1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 7 p.m.)
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Call the meeting to order
3	with the Pledge to the Flag.
4	(Pledge of Allegiance)
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. We'll
6	start with the Fire Department report. Chief
7	Wayde Manwaring is here.
8	CHIEF MANWARING: How are you all doing? I
9	hope you got all our reports for the month.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yep.
11	CHIEF MANWARING: There's no applications
12	for membership. And as you all can see, I was
13	elected back in again as the Head Engineer. I
14	have Albie De Kerillis will be my First
15	Assistant, and Craig Johnson will be my Second
16	Assistant. Hopefully, next month we'll have a
17	little more to tell you.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, congratulations to all
19	of you.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well done.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for the Chief?
22	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Congratulations.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, all good. Thank you.
25	Okay. We'll do the Village Administrator

report, Paul Pallas. 1 2 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. So a couple of add-on items on my discussion list. 3 4 The first one I want to -- I wanted just to talk about just very briefly. 5 6 I had sent an email out to you all regarding 7 the Climate Leadership and Community Protection 8 Act that the State had passed two years ago, and 9 the CAC, which is -- I don't know, I forget their acronym, it's irrelevant. It's a group that's 10 been in charge at the State level to implement 11 12 some of these new laws on environmental cleanup, the air. The rules say that we have to be at 70% 13 statewide, 70% carbon free by 2030, and carbon 14 neutral by 2040. 15 16 We are -- our State Association is working 17 on comments. I had mentioned that in my email. 18 We're continuing to work through those comments. 19 The deadline was extended to June, I believe. was originally this month, but they extended it to 20 21 June, because it was just too extensive. 22 holding hearings throughout the state. 23 The other, the second piece of this, the 24 funding that becomes available to implement this,

the law requires that 35, minimum 35%, up to 40%

of the money that becomes available will be allocated to what's known as disadvantaged communities, and Greenport is. They're done by census track. Greenport is a -- its own census track in total. There's other communities where bits and pieces are broken up into different census tracks. So we are on that list. That's the good news, that money -- it's a draft, but it could change. But we should be able -- I believe we'll end up staying on the list.

The Association is -- we haven't decided if we're going to make comments on that yet, we may. The good news is that we might have access to a little bit more money. The bad news is that half of the state is in that same category. So even though 30 to 40%, 35 to 40% of the money is going to be allocated, it's so watered down that I don't know how much of a difference it's really going to make. But I just wanted to keep you up to date on that. And as those comments get prepared, I will send them out to you all.

The next item that I'm adding on, NYSERDA, I don't know if I had reported this last month or not, but NYSERDA has a program opportunity notice that is specifically geared for municipal

utilities and cooperative utilities. Typically, we are not eligible for NYSERDA grants, because their -- most of their funding comes from an adder that goes on to investor-owned utility bills. So we don't -- we don't have to pay that, so we're not eligible for the money.

This money is -- was allocated for us. It comes from -- what's known as RGGI funding, which two of our -- two of the State members of the Association do pay into. So we -- we've been working on trying to get this money allocated to the municipal utilities for 20 years. I went to many, many, many meetings to try to get this allocated, they finally did.

The entire pot of money is only \$3 million spread across 51 municipal and cooperative utilities. Not a lot of money, but it's a foot in the door. And one of the ideas of trying to do this with the infrastructure funding coming out any day now, it's kind of like a stepping stone to get to that money, because that money is also going to be flowed through NYSERDA as well. So we need to show NYSERDA that we can actually implement these projects. We've been asking them for 20 years, so now we have to actually do

1 something.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have two projects that I'm considering applying for. One is for street lights, LED street lights that also has a monitoring component to it. It would serve as sort of an outage management system, a simple outage management So I'm going to use that as one of the system. applications. The second one I'm thinking about, with the two microgrid projects we've done with the battery storage components, to try to find a way to control them a little bit differently, so that they discharge when the -- when prices are Not necessarily when we're at any kind of a peak, but when energy prices are high, so that it offsets some of the higher costs. Not a lot of capacity, but it would just be a proof of concept kind of an application. So those are the two that I'm looking at. I just wanted to let you know about that.

In speaking of the infrastructure dollars, as part of the meeting I just got back from Upstate with -- New York Power Authority was -- attended the meetings as well. We met with them to discuss ways to work with them on infrastructure grant applications, and they have agreed to

actually work with all the municipals to help us make these applications. It's a very difficult process to get through and complex, and, you know, it's -- we don't have the staffing, really, to do as good a job as we could do. But NYPA has agreed to help us through that process once that money becomes available. I have a couple of meetings scheduled with them over the next month or two to discuss the process for that. So just again, just wanted to let you know about that.

On the microgrid project status, the generator is here outside. They've taken down part of the building to accommodate that. They're pouring the foundation for the battery bank here. So that project is moving still very well, both on the generator side, the solar panel side, and the distribution side. So we're happy with that project as it -- as it's going down.

I did skip the -- does somebody have a question?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have a question, Paul.

Not a question, a comment.

Going back to the streetlight program, in one of the conversations I had last night with one of the NYAPP reps, talking about the smart --

1	they're basically like smart street lights. They
2	call it a node, I think. It has a sensor in it,
3	and anything can be programmed to do just about
4	anything.
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It can be used actually, he
7	told me, for speed control cameras. I mean, they
8	can they could be, you know, outfitted with
9	almost any capability
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: you know, depending on
12	what a municipality wanted, so
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And, you know, this would
15	be an opportunity for us, rather than doing a
16	piecemeal replacement, to do the whole thing at
17	one time if we got the grant, correct?
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, yes. That's
19	exactly the plan, yep. Initially, I was looking
20	at only just doing the simple street light, but in
21	thinking about this grant opportunity, it just
22	seems to make sense to me to expand it a little
23	more to include this monitoring component.
24	The first item on my list is the effluent
25	reuse project. I don't have any anything,

anything new to report, really. I just want to remind you we're kind of at a standstill in that process at this stage. We are still investigating alternatives. We're going to need to -- in order to progress that at all, we're going to need to do a little bit more investigative work, which is going to cost money, unfortunately. But I will report next month in a little more detail than that. I'm still gathering some information on it.

Ferry queue project, nothing from the DOT yet. You know, we do reach out to them on -- you know, on a biweekly or monthly basis to see if they have any comments. We have -- they -- they're still working on it, they tell us.

The one piece that we are continuing to progress is an agreement with the -- with the MTA. The Village Attorney and I have been reviewing the draft grant. We have some work to do on that, but there's no -- no issue from the MTA at this stage. They're willing to enter into an agreement, which I knew going in, but now I've got the paper to prove it. So that's moving in the right direction as well.

I did want to mention that Mayor Hubbard had sent the information from Senator Schumer's Office

1	on appropriation requests, and we were able to
2	craft an application for a bulkhead grant, so that
3	was submitted. Again, I don't know if it's going
4	to go anywhere, but at least it puts it on the
5	radar that, hey, this is a major project that
6	we're the Village desperately needs, and so it
7	won't be foreign when we start knocking on doors
8	later on. So it's a if we get nothing out of
9	this, it's at least some of that.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Paul, one more question.
11	Is it possible on that bulkhead grant to tie that
12	in with sea level change and climate change, you
13	know, that we I mean, we are talking about
14	making that bulkhead higher, aren't we?
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct. I think,
16	if yes, the short answer is yes.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That was that was
18	brought up
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, it
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: a couple of years ago,
21	when we would when the project was getting in
22	the development stage.
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, as part of
24	the design package. I think
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Package, yeah.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I think even the
2	if I if I understand correctly, DEC almost
3	requires you to go higher.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They do now, it would
5	seem.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So that would have
7	been part of the permit process, which we do we
8	do have. Those are the only discussion items. I
9	do have a couple of resolutions.
10	Just APPA, America Public Power Association
11	annual conference in June. They haven't they
12	had one last year, but I didn't go, and I hadn't
13	gone for a couple of years. But I would I
14	think it's important to go. And with all the
15	things that are going on, particularly at the
16	Federal level, with all this money that's
17	hopefully coming our way, I think it's important
18	just to keep keep abreast of all that
19	information.
20	And it's our annual MS4 report consultant
21	services, that's yeah, that's on for
22	resolutions.
23	That's it for me, unless anybody else has
24	any questions.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Who is for the MS

	Work Session 4/21/22 12	2
1	who's the consulting service?	
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Holzmacher.	
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's Holzmacher?	
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.	
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.	
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for Paul?	
7	(No Response)	
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, thank you. Village	
9	Treasurer report, Robert Brandt.	
10	MR. BRANDT: Good evening, everyone. A	
11	couple of items here.	
12	The Mayor has made a recommendation to amend	
13	the tentative budget by increasing allocation to	
14	Account A1002, which is Business Improvement	
15	District, by \$2,500, and, of course, increasing	
16	the appropriations in the same amount. I would be	
17	amending the resolution to adopt the tentative	
18	budget to include the change to the budget. Any	
19	questions on that?	
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. I think it's	
21	MR. BRANDT: I do want to make two points on	
22	that. It increases the levy as reported to New	
23	York State in regard to the tax cap reporting, but	
24	this does not impact the tax rate to the	
25	taxpayers, just for clarity on that. Anything,	

report? (No Response)

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you, Robert.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, thank you.

TREASURER BRANDT: Okay, thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The Village Clerk

25 report, Sylvia Pirillo.

20

1	CLERK PIRILLO: Hi. Good evening, everyone.
2	Starting as usual from the end, the add-on.
3	There's an add-on resolution for our annual
4	Intermunicipal Agreement with the Town of Southold
5	for the marine excuse me, for the marine
6	pumpout station, so I'll be adding that on. I
7	received the agreement just recently.
8	The PERMA annual report tells us that our
9	experience rating, known by the acronym EMF, has
10	been steadily improving. This year it improved to
11	a .86, which is excellent, an excellent rating.
12	Any rating under 1.0 is known to be an extremely
13	good one, and with that, we should be seeing a
14	return of premium, as they call it, coming to us
15	shortly.
16	We've had a request from the Impossible
17	Dream, the ship that has been with us one or two
18	times before. I know they came last year with
19	much success. They would like to join us again
20	from June 28th through July 1st, and have two
21	sails during that time. Each sail accommodates 10
22	to 12 passengers.
23	I will discuss this request with Rich
24	Albanese upon his return, because they did ask for
25	C Dock, which is where they were before. So I

1	just want to make sure before we say, assuming
2	that the Board approves it, that we say that they
3	can come to us, that the space is available.
4	Is there any discussion on that, or is there
5	agreement that they can join us again?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I think it's a great
7	thing.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it's great, so I
9	think we should just move it forward, unless
10	anybody's got
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, no, no, no. I had
12	an opportunity, Mark and I had an opportunity to
13	visit with them before they left, the captain and
14	the crew members. It was quite impressive.
15	CLERK PIRILLO: I did the same. They're
16	very experienced, very knowledgeable, friendly,
17	and the passengers are really so appreciative of
18	it. I know we had several Peconic Landing
19	residents join, so they were very happy to be
20	there.
21	Going to my report, just under
22	informational, I did rerun the add for Traffic
23	Control Officer, and we are now extending the
24	receipt of applications or resumes to through
25	May 12th.

Regarding the letter of support to the DEC on behalf of the Widow's Hole project, I want to iterate that my wording says, "Attached letter". And the letter that's attached to my report is not the letter that we're sending to the DEC, because the Board first needs to discuss what that letter should say and how you would like to proceed with it, so that was simply a sample. So I wanted to call your attention to that. I don't know that the wording implied that.

