciate it. Thank you. MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure. Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board?

MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street.
First, I want to thank the Village and for keeping people updated with the PSEG project. And I would also like to personally thank John Saladino. It's been very nice the past two days knowing what was going on where my school route is. So that's very much appreciated. Thank you for that.

Just an FYI, Trustee Roberts. The Legion is not just a roller state skating rink. It has never been just a roller skating rink. So I don't understand your comment earlier about them having New Years Eve there without roller skating, okay? This has never been -- it's the American Legion Burton Potter Post 185. Yes, it has some subtitles. Roller skating is one of the events in there, but it's not the only event.

And the other issue that you brought up about someone coming to you, this isn't the first time you've said at a meeting, well, this person or this person came up and told me this, told me this. My reaction would be, if someone came up to me and said, say for the bus company, "Hey, I heard that there's a problem on a certain bus route," my reaction would be, "I have no clue,
that's not mine, but this is who you contact."
If someone comes to you and says, "Hey, I went into Village Hall and I was told something, it didn't sound right, I'm going to throw my" -to me, as the Village Official, your response should have been, "Yeah, that doesn't sound right. How about I give you the Village Administrator's phone number and email address? Contact him personally." Or if it's a different issue, you know who the people are that run the different departments.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I do.
MS. ALLEN: I think that, as a -- as a Village Official, is what you should be doing.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I do.
MS. ALLEN: And not turn around and come to a meeting and say, "Oh." Because, honestly, people that watch this, that bothered me to hear that, because you're basically bad-mouthing the entire Village Hall and the people that work for this Village by saying things like that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry you see it that way.

MS. ALLEN: As a Village resident, that bothers me, because, like I said, you should have
said this is where we go. Like Trustee Phillips said, when someone had an issue, she said, "This is where you go," and your back -- you back off.

You -- the Mayor and the Board to me, you're a liaison to everything that goes on in the Village. And when someone has a question, it's not that we want you to correct it for us, we just need your help and your guidance where to go. And I think that's a better way than basically putting everyone in a bad light. That just -- maybe it's me. That kind of turned me off.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry you see it that way. But, if I may, I'm trying to put the process in a bad light, not the people, and I think this process has been broken for a while. And I think if you were to walk up and down and talk to people who own businesses, ask them what they think of the process, and -- and if I'm wrong, then I'm wrong, but it's -- I've been through it as an applicant, so I -- but I -- I'm talking about a process. And if I can't bring up things that we need to fix and do better, then what's the point of being here?

MS. ALLEN: Yes. But the way that it gets
brought up, it's not just me, there are people that watch these meetings, and it's the way that you're approaching it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair.
MS. ALLEN: Okay. And it's coming across -- you know, it puts people in a bad light. I understand, the system has to be fixed somehow, you know. Maybe because I go to every single meeting, that I know there are procedures in place. I have seen ZBA meetings, Planning Board meetings, and there is a process that everyone has to go through, not just one applicant. And it was just the way that it was brought up.

Changing gears here, thank you, Trustee Phillips, for using your noggin, because $I$ had in my notes before $I$ came about changing the speed limit to -- an old saying, KIS, just keep it simple. Start off with putting up a sign that says something along the lines of "Welcome to the Village of Greenport, Our Speed Limit is 30 miles an hour."

A lot of people that come in off the North Road, or are coming from the west, they might not realize where this -- where -- what the speed
limit is. Most towns, villages, whatever, when you first approach, it tells you the different -I don't object to 25 , but like it was also said, honestly, the people that are speeding, you can -- you can put it down as far as five miles an hour, they're still going to speed.

Seeing something else about -- I don't know where the Board is standing on this petition about having local or resident-only parking spaces. To me, that's a can of worms I don't think you want to open, because, like I said the last time, how many, not people, but actual residences, homes, and I'm counting a multi-family home as one, are there within the mile limit of the Village; that if you were to give out stickers to every resident, and you're only going to provide 20 to 25 parking spaces, who actually gets to use them? And what happens if one resident decides to leave their car there for three days? I think that's something that, personally, I don't feel that should be done like that. There's not enough spaces to give everyone in the Village their own personal parking space to be in the town.

I know it's being done, and I'm the one
that will say it for certain people, that they're angry that you're enforcing time limits. They want to be able to park in front of their apartment, or, you know, the business, so they feel that they should have their own special parking. There's a lot of things I feel I should have, but $I$ know it's not realistic.

And going hand in hand with that, whatever happened -- I know there was talk about making where the Hampton Jitney bus is coming in, making that timed parking, so that people didn't keep their cars there for days, weeks at a time. Is that still in the works, or did that never happen?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that never happened.
We actually have a contract with Hampton Jitney. They're paying for services of parking down there now.

MS. ALLEN: Okay. No, I knew that was part of it. I'd just think --

MAYOR HUBBARD: We11, that was -- that was part of it, to not limit their people that they're paying --

MS. ALLEN: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: They're basically paying a
maintenance fee to be able to use -- the people that use the bus service --

MS. ALLEN: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- they're paying a maintenance fee.

MS. ALLEN: Okay. I couldn't remember, because it drug out for so long that I was thinking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
MS. ALLEN: And this resident-only, that's what made me think. I know there was the talk about that.

And with short-term rentals, I personally
feel that they should be owner-occupied. And once this process is getting done with having all rentals, you're going to know which ones are short-term. And when you see, okay, let's go through how many Airbnbs do we have, oh, wait, no, that one doesn't have a rental permit, you shut them down, you fine them. That's how you're going to get some of these people who like to break the rules. And then figure out how you want to deal with it, because I think -- I was looking at the list that Trustee Roberts put out, there's a lot, and a lot of them I think are
over-occupancy. You know, that's the scary part, when you have a house and they're advertising it sleeps 14. I saw one that advertised sleeping 20, and you're thinking, you go past the house and go, "Where are they sleeping?" You know, so I think that's another way to deal with that.

And the other question was, I know it was brought up about moving the stop line back on Front Street by the corner of Third. Is that stil1 moving forward or --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Actually, Suffolk County, there was a meeting that Jimmy Kalin was at with Suffolk County, with the S92s.

MS. ALLEN: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And he was bringing that up at that meeting.

MS. ALLEN: Oh, okay
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I'm not quite sure exactly. I won't see him until the next Transportation Commission meeting, and then we'11 find out if that's transpiring. But the other part of it was to not only do that, but to not --

MS. ALLEN: Have a right turn.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: To make it no right -to make no right-hand turn.

MS. ALLEN: Right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay? That -- he's bringing that up to Suffolk County.

MS. ALLEN: Okay. I wasn't sure. I happened to see a large thing this morning.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
MS. ALLEN: And it clicked in my head, "Hm, I wonder where that's standing."

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, that's stil1 in 1imbo unti1 I --

MS. ALLEN: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- get a chance to talk to him.

