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(The meeting was called to order at 7:02 p.m.)
MAYOR HUBBARD: I call the meeting to order.
Pledge to the flag.
(A11 stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Please remain standing for a moment of silence for Kurt Davids, Patricia Dinizio, Louise Swenson Downer, Russe11 John Gagen, Richard K. Kearns, Martha Cox Mazzaferro and Denise C. Rathbun.
(A11 remained standing for a Moment of Silence.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. You may be seated.

Okay. Just to start off, before I do the announcements, our Village Attorney had a family commitment, so he is not going to be here this evening. And Paul Pallas, our Village Administrator, was away at a conference. He's traveling back, so he's not going to be here this evening either, just so you now.

Announcements: Each of the following Fire Department Members were recently recognized for the milestone accomplishment of 50 years in the fire service:

Macy Marczewski, Jim Pirillo, Sr., and Jack
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Skrezec. I just want to commend all three of those gentlemen. Fifty years is a long time to be involved in anything, and to be serving the community for 50 years, I want to just commend them and thank them for their service to the Village and to the residents.

Village Offices will be closed on the 4th of July in celebration of the national holiday.

The annual Fire Department Carnival will be held from July 4th through July 8th, beginning at 6:00 p.m., with fireworks scheduled for July 4th and July 8th.

We have one liquor license application from Bruce \& Son, LLC, of 208 Main Street. Anybody who wishes to comment on that would send their comments to the State Liquor Authority. A copy of the application is available in the Clerk's Office if you want to review it. It was also posted in the newspaper.

Okay. That's all we have on that. We're going to do a brief presentation from Anita Tencer Shemin, if I pronounced that correct. Okay. This is on mobile mats for handicapped access to our beaches, something that's been discussed. She's going to give us a little information about it.

MS. SHEMIN: Thank you very much.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you for coming.
MS. SHEMIN: My name is Anita Tencer, T-E-N-C-E-R, Shemin, S-H-E-M-I-N. I have a prepared speech that shouldn't be too long.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MS. SHEMIN: Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak before you.

I moved to Greenport almost two years ago and enjoyed the beauty of its surroundings and the people who make up this diverse Village. One of the first friends I made is a mother of a child who was wheelchair bound. When we spoke of the child's love for the water, the mom told me how difficult it has been for the child to reach the shoreline. I was moved by the child's love of the water and her inability to get close to it. This is what inspired me to come before you today. And there are many others in our Village who are unable to experience our waterways, be it the sound or the bay.

How can an accessible beach mat, or Mobi-Mat, help the disabled? A Mobi-Mat will allow those with permanent and nonpermanent disabilities traverse the sand and approach the
water. A Mobi-Mat is a portable and removable rollout access pathway for pedestrians and wheelchair users. It is firm, safe, and stable, easy for any type of wheelchair, or walker, or stroller to maneuver on, providing a smooth continuous surface without gaps.

I do have a sample of a Mobi-Mat. I'd like to approach --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.
MS. SHEMIN: -- you, if you don't mind.
This is brown, I prefer blue. (Laughter)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. SHEMIN: It is made of $100 \%$ recycled polyester material, and in this way contributes to the environment by utilizing recycled plastics, and keeps folks on a designated path away from environmentally fragile areas.

The mat itself remains cool when exposed to very high temperatures for the benefit of beach-goers and other disabled individuals. It is also great for the visually impaired, and is approved by the Americans With Disabilities Act.

The permeable structure allows sand to filter through without sinking into the sand, and
is easily maintained by seeping -- excuse me -sweeping any excess sand with a broom or a leaf blower. The mat can be laid out for an entire season or retained throughout the year.

These mats have been placed throughout the New York City beaches, Brookhaven, New York, Shelter Island, and in many other places all over the world. This is what I suggest: I suggest we place the Mobi-Mat at Fifth Street and Park. The minimum requirement approved for beach usage is a mere five feet wide. I have measured the beach recently, like 10 minutes ago.
(Laughter)
And I can give you a very rough estimate of the area where the mat will probably be placed. So it would be five feet wide, between 50 and 100 feet, which will cost approximately, approximately between 2,000 and $\$ 4,000$, and it has a rollout weight between 68 to 135 pounds.

Our Village is a 21st Century Village, and by providing this amenity to our local community, as well as those who visit our community, I believe it will add immeasurably to our public, cultural and economic image.

And I do have some other handouts, if I may.
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I have four, so they're going to have to be shared.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. SHEMIN: And that concludes my presentation.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, thank you very much for coming.

MS. SHEMIN: You're very welcome.
MAYOR HUBBARD: We'11 review this, we'11 look at it, and we will have a discussion at our work session next month about it.

MS. SHEMIN: Thank you very much. I appreciate it very much. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thanks for coming.
Okay. We have two public hearings. The first one is a Wetlands Permit Application of North Ferry Company, to replace 28 decaying pilings with new pilings, and install plastic guards on the pilings. The public hearing has been noticed. We have the file here. The CAC report came back, we just got that late today. I don't know if the Board members have all seen it, but I can -- yeah, it just --

MS. SMITH: Mayor, would you like me to read it? Your choice.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. No, no, go right ahead.

MS. SMITH: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Please. Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It was dated 6:35, today we got it back.

MS. SMITH: I know.
MAYOR HUBBARD: But Paul Pallas, he's in transit, so we got it very late. So go ahead, Liz, let us know what you got.

MS. SMITH: Liz Smith, 309 South Street. I'm one of the members of the Conservation Advisory Council, and I also got it at 6:35.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MS. SMITH: So this -- as you know, this serves as our report for the referenced permit application.

We did a site visit for the permit on June 6th, myself, John Saladino and Paul. We didn't have any concerns with the application, but we're recommending that a few pieces of clarification to certain documents within the package, specifically three sections of the EAF.

Page 5 of the application -- so this is like
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a little in the weeds, but important components. The project description is much broader than the actual Village application, so there's a disconnect between the State paperwork and the Village paperwork. We assume it's because they were submitting their DEC paperwork with the Village application. They just need to reapply to the Village for any work beyond the Village work. So whenever you submit -- this is sort of like a public broadcast. Whenever you're submitting work for a Village application, you need to specify the work that's going to happen for the Village portion of the work, not the extent of stuff that's within the range of the State.

The -- Page 6 for the Environmental Assessment Form, when the application asks if it's a critical environmental area, the application -the applicant said no. But I looked up the -- on DEC, and from the maps, it should be a yes. So that needs to be corrected to make sure that we're acknowledging that it is a critical environmental area where the work is going to be performed.

And then, again, very minor, but this is sort of getting everyone up to speed. Page 11, question QG. The DEC form indicates the work is
going to be performed early spring or late fall. Same question later on says summer 2017. So we're just trying to get a little bit of streamlining there and clarification. We want the permit to be two years, which is what we have been recommending for all permits.

And that concludes our report.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you very much.
MS. SMITH: Any questions? Anything I can clarify?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. Seeing as the Board hasn't really read it, they got it, just what you said, now. They will review it. It's going to be in their mailbox for tomorrow.

MS. SMITH: Perfect.
MAYOR HUBBARD: But we didn't want to hold up the public hearing --

MS. SMITH: No, that's great.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- just because we got it back late. That's fine.

MS. SMITH: Great. Thanks.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Would anybody like to comment on the public hearing? Yes. Name and address for the record, please.

MR. SWISKEY: Joe Smith. Bill Swiskey, 184
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Fifth Street.
Couple of questions. These pilings, they're going -- with this bottom controlled by the Village of Greenport, right? We control that bay bottom.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. They're taking out ones that are there now and putting new ones back in, yes.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah. So are we getting any rent for this bay bottom?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
MR. SWISKEY: Why not?
MAYOR HUBBARD: Because we never have.
MR. SWISKEY: Well, don't you think it should be something that would be brought up? I mean, they make millions on this ferry every year, and they use our bay bottom, they use our streets. The one thing we can control right now is the bay bottoms. We should tell them they got to pay rent. A thousand dollars a piling a year is what, \$28,000. Think about it. Thank you.

MR. SALADINO: You thought this was going to be easy, right? Just as a clarification, we looked at the underwater maps, New York State underwater maps, and the maps that we saw, Paul

Pallas, myself, Dave Corwin, I'm not sure if -AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you speak into the mic, please?

MR. SALADINO: I'm trying. I have bronchitis, I apologize.

The maps that we saw said that land belonged to New York State, it wasn't Village property. It had always been MTA property, Long Island Railroad property, underwater property. So I see John Costello here, he might add something to it. We personally don't believe it belongs to the Village.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Are you speaking on behalf of the CAC?

MR. SALADINO: I'm speaking on empirical data from maps that we looked at.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: "We" as in CAC?
MR. SALADINO: I belong -- I belong to the CAC.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right, yes.
MR. SALADINO: Paul Pallas is not here tonight, Dave Corwin is not here tonight, so I give you the information that we gleaned from that information.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thanks.
MR. SALADINO: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SWISKEY: Not to be smart, but maybe we should have our lawyer research, because other documents say differently. You might want to have your lawyer research it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address the public hearing?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. At this point, I'11
close the public hearing. We will discuss that at our work session next month.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you need a resolution
for that?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We need a resolution to close the hearing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. I'll offer a motion to close the public hearing.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Al1 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
Okay. The second public hearing we have is Proposed amendment to Chapter 103 (Rental Regulations for the Residential Properties) of the Village of Greenport Code.

There's a proposed new rental law that's been published, it was put out there. The public hearing was noticed. At this point, we're really trying to take input from residents, tenants, landlords, whatever, to give us some feedback on what we're proposing on the rental law, to see if we could put something together that's going to be a good code for everybody in the Village. So, at this point, I'd like to open it up to the public that would like to comment. Go ahead.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Mayor, before we begin --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- can I just get a clarification for myself, because there's been a lot of confusion out in the public whether this is dealing with limiting short term rentals. Is that
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part of what you want to hear in this public hearing, or is that something that would be for after we get through the description of the rental law?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. I don't -- there's no actual talk of limiting short term rentals in the proposed law at this time.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So what I'm asking is, is people who were making comments in reference to this public hearing would be dealing with the document that we have currently, and that if anyone is -- wishes to talk in reference to either short term rentals or capping short term rentals, or whatever, that that comes as the general public time?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, that would be at a different part, because we're talking about what's proposed on the law right now is what the public hearing is on, on what is proposed.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just wanted to get a clarification on that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, then let me ask for a clarification.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Are we not -- so does that
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mean people are not allowed to recommend suggestions to Chapter 103, to the draft that's out there?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, that's not what I'm saying. I am saying that let's have a discussion of the public hearing document, and then in the general public section, if someone wishes to talk about limiting short term rentals, or capping them, or even short term rentals in general, that that would be the time period that -- so that these do not get crossed. That's what I'm suggesting.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So, Trustee Phillips, just hypothetically -- I'm sorry, Mr. Moore -- if a speaker wanted to suggest that Chapter 103 be amended to do something with short term -- this law does -- this draft does add short term rentals to the conversation.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, it does.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So one could, I would think, comment on short term rentals, and whether there should be more or less done with this draft.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. The idea is to try to do this and let's get something that's a workable law or code for everybody. So, I mean, if part of
the discussion does turn into that, if it's not in the law now, but somebody has a suggestion to make the law a better law, then that would be appropriate to have a discussion on that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? I thinks that's -you know, we don't want to have three public hearings about the same thing, you know. So, I mean, if somebody has a discussion to say this law would be better if you did this or that --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- then that would be part
of the discussion we're going to have now.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Does that clarify your question?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just wanted clarification, that's all.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. But we're talking just rental law stuff now, that's -- go ahead, Mr. Moore.

MR. MOORE: I'11 try to be very careful.
My name is Doug Moore. I live at 145
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Sterling Street in the Village. I've had my house nearly 25 years. We live in our house, we don't rent our house. I think that would be a good point for people who are also going to speak, so we know where they're coming from.

The code that has been proposed, minor, fairly minor changes, $I$ think cause a number of problems. One is that it has only essentially changed two things. It serves to register all rental properties, which I think is a good thing. I think most people agree with that. And it also no longer identifies the term of rental as the previous code does.

And without stepping on the toes of the short term subject, I think this is a great danger to the Village, because if you pass this law -- it was described as being the first step. I think you may be making the last step in controlling the expansion of short term rentals. Perhaps that's what you want to happen.

But I think if you pass this law, do not immediately consider, or consider at the same time the impact of short term rentals. You're doing Village and the residents a great disservice. I think short term -- excuse me -- short term
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rentals do need to be in the code. And I'11 stop my comments there, because I have suggestions on how you might manage short term rentals, if you're going to allow that discussion to happen tonight.

I'd also like to indicate that there are some technical changes in the code, such as deleting the definition of "family" where the code continues to refer to families. You can't have a code that refers to something that has no definition.

No offense, Mary Bess, but I know -- I know what you are trying to accomplish, but I think it's a very valid point in a law to describe what a family is. And many municipalities have very similar definitions and it's not discriminatory, it classifies what is allowed in a rental.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I need to make a clarification, because when $I$ put that in, I assumed that the Village Attorney would take out all the term "family" throughout the rest of the law, which he did not do.

MR. MOORE: We11, it does refer to families. And it also causes you a problem, that if in the future then only families by some perhaps blood relationship, which everybody might accept, that
unrelated people could no longer rent a house, even short term or long-term. So I'll reserve other comments for the allowable short term rental discussion. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board on this topic? Go ahead. Go ahead. All right.

MR. FERRARI: Hi. Scott Ferrari, 508 Main Street.

I just -- I just think it's important. I'm in the construction industry, and I do see some -see, it's hard to do this without going into the like Airbnb type stuff.

I'm a big fan of rental housing for locals. I think if we don't do everything we can to preserve long term rentals for locals, we're not going to have any locals anymore. We're going to have trade parades, just like they do on the south side, and we'll all be driving in every day and driving out. I would hate to see that happen.

You know, it's -- you know, many people in this room are multigenerational in Greenport, and I would like to see that continue to happen, instead of they get tired of living with family and they want to go out on their own, now they
have to leave the area. So I just think it's important to maintain long term housing, because it's your workforce and it's the locals.

And the only thing I would say about the short term rentals is I think there should be some sort of limit on it, because, otherwise, it's just going to be investment housing. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
Randy first, and then you'11 be next.
MS. WADE: I've done a lot of research --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Just name and address.
MS. WADE: Sorry. Randy Wade, 440 Sixth Street, resident in a residential district since 1983.

And so I've done a lot of research on precedent of rental laws in other places, and I would urge you to actually not vote on this rental law at this time. I agree with Doug, that it opens things up without addressing really any of the problems effectively.

In looking at rental laws in other cities, some of the most clear and effective ones differentiate between -- they call long term rentals quite often over 30 days, and short term 30 days and under. They differentiate between
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owner-occupied. They still are very specific about how many additional people can be a short term renter in an owner-occupied dwelling.

For long term rentals, where one is defining family or a group of unrelated individuals living together, they make it very clear that there should not be locked bedrooms or barrier walls preventing access to the kitchen or any of the living spaces. That's -- you know, the purpose would be to prevent illegal hotels and SROs next door to families in residential zones, because we want, you know, to protect what we have, and still worker housing is an issue.

One of the most effective things that they've all done -- and I know there's some concern about lawsuits. So in May, just last month, San Francisco, who has big worker housing problems, worse than we do, they won a lawsuit against Airbnb. And so I am suggesting things that are not even as dramatic as they have, but includes some of their elements, which other places have as well, which is a limit to the number of days per year that you do a short term rental. Ninety days is quite common limit, and it would allow individual homeowners to make money to
help upgrade their house and improve it.
So I would just like to request -- I've given you a lot of stuff in two pages that I don't want to bore this audience with. Would you mind allowing that to be incorporated into the record? I sent it to the five of you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. Submit the paperwork, submit it to the Village Clerk. She'll disseminate it and she'11 put it as part of the public record on that.

MS. WADE: Okay. I emailed it to you, if you want to forward it to her, or I could forward it to her, whichever you --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that way -- because she keeps the records of the public hearings, so she needs to have a copy of that --

MS. WADE: Oh, I'11 give it to you after.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- so it could be incorporated into the public hearing.

MS. WADE: Perfect.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay?
MS. WADE: Okay. Thanks. Thanks very much.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MR. GAPPELBERG: How are you, neighbor? And nice to meet you. My name is Evan Gappelberg. We
just opened up the Hampton Chocolate Factory in town, and we're very happy to be part of the business community.

Recently, we were introduced to other store owners, and everybody thought that having sandwich board displays or A-frames on the street was something that the Town kind of got over last year in terms of enforcement. And, you know, there was a petition, I guess.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, right now, we're doing a public hearing on the rental law. So, I mean, you'11 have -- if you're speaking on the rental law, we're taking that now. Public comments on sandwich boards and all we would do under the public just comment to the Board.

MR. GAPPELBERG: I was told by Eileen Wingate to come tonight to discuss this with you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, that is fine. You definitely will be able to, but it's under a different portion. This is a public hearing just on the rental law right now.

MR. GAPPELBERG: So when would we be able to talk about this?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In a few minutes.
MR. GAPPELBERG: Oh, okay.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: On the agenda --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Ten minutes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, it will be in 10
minutes. Once we finish the public hearing --
MR. GAPPELBERG: Sure, sorry.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- there's public to address the Board. I'm just trying to do --

MR. GAPPELBERG: Okay, no problem. I didn't --

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- in order, just to keep --
MR. GAPPELBERG: Didn't want to upset
protocol, I didn't know.
MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's fine. You'11 be able to speak when we get to the public to address the Board.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Yeah.
MS. RYAN: Hello. Mindy Ryan, 408 Front Street. And, for the record, I have a two-family home where we have a successful short term rental downstairs.

I've gone over this proposed law with a fine tooth comb, and like this -- it should be like this one, the one for Babylon. Two pages is what I think. This is intimidating.

I read through this when I first bought the
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house, because there was a two-family and we were trying to figure out what to do with the downstairs. You know, do we want to rent it year round, or do we want to do short term rentals, so -- and we still lived in Laurel. We didn't -we still lived there and my daughter was going to school there, so we didn't have to move into Greenport.

Once I finally figured this thing out, it's like, "You know what, I think we need to be owner occupied in order to do what we're doing downstairs, to have a short term rental downstairs." And we were like, "All right. Well, so we'll sell the house and we'll move to Greenport," you know, because we want to comply, but it was a lot to go through, this thing.

So in order to cut this down to two pages like this, you can -- you've got right in the beginning 103 point -- dash one, Legislative intent. Most of these things are in 53.1 and 65 , the building unsafe and the fire prevention building construction. There's a lot of repetition in this document that's already in the code. So if you just refer to the code, it's already there, you don't need to repeat it.

Moving on to the definitions. Another thing where the definitions, in my opinion, should be in 150-2, the definitions of the Village Code. And one reason for that is, is when you change a definition on this document to eliminate family, you didn't do it in the other -- you know, in the 150-2, family wasn't eliminated there. So if you have the definitions only in one place, then you only have to change it in one place. I do a lot of typing in my job.

A lot of these -- so, okay. Moving past all the things that $I$ think should be in zoning, all those definitions. There seems -- on here you have a new permit, you have a renewal rental permit and you have a rental permit, so three different types of permits, where, in my opinion, it just should be a permit.

When you get to -- 1et's see. I'm on Page 7. Where Trustee Phillips suggested including -- right below where she says, "Including owner occupied dwellings that rent out apartments," right below that, it says, "Properties used exclusively for nonresidential or commercial purposes in the zoning district." And I really had to scratch my head to figure out why
this was in this rental document. But the way Babylon has addressed it is -- the whole purpose of their rental law is part for residents occupancy. So if you just throw residents occupancy into this document, you don't need to say it doesn't apply to businesses, if you know what I mean.

103-5, moving down, Confidential Information Maintained By the Owner, the owner shall maintain a confidential record. Confidential to whom is my question? Does that mean the owner keeps the list to themselves and they can't tell you? That would be confidential like an attorney.

Rental permit required. Well, that's the whole purpose of this rental law is to have a -so I don't think we need to have that.
103.-7, Application For Rental Permit, if you read the first sentence and then you read the next one, they're almost identical sentences, but they're just worded a little differently. The words are a little bit rearranged, so it's duplicate sentences.

Then you move down to the application, and it tells you what needs to be on the application, the name, address, telephone number of them, blah,
blah, blah. All that should just be on the application. You don't need to -- in the actual law, $I$ don't think that you need to actually list what's going to be on the application in the law. Just put it on the application and then it will be there.

I've found a lot of inconsistencies in the -- you often refer to the 2015 International Fire Prevention and Building Code, New York State Supplement, but rarely is it worded in the exact same way throughout the document. It gets mixed around, blah, blah, blah. And in one particular spot where you're talking about -- if you skip to Page 12, the Building Inspector, he's just gone out for any provision of the Greenport Village Code, which there's a good chance that the Greenport Village Code already covers all of those other New York State International Building. That might already be in the Greenport Village Code, I'm not sure. But consistency throughout the document of, you know, what you're abiding by, and the Greenport Village Code should include all that, in my opinion.

And, also, I would hesitate to date the 2015 International Building Fire Prevention, because I
looked it up and it was amended 2016. So if you don't put a date in a document like this, then you just refer to it as the current. You know, whatever is current, you might have that listed somewhere else. Same thing with fees. Don't list what the fee is going to be like they do in Babylon. It's like they don't list what the fee is, but the fee is available if you go to someplace else to look for it.

Moving on. Okay. 103-9, terms of permit and renewal, just another inconsistency, where in this case for the renewal, the owner has to sign it. It doesn't say anything about the managing agent being able to sign it. So it's just another, you know, inconsistency in what you're saying.

And, again, a lot of -- moving down to 103-9 to Number 2, it says, "Fully complies with all the," blah, blah, blah, and then it says, "In violation of," all the exact same blah, blah, blah. So not only do you have to fully -- you're swearing you fully comply with it, but you also have to swear that you're not violating it, and to me that's the same thing. I'm just cutting words out of this to cut it down.

I see on this draft it was corrected to biennial instead of biannual. The one online says biannual.

The 103-11, in the fees, B, that says the nonrefundable annual permit, so that should also be biennial, I believe.

Going down to waiving of all the fees, I don't -- at $\$ 50$ a year for the proposed fee, $\$ 100$ every two years, I don't think it should be waived for anybody, because this is a lot of people to waive it for; Enhanced Star, Veterans, senior citizens. Is that senior citizens if it's a couple? You know, my husband is a senior citizen, but I'm not. He's listed first, so do we get the exemption? You know, I don't know. It's just a lot of -- it is a lot of -- a lot of people that would be exempt, and I don't think anybody should be exempt for $\$ 50$ a year.

Then moving down to you could be exempt if you're going to rent it to seniors or disabled people, which is terrific, but we're also -- part of the housing crunch here is housing our workforce, our young people. I keep hearing the people that grew up here have no place to live, they can't afford to stay here. So, you know, we
want to rent to them, too, you know, not just the seniors, not just the disabled. So I think that whole section should be eliminated. I'm sorry I'm eliminating most of your document here.
(Laughter)
The regulations, right off the bat, 103-12, "Rental property shall only be leased, occupied or used by one person or a family." And I know Mary Bess had asked that "family" be removed, so now it reads, "Occupied or used by one person."

Now so many of these things in these regulations I think are -- you know, for instance, they're covered elsewhere in the code, I would think. You know, like no rental property shall be occupied by more than the number of persons permitted. Well, is that any different than a nonrental? Like a regular house, isn't there a limit to, you know, how many people can live in a house, safe occupancy? So it shouldn't be different for a rental, it shouldn't be delineated here.

Two bedrooms shall be permitted in the basement of a rental. Well, how many bedrooms are permitted in a house that's not rented? I don't -- does anybody know that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
MS. RYAN: And, you know, all this having to be related, you can't sublet, subleasing. You know, what if you have four waitresses that want to share an apartment? So they can't all -- one of them has to sign a lease and they pay for it? You can't all -- I'm not -- I think we're getting a little too specific with that.

The parking of -- parking regulations is already in, that's 132 of Vehicle and Traffic. That doesn't -- I don't think it needs to be in here.

Rental property should be occupied and utilized in accordance with Certificate of Occupancy. That's in 150 Zoning.

The dumpster, that's 112-4. They already address dumpsters in the code, so that's repetitive.

And nuisances, you know, the Chapter 90, nuisances, in the code covers a ton of stuff, hazards, you know, that don't need to be repeated in this document, in my opinion.

Inspections: The one thing I did like in the inspections is that the -- you can request assistance from the Village to deal with eviction.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

And, let's see, covered in the application. Just then we get to -- I'm sorry, did someone say something else?

MR. SWISKEY: Keep going.
MS. RYAN: Then you get to Section 103-16, evidence dwelling unit is being used as a rental property. And then 103-17, evidence in multi-family occupancy. Now some -- I think this is just -- I think it all should be eliminated.

You know, more than one connecting line for cable television. Have you ever had cable installed at your house and did the service guy actually remove all the other cable lines that are already on your house? When I bought house, there were already four on there, and then they put a new one on for me. So, you know, that's no indication of how many people are living there. But a lot of these things are like that. You know, like the cars, how many cars in the driveway. One of my neighbors has a -- has a little car fetish and there's only two people there, you know, but they might have five cars.

So, it's just -- you know, stick to -there's a spot in here where there's -- you can do inspections, and you have a right to do the
inspections. So if there's a problem, if somebody -- instead of $1 i s t i n g ~ a l l ~ o f ~ t h e s e ~ t h i n g s, ~ i f ~$ somebody complains and says, "This is going on next door to me, I'd 1ike you to investigate," well, now you've got a reason to investigate. So do that and you don't have to go through all this stuff.