The contract with Christina Sun for swim lessons: Swim lessons are proposed to take place on Mondays and Wednesday for toddlers from 4:30 to 5:30, for beginners from 5 to 6 p.m., and for intermediate swimmers from 6 to 7 p.m.

For Dances in the Park, we have three new bands that will be performing this year, and that in no way precludes what we're trying to do, which has -- which is to showcase our local talent, and we intend to do that prior to the regularly scheduled program. I'm working with Trustee Clarke and we are working together with the school and local performers vociferously to try to make that happen.

At the same -- in the same vein, I'd like to

1	thank Jamie Schott for volunteering his services
2	for that, so that we can work as a team to make
3	that happen for locals.
4	And I have nothing further, unless there are
5	questions.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On the resolution
7	approving or requesting approval for the public
8	assembly for the Third Street basketball court,
9	are we going to be providing garbage pickup for
10	them, or is that part of
11	CLERK PIRILLO: I believe that there are
12	trash cans in the area.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
14	CLERK PIRILLO: As with any other event,
15	they are responsible to take garbage.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, okay.
17	CLERK PIRILLO: And I believe not having
18	heard to the contrary, that they did so last time
19	and did a good job at it.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I'm just at this
21	point I mean, I think it's a great thing.
22	Don't get me wrong, I think it's great. I just
23	just it's probably going to be bigger than last
24	year, and I just assume that they'll be out into
25	the street a little bit, or do they contain

1	everybody on the on the court?
2	CLERK PIRILLO: I can't answer the question
3	because I wasn't able to attend last year, so I'm
4	not sure of the format.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just I just
6	don't want them caught with traffic, you know what
7	I'm saying?
8	CLERK PIRILLO: We didn't hear that that
9	happened last year. I'm sure that we would have
10	heard about it. We didn't hear that that
11	happened. However, when I reach out to the
12	organizers, I'll relay your two points.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, if we need to
14	have Southold Town help, Police Department help
15	deal with traffic, or maybe shut that section off
16	for the duration of the tournament, you know, what
17	I'm saying?
18	CLERK PIRILLO: I don't know that the
19	tournament spills out into the street, I haven't
20	been told that. We haven't been asked for the use
21	of the street, we've only been asked for the use
22	of the court.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: In the past I've never
25	noticed it kind of in the street before.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But, as I said, I find
2	it's going to grow from what it was last year,
3	because that is the goal of and it's gathering
4	the youth
5	CLERK PIRILLO: Sure.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: to participate. So I
7	just want to make sure that we anticipate some
8	issues, that's all.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, we can ask the
10	organizers and close up a couple of parking spots
11	and put barricades about that in the parking area
12	in front of the park. If we need if they feel
13	it's needed, and block off three parking spots
14	right in front of the court, so people could stand
15	out there if it's needed. I was unable to attend,
16	so I don't know how many people were there.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I wasn't either, but I do
18	know that the youth is you know, with the skate
19	park starting to develop for youth, and I know
20	these two young ladies are being very active in
21	getting the youth to have, you know, these type of
22	activities, and I think that they're anticipating
23	it increasing. So I'm just
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, ask them if
25	they need extra space, and then we could just

1	block off you know, the Road Department can put
2	down barricades and block off three spots, and
3	they would stand in that extra 8 feet of road area
4	right there. That would be no problem at all.
5	CLERK PIRILLO: I'll reach out to them.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, thanks.
7	CLERK PIRILLO: You're welcome.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other questions?
9	(No Response)
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, thank you.
11	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The Village Attorney
13	report.
14	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Hi, good evening. Thank
15	you. So I have also a few things that I'd like to
16	start off with that are not on my report, but I
17	would like to mention them now.
18	The first is that we continue to have a
19	particular property in the Village that's been a
20	problem as far as debris and not being kept up,
21	significant. We you did authorize me once
22	you did authorize the Village once before to to
23	commence an action to gain access to the property,
24	and also to undertake some action to clean it up.
25	I'd like to have that renewed. This I'm sorry

it didn't make it to my report, it's just come up in the last couple of days with my discussion with Code Enforcement in the Village. But if that's okay, I'd like to add that.

I was told by one of the other attorneys that's involved with the case regarding Guyer, that an appeal was filed of the judgment. I haven't seen that myself, but I just want to let you know that the word -- there is information that an appeal has been filed. So if there -- if one was filed, I don't know that it was proper or not. I may move to dismiss it. We'll have to see, you know, what the -- what it looks like procedurally, but I will follow up with an email when I find out for sure.

The Board directed me at last month's meeting to contact the Town of Southold regarding the new community housing tax, and Mayor, you directed me to do that. So what happened in the meantime since last month, just to update you, is I did -- I was table to get the text of the law and research it. And what's involved is the State has authorized the East End towns to charge an additional one -- excuse me, an additional 1/2%, in addition to the 2% transfer tax that's in

existence now, with that 1/2% being allocated towards community housing. And in order to qualify for that money, a Town has to come up with a community housing plan that's approved by their Town Board.

If there's a village -- if there's a village located in the Town, the village can, through the -- come up with its own community action plan or community housing plan and make that part of the Town plan. There is a -- there was -- there is a cooperation provision in the law, and you -- in accordance with the instructions that you gave me, I contacted the Town and told them that the Village would be interested in working with the Town on this.

I did hear back from the Deputy Town
Attorney, who is working on this, and, you know,
which was a pleasant surprise for me, and he was
amenable to this, and said that when the Town
adopts a resolution, if and when the Town adopts a
resolution, that the Village will be mentioned in
that resolution. I'm going to be confirming that.
But one of the things that we -- the Village will
need to do is to come up with some kind of a plan
to fold into the Town's plan. You know, we don't

want the Town plan to be the Village plan, we want the Village to have its own say in what will be happening with this.

So if we can move on that, then I think that we can get -- the Village would be able to have some allocation of the 1/2% money that accrues from transactions in the Village allocated to the Village community housing plan, rather than the Town plan.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do we have to pass a resolution? Aren't we -- isn't that what was in the law, that we have to pass it, we have to create a resolution to let the Town know that?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. So what I was going to -- yes. And I was going to formalize that in a letter, and I'd to say in the letter that the -- accompany the letter with the resolution that we adopt. So now that we've got this information and I've been able to speak with the Attorney -- I did speak to him on the phone about two weeks ago. I spoke to him in person about it yesterday, and I would like to have a resolution confirming that, please, if I could.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. You want that for this month?

Flynr, Stenography & Transcriptior, Service (631), 727-1107

<pre>it's value it's important that it's this mon yes. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, we need to get i this month, I think.</pre>	·
4 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, we need to get i	t
	t
5 this month, I think.	
6 MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.	
7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: In the following up	on
8 that, I wanted to let you know that a few month	3
9 ago, we when I think it was when the cent	^a1
pump station equipment was replaced, when there	
was a major investment plan by the Village, or	
undertaken by the Village, on pumping equipment	, I
did notify the Town that we, the Village tha	t
the Village wanted to be considered for some of	
the CPF money to fund that. Mayor, this was	
something that you had that you brought up.	
17 And because the CPF, the CPF funds were	
18 Community Preservation Funds were originally	
created 20 I guess 20 years ago now, 10 or 2)
years ago, with the idea that they were going	
21 to it was for land preservation. But about	
seven or eight years ago, the State added langu	age
where some of the money could be used for water	
improvement or water quality improvement. So I	
25 did make an application to the Town to be	

1	considered for some of the money on an
2	allocation of the money on that basis. We haven't
3	heard anything back from the Town on that
4	particular request. But now that we have a
5	dialogue with the Town Attorney's Office, which is
6	something new for the Village, I will pursue that
7	with Mr. Burke also.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, thank you.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I just want to mention, we
10	have we have had for about two years now
11	litigation involving a variance that was denied
12	for 412 Third Street, and the as of tomorrow,
13	that the papers on that case will be submitted,
14	fully submitted to the Judge, and then we'll be
15	waiting for the Judge to make a decision on that,
16	so we could have a decision at any time.
17	Okay. Finally I was just seeing if
18	there's anything else I wanted to talk about
19	before I talk about spoke about the parking
20	resolution.
21	So I did do some further work on the draft
22	of the parking law that I'm proposing for the
23	Board to consider, and I spoke I did I did
24	get I did receive input from several of the
25	members of the Board and explanations of what

their -- what -- their understanding of what they 1 2 would like to see in the law was, and it seemed to be pretty fairly uniform, those comments. And, 3 4 basically, what -- what I'm proposing to the Board 5 is that we don't completely take away the 6 grandfathering provision, as I had originally 7 proposed. You know, that provision that if the 8 building was existing as of 1991, then the 9 building would be exempt. But, instead, what --10 what's proposed is that the -- provided a use 11 stays the same and does not -- as long as the use 12 stays the same from one owner to another owner, or as long as an owner doesn't change the use, so 13 14 it -- so it's a new use requiring more parking, or an intensification of the existing use, I think I 15 16 used by more than 20%, if I'm not mistaken, as a suggestion, then there -- then the -- there's no 17 18 new -- no additional parking requirements. 19 So if the same use goes to -- if the use 20 goes to the same use with a new owner, or an 21

goes to the same use with a new owner, or an existing owner changing the name of the business, something like that, then there would not be -- not be a triggering of the parking requirement. However, if there's a sale of the -- if there's a change in the ownership, and by virtue of that,

22

23

24

there's a change in the business, or there is an intensification of the business which does increase the parking requirement, then the exemption would be -- there would be no exemption and the full parking would be required.

And I continue to propose that there's a limit on the waiver that the Planning Board can -- can exercise, which is -- which is that the lesser of 50%, or 20 spaces, that I proposed the last time. A couple of the members of the Board have made me go through the math on this, and I think it makes sense. You know, it was torturous going through it, but I think it does make sense, and I'm happy to explain it to the Board.