MS. ALLEN: Because that will not on1y benefit the S 92 and keep it from going this way, it will also be in the summer with the limos and --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That was the goal of it.
MS. ALLEN: -- all these large, you know, buses that are in here.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I had hoped to have an answer, but normally we have -- a Transportation meeting is before our regular work session -- I mean, the regular meeting, so $I$ won't know until next Monday.
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MS. ALLEN: Oh, okay. Like I said, I saw something this morning. I saw him trying to make a turn and it was like, ooh.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's still on my agenda.
MS. ALLEN: And, personally, I feel this project -- I mean, I have a birds eye view of this project. To me, I think they've been trying to accommodate as best they can, and being as safe as they can. You know, I've seen them with spotters. You know, I know they have spoken with the Village, with the Police, when they know there's going to be certain times. So I think if you just bring your concerns to them about the traffic, that's going to be getting heavier, I think you will do very well. Turns out I know the builder, which I didn't know when this project -- when I was opposing it. So thank you very much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'11 offer a motion to adjourn the meeting at 9:45.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. Thank you all for coming.
(The Meeting was Adjourned at 9:45 p.m.)
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| avenue [1] - 157:5 |  | 39:14, 45:12 | 152:6, 155:20, | bring [13] - 10:7 |
| Avenue [1]-7:25 | beautiful [1] - 175:1 | 107:12, 128:24 | $166: 6,169: 19$ | 44:10, 75:16, 95:23, |
| average [5] - 16:22 | became [1] - 38:23 | 131:8, 136:20 | 169:22, 171:9 | 95:24, 96:1, 96:7 |
| 17:2, 133:11, 133:12 | become [5] - 42:8, | 145:4, 151:13, | 173:25, 174:5, | 115:12, 117:17, |
| avoid [1] - 42:11 | 121:24, 148 | 159:16, 167:4, 167:8 | 76:25, 179:4 | 135:18, 140:25, |
| awards [1] - 9:11 | 159:12, 170:22 | bigger [2] - 159:17, | 180:11, 181:8, | 179:22, 186:13 |
| aware [7]-7:15, 10:6, | becomes [1] - 48:16 | 164:2 | 86:20 | bringing [4]-123:2 |
| 70:9, 89:6, 89:9, | beco | biggest [2] - 82:3 | BOARD [1] - 1:3 | 134:17, 184:15, |
| 89:14, 169:2 | 139:23, 157:1, 157:2 | 168:6 | Board's [2] - 52:25, | 185:3 |
| awesome [4] - 63:3, | bedr | bill [2] - 45:20, 45:25 | 75:17 | brings [2]-132:9, |
| 75:18, 118:8, 128:13 | 133:13, 133:15 | Billing [2] - 62:7, | boat [6]-95:24, 96:1, | 146:18 |
| AWOL [1] - 42:2 | beer [1] - 85:12 | 62:17 | 157:18, 159:13, | broached [2] - 86:10, |
| Aye [10] - 74:13, | beginning [7] - 7:19, | bills [2] - 4:11, 62:4 | 167:4, 167:8 | $143: 11$ |
| 74:14, 74:15, 74:16, | 56:25, 57:2, 96:2 | birds [1] - 186:6 | Boat [2] - 76:5, 116:7 | broadcast [1] - 171:23 |
| $74: 17,187: 1,187: 2$ | 122:10, 124:3, | $\text { bit }[7]-5: 23,6: 2,60: 2 \text {, }$ 64:20. 115:22. 168:2 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { boats }[3]-40: 7, \\ 159: 16,159: 17 \end{array}$ | broaden [1] - 117:23 |
| 187:3, 187:4, 187:5 | 155:25 | 64:20, 115:22, 168:2 | $\text { body [2] - } 158$ | broken [1] - 179:16 |
| B | behind [3]-73:19 | block [5] - 124:11 | 161:5 | 138:22 |
|  | 90:6, 129:13 | 125:1, 133:5, 133:6, | bonus [1] - 114:1 | brokerage [4] - 39:21, |
| B\&B [1] - 155:19 | behooves [2]-6:6 | 175:5 | books [2] - 87:8 | 39:25, 40:3, 40:6 |
| B\&Bs [1] - 151:24 | 10 | blocks [1] - 44:23 | 150:15 | brokers [1] - 134:6 |
| backs [1] - 49:18 | belong [1] - 134 | blood [1] - 188:14 | boosting [1] - 151: | Brookhaven's [1] - |
| bad [5] - 34:14, | belongs [1] - 163:20 | blue [2]-167:4, 167:8 | booth [1] - 171:13 | 156:25 |
| 178:19, 179:10, | benches [1] - 118:2 | Blue [1] - 78:23 | borderline [1] - <br> $122 \cdot 11$ | brought [8] - 75:6, |
| 179:15, 180:6 | bend [1] - 175:24 | Board [91] - 28:13, | 122:11 | 78:21, 88:19, 93:22, |
| bad-mouthing [1] 178:19 | beneficial [1]-87:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 19,29: 6,31: 6 \\ & 33: 25,34: 5,34: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { boring [3] - 158:6 } \\ & \text { 160:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 177:18, 180:1, } \\ & \text { 180:14, 184:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| bagpipes [1] - 118:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { benefit }[3]-97: 20 \\ 97: 22,185: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $36: 4,37: 1,37: 2$ | bothered [1] - 178:18 | 180:14, 184:8 <br> Brown [1] - 147:14 |
| ban [2] - 88:6, 152:3 | BESS [1] - 1:15 | 40:16, 41:25, 44:16, | bothers [1] - 178:25 | budget [11] - 26:15 |
| Band [1] - 56:17 barricades [1] - | $\text { Bess }[1]-43: 13$ | $45: 11,45: 19,46: 14$ $46: 16,46: 18,47: 7$ | bottom [1] - 166:12 <br> bought [5]-84:7 | $27: 2,27: 14,27: 17$ 61:23, 62:1, 115:23, |
| bars [1] - 92:12 | best [6] - 19:20, 19:21, | $47: 16,47: 18,50: 2$ | $150: 19,150: 22,$ | 61:23, 62:1, 115:23, 115:24, 148:20, |
| based [3]-93:12 | 36:23 | 50:10, 50:18, 51:3, | 151:4 | 148:24, 158:21 |
| 102:17, 117:3 | $\text { bet }[2]-87: 18$ | $53: 1,53: 5,53: 23$ | BRAATEN | Budget [1] - 148:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { basement [2] - 13:1, } \\ & 13: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { better }[7]-17: 9,39: 7,$ | 70:17, 70:23, 73:2, | 173:22, 188:7 <br> Braaten [1]-188:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { build }[6]-35: 4,36: 19 \\ & 101: 8,101: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| bases [1] - 107:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:2, 99:13, 179:9, } \\ & \text { 179:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $76: 25,85: 13,87: 24$ | brainer [1] - 97:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:8, 101:10, } \\ & 137: 8,171: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| basic [2] - 48:2, 62:18 | between [12]-38:20, | 89:6, 89:8, 89:10, | brand [1] - 174:25 | builder [1] - 186:16 |
| basis [2]-44:17, | 41:14, 49:3, 61:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 9,93: 10,98: 10 \\ & 98: 13,99: 12,99: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Brandt [2] - 61:15, | Building [36] - 4:1, |
| bats [1] - 39:22 |  | $99: 14,99: 18,99: 19$ | break [13] - 70:21 | 13:17, 13:19, 14:18, |
| battle [1] - 6:3 | $2: 23,152: 20$ | $100: 19,100: 23$ | 71:9, 71:10, 71:15, | 14:19, 15:7, 16:7, |
| Baumer [1] - 172:5 | 173:12 | 101:1, 101:16, <br> 101:19, 101:20 | 71:16, 71:17, 71:24, | $17: 4,18: 13,18: 19$ |
| baymen's [1] - 58:5 | beverage [1] - 84:7 | 101:19, 101:20, 102:9, 102:11 | 72:1, 72:16, 72:18, | 19:7, 19:13, 19:23, |
| beach [3] - 128:25, | beverages [1] - 85: | 102:9, 102:11, | 115:21, 173:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:3, 20:7, 23:10, } \\ & \text { 23:22, 23:25. 24:20. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 161:13, 162:2 | beyond [1] - 141:4 |  | 183:22 |  |
| Beach [2] - 160:25, | bicycles [1] - 175:8 | 105:21, 105:25, | breaks [1] - 115:9 | $25: 25,26: 17,34: 18$ |
| 161:21 | BID [8]-116:5, 168:5, | 106:16, 106:18, | Brewer's [2] - 158:24, | 39:2, 47:13, 51:9, <br> $54: 11,55: 4,55: 13$ |
| Beach's [1] - 159:12 | 168:9, 168:18, | 106.16, 106.18, | 165:6 | 54:11, 55:4, 55:13, |