103-22, Penalties for Offenses, it's a little overkill, all the different levels here. And, you know, how many times have any of these penalties been put into place to begin with? And now you've got all these different levels.

You know, what Babylon has is what is also in your document, is that each day of the violation constitutes a separate violation. Okay, fine. But, you know, you can certainly get your penalties down to, you know, this right here. I think you can get this entire document down to this.

So what I'm suggesting -- and the other thing that's wrong with this document is that it does not address short term rentals. That should be in the rental code, as far as I'm concerned. What I'm suggesting would be a good step is get it down to one or two pages so it's not overwhelming
to somebody that wants to rent. Do a one-year grace period and say, you're invited to come apply for your rental permit at no charge for one year, with an inspection to happen when we can get to it, because you're going to be very busy inspecting houses. So give them one year to step forward and say, "I'm not afraid to apply for a permit, so I'll do it now for no charge," save 50 bucks, and then I'm subject to renewing it every two years, and an inspection, just like everybody else.

And I guess everything else I had to say had to do with short term rentals and the fact that there's no -- you know, that we need to think outside the box in order to accommodate our young people and think about different ways to make our housing work here.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. Now you, Jim.

MR. OLINKIEWICZ: Oh, me? Okay. Good evening, everyone. James Olinkiewicz, which is 408 Third Street. Not -- yeah, 414 Third Street, Greenport.

Rental law, I believe in it. I believe that there should be some kind of law. We need to --
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need to figure out and keep long term rentals. As everybody knows, I have a number of long term rentals in the Village, and $I$ have a tremendous waiting 1 ist from local people waiting for rentals, for anything that opens up that I have. So I think that the Board has to address that and be very careful with that.

Short term rentals, you need the -- you need the extra short term rental for people to -- some people to afford their house. They're only here for the summer and they only want to rent when they're there. There's a couple of different contingencies.

I was reading over the law. There was only one thing that $I$ had a real issue or a question about. There's the -- and it was just actually brought up last, that there are no more than two bedrooms shall be permitted in the basement of a rental property.

So I bought the Greenport Methodist Church and we're in the process of the renovation, an historic renovation. We're keeping the whole upstairs, sanctuary as one big open room. There were five classrooms in the basement that we've gone to renovate to bedrooms as under New York

State Law for that property. Now, currently, that property is up for sale, but if I go to sell that, if anybody buys it, they're going to be limited if they decide to rent that to two of the bedrooms in the basement. We're putting the proper ingress and egress windows, we're putting in all the fire systems, everything it needs for New York State Law. So I'm wondering, well, why two bedrooms in a basement would be an issue.

We're trying to preserve the sanctity of that building, and then this winds up it would be hampering to saving that. I mean, then it would be -- it would be better for me to just divide it up into bedrooms and pull out the stain glass and go back to the Historic Board, because it's a -becomes an issue.

So I'm not sure. Maybe it could and not -that issue could be put up that it has to go to Planning Board review or something, because it's got to be a case-by-case basis on that, especially when you're -- I mean, I find myself trying to preserve that, and I really don't want to divide up that great meeting hall in there. I want to keep that open as a whole area and just have the housing.

And it's an upside down house, is what we call it in the trade. Sometimes we put bedrooms on the first floor and living room on the second floor to look at water view, right? It's an upside down house. So we're trying to make do with what we have there. So that's my only issue about that.

I understand that the Board is afraid that people are going to just start cramming apartments in basements and everything else, because there's a building code for that.

Other than that, thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street. I'm a lifelong resident, and a couple of months ago I was almost forced to move. I work in Greenport, I've been a bus driver. I will be starting my 23rd year.

There is a housing issue, not blaming anybody for that. In this, I have stated many times at meetings you need a level playing field. One of the things on here does reference any rental units. To me, whether you're renting year-round or for a weekend, you are a-- it's a rental unit. Anyplace that rents should have to
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have a rental permit. So short term should be included in this rental agreement, because they are renting. How it's, you know -- and that goes along with one of the regulations, 103-12(B), about the COs.

Short term rentals are not regulated at all. You go online, you see places that are on there, and the amount that they are suggesting that this unit or home holds is well over what the occupancy of it should be. If there's a permit, it says how many people are allowed to occupy. If you see it, you find out they're in violation, just like you would with a long term unit.

It's been said up here this evening you're opening a can of worms by not putting the short term in with this. It is a rental. Anyone that rents should have to have a rental permit.

The other thing was -- hold on a second. Oh, the multi-dwelling buildings. I'm not sure why multi-dwelling went from three or more to only two or more. It was crossed out from three. I mean, you have, you know, two-family homes. Most places, you know, like that home are two, and I think it went to multi when it became more than the two. I don't know if that's the reasoning
behind the switch from three to two, but then in another place it does say three or more. So there's, you know, a little discrepancy right there.

But I hope you do consider that before this goes to your vote. You need to have short term in there because they are a rental unit. Thank you. MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. You, John, you're next.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street. I was here for the debate and the public hearings for the original rental permit law. I think the law was progressed. I think the Legislators legislated it with their hearts instead of their heads. I think there was a certain mind set at the time. The Legislative intent, the Legislative intent is to promote the health and safety, unsafe conditions, overcrowding.

I think, from listening to the testimony at that time at the public hearings, the underlying concerns of the residents that spoke at those meetings was just that, overcrowding, and I think it was directed -- and I don't want to -- I don't want to get into a big crazy debate about this, but I think at that particular moment in time,
because of the concerns that some neighbors and some residents had, it was directed at some perception about some particular demographic, or some -- and, unfortunately, it progressed from there. I think it was a mistake. I think it was directed at certain people, as opposed to certain conditions. I think it was directed at certain builders whose clients count among those people. And, ironically, those builders are the guys that are probably the only guys that are conforming with the -- that comply with the rental permit law, which makes it kind of ironic.

So, having said that, there's -- you know, Ms. Ryan, she pointed out a lot of stuff. Trustee Phillips and I had a discussion about it at the work session. There's a ton of mistakes in this current -- I understand it's only a draft. Is it a draft or is this the law?

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's a work in progress.
That's why we're taking comments. It's not -- you know, we're trying to get the input from the public to make a document that's going to be workable, so.

MR. SALADINO: Okay. And, you know, Chatty touched on it, I touched on it last week about
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multiple dwelling. It says three, you crossed it out to two, but then you go on to describe three or more families. It just doesn't -- it doesn't make sense. The same thing with "family". It's referred to 27 different times in this particular code. You know, perhaps to say "occupant" as opposed to "family", I don't really know.

The other thing -- what you guys do with this is going to be interesting to see. The other thing, as long as we're comparing apples to apples and oranges to oranges, I've heard it's written that we have an 18-page document, Baby1on has a two-page document. If we're going to compare apples to apples, Babylon's document, this particular document is two pages. But it also refers to additional portions in Chapter 199 that refer to this document. It also refers to additional portions in Chapter 2 -- 269. So I'm kind of thinking, if we incorporate those chapters, those two chapters into this two-page document, we might well, in fact, wind up with an 18-page document.

So, I mean, we should -- we shouldn't just say like, "Well, we got an 18 -page document, they did it in two," we really don't know that. So
just in the interest of being honest with the public and being factual, you know, that's something we might also research and consider. As far as the short term rentals, I'm going to have a little something to say about that later. So thank you. Thanks for listening. (Laughter)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. KAVAJIAN: Hi, my name is Andrea Kavajian. I'm at 326 Front Street. I come at this from two different angles. From a personal level, I was born and raised out here on the North Fork, and I have this fantasy that when I would grow up, I would be able to buy a house out here one day, and I can barely afford to rent anything out here. I have a college degree. I have a really good paying job, and I can barely afford to live out here, and I only see that getting less attainable. So that's kind of a bummer. I see all of my friends either moving away, or at 29 years old, they still live with their parents, and that's a trend this is just way too common, so I think something needs to be done about that.

I don't know what the answer is, I'm not a politician, but, clearly, whatever is in place
right now is not working. I think it needs to be addressed from starting fresh. Obviously, there are a lot of other cities out there that are doing this and they seem to have it figured out, so I think we can learn from them.

Coming at this from a different perspective, I'm over at the Greenporter Hotel and I see the things that we have to go through to keep our business running, and short term rentals are obviously infringing on that. And I'm not against short term rentals. I love Airbnb. Whenever I travel, I use it, but there needs to be some kind of regulation in place, permits. It needs to be capped. A lot needs to be done about it, and right now there's nothing being done. So I would like to see some progress being made. And that's really it. Thank you. MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. MS. WALOSKI: Hi. I'm Caroline Waloski from the Siren's Song Gallery and Carriage House, and I have a short term rental. I have -- my property is a legal two-family. I'd like to rent it for longer periods than just a weekend, but in the economic situation that we're in in this country, people are not taking long vacations. If

I can get three weeks, a month, I would prefer that to a weekend, but I can -- I have to take what I can get so that I can afford to keep my home.

And I'd like to see something in -- I thought that there was going to be something in this proposal, and I don't see anything on short term rentals like Mindy had said. But I need to feel secure that what -- I had gotten the blessing of the Village 11 years ago to do what I did with that building. It's not really designed for long term living because of the closet space. It has all it's legal, everything is legal. All the egresses are there, but it's not really comfortable as anything more than a vacation spot. And I'm just concerned that if I lost that as income, how I would be able to -- how I would be able to stay in Greenport and keep my home. So I'd like to see that addressed.

I know everybody has their own personal issue, and just I need a comfort level.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thanks. Mr. Tasker.
MR. TASKER: Good evening. Arthur Tasker, Beach Street in Greenport.

I haven't had a chance to parse all the
details of 103 , but $I$ think there are couple of overriding issues and principles that need to be considered here.

First of all, the prospect of not including short term rentals for the reasons that the previous speaker just said, but because it is very clear that many, many properties are being bought in Greenport to be converted to short term rentals. If they're not incorporated in any kind of a rental property ordinance, then you're just opening the floodgates to more and more of it.

The second -- the second concern I have is that there's a great deal of talk about affordability of housing. And, frankly, while I'm very much in favor of -- despite what people have -- some people have said about me, I'm very much in favor of the fact that we need to have affordable housing and the children of our residents need be to able to live here. The problem with that is unless you make -- unless you repeal the laws of economics, it's going to be almost an impossibility to have a rental law that wil1 accomplish affordable housing.

Affordable housing is usually subsidized by a municipality or a charitable organization, or
something like that. Anyone who is looking to real -- at the real property prices in this Village and tumble the numbers in terms of what it's going to take for rental income, and so forth and so on, to be able to purchase a house, can see that the affordable rent that a millennial, shall we say, with a job as a bartender in Lucharitos, for example, they aren't going to be able to rent a decent house in the Village of Greenport, because the landlords aren't going to rent it for the prices that they can afford to pay.

So unless affordability is dealt with, and affordability is not dealt with merely by building lots more apartments. Affordability is dealt with in a much different manner that $I$ frankly am unsure that the Village can accomplish. But by letting Airbnbs or short term rentals -- excuse me. By letting Airbnbs run wild, you're just going to exacerbate the problem to a greater extent than it is.

And I noticed that one of the comments that was posted on the internet, on Facebook, recently said, "This is a good time of year to start over on the rental housing law. We have a full year until the next summer season kicks into full
swing. What do you think?" We11, what I think is that in November of 2015 I said the same thing, that they had time to perhaps do something about the rental law in time for the next Airbnb summer season, and nothing has happened two years in a row. Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.

I come to all these meetings, and this rental thing started like, what, three years ago, George, or more under David Nyce, the first draft of the rental law?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it's more like four years ago it's been around for.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah. Right, I know, because --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it's four years.
MR. SWISKEY: And I don't really see where we have progressed any, because at that time it was also asked are we going to include the short term rentals in the code and it was sort of ambiguous back then. But I remember this Board talking about it over the last few years, that when we still had a Code Committee and it was debating this and debating that or -- I guess it's
the Code Committee. It's gone right now, Mary Bess?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: (Nodded yes).
MR. SWISKEY: The short term rental was debated, and in the end, when the Code Committee went away, it was determined that we would meld the STRs into the new rental code. And I remember hearing that a couple of times. I mean, I'm getting old and $I$ could be getting senile, but I remember hearing that. Did I hear that right or not, that we were going to meld --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, any -- that's what's in this code. Anything that's a rental, long term, short term, whatever you want to call it, if you have a rental unit in Greenport, you need to have a permit. That's what this says now.

MR. SWISKEY: So despite what Mary Bess says, then, the -- short term rentals are -should be part of the discussion on this code, is what I'm getting at.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you have a rental unit, and we're talking about a rental code --

MR. SWISKEY: Whether it's long term or short term, it's covered by this, so they should be part of the discussion. That's just one thing
that I wanted to get out there, because I was shocked when I heard that, because -- granted, this doesn't do much to regulate STRs, and I don't know if we should or not, but I know the intention of this code was to include it.

Now I have a few other things in this code. It's like Chatty mentioned, the three -- three to two or more dwelling units, and then right away it goes on and says occupied by three or more families. All right? So whoever wrote this code didn't proofread it too good, so maybe you should take it back and -- because there weren't that many changes in it, but the ones that were were like --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Bill, I'm going to clarify it, and I clarified it at the work session, that that section that the Village Attorney put in as two was not supposed to be there. That was a typo on his part. I've explained it at work session.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, all right.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: He also added language that is not marked as additional.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's just in this there.
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MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, because what I'm looking for -- what I'm looking for for the changes is, you know, the highlighted, the darker, heavier.

Now, all right, this code has definitions. It has no definitions for an apartment. What is an apartment? Because it refers to, all right, you can rent out including owner-occupied dwellings that rent out an apartment. Now I say an apartment is one room. If I want to rent to three workers, I rent them a bedroom. This would actually be allowed under this code, because the definition of an apartment is not there. It speaks dwelling units and everything else, but -I'm sorry that the Attorney couldn't make it tonight, because, you know, a lot of people have questions that he could have answered, and maybe we should hold another hearing on this when he's here so we can ask these questions.

It's just a little -- there's only a couple of more things on this. Hold on, I've got to find them. Floor plan of a rental unit, all right. Oh, here. Selling of shares, regulations. It will probably come to me later. That's what usually happens when you get old.

But my point being that this thing is full
of flaws, and if the only regulation of a -- and I'm not particularly -- care one way or the other whether you regulate them or not, some other people are, but I don't -- you know, say I want an STR, single -- people rent rooms for a week or a weekend in their house. Does this code allow that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Per se they rent one room?
MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I'd have to go back and read that exactly, Bill. I just --

MR. SWISKEY: It does, it does allow it? That's why I wish the Attorney was here.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, it's not just his document, we've all had input. We've read through the thing and everything else.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, but --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Saying dwelling units, you said what is an apartment. To me, an apartment is not a bedroom. You rent one bedroom from an Airbnb.

MR. SWISKEY: But the code doesn't say that.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, from a bed and breakfast, excuse me.

MR. SWISKEY: But, in other words, but
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didn't you just say -- I just came -- that's what I came up with, because an Airbnb person rents one bedroom. They get $\$ 500$ a night for the weekend, okay? And I ask is that allowed by this code? MAYOR HUBBARD: I'd have to go back and read it, Bill, I cannot answer that right now. MR. SWISKEY: That's an important answer. MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, it is, but that's -TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It says rental unit, and a rental unit is defined as -- it's not defined. We have rental property to --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
MR. SWISKEY: That's a biggy, because you're either going to -- with this code, it will either shut those people down, or it will allow them to just go on, whatever. That's why I need that answer.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, that's why this is a draft. That's why we're having a public hearing, to get comment from everybody, and then we will take that.

MR. SWISKEY: Are we going -- are we going to have another public hearing where we can get the answers?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Of course we will. I mean,
we're working on this to put something together to make changes, to put together a document that's going to be workable. When we scheduled the public hearing, it was on what was already been proposed, so that's why it was put out there. So we weren't going to change that, because we scheduled this public hearing on what was there.

The comments from the work session were not incorporated on this, because we already scheduled the public hearing on what we had submitted, and we didn't want to change it midstream and mislead people.

MR. SWISKEY: I realize that.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So now we're taking all the comments now, we'll come up with a new draft, and then we'll propose that again, have another public hearing, and then we'11 have more comments on that.

MR. SWISKEY: I realize that, but this is a bad document --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. SWISKEY: -- prepared by the legal staff to the Village of Greenport, supposedly read by the Trustees of the Village of Greenport with the understanding so they can answer the people's
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questions; am I right or wrong, that's the way it's supposed to work? But nobody can tell me if one bedroom is -- rental is outlawed by this or not. That bothers me. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address the Board? Go ahead.

MR. COOPER: Hi. Mike Cooper, Clark Street,
Greenport.
I'd like to propose an amendment under the regulations. I guess it would be Section J, that no lease be less than 30 days.

I'm sure you guys have heard the same rhetoric, you know, that we've heard time and time again. We've heard it time and time again as far as the short term rentals and the impact that it's having on the price of homes, number one, and the shortage of rental units, number two, for full-time renters.

You know, in 2006 to 2008, when it was really booming, we heard that -- the same song and dance, well, you'll never -- because I just graduated, it was the year I graduated from college, and I just joined the workforce and you heard the same rhetoric. It's you'll never buy in Greenport, because the houses keep going, it's
popular, they found us, like there was some kind of epiphany in Manhattan, that there's a big billboard and it said, okay, well, Greenport's on the map. That wasn't the case, that wasn't the case at all. It was the ease of credit and the ease that subprime mortgages afforded to allow people that wouldn't normally be buying second homes and investment homes to do that. Now we all found out and we're all looking back in time and saying, "Uh, duh, of course that's not going to work," right? Subprime mortgages don't work, we can all see that, and that's what caused the prices to -- you know, we started seeing more and more foreclosures, and the prices in Greenport came down considerably.

The very similar economic insulation is happening right now to short term rentals. See, no one has a problem with second homeowners, and nobody has traditionally had a problem with anyone renting their house, you know, on a -- for the summer or for a month, but there's a certain risk in that investment. And what short term rentals does, it unfairly mitigates that risk.

I'll take for example, we heard from a -from a woman this evening that said that due to
the current economic times, people are not taking the same amount of vacation. People are not getting the same bonuses they got from Wall Street, maybe. You know, I mean, it's actually a pretty good market right now, so maybe they there. But that pool of people that would rent your house for a month for $5,000, \$ 10,000$, or for the whole summer for $\$ 20,000$ is a different pool of people than that would rent your house for the weekend; okay? We're talking about a very small number of people, and a lot of it has to do with the economy, because this is big money we're talking about. We're not talking about a couple of hundred bucks, we're talking about tens of thousands of dollars for the summer. If you -but if you open that up to the entire -- you know, anybody who can afford a couple of hundred bucks a night, you can get the same rate of return on your investment, and so you can keep during those times -- and listen, nobody wants anybody to lose their house or their -- you know, and nobody wants anybody to lose their second home. But during -a market is a market, and, you know, you kind of live by the sword and you die by the sword. If you make an investment, and I'm including some
second homes as an investment, if you rent it out, it is actually technically an investment, you know, you should be -- you know, you're exposed to the market, and that's what keeps markets going up and down; okay?

If you suddenly are able to insulate yourself from market forces, then of course the prices are just going to continue to sore, because they're protected, because they're no longer exposed to what's going on, because there's a much larger base of people that will -- that can short-term rent than that could traditionally do a summer rental. As we've seen, we've all grown up knowing -- okay, you know, you open up the Suffolk Times and it's $\$ 10,000$ for a month, or what have you. You know, there's a different pool of people here.

And as a second homeowner, if I own a house somewhere else and I want to keep my second home afloat, and maybe I -- maybe I probably wouldn't have bought it had I not had this insulation, this protection from the market, then, you know, I probably wouldn't have bought it, or I may be forced to sell it. You know, I mean, that's the thing. They need to be -- people that make
investments, and, once again, I know second home investment, it's kind of a gray area, but when people make investments, they need to be able to be exposed to the market. And by allowing short term rentals, you're insulating that investor or second homeowner from the economy, essentially, is what you're doing; basis what the Village of Greenport is. Because if you talk about value, the Village of Greenport didn't necessarily have to be what it is today.

There has been many battles right here that have gone in the '80s. I mean, they were going to develop Mitchell Park. They had to change the zoning out from under, while they were under contract, on a lot of this waterfront property, the Village Board did.

So you also have to take a look at, yes, I know that we -- I've heard rhetoric from second homeowners saying, "Well, we bought the house and we contribute to the community." Having said that, what is value? Value is what we -- what our, you know, parents and people who have served this community before had created. It didn't necessarily have to turn out to be the Greenport that it is, and that's why everybody loves it.

Twenty years ago this wasn't on the top 10 best, cutest cities in the country, you know, it just -- it wasn't. Now it is, now it's beautiful. You know, I worked up the Island, it's "Oh, you live in Greenport? Oh, it's great," you know. So that's another thing. You know, yes, there are -you know, they're using -- they actually are using intrinsic value to rent their house that was built on previous -- on basis, something that was -that you couldn't -- you couldn't foresee. Couldn't foresee short term rentals, because there was no internet. But I'm pretty sure that all this, you know, of this work that has been -- that has brought the Village to where it is might -you know, the people that did that were -probably weren't doing it so that, you know, people could rent their house out for, you know, 500, 700 bucks a pop on the weekend, you know.

So, anyway, I rambled all night, but that's it.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. At this time, we'11 offer a motion to adjourn the public hearing, to keep it open. We will work on this document with
edits and changes and everything that we've gotten from the public comment, and if we propose something else, we'll talk about it at the work session. All right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. Adjourn would close it, right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, we're adjourning it. We're just -- we're keeping it open, we're not closing it. So we'll take future comments. Some people have submitted paperwork and everything else, then we'll get those comments from the Village Clerk. So we're keeping the public hearing open, basically. Just adjourning it for tonight and we're staying open.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We're not doing a resolution?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 right. Second. A11 in
favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
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Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think you just closed the public hearing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you, everybody, for your comments, your input and all.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry. I think you just closed the public hearing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I adjourned it, I didn't close it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: He adjourned it.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Maybe I'm wrong. If you vote to adjourn it, isn't it now adjourned, it's over?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, we vote to close it. I mean --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: All right. Maybe I'm wrong. I'm sorry.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We could change the term. I mean, adjourn, we're putting -- we're stopping it at this time, but we're not closing it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I understand your intention. So, hopefully --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, if somebody interprets
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that the wrong way, we will reopen it then. I'm not closing it now. I want to keep it open for additional comments. A11 right.

Okay. We'11 move to the public to address the Board. Gentlemen, you're first up, because now you could talk about any topic on the agenda, any topic with the Village. The public hearing is done on the rental law. Okay.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Thank you, I appreciate that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
MR. GAPPELBERG: So, again, Mayor Hubbard, it's a pleasure being in the Town of Greenport. We're at 117 Main Street. I have -- I guess, I don't know what you would call this. It's kind of a petition. It's signed by every single business owner in town, which -- which it's pretty shocking that you get a consensus that, you know, is so definitive, where, you know, from Claudio's to DiAngela's, to Lucharitos, to Sandpiper Ice Cream, to Agave Grill. I mean, you know, every single store owner in town has signed this document. This is last year, so it's actually a year old.

And what's come up again is basically the topic, which is that everybody that opens up a
store in these seasonal towns takes a huge amount of capital risk. I'm now putting in 12, 15 hour days. My son is now, you know, out of school and he's putting in 12, 15 hour days. So we all work really, really hard to be successful in business. And the town is phenomenal.

It's -- you know, the conversation about real estate is very interesting, that it's doing so well, because, you know, it brings more people to the Town of Greenport. It's just, you know, the town is flourishing, and we're happy to be a part of that. But it is a complex equation, being successful in a town where the season is so short.

And so when we walk around town, and I try and see what, you know, is driving traffic, and what people, their habits are when it comes to shopping, and I notice that people look at windows, but basically, you know, they're walking, and these signs that we all put out, whether it's -- you know, some people put clothing racks out, some people put hat racks out, some people put A-frame signs out, which is what we do, with pictures of our product that we're selling in the store. We're limited to our window signs being a certain, you know, amount of square footage, so
you know, we're using these signs that we put on the sidewalk, and we're very, very careful to put it out of the pedestrian traffic. All right. We're aware. We want everybody to have a positive experience that comes to the town. We want everyone to leave the town feeling like, wow, you know, great experience in Greenport. We don't want anyone to feel like, yeah, I couldn't walk the streets, it was too crowded with -- you know, it felt like a flea market, whatever. We --
nobody's on that side, right? Everybody's trying to do the right thing as business owners, because you want people to come back, right? The whole goal is to have a customer. And so if it's a bad experience, it's not good for us, it's not good for the town.

So everybody in business has decided that these signs are good for business. And when you drive around Long Island, you drive around the country, you see these signs. It's a big business, and the reason is, is that it's successful, it works. It works. It communicates to the customer, which is the person walking down the street, who's looking up at the beautiful sky, who's thinking about dinner. You know, so it's
hard to grab their attention with our little storefronts, so these signs actually do work.

People come into our shop, and we've only been open since Memorial Day, and they literally are ordering what they see in these signs. They come in saying, "I want, you know, the frozen hot chocolate, or the frozen s'mores, or the ice cream sandwich that I saw." You know, they don't know how much it is, but they saw the picture and they want it. And that is proof positive that the sign works. The person feels good about their ability to see a product that they want to buy, and identify it immediately from a picture and go in and buy it.