The one thing that was -- I was asked about, which I agree is quite an open question, is that in our code, there's no -- there's no trigger to go to the Planning Board if there's no change in the use, if I'm not mistaken. So if a new owner comes in and takes over, but there's no change in the use, the use stays the same, I think we've set up our code now so there's no -- there's no trigger for the Planning Board to be involved. So I think we might have to amend that provision also, so that there would be a trigger if the

1	parking if the parking was triggered.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: But that but then that
3	would involve an intensification of use, correct?
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Intensification of use or
5	a change of use. So if it's a change of use which
6	increases the requirement, or an intensification
7	of the same use which increases the requirement,
8	then that would be true.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: If you have 60 tables, and
10	now you're going to have 120 tables.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Correct.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I'm confused,
13	because didn't we change something under this,
14	special events with the use of the building? If
15	it was the same use, he just got approval from the
16	Building Department?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That was for special
18	event. That was
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it wasn't.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, that's a
21	separate those are two what the Village
22	Attorney is talking about is not that. That's
23	what that was a separate, separate thing that
24	was done. They get five times it was five?
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It was five times. But

1	didn't we do something where if the if the
2	it was in the commercial retail, and it was still
3	a commercial retail use.
4	CLERK PIRILLO: Mayor, the special event
5	permit pertains more to public assembly permit
6	applications.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, but we
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You're thinking about the
9	example where a former Trustee moved into a retail
10	establishment
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: and questioned the need
13	to go before the Planning Board for a change of
14	use when it was switching from "X" to "Y". And it
15	was changed at that time to reduce the workload on
16	the Planning Board, from what I understand, but it
17	has been discussed every year since that time,
18	since I've been on the Board.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think it was things
20	like that's right, but I think it was things
21	like the if a restaurant wanted to have a
22	trivia night, you know, or something like that,
23	which I'm
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, but was isn't
25	there something that when the building when

1	someone, a building blah, blah, blah. The
2	Building Inspector, or he gets an application in
3	for a new business, and he goes to take a look at
4	that business, and they haven't changed the inside
5	of the building at all, in other words, they have
6	the same site.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It doesn't that
9	doesn't go to Planning Board anymore.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No. That's what the
11	Village Attorney was referring to.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's what I'm talking
13	about.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's exactly what
15	he's referring to. There is there are triggers
16	that would require Planning Board.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If a building I
19	may have missed one or two, but if there's a
20	building permit required, it's automatic, goes to
21	the Planning Board. If it's if it's a new
22	conditional changing a conditional use or
23	creating a conditional use, it goes to the
24	Planning Board. So I think there's a third, but
25	it escapes me now. But those are the that's

1	what the Village Attorney is referring to.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, all right.
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And then there's still
4	the I've spoken to several of you, several
5	people about this, and there's still the
6	interaction between the Planning Board and the ZBA
7	where, you know, somebody gets done with dealing
8	with the Planning Board, if they don't like what
9	they come up with, we don't want to have a
10	loophole where they can just go through another
11	Board and try to get relief from that Board
12	instead. So we're
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, wait a minute.
14	Wait a minute, wait. Aren't they entitled to have
15	that according to the law? Aren't they allowed
16	according to State law? I mean, if they're not
17	happy with what the decision is of either the
18	Planning Board or the the Enforcement Officer,
19	they have the right to go to Zoning Board,
20	don't do they not?
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Actually, they have a
22	right to I from the Building Inspector or
23	from the law. I'm not sure that they can go
24	directly to the Planning Board directly to the
25	ZBA if they're not happy with the Planning Board,

because I don't -- I don't know that the Planning Board is appealable to the ZBA. But that's -- I just wanted to mention that that's something else that we're continuing to work on, another part of this that we're continuing to work on.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I thought the ZBA was there for relief.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's relief from the law, and it's also relief from determinations of -- ministerial determinations. I don't -- I don't believe, and I'll have to confirm this with our code, that it's an appeal of the Planning Board can go to the ZBA. That's -- like I said, that's one of the things I've been working on.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, then maybe you need to give me an example of what you're talking about that the Planning Board would do that wouldn't fall within the code.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So, in other words, if the Planning Board said, "Okay, well, we'll give you" -- "you're required to have 20 spaces and we're going to give you a" -- the person wants to pull -- well, actually, under this, it would be 50%, or so whatever the number is. The Planning Board said, "Okay, we'll give you 10-space,

1	10-space waiver," and the person said, "Well, I'm
2	going to go to the ZBA and see if I can get the
3	whole thing." You know, "I don't like" "I
4	don't like what the Planning Board decided, I'm
5	going to try to go to the ZBA and get the whole
6	thing," that's the circumstance that we're talking
7	about.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So, Joe, could you kind of
9	summarize the changes that you're proposing, then,
10	basically, about the existing law on the parking,
11	you know, the grandfathering and no parking
12	required, and then the
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. So the whether
14	the building the existing language as to
15	whether or not the building whether the
16	building was in existence as of 1991 would come
17	out.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That would be out.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's done.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. The grandfathering
22	benefit that would be provided would be that there
23	would be no additional parking requirement for a
24	new owner or a new basically, a new owner,
25	provided there was no change in the use or an

1	intensification of the use.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You're talking about the
4	property owner.
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Business owner.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Business owner.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Business owner of an
8	existing property.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Or it could be, you know,
11	if the business owner is the property owner, then
12	it's going to be the same person.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So Business A, who's
14	owned owned by Joe, is sold to Business B
15	that's owned by Suzy, and they're doing the same
16	business and same intense same intensity of
17	business and everything else, then there's no
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: They're grandfathered.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: They're grandfathered,
20	okay.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. But if their
22	one if the first one has a restaurant and the
23	second one has a restaurant and a bar, that's a
24	change in the use. And if it's you know, if
25	there's any increase in the parking, then that's

1	triggered.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, in other words, if a
3	commercial retail, retail property leases to a
4	business that wants to be a restaurant, then that
5	would trigger into the Planning Board?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, that's a change of use.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a change of use.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, that was a change of
9	use, that's triggered.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Or if there's an
12	intensification.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Of the same use.
14	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct. Okay, so it was
16	two, two conditions.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And then the other, the
19	other thing was to increase the increase the
20	amount of the charge for the waiver from the
21	current amount to I think \$5,000 was the proposal.
22	And then the further proposal was to have a limit
23	on the amount of waiver that could be could be
24	granted, where it's no more than either lesser
25	of either 20 the lesser of either 20 spaces, or

1	50% of the requirement. So there would there
2	would be a limit on the amount of waiver that the
3	Planning Board could could grant.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So for a smaller business
5	coming in, the \$5,000 fee would be I mean, is
6	that a set fee we want to keep for for
7	everybody across the board, or, you know, somebody
8	coming in and changing the use on something for,
9	say, retail? Are we going to zap them \$5,000 for
10	a small business?
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, that's the proposal.
12	It could be whatever, but that's
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. That's something
14	that we need to come up with, a dollar figure of
15	what we want
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: that to read.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Last month, we heard people
21	say it should only be \$1,000, the current code
22	says 2500. We projected we previous
23	discussions said it should be 5,000. So we need
24	to set a dollar figure that the Board feels
25	comfortable with of what we want to do for that

1 portion of the code.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: What if somebody came in with a business plan and told, you know, "So, look, this is all I'm going to really be doing, I'm not going to be a big business." So could we have graded amounts of money that they'd have to pay? In other words the business is not going to really generate much additional use. You know, then why do they have to pay a minimum of even \$1,000? I mean, it's \$250 for a small shop or something like that? In other words --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The building may not even fall in --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, so the way -- the way I wrote this -- thank you, Trustee. The way I wrote this was that you're -- it's not just a change of use that triggers it, it's a change of use that increases the percentage by at least 20%. That's what I had, by at least -- it would have to be -- and, also, that the amount -- you would be grandfathered for whatever the existing parking situation is, it's just the increase that you would have to provide. So if the existing business had a requirement of 50 spaces, whether or not they were providing any spaces, if they had

a requirement of 50 spaces, and the new business 1 2 coming in had a requirement of 100 spaces, the new 3 owner would only have to deal with that 50-space 4 increment, they wouldn't be going back to zero. They would just -- the amount that they would have 5 6 to deal with is that 50% --7 TRUSTEE CLARKE: The incrementality. 8 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The increment, yeah. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, okay. 9 ATTORNEY PROKOP: And so that's -- that's 10 actually a big benefit. And then I also put in, 11 12 it's up -- you know, it's up to you, that we're only dealing with increases of more than 20%. So, 13 you know, it would -- it would be favorable to a 14 business -- the success of businesses, you know, a 15 16 business wouldn't be -- the increase would have to 17 be at least 20% in order to trigger this. That's 18 just a suggestion. You could be -- you could have 19 any increase trigger this. TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm more inclined to have 20 21 it with a -- with a gentler recrafting of this 22 I would say that for all the development we 23 have and the COs that we have, with what they 24 have, and the amount of grandfathering that 25 exists, particularly over the past 20 years, that

1	I would set that any intensification or any
2	increase should be subject to review and charge.
3	That would be my opinion.
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, I guess my
5	question for the charge would be like what is the
6	purpose of the charge? That's we're like
7	saying 5,000 or 1,000, right? Is the purpose of
8	the charge for us to offset the work of the
9	Administrative Staff, or, you know you know
10	what I'm saying? Like that to me is like I would
11	like to know how we're going to come up with the
12	number. I don't know what that would be. I mean,
13	how much work is involved?
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I agree with you. I think
15	we have to have some kind of accountability for
16	what we're doing with that money, charging?
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm still enamored of
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: What's the purpose of it?
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm still enamored of the
20	addition initial suggestion that was brought up
21	at the time that this was even proposed for
22	conversation, which was that we needed to garner
23	resources for parking within the Village, and for
24	the maintenance and rehabilitation of existing
25	parking. We don't have money in our General Fund

to repave parking lots every year, and to restripe, and to put in meters, and all the development that's needed for a Business District. So this money is much needed for not only maintaining the parking resources we have, but also to earmark funds for the development of future parking that we've discussed in terms of the development of Moores Lane and other areas that might serve the Village during its peak season.

So I never intended to raise money on the back of the business to offset administrative expenses within Village Hall, for example, or any other, but to specifically address relief for the Business District and for the residents in the provision for more efficient, and more abundant, and more turnover in the existing parking, as well as development for future parking, which to me is money spent towards the specific issue.

We're not looking to raise money to, you know, start a new program. We're raising money on the Business District's intensification to provide for the intensification of the Business District.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So okay. So let's think this through. If whatever amount of money is

1	decided per parking space, okay, we need to
2	designate where that money is going to be placed
3	and to be used. So we would be talking about,
4	Robert, a restricted fund?
5	MR. BRANDT: Yeah. The assets would be
6	restricted, yes.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So you need to
8	maybe explain to what's entailed with a
9	restricted fund.
10	MR. BRANDT: It means that the money is
11	earmarked for a specific application. And we have
12	that agreement with the funds that we received
13	from Peconic I'm sorry, from the Jitney. I
14	don't know if it's in the code for a specific
15	for parking. Do you know that it is in the code,
16	that it was supposed to have been restricted?
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no.
18	MR. BRANDT: Does it say that there?
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I think, If
20	I'm not I'm just trying to find it now. I
21	thought that there was. I think in this section
22	that we're working on, I thought there was that
23	provision, that it
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. I think it uses the
25	term "parking fund".

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And we're supposed to have
3	a parking fund for it.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes. It's supposed
5	to yeah.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Their the rent that
7	they're paying for the parking lot is to go to be
8	used for that parking lot.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right. So it's
10	all I think that's
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's in a separate
12	fund. Whatever was collected from another project
13	went into that fund. That's all accumulated,
14	that's there.
15	TREASURER BRANDT: So, therefore, it would
16	be restricted for the specific use, and it would
17	be segregated cash, it wouldn't be integrated in
18	the General Fund.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's what I
21	wanted. It's not integrated into the General
22	Fund.
23	MR. BRANDT: Correct.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: But, Peter, I mean,
25	painting you know, paving parking lots and

painting lines and things like that are certainly necessary, but that doesn't create additional parking. We still have to deal with an intensification of a business.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Signage.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: And for me, what -- one of the reasons I brought this up to begin with, and I was listening to some of our constituents the last time we discussed this, was that do we want to allow a business to basically come in here and say what -- you know, "I can't provide the spaces, but I'm willing to get my checkbook out here and pay whatever it costs," you know? Do we -- do we want to set up our law to allow that to happen? And I'm not sure I support that.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, Julia, I believe that it was your idea at the inset of this conversation. You suggested the \$5,000 amount, and you also suggested this whole entire idea.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I did not suggest the \$5,000 amount. What I originally wanted to do was -- I was against both. I didn't like the grandfathering or the payment in lieu of parking, I wanted to get rid of both. But I have changed my mind and I have listened to people for the last

1	month, because many people have spoken to me about
2	this, and I've changed my mind about some of the
3	things that I've asked for now, Peter.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Very good.
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And I'll be honest and I'm
6	admitting that right now.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, that's not a problem.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I changed my mind, but, you
9	know, I like I said, I've been studying and
10	thinking about it a lot.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But I believe that
12	improving signage means we can fill parking lots
13	better, more efficiently. I believe that by
14	having parking meters, we can turn over existing
15	parking spaces more efficiently. And I believe
16	that we should be investing in auxiliary parking
17	on Moores Lane and develop it. That all takes
18	money, and it's all directed towards relief of the
19	fact that we no longer can house our residents and
20	all our visitors in peak season, we need
21	something. And all of our downtown is going to be
22	under the same pressure that it's been under,
23	which is intensification of use, intensification
24	of development.
25	And don't get me wrong, I'm pro development

in the Business District. I just believe that the Village and the residents of the Village deserve some sort of remuneration for the development that's taking place. That helps, first of all, take the onus off of them for the wear and tear of the Village, number one; number two, helps them with more parking, so that if they want to enjoy their own Village, they can more easily. I believe that it serves everyone well.