| ```56:20, 57:12, 100:21, 100:24, 106:20 building [24] - 5:14, 6:7, 6:17, 7:12, 7:13, 8:4, 29:15, 31:10, 38:7, 39:7, 39:11, 46:9, 46:13, 46:17, 50:4, 50:19, 50:24, 53:17, 53:18, 60:5, 80:23, 102:12, 117:15, 145:25 buildings [2] - 37:25, 80:17 built [3]-37:25, 141:21 bulkhead [3] - 40:7, 82:25, 159:14 Bull [1] - 64:13 bump [1] - 161:11 bumps [1]-122:2 bunch [4]-51:8, 119:11, 135:18, 138:13 bureaucratic [1] - 44:17 burn [1]-6:1 Burton [1] - 177:15 bus [4] - 177:23, 177:24, 182:10, 183:2 buses [1] - 185:20 business [24]-28:20, 32:1, 33:19, 39:15, 41:18, 42:7, 43:22, 43:25, 45:2, 46:21, 46:23, 48:3, 49:13, 51:25, 53:10, 79:6, 79:14, 86:25, 87:3, 144:23, 161:4, 168:7, 182:4 business' [1] - 79:7 businesses [23] - 13:23, 29:8, 29:24, 39:19, 39:22, 39:23, 40:11, 40:13, 52:4, 118:12, 132:5, 165:1, 165:2, 168:15, 168:17, 168:20, 168:22, 170:3, 170:9, 170:11, 170:25, 171:5, 179:18``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { busy }[3]-90: 6 \text {, } \\ 172: 23,173: 13 \\ \text { buy }[4]-127: 22 \text {, } \\ 139: 13,139: 16, \\ 171: 12 \\ \text { buyer }[1]-140: 1 \\ \text { buyers }[3]-139: 21, \\ 140: 15,140: 21 \\ \text { buying }[5]-135: 21, \\ 135: 22,135: 25, \\ 140: 23 \\ \text { buys }[1]-139: 24 \end{gathered}$ ```Caleb's [1] - 174:8 calendar [1] - 20:11 camera [1] - 174:4 campaign [1] - 140:16 campground [1] - 58:13 cannot [5] - 16:8, 80:23, 99:1, 99:6, 101:13 canvas [1] - 92:6 canvassed [1] - 17:19 cap [3] - 138:4, 139:4, 152:13 capital [7]-46:24, 135:19, 138:14, 146:16, 146:20, 148:20, 176:2 Capital [2]-118:2, 148:25 car [1]-181:19 care [10]-23:23, 60:22, 62:6, 148:11, 149:12, 158:4, 158:5, 160:20, 172:3, 172:10 Carolyn [1] - 171:9 Carousel [3] - 60:22, 167:23, 170:20 carried [2] - 74:20, 187:8 cars [1] - 182:12 cart [1] - 162:2 case [9]-31:2, 44:8, 50:9, 86:21, 106:19, 110:4, 110:5, 110:8 cases [3]-44:4, 110:3, 110:6 cash[1] - 136:9``` | ```catch [2] - 40:24, 129:17 category [1] - 159:17 cater [1] - 132:5 caught [2] - 13:8, 38:22 CDBG[1] - 157:9 celebrating [1] - 85:11 Center [2]-58:7, 115:7 certain [6] - 15:4, 50:6, 136:19, 177:24, 182:1, 186:12 certainly [3] - 59:6, 59:9, 140:6 certificate [1] - 46:16 certification [2]-26:5, 26:8 certified [2]-26:1, 26:11 certify [2] - 188:9, 188:13 CFA[4] - 162:7, 162:11, 162:14 chairing [1] - 116:22 Chairman [1] - 64:13 challenge [2]-152:4, 152:7 challenging [1] - 133:21 chance [1] - 185:12 change [33]-27:20, 28:17, 29:14, 29:15, 32:4, 35:15, 48:8, 50:4, 50:20, 53:24, 64:22, 64:23, 77:1, 77:6, 84:22, 89:20, 89:21, 90:13, 91:4, 101:3, 103:14, 116:24, 119:8, 120:12, 125:13, 126:11, 130:4, 130:10, 149:25, 152:1, 152:5, 154:21, 155:17 changed [17] - 5:13, 9:7, 43:9, 43:12, 46:12, 47:5, 57:4, 59:22, 78:23, 78:24, 85:10, 85:11, 90:17, 105:1, 108:18, 154:6, 167:4``` | ```changes [10] - 22:16, 29:2, 29:5, 31:16, 32:10, 36:10, 36:14, 47:24, 59:23, 91:20 changing [8] - 29:2, 29:3, 53:15, 84:12, 120:5, 143:21, 180:15, 180:17 Chapter [2]-98:11, 111:8 chapters [1] - 111:13 character [2]-99:14, 103:14 charge [1] - 96:3 charging [1] - 95:18 chart [1] - 19:23 chase [1] - 129:15 Chatty [1]-177:1 check [8]-3:1, 5:5, 7:22, 14:2, 49:12, 117:8, 139:10, 160:2 checking [3]-72:24, 112:15 Chi [2]-93:14, 93:15 Chief [9]-2:12, 10:16, 64:20, 122:8, 123:19, 126:9, 126:16, 130:8, 130:15 CHIEF [18]-2:14, 2:16, 3:5, 3:9, 3:12, 3:16, 5:18, 6:9, 9:13, 9:20, 9:23, 10:2, 10:7, 10:14, 10:18, 10:22, 10:24, 11:2 choice [1]-16:13 choose [1] - 65:15 chorus [1]-117:22 chose [1] - 65:17 chowder [2] - 3:8, 3:10 Christmas [1] - 71:9 Church [1] - 123:5 church [2]-118:11, 125:6 circulate [1] - 112:7 circulated [1] - 116:10 city [1] - 157:2 Civil [8] - 14:23, 15:8, 15:9, 15:12, 15:13, 15:19, 15:20, 15:23 clarify [3] - 98:18, 102:10, 149:16``` | ```clarifying [1] - 150:16 Clark [1] - 147:15 class [1]-96:7 Classic [1] - 76:5 classifications [1] - 38:19 Claudio's [1] - 40:2 clean [1] - 162:8 clear [6] - 36:22, 43:14, 53:3, 53:7, 78:9, 173:18 CLERK [73]-1:20, 15:15, 15:17, 15:24, 16:1, 16:12, 16:15, 16:23, 64:9, 65:11, 65:13, 65:15, 65:17, 65:23, 66:4, 66:10, 66:18, 66:22, 67:16, 68:19, 68:23, 69:2, 69:4, 70:13, 70:16, 70:25, 71:13, 72:1, 72:15, 72:18, 72:21, 73:2, 73:6, 73:9, 73:24, 74:21, 74:24, 75:21, 75:25, 76:4, 76:11, 76:14, 76:19, 76:23, 81:11, 88:24, 89:3, 89:14, 93:3, 93:7, 93:15, 94:2, 95:3, 95:9, 95:13, 95:17, 95:20, 96:19, 97:2, 97:5, 97:8, 97:12, 98:3, 98:5, 98:8, 109:5, 109:10, 109:13, 109:16, 112:21, 117:5, 117:7, 130:23 Clerk [4] - 9:17, 15:21, 64:8, 112:13 clicked [1] - 185:7 close [3]-87:12, 111:2, 111:3 closed [2]-35:20, 84:7 closer [4]-159:12, 160:10, 160:12 closes [1] - 165:15 closing \([1]\) - 84:16 closings [1] - 110:25 Clown [1] - 117:22 clue [1] - 177:25 CO [1] - 153:23 Code [15]-13:20,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { creating }[3]-71: 1 \text {, } \\ & \text { 106:1, 168:9 } \\ & \text { Creek }[1]-162: 9 \\ & \text { creek }[2]-164: 24, \\ & 167: 11 \\ & \text { crew }[1]-12: 5 \\ & \text { criteria }[10]-102: 16, \\ & 102: 25,103: 2, \\ & 103: 3,103: 7, \\ & 105: 11,105: 12, \\ & 105: 13,105: 18, \\ & 105: 21 \\ & \text { CRM }[1]-52: 7 \\ & \text { Cross }[1]-161: 16 \\ & \text { cross }[1]-123: 8 \\ & \text { crucify }[1]-40: 12 \\ & \text { crucifying }[1]-40: 21 \\ & \text { cultural }[1]-96: 15 \\ & \text { cumbersome }[1]- \\ & 22: 6 \\ & \text { current }[6]-35: 14, \\ & 42: 15,68: 6,137: 6, \\ & 153: 9,160: 24 \\ & \text { curtail }[1]-144: 25 \\ & \text { customer }[4]-48: 13, \\ & 48: 23,52: 8,52: 19 \\ & \text { customers }[1]-54: 24 \\ & \text { cut }[1]-99: 5 \\ & \text { cycle }[1]-45: 11 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { dance }[1]-117: 17 \\ & \text { Dancers }[1]-117: 21 \\ & \text { dancers }[1]-117: 25 \\ & \text { dangerous }[1]-82: 9 \\ & \text { DASNY }[1]-97: 13 \\ & \text { data }[1]-132: 7 \\ & \text { date }[11]-9: 18,62: 22, \\ & 63: 12,65: 5,65: 7, \\ & 65: 15,110: 15, \\ & 110: 16,116: 24, \\ & 117: 2,117: 18 \\ & \text { date's }[1]-11: 11 \\ & \text { David }[1]-118: 4 \\ & \text { days }[10]-11: 9, \\ & 41: 11,46: 19,71: 19, \\ & 77: 17,96: 1,177: 5, \\ & 181: 20,182: 12 \\ & \text { deadest }[1]-132: 19 \\ & \text { deal }[9]-39: 10,45: 13, \\ & 53: 11,82: 5,132: 12, \\ & 154: 14,155: 11, \end{aligned}$ | ```183:23, 184:6 dealing [8] \(-3: 14\), 23:1, 26:21, 78:2, 78:3, 78:4, 146:21, 152:22 deals [2]-102:11, 134:10 DEC \([7]\) - 68:10, 69:3, 69:9, 158:5, 158:16, 160:18, 160:23 December [1]-67:2 decide [4]-79:24, 89:10, 139:21, 159:13 decided [2]-157:7, 171:12 decides [1] - 181:19 decision [3]-40:15, 102:17, 155:15 deck [4]-35:5, 98:22, 101:8, 101:10 decorating [1] - 171:16 deeper [1] - 134:24 definitely [1]-20:24 DEFRANCESCO [4] - 174:7, 176:5, 176:11, 176:13 Defrancesco [1] - 174:8 deleted [1] - 9:6 delivery [1] - 173:1 delved [2] - 44:6, 44:7 demand [1] - 144:16 demonstrating [1] - 122:24 Department [36] - 2:13, 4:2, 4:5, 4:8, 4:9, 4:25, 6:20, 6:22, 7:8, 9:25, 11:16, 11:17, 12:9, 12:12, 12:13, 12:15, 12:17, 13:7, 13:16, 13:19, 14:18, 23:10, 23:25, 25:6, 26:18, 39:2, 47:14, 54:12, 55:4, 57:23, 62:17, 67:8, 100:21, 171:18 department [1]-56:22 departments [1] - 178:11 DEPUTY [2]-1:14, 1:22``` | ```Derryl[3]-2:23, 122:9, 172:5 deserved [1] - 32:22 deserves [1] - 32:25 design [1]-112:1 designated [1] - 47:23 designed [1] - 13:14 desk [2] - 45:24, 46:2 despite [1]-29:5 detail [1]-59:4 details [2]-63:5, 76:6 determines [1]-55:23 Dev [1] - 156:17 develop [3] - 29:4, 29:21, 168:19 development [1] - 168:8 Development [2] - 62:19, 156:20 dice [1] - 131:12 dictate [4] - 37:9, 37:10, 82:9 dictates [1] - 38:21 dies [1]-82:24 difference [1]-121:22 differences [3] - 54:8, 57:16, 57:18 different [25]-18:18, 26:10, 32:1, 32:3, 35:19, 37:19, 37:23, 48:6, 49:17, 66:7, 66:8, 80:14, 96:25, 104:13, 104:25, 107:21, 123:18, 124:20, 137:10, 143:12, 152:25, 178:9, 178:11, 181:2 differently [2]-19:14, 31:24 difficulty \({ }_{[1]}\) - 168:25 Dinni [3]-116:22, 117:11, 118:16 direct \({ }_{[1]}\) - 170:19 directed [1] - 170:20 direction [4] - 137:10, 143:12, 152:9, 154:18 directly [3] - 60:13, 60:19, 112:2 disagree [1] - 35:10 disagreeing [2] - 43:20, 121:22 disaster [1] - 38:8``` | ```discovered [1] - 157:7 discuss [13] - 3:19, 48:12, 87:22, 98:14, 126:16, 130:8, 130:16, 138:19, 142:12, 142:17, 142:18, 142:22, 143:1 discussed [4] - 3:20, 87:25, 157:21, 172:13 discussing [5] - 87:24, 89:7, 104:6, 113:10, 146:20 discussion [15] - 57:7, 57:8, 77:1, 78:11, 85:24, 89:9, 98:10, 101:4, 110:18, 111:10, 133:1, 146:19, 165:19, 173:11, 173:16 discussions [2] - 115:12, 163:15 dispatch [1] - 4:6 displace [3] - 162:7, 165:13, 167:9 displaced [1] - 164:22 dispute [2]-54:20, 54:23 Distillery [1] - 12:22 distinction [2]- 153:14, 153:18 distributing [1] - 111:24 District [3] - 7:2, 74:3, 74:10 district [1] - 144:23 district's [1] - 66:7 districts [1] - 171:5 diverse [1] - 134:20 diversity [1] - 132:4 divvy [1]-20:8 dock [8] - 58:5, 60:8, 60:13, 60:15, 60:19, 75:23, 76:1, 96:11 dockside [1] - 157:17 Dockwa [2]-58:19, 59:1 document [6] - 42:4, 42:10, 48:18, 104:16, 104:17, 154:4 documented [1] -``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 141:16 | 162:21, 174:12, | 149:10, 156:13 | 116:25, 122:20, | forbids [1] - 101:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| expected [1] - 97:16 | 181 | fifty [1] - 136: | 10, 132:19, | force [1] - 54:16 |
| expense [2]-27:8, | fast [5] - 57:6, 124:3, | figure [6]-20:6 | 146:8, 147:1 | foregoing [1] - 188:10 |