So this past week some enforcement person came. I don't know his name. He came around with Eileen, and said the Trustees don't want the signs. The Trustees have decided that the signs are -- I don't know. You know, the signs are not acceptable, for whatever reason. So I'm not clear on why. I've heard that it's possible that the Trustees think that it's a danger to pedestrian traffic, or an impediment, blocks traffic. I have not seen that happen. I have not heard any complaints from the pedestrians.

It's unclear to me why the Trustees would not want to support the businesses who have all -again, you know, last year the same thing came up. So it's a little unclear to me why we're revisiting something that seems to have been put to bed last year.

I invested $\$ 500$ in these -- in my signs, because I spoke to the other store owners and said, "Hey, you know, what's the deal with these A-frames that I see that you have?" And they said, "Oh, yeah, you know, you can put them out. You know, they don't enforce it." There's a code that says you're not supposed to have it, I guess it's 115-7(A), but it's not enforced. And so last year it wasn't enforced, and everybody was very happy about that.

I don't know if the code -- if it's complicated to change the code so that it doesn't exist. And I'm not sure why the Town would then, you know, or the Trustees would then come after last year, when everybody was happy, after, you know, going through, I guess, the same conversation, that there would be another round, I guess, another discussion about the same signage. So I was just wondering if it's possible to get
some clarity on whether you guys are going to allow us store owners, who are -- we're all on the same side, to put these signs out, or if you're going to ticket us, and challenge us, and enforce this code, that none of the store owners feel is in alignment with their business.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I could just -- where we were last year with this, we had asked the BID to give us input of what they wanted to do for a sign code, and they never told us. To make it uniform, you're allowed a 12-by-12 or a 24-by-24. It never came to a consensus on that. The code has been enforce -- has been in place for 15,20 years. I don't know exactly when the code went into effect.

The feeling of the Board last year was that if we have the code, if we have people that are able to do the enforcement on it, which we had a new gentleman who was just being trained on our code, that if we have the codes, we need to enforce the codes or take the code off. That's so nobody -- we did not vote new this year to revisit an old issue, or whatever. We have the manpower in place now to enforce the code, and that's it. If the BID would like to give us something
that they want to try to do as a universal type sign, that would be fine. Because some people put a two-by-two sign and somebody else has three-by-three, and then it's four-by-four. You know, there has to be some kind of regulation. If all the store owners want to have the sandwich board signs, we asked them for input of what do the store owners want, and we didn't have an answer or conclusion come back from the BID when we asked for it last spring.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Which makes a lot of sense, because every store is unique and different, and so it's not about a sign per se. Somebody has, like $I$ said, a rack of clothing out, which is basically the same thing, they have a sale sign on. Somebody has what I have, which are pictures of my product. Somebody has a hat, you know, rack. Somebody has a sign that -- you know, it's almost impossible to enforce a code on a particular type and shape and size of a sign, you know, it's hard to do that. So I think it makes sense that that didn't happen, because it's asking for something that's almost impossible, if not impossible to do.

And so I think it -- you know, I just don't
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think that's something that's plausible. I think everybody wants to come to a -- you know, the business owners would love to pay, let's say, some kind of fee to have a sign, you know, I think everybody's on board with that. There would be no problems. Nobody would be upset with something like that, if there was a permit, you know, where, you know, you just -- you know, as long as it's reasonable, right? I mean, you know, I don't know what reasonable is, it's kind of a loaded word, but as long as it's, you know, not blocking. Like maybe they bring the sign in, you know, "Could you approve this," and you guys go, "Yeah, it looks okay," you know, some -- I don't know. But the business owners are concerned.

I'm here today because everybody's kind of talking around town, the business owners are concerned. Nobody wants -- everybody just wants to do their business. Nobody wants, right, to have a police, you know, type enforcement guy chasing, you know, us down and telling us that, you know, we're in violation of the code. We're here to conduct business, be successful, help the town to be a destination that it already is. And you know, we're all on the same side, we're just
looking for a solution that's plausible, so something that works.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Respectfully, if I may.
MR. GAPPELBERG: Sure.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think the Mayor just offered you a compromise. And so go back -- and Trustee Robins here is liaison to BID. I think he's doing a pretty -- pretty solid thing here. He's saying, "Tell you what, go back to your folks, give us parameters for the signs, and we may go and have a public hearing and change the code for you." So I think he's offering you to work --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
MR. GAPPELBERG: No. I love the concept, but could you just answer what you would do if -how does that fit in with someone who has a rack, right? That's not a sign, but it may not --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It may not, but that's why this is a discussion, we're trying to find a compromise. So --

MR. GAPPELBERG: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. I am the liaison to the BID, and we had this discussion last year, and the BID did not come to a consensus to bring any
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kind of an opinion to the Board, and we have had this discussion.

I think one of the things that is going on is that there is -- sidewalk space is a premium in the Village. We have weekends where people literally can't get down sidewalks. And one of the things I'm concerned about is that there is a minimum amount of passable sidewalk in front of businesses. You know, I think that's what sidewalk encumbrance code is all about, is making sure that people can walk on the sidewalk. And I have seen this many times downtown, is when they can't, people will actually go out into the street, and I'm concerned about public safety.

So I have -- we have had that discussion with the BID, we can have it again. I certainly will bring it to the meeting this next month, when we -- when we get together. And, you know, if you'd like to write a letter to us, I'll make sure that it gets to the BID at their meeting.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Sure, sure. Has --
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'd be happy to do that.
MR. GAPPELBERG: I have a question. Has there -- you know, these signs have been out for, you know, many years, and, you know, like I said,
they're used nationwide. Have you heard of any instance ever where somebody was injured or -- I understand the public safety, that people do walk in the street occasionally when they can't, but have you heard of an incidence where somebody's actually been struck by a car, injured in any way because of these signs?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, I don't have any documentation or research on that, per se. I'm looking at Greenport right now and the amount of sidewalk space we have, and it varies at different parts in the Village.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Sure.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, there are certainly sections that have much wider sidewalks. The area where your store is, I believe the sidewalk gets very narrow there at places.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: And when there are things out on the sidewalk, I've observed, maybe there's 20 inches of sidewalk for people to walk on.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Right.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: So, you know, I mean, we have to take that into consideration. I'm sure that's what the Code Enforcement Officer was
looking at at the time. But please --
MR. GAPPELBERG: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: You know, I will bring your concerns to the next BID meeting for sure.

MR. GAPPELBERG: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay?
MR. GAPPELBERG: Thank you very much for
your time.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you.
MR. GAPPELBERG: Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.
MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street. I just want to tag onto what he was just saying. Unfortunately, his signs are extremely large. And about two weeks ago, I went out for a walk. It wasn't a busy evening. I literally had to go out into the road, because I could not walk on the sidewalk. And he has not one, but two large sandwich boards.

I understand. I was here through all of this with the Board deciding about sandwich boards. And when we got our TCO last year, he went around to all the businesses. I realize this gentleman is new this year, and I'm sure that they went to the business to say this is what the code
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is. You know, you're not supposed to have it, it's the code.

So I don't feel he, you know -- but I just want to say as someone that has walked that area, and Julia is right, it gets very narrow. And I 1iterally had to go into the road after I had walked down by the water and came back, because, like I said, there's not one, there's two, and then the next one had a sign. So in that area, yes, those sandwich boards are extremely hazardous. The code -- the rack is up against the building, it doesn't impede the sidewalk, so there are certain areas where they aren't, but it's in the code.

We have Code Enforcement and they're doing their job by enforcing and letting people know this is what a new business is. This is in the code. We're just letting you know so you can correct it. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay.
MS. RYAN: Can I talk about anything?
MAYOR HUBBARD: Go ahead.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, you could talk about anything, including short term rentals, Mindy.
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MS. RYAN: Okay. Mindy Ryan, 408 Front Street.

As I said before, I do own a short term rental. And, actually, I hate that term, short term rental. I consider myself a guesthouse, because I live there and these people are my guests. I greet them personally. I'm upstairs when they're downstairs, I know what's going on. So I propose that maybe we change the term.

There should also be a cap on short term rentals. And like I said, I made a decision, my husband and I made a decision to sell our house and move here so that we would be in compliance, what we thought, being owner-occupied, so we made that choice to do that. We could have done short term rentals, you know, illegally, perhaps both upstairs and downstairs. I don't know, because there's no enforcement of it. So we are trying to do the right thing.

But I think one way to put a cap on short term rentals is to eliminate short term rentals and not have a rental that's less than 29 days, with the exception of a guesthouse. And a guesthouse, the definition of a guesthouse is -there's two parts to the definition, a building
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that is separate from the main house of a property and that is used for guests, and a sma11 hote1, a private house that accepts guests. And both of those definitions indicate that there's an owner on the property or in the building.

You know, I was always concerned when we lived in Laurel and we had guests downstairs. I would ask the tenant, you know, was there any problems, "Did you hear" -- there was a full-time tenant upstairs, and, "Did you ever hear anything?" He's like, "No, never been a problem." But it was always a concern of mine.

So I think owner-occupied you call short term rentals, but I think change the term and then just eliminate short term rentals, only guest houses, like bed and breakfast. But unlike the bed and breakfast, I only have one unit to rent, I'm not renting 10 rooms. And so that's my thought on short term rentals.

Then there's the housing crisis. The reason we decided to go with short term rentals is because we don't -- we live upstairs. It's an 1880s house, it's balloon framing. You can hear a pin drop downstairs, upstairs. We don't want somebody living downstairs year-round. We look
forward to the wintertime when we have the place to ourselves. So we deal with our guests so that we can afford to keep our house. We deal with them on weekends and most of the summer, and we walk around up there like church mice so that we don't disturb them. We get up really early in the morning and we don't want to -- you know, they want to sleep in. So we're -- you know, it's not -- even though it's a legal two-family home, and that's the way we bought it, it's, you know, not how we want to live.

If we were told that we couldn't do a short term rental anymore, we would move, and we would rent the upstairs and the downstairs apartment separately, and they would cost -- they would not be affordable, because they're too big to be affordable. You know, the house next to mine is almost identical, same year, same builder, probably, and that's rented upstairs and downstairs and they're getting well over $\$ 2,000$ a month for those apartments each. So that's why we do what we do.

And then moving on to affordable, creating affordable apartments for our young people, for our seniors, whoever. I think we need to think
out of the box and think about -- take my first floor apartment as an example. It's about 970 square feet. You could take four -- I go back to the four waitresses, because that sounds better than four migrant workers, but it's still the same thing. You know, it's still four people that are not married or related. They're sharing housing. It's almost dormitory style.

You could take my apartment and create -make an incentive for people to take an apartment like mine and create a bedroom/bathroom suite on this side, a bedroom/bathroom suite on this side, and in the center is a shared kitchen, living room. And that's thinking out of the box. It's not what we have now, it's not legal now, probably, but, you know, it's taking an apartment that -- the apartments next to me are occupied by a single person downstairs and a couple upstairs, and that's it. That's three people living in that huge house.

We have so many big houses in this Village where we could safely put more people. So you have a couple living in one side, a couple living in the other side, they have a baby. All right, that's good for a year. After a year, okay, now
it's time for you to move on, because you've outgrown this space. So that's a thought on that.

The other thing is we have room to go up downtown. I spend a lot of time not just picking up trash early in the morning, but I stand in the middle of the street and I look up at these buildings. And if you are on Main Street, there are some just beautiful, beautiful buildings on that street that have been renovated and they have multiple floors. And I'm assuming there's apartments up there that are legal. You know, it just looks really nice. Then you go down Front Street and you see it even more from the back side of Front Street, where there's flat roofs and it's just -- it doesn't look that nice. It doesn't look -- it could be a lot nicer.

So if you gave incentives to building owners to -- and gave them permission to go up with an apartment, and you're changing the roof line, you're making it taller, you're putting -- you know, making it look more like Main Street does, but doing it on Front Street, and you think outside the box again, and maybe you have three apartments above a business. And the water view apartment, the rent, the sky's the limit. That's
where you're going to make your money back. But the two apartments behind are rent controlled and they have to be -- it has to be occupied by, you know, a local worker, whoever they are.

And this -- I've been told this is happening in Patchogue, where they've done a ton of this, where you have a building that has high end rental and then low income rental all in the same building, might even be in the same hallway, and you can't tell by looking at the door whether it's a high end rental or a low end rental. It all looks the same, and you don't know until you go in there what the place looks like.

So, you know, we're not going to solve this problem tonight or this year, but my suggestion is think outside the box, brainstorm. We had a session. Mark from Lucharitos held a meeting the other night at the library. A lot of people came. It's a brainstorming session, and don't, you know, say no, or laugh at, or criticize somebody's suggestion when you're brainstorming, you know, there might be an idea there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. You can
go, Bill. Then, Doug, you're after him.
MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth

Street.
I was a little confused by what Mindy said. My house is a guesthouse, so it's exempt from being capped. I mean, that's part of the problem here, don't gouge me, gouge my neighbor.

Now I know people that rent rooms out; okay? That's their choice. If the Village chooses to make a code against it or limit it, that's fine. But to say that my guesthouse would be exempt, and, you know, it just boggles the mind. It's --

MS. RYAN: It's an owner-occupied thing.
MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, it's an owner -- and most of them are. Most STRs are owner-occupied, they rent a room, they rent the second floor. I mean, it totally made no sense to me.

MS. RYAN: Well, that should be allowed, is what I'm saying. You know, it's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Let's --
MR. SWISKEY: Yeah. But, anyway, let's get back to -- well, prices aren't coming down in Greenport, no matter what anybody says. You know what, you and I both know that, George. We know what houses in our neighborhood are going for. Our eyes are falling out. We should be in the cellar making "For Sale" signs, you know what I
mean, living at the trailer park, or something. That's just not going to happen.

And the demographics of this Village changed when we created Mitchell Park and the Village took off. And it's not going backwards. It will never go backwards, I can tell you that. I mean, people come on the bus, they come on the train. You hear them talking about the Greenport experience. To me it's like wow. It's in -- it's in the New York magazines, it's in the New York papers, it's -- if you're going to make affordables and you're going to make affordable housing for your young working people that they could buy, like Cedarfield was, and like we did in the Village, but we ran out of room; okay? I guess we created about a dozen, if I remember, in the '80s or '90s.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Somewhere around there, yes.
MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, yeah. You have to get cooperation of the Town, and that's where the land is. We have no land, and you're not going to build up a second floor on the swamp on -- I mean, a third floor on the swamp on Front Street. The cost of building would be so prohibitive that what the owner would have to charge to get his return back wouldn't be affordable anyway. I mean,
you're talking about driving pilings to meet code, and steel, the whole nine yards, and it's just not going to happen. You may be able to squeeze out a few more apartments in some places, but they're going to have to put in sprinkler systems, fire escapes.

A perfect example would be the Rhumb Line. They got three stories there. They probably got empty rooms upstairs. But he'd need a sprinkler system, fire egresses. You know, it would be just expensive beyond what would be -- then be affordable for working people.

It's a sad thing to say, but those of us that are here have it made, and the others, it's going to be tough. And I don't like to say that, but that's realistic.

Anyway, now let's get to -- well, you should speak with the Town. Maybe you can come up with something, because this hearsay and this back and forth has been going on since I can remember, from the '80s and '90s. If the politicians are not going to get together and make a stand now, it's hopeless, let's stop talking about it.

I see Mr. Prokop is not here tonight. I wanted to ask him about the ferry fee. I know he
discussed it with the Board in executive session at the work session. Is there anything that the public can know about that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Actually, we did not bring that subject up, Bill. It got to be late and we forgot about it. There was no discussion about that at the work session.

MR. SWISKEY: Oh, wow. That means I got to wait another month to get an answer?

MAYOR HUBBARD: At this point, yes. He said he was going to propose something to us. We went to a bunch of other topics and legal situations and the topic never came up.

MR. SWISKEY: You know, because we could be losing a lot of money on a monthly basis on that, because I think we could charge them. I mean, I'd like to see that money in the Village's pocket, not the Ferry's.

You know, I got no love for Shelter Island. I'm Village of Greenport first. A lot of people may not like that, but that's me.

Al1 right. Now the Sandy Beach sewer, did -- any progress on that at all?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The final work was being done on the -- on the plan for it. Mr. Pallas was
close to finalizing that. We talked about that at the work session. He is not here right now. I know he was gone since the work session, he was only in on Friday, so --

MR. SWISKEY: So, basically, we're going to miss the opportunity to apply for a grant for this year.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The designer -- the preliminary talks about the grant, with the amount of houses that would be connected to it, it wasn't going to fit into the guidelines anyway.

MR. SWISKEY: So we're going to get a lot of money from -- well, we got a lot of money from -we got $\$ 750,000$ from Peconic Landing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, we did.
MR. SWISKEY: Any chance we could use a couple of hundred thousand of that to sewer the last part of the Village of Greenport that don't have sewer?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Once he finishes with the plans, then we can get a price from a contractor what it's going to take. Until we have the plans, we can't even get a contractor to get a price, so we don't what it's going to cost, that's all.

MR. SWISKEY: Not to be smart, but it's
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taking a long time to get these plans.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, they came up with a couple of different designs, and they actually -the design they were talking about was individual pumps instead of one central pump, and it seemed to be less expensive, more efficient, and a better design. So that's taken some time to finalize that to see if that's the way we're going to go with it.

MR. SWISKEY: Each house is going to have its own pump? Who's going to be responsible for the maintenance?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's part of the design of what we're working on, Bill. But when he came back with the overall plan, that seemed to be a better way than putting in a central station. He could do a smaller pipe and everything else and have a pump at each place, each house.

MR. SWISKEY: And they all would be connected to a pipe that went under the creek?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.
MR. SWISKEY: Each one would have to have a check valve. That -- I could tell you, that is not a good idea. You better look hard and fast at that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, we haven't -- like I said, we haven't finalized it. We talked about it at the work session. They were going to finalize the plans and get it back to us.

MR. SWISKEY: Because one -- one -- say down the line that pipe gets plugged, the guy up on the top end is going to be pumping his sewage back out of everybody else's ejector pump down the line. It's -- that one was -- I know a little something about this. Wow.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I'm sure they're not designing it that way, Bill. I mean, you know, we'd have to look at the plan and come up with --

MR. SWISKEY: An individual pump is what it is, yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, it is for each house, to push it down until -- yes, instead of putting a central station and a force main.

MR. SWISKEY: So -- and the owner would be responsible for his pump?

MAYOR HUBBARD: As far as I understand right now. I have not seen the finalized plans, because they're not completed yet, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: It's -- all right. Anyway, and the sandwich signs, no, don't go for the
sandwich signs. I mean, we're starting to enforce certain ordinances. The sandwich sign needs to be -- I mean, are they going to -- if these guys want a sign, are they going to give us a million dollar liability policy to basically hold the Village harmless if somebody stumbles over the sign and breaks an arm or something? I mean, you know, they're an obstruction on the sidewalk, and we all know that.

And on the STRs, I mean, it's a tough choice. Have a good day.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Doug Moore.
MR. MOORE: Doug Moore, 145 Sterling Street.
Just very briefly, since we now can say STR. The Village Code, as you are currently drafting, I think you need to think hard whether short term rentals should be treated differently and in some way regulated to limit their expansion, as opposed to longer term rentals.

And I think it's a very nice dividing 1 ine that did exist. Twenty-nine days and more is a rental long-term. The only missing part was shorter than that, there was no regulation.

We have a permissive code, which means you have to identify an item to be permitted. Things
aren't permitted just because they aren't described. So I think you need something to regulate, if you think it's important. If you decide STRs are the best thing for the Village, then just turn everything over to STRs. But I think the public is concerned that something should be done for STRs to limit them, whether it be a cap or frequency, whatever, along with any code that recognizes them and essentially sanctions them. So if you just pass this code and you don't address STRs, it's too late, you've already opened the floodgates.

The last item, Mindy Ryan had a good comment about the owner occupancy. A lot of these situations sound like a bed and breakfast, and a minor expansion of the bed and breakfast code I think could cover all of them, and that's a short term rental that $I$ think has a lot of public support, owner-occupied. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. ANTONIADIS: Hello. My name is Sofia Antoniadis and I'm from 857 Main Street in Greenport.

I didn't plan on speaking today, but $I$ would like to commend Greenport for not getting involved
with the Southold short term rental situation and the law.

My family owns several properties in New York City, and the multiple family dwelling law of the state does cover Long Island, and Greenport, and Southold, and Brooklyn, all of the state. And any dwelling that's three family or more is already governed by the State of New York, that it's a 30-day renta1. You cannot rent a three-family or more for less than 30 days. That being said, is -- that's the law in the state.

And by the -- by Greenport not getting involved with the short term rental, they're pretty much removing themselves from the liability of other lawsuits, Supreme Court lawsuits, lawsuits that Southold have been dragged into. Airbnb was restricted in the City of New York for apartments, but there is a little bit of a gray area, because if the apartment is owner-occupied, you are still able to rent it on Airbnb, thus being a boarder or taking a roommate. So having a roommate is not -- does not seem to be illegal in New York City and is not addressed by the State Code. The State does not govern one and two-family homes, those are left to the
independent owners.
My home has six bedrooms. How am I going to rent out six bedrooms? No one can afford it. Anyone that will rent it is going to get boarders, because that's what the market is calling for, you know, whether they're waitresses or workers. And it's probably best for the towns -- for the Town Attorney to make sure that there is no short term rental code just yet and wait for the State to make some type of law, because you'11 just get dragged into something.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to the address the Board?

MS. DE CRUZ: Hi. Margaret de Cruz, 25 Washington Avenue.

I like the idea of allowing people who have a large home to divide their home into another apartment, because it's true. I mean, even with people who have -- like they have their own home and then they have another home that they rent out, but they're big apartments, similar to what Wendy was saying. I just think it's a good idea that we should consider something like that.

I think $I$ would love to see a brainstorming session, not just in Lucharitos. Maybe you guys
could call one, because we really have to figure out how to help people. I mean, this town will become just only for expensive -- for rich people, which we don't want. You know, we see that all over the country, and we don't want to see highrises.

So somehow, if there are a way for us to have people have their homes and be able to have -- maybe divide them a little bit into an apartment or something, because yeah. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Mayor, I had someone send me an email that wasn't able to make it tonight.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: At some point, I'd like to read it, but $I$ was going to wait until everybody had finished.

MS. ALLEN: No, I can wait.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Oh, no, go ahead, Chatty.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Go ahead, Chatty.
MAYOR HUBBARD: And then she' 11 read that at the end.
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MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen again. I agree with this about putting -- going up on Front Street and further on Main Street structurally is a bad idea, and it is going to cost a lot. Yes, it's going to be beautiful views, but people that are living here and are looking for places here, they're not going to be able to afford the rents there.

I don't feel we need to be going up, you know. I mean, I know some of the buildings are preexisting where there are areas where they could renovate, that's one thing. I don't feel we should start going up higher in an area that's really not made to have larger buildings in them.

Short term rentals, I am all for owner-occupied, you know. I don't know necessarily putting a cap on saying, you know, because basically they do it through the, you know, summer months. Some do, you know, like in the fall or in the spring, you know, they will rent out then. What I have seen with short term rentals are ones that are not owner-occupied that become free-for-alls and they're unsafe. There are way too many people in there. There's no one governing anything. And I feel that if
something's being rented out short term, that's a business more so than something year-round, and there needs to be regulations on it. A regular apartment or a home that's rented out has regulations.

B\&Bs, they get put through so much that they have to conform with so many regulations. I mean, $I$ was at meeting after meeting with one $B \& B$ owner who was trying to expand and had all the space for everything and it took a long time. Someone like that has to go through so much, yet someone that has a second home and they want to keep it for extra income, so they're renting them out, you know, for exorbitant prices, but there's no oversight to them.

You know, there was one, I won't say where, but I could hear the commotion on the weekends, the traffic back and forth, because, like I said, there's way too many people in these places. No one knows. You know, they rent out, they're advertising, "Oh, yeah, we have this home, you know, it sleeps 25," when the CO maybe is 10,12 max. You know, they're doubling, because people are -- you know, they're all coming out to party for a long weekend.
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I don't begrudge anyone making, you know, extra income, but, you know, maybe start with the owner-occupied short term. That will cut down on a lot of these second income homes that maybe then they'11 say, "Oh, if I could only rent it a couple of times a year, well, all right, maybe I will get year-round rental in there." It's one way to think about that, you know.

I know in this room tonight there are people who are owner-occupied that do short term rentals. Their places, they do everything by the book. Their places are amazing. There's one that my brother and sister-in-law continue to come back to when they come up here to visit. Beautiful place, well maintained, everything is by the book. But short term rentals need to be in this agreement, because it is a rental, and I think that's the starting point right there. And then you can decide what the time frame is. But if you just first put in short term rentals need to be owner-occupied while you work on the rest, so it doesn't take, you know, another cycle to control what's going on.

I don't feel that it's fair to our B\&Bs who have all the regulation on them, and people that
are doing it short term willy-nilly, they have no regulation at all. A fire breaks out in one of these, our guys don't know what they're going into. They don't know how many people are there.

You know, that scares me.
There was one -- oh, the dead horse again. This morning, I was nearly hit in my bus coming up to the corner by Fourth Street due to ferry traffic. Not one, but two cars turned from Third heading west on Wiggins. So they're coming the opposite direction. One van literally came as close as Doug to my bus. There was nowhere for me to go, because the traffic, the lane is on the other side.