I listened carefully to the hearing last month and the claims that were made of removing grandfathering altogether. I listened to friends and associates who are concerned about selling their businesses, and could they get the same amount for the business if they sold it, if the new owner would have to go to the Planning Board and spend 50 or \$100,000, or would they be required to take 50 or \$100,000 off their selling price of their business to accommodate that fee.

So I think this is a good compromise, what Joe is bringing forward, though I would -- as I said, I would make any intensification a requirement for review and for charging. But I believe that to do nothing and to eliminate the grandfathering and not charge, that means that

1	we're basically telling the Planning Board that
2	any new business, no matter how intense it is on
3	any parcel in commercial retail, can be
4	constructed, and there's absolutely nothing for
5	the Village, the Administration, for the BID, for
6	the residents, nothing. They come in, they hook
7	up to the sewer, they turn on the lights, and they
8	build their 2 1/2 story building, and, you know, I
9	don't think that's right.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't think that's not
11	what I said. I said that we should
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, I'm not saying that.
13	I'm just but I'm just speaking my views.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I understand. And, you
15	know, I'm skeptical of the development of Moores
16	Lane. It's never worked before, okay? We've
17	never been able to get people from Tall Ships to
18	every Maritime Festival to park their cars and
19	come into the Village. People just don't have
20	that in their mind. Maybe it will change, but you
21	have to I don't think people are going to be
22	walking. I don't you know, the idea of a
23	shuttle, I've never seen it work. You know, 20
24	years of observing this or more, back with
25	Maritime Festivals, people would never do it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we're talking about developing more things for the residents now, skate park, you know, mini railroad and stuff like that. That's going to limit somewhat the available parking for tourists, guests, and stuff like that.

So I'm not saying that there are easy answers here at all, Peter, but I'm just saying that, you know, listening to different voices on this --

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I believe that there's plenty of room at Moores Lane for all the activities that are envisioned, and it's an asset, and corridor that's underutilized. And if we decide that we do not want to allow spill-over parking in residential areas, except for special events like Maritime Weekend, we could certainly, also, by the same power that's vested in us, decide that we're going to restrict residential parking to residential customers. You could do that as well. There's many, many different tools in the box that you can use to direct parking, but we've done nothing. There's no meter, there's no turnover. We're criticized for our Code Enforcement on parking. I mean, I don't want to go on and on here, but something's got to give.

1	We've got to step up and make changes here. We
2	can't we can't allow things to continue as
3	as they are.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, we can't.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: We you know, we have a
6	request to do moratoriums in certain sections of
7	the Village. I'm not asking for a moratorium. I
8	did when I joined the Board. Then we went into
9	COVID. I came under a lot of criticism for that.
10	I've changed my mind. I don't think that maybe a
11	moratorium on development is a good idea, but we
12	have to structure the development so that the
13	Village benefits from it.
14	The guy came here at the Planning Board with
15	his checkbook.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Why shouldn't we? Why
18	shouldn't we receive the money to provide much
19	needed funds? We can't even pave that section of
20	Moores Lane, it's not in the budget. There's
21	nothing wrong with us needing the money and asking

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631) 727-1107

TRUSTEE ROBINS: We can just disagree on it,

for it. I don't see -- I have -- you can put my

name in the paper on it, I have nothing to be

ashamed of in asking for that.

22

23

24

25

1	Peter. I'm respecting your opinion. But if
2	somebody comes in here with a checkbook and
3	basically they can play pay-to-play or do
4	whatever they want here in our Village, you know,
5	I just it doesn't seem right to me, I'm sorry.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that's part
7	of the discussion.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But it's but it's all
9	pay-to-play.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. No, absolutely.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm listening to you,
13	you're listening to me, and we're talking, that's
14	good.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: In the when the code was
16	changed back in 1991 and they put the dollar
17	figure of \$2,500 at that time, which is a long
18	time ago, and \$2,500 then is a lot was worth a
19	lot more then than it is now, and that's what the
20	figure was at that point. So if we're going to do
21	it, I think we need to give the Planning Board the
22	power and the authority and the clear direction of
23	what to do with the next motel. There was not
24	clear direction on the Menhaden, where we were
25	going with it and everything else, and it bounced

between both Boards and everything else, and the Village got nothing out of that. We need to direct them so they could use the code effectively, collect the money on it, and move us forward with it.

We don't want to a kill a business. Ian Wile was here, he was talking about his business. It went from a bait shop that needed one parking spot where one person worked there, to a restaurant that's got 40 seats in it, and he said it would have killed his business if he had to do that. But, on the opposite side, it intensified the use of the property.

So we're not trying to say we don't want new business to open up, but it has to be equal and fair to the residents and the taxpayers all the way around. And if somebody's going to really intensify the use from one to 40, there should be some kind of fee that goes to that to repave the parking lots that we have, to re -- you know, to do -- Adams Street needs to be paved again. It was done, I think, 14 years ago, it needs to be done again. With the construction, the sewer lines, the water lines that have been hooked up to the business, it's a mess again, it needs to be

1	redone. So this money would be used towards
2	projects like that.
3	So we could take it from the 2500 and you
4	could make it \$1,000 per parking spot to be more
5	kind to a new business that wants to open up. But
6	like the big restaurants, they're going to
7	come now excuse me, big motel, they're going
8	to they're going to write out the check.
9	They're going to just say, "Okay, I don't have
10	room." But to them, to pay a one-time fee to be
11	able to have their 22 rooms that they'll be able
12	to rent out for the next 50 years, it's worth it
13	to them. You know, they at \$2 on per night,
14	they'll have their money back in a year. So to
15	them, they're going to do that.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Maybe they should pay that
17	money every year.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I think
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: A one-time fee.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think that one of the
21	things that's, you know, important in the
22	discussion is that the problem is we're viewing
23	this as an automatic as far as the Planning Board
24	is concerned. So, in other words, if you come in
25	and you come in with a project and you need 100

24

25

spaces, you don't have it, you could only provide 2 10, so you need a waiver for 90 spaces, right? 3 So, okay, so you just take out the calculator, 4 multiply 90 times whatever the fee is at that 5 time, and that's the check. But the thing is that 6 that's the discretion -- that's not automatic, that's the discretion of the Planning Board. The 7 8 Planning Board doesn't have to grant, grant those 9 waivers. They can -- they can make a change -you know, offer different conditions for the 10 11 project and -- and limit -- I don't want to say 12 limit development, because I know that that's not what we're trying to do, but there's -- there's 13 concessions that could be made in the -- in some 14 of these projects that would lower the requirement 15 16 for parking, rather than, you know, just -- well, 17 as I said, just have a multiplication of a dollar amount come out to an amount that you write a 18 check for. 19 20 The reason why we -- one of the reasons why 21 we're in this write-a-check position, in other 22 words, is not just that people are willing to write a check, it's because they have that 23

opportunity. You know, we -- the Planning Board

doesn't always have to give that opportunity, is

what I'm saying, there's other things that the Planning Board could consider.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think part of this discussion started because in -- back in 1991, '90s, when the grandfather was put in, the number of activity of stores downtown, we were in a depressed state at that point, there were a lot of empty stores. So there was the desire on the Board at that time to create something to generate businesses to come. Well, we're not at 1991 anymore.

Our previous Mayor wrote a piece a while ago, it says, "Build it and they'll come." Well, they're here, okay, and we have to take a look at that, that they're here. I -- we're a destination, okay? Do I think that if we put a charge in for parking, it's going to deter anybody? I don't think so, okay?

I agree with the Mayor, you have a store that was one or two employee type business, and then you turn it into an activity that has 20, 30 people at a time that they're serving, that's an intensification, because where are they parking, okay? They're not all walking from -- you know, there are residents, but they're not all walking.

They're walking from parking down on Third Street or on Second Street, because they couldn't find anything in the parking spots.

And I agree with the Mayor, we have to maintain the parking lots, we have to maintain the striping in order for it to be a truly comfortable experience for a tourist or a resident coming into town. To not have the stripes for the parking spaces, you know, clearly, clearly painted, and then the Code Enforcement Officer comes along and gives them a ticket, we have issues on that, okay? And the potholes that are in the parking lots. I mean, I'm sure that the one down at the railroad -- the railroad parking lot has got some major potholes that I'm assuming Hampton Jitney is supposed -- the money should be going towards fixing that.

My concern is that if we do do something, that that money is earmarked for everything that we think it should be earmarked for, so that it doesn't go into the General Fund and disappears in years to come. Because if we're going to make the commitment, it needs to be the commitment of keeping our Village for everybody, whether it's a resident or a business that's comfortable, they

could walk, they could drive, no potholes, the streets are fine. And I think that's -- that's something that the intensification is coming from the Business District, it's not coming from the residential area.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I agree with that. And we need to have the code so it's written, so we can promote our local people that want to sit there and open up a business and do something downtown, to protect them and allow them to go ahead and do that. The trouble is corporate America will take that provision --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And go with it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- and just run with it, and they'll say, "Okay, we've got the big checkbook, we'll do it."