| 62:2 | 125:4, 125:7, 127:12 | 104:12, 137:25, | 148:10, 148:24, | forgotten [2]-91:14, |
| expenses [1] - 27:5 | faster [5] - 19:20 | 145:24, 154:2 | 151:20, 156:6 | 91:16 |
| expensive [2]-52:11, | 25:1, 25:4, 136:11, | 183:2 | 167:16, 177:2 | $\text { form [1] }-32: 14$ |
| 158:7 | 173 | file [4]-37:12, 39:11, | 177:19, 181 | formal [2]-13:18, |
| experience [2]-46:3, | fault [1] - 41:24 | 44:7, 168:9 | First [2] - 122:24, | 28:12 |
| 83:22 | favor [3]-9:15, 74:12, | filed [1] - 75:3 | 124:15 | formally [1] - 63:5 |
| expert [1] - 105:5 | 186:25 | files [3] - 39:2, 40:24, | fish [1] - 38:23 | forty [2] - 120:7, |
| expire [1] - 68: | feature [1] - 170:4 | 47:1 | Fisheries [1] - 167: | 131:19 |
| expired [1] - 24:11 | featured [2] - 118:4 | fill [6] - 8:24, 47:6 | fits [2] - 99:13, 101:24 | forty-five [2] - 120:7, |
| explain [3]-10:12, | 170:5 | 95:12, 95:14, 97:1, | five [19] - 19:3, 48:14, | 131:19 |
| 49:3, 59:25 | February [10] - 1:9, | 175:22 | $62: 19,62: 21,62: 22$ | forward [14] - 3:3, |
| explained [2] - 46:10, | 62:22, 71:10, 74:5 | final [1] - 109:2 | $75: 10,94: 2,94: 3$ | 19:8, 20:21, 52:5, |
| $67: 14$ | 97:19, 110:10, | Finance [1]-114:18 | 96:22, 100:23, | 56:22, 94:9, 94:17, |
| explore [1] - 144:5 | 132:20, 188:12, | financial [1] - 111:22 | 103:8, 104:24, | 112:5, 115:13, |
| exploring [1] - 117:23 | 188:18 | fine [13] - 6:10, 6:11, | 120:7, 120:13, | 116:3, 118:13 |
| express [2]-138:18, | Federal [3] - 163:21 164:11, 167.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 21,78: 15,83: 18, \\ & 83: 21.83: 22.84: 9 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:7, 131:19, } \\ & 73: 22,173: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 146:7, 173:5, 184:10 |
| $170: 14$ | fee [7]-93:12, 95:11 | 83:21, 83:22, 84:9 | $181: 5$ | fought [1] - 41:2 |
| $144: 10$ | 95:19, 96:4, 96:18 | 120:17, 138:23 | five-minute [1] - | $75: 10,96: 22,124: 5,$ |
| extension [2] - 102:3, | 183:1, 183:5 | 183:2 | 73:2 | 131:22, 147:14 |
| 163: | feelings [1] - 130:15 | fines [2]-3:23, 6:24 | $\text { fix [2] }-4: 16,179: 23$ | Fourth [1] - 147:15 |
| extra [1] - 55 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fees }[4]-46: 1,62: 3, \\ & 62: 6,145: 25 \end{aligned}$ | finger [1] - 118:9 | fixed [2] - 4:22, 180:7 <br> flag [1] - 2:3 | frankly [3]-54:21, |
| eye [2] - 173:4, 186:6 | $\text { feet }[7]-75: 12$ | $6: 16$ | Flatley [2] - 123:19 | freaked [1] - 30:12 |
| eyelash [1] - 39:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 123: 11,159: 20 \\ & 159: 21,165: 3 \end{aligned}$ | finish [4]-56:20, | $126: 16$ <br> flesh [1] | $\text { free [1] }-51: 13$ |
|  | fell [1] - 83:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 148: 8, \\ & 165: 22 \end{aligned}$ | Flint [1] - 147:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Free [3] - 74:2, 74:1 } \\ & 97: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | felt [1] - 163:17 | finished [3] - 26:14, | flip [1] - 66:14 | freely [1] - 49:8 |
| Facebook [2] - 131:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ferry [4] - } 68: 5,68: 6, \\ & 68: 11,161: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $28: 2,162: 1$ | floating [1] - 152:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday [2]-72:13 } \\ & 74: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| fact [8]-22:11, 25:8 | ferry [1]-129: | 162:17 | floors [1] - 2:2 | Friends [8] - 93:9 |
| 32:5, 43:20, 45:6, | fest [1] - 85:12 | Fire [26]-2:13, 4:25, | Florida [2]-2:8, 29:20 | 94:5, 94:24, 95: |
| 58:16, 89:6, 93:12 | Festival [4]-75:8, | 5:1, 5:6, 7:2, 7:7, | floundering [1] - | 95:6, 96:14, 98:9 |
| fair [7] - 38:21, 55:24, | $75: 9,75: 12,117: 15$ | 7:21, 9:25, 20:3, | $94: 16$ | $118: 10$ |
| 91:2, 91:22, 138:6, | festival [13] - 81:7, | 22:22, 24:8, 27:20, | flows [1] - 52:9 | friends [1] - 136:23 |
| 161:4, 180:4 | 81:9, 81:11, 81:23, | 29:12, 31:7, 31:10, | flu [1]-117:12 | front [6] - 34:5, 46:13, |
| faire [1] - 134:16 | 81:24, 84:1, 84:13, | $36: 3,36: 11,36: 22$ | flying [4] - 123:6, | 123:4, 124:22, |
| $\text { fairly }[3]-40: 23,57: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 10,85: 11,85: 25, \\ & 86: 2.86: 15.117: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 3,41: 6,43: 15 \\ & 50: 1,64: 20,67: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125:17, 128:19, } \\ & 120 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $125: 5,182: 3$ |
| $\text { fake }[2]-29: 11,41: 22$ | festivals [1]-80:9 | 116:7 | focus [1] - 36:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Front [6]-119:3, } \\ & \text { 121:24, 124:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Fall [1] - 136:6 | festive [1] - 171:19 | fire [3] - 3:15, 33:23, | folks [6] - 29:19, 57:5, | $125: 14,172: 20$ |
| fall [1] - 82:25 | few [6]-5:21, 64:10, | 41:2 | 120:2, 137:12, | 184:9 |
| falls [1] - 82:21 | $98: 18,125: 16$ | fired [1] - 34: | 138:8, 138:11 | frustration [1] - |
| familiar [1] - 59:7 | $131: 3,157: 22$ | Firehouse [1] - 1:7 | follow [3]-8:24, | 170:14 |
| families [1] - 141:2 | field [1] - 57:13 | firehouse [2]-2:22, | 16:14, 36:17 | full [2] - 11:9, 96:5 |
| $\text { family }[4]-7: 13,7: 20,$ | Fifth [11]-123:10, | 3:2 | followed [1] - 54:7 | fuller [1] - 105:21 |
| 62:20, 181:14 | 124:10, 124:25, | first | following [4]-2:17 | fully [1] - 75:2 |
| $\operatorname{far}_{[11]}-19: 13,26: 11$ | 135:14, 136:7, | 14:18, 20:18, 30:5, | 44:20, 44:25, 47:24 | functioning [3]-23:4, |
| 51:4, 51:6, 59:23, | 147:7, 147:14, | 36:21, 61:22, 65:1, | food [2] - 117:17, | $94: 12$ |
| 86:6, 86:13, 92:3, | 148:2, 149:6, | 65:4, 76:22, 110:4, | 118:10 | funded [1] - 112:5 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { funding }[4]-64: 17, \\ & 146: 6,146: 21,158: 1 \\ & \text { furnish }[1]-92: 25 \\ & \text { future }[1]-94: 19 \\ & \text { FYI }_{[1]}-177: 9 \end{aligned}$ | ```111:20, 112:2, 112:5, 112:10, 112:15 governing [1] - 42:3 government [1] - 36:17``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 144:15, 151:10, } \\ & \text { 154:9, 155:9, } \\ & \text { 170:21, 171:15, } \\ & \text { 171:22, 171:24, } \\ & \text { 176:20, 180:21 } \\ & \text { Greenport" [1] - 175:1 } \end{aligned}$ | ```184:25, 188:18 handful [1] - 71:19 handled [2] - 32:20, 32:21 happy [2]-55:17, 57:11``` | ```32:9 helping [4]-12:6, 55:19, 56:12, 56:14 helps [1] - 55:15 hereby [1] - 188:9 hereunto [1] - 188:17``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Government's [1] - } \\ & \text { 111:20 } \end{aligned}$ | Greg [2]-24:3, 24:21 grew [1] - 141:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harbor }[4]-158: 24, \\ & 159: 1,159: 18,165: 6 \end{aligned}$ | heritage $[1]-85: 12$ <br> High [4]-29:22, |
| G-O-S-R [1] - 111:20 | grad [2] - 34:23 | ground [1] - 152: | Harbor's [1] - 157:15 | 34:23, 128:12 |
| GAFFGA [8] - 1:22, | 132:16 | group [1] - 79:24 | hard [5] - 52:3, 79:4, | 132:15 |
| 26:25, 27:2, 27:7 | Grade [1] - 96:7 | groups [1] - 118:1 | 128:18, 154:8 | high [4] - 23:4, |
| $27: 12,61: 18,61: 20$, $63: 4$ | Graders [1] - 95:25 | grow [1] - 151:22 | hauled [1] - 36:3 | 127:20, 129:15, $133: 13$ |
| 63:4 | graduates [1] - 29:23 | growing [1] - 23:1 | hazard [2] - 120:23, | 133:13 |
| Gaffga [1] - 61:17 | grand [3] - 41:15, | guess [10] - 14:18, | 174:18 | highlight [1] - 12:12 |
| Gallup [1] - 131:16 | 136:25, 145:22 | 19:20, 32:6, 44:4 | head [3] - 151:18, | highlights [2] - 11:18, |
| Gamberg [1] - 118:4 | grant [15] - 62:24, | $77: 5,79: 5,79: 11$ | 156:4, 185:7 | 12:20 |
| gardens [2]-119:12, | $63: 11,63: 20,64: 13$ | $101: 5,141: 13$ | headed [1] - 61:9 | highway [2] - 121:25 |
| 172:9 | 64:15, 97:14, 136:3, | 152:1 | health [1] - 174:18 | himself [1] - 86:23 |
| Gardens [1] - 7:23 | 136:4, 147:19, | guessing [1] - 136:22 | Health [3] - 12:13, | hire [6] - 29:4, 29:24, |
| gears |  | guidance [1] - 179:8 | $13: 7,46: 1$ | $30: 14,30: 16,30: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ge} \\ & \mathrm{ge} \end{aligned}$ | 30:7, |  | HEAP [1] - 97:1 | $13$ |
| 51:2, 68:12, 68:21, | grant-ready [1] | gut [1] - 131:1 | 119:9, 122:23, | $57: 15,150: 23$ |
| 68:23, 68:25, 69:10, | 157:25 | guy [2] - 119:25, 125:2 | 145:10, 156:9 | hiring [1] - 60:8 |
| 112:16 | granting [1] - 9 | guys [13] - 3:6, 43:10, | 156:24, 176:15 | history [1] - 96: |
| generally [3] - 80:20, | grants [1] - 146:25 | 73:14, 93:20, 115:8, | 178:18 | hit [1] - 119:2 |
| 82:7, 82:10 | graphic [1] - 131:9 | 117:1, 117:13, | heard [12] - 49:2 | Hm [1] - 185: |
| Genesys [7] - 110:2, 110:5, 110:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { great [17]-25:12, } \\ & 26 \cdot 12 \quad 54 \cdot 19 \quad 57 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ | 123:10, 135:5, | $55: 9,79: 8,91: 9$ | hold [8] - 52:2, 56:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:5, 110:8, } \\ & \text { 110:15, 110:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:12, } 54: 19,57: 25, \\ & 71: 21.97: 6.115: 18 . \end{aligned}$ | $143: 8,175: 7,175: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91:10, 91:12, 91:16, } \\ & 133: 22.158: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 68:7, 107:19, 115:2, |
| gentleman [2] - | 71:21, 97:6, 115:18, | gym [1]-71:18 <br> gymnasium [1] | $168: 23,170: 1$ | 118:7, 156:5 |
| 150:19 | $130: 20,130: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gymnasium [1] } \\ & 71: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 177:24 | holdup [1] - 160:1 |