I know lately it has not been an everyday occurrence, and, thank you, tomorrow is my last day until September to have to drive that route in the bus and I can avoid it for a while, but this is really unsafe. I have mentioned it numerous times. Someone needs to be controlling not just Third Street. I could barely -- I had to keep blowing the bus horn, because I knew that was the only way I could go from Sixth Street onto Wiggins, because I had cars at all the intersections all trying to merge onto Wiggins. I

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
couldn't go anywhere but make my right on Wiggins.
We need someone basically follow the traffic when it gets that bad. This is really dangerous. I had someone say to me earlier, between Fourth and Third, "How did you get your bus through there?" I literally had inches on either side of the bus trying to get through. And I said, "Well, you get used to it." I just don't want to see something happen, and I'm, like I said, beating a dead horse sometimes, that I come up almost every month and speak about this. I'm really afraid there's going to be a major accident somewhere along that route when it starts to get that bad. But, and again, with the sandwich signs, I personally feel that gentleman should have done a little research before he came up and told you to change the code (laughter). But that's why I had to back that up and say his particular signs are a hazard. So thank you very much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You want me to read the
letter or --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: He deferred to you.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, did he?
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's nice of you, John. Thank you very much.

This is a correspondence from Dan and Tina Finne.

To Mayor Hubbard and Village Board Members, We wanted to take time to express some of our feelings about short term rentals. A long time Village -- we are long time Village residents and also owners of a rental property. We have seen the many changes in Greenport. The housing issues we speak of are not new ones, they are age old. We have never taken for granted being able to live here, Maybe because we didn't expect someone else to make it possible, nor did we raise our five children with that expectation. We, like most who live here, have worked long and hard to get where we are. At times it was a struggle. We have gone where the work was, whether it was Connecticut, Baldwin and in the Southside, to make a living.

The proposal of limiting our rights as property owners is unjust. Limiting our freedom to make financial decisions according to our needs, business or otherwise, is wrong. In our
opinion, it is not the Board's job to pick winners and 7osers.

As residents, we put up with all the inconveniences, traffic, crowding, parking, littering, and noise. Those, too, are age old, have only gotten worse with Greenport's popularity, mostly to the benefit of the downtown business district. We have had many more -excuse me. We have -- we have how many more proposed restaurants? Should we start limiting them, too? The argument being, if people can't live here, they won't come back here to work does not hold water. People go where the work is. Many of us have done it and have -- and know plenty still do it.

As a community, we have been a welcoming one, always. Diversity is not an issue in the Village, whether it is income or race. The division has come, with "you against us" mentality, which we don't agree. We've seen it in the Village where people were demoralized for protecting their neighborhood and property values, and it's happening again. Standing up for our rights is just that, it's not against anyone else. When studying this issue and listening to
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all concerned voices, we might want to take a closer look at who actually lives in the Village of Greenport. We've heard a lot of these voices and they are not Village residents.

Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Dan and Tina Finne.

I just want to enter that in the record.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Phillips, do you know, is she writing in her capacity as Chair of the Housing Commission -- Housing Authority Board, or is she writing personally?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, she's -- she and her husband are writing -- are writing as residents of the Village of Greenport.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Thanks.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Who was that? I couldn't
hear. Who wrote that letter?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Dan and Tina Finne.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: The Finnes, okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh, okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: A11 right. Thank you.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I misheard that.
MR. SWISKEY: John wanted to speak.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Go ahead, John.
MR. SALADINO: Billy said it was okay.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, Billy said it was okay? Al1 right. Well, then it must be.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.
Before I mention the short term rentals, I would just like to clear up a few of the things that I heard here today.

Nobody is enjoined. I mean, the concept, the outside-the-box concept where the four unrelated waitresses can share an apartment, that's permitted under our code, that's allowed. So that becomes the responsibility of the landlord if they want to permit that. So if you have a vacant -- if somebody has a vacant apartment and they want to rent to four unrelated waitresses, they're allowed to do that under our rental permit code, the way it is now describing.

As far as second floors on Front Street, building owners are allowed to do that now, somebody wants to build a second floor. As the Gusmar Building that's for sale for a gazillion dollars, if the people that buy it want to put a second floor up there -- Jimmy 0. is gone. He could have gave us the price for fire suppression. Spend 70, $\$ 80,000$ for fire suppression, $\$ 600,000$
for construction, add that to the price of the building, and then rent those apartments for what people in this room might consider as affordable.

Affordable under Federal guidelines is $30 \%$ of your monthly income. For somebody that's making $\$ 6,000$ a month, it's $\$ 1800$ a month. I'm not sure how many people that are living in their mom's basement or in their attics that are working in the service industry, or in the food service, or retail are making 72 or $\$ 75,000$ a year that would enable them to afford what's considered affordable.

So, as far as the business owners, my opinion is second floors downtown, first of all, is not going to solve the housing crisis. We don't know how many apartments. It exacerbates the parking problems. And, also, it's an enormous investment. That's already available to the building owners now if they want to do it. So if the building owners want to do it, they apply for a building permit and they do it, as long as it conforms with the code.

I think, again, solving that particular housing problem, you're not -- it's not going to be addressed in the downtown area. Everybody on
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this Board knows about the annexation. You know, I think it was 2013 we withdrew from the -- 2013 we withdrew from the lawsuit. We had a private builder that wanted to build 127 units; 60 of them were going to be workforce housing. Whatever the reason, the Town, there was pushback from the Town. The Village disputed its own Environmental Assessment Form. The DEC said it was fine, the Village disputed it. We had -- we had -- there was sewer available, so density wasn't a problem. There was pushback from the Town. I guess they didn't want to annex it, give up the tax. That's something that perhaps we should be at a Town Board meeting asking.

We chose to withdraw from the lawsuit, which I thought was kind of crazy. It was like being at a crap table with somebody else's money. You know, win, lose or draw, we didn't lose any money, somebody else was funding the lawsuit. So I just didn't understand why we withdrew from the lawsuit. And, you know, the assumption was that perhaps we were going to lose, but that doesn't give you the -- you don't lose the right to appeal. So you don't know down the road if the next Judge, Administrative Law Judge or whoever,
decided that was a fact. So I didn't understand that.

And the worst part, and the worst part of the entire thing is, and you guys were here, two of you's were Legislators at the time, we had to pay back the legal fees as the result of a lawsuit from the developer that was funding the lawsuit. So not only we didn't get the project, we withdrew from it. It actually cost us money because we had to pay him his legal fees.

Anyway, about the short term rentals, I think owner-occupied short term rental -- I've been talking about short term rentals for years. You know, I've been telling you guys all we had to do was read the Suffolk Times five years ago, there was 25 rentals, 25 apartments for rent, five houses for sharing, two or three houses to rent. Now there is none because of short term rentals.

There's a little bit of hypocrisy that I'm hearing from the last short term rental hearing and this. This is not a hearing, but this discussion tonight. At the last one we heard a lot of business owners sing the praise of Airbnb and short term rental. How are we going to fill 500 restaurant seats if we don't have short term
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rentals? How are we going to do it? They contribute. We heard people here that were investors. And when I talk about short term rentals, I'm not talking about owner-occupied. I think the guy that lives in his house and he chooses to rent his upstairs or downstairs, or whatever he has to rent that doesn't violate the code, I think that should be his -- absolutely should be his right. If somebody wants to rent a bedroom in their house to somebody from Airbnb, I thing that's crazy, but that should be their right. I mean, how do you invite a stranger into like a spare bedroom?
(Laughter)
You know, but that should be their right. What I'm talking about here now is the guy that stood at this podium and had eight short term rental properties in Greenport. That's not subsidizing your income, that's a business, that's a business. And if you're taking eight available apartments off the market, that's eight available apartments that a long-term renter can't be made available to them.

So the discussion should be about -- in my opinion, the discussion should be about short term
rentals that aren't owner-occupied. It should be about investors that came here to cash in on a particular dynamic, see an opportunity and cash in on that opportunity.

You have short term -- the other thing is enforcement. You guys have to get serious about enforcement. You have short term rental properties, you have Airbnbs in the Waterfront Commercial. There's not even a residential component in the Waterfront Commercial. You're not even allowed to have residential in the Waterfront Commercial, but yet you have short term rentals. How does that even happen?

In the Commercial Retail, there's a big discussion now about the Commercial Retail District among some people that really don't know what's happening that choose to -- but, anyway, residential over commercial in a Commercial Retail District is restricted to long term rentals. That's not enforced, that's not even close to being enforced.

So if we're going to get serious about it, we got to get serious about what's in effect now. I mean, you guys are negotiating for the unborn, and we have a crisis in front of us now that you
refuse to address. So you got short term rental properties in the Commercial Retail, you've got short term properties -- short term rental properties in the Waterfront Commercial.

I hear, not belonging to Facebook, but people know it -- people know that I'm interested and they send me stuff just so I get excited and my blood pressure gets up.
(Laughter)
It's been suggested that by limiting some of this stuff, and creative thinking and stuff, we can bring down the price of housing in Greenport. I kind of resent that. I think that's -- you're trying to devalue the price of my home so someone may or may not be able to afford the price of that home or the home next door from me five or six, or next year, or two, three years from now. I don't think you -- I don't think you guys have that right. And to suggest that -- most people in this room, their homes are their biggest investment. If you propose to somebody that, "Yeah, invest this money in your home and you'11 never see a profit from it," "Buy this stock, it's \$10, but for the life of that stock and your life it's going to stay $\$ 10, "$ who would buy it? Who would
buy it? How do I move up? How do I buy that million dollar house on Sixth Street if I can't sell my -- if I can't buy my house for what it's worth and then do a little bit of an investment, and then hope that the property values go up, and then realize a little profit from that and be able to move up? That's how I got my house to begin with. That's how all of us got their houses, whether we're willing to admit it or not.

You know, I'm sorry that Jimmy 0. is not here anymore. He has no problem finding houses in Greenport for $\$ 300,000$. He has zero problem finding a house in Greenport for 250 to $\$ 300,000$. Doesn't have three bathrooms, it doesn't have granite countertops, it doesn't -- you know, it doesn't have a swimming pool in the backyard. But let's face it, is somebody that doesn't have a lot of money entitled to all that stuff? Are you really entitled to all that stuff if you can't afford it? You buy a modest house, you fix it, you put some -- Habitat for Humanity, you put in sweat equity, you build up the value of your house, you sell it, and you move on from there. You buy the better house, you buy the better house. We've all done it. We've all done it.

It's not -- so to suggest that we're going to do this or we're going to do certain things to reduce or try to curtail the rising prices in Greenport I find offensive. I find that -- I find that offensive. And like the guy that was opposed to the PSE\&G project, I'm going to lay town in front of the bulldozer before I let you guys do that.

## (Laughter)

So, I mean, I'm on a -- I laugh when I say it, but it's the truth. I'm on a fixed income and my house is, you know, a big part of what's going to carry me through for the next 45 years.
(Laughter)
So I have a few other things to say, but my throat hurts. Thank you. Thanks for listening.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.
MR. COOPER: I just wanted to say one more thing. Mike Cooper, Clark street.

Again, I'm kind of hearing a little bit of rhetoric about entitlement, and how, you know, people don't -- you know, we heard the letter from Mr. and Mrs. Finne. Part of what I'm -- what I had brought up is that, you know, we don't want -we don't want anyone's house to, you know,
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artificially lose value, right? The market's been going up, but markets go up and down. We also don't want anybody to move their -- lose their primary residence. I think if -- I agree, if you want to rent out a room and you're owner-occupied primary residence, okay. But that's not the issue here. The issue is, is that there's how many title transfers in Greenport per year? You know, talking about single family residences or -- you know, what, around 40 ?

So we're not talking about a huge amount of added interest that a second homeowner that normally wouldn't be buying a second home or wouldn't be able to float that second home. We're not talking about a huge amount of units here. We're talking about a couple of units, you know, anywhere from three to five. That's going to be, you know, $10 \%$ of the market, that's going to be, you know, 7\% of the market. That's going to have an impact on the price of your home, yes.

So you may -- you know, you may have seen this bump, right, which is -- which is fine, but if you look at the slope, it's not a gradual slope and it does go up and down. And then you have this curve that goes up and down over time, it
goes like this, right (indicating)? So what I'm saying is it's artificially -- the price of your home is artificially bolstered by short term rentals. It's because there's less -- we heard from two people today that said, you know, "My apartment is too big to rent." I have a hard time grasping that. Is it because that per square footage you are required by -- you know, to rent it out somehow? No. It's because what you're saying is, is nobody's going to pay $\$ 4,000$ a month to rent my house, because the market won't bear that. The rental market, nobody's going to do that, because they'd just buy a house. That's the rub right there. The market will not bear someone paying \$6,000 for a rental, because no one's going to pay that for a year-round rental. You can maybe get a nice summer rental, but then you go back to -- you're at the mercy of Wall Street, you're at the mercy of people with -- wealthy people with money.

So it's not really -- it's not about
entitlement, and it's not necessarily about, well, I worked for what I have, and, you know, this, that and the other thing. I mean, listen, just because you own a house doesn't necessarily mean
that the government can't tell you what to do.
I have a copy here. This is a second home rider that every single second homeowner that has a Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac loan mortgage back from signs, and it says, "The borrower shal1 occupy and shall on1y use the property as borrower's second home. The borrower shall keep the property available for the borrower's exclusive use and enjoyment at all times and shall not subject the property to any time sharing or other shared ownership arrangement, or to any other rental pool agreement that requires the borrower either to rent the property or to give management firm or any other person control over occupancy of that" -- "of said property." Okay. This is - this is a -- this is a rider that people sign when they buy a second home, and it says you can't rent it out, right? Now I'm not saying -- you know, everybody says, well, these are guys are all cash, you know, they got mounds and mounds of money. That may be -that may be it, but guess what, you may be committing mortgage fraud if you own a second home and you're renting it out. Now is that -- is that -- you know, is that the same person saying,
"Well, it's my house, I can do whatever I want"? We11, no, you can't, actually. Of course, there's no way to -- there's no way to figure that out. We're not going to run a title search on every property. You know, we're not going to get loan documents on every property. But it's not just cut and dry as to what -- you know, what's legal and what -- you know, just because it's your house, you may not be operating exactly in the confines of the law.

So when people say, "Don't tamper with, you know, my property rights," well, not everybody has the same property rights, depending upon what lien the government has afforded you because of these government backed loan programs. That's the reason why you can get $2 \%$. That's the reason why you don't pay $10 \%$ at a private bank. It's a -- is a -- you know, so you can't just categorically say, "I own the house, the government can't tell me what to do." You know, that's not true, you know, in every case.

So I just wanted to clarify that, you know, it's not about entitlement, it's not -- no one -you know, no one here is saying that, you know, we want all the houses to be $\$ 130,000$ anymore. It's
just, you know, let the -- let the normal market forces bear its weight on second homeowners and investors, because those are the first ones to go. That's why investment homes, that's why they pay a larger interest rate than first homes and second homes. It's because it's a -- it's a more risky investment and it's a more risky loan, that's why they pay more. So that's -- those are the first ones to go, those are the first ones they walk away from, those are the first ones that go into foreclosure.

And, like I said, no one wants -- I don't want to see anybody out on the street because their house goes into foreclosure. But if a couple of investors have to high tail and run, and it puts more -- you know, a couple of more units on the total supply, it doesn't take 10 -- it doesn't even take 10, it just takes a sma11 amount, $5 \%$, 10\%. We're talking about four units here, we're not talking about hundreds.

You know, in regards to this situation that Manhattan has and what they're -- what they're using to -- you know, they're addressing that. It's just because they have high-rise buildings. That's their -- that's their issue. We don't have
high-rise buildings, that's not our issue. We don't have that issue. The reason why it's a multi -- the reason why it's on1y -- it only applies to multi-families in New York City and some of these other larger cities is because they don't have the issue of the single family homes. That's not -- that's not their issue. We have a different issue. You can't compare it.

Anyway, that's all I want to say.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SWISKEY: George, I got a quick
question.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street. Mr. Saladino made we aware of something that. How much did it cost us to -- how much did we have to pay back to Kontokostas?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't remember us having to pay back anything, but I don't have answer to that right now.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Me either.
MR. SWISKEY: Did we pay them back or didn't we?
CLERK PIRILLO: Not that I remember. I have to check.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't remember anything
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of that.
CLERK PIRILLO: I have to check.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We have to go back and look. I don't remember anything.

MR. SWISKEY: Because I said at the time it was a risk. But is there any way you can confirm tomorrow, Sylvia, whether we paid them?

MS. PIRILLO: Not tomorrow, but Monday or Tuesday.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. Because I'd like to know, I mean, because it scared me a little bit at the time when we discontinued the lawsuit. But if we didn't pay it back, that's fine. But --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. SWISKEY: -- John's usually pretty accurate.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't remember seeing it on the abstract, but --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody else wish to address the Board? Okay.

MR. TASKER: Good evening again. Arthur Tasker, 17 Beach Street in Greenport.

First of all, I want to thank Mr. Swiskey for bringing up the question of the Sandy Beach sewer. It saves me a lot of time, because that's
a project that's very important to me.
There was one point that was made as to whether or not, if they were individual force pumps, who would be responsible for their maintenance. And I believe the answer was, "Well, that depends on what the plan says." No, it doesn't. That depends on what the Village's policy is going to be regarding who is responsible for the maintenance of individual force pumps. It's not an engineering question that needs to be decided.

Let me come back to the -- just briefly to the issue that $I$ raised earlier, is what is this Village, what is this Board going to do about affordable housing? We talk about things like, well, the ZBA has just tried to kill housing in the downtown area, a falsehood. We talk about the fact that everybody's being encouraged from outside to buy houses because of the market to run Airbnbs. The gentleman here pointed out the legality of many of those, that coupled with the liar loans that an awful lot of people use to purchase second homes. It created -- it's created all kinds of problems.

I'd like to address particularly a comment
that was -- appeared in a posting on Trustee Roberts' website, I guess his Facebook page today. He says, "The Zoning Board of Appeals requested of themselves an opinion on multi-family housing, and they don't have the authority to do that under New York Law or our code is beside the point." Well, I'm afraid Mr. Roberts has often said, when he talks about things like this, "I'm not a lawyer, but," "I'm not an engineer, but," "I'm not an environmentalist, but." It doesn't stop him from speaking with great authority about matters about which he apparently has no knowledge, by his own admission.

Specifically, the powers and duties of the Zoning Board of Appeals are as follows:

The Board of Appeals shall have all the powers and duties prescribed by the State, Village Law, and also by this chapter.

A, Interpretations: On request by any official, board or agency of the village to decide any of the following questions:

One, determination of the meaning of any portion of the text of this chapter, or of any condition or requirement specified or made under the provision in this chapter.
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That's the authority by which the Zoning Board of Appeals can entertain, can propose and address a question of interpretation. What happened, however, was since the -- I gather that the broadcast of the ZBA meeting was not successful, because somehow the sound didn't get out. One begins to be a little bit paranoid about some of -- when some of these things occur. But because people couldn't listen to the meeting, they don't really know what happened. And they should read the transcripts, which will appear.

But what happened was that the Building Department back in February or so requested of the Zoning Board of Appeals for an interpretation of a section of the code and a description of where multi-family housing -- where that affects where multi-family housing can be accomplished in the Village. That was a question on which a public hearing was held for two days to -- rather, two separate days on two separate months. And then, because it looked like they weren't going to get -- someone was not going to like the answer they were going to get, the Village Administrator tried to pull the question back from the ZBA. Because there was confusion over who had made the
request and who was withdrawing the request, we felt that the thing to do was to take up the question on our own, using as the basis for our determination the hearings, the public hearings that had already been held and the deliberations that we had.

The punch line is this: There was no interpretation of other of those -- either of those, because unless there is ambiguity, there is no need for interpretation. And in neither of the sections that were considered was there ambiguity. Thus, the determination that 150-18, which is a set of standards for constructing buildings, sizes, heights, areas, that sort of thing, as -says nothing whatsoever about where, in what zoning districts it is applicable.

So the other half of the question that was asked of us, that we picked up as our own question, was where does multiple -- I think it was to the effect where is multiple dwelling permitted in the Village of Greenport, in what districts, and the answer to that was, again, it requires no interpretation.

The Zoning Code of the Village of Greenport is a permissive code. Mr. -- the Chairman, former

Chairman of the ZBA, who's not here, Mr. Moore said that. It is a permissive code. That means unless the code says it's permitted, it's not permitted. It's not a question of saying, "Well, the code didn't say anything about it, so I can do it."

So you would go down zoning district by zoning district, R-1, R-2, etcetera, etcetera, and look for where multi-family housing is a permitted use, and it is not permitted in any zoning district in the Village of Greenport. It is conditionally permitted in the R-2 District to convert an existing building into a multi-family dwelling following the structural and technical requirements of 150-18. That gives 150-18 a home, by the way. It doesn't exist out there in the ether.

So the suggestion that was made, that the opponents of reasonably priced rental housing do not care that people can't find an apartment for under $\$ 2,000$ a month, and three of them are on our ZBA, frankly, that's borderline defamation to make -- to make a suggestion like that. I don't think there's anyone, myself included, and I stated earlier, who has any, any whatever objection to reasonably priced housing, but you're
not going to get it by building out retail -rental over retail in the downtown area, and because all you're doing is adding to the supply of Airbnb when you do that. So I go back to my question. What is --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Tasker, excuse me.
Can I ask a clarification on what you just said?
MR. TASKER: Go ahead.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In your decision process of the ZBA, in what -- I did listen to the tape. It was made available to all of the Trustees here.

CLERK PIRILLO: It's also available online.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me just --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Today.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me just --
MR. TASKER: Today.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Today, today.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right. Another job, so --
MR. TASKER: Not during the meeting.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, not during the meeting, today.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I will listen to it.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just want a clarification, because I just heard something that concerns me. Your decision was not based on your
feeling or your opinion of short term basis -- I mean, short term rentals in the downtown district.

MR. TASKER: It had nothing do with that.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I -- because what I heard you say, just caught my attention, okay, so your decision was based on the facts that were presented to you within the code, correct?

MR. TASKER: Of course.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just -- I just --
MR. TASKER: As I had said.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MR. TASKER: We addressed only 150-18 and the districts in which it might apply.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Then I misunderstood your last couple of comments.

MR. TASKER: A number -- a number of the speakers who objected to the fact that we were considering these issues at all were very much interested in rental over retail. That seemed to be a major theme. And it struck us that as soon as it looked like the answer that we gave, which was that multi-family housing is not permitted in any district, that, I think, sent them running to Village Hall. The next thing you know, we've got proposed ordinance changes that are going to
affect these, which are being kept secret to this point. So we really don't know what's going on. But it looks to me, if I were a betting man, that they're going to open the floodgate, the floodgates will be open on retail over -- rather, rental over retail, and that has nothing to do with what we decided.

The Village Board, of course, is free to change the code any time it wants, even if it doesn't make sense, but that's not going to solve the affordable housing problem. So I'll ask of you again, maybe you can give me an answer, I wonder, what's this Village Board going to do about providing affordable housing in the Village of Greenport?

MAYOR HUBBARD: At this point, there is no problem. We have no vacant land that we're planning on affording -- building affordable housing. We tried that in the past with the spots we had, and that's all that we could do.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So there's so much we could do.

MR. TASKER: So -- well, so you've thrown up your hands.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No, I'm not.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I'm not. I'm not doing that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't think that we're throwing up our hands.

MR. TASKER: I don't disagree with you, Mr. Mayor. I don't disagree with what you said.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, okay.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which is why you voted the way you voted.

MR. TASKER: You effectively -- you've thrown up your hands, because you're not going to revise -- you're not going to change the law of economics. One of the speakers, I'm sorry, I missed your name, mentioned something that really struck me as surprising, was rent control. Are you kidding me? That's -- this is not New York City. So --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: May I respond to your question?

MR. TASKER: Please.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. So let's start talking about things we could do. There are a lot of people in this Village who own homes now who may not otherwise have been able to, because we
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got community development block grants. We got them in droves during the Kapell administration. And a lot of people are now living in homes and they're going to age in place because of that.

We have no grant writer in this Village. We have nobody who is pursuing community development block grant money. So that's one thing we could do. There's several other things we can do.

You've accused me of speaking about things about which I'm not an expert. Are you an Urban Planner?

MR. TASKER: No.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Are you an expert in housing?

MR. TASKER: No, I'm not.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. You just said that we can't solve the housing problem by putting rental over -- or rentals over retail.

MR. TASKER: Just in sheer numbers. Just in sheer numbers.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So, again --
MR. TASKER: And the fact that --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Neither of us are experts, so we're both conjecturers, right?

MR. TASKER: The fact that they're going to
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be at market rate says they aren't going to be affordable.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We've got some problems, I'm going to give you that. But what we do not have is real -- we only have what RPA did in data about our rental market. There's a copy over there. I've posted it about 4,000 times on my thing. So this is the only data we have. And this was done very far away from here, not by people who care about us, but people who are trying to help solve a regional issue.

So one thing we could do is get some community development money to do a housing study about our Village and figure out what we can and can't do. Because, otherwise, it's a bunch of nonexperts trying to solve a problem, or at some -- and I would, you know, say -- I would characterize you as saying, you know, maybe we can't solve the problem, but I don't think that way. I'm a half glass full person, I'd like to see us try to solve it.

MR. TASKER: Well, I'd like to see some evidence that there's a possibility of that. Thank you. MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: George, can I just say one thing?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Make it brief, Bill. It's your third time. Just --

MR. SWISKEY: I realize that. William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.