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Exactly, that's where I'm coming from.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, I understand, that's what you're trying to say, but we want to promote our local people that live around here, that want to open up a business, they're allowed to do that and they could afford to do that. But then, when -- if we write it so lenient, and just say, "All right, well, you don't need anything,"

1	then it's going to be the guy with the big
2	checkbook that's buying a place for \$20 million,
3	said, "Okay." Buying 50 spots, 5,000 each, "Okay,
4	here's the check," and it's just done. And just
5	in a democracy, that's going to wean out the
6	little people anyway, unfortunately. But we don't
7	want we want to try to write it so we could be
8	fair to everybody. And so, you know, we lower it
9	down to 1,000, and so local people could try to go
10	and work with that, then we're kind of defeating
11	the purpose, because it's just being cheaper for
12	the guys, the plans that are already in the
13	process right now and future ones that are coming
14	down the road on us.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, it puts and I know
16	it puts a lot of pressure on the Planning Board if
17	they have discretionary, you know, control,
18	basically, over what to require. They do have
19	some discretion, correct, Joe?
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, I don't know if
22	that's fair on a statutory board, you know, making
23	them decide in such critical decisions that are
24	going to impact the Village.
25	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: There's no one size

1	fits all, I think what you're trying to say.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, exactly.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I mean, you know, guys
5	are going to come in with \$20,000,000, somebody's
6	going to try to turn a bait shop into a
7	restaurant. You know, they're two very different
8	things, which is why the Board exists. And the
9	other thing is just, you know, so everybody is
10	clear, like this is not going to be a solution to
11	parking.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
13	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Right? Like this I
14	don't know what the check number is they're going
15	to write out, and that will probably pave like
16	half of Adams Street, and then that's it, right?
17	So this is not a long-term solution. Maybe the
18	signage would be, Trustee Clarke, or there's
19	different things we can do, but these are like
20	one-time influxes of cash into possibly a fund
21	earmarked for parking. But this isn't going to
22	build a parking lot on Moores Lane or it's not
23	going to do those things. This is not a
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, 20 spots at 5,000 is
25	\$100,000

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't know what it
2	costs to just look at those fees not fees,
3	the what am I trying to say? What are we
4	paving now? I was thinking that the
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What, Corazzini?
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Corazzini's bill?
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes. I don't know it's
9	going to pay for all parking.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know, just
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
13	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know, looking at
14	those numbers, I haven't done the square footage,
15	but I think this I think this gives us an
16	opportunity to bring some funding to, again, look
17	at it more holistically, like you were saying
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
19	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Trustee Clarke,
20	right? You were saying between signage
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Of course.
22	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: on Moores Lane, and
23	I heard that parking meter study coming back up
24	right there
25	(Laughter)

TRUSTEE CLARKE: But I'm still hopeful, because if you actually went back for the past 10 years and went through every application that was grandfathered, and the number of seats that were generated, and the number of fees that weren't levied, and ended up, which is not that hard to do -- I mean, I know in my two-block radius, we went from one wet business, food/beverage, to eight in the 10, 11 years they've been there. So what is that worth, those eight businesses? Add it up. It's not -- I'm not saying it's going to develop a five-story, you know, parking garage with attendants, but I'm going to say it would go a nice portion of the way to helping us figure out how to manage our problem.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And cash always helps, and I don't mean to -- I don't mean to imply that it doesn't. But, again, recognizing that this will go before the Planning Board before we actually charge anybody for this parking, right, it's -- we can't make the assumption that just because "X" number of businesses open up in your area, that they would all pay full market rate, according to the code, for parking. It's not even feasible that they would pay nothing. Like I

But do you see what I'm saying, that they MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'd like the true standard for it that gives us the left and ri limits for them. I think it's very helpful. would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec		
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'd like the true standard for it that gives us the left and ri limits for them. I think it's very helpful. would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	1	don't know. I don't sit on the Board, nor will I.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'd like the true standard for it that gives us the left and ri limits for them. I think it's very helpful. would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and deci	2	But do you see what I'm saying, that they
standard for it that gives us the left and ri limits for them. I think it's very helpful. would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
limits for them. I think it's very helpful. would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward we	4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'd like the true
would think it would be very helpful for the to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	5	standard for it that gives us the left and right
to understand like there are left and right l of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	6	limits for them. I think it's very helpful. I
of where we can go in either direction, and I think that would provide the Board ITRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. IRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. ITRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	7	would think it would be very helpful for the Board
think that would provide the Board TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward we	8	to understand like there are left and right limits
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downth to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to take one of the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the existing buildings and decided to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the existing the expanding to the existing the exist	9	of where we can go in either direction, and I
12 TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain 13 amount of clarity in making our decisions. 14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, 15 that, you know, in rereading this, and to the 16 point of concern, it says the benefit of this 17 grandfathering leave shall not apply to major 18 reconstruction of a building more than 50% of 19 value of the building at the time of 20 reconstruction, or the addition to an existin 21 building or construction of a new building. 22 So anyone that would be coming in downt 23 to take one of the existing buildings and deci 24 to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward we	10	think that would provide the Board
amount of clarity in making our decisions. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downto to take one of the existing buildings and decited to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were started.	11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with you.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also, that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec	12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: with a certain
that, you know, in rereading this, and to the point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downto to take one of the existing buildings and decay to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that were some that would be compared to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some that	13	amount of clarity in making our decisions.
point of concern, it says the benefit of this grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, also,
grandfathering leave shall not apply to major reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and decay to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were standard to the expandard to the expan	15	that, you know, in rereading this, and to the
reconstruction of a building more than 50% of value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downto to take one of the existing buildings and decomposed to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some than 50% of the possible to take one of the existing buildings and decomposed to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some than 50% of the possible to take one of the existing buildings and decomposed to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some than 50% of the possible to take one of the existing buildings and decomposed to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were some than 50% of the possible to take the possible	16	point of concern, it says the benefit of this
value of the building at the time of reconstruction, or the addition to an existin building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	17	grandfathering leave shall not apply to major
reconstruction, or the addition to an existing building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downted to take one of the existing buildings and decomposed to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to the expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward were supported to expand upward, okay, bu	18	reconstruction of a building more than 50% of the
building or construction of a new building. So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	19	value of the building at the time of
So anyone that would be coming in downt to take one of the existing buildings and dec to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	20	reconstruction, or the addition to an existing
to take one of the existing buildings and dec to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	21	building or construction of a new building.
to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward w	22	So anyone that would be coming in downtown
	23	to take one of the existing buildings and decides
25 he the option at this point but going unward	24	to expand upward, okay, but I doubt outward would
25 be the option at this point, but going upward	25	be the option at this point, but going upward,

1	they would be falling under the fact that they
2	would have to pay for parking.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And the Planning Board,
5	Planning Board has a goal here with this 50% of
6	the value of the building at the time of
7	reconstruction, which I'm assuming, Joe, that
8	would go to the purchase price, correct?
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is that how that would be
11	based upon?
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. If it's if it's a
13	new purchase, yes.
14	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It's a new purchase or
15	not. If they it's a new purchase and they tear
16	it down, the assessed value thereof.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, right, some new
18	construction, it's a new building.
19	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes. So, you know,
20	it's a little reassessed value, I guess, is
21	what
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, yeah.
23	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Like I said, I agree
24	with both of you guys, I like it. And I think
25	I think we're moving in the right direction. But,

1	again, I think that as we look at parking in the
2	Village, A, this isn't the do all and no solution,
3	but I think it I think it helps.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But we all I think we
5	all have to realize that parking in the Village is
6	seasonal, okay? It's from June to after Maritime.
7	Well, before Maritime Festival. You know, it
8	depends on Labor Day, it depends on the weather of
9	Labor Day
10	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: how intense it gets.
12	A parking garage for that time or period, it's not
13	going to pay.
14	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't think a parking
15	garage is worth it.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's not the issue.
17	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And I live on Fifth
18	Street.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I think there's
21	somebody who stands up here all the time and says
22	the parking problem exists like on Front and Main
23	Street. I live on Fifth Street, I can park in
24	front of my house, it's always there, unless
25	there's a parade and there's a fire truck, then

1	I'm in trouble. But, you know, other than that,
2	it exists. You know, it's that downtown intense
3	parking that you brought up before.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So your point as why I
6	like the Moores Lane thing is a challenge.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. Funny, I was we
8	just came back from Saratoga Springs from the
9	power conference, and across the street from
10	the from the hotel we were staying in, there
11	was a parking garage. And I have to admit, I was
12	looking at it, you know, admiringly a little bit,
13	you know, and trying to envision something on a
14	smaller scale, you know, somewhere in our Village,
15	you know? So, I mean and that's the first time
16	I've ever have thought about, you know, the
17	possibility of considering something like that.
18	But I think that it may not happen in the
19	conversation in the next four or five years, or
20	whatever, but I can't you know, if the Village
21	continues to develop and, you know, have all these
22	new hotels and businesses like that, I think that
23	this is going create something that a
24	conversation at some point.
25	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know, what's old

1	may be new again, too, you know? Like you go up
2	and down any one of these blocks, how many houses
3	you see restored, like at
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know what I mean?
6	0r
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The over Rouse's,
8	over in
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: my end of the world.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know, there was "X"
12	number of those. Who knows, those may turn back
13	into business and spread it out somewhere. I
14	don't know, you know what I mean? But well.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, to summarize a
16	lot of this, any money that comes from a ruling
17	from the Planning Board that somebody has to pay
18	for parking would go into that account and be used
19	only for parking projects, all right?
20	The idea of the Moores Lane project, yes,
21	people don't use it now, because it's not it's
22	not there's no signage, there's no lights, the
23	parking is terrible, you're in ruts and holes and
24	everything else. To develop that, and when
25	somebody comes in for the Planning Board and says,

you know, "We're going here," well, you have to tell your people you need to use that parking area that we just created for your excess parking. You know, when somebody redevelops the arcade, when something happens there, which is going to happen more sooner than later, say, "You need to have your employees park up here." We're providing an area outside the Village limits to do that.

We also need to give the Planning Board and tell the Planning Board, "We're changing the code to give you teeth on that part of the code to say you need to charge for the parking, and whatever the dollar figure is, you need to do that," so that it's clear to them that is the goal of the overall Village, to correct the code and make it enforceable, and make that part of their agreements to get what they want to do, to say, boom, okay, this is what it is. "You have to pay for 10 spots, but we want you to use this new parking lot we just built on Moores Lane for your employees and for your excess guests."

The one motel that's planned on the corner of Front and Main, said what you can do, people could park at his other motel. You know, he said it at the Planning Board meeting. So he's

1	offering to go and move people away from that area
2	to a different spot.
3	I think if we build it, they will use the
4	parking area. If we clean it up and make it
5	comfortable and safe, people will use it.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think, in all
7	honesty, if we're if we're projecting into the
8	future, because of the activities that are going
9	on there, we're going to have the mini
10	railroad's going to be active. We have the skate
11	park that I think is a group of determined people
12	that are going to make that more popular. We have
13	the two baseball we have the softball fields.
14	I think we're going to be
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Then you have the woods
16	themselves.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We're going to have to be
18	dealing with it sooner than later anyway.
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I agree, it's right there
20	in front of us.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, you know, I think
22	that we're on the right track as far as thinking
23	about planning out what we need to do.
24	Once again, my concern is that the
25	accountability of the money, making sure that we

1	keep it for the purposes that it's for, and that's
2	my one concern with and I know that we don't
3	like restricted funds, because you have to have
4	Board approval to take the money out of there.
5	That's that's why I want to be assured that
6	what we're really talking about here, about
7	putting the money away, Robert, okay? That's
8	you know, because restricted funds, according to
9	the Comptroller's Office, you have to have a
10	resolution that states X , Y , Z , green, yellow,
11	purple, whatever, and that's the only reason you
12	could take the money out of that fund. So
13	that's those that's something we'll have to
14	talk about.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, the code would
16	say that. If we change the code to take out the
17	grandfathering, Joe would write that in. Any
18	money collected from the Planning Board for
19	payments in lieu of parking would go into he
20	could write down the account number. It's "X"
21	account to be used only for parking projects, and
22	you could safeguard the money that way. That will
23	be part of the code that the Village Attorney
24	would write up.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: You know, again, just on
2	the parking at Moores Lane, I mean, we could do a
3	beautiful parking area up there and stuff like
4	that, but I don't think you can codify behavior.
5	You can tell the hotel, "This is where your people
6	have to park," but, ultimately, they drive here,
7	customers drive here, they're going to park where
8	they want to park. They're not going to say,
9	"Well, I can go to Moores Lane." I can't see that
10	happening. You know, if you build it, they will
11	come. Yeah, they're coming to Greenport. That
12	doesn't necessarily mean they're going to park
13	where you want them to park.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I could tell you, when
15	I went to Myrtle when I went to Myrtle Beach,
16	if I parked on the street, I'd get a ticket or my
17	car would be towed. I wasn't going to take a
18	chance. The signs say this is where you park, and
19	that's where we parked and we walked 20 blocks
20	down and 20 blocks back.
21	So it starts with us to say, "This is where
22	you need to park."
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm with you.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Put the signs up on the main
25	road

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm with you, Mr. Mayor.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: before 7-Eleven and
3	saying, "Municipal Parking Here", you know. I
4	mean, Shelter Island Heights did away with parking
5	on their streets, residents only. They did that
6	eight years ago and had no complaints about it.
7	So you can't fit in the ferry parking lot, you go
8	up into the neighborhoods, you get a ticket, and
9	they had their police enforce it all the time.
10	Riverhead Town now is saying no parking on
11	the side of the street on Sound Avenue
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On Sound Avenue.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: that they're talking
14	about.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: So you can't park on the
18	side of the street to go to any of those events.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, trying
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Just call and leave it at
21	that. You have to be on their property, you can't
22	park on the road at all. They're looking at that.
23	So there are ways to control the parking and
24	direct the parking to where we have the room for
25	it. You know, so that's that's the plan,

that's -- just say, boom, sign, there is no parking, you must park here.