| Gentlemen [1] - 64:10 | 132:15, 135:16, |  | hearing [21] - 33: | holiday [1] - 171:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { George [2] - 23:11, } \\ & 73: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:17, 138:11, } \\ & 142: 4,176: 19 \end{aligned}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 6,48: 7,48: 9 \\ & 48: 25,49: 10,61: 23 \end{aligned}$ | Holzmacher [6] 157:23, 159:3, |
| GEORGE [1] - 1:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { greater }[4]-33: 11 \\ 133: 4,135: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Habitat }[3]-110: 23, \\ 110: 25,111: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $68: 5,68: 13,99: 16,$ | $162: 16,163: 12$ |
| $1:$ | 141:19 | habitat ${ }_{[1]}-110: 2$ | 130 | home [5] - 81:25 |
| given [7] - 4:24, 7:13, | Gre | half [2]-11:8, 146:14 | 0:10, 130:2 | 140:3, 140:4, 167:5, |
| 34:11, 51:5, 104:1, | GREENPORT [1] - 1:1 | halfway [3] - 162:17, | 7:22, 140:7 | 181:14 |
| 135:24, 156:7 | Greenport [41] - 1:8, | 162:18, 165:20 | $150: 19,151: 1$ | homeowner [2] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { glad }[3]-46: 2,151: 15, \\ & 151: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:22, 33:12, 33:13, } \\ & 33: 17,34: 23,74: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hall [15]-12:3, 12:4, } \\ & 21: 11,28: 23,30: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 169:19 } \\ & \text { hearings }[1]-104: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 101:7, 101:8 <br> homeowners |
| go-around [1] - 98:12 | 74:7, 74:9, 74:10, | 30:21, 45:22, 48:11, | heavier [3] - 173:6, | 149:23 |
| goal [6] - 6:8, 124:19, | 110:4, 110:6, | $48: 24,49: 6,51: 23$ | $173: 8,186: 14$ | homes [3] - 134:22 |
| 136:16, 153:4, | 116:21, 116:23, 117:15, 117:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 16,137: 12, \\ & 178: 3.178: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { help [14] - 6:6, 33:10, } \\ 42: 11,52: 8,52: 23 . \end{gathered}$ | $139: 16,181: 13$ |
| goals [2]-23:8, 23:9 | 118:11, 122:12, | Hampshire [1] | $60: 8,136: 4,137: 14$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { honest [14] - } 9: 13 \text {, } \\ 10: 8,21: 20,28: 3, \end{gathered}$ |
| Google [2] - 33:16, | $128: 3,128: 7$ | $125: 25$ | 137:16, 145:23, | $28: 4,39: 3,55: 2$ |
| $168: 9$ | 132:15, 133:3, | Hampton [2] - 182:10, | 168:19, 171:19, | 55:7, 86:23, 126:22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gordon [2] - 116:22, } \\ & \text { 118:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 140:17, 140:19, | 182:16 | 179:8 <br> helped [1] - 138:9 | 144:15, 167:3, |
| 118:16 GOSR | 141:19, 141:20, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { hand }[8]-55: 7,56: 14, \\ 57: 8,176: 21,182: 8, \end{array}$ | helpful [2]-28:12, | 167:7, 167:14 <br> honestly [4] - 91:6, |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 1,83: 7 \\ & \text { intent }[4]-50: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 179:2 <br> issued [3] - 6:24, 8:4, | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { large }[2]-185: 5, \\ & 185: 19 \end{aligned}$ | leading [1] - 68:9 <br> learning [1]-167:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54:1, 99:23, 101:2 | 2:2 | Kalin [1] - 184:12 | largest [1] - 7:24 | least [5] - 23:11, |
| intention [1] - 170:22 | issues [6] - 12:14 | Katharine [1] - 170:7 | last [24] - 13:6, 28:6, | 41:19, 137:9, 176:6 |
| interacting [1] - 85:20 | 48:6, 49:10, 82:11 | keep [10] - 7:3, 66:12, | 28:25, 33:3, 35:13, | leave [5] - 52:5, 80:24, |
| interaction [2]-49:3, 80:12 | $126: 21,159: 14$ | 116:12, 126:20, | $52: 6,70: 16,70: 20$ | $88: 15,147: 7,181: 19$ |
| interested [1] - 188:15 | items [3]-11:21 | $3: 18,180: 18$ | 8:10, 103:21, | led [1] - 118:3 |
| interesting [ | 57:23, 113:14 | 182:11, 185:15 | 04:11, 111:1, | left [2] - 17:17, 24:2 |
| 112:17 | itself [2] - 58:7, 163:6 | keeping [2] - 126:20, | 117:16, 117:21, | $\boldsymbol{l e g}[1]$ - 162:25 |
| interim [1]-32:8 |  | 177:3 | 36:6, 156:6, | legal [2] - 46:25, 101:6 |
| interims [1] - 62:20 | J | kept [2] - 4:20, 166:23 | 56:23, 171:8, | legally [1] - 152:8 |
| Intermunicipal [3] - |  | key [1] - 104:14 | 75:25, 181:12 | Legion [4] - 32:15 |
| 70:19, 74:1, 74:8 | J.R [1] | kid [3] - 134:9, 141:10, | late [1] - 157:13 | 32:20, 177:9, 177:15 |
| intern [2]-132:16, | JACK [1] - 1:14 | 170:3 | lately [1] - 168:6 | legislation [1] - 121:3 |
| 134:25 | January [2] - 27:19 | kids [8] - 115 | latest [1] - 98:12 | Legislators [1] - |
| internally [1] - 113:2 | 62:21 | 115:20, 120:3 | Laughter [6]-71:6, | 119:17 |
| interview [1] - 18:1 | Jeff [1] - 138:11 | 123:9, 124:7, 141:1, | 73:17, 109:15, | lends [1]-51:2 |
| interviews [2] - 14:24, | Jim [1] - 174:8 | 141:12, 159:19 | 114:9, 116:14, | lengthy [1] - 157:11 |
| 18:7 | Jimmy [1] - 184:12 | Kiely [1] - 67:25 | 27:21 | less [3]-21:5, 173:6 |
| intimidated [1] - 49:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jitney [2] - 182:10, } \\ \text { 182•16 } \end{gathered}$ | killing [1] - 28:20 | laughter [1] - 142:2 | letter [2] - 165:7, |
| intoxication [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:16 } \\ & \text { iob }[3]-12: 16,141: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\text { kind }[29]-3: 18,11: 24,$ | launch [1] - 20:11 <br> LaValle's [2] - 163:16, | 165:8 |
| 82:20, 82:23 | $165: 23$ | 13:24, 31:10, 37:3, $52: 18,59: 8,94: 15$ | LaValie's [2]-163:16, 164:6 | letters [1] - 4:22 |
| inventory [1] - 64:18 invest [1]-33.12 |  | 52:18, 59:8, 94:15 | Law [6] - 78:22, 78:23, | $\text { levy [2] - 62:12, } 62: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { investing [2] - 136:3, } \\ & \text { 151:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:24 } \\ & \text { Joe }[9]-77: 5,100: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:24, 122:16, } \\ & \text { 123:20, 135:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 2,99: 3,99: 4, \\ & 111: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { liability }[5]-81: 17, \\ & 81: 18,83: 7,83: 19 \\ & 84: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| investment ${ }_{[2]}$ | 102:8, 120:19 | 135:23, 136:2, | law [51] - 5:9, 5:13 | liable [1] - 175:15 |
| 46:24, 140:23 | 121:7, 125:9 | 3:11, 144:3, | $7: 20,8: 10,8: 22,9: 7$ | liaison [1] - 179:5 |
| investments [1] | $140: 11,142: 6$ | 146:16, 147:2, | 18:14, 18:21, 18:24, | liberty [1] - 70:25 |
| 140:5 | 143:10 | 151:25, 168:1, | 19:4, 19:9, 19:16, $19: 25.20: 12.21: 20$ | License [1]-92:4 |
| investors [3] - 139:13, | Joe's [1] - 32:11 <br> John [1] - 177:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 168:10, 170:25, } \\ & 172: 7,179: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 19:25, 20:12, 21:20, | license [3] - 80:4, |
|  | Johnson [1] - 11:1 | kinds [2] - 49:7, 82: | $2: 16,22: 20,23: 17$ | 81:17, 91:4 |
| invite [1] - 126:16 <br> involved [5] - 45:3 | joining [2] - 79:15, | KIS [1] - 180:18 | $30: 1,31: 3,40: 14,$ | Lieblein [1] - 171:22 |
| involved [5] - 45:3 | 157:4 | $\text { knowing [1] - } 177$ | $40: 15,44: 17,46: 3$ | life [1] - 141:15 |
| 111:11, 168:1 | joint [1] - 74:3 | knows [5] - 45:23 | 5:10, 77:13, 78:2, | $\text { light }[8]-2: 24$ |
| involvement [1] - 7:5 | JOSEPH [1] - 1:19 | $80: 15,134: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 25,84: 23,88: 5 \\ & 108: 1.133: 24 . \end{aligned}$ | $123: 23,129: 1$ |
| involves [1] - 53:16 | JR [1] - 1:13 | 134:21, 141:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 1,133: 24 \\ & 134: 1,136: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 163:8, 179:10 |
| Irish [1] - 117:25 | jugglers [1] - 118:5 <br> JULIA [1] - 1:17 | Krupski [1] - 160:4 | $137: 19,139: 1$ | 179:15, 180:7 |
| Isabelle [1] - 133:5 |  |  | $9: 25,145: 11$ | Light [1] - 171:18 |
| Island [8] - 46:11, | $154: 5$ | L | $45: 12,149: 18$ | limbo [1] - 185:10 |
| $47: 8,47: 11,47: 15$ | jump | lacking [1] - 126 | 49:25, 150:3, | 86:5, 118:21, 119:8, |
| 149:18 | jumped [1] - 109:1 | Ladies [1] - 64:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 150:10, 150:20, } \\ & \text { 152:24, 153:9. } \end{aligned}$ | 122:13, 122:16, |
| Island's [1] - 152:1 | jumps [1] - 122:2 | laissez [1] - 134:16 <br> Lakeside [3] - 3:15 | $154: 2,154: 24$ | 123:11, 126:7, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { issue [17] - } 7: 7,36: 11, \\ 39: 14,48: 6,48: 12, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June [6] - 96:2, } \\ \text { 116:25, 117:2, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lakeside }[3]-3: 15, \\ & 5: 2,7: 23 \end{aligned}$ | law's [1] - 150:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 152:3, 180:18, } \\ & \text { 181:1, 181:15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 78:1, 79:22, 89:8, | 117:1 | land [2] - 157:17, | laws [2]-35:16, | $182: 22$ |
| 132:10, 138:22, | jurisdiction [1] - 82:13 |  | lawsuit [1] - 150:1 | Limit [1] - 180:21 |
| 138:24, 139:3, | Justice [2] - 27:4, 62:3 | landlord [1] - 33:8 | lawyers [1] - 82:2 | limitation [1] - 80:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 142: 12,173: 4 \\ & 177: 18,178: 10 \end{aligned}$ | jut [1] - 93:18 | Lane [1] - 174:12 | lead [1] - 162:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limited [2] - 105:18, } \\ & 105: 20 \end{aligned}$ |