The last time we got community block grants is your -- I believe your father was Mayor.
That's when we were building affordables. We were doing all the -- we didn't get -- we built in the '90s, because we had to, because we had the three lots and had the money. I think we built three affordable houses. But after that nothing came. It was -- the grants were more for the downtown area and things. No, we -- not since really the '80s have we gotten any of that money, in other words, so I just wanted to correct that record.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Some of those houses were on Second Street that have recently been sold and all.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, yes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Where the old greenhouse was.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: We tore down derelict
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buildings and they put new buildings up --
MR. SWISKEY: Your father was --
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- for people to live in. But don't have any places like that now --

MR. SWISKEY: We have no land left, that's our problem.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that we could do a bunch, that's all.

MR. SWISKEY: We haven't done --
MAYOR HUBBARD: We would love to have -- I would love to have a solution. I wish we had a Cedarfields we could build in the Village, or something like that.

MR. SWISKEY: But we don't have the 1and. We don't --

MAYOR HUBBARD: But we don't have land for
it right now, so.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We were offered the opportunity to buy a house and the Board turned it down.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I just want to make one comment, too. You know, I bring a perspective as a realtor, and I know what's going on in the real estate market. But, you know, I will -- as the Mayor said, you know, Greenport is built out.
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We're one square mile. I did the calculations. Southold Town is 535 square miles.

I agree with Bill, I think we have to look to Southold for solutions. I don't think that they've investigated everything by any means. There are new wastewater treatment systems available where they are going to be able to build, you know, multi-unit complexes, which would provide housing for, you know, younger people. And their accessory apartment law is prohibitively expensive right now.

I know of a number of stories of people trying to build apartments in their existing homes where the cost of that build-out prohibits them from proceeding. The regulations are strict. I would really, you know, like to see us partner on a much more intense level to lobby the Town to step up on this. And, unfortunately, I mean, my son grew up here, he went to school here, he went to college, he left, and he can't afford to come back. And he knows it, he's a realist; okay?

I don't own a home here, I'm a tenant. I have the same housing insecurity that a lot of people have; okay? So, you know, I wish there were solutions. I absolutely feel for the young
people that want to be here, but, you know, outside the box I'm afraid also means outside the Village, and I hope that that's the direction we can head in. Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So then I think we're on the same page about something. Let's move to send the Town Board a letter and ask them for a meeting for -- to discuss this. I've suggested this to you all many times, let's go talk to the Town Board, you know, let's do a resolution, let's go, let's go interact with them, and it gets shot down.

So, and some of you have said, "You know, I've got a good relationship with the Supervisor," blah, blah, blah, so let's see it. Let's go talk to him, because I would love to partner with them. And I'd like to do it without having to go -- the annexation thing I think was -- I've gotten a sense from the Town folks, the Town Government that they were not happy about that. So if you say that word, people freak out, and I don't want anybody to freak out, I want to have a productive discussion.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. WINKLER: One more, I'll be quick.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. WINKLER: John Winkler, Fifth Street. We11, I guess it's like the baseball, you know, you build something and they'11 come. We11, that's what happened, and people are coming to this Village as tourists, seeing how great this place is to live; okay? Before it was affordable, more affordable than anyplace else on the Island. We have great -- a ton of utilities, and people are taking advantage of that, okay, second homes.

This short term rental was not created by the people that are doing it. There was a market for it. And some people stepped in and said, "Hey, this is an opportunity to make some money." So we spent a lot of money to get the tourists income here and they came and this is the unintended consequences of it; okay?

As far as all these housing problems we have, $I$ don't think -- I think we need a planner. And nothing -- all these changes in the laws are just thrown up on the wall and let's see if they work and nobody thinks about the unintended consequences of them. So we need -- to do all of these things, short term rentals, housing rentals, Code Enforcement for overcrowded homes in

Greenport, which there are many of, okay, that these people are charging, four -- three, $\$ 400$ a person and putting 10 people in an apartment and making \$4,000 a month on the apartment. That limits the rental base for the local people also; okay? So it's not just one thing that's creating this problem; okay?

We have our tourism. Our businesses want the tourism. We -- somehow -- and, again, we're only a one square mile Village. What are we going -- where are we going to put these people; okay?

I find more people are not buying homes for short term rental, they're buying them as second homes. There's three houses went up for sale in my neighborhood, it's all families from Manhattan and Brooklyn and they're buying summer homes. They're not renting them short term or long term over 30 days; okay? More -- fewer and fewer people I think are renting single family homes short term if they're sold recently; okay? They just use them as second homes.

So, again, this problem has to be done by a professional. And to keep throwing these things out here and saying, "Oh, we're going to revise this to make this work," and all that other stuff,
it's not going to work until we have a plan for all of it; okay? And we can come up with a plan that's going to work for all these things, because they all affect us. They all affect the rental, the prices, everything.

So I think -- Doug, I agree, there should be a planner. I think we should maybe hire a professional that knows how to do this, has done it someplace else. And because you guys, you guys do a great job for what -- for the time you have, okay, and put the hours of the work you do, but this is a difficult problem and I really don't think that the capabilities are there, not because -- you just don't have the time for it. It's too much to do; okay?

So I think we should get a planner, a professional planner and fix all the problems, or at least try to, because one's going to affect the other, and then the other one's going to affect the other one, and it's never going to work, it's just never going to work. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. We'11
move on to the regular agenda.
RESOLUTION \#06-2017-1, RESOLUTION adopting the June 2017 agenda as printed. So moved.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mr. Mayor, I'm going to walk a resolution on, as I just discussed.

Resolution directing the Village Clerk to send a letter to be signed by Mayor Hubbard to request a joint meeting of the Southold Town Board and Greenport Village Board of Trustees to discuss opportunities to partner to develop workforce housing. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Are we going to need discussion on that, or are we --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It has to be seconded.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Is there a second?
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second, yes.
tRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure, second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any discussion?
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TRUSTEE ROBINS: I just think -- you're going to craft the letter, or are we going to have it -- I thought maybe we could discuss it at a work session and come up with, you know, our own points of what we want to do.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm proposing a very simple letter that says, "Can we have a meeting?"

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh, a meeting?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's all, a resolution to ask for a meeting? Do we need a resolution to ask for a meeting?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, we need a resolution to direct the Clerk to send a letter for the Mayor's signature to request a meeting. We could wait, wait a month, Trustee Robins. I just --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, no. Okay, I'll second it and, you know, we can vote on it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Why wait?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I -- let's have a discussion on it.

I think that if we're going to present something to the Town of Southold -- I know that the Mayor and Supervisor have had some discussions. I think the letter -- the letter
doesn't need to be a directive from this Board. I believe that the Mayor can make contact with the Supervisor. We, we as a Board need to come up with our points of what we want to discuss with the Town Board, not just -- their question is going to be, "Well, what do you want to discuss?"

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We want to discuss opportunities to partner on workforce housing. I think we need to get together and talk about it. With respect, Trustee Phillips, I've heard -since being on this Board, I've heard, oh, so and so is going to talk to the Supervisor, so and so is going to talk to the Supervisor.

We had this silly concept of liaisons, we're going to interact. And, you know, the one time I went and talked to the Supervisor, he trashed me in the press, so -- and I got a memo from the Mayor a few days later directing me never to talk to anybody again. So -- so, I mean --
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Excuse me, Trustee
Roberts. I need to -- excuse me, I need to correct you a little bit.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Trustee Martilotta and I
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did meet with Councilman Ghosio and Councilman Dinizio, and we did discuss some issues of housing, especially since we have the East-West Sewer Study. And I believe, Trustee Martilotta, you would agree with me that we did discuss some ideas about housing and a way to pursue it. But, in the meantime --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What were the outcomes? Nothing. So why don't we have a meeting and talk in public and people can be part of it? This is not a -- this is not a -- this is not a crazy concept to sit down and talk with five other individuals who care as much about this as we do.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mayor, I'm going to ask your opinion. You are Mayor, you do talk to the Supervisor. I'm sure that you've had some conversations as of late, yes?

MAYOR HUBBARD: About affordable housing, no, I have not.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. All right. I'm just asking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: There has not been a discussion, no.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: This is something that
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Trustee Roberts brought up and he posted today --
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- about calling for this meeting. I mean, we have liaisons to the Town, the Town has them, we have them. We have a committee that's supposed to be handling this sort of stuff. We could have liaisons come back with an answer. I mean, we need to propose something to the Town to have a Town Board and Village Board meeting together, just have open discussions. We could ask that. I don't if they're going to go for that, you know.

But, I mean, what do you want to accomplish out of the meeting? What is your -- I know you say just have a discussion, but what -- the letter can't just say we want to sit down and have a discussion.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I think specifically what we have to request is a potential -- a discussion of a potential joint taxing district. I'm not using the right language, but some sort of way to work together so that the Town gets its tax revenue, we can develop in -- on their lands using our more density friendly code, so we can -- plus, within striking distance of a sewer, so we can put
them on the sewer, and we can work together to help provide housing for the people who live around here.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So, basically, doing the annexation without saying it's an annexation. That was exactly what that other plan was and it got shot down.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the Town currently is doing -- if I remember reading the newspapers correctly, they're doing some type of -- Julia, maybe you can correct me -- some type of registry, where they're asking some of their Town -- the Town residents for affordable housing suggestions. Is that's what's going on in the Town Hall right now?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I did see something about a meeting or a discussion about that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So is that something that, Trustee Roberts, you would like to discuss with the Town Board, is to become part of that, that process that's going on?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sure.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, at least that's a direction, instead of just asking for a meeting with no reason. You know, we all have a reason,
but I think that the -- out of respect for the Supervisor and for the other Councilmen, we need to kind of give them an idea of what we really want to talk about, other than just using the term "housing" or "affordable".

I mean, if we want to be part of that registry program that they're doing, if it would be beneficial for us to do as a joint project between the Town and the Village, then I could see the Mayor contacting the Supervisor to see if that is something possible.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think I would like to see us discuss this at a work session and kind of formulate a joint opinion on what we're exactly looking for with the Town.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, I think it would -- I think it would further our discussion if we had several bullet points, if you will. You know what $I$ mean, $A, B, C$ and $D$, this is what we would like to discuss. We could give it to them prior, so that they're prepared for it as well. You understand what I'm saying? Like so there -MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So there's purpose and direction behind -- like I'm certainly fine with
meeting with whomever, I think it's a great idea. But I think without -- without that preexisting framework, we put some ideas back and forth, so that we're not just going to the table, but both parties are going to the table prepared to discuss four or five points. I think -- I think that would -- that would result in -- I would think that would result in something much more productive.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Respectfully, if we write them a letter asking for a meeting, they're not going to meet with us tomorrow, so -TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No, or the next day. TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sure we have our work session to get our act together and discuss in the public eye about what we want to ask them about. This meeting probably doesn't happen until August earliest. But, again, I'm just -- I'm just asking you to vote to send a letter to the Town Board saying, "Hey, let's try to work together on housing." I don't see why this is controversial. MAYOR HUBBARD: No. You're voting to tell me to write a letter that you want me to write, that you're not giving me substance of what you want me to ask for. Your resolution said to
direct the Mayor to write a letter to the Town Board requesting a meeting.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Give me more of the resolution what you want. And opening a thing like that, you're telling me to write a letter to the Supervisor and you want me to vote on that, but you're not telling me what you want in it, to have a discussion.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So, in fairness, Mr. Mayor, we have several times passed resolutions asking you to write letters, you know, to the IDA requesting help with the -- you know, we do -- we do do this. I'm happy to draft it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And we -- normally we discuss that beforehand. You don't just throw it out at a meeting when we're sitting here with an agenda and just say, "I want to changed the agenda and I want to direct the Mayor to go and write a 1etter."

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, in fairness and respect, I am following your Robert's Rules of Order and I'm --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, you are. Okay, that's fine.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It is my prerogative as a Trustee to put a motion on the table.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And I'm just asking you, what do you want me to put in that letter? I'm not going to spend two weeks with everybody putting comments back on it of what you want. Tell me what you want in the letter and make it part of the resolution and we'll vote on it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Robins had brought -- I wasn't going to say this, but she suggested that we reach out to the Town, so I thought we could capitalize on the momentum, send a letter to the Town. If it makes you that uncomfortable, I will withdraw the resolution. I'm just trying to --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I just want to know what you want -- if we're going to vote on it, I want to know what you want in the letter.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And the public's waited long enough, so we'll just wait another month. I can't -- do you want me to sit here and type it out right new, the letter?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Give me the three bullet points that Trustee Martilotta just said. What are the three bullet point you want in the letter?
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What do you want me to ask them for?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To discuss what Trustee Phillips just said, to discuss being part of working with them on the housing registry, to discuss partnering and potentially developing a joint taxing district or joint sewering district for lands that are adjacent to or close to the Village of Greenport.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So you want a sewer taxing district in the Town.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, wait, wait, wait. I'm sorry. Let's take it -- what do you mean by a sewer taxing district in the Town, since we have -- that's what we're in the business, is of sewer.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: This is government and progress at its best. I withdraw the resolution. It will be in my work session report.

RESOLUTION \#06-2017-2, RESOLUTION accepting the monthly reports of the Greenport Fire Department, Village Administrator, Village Treasurer, Village Clerk, Village Attorney, Mayor and Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-3, RESOLUTION ratifying the following resolutions as approved at the June 15th, 2017 work session meeting of the Village of Greenport Board of Trustees:

RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Katelyn Cacace and Christopher Keeley as seasonal, part-time Traffic Control officers, effective May 23rd, 2017; at a pay rate of $\$ 15.00$ per hour, and

RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Fiona
Faherty as a seasonal, part-time employee at the Village of Greenport Mitchell Park Marina Office effective May 25 th, 2017 ; at a pay rate of $\$ 11.00$ per hour. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-4,
RESOLUTION approving the request of the Greenport
Fire Department to use a portion of the Fifth
Street Beach/Park on July 29th, 2017 for the
Annual Fire Department Picnic. So moved.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-5,
RESOLUTION ratifying the rehiring of Sean
Gambaiani, Jack Gold and Jordyn Maichin as
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part-time, seasonal Camp Counselors at a pay rate of $\$ 10.00$ per hour; effective June 13, 2017.

CLERK PIRILLO: So moved.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, so moved.
trustee roberts: Second.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, so moved.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: (RESOLUTION \#06-2017-6),
RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Adam Brautigam as a part-time employee at the Village of Greenport Mitchell Park Marina Office at a pay rate of $\$ 15.00$ per hour; effective June 9th, 2017. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye. MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: (RESOLUTION \#06-2017-7),
RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Elizabeth
Gironda as a seasonal, part-time Camp Counselor and also as an employee at the Village of Greenport Mitchell Park Marina Office at a pay rate of $\$ 10.00$ per hour; effective June 12th, 2017. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-8, RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Anna Llukaci as
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a seasonal, part-time Carousel employee at a (pay) rate of $\$ 10.00$ per hour; effective June 10th, 2017. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-9,
RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Kyle Mackey as a seasonal, part-time Camp Counselor at a (pay) rate of $\$ 10.00$ per hour; effective June 13th, 2017. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
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Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-10, RESOLUTION amending Resolution \#04-2017-11 from the April 27, 2017 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees, to correct the pay rate of Joe Zabarra to be $\$ 10$ per hour, and to correct the pay rate of Dylan Zabarra to be $\$ 10$ per hour; with both corrected pay rates effective May 15th, 2017. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-11, RESOLUTION ratifying the attendance of Eileen Wingate at the Stony Brook University Code Conference, from June 13th, 2017 through June
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15th, 2017; for the completion of the required annual 24 -hour in-service building code training. There is no fee for the training, and all applicable mileage and meal reimbursements, to be expensed from account A. 3620.400 (Safety Inspection Contractual Expense), applying to the training. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-12,
RESOLUTION approving the request by Global Common for a Letter of Support from the Village of Greenport for a grant from the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, for Round Two of the Electric Power Transmission and Distribution High Performing Grid Program, and authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the Letter of

Support. So moved.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Point of discussion. Do we have a -- forget it. Do we have the language of the letter? Forget it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, there was.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A draft of the letter was sent around from the Village -- from the Village Clerk.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I lose. Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: No, it was. It was sent around --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You're right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- Tuesday. Tuesday, Wednesday, whatever. It was around, so we had an idea what the letter was before we voted on it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry I wasted your time.
MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's -- no. Always open for questions.

All right. All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
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MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-13, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Transfer \#3677, for year-end adjustments for the Enterprise Funds, and directing that Budget Transfer \#3677 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the June 22nd, 2017 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-14, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Transfer \#3678, for year-end
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adjustments for the General Fund, and directing that Budget Transfer \#3678 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes of the June 22nd, 2017 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-15, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment \#3679, to fund the Light Plant cooling tower, and directing that Budget Amendment \#3679 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the June 22nd, 2017 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-16, RESOLUTION scheduling a public hearing for 7:00 p.m. on July 27th, 2017 at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 105 (Sewers), Article -- good Lord -IX --

MAYOR HUBBARD: IX.
(Laughter)
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: -- (Fees and Charges) of the Village of Greenport Code, adopting revised sewer rates per the report prepared and submitted by BST \& Company, CPA's, LLP; and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the public hearing accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-17,
RESOLUTION ratifying the hiring of Andrew Smith as the part-time Fire Marshal for the Village of

Greenport, effective June 6th, 2017; at a pay rate of $\$ 20.00$-- excuse me -- $\$ 25.00$ per hour. So moved

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: (RESOLUTION \#06-2017-18), RESOLUTION hiring Adam Brautigam as a full-time
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Account Clerk Typist for the Village of Greenport Mitchell Park Marina Office, at a pay rate of $\$ 15$ per hour, effective June 28, 2017. All health insurance and other full-time employment benefit provisions specified in the current contract between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000 apply to this hiring, as does the standard twenty-six week Suffolk County Civil Service probationary period. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
Didn't we just hire Adam Brautigam for something else?

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, you did.
CLERK PIRILLO: You hired him part-time, and this is the full-time hiring. We divided it into did two resolutions, because he's coming part-time before starting full-time.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.
CLERK PIRILLO: You're welcome.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-19, RESOLUTION hiring Jason Doucett as a full-time Groundskeeper I for the Village of Greenport Road Department, at a pay rate of $\$ 16$ per hour, effective June 28th, 2017. All health insurance and other full-time employment benefit provisions specified in the current contract between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000 apply to this hiring, as does the standard twenty-six week Suffolk County Civil Service probationary period. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
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Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: (RESOLUTION \#06-2017-20), RESOLUTION hiring Chad Osmer as a full-time Groundskeeper I for the Village of Greenport Road Department, at a pay rate of $\$ 16$ per hour, effective June 28, 2017. All health insurance and other full-time employment benefit provisions specified in the current contract between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000 apply to this hiring, as does the standard twenty-six week Suffolk County Civil Service probationary period. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-21,
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RESOLUTION scheduling a public hearing for 7:00 p.m. on July 27th, 2017 at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 150 (Zoning), Section 9 (CR-Retail Commercial District) and Section 17 (18) (Multi-Family Dwelling) of the Village of Greenport Code, adopting regulations regarding multi-use residential and commercial buildings in the CR (Retail Commercial) District and Multi-Family Buildings in the R-2 (One and Two-Family Residence) District, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the public hearings accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: (RESOLUTION \#06-2017-22),
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RESOLUTION scheduling a public hearing for 7:00 p.m. on July 27, 2017 at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 65 (Fire Prevention and Building Construction) of the Village of Greenport Code, adopting the 2015 International•Building and Fire Prevention Codes, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the public hearing accordingly. So moved. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second. MAYOR HUBBARD: Just a bit of discussion on that. Can we just check on that? I heard this evening that it's already been amended to 2016.

CLERK PIRILLO: Yes. We have checked once, and 65, Chapter 65 was amended for fees only.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
CLERK PIRILLO: We haven't found any evidence of other, but we'11 check again before noticing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion? (No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
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TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-23,
RESOLUTION accepting the donation of one, two-part "Big Belly" solar trash can/compactor, from Sharon Sailor, to be placed on Village-owned property selected by the Village of Greenport Board of Trustees, with the period of the donation to be one year from the delivery date of the solar trash can/compactor. The value of the donation to the Village of Greenport totals \$2,820 for one year, as $\$ 235$ per month, payable by Sharon Sailor for the first year of a five-year lease agreement. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
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(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
(App1ause)
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-24, RESOLUTION approving all checks for the Fiscal Year 2016/2017 per the Voucher Summary Report dated June 16th, 2017, in the total amount of \$287, 193.92 consisting of:
o Al1 regular checks in the amount of \$287,193.92. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION \#06-2017-25, RESOLUTION approving all checks for Fiscal Year 2017/2018 per the Voucher Summary Report dated June 16th, 2017, in the total amount of \$218,460.86 consisting of:
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o A11 regular checks in the amount of \$188,137.68, and
o All prepaid checks (including wire transfers) in the amount of $\$ 30,323.18$. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
Okay. I'11 offer a motion to adjourn at 9:58.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second
MAYOR HUBBARD: A11 in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
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| 113:10, 113:12 | occupancy [7] - 28:4, | 27:4, 27:8, 27:9, | opinion [12] - 27:2, | 119:19, 133:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| noise [1] - 101:5 | 28:5, 32:19, 34:8, | 28:19, 29:12, 31:2, | 27:16, 29:23, 33:22, | outside-the-box [1] - |
| none [2]-69:5, | 40:9, 91:14, 114:15 | 32:8, 32:10, 33:5, | 73:1, 101:1, 104:14, | 103:9 |
| 106:18 | occupant [1] - 43:6 | 33:23, 34:10, 34:16, | 07:25, 120:4, | overall [1] - 88:15 |
| nonexperts [1] - | Occupied [1] - 32:10 | 34:20, 35:25, 36:1, | 125:1, 140:15, | overcrowded [1] - |
| 129:16 | occupied [26] - 22:1, | 36:3, 36:6, 37:15, | 143:14 | 134:25 |
| nonpermanent [1] - | 22:3, 26:11, 27:21, | 37:23, 39:22, 40:4 | opponents [1] - | overcrowding [2] - |
| 4:24 | 32:7, 32:15, 33:13, | 44:15, 48:21, 50:25, | 123:18 | 41:18, 41:22 |
| nonrefundable [1] - | 51:9, 52:7, 77:14, | 52:9, 53:2, 53:8, | opportunities [2] - | overkill [1] - 35:9 |
| 31:5 | 78:13, 80:17, 82:3, | 53:20, 54:2, 56:3, | 137:17, 139:8 | overriding [1] - 47:2 |
| nonrental [1] - 32:17 | 83:11, 83:13, 91:19, | 56:16, 57:18, 73:3, | opportunity [6] - 4:8, | oversight [1] - 96:15 |
| nonresidential [1] - | 92:19, 95:16, 95:22, | 73:6, 75:18, 76:8, | 87:6, 108:3, 108:4, | overwhelming [1] - |
| 27:23 | 97:3, 97:10, 97:21 |  | 131:19, 134:14 | 35:25 |
| normal [1] - 116:1 | 106:12, 107:4, | $89: 5,89: 9,92: 24$ | opposed [4] - 42:6, | own [16] - 20:25, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { normally }[3] \text { - } 57: 7, \\ 112: 13,145: 15 \end{gathered}$ | 108:1, 112:5 occupy [2]-40:11, | $93: 3,94: 1,95: 12$ | 43:7, 90:18, 111:5 <br> Opposed [28] - 14:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 20,59: 18,77: 3 \\ & 88: 11,93: 19,105: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| North [2] - 7:17, 44:12 | 114:6 | 95:24, 96:8, 96:16, | $63: 1,137: 8,148: 6$ | 113:25, 114:23, |
| Notary [1] - 169:8 | $\operatorname{occur}_{[1]}-121: 8$ | 96:20, 97:7, 97:12 $98: 2,98: 6,98: 9$ | 149:5, 149:20, | 115:19, 120:12, |
| nothing [8] - 45:15, | occurrence [1] - 98:16 | 98:11, 101:17, | 150:13, 151:4, 151:21, 152:11 | 122:3, 122:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 49: 5,122: 15,125: 3 \\ & 126: 6,130: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OF }[6]-1: 1,1: 1,1: 3, \\ 169: 3,169: 5 \end{gathered}$ | 106:22, 111:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 151:21, 152:11, } \\ & \text { 153:1, 153:19, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 24,132: 22, \\ & 138: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 134:20, 140:9 | offense [1] - 19:11 | 115:23, 115:24, | 154:15, 156:2, | owned [1] - 165:9 |
| notice [4] - 65:17, | Offenses [1] - 35:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:12, 119:2, } \\ & \text { 120:22, 121:7, } \end{aligned}$ | 156:20, 157:13, <br> 158:5, 159•5 | Owner [1] - 28:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 158:21, 163:13, } \\ & 164: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { offensive [2]-111:4, } \\ & \text { 111:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $127: 14,128: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 5,159: 5, \\ & 159: 21,161: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { owner [31] - 22:1, } \\ & 22: 3,26: 10,27: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| noticed [3]-7:20, | offer [3] - 13:18, | 129:12, 130:1, | 162:1, 162:22, | 28:9, 28:11, 30:12, |
| 14:11, 48:21 | 61:24, 167:15 | 131:21, 132:1, | 163:22, 165:3, | 52:7, 64:17, 64:22, |
| noticing [1] - 164:19 | offered [2] - 72:6, |  | 165:25, 166:18, | 77:14, 78:4, 78:13, |
| November [1] - 49:2 | 131:18 | $39: 15,165: 7,$ | 167:12, 167:24 | 83:11, 83:12, 83:13, |
| nowhere [1] - 98:12 | offering [1] - 72:12 | $165: 12,165: 14$ | oranges [2] - 43:11 | 84:24, 89:19, 91:14, 91:19. 92:19. 95:16. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nuisances [2] - 33:19, } \\ & 33: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Office [5] - } 3: 17, \\ \text { 148:20, 150:19, } \end{gathered}$ | One [1] - 163:11 <br> one's [3] - 113:15 | order [6] - 2:1, 2:2, | $95: 22,96: 9,97: 3$ |
| number [11] - 18:7, | 151:11, 160:2 | $136: 18,136: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,26: 11,26: 17 \\ & 36 \cdot 15 \end{aligned}$ | 97:10, 97:21, |
| $22: 23,28: 25,32: 15$ | Officer [1] - 74:25 <br> officers [1] - 148:16 | one-year [1] - 36:1 | Order [1] - 145:23 | $108: 1,112: 5$ |
| 58:10, 125:16, | Offices [1] - 3:7 | ones [10] - 11:7, | ordering [1] - 67:5 | owner-occupied |
| $132: 12$ | official [1] - 120:20 | 21:22, 51:13, 95:22, | ordinance [2] - 47:10, | 22:1, 22:3, 52:7, |
| Number [1] - 30:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { often [3] - 21:24, 29:8, } \\ & 120: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 116:9, 116:10 | 125:25 <br> ordinances [1] - 90:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 14,78: 13,83: 11 \\ & 83: 13,91: 19,92: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { numbers }[3]-48: 3, \\ 128: 19,128: 20 \end{gathered}$ | old [8] - 44:21, 50:9, | online [3]-31:2, 40:7, | organization [1] - | $95: 16,95: 22,97: 3$ |
| numerous [1] - 98:19 | 52:24, 64:23, 69:23, | 124:12 | 47:25 | 97:10, 97:21, |
| Nyce [1] - 49:11 | 100:13, 101:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { open [15]-14:17, } \\ & 37: 23,38: 24,58: 16, \end{aligned}$ | original [1] - 41:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 12,107: 4 \\ & 108: 1,112: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 130:22 | 59:14, 61:25, |  |  |
| 0 | OLINKIEWICZ ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $62: 13,62: 14,64: 2,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { otherwise }[4]-21: 6, \\ 100: 25,127: 25, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Owners [19] - 24:5, } \\ \text { 66:12, 68:8, 69:2, } \end{gathered}$ |
| objected [1] - 125:17 | Olinkiewicz [1] | 67:4, 126:4, 126:5, | 129:1 | 69:5, 70:6, 70:8, |
| objection [1] - 123:25 | 36:2 | 141:10, 155:20 | ourselves [1] - 79:2 | 71:3, 71:15, 71:17, |
| observed [1] - 74:20 | $\text { once [5] - } 25: 4,26: 0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { opened [2] - 24:1, } \\ & 91: 12 \end{aligned}$ | outcome [1] - 169:16 | 81:17, 93:1, 100:11, |
| obstruction [1] - 90:8 | 60:1, 87:20, 164:14 |  | outcomes [1] - 140:8 | 00:23, 103:19, |
| obviously [2] - 45:2, | one [94]-3:13, 4:11, | $47: 11,145: 5$ | outgrown [1] - 81:2 | 104:13, 104:19, 104:20, 106:23 |
| 45:10 | 7:16, 8:13, 11:18, | opens [3] - 21:19 | outlawed [1] - 56:3 | 104:20, 106:23 |
| occasionally [1] - 74:4 | 16:20, 18:8, 22:4, |  | outside [7] - 36:15, | ownership [1] - |
| Occupancy [1] - 33:15 | 22:14, 25:23, 26:19, | operating [1] - 115:9 | 81:23, 82:16, 103:9, | 114:11 |