So we got to do something and that's -that's the easiest way. We had the room up there.
I think that's the easiest way to do it. Let the
Planning Board know that they've got some teeth in
the law now. They could enforce it, collect the
money through the Building Department, put the
money in a special account, and we'll start making
the plans and planning the future of that. First
couple of years it might not be. But after five
years and everybody parks up there, realizes how
easy it is, Uber can drop you off, whatever you
want to do, I think it will work, but --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think the other thing is that we have to still remember that we want to protect the small business person who wants to invest in the Village. And I think that this -- this language covers both sides.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I agree with you, I think it's an important compromise. I think it addresses the majority of the comments here that we heard at the public hearing, and it certainly covers the issues that people I spoke with were concerned about. And, really, materially, the

1	concerns I heard were around people who were
2	concerned that they developed a business, they put
3	their lifesavings into, they didn't want to be
4	penalized when it changed hands, and I'm sensitive
5	to that, I understand that.
6	The only thing I took issue with was this
7	idea that somebody at this day and age is going to
8	graduate from Greenport High School and open a
9	business downtown, and that we're not going to be
10	able to allow them to do that if we charge for
11	parking. The price of entry to even open a retail
12	store in the Village of Greenport now is upwards
13	of a quarter of a million for fixturing, and
14	outfitting, and electric, and everything entitled.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Handicapped bathrooms, and
16	everything else.
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm just going to
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Then it's subdivided. We
19	did a building, putting, you know
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: It's a fortune.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: what's four, not just
22	two. You got put in your own bathrooms and
23	everything else.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You know, it took my life
25	savings at 50 years old to open a business in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Greenport, not when I was 18, getting out of high So I don't think we're ever going to go to that place that people want to remember from maybe the '50s of what life was like, it's never going to go back to that. And I'm not trying to be naive about that, but to do nothing and not to try and weigh protection of the small business owner and support of the small business owner, as well as recognizing that corporations are now in the Village that are, you know, corporations operating in multiple states, multiple locations. We need to get our share of what they can afford if they want to develop here to benefit our community and our residents. And if you want to call it pay-to-play, I don't know what else to call it, but, you know, giving it to them is no longer tenable.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that going back to the original idea of the grandfathering was to get the Downtown Business District back on its feet. I don't think it's going to fall anymore. I think that yes, there is a possibility, but I don't think it's going to go back to what it was. In the 1990s, it was pretty bad around here.

1 TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, who knows what it's 2 going to be in 30 years. Right. 3 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 4 TRUSTEE CLARKE: In 30 years, hopefully, 5 somebody smarter than me is going to be sitting in 6 this chair and they'll make the right decision 7 based on the circumstances at that time. 8 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's what I'm 9 saying, if I could finish, is that at that time, it worked. Now we need to -- and that's why the 10 11 discussion needs to take place on this, because we 12 need to make the code a living document for what's 13 going on now, okay? That's -- that's -- and 14 that's what should happen with our code. Ten years from now, it should probably be reviewed 15 16 again to see what's going on. But, at this point,

fair for the residents to pay out for repaving the 23 24

parking lots, for signage for parking. I think

we had the desire and the need to get our Business

it's a destination. They've worked very hard, but

District up and running. We now have it where

that becoming a destination has put a stress on

the residents. And, in all honesty, yeah, maybe

it's pay to -- pay-to-play, but I don't think it's

that that's -- that falls within the Business 25

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	District.
2	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I couldn't agree with you
3	more with that.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, believe me, you
5	know, I'm you and I are right there. I mean,
6	we decide to intensify our businesses, we're going
7	to be right there, okay? The only thing is, is
8	the \$5,000, you know, should we think about a
9	graduated one, depending upon the amount of
10	increase? I don't know. I at this point,
11	probably enforcement, it's better to have one fee
12	and leave it alone. I don't know.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That's hard, because, also,
14	the review of this again prompted me to think
15	about allowing the Planning Board with a tool that
16	could allow at one stroke of the pen 50% given
17	away, and what's that criteria? I think that, you
18	know, obviously you can't make these things too
19	cumbersome or too complicated or they don't work.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But, at the same time,
22	there are some benefits to the community that, in
23	my opinion, deserve every possible waiver we could
24	provide, and there are others that I think deserve

none. So how do you structure that? Do you

25

structure hospital or medical services, or not-for-profit services, or cultural services as an exemption, and other things not? Is the sliding scale one formula for one type of business, but for another type of business, a different scale?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think there is some fine points in there, and I can't help but know that right now, we're sitting on a potential redevelopment of an auditorium, which is perhaps one of the biggest, other than the American Legion, which was redeveloped with not one penny raised for parking, and there's no parking for the Legion. It's the largest area to congregate on the North Fork. had no problem with that, I thought it was the right thing to do for the community. But now with this, we have the auditorium, which, again, you know, is, I believe, the right thing to do for the community to preserve its history and to preserve that function primarily for the residents, as well as for visitors. But to have cultural activities for residents here I think is worth some accommodation to allow that to be developed without asking for -- I mean, that would be a quarter of a million dollars in parking fees, you

1	know.
2	So, if you complicate it too much, it's
3	going to get distorted somewhere, and somebody's
4	going to get a deal and somebody's going to get
5	messed up. But if you leave it too broad, I think
6	it leaves too much interpretation for special
7	interests on the Planning Board, and particularly
8	things that, you know, I think we have to I
9	think Jack put it best, that there has to be a
10	strong right and left guiding rail to say, you
11	know, this is what we're after. We're after
12	enriching our overall community for residents and
13	businesses, and, you know, this type of business
14	is needed, and this type of business is in excess,
15	and you can figure it out from there.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I get the
17	recommendation that we you at this meeting
18	coming up next week, you have a resolution
19	reopening the public hearing on this for the
20	for the May meeting? So because there's been
21	a
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a it's a major
23	change.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, this paragraph
25	should probably be discussed with the public, and

1	then and I'll take into consideration a couple
2	of minor comments I got tonight.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. If you can, I mean,
4	if you could do like a sliding scale. If you need
5	under 10 spots
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: it's \$1,000 for a small
8	little store, \$1,000 per spot.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: If you need from 10 to 30
11	spots, it's 2500. If you need more than 30 spots,
12	then it's \$5,000.
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Because that's a big person
15	that's doing a big project
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: that has a lot more
18	money.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: But that would safeguard a
21	small person that wants to just do something, and
22	they pay a cheaper fee than somebody that's just
23	going to write out a check for 200,000, and say,
24	"All right, I got my spots, let's go."
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: If you write those clear

1	guidelines in the law
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, well, that's
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: that makes sense to me.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. So then, you
5	know, small scale. If you're only doing a few
6	you need a few spots.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we're not killing that
9	person that's doing a small project. All right?
10	So Joe and I will talk about that with Paul, going
11	over that, and just try to write in some kind of
12	sliding scale that way, so that the bigger the
13	project, the more money it's going to cost you,
14	because, obviously, if you're putting in 30 rooms,
15	and you got a tiny piece of property, you know you
16	need
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But getting back to the
18	sliding scale, also gives the Planning Board a
19	clearer understanding
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: of the project that's
22	before them, okay? And they've been asking for
23	some guidance for a while.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, they have. That's why
25	this all came up, so they know what can we

1	actually do, where do we go with what's written in
2	the code. So this will clarify that. So work on
3	that part, Joe.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But we are also awaiting
6	their comments and suggestions for this as well
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: which need to be taken
9	into consideration.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I don't believe they've
12	arrived.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I no, they
14	have not. In fact, I think they're going to be
15	discussing it or finalizing it on the May 5th
16	meeting. But it seems to me that there's been
17	some significant changes. And from a procedural
18	standpoint, I'm assuming that we should be sending
19	the new language to them
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: now.
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I would say so. I would
23	say so.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Because, otherwise,
25	the comments you're going to get are going to be

1	based on the original language.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, they should be
4	yeah. No, they should be getting it, yes.
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'll do it right away.
6	0kay.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah. And the only other
8	thing in there that I'm trying to hint at, if you
9	will, in the guidelines, are that I understand the
10	nature of retail has changed, and that there's not
11	as much retail everywhere in the United States,
12	and that the retail climate has changed. I'm not
13	trying to live in the 1950s, or put my head in the
14	sand and pretend that that hasn't changed. But I
15	don't want to give up that the only business that
16	can be in our Business District is a place to get
17	something to eat or drink. There has to be
18	encouragement for services, for retail outlets for
19	people who don't want to leave the Village, for
20	things that people are interested in, that
21	people there has to be a happy medium.
22	Otherwise, it's going to be a place that we don't
23	want it to become, which we've seen happen to
24	other East End towns.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And we're small, so it's

15

16

17 TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I like it.

18 MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. We have Board

19 Discussions. Our Board discussion on the parking

20 No, wait. law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21 (Laughter)

22 MAYOR HUBBARD: I'll go down the list.

23 Board Discussions: A public hearing regarding the

24 Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Costello

25 Marine Contracting on behalf of Nathaniel and

1	Emily Ewing for the property located at 230 Fourth
2	Street, to remove and dispose of 62 feet of
3	bulkhead, and construct a new 62-feet bulkhead
4	return in-kind, in place. Any Board discussion on
5	that?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, could you remind
7	us, or refresh my memory, please, exactly what the
8	CAC recommended?
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. It was just to
10	maintain the of the non-turf buffer. That was
11	the only recommendation.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is that all it was?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, that was it.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right, yeah. Just the
16	return, coming back off the bulkhead that's
17	running out there.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, yeah, yeah.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Take out the cement, put
20	something new in.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: And it's just
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It exists there now.
24	It exists there now. They said they would, and
25	it's on the plans, but I think it would be just

1	you know, it makes it that much more enforceable
2	if it's in the
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's why I'm
4	asking, is
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I couldn't remember
7	what it was, to be honest with you, so.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We're putting in a plastic
9	bulkhead, Paul? It's not a wood bulkhead, right?
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not wood, no, it's
11	vinyl.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Vinyl.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: My only comment on that was
14	that on the plans that came from Costello, in that
15	non-turf barrier was written "grass", meaning not
16	turf grass, but larger grasses. And I wanted to
17	make sure that we required native grasses and not
18	invasive Asian species of grasses.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, that's that's
21	wise. That's why I was asking, because I couldn't
22	remember exactly what the CAC said. But I believe
23	the DEC is the DEC
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine. Just write
25	that into the resolution to approve their permit

and put that part on there. And just make sure you look at the agenda before it goes out to make sure the wording is what you're talking about.