| limiting [1] - 145:2 | long-winded [1] - | 102:6, 108:7, 163:3 | Marshal's [1] - 41:6 | 9:8, 9:16, 9:21, 9:24, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| limits [1] - 182:2 | 99:2 | Main [4]-117:21, | Martilotta [4] - 70:13, | 10:3, 10:10, 10:15, |
| limos [1] - 185:16 | longest [1] - 75:12 | 19:3, 121:24 | 70:21, 73:9, 115:4 | 10:23, 11:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { line }[4]-26: 17,27: 8, \\ & 129: 8,184: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { look [26] - 2:21, 5:7, } \\ 31: 1,36: 2,36: 23, \end{gathered}$ | $125: 14$ <br> maintain | MARTILOTTA[57] - | $11: 3,17: 14,17: 17$ |
| lines [2] - 62:2, 180:20 | 44:10, 44:19, 51:1, | $163: 20$ | $37: 18,37: 24,38: 6$ | 24:17, 24:23, 25:13, |
| lining [1] - 117:20 | :7, 52:12, 54:2, | maintenance [11] | 38:13, 63:3, 70:15, | $25: 18,25: 24,26: 4$ |
| LIPA [1] - 176:17 | 85:5, 105:17, 112:7, | 68:18, 68:20, 68:22, | 70:24, 71:3, 71:7, | 35:2, 35:8, 35:11, |
| Liquor [5] - 92:4, 92:5, | 113:3, 118:13, | 68:24, 69:1, 69:17, | 71:17, 71:25, 72:5, | 38:4, 40:6, 46:6, |
| 92:14, 92:21, 93:1 | 119:24, 131:8 | 163:5, 163:18 | 2:8, 72:12, 72:20, | 61:12, 61:15, 64:4, |
| liquor [3]-80:4, | 131:14, 132:20, | 183:1, 183:5 | 73:8, 73:13, 73:18, | 64:7, 66:12, 66:16, |
| 81:17, 91:3 | 136:17, 143:11 | major [3] - 13:6 | 4:13, 75:18, 87:6, | $66: 19,66: 21,66: 24$ |
| list [27] - 8:2, 15:19, | 144:8, 166:10, | 81:25, 158:25 | 87:12, 89:19, 90:10, | $67: 12,71: 16,74: 12$ |
| 15:23, 17:12, 17:15, | 176:3, 176:4 | majority [1] - 115:17 | 92:10, 93:24, 94:21, | $74: 17,74: 20,74: 22$ |
| 17:16, 17:18, 17:19, | Look [1] - 34:19 | manage [4]-22:12, | $97: 6,98: 2,98: 6,$ | $78: 25,83: 23,84: 10$ |
| 17:20, 25:14, 26:10, | looked [9] - 2:23, | $52: 8,52: 13,52: 23$ | 100:5, 100:16, | 84:17, 84:19, 84:25, $86 \cdot 17,87 \cdot 20,88 \cdot 10$ |
| $49: 12,92: 5,92: 14$ | $35: 13,35: 15,35: 21,$ $36 \cdot 12.47 \cdot 19 \quad 100 \cdot 5$ | management [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114:25, 115:2, } \\ & \text { 115:5, 116:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 88:21, 89:2, 91:24, |
| 92:23, 92:25, 116:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 12,47: 19,100: 5 \\ & \text { 161:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $52: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:5, 116:15, } \\ & \text { 116:19, 118:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 92:11, 92:17, 93:6, |
| 160:8, 160:9, | looking [11] - 22:6 | $49: 23,167: 2$ | 120:11, 120:16, | $93: 16,93: 18,93: 21$ |
| 160:16, 166:12 | 22:8, 34:15, 46:24, | manages [1] - 54:18 | 23:1, 123:8 | $93: 25,94: 3,94: 13$ |
| 166:15, 166:23, | $63: 6,100: 24$, $111 \cdot 13,146 \cdot 5$ | Manhattan [1] - 29:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:22, 124:1, } \\ & \text { 124:16, 124:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $95: 6,95: 14,95: 18$ |
| 166:24, 183:24 | $111: 13,146: 5$, $164: 22,176: 19$ | Manor [1] - 161:6 | 124:16, 124:21, | 95:22, 96:18, 96:21, |
| Listen [1] - 55:8 | 164:22, 176:19 183:24 | manufacturing [1] - | $8: 14,128: 20$ | $96: 25,97: 3,97: 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { listening }[2]-57: 20, \\ & 57: 21 \end{aligned}$ | looks [2] - 105:17, | $\begin{gathered} 38: 20 \\ \operatorname{map}[1]-171: \end{gathered}$ | 128:22, 129:7, | $98: 15,99: 8,100: 7$ <br> 100:12, 100:14 |
| listing [1] - 153 | 135:20 | March [4] - 65:24 | 2:18, 187 |  |
| literally [1] - 33:16 | loop [1] - 116:12 | $67: 6,68: 14,68: 15$ | Mary [1] - 43:13 <br> MARY $_{[1]}$ - 1:15 | 101:11, 101:13, |
| litigating [1] - 82:1 | LOSAP [2]-64:22, | marching [1] - 118:2 | MARY [1]-1:15 | 101:18, 102:2, |
| litigation [5] - 110:3, | 64:23 | $\operatorname{Margaret}_{[1]}-118: 16$ | Mass [1] - 111:8 mass [1] - 75:5 | 102:5, 104:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 113:11, 113:13, } \\ & 113: 16, ~ 114: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lost [1] - 137:4 } \\ & \text { loud [1] - 135:1 } \end{aligned}$ | Margo [2] - 60:24, | mass [1] - 75:5 match [1] - 147:2 | 104:20, 104:24, |
| 113:16 |  |  | Matchbox [1] - 12. | 108:15, 108:20, |
| live [6]-124:24, 135:5, 140:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { love [2] - 114:6 } \\ & 155: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Marina }[4]-58: 2, \\ 59: 16,96: 5,15 \end{array}$ | matter [11] - 22:11, | 108:23, 109:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141:18, 141:23, } \\ & 174: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iow }[3]-23: 3,144: 2 \\ & 159: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maritime }[6]-75: 8, \\ & 75: 11,85: 24,87: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 6,50: 16,51: 2, \\ & 123: 2,125: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:7, 109:9, } \\ & \text { 109:11, 109:14, } \\ & 109 \cdot 19 \quad 109 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ioad [1] - 12:18 | lower [3] - 120:2 120:22, $125: 8$ | 88:18, 90:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 21,129: 22 \\ & 130 \cdot 1 \quad 163 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | 113:6, 113:9, |
| lobbying [1] - 138:14 | L | maritime [1] - 85:1 | 188:16 | 113:21, 114:13, |
| Local [1] - 118:9 |  | mark [1] - 51:1 |  | 114:16, 115:1, |
| local [7]-29:19, $32.24-150.23$, | Lucia [1] - 188:20 luck [1] - 10:21 | marked [2] - 175:11, | Mattituck [1] - 128 maximum [2]- | 115:4, 116:18, |
| $32: 24,150: 23,$ | Ludlam [1] - 7:25 |  | $146: 24,148: 1$ | 116:20, 120:4, |
| 151:7, 181:9 | LWRP [6] - 42:2, 42:3, | 139:22, 140:8 | Mayor [18] - 3:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 120: 9,125: 12 \\ & 125: 20,125: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { locals [3] - 52:3, } \\ 79: 22,132: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 10,42: 14,42: 15 \\ & 160: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 141:22 <br> marriag | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 21,6: 18,23: 12, \\ & 29: 16,33: 20,65: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 127:6, 127:19, |
| locate [1] - 171:5 |  | Marriotts [1] - 145:18 | 68:3, 73:11, 74:7, |  |
| location [1] - 45:5 | M | marry [1] - 144:2 | $114: 23,123: 19$ | $130: 13,130: 19$ |