| ```provision [2]-29:15, 120:25 provisions [3] - 160:5, 161:13, 162:10 PSE\&G [1] - 111:6 Public [1] - 169:8 public [63]-6:23, 7:15, 7:19, 9:10, 10:17, 10:23, 13:9, 13:12, 13:19, 14:5, 14:10, 14:17, 14:24, 15:1, 15:10, 15:15, 15:18, 16:6, 16:7, 17:7, 23:10, 23:15, 23:19, 24:11, 24:13, 24:15, 24:20, 25:4, 25:6, 25:14, 41:11, 41:20, 42:22, 44:2, 54:19, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:10, 55:16, 61:24, 62:2, 62:12, 63:5, 63:9, 64:4, 64:7, 72:11, 73:14, 74:3, 86:3, 91:6, 91:18, 121:18, 122:4, 140:10, 144:16, 158:9, 158:21, 163:1, 163:13, 164:1, 164:9 public's [1] - 146:19 published [1] - 14:10 pull \({ }_{[2]}-38: 14,121: 24\) pump [6] - 88:5, 88:11, 88:18, 89:8, 89:14, 89:20 pumping [1] - 89:7 pumps [3] - 88:5, 119:4, 119:9 punch [1]-122:7 purchase [2] - 48:5, 119:23 purpose [4]-22:9, 28:2, 28:15, 143:24 purposes [1]-27:24 pursue [1] - 140:6 pursuing [1] - 128:6 push [1]-89:17 pushback [2]-105:6, 105:11 put [40]-14:10, 14:15, 19:18, 23:9, 29:5, 30:2, 34:15, 35:11, 38:18, 39:2, 51:18,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:1, 55:2, 55:5, } \\ & \text { 65:19, 65:20, 65:21, } \\ & 65: 22,66: 1,66: 2, \\ & 68: 5,68: 11,69: 3, \\ & 70: 2,77: 20,80: 22, \\ & \text { 85:5, 96:6, 97:20, } \\ & \text { 101:3, 103:22, } \\ & \text { 110:21, 131:1, } \\ & \text { 135:11, 136:11, } \\ & \text { 141:25, 144:3, } \\ & \text { 146:2, 146:4 } \\ & \text { puts }[1]-116: 16 \\ & \text { putting [15] - 11:7, } \\ & 38: 5,38: 6,40: 15, \\ & 63: 21,65: 2,65: 4, \\ & 81: 20,88: 16,89: 17, \\ & 95: 2,95: 17,128: 17, \\ & 135: 3,146: 6 \\ & \hline \quad \text { Q } \\ & \hline \quad \text { Q } \\ & \hline \text { QG }[1]-9: 25 \\ & \text { questions }[7]-10: 9, \\ & 11: 2,52: 16,52: 18, \\ & 56: 1,120: 21,155: 20 \\ & \text { quick [2] - 117:11, } \\ & 133: 25 \\ & \text { quite }[2]-21: 24, \\ & 22: 24 \end{aligned}$ | ```150:1, 150:20, 151:12, 152:2, 152:17, 153:7, 153:8, 159:11, 160:2, 161:11, 162:7 rates [2] - 153:10, 158:19 Rathbun [1]-2:9 rather [2]-121:19, 126:5 ratifying [10] - 148:10, 148:14, 148:18, 149:24, 150:17, 151:8, 151:25, 152:15, 153:23, 159:9 reach [2]-4:15, 146:11 read [14] - 7:24, 10:12, 25:25, 28:18, 53:10, 53:15, 54:5, 55:23, 94:18, 94:24, 99:21, 106:15, 121:11 reading [2]-37:14, 142:9 reads [1] - 32:10 real [6] - 37:15, 48:2, 65:8, 129:5, 131:23 realist [1] - 132:21 realistic [1]-85:16 realize [5]-55:13, 55:19, 75:23, 110:6, 130:5 really [32]-10:12, 14:11, 21:19, 27:25, 38:22, 43:7, 43:25, 44:17, 45:17, 46:11, 46:14, 49:18, 56:20, 65:5, 79:6, 81:12, 94:1, 95:14, 98:19, 99:3, 99:11, 108:16, 110:19, 113:21, 121:10, 126:2, 127:15, 130:15, 132:16, 136:12, 143:3 realtor [1] - 131:23 reapply [1] -9:7 rearranged [1]-28:21 reason [12]-27:4, 35:5, 66:21, 67:20, 78:20, 105:6, 115:16, 117:2,``` | ```117:3, 142:25 reasonable [2]-71:9, 71:10 reasonably [2] - 123:18, 123:25 reasoning [1] - 40:25 reasons [1]-47:5 recently [6] - 2:22, 6:12, 24:4, 48:22, 130:19, 135:20 recognized [1]-2:22 recognizes [1] - 91:9 recommend [1] - 16:1 recommending [2] - 8:22, 10:5 record \([7]-10: 24\), 23:5, 23:10, 25:18, 28:10, 102:7, 130:17 records [1] - 23:15 recycled [2]-5:14, 5:16 reduce [1] - 111:3 refer [6] - 19:8, 19:22, 26:24, 29:8, 30:3, 43:17 reference [3]-15:10, 15:12, 39:22 referenced [1]-8:17 referred [1] - 43:5 refers [4]-19:9, 43:16, 43:17, 52:6 refuse [1] - 109:1 regarding [5]-119:8, 158:12, 163:4, 163:8, 164:4 regards [1] - 116:21 regional [1] - 129:11 register [1]-18:9 registry [3] - 142:11, 143:7, 147:4 REGULAR [1] - 1:4 regular [9]-32:17, 96:3, 136:23, 153:6, 156:11, 157:4, 157:21, 166:9, 167:1 regulate [3]-51:3, 53:3, 91:3 regulated [2] - 40:6, 90:18 regulation [6] - 45:13, 53:1, 70:5, 90:23, 97:25, 98:2 regulations [11] -``` | ```32:6, 32:12, 33:9, 40:4, 52:22, 56:10, 96:3, 96:5, 96:7, 132:15, 163:8 Regulations [1] - 14:7 rehiring [1] - 149:24 reimbursements [1] - 154:4 related [3] - 33:3, 80:7, 169:13 relationship [2] - 19:25, 133:14 remain [1]-2:5 remained [1] - 2:10 remains [1]-5:19 remember [11] - 49:22, 50:7, 50:10, 84:16, 85:20, 117:18, 117:23, 117:25, 118:4, 118:17, 142:9 removable [1] - 5:1 remove [1] - 34:13 removed [1] - 32:9 removing [1] - 92:14 renewal [3] - 27:14, 30:11, 30:12 renewing [1] - 36:9 renovate [2] - 37:25, 95:12 renovated [1]-81:9 renovation [2] - 37:21, 37:22 rent [58] - 11:10, 11:20, 18:3, 20:1, 26:3, 27:21, 31:20, 32:1, 36:1, 37:11, 38:4, 44:15, 45:22, 48:6, 48:8, 48:10, 52:7, 52:8, 52:9, 52:10, 53:5, 53:8, 53:20, 58:6, 58:9, 59:1, 59:12, 61:8, 61:17, 78:17, 79:14, 81:25, 82:2, 83:6, 83:14, 92:9, 92:20, 93:3, 93:4, 93:20, 95:21, 96:20, 97:5, 103:15, 104:2, 106:16, 106:17, 107:6, 107:7, 107:9, 112:5, 113:6, 113:8, 113:11, 114:13,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| SROs [1] - 22:10 | stopping [1] - 63:21 | $20: 13,23: 3,33: 20,$ | 113:17, 135:16 | 102:23, 103:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SS [1] - 169:4 | store [11] - 24:4 | 35:7, 42:14, 109:7, | Supervisor [10] - | 117:11, 117:14, |
| stable [1] - 5:3 | 64:22, 65:1, 65:24, | 109:11, 110:18 | 133:14, 138:24, | 117:22, 118:5 |
| staff [1] - 55:22 | 68:8, 69:2, 69:5 | 110:19, 135:25 | 139:3, 139:12, | 118:10, 118:15, |
| stain [1] - 38:14 | $6,70: 8,70: 12$ | 141 | 9:13, 139:16, | 130:1, 130:5 |
| stand [2]-81:5, 85:22 | 74:16 | stumbles [1] - 90:6 | 140:16, 143:2, | 130:21, 130:24, |
| standard [3] - 160:7, <br> 161:16, 162:12 | storefronts [1] - 67:2 <br> stories [2]-85:8 | style [1] - 80:8 subject [4] - | $143: 10,145: 7$ <br> Supplement [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 131: 2,131: 5,131: 9 \\ & 131: 14,160: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| standards [1] - 122:13 | 132:12 | $36: 9,86: 5,114: 10$ | 29:10 | Swiskey [6] - 10:25, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { standing }[3]-2: 5, \\ 2: 10,101: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR }[2]-53: 5,90: 14 \\ & \text { stranger }[1]-107: 12 \end{aligned}$ | subleasing [1] - 33:3 <br> sublet [1] - 33:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supply }[2]-116: 17, \\ & 124: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 7,82: 25,117: 14, \\ & 118: 23,130: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Star [1] - 31:11 | streamlining [1] - 10:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { submit }[3]-9: 9,23: 7, \\ & 23: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Support [2]-154:20, } \\ & 155: 1 \end{aligned}$ | switch [1] - 41:1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { start [7]-2:14, } 39: 9 \\ 48 \cdot 23 \quad 95 \cdot 13 \quad 97 \cdot 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { str } \\ 6 \end{array}$ |  | suppo | Sylvia [1] |
| 101:10, 127:22 | 81:6, 81:9, 111:19 | 62:10, 158:19 | 91:19 | SYLVIA [1] - 1:19 |
| started [2] - 49:10 | 116:13 | submitting [2] - 9:6 | supposed [5] - 51:18, | system [1] - 85:10 |
| $57: 13$ | Street [48]-1:7, 3:14, $6.9,8 \cdot 12,11 \cdot 1,18 \cdot 1$ | $9: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 2,68: 13,76: 1 \\ & 141: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { systems }[3]-38: 7, \\ & 85: 5,132: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| starting [5] - 39:17, <br> 45:2, 90:1, 97:18 | $6: 9,8: 12,11: 1,18: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subprime [2] - } 57: 6, \\ & 57: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 141:6 <br> suppos |  |
| 160:19 | 36:22, 39:14, 41:10, | subsidized [1] - 47:24 | $55: 23$ |  |
| starts [1] - 99:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 10,46: 24,49: 8 \\ & 56: 7,58: 4,64: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subsidizing [1] - } \\ & 107: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { suppression [2] - } \\ 103: 24,103: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { table }[4]-105: 1$ |
| $92: 11$ | 7:2, 81:7 | substanc | Supreme [1] - 92:15 | 144:4, 144:5, 146 |
| State [16]-3:16, 9:4, | 81:13, 81:14, 81:21, | 144:24 | surface [1] - 5:6 | $\boldsymbol{t a g}[1]-75: 1$ |
| , | 81:22, 83:1, 84:22 | successful [6] | surprising [1] - | tail [1] - 116:15 |
| 29:9, 29:18, 38:1, | 90:13, 91:22, 95:3 | 25:19, 65:5, 65:13 | 127:16 | talks [2] - 87:9, 120:8 |
| 38:7, 92:8, 92:23 | $8: 8,98: 21,98: 23$ | $66: 22,71: 23,121:$ | surroundings [1] - | tamper [1] - 115: |
| 92:24, 93:9, 120:17, | 110:2, 113:18, | suddenly [1] - 59: | 4: | ape [1] - 124:10 |
| STATE [2] - 1:1, 169:3 | 17:15, 118:22 | 169:5 | 84:22 | Tasker [3] - 46:22, |
| station [2] - 88:16, | 130:6, 130:19, | Suffolk [5] - 59 | swear [1] - 30:23 | 46:23, 118:2 |
| 89:18 | 134:2, 149:11, | 106:15, 160:8 | swearing [1] - 30:22 | tasker [1] - 124:6 |
| stay [3] - 31:25, 46:18, | 158:10, 163:2, $164: 2$ | 161:17, 162:1 | sweat [1] - 110:2 | ASKER [20] - 46:23 |
| 109:25 | $163: 3,164: 3$ | suggest [5] - 6:8, | sweeping [1] - 6:2 | 118:21, 124:8, 124:16. 124:19. |
| staying [1] - 62:14 | streets [2]-11 |  | Swenson [1]-2:7 | $125: 3,125: 8$ |
| stee |  | 109:10, 133:8, | 110:16 | $125: 10,125: 1$ |
| 35:24, 36:6, 132:18 | strict [1] - 132: | 146:11 | swing [1]-49 | 125:16, 126:23, |
| stepped [1] - 134:13 | striking [1] - 141:25 | suggesting [5] - | SWISKEY [60] - 10:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 6,127: 11, \\ & 107.01 \quad 100.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| stepping [1] - 18:14 | STRs [8] - 50:7, 51:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 12,22: 19,35: 20 \\ & 35: 24,40: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $11: 9,11: 12,11: 14$ | 128:15, 128:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sterling [2]-18:1, } \\ & 90: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $83: 13,90: 10,91: 4$ | suggestion [5] - 17:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 4,16: 19,34: 4, \\ & 49: 7,49: 15,49: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 128:22, 128:25, |
| stick [1] - 34:23 | $\text { 91:5, 91:7, } 91: 11$ | 82:15, 82:21, | $50: 4,50: 17,50: 23$ | 129:22 |
| $\text { still }[10]-22: 1,22: 12$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { struck [3] - } 74: 6 \\ 125: 20,127: 16 \end{array}$ | 123:17, 123:22 <br> suggestions [3]- | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 21,52: 1,53: 9, \\ & 53: 12,53: 17,53: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tax}[2]-105: 12 \\ & 141: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| $26: 5,26: 6,44: 21$ $49 \cdot 24.80 \cdot 5.80 \cdot 6$ | structural [1]-123:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggestions [3] - } \\ & 16: 2,19: 2,142: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 53:12, 53:17, 53:22, <br> 53:25, 54:7, 54:13, | taxing [4]-141:20, |
| $92: 20,101: 15$ | structurally [1] -95:3 | $\text { suite [2] }-80: 11,80: 12$ | 54:22, 55:13, 55:19, | 147:6, 147:9, 147:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { stock [2] - 109:23, } \\ & 109: 24 \end{aligned}$ | structure [1] - 5:24 <br> struggle [1] - 100:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Summary [2] - 166:6, } \\ & \text { 166:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 22,82: 25,83: 12, \\ & 83: 19,84: 18,86: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCO }_{[1]}-75: 22 \\ & \text { technical }{ }_{[2]}-19: 6 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stony }[1]-153: 24 \\ & \text { stood }[2]-2: 4,107: 17 \\ & \text { stop }[3]-19: 1,85: 23, \\ & 120: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study }[1]-140: 4 \\ & \text { study }[1]-129: 13 \\ & \text { studying }[1]-101: 25 \\ & \text { stuff }[14]-9: 13,17: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { summer }[12]-10: 2, \\ 37: 11,48: 25,49: 4, \\ 57: 21,58: 8,58: 15, \\ 59: 13,79: 4,95: 19, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:14, 87:5, 87:12, } \\ & \text { 87:16, 87:25, 88:10, } \\ & \text { 88:19, 88:22, 89:5, } \\ & 89: 14,89: 19,89: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 123:13 } \\ & \text { technically }[1]-59: 2 \\ & \text { telephone }[1]-28: 25 \\ & \text { television }[1]-34: 11 \end{aligned}$ |




| V |  | waived ${ }_{[1]}-31: 9$ | welcoming [1] - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { vacant }[3]-103: 14, \\ & \text { 126:17 } \\ & \text { vacation }[2]-46: 15, \\ & \text { 58:2 } \\ & \text { vacations }[1]-45: 25 \\ & \text { valid }[1]-19: 13 \\ & \text { value }[7]-60: 8,60: 21, \\ & 61: 8,110: 22,112: 1, \\ & \text { 165:13 } \\ & \text { values }[2]-101: 22, \\ & \text { 110:5 } \\ & \text { valve }[1]-88: 23 \\ & \text { van }[1]-98: 11 \\ & \text { varies }[1]-74: 11 \\ & \text { Vehicle }[1]-33: 10 \\ & \text { Veterans }[1]-31: 11 \\ & \text { view }[2]-39: 4,81: 24 \\ & \text { views }[1]-95: 5 \\ & \text { Village }[114]-2: 15, \\ & 2: 17,3: 6,3: 7,4: 11, \\ & 4: 19,6: 20,9: 3,9: 5, \\ & 9: 7,9: 8,9: 11,9: 12, \\ & 11: 4,12: 7,12: 12, \\ & \text { 14:8, 14:16, 18:1, } \\ & \text { 18:16, 18:24, 19:19, } \\ & 23: 8,27: 3,29: 15, \\ & 29: 17,29: 19,29: 22, \\ & 33: 25,37: 3,46: 10, \\ & 48: 3,48: 9,48: 16, \\ & 51: 17,55: 23,55: 24, \\ & 60: 7,60: 9,60: 16, \\ & 61: 14,62: 12,64: 7, \\ & 73: 5,74: 12,80: 21, \\ & 83: 7,84: 3,84: 4, \\ & 84: 14,86: 20,87: 18, \\ & 90: 6,90: 15,91: 4, \\ & 100: 7,100: 10, \\ & 101: 18,101: 21, \\ & 102: 2,102: 4, \\ & 102: 14,105: 7, \\ & 105: 9,119: 14, \\ & 120: 17,121: 18, \\ & 121: 23,122: 21, \\ & \text { 122:24, 123:10, } \\ & \text { 125:24, 126:8, } \\ & \text { 126:13, 126:14, } \\ & 127: 24,128: 5, \\ & 129: 14,131: 12, \\ & 133: 3,134: 6, \\ & 135: 10,137: 13, \\ & 137: 16,141: 9, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```148:12, 148:20, 150:18, 151:10, 154:20, 155:9, 158:18, 159:10, 160:1, 160:6, 161:10, 161:15, 162:6, 162:11, 163:7, 164:6, 165:9, 165:10, 165:14 village \({ }_{[1]}\) - 120:20 VILLAGE [2] - 1:1, 1:19 Village's [2] - 86:17, 119:7 Village-owned [1] - 165:9 violate [1] - 107:7 violating \([1]\) - \(30: 23\) violation [5] - 30:20, 35:15, 40:12, 71:22 visit [3]-6:22, 8:19, 97:14 visually \({ }_{[1]}-5: 22\) voices [2]-102:1, 102:3 vote [10]-21:17, 41:6, 63:14, 63:16, 69:22, 138:18, 144:19, 145:7, 146:8, 146:17 voted [3] - 127:9, 127:10, 155:17 voting [1] - 144:22 Voucher [2] - 166:6, 166:23 \\ WADE \([6]\) - 21:10, 21:12, 23:11, 23:17, \[ 23: 20,23: 22 \] \\ Wade [1] - 21:12None``` | ```walk \([10]-65: 14,66: 8\), 73:11, 74:3, 74:21, 75:15, 75:17, 79:5, 116:9, 137:12 walked [2] - 76:4, 76:7 walker [1] - 5:4 walking [2]-65:18, 66:23 Wall [2] - 58:3, 113:18 wall [1] - 134:21 walls [1] - 22:7 WALOSKI \({ }_{[1]}-45: 19\) Waloski [1] - 45:19 wants [11]-36:1, 58:20, 58:21, 71:2, 71:18, 71:19, 103:20, 107:9, 116:12, 126:9 Washington [1] - 93:15 wasted [1]-155:18 wastewater [1] - 132:6 water \([7]-4: 14,4: 17\), 5:1, 39:4, 76:7, 81:24, 101:13 waterfront \({ }_{[1]}-60: 15\) Waterfront [4]-108:8, 108:10, 108:12, 109:4 waterways [1]-4:20 ways [1] - 36:16 wealthy \([1]-113: 19\) website [1]-120:2 Wednesday \({ }^{[1]}\) - 155:16 weeds [1] - 9:1 week [6] - 42:25, 53:5, 67:15, 160:8, 161:16, 162:13 weekend [8]-39:24, 45:23, 46:2, 53:6, 54:3, 58:9, 61:18, 96:25 weekends [3]-73:5, 79:4, 96:17 weeks [3]-46:1, 75:15, 146:5 weight [2]-6:19, 116:2 welcome [2] - 7:8, 160:21``` | ```Wendy [1]-93:22 west \({ }_{[1]}\) - 98:10 West [1] - 140:3 Wetlands [1] - 7:16 whatsoever [1] - 122:15 wheelchair [3]-4:13, 5:3, 5:4 WHEREOF \({ }_{[1]}\) - 169:17 whichever [1]-23:13 whole [8]-28:2, 28:15, 32:3, 37:22, 38:24, 58:7, 66:13, 85:2 wide [2] - 6:11, 6:16 wider [1] - 74:15 Wiggins [4]-98:10, 98:24, 98:25, 99:1 wild [1] - 48:18 William [4]-49:7, 82:25, 117:14, 130:5 willing [1] - 110:9 willy [1] - 98:1 willy-nilly [1] - 98:1 win [1] - 105:18 wind [1] - 43:21 window [1] - 65:24 windows [2] - 38:6, 65:18 winds [1] - 38:11 Wingate [2]-24:17, 153:24 WINKLER [2] - 133:25, 134:2 Winkler [1] - 134:2 winners [1] - 101:1 wintertime [1] - 79:1 wire [1] - 167:3 wish [10]-13:8, 20:6, 53:13, 56:5, 61:21, 93:13, 94:12, 118:19, 131:11, 132:24 wishes [3]-3:15, 15:12, 16:7 withdraw [3]-105:15, 146:14, 147:17 withdrawing \({ }_{[1]}\) - 122:1 withdrew [4]-105:2,``` | ```woman [1]-57:25 won [1] - 22:18 wonder [1]-126:13 wondering [2] - 38:8, 68:25 word [2]-71:10, 133:21 worded [2]-28:20, 29:10 words [4]-28:21, 30:24, 53:25, 130:17 workable [3] - 16:24, 42:23, 55:3 worker [3] - 22:13, 22:17, 82:4 workers [3] - 52:10, 80:5, 93:6 workforce [6] - 21:3, 31:23, 56:23, 105:5, 137:17, 139:8 works [4]-66:22, 67:11, 72:2 world [1] - 6:8 worms [1]-40:15 worse [2]-22:18, 101:6 worst [2] - 106:3 worth [1] - 110:4 wow [4]-66:6, 84:9, 86:8, 89:10 write [8]-73:19, 144:10, 144:23, 145:1, 145:6, 145:12, 145:19 writer [1] - 128:5 writing [4] - 102:9, 102:11, 102:13 written [1]-43:11 wrote [2] - 51:10, 102:17 \begin{tabular}{c} \hline \(\mathbf{Y}\) \\ \hline yards \(_{[1]}-85: 2\) \\ Year \(_{[2]}-166: 6\), \\ 166:22 \\ year \([47]-6: 4,11: 16\), \\ \(11: 20,22: 23,24: 7\), \\ \(26: 3,31: 8,31: 18\), \\ \(36: 1,36: 3,36: 6\), \\ \(39: 18,39: 24,48: 23\), \end{tabular}``` |



Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107

## Budget Adjustment Form

| Year: | 2017 |  | Period: 5 | Trans Type: | B1-Transfer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Account No. | Account Description | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. 0358 | POLES \& FIXTURES | 1.740 .00 |
| E. 0372 | STREET LTG \& SIGNAL | 1,215.00 |
| E. 0381 | OFFICE EQUIPMENT | 153.00 |
| E. 0383 | SHOP EQUIPMENT | 395.00 |
| E.0368 | CONSUMERS METERS | 1,023.00 |
| E. 0384 | TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT | 2,262.00 |
| E. 0385 | COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | 129.00 |
| E. 0388 | MISCEILLANEOUS GENERAL EQUIPMEN | 180.00 |
| E.0781.100 | EXECUTIVE DEPT.. | 165.00 |
| E.0781.400 | POLE RECORDS.. | 27.00 |
| E. 0781.500 | OFF SUPPLIES \& EXP. | 146.00 |
| E. 0761.221 | TREE TRIMMING.. | 9.000 .00 |
| E.9010.800 | EMPLOYEES STATE RETIREMENT.EMPLOYEE EENEFTTS | -17.235.00 |
| F. 8310.102 | LABOR OUTSIDE.. | 3,690,00 |
| F. 8320.401 | SCWA - WATER PURCHASE.. | 1,405.00 |
| F. 8310.413 | SPECIAL SERVICES.. | -5,095.00 |
| G.7852.400 | PILOT TO GENERAL FUND.. | 3,060.00 |
| 6.8110.102 | LABOR OUTSIDE.. | 35,280.00 |
| G.8110.405 | EXECUTIVE DEPT.. | 26.00 |
| 6.8110 .410 | MISC OFFICEITELEPHONE EXP.. | 58.00 |
| G.9061.800 | DENTAL \& VISION INSURANCE.EMPLOYEE BENEFITS | 409.00 |
| G.8130.201 | EQUIPMENT / SECONDARY TREATMENT.. | 7.900 .00 |
| G. 8130.40 ¢ | SLUDGE REMOVAL.. | 8,300.00 |
| G.8110.101 | SUPERVISORY LABOR.. | -55,033.00 |
| E.0761.300 | CONSUMER EILLING \& ACCTG.. | 800.00 |
|  | Total Amount: | 0.00 |

## Mary Bess Phillips

## From:

Sent:
To:
Subject:

Tina Finne [tfinne1430@aol.com](mailto:tfinne1430@aol.com)
Thursday, June 22, 2017 9:30 AM
Mary Bess Phillips
STR law

June 21, 2017
To Mayor Hubbard and Village Board Members,
We wanted to take the time to express some of our feelings about the proposed changes to the STR laws. As long time Village residents and also owners of a rental property, we have seen the many changes in Greenport. The housing issues we speak of are not new ones, they are age old. We, have never taken for granted being able to live here. Maybe because we didn't expect someone else to make it possible, nor did we raise our 5 children with that expectation. We, like most who live here, have worked long and hard to get where we are. At times it was a struggle, we have gone where the work was, whether it was Connecticut, Baldwin and the Southside, to make a living.

The proposal of limiting our rights as property owners is unjust. Limiting our freedom to make financial decisions according to our needs, business or otherwise is wrong. In our opinion it is not the Boards job to pick winners and losers.

As residents we put up with all the inconveniences, traffic, crowding, parking, littering, and noise, (Those too are age old, having only gotten worse with Greenport's popularity.) mostly to the benefit of the downtown business district. We have how many more proposed restaurants? Should we start limiting them? The argument being; if people can't live here , they wont come here to work does not hold water. People go where the work is. Many of us have done it and know plenty still doing it.

As a community we have been a welcoming one, always. Diversity is not an issue in the Village, whether it be income or race. The division has come, with the you against us mentality, when we don't agree. We've seen it in the Village where people were demonized for protecting their neighborhood and property values. And its happening again, standing up for our rights, is just that, it's not against anyone else.

When studying this issue and listening to all the concerned voices, we might want to take a closer look at who actually lives in the Village of Greenport. We've heard a lot of these voices and they are not Village residents.

Thank you for your time.
Sincerely,
Dan and Tina Finne

Sent from my iPad

Sent from my iPad

Sylvia Pirillo

| From: | corwin [corwin@optonline.net](mailto:corwin@optonline.net) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, June 22, 2017 11:51 AM |
| To: | Sylvia Pirillo |
| Subject: | Draft Rental Permit Law |

## Dear Clerk Pirillo:

Please find some comments below on the re-proposed Village of Greenport Rental Law, Code Chapter 103. I am afraid I have not done the best job in putting this together. Village of Greenport PDF files are not searchable by me nor can I copy text. One of the Trustees told me they thought they could correct the problem but apparently could not or did not try.

Unfortunately I have a limited amount of time to devote to these things so I may have made mistakes as I am not checking this correspondence with a satisfactory lag time. I apologize for doing this via electronic correspondence.

I had not planned on commenting on the Chapter 103 document but reading it makes me think it is my duty as a citizen to comment. I am reading this as an item attached to your agenda so I assume this is the document that counts.

Below are some comments on what appear to me to be errors or poor thinking:


It is pointless to go through this exercise and not define family.

York State Supplement, the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement, will prevail. The issuance of any permit or the filing of any form under this

Are you sure you are citing this correctly?

You-have-a-definition-of-Two-Family-Building-where-it-should-not-be.

1. The legal residence of each owner and in the event that the owner of the rental property is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or other business entity, the name, proof of legal residence, and telephone number of the responsible party of the owner or owner entity shall be provided.

B,1) As far as I can see a Limited Liability Company's "proof of legal residence" is generally some address for service of papers and that is all.
5. Floor plan needs a definition
C. The nonrefundable biemnial rental permit application fee shall be waived if the owner of a rental property qualifies for any of the following real property tax exemptions at his or her primary residence located in the Village of Greenport:

1. Enhanced STAR;
2. Veterans exemption; or
3. Senior citizens exemption.
4. The owner of a rental property submits a sworn affidavit affirming that the rental
C. There should be no exemptions from application fees except for low income tenants. (When I am the only one left paying taxes and fees, it is just not going to work.)
F. The owner(s) and tenant(s) shall ensure that all applicable parking regulations provided for in the Greenport Village Code are satisfied.

That doesn't work.
I. Dumpsters are prohibited on residential property for anything other than
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incidental short term use.

Sometimes dumpsters are better than plastic bags.
7. There are more than three waste receptacles, cans, containers, bags or boxes containing waste from the premises placed for pickup at least twice during a weekly garbage pickup area;

Does this even make sense? There is generally only one garbage pickup per week at any residential building.

Respectfully yours,
Citizen David Corwin

Patricia Mundus
182 Sterling Street
Greenport NY 11944
March 14 and June 222017

## Dear Mayor Hubbard and Members of the Greenport Village Board of Trustees,

Thank you Mayor Hubbard and Trustees for your resolution assuring a safe and hearty newcomer welcome. It is a beautiful stand against the divisive "us \& them" anti-immigrant movement sweeping the country. This letter asks how will you shape related rental legislation into one that recognizes that concurrently we're also become a popular resort community 2-1/2 hours away from the biggest city in the country. Our new local tourist-based economy means jobs for immigrants and locals alike. Hopefully your board will rise to the challenge of regulating safety and overcrowding while balancing individual rights and the principals of our free market economy.

Greenport's economic and physical revitalization is fueled in part by short-term visitors. It is a rare Greenport local or newcomer whose family or friends do not benefit from the trickle-down effect of thriving stores \& restaurants, rental companies, spas, outdoor sports and recreation, farmers \& fishermen, etc. Now that revitalization has gained momentum, Greenport's tradesmen too are benefiting - like all the other East End communities close to weekend striking distance from New York. Please don't throw out the baby with the bath water and impose legislation that reduces visitor short-term accommodations. My observations:

1. House-sharing is not all bad and not only immigrants do it. It actually make sense in communities that have reached build-out like Greenport. Why is it wrong to allow safe and sensible shared housing where single-tenant rents may be prohibitive? Why is it wrong to allow existing housing stock to be used for visitors when there is a major shortage of visitor accommodations? Be courageous and forthright in our acceptance of present-day realities. Legislation needs to bear this in mind.
2. Many Greenport residents have livelihoods that dictate that homeowners leave their houses for periods of time. If these houses were rented in their absence, what qualifies as a short-term rental? Why should people in this category be penalized for utilizing this valuable housing stock as short-term rentals? Does it benefit the economy to have houses stand empty and unused? These properties are NOT the same houses that would otherwise be on the regular rental market. These dwelling units are mutually exclusive groups. I hope the evolved thinking of the Board will take this into consideration.
3. Re Airbnb bashing, may I interject some user reality? Airbnb and other house-sharing platforms have systems in place where hosts and guests are already vetted and reviewed. Every guest makes a respectful request to stay in a host's home and is selected or rejected by the host, based on a back-and-forth interview and acceptance process. Be wary of opinions of people not personally in tune with the business model of Airbnb. I am an in-residence Airbnb host and can arrest that my own guests are well-funded and responsible visitors. Very few arrive by automobile. Some return time and again and some have even become friends!
4. There is a huge shortage of overnight accommodations in Greenport. The most common feedback I get from my summer Airbnb guests is, "We couldn't find anywhere to stay to attend our (friend's, niece/nephew's, brother/sister's) wedding! Thank you so much for hosting us!" It's a win-win-win situation for the Greenport economy, for visitors, and for homeowners.
5. An appropriately inspected house with a modern $C$ of $O$ should already be as safe as a $B$ \& $B$ or small inn. As an example, my own 4 bedroom house has six smoke detectors, three CO2 monitors, two waters lines, and Village sewage - all for me, a single person in residence! My winter housemate is a single person and a local boat builder, benefiting from modest workforce housing rates, which is partially cross-subsidized by my summer Airbnb income!
6. Airbnb hosts pay significant additional taxes. Airbnb hosts pay a 3\% Suffolk County Hotel/ Motel tax and Suffolk County utilizes this revenue to promote hospitality safety and tourism. Airbnb income is automatically reported formally to the IRS. We are not scamming the system. We contribute to Greenport's revitalization and attractiveness to tourism and pay taxes above and beyond the average homeowner. Please resist the urge to impose additional punitive user fees above and beyond landlord registration.

I support a simplified rental permit registry to create a baseline of landlords and safety criteria. This will benefit everyone. It will also create a measurable way to monitor the impact of each rental on the rest of the community and quantify the amount of units we are discussing. How can you regulate an aspect of the Village that is not even quantified yet?

I support including low income housing in this rental permit process. In my five years on the Planning Board, very few complaints or comments were brought against middle-class home owners renting short-term. The majority of community disruption and complaints were created by full-time work-force tenant overcrowding, trash receptacles and dumpsters overflowing, too many cars in the driveway, loud music, etc. This is the sector of the rental market that most impacts the community, but we are considering targeting the short-term sector that has little detrimental impact? It doesn't add up.

I do not support limiting the amount of permits to a certain percentage of landlords. This is discrimination and (if I were a Trustee) I would hate being responsible for preventing a wellmeaning homeowner from being allowed the same rights as his/her neighbors. Who will decide? What is fair? How many will be allowed? How will you guard against accusations of favoritism? Are you willing to tell someone "NO" they can't rent their house for a couple of weeks to help pay their kid's college tuition or finance a much needed home improvement? What if it were you being denied this right?

We all should care about the community soul-sickness that exclusionary rents can create. In the examples above, I encourage you to fearlessly face the present economic realities and weave thoughtful discernment into your legislative process. Please balance allowing the rights of existing homeowners to retain short-term rentals while encouraging decent modest workforce housing. These are two mutually exclusive groups. Thank you for considering my opinion.

Sincerely,
Pat Mundus

## Sylvia Pirillo

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

## Sylvia Pirillo

Wednesday, June 21, 2017 11:29 AM
Julia Robins; Mary Bess Phillips; Jack Martilotta; Douglas Roberts
George Hubbard; Paul Pallas; jwpgreenport@aol.com
Jane Ratsey Willams' comments for the Rental Law Public Hearing on 6/22/17
Fee structure for permits 9.30.docx; Permit Cover Sheet.docx; Questionaire for rentals ST and long.xlsx; Rental Permit Application Oct.docx; Suggested Permit Form Oct.xlsx

Good morning, all.
Kindly see the attached and below from Jane Ratsey Williams, as part of the record for the public hearing on the Rental Law, which Ms. Williams is unable to attend.

Thank you -
Sylvia.

## Sylvia Pirillo, RMC

Village Clerk
Village of Greenport
From: Jane Ratsey Williams [mailto:jrw229@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 9:40 AM
To: Sylvia Pirillo [spirillo@greenportvillage.org](mailto:spirillo@greenportvillage.org)
Subject: Kindly distribute to the board, mayor and lawyer

## Morning Sylvia,

Attached some documents I would like in the minutes for this week's Board Meeting. Unfortunately, I am unable to attend the meeting.

These are documents are ones I have created after much review and studying what is currently in use in an attenpt and make the rental permit process more efficient, professional and thorough. I shared these documents last fall with Trustees Roberts and Philips.

Should the Board wish to use these or a form of these they are welcome to.
I am including the following:
Fee Structure
Permit Cover Sheet
Questionnaire for property owner
Rental Permit Application
Suggested Permit

Also I feel very strongly that the Village should charge short term rental properties a yearly fee of $\$ 500$. Most easily make that on one weekend rental. This income could also possibly be used to hire someone to monitor this process.

Thank you.

Jane
--
Jane Ratsey Williams
229 Fifth Street
Greenport, NY 11944
631.680.1104


## RENTAL PERMIT APPLICATION FEE STRUCTURE

Presented here is the fee structure for a rental permit in the Village of Greenport, effective September 22, 2016. Because of the official time it takes to review applications, make corrections as necessary, do on-site inspections, bill anniversary fees and file said applications there are no longer any exemptions.

Short-term rental properties
Owner occupancy rental properties

Not owner occupied rental properties
$\$ 500.00$ per year due upon application
$\$ 100.00$ per unit every two years due upon application acceptance anniversary
$\$ 200.00$ per unit every two years due upon application acceptance anniversary

## QUESTIONS

Liability of non-payment?????
Percentage added after 30 days on non-payment ????

## Internal Use Only

Short-term rental $\qquad$
Owner-occupied rental $\qquad$
Not owner occupied rental $\qquad$
Paid Date $\qquad$ Check $\qquad$ Visa/MasterCard $\qquad$
Anniversary Date noted on reminder Yes Initials


## RENTAL PERMIT APPLICATION

Please complete the attached application and return it to Village Hall

Kindly note a separate Application should be completed for each rental. A certificate of occupancy will be attached by the Building Inspector. If there is none on file, you will be contacted to provide one before any permit can be issued.

The paperwork should also include:

* A notary seal where indicated
* A floor plan of the property

Pursuant to the Code of the Village of Greenport, Chapter 103 a safety inspection is required. Please designate here if an inspection is to be completed by a Village Code Enforcement Official
$\qquad$ Yes, schedule an inspection with the Village ___No, I am providing a certification from a licensed architect or a licensed professional engineer stating that the property is in compliance with all the provisions of the Code of the Village of Greenport, the laws and sanitary and housing regulations of the County of Suffolk and laws adopted by the New York State Uniform Fire and Building Code Council.

Thank you,

Eileen Wingate, Building Inspector
Village of Greenport
236 Third Street
Greenport, NY 11944
$631.477 .1217 \times 210$
631.477.1877 Fax
ewingat@greenportvillage.org





## RENTAL PERMIT APPLICATION

Please complete the attached application and return it to Village Hall

Please note a separate Application should be completed for each rental. A certificate of occupancy will be attached by the Building Inspector. If there is none on file, you will be contacted to provide one before any permit can be issued.

The paperwork should also include:

* A notary seal where indicated
* A floor plan of the property

Pursuant to the Code of the Village of Greenport, Chapter 103 a safety inspection is required. Please designate here if an inspection is to be completed by a Village Code Enforcement Official
$\qquad$ Yes, schedule an inspection with the Village
$\ldots$ __ No, I am providing a certification from a licensed architect or a licensed professional engineer stating that the property is in compliance with all the provisions of the Code of the Village of Greenport, the laws and sanitary and housing regulations of the County of Suffolk and laws adopted by the New York State Uniform Fire and Building Code Council.

Thank you,

Eileen Wingate, Building Inspector
Village of Greenport
236 Third Street
Greenport, NY 11944
631.477.1217 x 210
631.477.1877 Fax
ewingat@greenportvillage.org








## Goals:

1. Maintain diverse character of Greenport by avoiding a gold rush conversion of housing into illegal hotels that destroy residentially zoned neighborhoods.
2. Provide reasonable accommodation for homeowners to make extra money for upkeep that also supports a vibrant business district by providing extra tourist housing during peak periods when hotels are fully booked.
3. Ensure the safety of residents and visitors.

## Strategy:

1. Make the application process simple and regulations clear.
2. Follow precedents set by other municipalities.
3. Monitor implementation, seeking improvements such as receipt of a percentage of revenue from the Suffolk County occupancy tax; automating payment of taxes from receiver of rental payment entity (17 locations as of Jan., 2017) to Suffolk.
4. Pursue having Airbnb provide listings to Suffolk County who could then provide to Greenport. They do this for San Francisco, New Orleans, Chicago, Denver and others.

## Non-Owner Occupied Short Term Proposed regulations in Residential Zones:

1. Increase application fee for Short-Term Rentals from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 250$ annually to cover costs for reviews, inspections, addressing complaints.
2. For rentals of 30 days or less in a residential zone.
3. Allow up to two individuals per bedroom to a maximum of eight guests. A group of individuals can rent under only one rental agreement.
4. Limit number of short-term, non-owner residing rental days per year to 90 (San Francisco)
5. The owner or designated representative must be available within one hour's notice and less than 30 miles away at all times during the occupancy with a phone number provided to the Village. (San Francisco, Galveston, Maui, )
6. Applicant must provide proof of Liability Insurance. (Airbnb and Homeaway provide this to hosts.)
7. Rental Unit must have a legal Certificate of Occupancy to rent. As per NYS fire code, must have hard wired Fire \& Carbon Monoxide detectors. Must also have sprinklers on 3rd floor for any legal third floor bedroom.
8. Applicant's housing unit must be at least 5 years old for a short-term rental license. (Maui)
9. Proof of tax payment may be requested including sales tax for laundry or food provided at extra fees.
10. Failure to register or comply with regulations will result in a fine of up to $\$ 500$ per illegal rental day \& owner cannot apply for a short-term permit before one year.
11. Non-transfer clause
12. Village right to revoke clause

## Owner-Occupied in Residential Zones

1. All of the above except that one of the legal bedrooms must be for the owner and no limit the number of days rented per year, new houses would qualify to a maximum of 3 bedrooms for 6 guests.

## Long Term Proposed Regulations in Residential Zones

1. Maintain $\$ 50$ application fee
2. For rentals of more than 30 days.
3. Each living unit as defined by the $C$ of $O$ may have only one family or group of unrelated individuals living as a family in the residential unit if they live as a family without locks on the bedrooms or walls that separate the living areas and kitchen.
4. Etc.

## Residential Rentals in Business or Commercial Districts

## Other municipalities:

1. Southold and Islip, except Fire Island - 2 week minimum.
2. East Hampton - Shorter than 15 days and only twice in 6 months ( $=60$ days annual limit) and fines up to $\$ 30,000$ \& up to 6 months in jail.
3. NYC - 30 day minimum unless owner in rental for duration; fines at least $\$ 1,000$ a day. Tax $18 \%$.
4. CT - Tax $15 \%$; file $\$ 1.2$ million lawsuit against landlord running multiple properties as illegal hotel.
5. Folly Beach - Requires a business license; Rental Registration if less than 30 days; may rent non-owner occupied up to 72 days a year, must collect sales \& accommodation tax; 3 years retroactive penalties, annual audit.
6. Maui - Must have been built more than 5 years prior to application.
7. New Orleans - Min 60 days in French Quarter, 30 days a year elsewhere.
8. San Luis Obispo, CA - Cannot be within 200' of a similar rental on same block
9. Isle of Palms, S. Carolina -2 people per bed \& additional 2 people (?)
10. Palm Desert, CA - Short term rentals up to 27 days, $9 \%$ transient occupancy tax
11. San Francisco - Must live in property 275 days per year and reside for 60 days prior to the application. Airbnb processes permit application and won't allow renting in SF without a registration number. Courtesy notice of application sent to owners/tenants within 300' of unit. Can rent unlimited nights as hosted rental. May not offer more than 5 individual short term rental reservations within dwelling unit at the same time (i.e. offer no more than 5 individual beds as separate, bookable listings.
12. Boulder - Only the owner of a primary residence may apply for a short-term rental license, under 30 day rental; a maximum of 120 days per year in an accessory rental on the owner's property can be short term; can only be short-term in main or accessory unit not both; accessory limited to two individuals renting; primary limited to 2 or 3 depending on zoning district.
13. Del Mar, CA - Short-terms illegal in residential areas.
14. Nashville - Responsible party must reside within 25 miles; Type 1 owner occupied, Type 2 cap of $3 \%$ over district on non-owner-occupied; Type 3 multi-family non-owner occupies-for all Types no more than 4 bedrooms in rental, no signs its a rentl, no recreational vehicles visible from street; no food prepared or served.

## New York State Fire Code Amendment

Defines Bed \& Breakfasts as having 5 bedrooms for transient use of up to 10 lodgers where breakfast is served.

AJ704.3 Special conditions. A one-family dwelling is permitted to be converted for use as a bed and breakfast dwelling under the following conditions:

1. No sleeping rooms for transient use shall be located above the second story.
2. A fire-safety notice shall be affixed to the occupied side of the entrance door of
each bedroom for transient use indicating:
a. Means of egress;
b. Location of means for transmitting fire alarms, if any; and
c. Evacuation procedures to be followed in the event of a fire or smoke condition or upon activation of a fire or smoke-detecting or other alarm device.
AJ704.4 Means of egress. Means of egress shall include at least one of the following alternatives:
3. A limited area sprinkler system installed in conformance with NFPA 13D protecting all interior stairs serving as a means of egress;
4. An exterior stair conforming to the requirements of R311.7 of this code, providing a second means of egress from all above grade stories or levels; or
5. An opening for emergency use conforming to the requirements of Section R310 of this code within each bedroom for transient use, such opening to have a sill not more than 14 feet above level grade directly below and, as permanent equipment, a portable escape ladder that attaches securely to such sill. Such ladder shall be constructed with rigid rungs designed to stand off from the building wall, shall be capable of sustaining a minimum load of 1,000 pounds, and shall extend to and provide unobstructed egress to open space at grade.

AJ802.3 Automatic sprinkler systems. Whenever a vertical addition is made to a building to create a third story above grade, or when the floor area of a legally existing third story is increased more than 10 percent, the building shall be equipped throughout with an automatic sprinkler system installed in accordance with NFPA 13D.