Okay? All right. The second discussion item, public hearing regarding a proposed Local Law creating Section 150-30.2 regarding curb cuts within the Village of Greenport and amending Section 115-13 of the Greenport Village Code. Any discussion on that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm -- I'll be honest with you, I feel strongly that the Village Board is responsible for roadways, and the Planning -- allowing the Planning Board to make the final decision on curb cuts is -- is a little scary to me.

Just we've had -- we've had property owners who have completely taken their driveways out and put -- intensified the parking into the -- into the streets. I just -- I think there needs to be checks and balances for that, especially since we are the ones that are responsible for the roadways, and openings, and traffic, and flowing of traffic, and parking. And I just -- I would rather see some checks and balances on it, so that the Planning Board can get into -- I just -- I

1	just curb cuts scare me, especially after
2	seeing some on North Street that completely did
3	away with their driveways, and now we have a
4	sidewalk that's got a driveway entrance and it
5	dips down. And it's just it's a little scary.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, right now,
7	Paul, the code says Planning Board looks at it and
8	the Village Board is supposed to review it, we're
9	supposed to weigh in on it.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's the current
11	code. I think the proposed code
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: eliminate it's
14	just Planning Board, if I remember.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: It will be just the Planning
16	Board, and not the Village Board at all?
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So what are you
19	saying, you'd rather see the Village Board do curb
20	cuts and not the Planning Board?
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I would rather we have
22	the final say on it. I think in the code already,
23	we're supposed to be reviewing it, correct?
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's the current code
25	says it's supposed to go back.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The current code says
2	that, yes.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: But it hasn't been done
4	there. I can't remember. Very few curb cuts that
5	we've talked about were discussed over over the
6	past 10 years.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I can remember I can
8	remember your father's Board discussing curb cuts,
9	and they got into some lengthy discussions at
10	times. So I'm just I just I'm just you
11	know, I mean I'm going to vote against it if we
12	put it on for a resolution, so that's okay.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, I mean, because
14	Planning Board does the site plan review. That's
15	why it was in Planning Board, as they're going
16	over the site plan looking at it, saying where
17	this is where a curb cut will fit in, that that's
18	why it's been with them. That's why it's going
19	completely to them, the Village Board is not part
20	of it.
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I mean
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's what the
23	Planning Board is looking at the site plan. If
24	somebody's going to build a new house, boom,
25	here's the houses that were missed. Some of the

1	other ones, well, they're changing or subdividing
2	a piece of property, other things like that, and
3	there's other curb cuts, not everything has been
4	looked at per the site plan of adjacent houses or
5	across the street, or something like that. That's
6	why we're trying to clarify that part of it,
7	right?
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And in yes. In
9	addition to the procedural question, but yes. The
10	Village Attorney put in a fairly extensive
11	criteria list that the Planning Board is supposed
12	to review, and, again, that's one of them.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: My only comment on it was
15	that I thought, and I don't remember whether this
16	was added back in, but I thought that eliminating
17	a curb cut, as well as creating one, both were an
18	activity that should require review by a Board,
19	whichever one is decided upon.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I had requested that, but
21	I don't remember seeing it in the
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I remember you bringing it
23	up and I thought it was a very smart addition, and
24	I don't know whether it's in the code.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I thought I

thought it was put back -- put in. I don't have it with me.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't have it with me right now.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I don't have it with me either, I'm sorry. But that's just something I want to make sure of.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Well, if you could check on that before we put something on the agenda. I mean, for somebody to remove a curb cut, they would have to go and open the road up to put in a regular curb, instead of having a curb cut there. And that should require a road opening permit from us to be able to have a contractor go and do that.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If the person follows the code. Some people don't always do that. But, I mean, that should be the checks and balances. If you're going to take one out and just put a curb and a sidewalk across there, they're supposed to ask us. But there's been other ones where people have put in the granite blocks and other stuff on their walkway, and everything else, on Village right-of-way in their driveway. It beautifies the

area and the person's property, so we have not
said no, but I'm not sure that all those people
got
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Permits.
MAYOR HUBBARD: road opening permits to
go in to do that part of the project.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Which, again, you know, to
be around the amount of construction going on, we
can't be everywhere looking at every project.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no.
MAYOR HUBBARD: You know, that's
something they come at 7 in the morning, they
dig it up, they put it done, they're gone in a
day, and it's very hard to be everywhere to see
all that. But I'm
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the reason is when
they when they eliminate the driveway, it
puts it their site plan now puts parking
into the street instead of into their driveway.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Without a doubt, yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think that's
something that we have to get some checks and
balances on somewhere, okay, because it's happened
too often. And the intensification on Fifth

1	Avenue, we have it going on on North Street. Some
2	of these houses that have been improved, they've
3	eliminated the driveway all together so they had a
4	backyard, but that wasn't their original site
5	plan. So I that's that's a concern.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I agree with you, Mary Bess.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, Paul, go ahead.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If I may. We just
9	we just took a look at it. It does I mean, it
10	doesn't specifically say remove, but it says
11	any any modification, which would be all
12	encompassing.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So would that to
15	me would include that.
16	CLERK PIRILLO: It reads construct or
17	reconstruct, locate or relocate, relay or repair.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I think I think
19	I think that eventuality is covered. I do you
20	know, I believe.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just if I may, one
24	other again, on a on a procedural comment.
25	I think the Planning Board is sending comments on

1	this as well, and I think they're scheduled to do
2	that on probably on May 5th.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. They have 45 days if
4	they had to give comments on that, or whatever.
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right, right. So I
6	don't
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. So this is not
8	something that we'd be able to vote on
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Until until
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: next week, until we get
11	their stuff, so it would be something for May. So
12	having the discussion clarifies stuff for
13	everybody and see what it is. And some of it, I
14	could tell you, I know places where they're
15	redoing a road and the driveway was removed, and
16	people did it, and the Village redid the road and
17	took the curb cut out when they redid the
18	sidewalks and the curb, and our our Village
19	guys did that, not recently, but
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's happened.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: But it's happened. So, you
22	know, there's no you now, there's a fence put
23	up here, there's no longer a driveway there, and
24	they're fixing up the road, and they just went and
25	took care of it. You know, so we need to be more

diligent on that.

Curb cuts were never really a major thing, but I've seen it now. I mean, I know somebody right across from my mother where there's one curb cut and a three-bay parking area. So they have to go through one curb cut and drive across the lawn to get over to them. Well, somebody bought it. It didn't have to go before the Planning Board or anything else, but they wanted more parking off the street. Most people take it away. They put more in, and did a nice brick driveway and everything else, but now the two cars go in there, but you have to drive over the curb and the lawn to get into the parking areas.

So okay. Well, we'll discuss this, and that will be for a vote in the May meeting, okay?

CLERK PIRILLO: Mr. Mayor, we may need to renotice that, also, for -- to reopen the public hearing in May.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's a time -- it's not because of a change in the law, it's because of the timing factor. You can't -- you can't -- you can't go beyond a certain time period, you have to reopen, reopen the hearing.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: So for us to do next week,
2	or something we should do this evening?
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. We should make a
4	motion.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Whoa, whoa, whoa. Wait a
6	minute. Wait, wait a minute. You're
7	talking about reopening the hearing on parking, or
8	setting the new
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Curb cuts.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: On curb cuts.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Curb cuts.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
13	CLERK PIRILLO: Curb cuts.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Curb cuts, okay.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Because if you don't adopt
16	the law within a certain amount of time, you have
17	to reopen the public hearing. So we just
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, 60 days, and then it
19	becomes
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. We should just do
21	that as a formality, please.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So we should vote, we
24	should vote at our meeting to to reopen the
25	public hearing on at the May meeting on curb

1	cuts, so we could vote on it at the same night.
2	In May, we could have the public hearing and vote
3	on it the same night, if there's no significant
4	comments.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, we'll have the
7	comments from the Planning Board.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, we'll yeah.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, we're waiting for
10	those on May 5th on that, so
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The May meeting?
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. So put that on
13	the agenda. Reopen both public hearings for the
14	meeting next week. Okay.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. The next
17	Board discussion was on the parking, so we've
18	already passed that over enough, we're not going
19	to do that.
20	All right. Update Historic Preservation
21	Commission Guidelines. All right. We've all read
22	that. That was all more procedural stuff on the
23	guidelines of what they're doing, explaining to
24	applicants where they are earlier in the process,
25	letting them know what they have to do and all.

1	That was the guidelines that they had brought back
2	to us. Was everybody comfortable with those
3	guidelines?
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, the guidelines I'm
5	comfortable with.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The guidelines.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right with the
8	guidelines. So
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah. The guidelines were,
10	I think, in very well done. I have a great
11	deal of respect for the work they put into it.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So we'll put that on
13	for a vote on the guidelines?
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Do we need to vote on those,
16	or that's just become procedural?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, I would. I would vote
18	on that, yes.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: We do, we vote on that.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But the review and action
21	on Historic Preservation recommendations, is that
22	something that needs to be discussed and
23	formalized to either be adopted, or put on hold,
24	or rejected?
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's the recommendations

1 from the Historic? TRUSTEE CLARKE: (Nodded yes) 2 3 MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Yeah, well, the 4 first one, we're going to vote on the guidelines, which is just the format and procedure where we're 5 6 going with it. So we'll vote on that one. 7 next question is the recommendations from Historic 8 Preservation Commission, which is more making the 9 whole Village part of the Historic District, and that's open for discussion. 10 11 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think they --12 what they did is they set three priorities here, which ideally, I'm sure, they would like the whole 13 Village put into it. But I think they went 14 through the different -- Priority 1, Priority 2, 15 16 and Priority 3. And I think perhaps Front Street to Main Street, to Third, on both sides of the 17 18 street, that's a big discussion, that's a really 19 big discussion. Priority 2 is even bigger 20 discussion. But I think the third priority is 21 dealing with Green Hill Cemetery, and I think 22 that's something that we could discuss, and 23 perhaps try to move that forward, because I think 24 it is historic. I mean, it's got a lot of history 25 to it.

1 MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it would give the Board our opportunity for maybe some funding for it, or projects to go in there to take care of some of the tombstones and some of the area. And if we were going to talk about any of it, that's the one I would like to talk about first, is Green Hill Cemetery.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, that's fine. I mean, making the whole Village part of the Historic District I am not in favor of. I think it would put a burden and change the character and stuff of what's going on outside of that district. The original district was done, meaning mostly just the downtown area. To expand that over at the end of Sixth Street and Sixth Avenue, and everything else, I think that's a stretch and it's -- I don't think that's recommended at this time for the project.

Green Hill Cemetery, definitely. I mean, a lot of work has been done down there since the Village took it over 16 years ago now, I believe it was, from the Clark Family. A lot of the stones have been uprighted and fixed, we had a grant for that. But to make that an historic

area, I would be totally in favor of that, you know.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that the Downtown Business District, when the original concept started, was to try and keep what we're still trying to keep now, okay? But they didn't do the stores on Front Street for some reason. I believe it's tied up with the Waterfront Commercial designation for those properties.