| logic [1] - 105:4 |  | Marshal [17]-5:1, 5:7, |  | 130:21, 138:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iong-term [8] - } \\ & \text { 143:14, 143:17, } \\ & \text { 145:1, 153:3, 153:7, } \\ & \text { 154:25, 155:14, } \\ & \text { 155:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ma'am }_{[2]}-87: 4, \\ & \quad \text { 117:9 } \\ & \text { magnet }[1]-170: 22 \\ & \text { mail }_{[1]}-62: 13 \\ & \text { main }_{[4]}-99: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 21,20: 4,22: 23, \\ & 24: 8,27: 20,29: 12, \\ & 31: 8,31: 10,36: 3, \\ & 36: 11,36: 22,37: 3, \\ & 43: 16,50: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAYOR }[189]-1: 13, \\ & \text { 1:14, 2:2, 2:5, 2:11, } \\ & 3: 4,3: 23,4: 5,4: 11, \\ & 4: 18,6: 21,6: 25,8: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 23,147: 8 \\ & \text { 147:11, 147:13, } \\ & \text { 147:24, 148:7, } \\ & \text { 148:19, 149:2, } \\ & \text { 149:16, 149:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 31:18, 31:23, 32:8, | 176:13 | 138:12, 142:8, | 97:22 | 93:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:20, 36:21, 37:11, | MS [24] - 40:9, 173:22, | 154:1, 154:21, | newspaper [1] - 90:5 | Notary [1] - 188:8 |
| 37:15, 37:20, 38:15, | 177:1, 178:13, | 156:14, 160:5, | next [38]-10:4, 11:3, | note [3] - 11:20, |
| 49:21, 50:9, 50:12, | 178:16, 178:24 | 160:17, 161:20, | 11:12, 15:2, 16:20, | 62:10, 62:23 |
| 50:16, 50:21, 50:22, | 179:25, 180:5, | 165:15, 165:16, | 27:17, 52:13, 58:1, | notes [2]-13:20, |
| 51:19, 53:14, 53:18, | 182:19, 182:24, | $179: 8,179: 23$ | 58:17, 72:13, 72:14, | 180:17 |
| 53:22, 54:1, 54:15, | 183:3, 183:6, | needed [2] - 162:1, | 72:25, 73:6, 81:16, | nothing [13] - 6:12, |
| 54:20, 54:23, 55:1, | 183:10, 184:14, | 166:25 | 81:21, 86:7, 90:8, | 6:25, 19:1, 44:21, |
| 55:16, 57:3, 57:22, | 184:17, 184:23, | needs [19]-31:10, | 90:18, 96:13, 98:21, | 51:23, 53:4, 101:6, |
| 58:16, 58:22, 58:25, | 185:1, 185:4, 185:7, | 36:12, 44:1, 44:2, | 107:13, 108:25, | 101:14, 101:15, |
| 59:3, 59:6, 59:13, | 185:11, 185:14, | $48: 12,49: 12,49: 17$ | 110:22, 111:5, | 101:19, 113:21, |
| 59:17, 59:21, 60:2, | 185:19, 186:1, 186:5 | 86:8, 98:13, 125:20, | 114:21, 115:9, | 137:23, 139:10 |
| 60:10, 60:12, 60:15, | MS4 [3] - 148:12, | 155:19, 161:22, | 130:22, 146:19, | notice [1] - 59:15 |
| 60:18, 60:23, 61:2, | 149:10, 158:11 | 162:23, 162:24, | 148:10, 148:21, | notices [2] - 62:12, |
| 61:5, 61:7, 61:9, | multi [3]-7:13, 7:20, | 165:11, 166:13, | 158:2, 171:21, | 62:16 |
| 61:18, 61:20, 63:4, | 181:14 | 175:5, 176:1 | $172: 21,176: 1$ | notifications [1] - |
| 63:13, 63:19, 63:22, | multi-family [3] - 7:13, | Neff [1] - 118:17 | 176:3, 184:19, | 13:22 |
| 68:21, 69:3, 69:5, | 7:20, 181:14 | neighbor [1] - 137:15 | 185:25 | notified [1] - 92:19 |
| 69:19, 69:21, 69:25, | multicultural [1] - | neighbors [2] - | nice [4]-10:24, 120:1, | November [1] - 66:3 |
| 70:6, 70:8, 70:12, | 117:24 | 103:16, 135:9 | 139:16, 177:5 | nuisance [1] - 5:24 |
| 71:12, 77:10, 77:15, | municipalities [1] - | net [1] - 103:13 | $\text { night }[5]-73: 8,122: 1 \text {, }$ | number [15] - 4:14, |
| 77:19, 77:24, 78:6, | 15:6 | never $[18]-4: 19,7: 1$, | 125:2, 133:11, | 22:4, 56:4, 125:9, |
| 78:15, 78:17, 80:7, $81: 5,81: 9,81: 14$ | municipality [2] - | 8:16, 37:13, 83:15, | $133: 12$ | 129:19, 129:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 81: 5,81: 9,81: 14 \\ & 82: 7,82: 19,83: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $135: 23,141: 17$ | 83:20, 128:2, 128:6, | no-brainer [1] - 97:7 | 132:3, 133:13, |
| 82:7, 82:19, 83:1, | Museum [6]-75:7, | 134:1, 134:22, | nobody [1] - 33:18 | 133:15, 136:20, |
| 83:4, 83:15, 83:19, $89: 11,92: 16,93: 2$ | $75: 16,87: 23,89: 5,$ | 158:9, 169:19, | nodded) [1] - 130:23 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:11, 92:16, 93:2, } \\ & \text { 102:15, 102:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $92: 1,116: 7$ | 169:20, 177:11, | noggin [1] - 180:16 | $170: 14,178: 8$ |
| 103:6, 103:10, | music [1] - 117:17 | 177:14, 182:13, 182:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { non }[3]-67: 21,131: 7, \\ & 132: 24 \end{aligned}$ | numbers [1] - 154:6 |
| 103:20, 105:9, | $39: 23,40: 4$ | NEW [2] - 1:1, 188:3 | non-Village [3] - | nuts [1] - 136:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:4, 106:8, } \\ & \text { 106:10, 106:12, } \end{aligned}$ | Mystic [1] - 75:13 | new [33]-12:21, | 67:21, 131:7, 132:24 | $\text { NYCOM }_{[1]}-144: 6$ |
| 106:24, 107:2, | $\mathbf{N}$ | 19:9, 19:11, 20:16, | $106: 13,107: 20$ | NYPA [1] - 12:19 |
| 107:16, 107:21, |  | $24: 14,24: 17,25: 5$ | none [1] - 165:1 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 108:8, 108:11, } \\ & \text { 108:13, 108:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { name }[3]-94: 4,134: 8 \text {, }$ | 27:11, 31:17, 33:8, <br> $39 \cdot 17,39 \cdot 19,40: 13$ | noon [10] - 67:18, |  |
| 108:22, 109:21, | 174:5 <br> national [1] - 66:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 17,39: 19,40: 13, \\ & 43: 4,43: 22,48: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 7,77: 8,77: 13, \\ & 77: 21,84: 15,88: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o'clock }[7] \text { - 77:8, } \\ & 77: 18,78: 12,78: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| 112:22, 113:8, | necessarily [1] - 152:8 | $49: 13,53: 9,53: 10$ | 88:7, 89:22 | $79: 1,85: 1,88: 2$ |
| $\text { 113:12, } 11$ | necessary [2] - 11:19 | 56:25, 57:2, 58:2, | noontime [3] - 78:14, | obituaries [1] - 134:7 |
| 114:6, 114:10, | need [51] - 2:20, 7:15, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:23, 126:12, } \\ & 26: 13,149: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $84: 24,85: 22$ | object [1] - 181:3 |
| 114:15, 120:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:17, 29:12, 31:9, } \\ & 31: 11,31: 12,33: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 156:23, 168:22, | norm [1] - 105:15 normal [1] - 78:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { observation [1] - } \\ & \text { 172:20 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:2, 121:9, } \\ & \text { 121:13, 121:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $36: 8,43: 21,45: 8$ | 174:25 | normally [5] - 3:23, | observed [1] - 49:2 |
| 127:24, 143:18, | 52:18, 55:11, 56:18, | New [16] - 1:8, 11:6, | 77:21, 105:15, | observing [1] - 126:22 |
| 144:5, 153:9, | 56:19, 67:19, 85:3, 85:5, 85:10, 87:16, | 119:19, 121:2, | 158:20, 185:22 | obviously [4] - 7:9, |
| 153:13, 158:8, | 89:12, 90:12, 90:16, | $125: 8,125: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { north [2] - 133:8, } \\ & \text { 175:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8: 1,175: 12,175: 13 \\ \text { occasions [2] - 50:3, } \end{gathered}$ |
| 158:12, 158:15, | 91:19, 91:20, 92:4, | 127:2, 156:21, | North [7] - 68:5, 68:6, | 173:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 159: 5,159: 8,160: 1 \\ & 160: 6,163: 2,163: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 92:8, 92:13, 92:19, | 157:8, 171:6, | 68:11, 122:15, | occupancies [1] - |
| 164:17, 166:5, | 102:6, 104:16, | 177:13, 188:8 | 144:24, 145:4, | 31:12 |
| 172:6, 173:2, |  |  | 180:2 | occupancy [3] - |
| 173:15, 174:7, | 120:2, 132:12, $133: 20,136: 24$ | newest [1] - 99:25 | Norwich [1] - 126:1 | 31:16, 31:17, 184:1 |
| 176:5, 176:11, | 133:20, 136:24, | news [2]-13:15, | not-for-profit [1] - | occupied [3] - 31:23, |