## Budget Adjustment Form



Description: HOUSEKEEPING BUDGET ENTRY FOR $5 / 31 / 2017$ - GOVERNMENTAL FUND
Account \# Order: No Print Parent Account: No

| Account No. | Account Description | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. 1010.100 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES.PERSONNEL SERVICES | 322.00 |
| A. 1010.410 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES.MINUTES.. | 2,748.00 |
| A. 1113.100 | PARKING ENFORCEMENT.PERSONNEL SERVICES | 512.00 |
| A. 1420.401 | LABOR COUNSEL.. | 4,503.00 |
| A. 1620.420 | BUILDING ELECTRIC \& LIGHTS.. | 51.00 |
| A. 1210.400 | MAYOR.CONTR EXP.. | 90.00 |
| A.5110.413 | TRASH/RECYCLE.. | 880.00 |
| A. 7120.100 | RECREATION CENTER.PERSONNEL SERVICES | 439.00 |
| A.7120.401 | RECREATION CENTER EXPENSE | 1,332.00 |
| A.7120.402 | SKATEBOARD PARK EXP | 319.00 |
| A. 7180.400 | MCCANN TRAILER PARK.EXP | 404.00 |
| A. 7311.400 | ICE RINK EXPENSE | 3,715.00 |
| A. 8020.400 | PLANNING CONTRACTUAL EXPENSE.. | 700.00 |
| A. 8620.800 | COMM DEV.EMPLOYEE BENEFITS | 125.00 |
| A. 1325.400 | TREASURER.CONTR EXP.. | 90.00 |
| A. 1420.400 | LAW.CONTR EXP.. | 5,512.00 |
| A. 1620.400 | BUILDING CONTR EXP.. | 630.00 |
| A. 1650.400 | MUNICIPAL WEB SITE.. | 38.00 |
| A. 7110.400 | PARKS.. | 31.00 |
| A. 7110.418 | PARKS.. PUMP OUT BOAT EXPENSE | 1,551.00 |
| A. 7110.401 | PARKS EXPENSE RECREATION | 133.00 |
| A. 7230.401 | MITCHELL MARINA CONTRACTUAL EXP | 178.00 |
| A. 7230.413 | MITCHELL PARK REFUSE AND GROUNDS | 265.00 |
| A.7312.100 | CAROUSEL PERSONNEL SERVICES | 72.00 |
| A. 1010.400 | BOARD OF TRUSTEES.CONTR EXP.. | 55.00 |
| A. 7230.408 | MITCHELL MARINA R \& M | -4,905.00 |
| A.7124.101 | LIFE GUARD.. | -1,820.00 |
| A.7020.400 | ELECTRIC SERVICE RECREATION | -4,470.00 |
| A. 7110.101 | PARKS..RECREATION PERSONNEL | -13,500.00 |
|  | Total Amount: | 0.00 |

## Budget Adjustment Form

| Year: | 2018 | Period: 6 | Trans Type: | B2-Amend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Account No. | Account Description | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| E. 5990 | APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE | $780,355.00$ |
| E. 0352.205 | TRANSM SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT.. | $780,355.00$ |
|  |  | Total Amount: |
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LOCAL LAW NO. OF THE YEAR 2017
AMENDING CHAPTER 103 OF THE GREENPORT VILLAGE CODE
RENTAL REGULATIONS FOR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES
BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF GREENPORT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1.0 Enactment, Effective Date, Purpose and Definitions.
1.1 Title of Local Law
1.2 Enactment.
1.3 Effective Date.
1.4 Purpose and Intent of Local Law.
2.0 General Provisions
2.1 Amendment of Chapter 103
3.0 Severability

### 1.1 Title

This Local Law shall be entitled "Local Law of 2017 Amending Chapter 103 of the Greenport Village Code, Rental Regulations for Residential Properties".

### 1.2. Enactment.

Pursuant to Section 10 of the Home Rule Law and the Village Law of the State of New York, the Incorporated Village of Greenport, County of Suffolk and State of New York, hereby enacts by this Local Law of 2017, a Local Law of the Village of Greenport.

### 1.3. Effective Date.

This Local Law shall take effect on the filing of the approved Local Law with the
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Secretary of State of New York, which shall be within twenty (20) days after its approval by the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Greenport.

### 1.4 Purpose and Intent of Local Law.

The purpose and intent of this Local Law is to amend the existing Chapter 103 regulations for property rentals in the Village of Greenport to apply to all rentals in the Village in order to maintain minimum quality standards for habitation, and to maintain and protect the quality of life and safety of the residents of the Village of Greenport.

General Provisions.

### 2.1 Chapter 103 of the Greenport Village Code is hereby amended to read as follows: <br> 103-1 Legislative Intent

The Village Board of the Village of Greenport hereby finds that it is in the public interest to prevent unsafe conditions arising from the rental of dwelling units that are in violation of the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York Supplement, or the Greenport Village Code, and any other code or regulation applicable within the Village of Greenport, and dwelling units that are inadequate in size, overcrowded and dangerous; or which pose hazards to life, limb and property of residents of the Village of Greenport or that tend to promote and encourage deterioration of the housing stock of the Village of Greenport; create blight, excessive vehicle traffic and parking problems; and overburden municipal services. The Board of Trustees further finds that additional Code provisions are required to halt the proliferation of such conditions and that the public health, safety, welfare and good order governance of the Village of Greenport will be enhanced by enactment of the regulations set forth in this Chapter.

## 103-2 Applicability

This Chapter shall apply to all rental units located within the Village of Greenport, whether or not the use and occupancy thereof shall be permitted under the applicable use
regulations for the zoning district in which such rental unit is located. Any dwelling unit, rooming house unit, boarding house unit or any other premises subject to this Chapter shall be presumed to be rented for a fee and consideration if said premises are not occupied by the legal owner thereof.

## 103-3 Relation to other Laws and Regulations

The provisions of this Chapter shall be deemed to supplement applicable State and local laws, codes and regulations. Nothing in this Chapter shall be deemed to abolish, impair, supersede or replace existing remedies or existing requirements of any other provision of state or local law or code or regulation. In case of conflict between any provision of this Chapter and any applicable state or local law, code or regulation, the more restrictive or stringent provision or requirement shall prevail, except that in the event that any provision of this Chapter is inconsistent with a provision of the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement, the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement, will prevail. The issuance of any permit or the filing of any form under this Chapter does not make legal any action or state of facts that is otherwise illegal, unlawful or unpermitted, or otherwise in contravention of any other applicable law, code, rule or regulation.

## 103-4 Definitions

As used in this Chapter, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:
BUILDING DEPARTMENT; The Building Department of the Village of Greenport or any agent or officer of such Department.

BUILDING INSPECTOR; The Building Inspector of the Village of Greenport or any person duly appointed as such Inspector by the Mayor and approved by the Board of Trustees.

CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER; Any officer of the Village of Greenport that is authorized to act as a Code Enforcement Officer by the New York State Uniform Building and Fire Prevention Code. For purposes of this Chapter, Code Enforcement Officer shall also
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include those officers and employees of the Village of Greenport that are designated to enforce the Greenport Village Code and issue violations under this Chapter, which shall include the Building Inspector, Village Administrator, Housing Inspector, any Fire Marshal, Fire Inspector or Chief of the Fire Department, or their designees that may be appointed by the Mayor and approved by the Board of Trustees.

CONVENTIONAL BEDROOM; A room designed as a bedroom or, as in the case of a studio apartment, a common room used for sleeping purposes. Rooms having other purposes, such as dens, kitchens, living rooms or hallways, are not to be interpreted or used as conventional bedrooms. A room that is not a conventional bedroom may not be converted to a conventional bedroom without the permission of the Building Department. The definition and interpretation of the use of a room as a bedroom shall be as provided in the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement.

DWELLING, ONE FAMILY; A unit designed and authorized for exclusive occupancy as a home or residence for not more than one family, which building shall have not more than one kitchen.

DWELLING UNIT; A building or entirely self-contained portion thereof containing complete housekeeping facilities for only one family, and having no enclosed space (other than vestibules, entranceways, or other hallways or porches) or cooking or sanitary facilities in common with any other dwelling unit and which contains at least and not more than one kitchen.

FAMILY; Two or more persons that are related by blood, adoption, civil union or marriage, or up to five persons that are not related by blood, adoption, civil union or marriage, that are oecupying one dwelling unit and living together as a family or the functional equivalent of a family. There shall be a presumption that more than five persons that are not related by blood, adoption, civil union or marriage occupying one dwelling unit are not a family, which presumption may be rebutted by proof presented to the Building Inspector of the Village of
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Greenport. (deletion requested by Trustee Phillips)
IMMEDIATE FAMILY; The owner's spouse, children, parents, siblings, grandparents or grandehildren. (Deletion requested by Trustee Phillips).

INTERNATIONAL CODE: The 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement.

KITCHEN; Any assembly of cabinets, appliances, countertops or plumbing used in connection with the storage, preparation or cooking of food.

MANAGING AGENT; Any individual, business, partnership, firm, corporation, enterprise, trustee, company, industry, association, public entity or other legal entity responsible for the maintenance or operation of any rental property as defined within this Chapter.

MULTIPLE DWELLING BUILDING; A building or portion thereof containingthree Two or more dwelling units and designed for, or occupied by, three or more families living independently of each other. (change requested by Trustee Phillips).

NEW PERMIT; A permit that is issued to the owner of an intended rental dwelling unit where such premises does not possess a rental permit that is valid and in force prior to the date that the application is submitted for said rental permit.

NONCONFORMING BUILDING OR USE; A building or use that does not conform to the applicable building, zoning or other relevant regulations of the district in which it is situated.

NONHABITABLE SPACE; Space used as kitchenettes, pantries, bath, toilet, laundry, rest, dressing, locker, storage, utility, heater, boiler and recreation rooms, closets and other space for service and maintenance of the building, and those spaces used for access and vertical travel between stories and specifically excluding any rooms used for sleeping, eating and cooking, other than kitchenettes and as may otherwise be designated by the International Code or other codes and regulations of the State of New York. The presence of a bed or bed frame, crib or
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other sleeping provisions in a room is presumptive evidence that the room is being used for sleeping purposes.

OWNER; Any person, partnership, corporation or other entity who alone, or jointly with others, has legal title to any premises, with or without accompanying actual possession thereof; or who shall have charge, care or control of any dwelling unit as a cooperative shareholder or as executor, administrator, trustee, receiver or guardian of the estate or as a mortgagee in possession, title or control; including but not limited to a bank or lending institution, regardless of how such possession, title or control was obtained.

OWNER-OCCUPIED; Occupation (other than a multiple-dwelling structure), by the owner and/or his immediate family.

PERSON; Includes any individual, business, partnership, firm, corporation, enterprise, trustee, company, industry, association, public entity or other legal entity.

PUBLISH; Promulgation of an available rental property to the general public or to selected segments of the general public, in a newspaper, magazine, flyer, handbill, mailed circular, bulletin board, sign or electronic media.

RENEWAL RENTAL PERMIT; A permit which is to be issued to the owner of the rental property where such dwelling unit has been the subject of a rental permit continuously prior to the date of the application for the permit.

RENT; A return, in money, property or other valuable consideration (including payout in kind or services or other thing of value), for the use and occupancy or the right to the use and occupancy of a rental property, whether or not a legal relationship of landlord and tenant exists between the owner and the occupant or occupants thereof.

RENTAL PERMIT; A permit issued by the Building Inspector to the owner to allow the use or occupancy of a rental property.

RENTAL PROPERTY; A dwelling unit which is occupied for habitation as a residence
by persons, other than the owner or the owner's immediate family, and for which rent is received by the owner, directly or indirectly, in exchange for such residential occupation. For purposes of this Chapter, the term "rental property" shall mean all non-owner-occupied single-family residences, two-family residences (where neither of the dwelling units is owner-occupied), multifamily residences, and townhouses, including owner occupied dwellings that rent out apartments and shall exclude: (addition requested by Trustee Phillips).
A. Properties used exclusively for nonresidential commercial purposes in any zoning district; or
B. Any legally operating commercial hotel/motel business or bed-and-breakfast establishment operating exclusively and catering to transient clientele, that is, customers who customarily reside at these establishments for short durations for the purpose of vacationing, travel, business, recreational activities, conventions, emergencies and other activities that are customary to a commercial hotel/motel business.

TWO-FAMILY BUILDING; A building containing two dwelling units and designed and authorized for exclusive occupancy as a home or residence for not more than two families living independently of each other, which building shall have at least, but not more than, one kitchen per dwelling unit.

## 103-5 Confidential Information Maintained by Owner

The Owner shall maintain a confidential record of the names and telephone numbers of the Tenants.

## 103-6 Rental permit required

Effective the date of the filing of this local law with the New York State Department of State no owner shall cause, permit or allow the occupancy or use of a dwelling unit as a rental property without a valid rental permit and no person shall occupy or otherwise use a dwelling unit or any part thereof as a rental property without a valid rental permit being issued for the
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rental unit. A rental permit issued under this Chapter shall only be issued to the owner(s) of the real property at issue.

## Section 103-7 Application for a Rental Permit

A. An application for a rental permit that has been signed and sworn to by the owner and the managing agent, where applicable, is required to be filed for all dwelling units that are used as rental properties and existing rentals. Where a dwelling unit is to be used as a rental property, an application for a rental permit that has been signed and sworn to by the owner and the managing agent, where applicable, shall be filed with the Building Inspector before the term of the rental is to commence.
B. The application shall contain the following:

1. The legal residence of each owner and in the event that the owner of the rental property is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or other business entity, the name, proof of legal residence, and telephone number of the responsible party of the owner or owner entity shall be provided.
2. The name, address and telephone number of the managing agent, if applicable.
3. The location of the rental property, including the street address and the Suffolk County Tax Map parcel number.
4. Verification of an inspection by the Village of Greenport or a written certification from a licensed architect or licensed engineer, or proof of inspection or report by a governmental agency or representative of that agency that states that the rental property fully complies with all of the provisions of the Greenport Village Code, the 2015 International Fire Prevention and Building Code, New York State Supplement, and any other applicable codes and regulations of the State of New York, which certification shall not have been completed more than thirty (30) days prior to the date of the application.

## 5. Floor plan of rental unit. (addition requested by Trustee Robins).

## Section 103-8 Review of application; issuance of rental permit

The Building Inspector or the inspector's designated agent shall review each application for completeness and accuracy and shall make an on-site inspection of the proposed rental property unless the owner has elected to provide a certification from a licensed architect or a licensed engineer, or from a governmental agency complying with Section 103-7(B)(7) above. The Building Inspector shall issue a rental permit when the application is complete and fee paid, and when the Building Inspector, based on an inspection or on the paperwork submitted, is satisfied that the proposed rental property fully complies with the Greenport Village Code, the 2015 International Fire Prevention and Building Code, New York State Supplement, and the other codes and regulations of the State of New York.

## 103-9 Term of permits and renewal

All permits issued pursuant to this Chapter shall have a term of two years. A renewal rental permit application signed by the owner shall be completed and filed with the Building Inspector not later than thirty (30) days prior to the expiration of any valid rental permit. The renewal rental permit application shall contain the following:

1. An official copy of the prior valid rental permit;
2. Either: (a) a signed and sworn affidavit by the owner affirming that the rental property, to the best of his/her knowledge, fully complies with all of the provisions of the Greenport Village Code and the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York State Supplement, that the structure has not been physically altered in any way, except in full conformance with a valid building permit, and the owner is not aware of the property being in violation of the Greenport Village Code or the 2015 International Fire Prevention and Building Code or (b) an inspection by the Building Inspector of the Village of Greenport.
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## Section 103-10 Register of permits

It shall be the duty of the Building Inspector to maintain a register of permits issued pursuant to this Chapter. Such register shall be kept by street address, showing the name and address of the permittee, the number of rooms in the rental property, and the date of expiration of the rental permit.

Section 103-11 Fees
A. A nonrefundable biennial permit application fee, in the amount of $\$ 100$, shall be paid upon the filing of an application for a rental permit or a renewal rental permit.
B. The nonrefundable annual permit application fee shall be waived if the owner of a rental property leases for the entire rental term to households that are verified as low income households, in such rental amounts as adopted by the Board of Trustees through the annual resolution which updates the rental formula multipliers for units reserved for income-eligible households pursuant to the Greenport Village Code.
C. The nonrefundable biennial rental permit application fee shall be waived if the owner of a rental property qualifies for any of the following real property tax exemptions at his or her primary residence located in the Village of Greenport:

1. Enhanced STAR;
2. Veterans exemption; or
3. Senior citizens exemption.
4. The owner of a rental property submits a sworn affidavit affirming that the rental property will be leased to any active member of a volunteer fire department or ambulance corps and/or if the owner is qualified for a volunteer firefighter or ambulance worker real property tax exemption.
5. The non-refundable biennial rental permit application fee shall be waived if the owner of a rental property submits a sworn affidavit affirming that the rental property will be
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leased to a senior citizen or a qualified disabled person.
D. If an owner of a rental property is found by any court of competent jurisdiction to have violated this Chapter, within a two year period preceding the date of the commencement of the renewal period, the nonrefundable bienniel rental permit application fee for the property involved will be $\$ 500$.

Section 103-12 Regulations
A. A rental property shall only be leased, occupied or used by one person or a family.
B. No rental property shall be occupied by more than the number of persons permitted to occupy the rental property under the International Code and the other codes and regulations of the State of New York.
C. No more than two bedrooms shall be permitted in the basement of a rental property.
D. The selling of shares to subtenants where they obtain rights for use and/or occupancy in a dwelling shall be prohibited.
E. The leasing, subleasing, occupancy or use by a tenant of less than the entire rental property is prohibited.
F. The owner(s) and tenant(s) shall ensure that all applicable parking regulations provided for in the Greenport Village Code are satisfied.
G. A rental property shall only be occupied or otherwise utilized in accordance with the certificate of occupancy issued for the rental property.
H. The owner(s) and tenant(s) shall ensure that all property maintenance regulations provided for in the Greenport Village Code, the codes and regulations of the State of New York, and the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Section 8 Housing Quality Standard Inspection Checklist standards are satisfied as may be applicable.
I. Dumpsters are prohibited on residential property for anything other than
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incidental short term use.

## Section 103-13 Inspections

The Building Inspector and Village personnel or agents for the Village who are engaged in the enforcement of the provisions of this Chapter and the 2015 International Building and Fire Prevention Code, New York Supplement, are authorized to make or cause to be made inspections to determine the condition of rental properties to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The owner of a rental property may request assistance from the Village with regard to an eviction that is related to a dangerous, hazardous or unsafe condition at a premises, and the Village can go to an owner for the assistance in the inspection or removal of a dangerous, hazardous of unsafe condition.

## Section 103-14 Application for search warrant authorized

The Village is authorized to make application for the issuance of a search warrant in order to conduct an inspection of any rental property where the owner or tenant refuses or fails to allow an inspection of its premises and where there is reasonable cause to believe that a violation of this Chapter or the New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code or the other codes and regulations of the State of New York.

Section 103-15 Revocation of permit
A. The Building Inspector shall revoke a rental permit when the Building Inspector finds that the permit holder has caused, permitted or allowed to exist and remain upon the rental property a violation of any provision of the Greenport Village Code for a period of fourteen (14) calendar days or more after written notice has been provided to the permit holder, owner, managing agent, or tenant of the rental property by mail, certified mail, or hand delivery.
B. An appeal from such revocation may be made in writing by the permit holder to the Board of Trustees within 30 days from the date of such revocation. The Village Board of Trustees shall hold a public hearing on such appeal within 30 days after receipt of written notice
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of such appeal and, after such hearing, shall make written findings and a decision either sustaining such permit revocation or reinstating such permit within 30 days after close of such public hearing.

Section 103-16 Presumptive evidence dwelling unit is being used as rental property
A. The presence or existence of any of the following shall create a presumption that a dwelling unit is being used as a rental property:

1. The property is occupied by someone other than the owner or his/her immediate family;
2. Voter registration, motor vehicle registration, a driver's license, or any other document filed with a public or private entity which states that the owner of the rental property resides at an address other than the rental property;
3. Utilities, cable, phone or other services are in place or requested to be installed or used at the premises in the name of someone other than the record owner;
4. Persons residing in the dwelling unit represent that they pay rent to occupy the premises;
5. A dwelling unit which has been published or advertised in print, on social media of any kind or form, or any other form of media or publication as being available for rent;
B. The foregoing may be rebutted by evidence presented to the Building Inspector or any court of competent jurisdiction.

Section 103-17 Presumptive evidence of multifamily occupancy
A. It shall be presumed that a single- or one-family dwelling unit is occupied by more than one family if any two or more of the following features are found to exist on the premises:

1. More than one mailbox, mail slot or post office address;
2. More than one electric meter annexed to the exterior of the premises;
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3. More than one doorbell or doorway on the same side of the dwelling unit;
4. More than one connecting line for cable television service;
5. More than one antenna, satellite dish, or related receiving equipment;
6. There are three or more motor vehicles registered to the dwelling and each vehicle owner has a different surname;
7. There are more than three waste receptacles, cans, containers, bags or boxes containing waste from the premises placed for pickup at least twice during a weekly garbage pickup area;
8. There are separate entrances for segregated parts of the dwelling;
9. There are partitions or internal doors which may serve to bar access between segregated portions of the dwelling, including but not limited to bedrooms;
10. There exists a separate written or oral lease or rental arrangement, payment or agreement for portions of the dwelling among the owner and/or occupants and/or persons in possession thereof;
11. Any occupant or person in possession thereof does not have unimpeded and/or lawful access to all parts of the dwelling unit;
12. Two or more kitchens, each containing one or more of the following: a range, oven, hotplate, microwave or other similar device customarily used for cooking or preparation of food and/or a refrigerator;
13. There are bedrooms that are separately locked.
B. If any two or more of the features set forth in Subsection $A(1)$ through (13) are found to exist on the premises by the Building Inspector or Village personnel engaged in the enforcement of the provisions of this Chapter, a verified statement will be requested from the owner of the dwelling unit by the Building Inspector that the dwelling unit is in compliance with all of the provisions of the Greenport Village Code the laws and sanitary and housing regulations
of the County of Suffolk and the laws of the State of New York. If the owner fails to submit such verified statement, in writing, to the Building Inspector within ten (10) calendar days of such request, such shall be deemed a violation of this Chapter.

## Section 103-18 Presumptive evidence of owner's residence

A. It shall be presumed that an owner of a rental property does not reside within said rental property if two or more of the following sets forth an address other than that of the rental property:

1. Voter registration;
2. Motor vehicle registration;
3. Driver's license; or
4. Utility Bill
5. Any other document filed with a public or private entity.
B. The foregoing may be rebutted by evidence presented to the Building Inspector or any court of competent jurisdiction.

Section 103-19 Presumptive evidence of over-occupancy
A. It shall be presumed that a bedroom is over-occupied if more than two mattresses or sleeping provisions for more than two persons exist in a single bedroom.
B. The existence of the number of motor vehicles at the premises may be used by the Village as a presumption of the number of individuals occupying the premises.

Section 103-20 General applicability of presumptions.
The presumptions set forth in this Chapter, subject to the limitations contained therein, shall also be applicable to the enforcement and the prosecution of building and zoning Greenport Village Code violations.

Section 103-21 Appeals of Building Inspector Determinations
An appeal from the Building Inspector's determination may be taken to the Board of
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Trustees, by written request, within 30 days of such determination. The Board of Trustees shall hold a public hearing on such appeal within sixty (60) calendar days after receipt of written notice of such appeal and, after such hearing, shall make written findings and a decision either sustaining or reversing such determination within thirty (30) calendar days after close of such public hearing.

Section 103-22 Penalties for offenses
A. The first violation of this Chapter within an eighteen (18) month period by the owner(s) and/or tenant(s) shall be punishable by a fine of not less than $\$ 500$ nor more than \$1,500.
B. The second violation of this Chapter within an eighteen (18) month period shall be punishable by a fine of not less than $\$ 1,000$ nor more than $\$ 2,500$.
C. The third violation of this Chapter within an eighteen (18) month period shall be punishable by a fine of not less than $\$ 1,500$ nor more than $\$ 5,000$.
D. Each day that a violation of this Chapter should exist shall constitute a separate violation of this Chapter.
E. For the purpose of conferring jurisdiction upon courts and judicial officers in general, violations of this Chapter shall be deemed misdemeanors, and, for such purpose only, all provisions of law relating to misdemeanors shall apply. Each day's continued violation shall constitute a separate additional violation.
F. A violation existing at the premises shall be a violation by both the tenant and the owner and at the sole discretion of the Village either the tenant or the owner or both the tenant and the owner may be charged with and prosecuted for the existence of a violation.
G. Additionally, in lieu of imposing the fine authorized by this Chapter, in accordance with Penal Law $\S 80.05(5)$, the court may sentence the defendant(s) to pay an amount, fixed by the court, not exceeding double the amount of the rent collected over the term
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of the occupancy.
H. The court may dismiss the violation or reduce the minimum fine imposed where it finds that the defendant has cooperated with the Village of Greenport in the investigation and prosecution of a violation of this Chapter.
I. Where authorized by a duly adopted resolution of the Board of Trustees, the Village Attorney may bring and maintain a civil proceeding, in the name of the Village, in the Supreme Court, to permanently enjoin the person or persons conducting, maintaining or permitting said violation or for other relief as may be appropriate or to take such other civil action as may be necessary to correct, prevent or remove a violation or unsafe and hazardous condition. The owner and tenants of the residence wherein the violation is conducted, maintained or permitted may be made defendants in the action. The commencement of a civil proceeding by the Village shall not be deemed or construed to be a waiver by the Village of the right to bring an action for prosecution or enforcement of the violation and the fines and penalties under this Section or as otherwise may be applicable under the law and the election of either a prosecution or civil proceeding by the Village shall not be exclusive of any other remedy. The Village shall be entitled to an award of all costs in the proceeding, including but not limited to administrative, engineering, filing, and other costs and legal fees, and to bring a separate action for those costs as may be necessary.
J. The Village shall have the right, after the determination by the Building Inspector, or other appropriate official or employee of the Village, that a dangerous, hazardous or unsafe condition exists on a premises that is subject to this Chapter, after the expiration of ten (10) calendar days from the date of the mailing of written notice to the owner and the occupant served by regular and certified mail return receipt requested, to enter the premises and cure, correct or repair the dangerous, hazardous or unsafe condition, and all costs and expenses associated with that action by the Village, (including administrative, engineering and professional fees in the

Draft Rental Permit Law;
February 8, 2017 updated June 6, 2017
amount of $25 \%$ of the actual costs and expenses incurred in curing, correcting or repairing the condition), shall be reimbursed by the owner of the property to the Village, and in the event that the amount has not been paid to the Village within ten days of the date of billing, the amount shall become a lien on the property and billable in the next Village real estate tax bill for that property. The notice required in this section shall not prejudice or affect any other right that the Village may have under the law to address or remedy a dangerous, hazardous or unsafe condition, including the right to immediately enter the property to correct a condition that is an imminent danger to life, property or public safety.

## Severability

In the event that one or more of the provisions of this local law or Chapter shall be deemed to be unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this local law or Chapter shall remain in full force and effect.