| ```32:2, 183:14 occupy [1] - 43:23 occurring [1] - 128:17 October [1] - 91:10 odds [1] - 52:25 OF \({ }_{[6]}-1: 1,1: 1,1: 3\), 188:3, 188:5 off-season [2] - 96:12, 128:17 offer [2] - 18:5, 186:22 offered [2] - 137:14, 137:16 offers [1] - 17:25 office [8] - 29:9, 45:4, 45:9, 45:23, 46:12, 52:16, 163:16, 164:6 Office [1] - 111:20 Officer \([1]\) - 22:22 officer [1] - 4:6 officers [1] - 126:18 official [1] - 55:23 Official [2]-178:5, 178:14 offline [1] - 30:10 offset \([1]-27: 8\) often [2] - 37:20 Old [1] - 61:24 old [18] - 17:21, 17:22, 17:23, 19:11, 19:13, 21:24, 22:1, 22:2, 22:5, 22:14, 40:24, 42:17, 43:2, 134:1, 180:18 once [7] - 20:6, 29:8, 29:9, 137:23, 145:5, 147:7, 183:15 one [106] - 2:20, 3:13, 4:12, 7:9, 11:6, 12:12, 13:12, 13:13, 18:19, 19:13, 22:2, 22:25, 23:22, 23:24, 24:20, 24:25, 32:11, 32:22, 33:9, 35:19, 39:22, 41:3, 43:3, 43:4, 45:11, 47:5, 48:6, 50:3, 52:11, 52:22, 57:8, 61:22, 62:10, 62:20, 62:23, 64:11, 65:1, 65:4, 66:3, 68:10, 68:16, 70:14, 77:3, 79:5, 80:7, 80:8, 86:5, 87:25, 89:19, 89:21,``` | ```92:11, 92:20, 94:20, 95:22, 96:21, 99:21, 100:5, 100:6, 101:6, 103:21, 104:16, 106:5, 106:10, 106:13, 107:10, 110:13, 110:24, 111:14, 112:16, 115:2, 116:21, 117:3, 122:20, 124:10, 127:13, 127:16, 127:17, 127:22, 128:11, 132:3, 133:21, 134:17, 136:9, 143:22, 144:20, 148:10, 153:11, 153:20, 157:10, 159:9, 162:13, 163:14, 163:15, 170:1, 172:16, 177:16, 180:12, 181:14, 181:19, 181:25, 183:19, 184:3 One [4]-116:22, 117:15, 117:16, 118:2 one's [1] - 55:22 one-paragraph [1] - 104:16 onerous [1] - 163:7 ones [6] - 20:6, 24:15, 117:23, 127:25, 154:10, 183:16 ooh [1] - 186:3 open [26]-28:23, 29:23, 32:25, 34:23, 39:19, 46:20, 46:23, 47:25, 49:13, 51:25, 67:3, 71:18, 76:10, 77:12, 77:20, 78:2, 78:4, 83:25, 84:14, 84:23, 88:5, 101:4, 170:13, 170:16, 174:4, 181:11 opened [2] - 40:2, 158:19 opening [1] - 44:24 opens [2]-39:21, 76:14 operation [1] - 111:21 operator [2]-11:12,``` | ```11:13 opinion [1] - 106:22 opportune [1] - 65:18 opportunities [3]- 115:13, 115:20, 131:20 opportunity [12] - 3:18, 5:14, 7:14, 64:13, 64:15, 90:7, 106:17, 107:5, 107:6, 110:17, 131:14, 158:19 Opposed [2] - 74:18, 187:6 opposed [2]-134:18, 151:20 opposing [1] - 186:17 oppressive [1] - 45:14 order [4]-2:1, 2:3, 29:23, 39:8 ordinary [1] - 47:2 organization [2] - 137:1, 145:22 Organizational [1] - 68:3 organized [2] - 19:14, 94:8 Orient [1] - 161:17 original [14]-8:10, 8:12, 8:22, 63:14, 69:7, 85:23, 98:17, 99:23, 100:6, 100:8, 101:2, 104:2 originally [6] - 22:21, 23:21, 78:20, 100:20, 116:2, 148:3 otherwise [1] - 80:22 ourselves [2]-111:6, 147:4 outage [1] - 12:22 outcome [1] - 188:16 outside [5] - 39:7, 80:17, 80:25, 92:8, 128:12 over-occupancy [1] - 184:1 overall [3] - 69:7, 70:1, 102:12 overcomplicate [1] - 106:15 override [1] - 101:17 oversight [2]-42:1, 60:22``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  | 79:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120:10, 122: | 45:18, 45:23, 48:18, | 142:4, 142:8 | 139:18, 140:12, | sailing [1] - 96:6 |
| 125:17, 125:18, | 50:8, 50:11, 51:18, | 142:11, 142:15, | 140:14, 140:21, | Saint [2]-123:5, |
| 146:25, 147:14, | 51:21, 53:21, 53:25, | 142:18, 142:22 | 142:13, 142:16, | 124:23 |
| 148:11, 149:8, | 54:14, 54:17, 54:22, | 142:25, 143:4 | 142:20, 142:24, | sake [1] - 114:11 |
| 149:9, 149:11, | 54:24, 55:21, 56:10, | 143:6, 145:7, | 144:1, 154:23, | Saladino [1] - 177:5 |
| 160:7, 174:13, | 56:16, 56:24, 57:19, | 145:10, 145:16, | 155:4, 155:10, | salary [3] - 16:18, |
| 174:17, 174:18, | 61:19, 65:9, 65:12, | 146:8, 150:12, | 167:23, 169:7 | 17:10, 26:2 |
| 174:24, 175:2, | 65:14, 65:16, 65:20, | 150:17, 151:8, | 169:9, 169:15, | sales [1] - 54:15 |
| 175:4, 175:5, | 66:2, 66:6, 66:9, | 151:15, 152:20, | 169:18, 170:1, | salesforce.com |
| 175:20, 175:23, | 66:11, 66:15, 66:20, | 153:25, 154:16, | 172:7, 187:4 | 52:12 |
| 176:9, 176:10, | 67:11, 71:15, 72:2, | 155:2, 155:19, | Robins [3] - 116:5, | samples [3] - 160:17, |
| 176:12 | 74:15, 75:19, 75:23, | 155:22, 156:17, | 143:7, 167:22 | 160:18, 166:13 |
| roads [4]-121:7, | 76:3, 76:9, 76:12, | 159:22, 162:6, | roll [1] - 7:19 | sand [2] - 151:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 121:8, 121:18, } \\ & 121 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ | 76:16, 76:21, 77:17, | 162:12, 162:19, | roller [5] - 32:19, | $167: 9$ |
| 121:19 <br> roadwork [1] - 175:2 | 81:2, 82:18, 82:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:22, 163:8, } \\ & \text { 163:23, 164:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 177:10, 177:11, } \\ & \text { 177:13, 177:16 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sandy }[3]-159: 11, \\ 160: 25,161: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| Robert [6] - 26:20, | 82:25, 83:8, 83:11, | $164: 11,164: 14$ $164: 20 \quad 165 \cdot 11$ | roller-skating [1] - | saturday [1] - 72:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:11, 61:15, } \\ & \text { 148:20, 156:7, 157:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 2,86: 12,86: 24 \\ & 87: 4,87: 8,87: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 164:20, 165:12, } \\ & \text { 165:24, 166:2, } \end{aligned}$ | $32: 19$ | Saturday [7]-10:21, |
| Roberts [7]-41:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 18,88: 8,88: 13, \\ & 88: 25.90: 1.90: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 167:15, 167:18 169:5, 169:8 | $114: 24$ | $72: 4,88: 13,88: 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 86: 18,86: 22, \\ & 116: 20,118: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 25,90: 1,90: 11, \\ & 90: 21,90: 24,91: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 5,169: 8 \\ & 169: 10,169: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rooms [3] - 144:17, } \\ & 145: 3 \end{aligned}$ | save [1] - 158:20 |
| 177:9, 183:24 | 91:10, 91:14, 91:17, | 169:23, 178:12 | $\text { roots }[2]-175: 1$ | saves [1] - 11:23 |
| ROBERTS [277] - 1:16, | 91:22, 93:4, 93:14, | 178:15, 178:22, | 175:13 | $184: 3,186: 1,186: 2$ |
| $2: 15,3: 7,6: 16,6: 23$ | $93: 17,93: 20,94: 10$ 94:24. 96:24 | 179:13, 180:4, 187:3 <br> Roberts' [2] - 29:8 | round [9]-20:18, | scares [1] - 36:18 |
| $14: 5,14: 9,14: 12$ | 102:22, 103:8, | 29:9 | 21:18, 52:2, 65:18, | scary [2] - 124:7, |
| 14:16, 15:5, 15:13, | 103:22, 105:2 | ROBINS [91] - 1:17 | 132:13, 134:23, |  |
| 16:5, 16:7, 16:13, | 105:24, 106:6, | 7:17, 14:6, 19:2, | 146:13 | schedu |
| 16:17, 16:20, 17:1, | 106:9, 106:11, | 21:16, 21:23, 22:1, | rounders [1] - 135: | $10: 4,61: 23,68: 13$ |
| 17:4, 17:8, 17:25, | 107:8, 107:17, | 22:5, 22:8, 22:11, | route [2] - 177:6, | 108:23, 108:25, |
| 18:4, 18:8, 18:11, 18:16, 18:18, 18:24 | 108:9, 108:12, |  | 177:25 | 130:9, 130:21 |
| 19:3, 19:6, 19:10, | 108:14, 113:10, | 37:22, 40:19, 43:13, | Rudder [1] - 118:18 | scheduled [2]-97:25, |
| 19:22, 20:10, 20:16, | 113:14, 113:19, | 43:19, 45:3, 45:17, | $183: 2$ | 114:20 <br> scheduling [3] - |
| 20:22, 21:1, 21:4, | 114:4, 114:8, 116:21. 117:6. | $45: 21,63: 10,63: 18$ | Run [1] - 174: | $60: 17,60: 18,68: 4$ |
| 21:6, 21:14, 21:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:21, 117:6, } \\ & \text { 117:9, 118:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 21,64: 3,71: 23 \\ & 72: 3,72: 6,72: 14 \end{aligned}$ | run [1] - 178:10 | School [13] - 29:23, |
| 23:2, 23:6, 23:19, | 119:5, 119:9, | $72: 17,72: 24,73: 4$ | rundown [1] - 151:4 | $34: 23,57: 25,58: 7$ |
| $24: 6,24: 13,24: 16$ | 119:14, 119:18, | $73: 20,73: 25,74: 16,$ | running [2]-13:13 | $70: 20,70: 23,73: 7$ |
| 24:22, 25:12, 25:21, | 119:21, 120:15, | 81:3, 81:6, 81:13, | runoff [1] - 149:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 2,74: 7,74: 10 \\ & 118: 3,128: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 3,26: 7,26: 12 \\ & 26: 15,27: 10,27: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 120:25, 121:4, } \\ & \text { 121:12, 122:4, } \end{aligned}$ | 83:9, 83:12, 85:18, | rush [2] - 40:4, 128:24 | 132:16 |
| $27: 18,28: 5,28: 14$ | 122:19, 124:9, | 87:11, 87:13, 87:16, |  | school [12] - 2:7, |
| $30: 8,30: 11,30: 20,$ | 125:19, 127:11, | 88:11, 88:22, 89:23, | S | 25:20, 71:20, 95:25, |
| $30: 24,31: 15,32: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:15, 127:22, } \\ & \text { 128:4. 128:8. } \end{aligned}$ | 101:5, 101:10, $101: 12.102 \cdot 1$ | S92 [1] - 185:15 | 115:23, 117:22, |
| 32:12, 34:8, 34:10, <br> 35:7, 35:10, 36:1 | 128:13, 128:21, | 101:12, 102:' | S92s [1] - 184:13 | 120:22, 141:13, |
| $37: 8,37: 13,37: 16$ | $128: 24,129: 5$ | 103:5, 104:8, 114:3, | safe [8] - 5:15, 6:7, | $171: 25,177: 6$ |
| 38:10, 38:14, 39:13, | 130:12, 130:20, | 128:16, 129:2, | $44: 19,141: 7,186: 9$ | Schoolhouse [1] - |
| 40:2, 40:10, 41:14, | 130:24, 138:17, | 129:10, 129:25, | $\text { safety }[6]-7: 7,31: 13$ | schools [1] - 118:6 |
| 41:17, 42:16, 42:20, | 138:20, 138:25, | 130:6, 138:18, | $36: 2,36: 9,36: 13$ | Schooner [1] - 75:9 |
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| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zoning }[4]-38: 4, \\ & 44: 22,102: 13, \\ & 102: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
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