So I agree with you, putting the whole Village into historic, making residential areas do, through so many hoops for -- not hoops, but the cost for some of those houses that are outside the current district now would be putting a burden on a lot of people.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, I agree with the commentary I've heard so far. Priority 3 seems like a good and noble task that's not hard to do. In just looking at the three priorities that they made, you know, I have a lot of respect for their work and their determination on asking for these three priorities.

Priority 2 does not bother me greatly, because there are a great deal of historic structures that are in that distance just on Front

1 Street that I think do warrant protection. 2 Priority 1 was the only priority that I --3 you know, it's a tough one. You've got about -- a 4 building that Kessie's in that's historic, and 5 you'd love to see preserved, and then you have a 6 building such as --TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 7 Colonial. 8 TRUSTEE CLARKE: -- Colonial Drug that has 9 no specific historic significance to the Village 10 in any vernacular to speak of. 11 And I understand they're trying to address 12 the Business District streetscape, but I am having a hard time with Priority 1 in reconciling the 13 14 idea of progressive development of the Business District with historic designation. And how would 15 16 that work to combine historic designation on what I view as non-historic buildings that would then 17 18 require additional requirements if they were be --19 to be redeveloped? 20 That said, there are individual properties 21 within that area. The real estate company next to 22 Greenport Wines and Spirits is a beautiful --Linda Kessler's building, it's a beautiful 23 24 I would hate to see someone historic building.

tear it down and build something else. Aldo's is

25

1	a historic quaint structure. So those properties
2	are vulnerable if they're not protected, but there
3	are so many other properties on that stretch that
4	don't necessarily warrant protection, that I get
5	caught up in myself with Priority 1.
6	Priority 2 being mostly outside of the
7	primary district, even though the distance from
8	Third to Fourth Street is still part of the
9	Business Improvement District. Kapell Real Estate
10	is could be considered an historic building.
11	The Greenporter, probably as it existed, would
12	have been considered historic from the viewpoint
13	of the Commission now, because it is in a
14	Mid-Century vernacular, which 30 years ago nobody
15	cared about, but in the past 30 years has gone
16	from something that no one cared about to
17	something that is extremely important in
18	preserving, but most of that has already been
19	lost, and, of course, with any plans to alter it
20	further.
21	So I could see I could see certainly
22	letting go of Priority 1, but I do have some heart
23	for Priority 2.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And I don't know what my

1	opinion means in this conversation, other than,
2	you know, if it's something we need to vote on or
3	give feedback to, that is
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, they're just asking,
5	really, for guidance, are we doing anything with
6	the stuff that they had put together, they want to
7	know where we stood with it.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's pretty much what
10	they Paul had discussions with, you know, the
11	Chair and all what they wanted. So they said,
12	"Well, we did all this work, we gave you
13	recommendations, where do we stand?"
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that and
15	not to interrupt you. In taking in what Peter
16	said, and I think Priority 1 and Priority 2 is a
17	much broader discussion.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that's why I'm
20	focusing in on Priority 3, because it is something
21	that I think we all agreed to. I think it's to
22	their it's to their advant it's something
23	that they would dig their teeth into as well, and
24	I think it would show that we're we're hearing
25	them. And we still need to discuss 1 and 2, but 3

1	wives them the idea that we are beguing very
1	gives them the idea that we are hearing you. You
2	know, they did a lot of work and we appreciate it.
3	And, you know, I would just love to see Green Hill
4	Cemetery become a site that is true to the memory
5	and the respect to those families that are in that
6	cemetery.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So you might consider
8	you might consider adopt voting to move ahead
9	with the discussion regarding Green Hill Cemetery,
10	and respond that you're taking their first two
11	recommendations under advisement, you know,
12	something like that.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, that would be fine.
14	Paul could put that together and advise them of
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: what would go into all to
17	do that.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Did you also want to
19	keep those items for discussion, the other two, on
20	your agenda for another month, maybe? I don't
21	know.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I think, at this point,
23	let's say take care of the one. We don't need to
24	discuss it over and over again. It's something
25	that at a later date, they can be brought up

1	again.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay. All right.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Is everybody
4	comfortable with that? Right now, I don't think
5	we're going to move forward with them in the near
6	future, there's so much else going on, that the
7	Green Hill Cemetery, yes, we could move that one
8	forward. The other two we'll take up at a future
9	date. So it doesn't have to be on the agenda for
10	continued discussion at this point.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I will, I will
12	advise of them of that, and we will start you
13	know, Management will start looking into the
14	process of getting that designation for the
15	cemetery.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. That's
17	the last Board Discussion. I don't
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Mr. Mayor.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The Clerk brought up the
21	letter to the DEC on the Widow's Hole
22	conversation.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Is that something that
25	you'd like to discuss at a future date?

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Actually, I think they want
2	that letter soon, the Peconic Land Trust, the DEC
3	letter. When do they want that?
4	CLERK PIRILLO: I don't believe it's
5	required immediately.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
7	CLERK PIRILLO: If we want to if we want
8	to postpone it a little bit, we could, and not
9	vote on it next week. I think that would be okay.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I mean, if somebody has
11	comments on it, we could do it right now. I mean,
12	I did receive a text from a neighbor of the
13	property down there who felt the wording of the
14	letter states plural "aquaculture operations", and
15	that family feels it would be a hindrance to their
16	operation by doing the dredging. So he wanted
17	that part of it removed, and he also, he asked
18	that if he has to shut down his operations, he'd
19	like to be reimbursed from for the closure of
20	it, in his operations, from the applicant.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I want to in all
22	honesty, I'd like to have the time to, and have
23	haven't had the time to go back and review. The
24	word "modifying the permit" has caught my
25	curiosity, so I and modifying a DEC permit,

1	sometimes there are catches that we don't catch,
2	and I just would like to have time to review it
3	again, that's all.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Sounds good to me.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We could take it up next
6	month.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. The letter that was
8	in the Clerk's report was just a form letter sent
9	by them that they send out, and we'll sign the
10	bottom and send it in. We're not going to do
11	that.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The word "modify" became
13	a red flag in my mind, so I just wanted to
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine. So we'll
15	just we can discuss that over the next couple
16	of weeks, and we could do the letter for in
17	May.
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That sounds good to me.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And if I may.
21	Mr. Mayor, I apologize. Just to be clear, this
22	is this is for the DEC permit. Once they get
23	that, they would still have to come back to this
24	Board to get a wet Village wetlands permit.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: An additional control, so
4	that's good.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, in all honesty, for
6	my part, I know everyone that's along there. I
7	know what's going on. There's been local
8	discussion about the project in some positive and
9	negative ways. And for me, as I said, the word
10	"modification" is raising a red flag, okay, so
11	that's the only reason.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Understood. The
13	modifications from the DEC, we don't have that
14	potential in our
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know. I know, but it
16	could come into our permit, so I just I just
17	wanted to cross the T's and dot the I's.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll go on to
19	Trustee reports. Start with Trustee Clarke.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay, great. I just want
21	to remind the Board that on April 28th, we will be
22	having a presentation from the Dark Skies
23	Coalition, who will be bringing some materials to
24	do a presentation, and speaking about code changes
25	and LWRP implications, and examples of other East

1 End communities.

It was interesting timing tonight with Paul mentioning street lamps. That also would fall under requests that they're making for the adoption of standard Dark Skies code development within the Village and within our LWRP, particularly with respect to maritime navigation, not having landward lights that shine out that could confuse mariners, as well as for wildlife, having all of the lighting be downwardly focused, no up lighting, and no holiday lighting year-round within the Village. Just so that everyone knows what they're looking for, they'll be here next week.

The Village Clerk has in her report a resolution for us to vote on next week of the Story Walk in Mitchell Park, which is a project of Friends of Mitchell Park. And I want to make sure that everyone's aware of that, that they've read it, that they've looked at it. And if there's any questions I can answer or concerns, I'd love to have that conversation tonight, so that we don't have any obstacles to having a good vote on that resolution next week. Anybody?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. It looked good to me, so.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Very good, thank you. I
2	don't have anything else at this time.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, thank you. Trustee
4	Martilotta.
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't really have
6	much. I've been out of town the last few weeks in
7	Texas. Just trying to get caught back up.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Trustee Phillips.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A couple of things. I
10	was asked to participate on this, on April 7th, in
11	the League of the Hampton, Shelter Island and
12	the North Fork program for the League of Women
13	Voters for Running and Winning that
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Hold on, Trustee
15	Phillips. With the trucks, there's a lot of
16	noise.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, I'm waiting.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The Transcriptionist
19	can't
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, what?
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The Transcriptionist
22	couldn't hear.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, the trucks.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: She couldn't hear you.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right, yeah.

1	April 7th I was asked to participate with
2	the League of Women Voters program called Running
3	and Winning that was to 11th and 12th Grade girls.
4	Greenport School sent some students, and I would
5	like at some point to invite them to come, come to
6	a Village work session and maybe ask all of us
7	questions about what it's like to be a Trustee or
8	even Mayor.
9	I know that there used to be the Mayor's
10	program that was for the children that was in
11	school, but they haven't had in the grade
12	school, but they haven't done that for a while, I
13	don't think. NYCOM used to do that.
14	So I would like to encourage these young
15	ladies, who were very it was very interesting
16	and very invigorating to see how much they knew
17	about politics that you wouldn't think 11th and
18	12th Graders would know about. It was so if I
19	could work with the Village Clerk on that, and
20	the and the Village Administrator, I would like
21	to reach out to the school.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Always good to get the young
25	kids involved.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, all right.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Awesome.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing is, as
4	part of the Transportation Commission for Southold
5	Town, as the appoint Liaison, they've had some
6	great discussions about speed signs that they've
7	been dealing with on Peconic, on Peconic Lane,
8	where they actually had solar powered signs. But
9	Dan Goodwin was kind enough to send me this
10	information about these signs that actually can be
11	hooked into an electric electric on our poles.
12	And I don't know if any of you have noticed it,
13	but us going down to 25 miles per hour didn't
14	really do much good for the speeding on Front and
15	Main Street, to be honest with you.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Or First Street.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or First Street, yes. So
18	I was hoping that we could perhaps the Village
19	Administrator and the Treasurer could work with me
20	to see what these would cost, or if we could reach
21	out to the New York State DOT and see if they
22	could get some of these signs to go under our
23	25-mile-per-hour sign, so that when people are
24	coming down Main Street, it starts to flash the
25	speed that they're going, and do some type of

1	reminder that you're supposed to slow down,
2	because, I'll be honest with you, it's been pretty
3	bad as of late. Trying to get out of Manor Place
4	has been interesting during the daytime.
5	And that brings up the other subject of I
6	would really appreciate if we could write a letter
7	to the Stony Brook Eastern Long Island Hospital
8	management to ask their employees to remember that
9	they are driving in a residential district, that
10	there are children that are in. I know, Mayor,
11	you are not home during the day, but I have seen
12	some extremely scary, almost on two wheels,
13	because we're late for work, or we're on a shift
14	and getting into the parking lot, or even leaving
15	work. I think they need to be reminded that they
16	are in a residential district. You know, just a
17	gentle remember, you know, we you know.
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I would I would I
19	would second Trustee Phillips' request, as well as
20	trying to prioritize the crosswalk there, just
21	because
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: it could be dicey.

Place on Main Street.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Are you talking about Manor

24

25

	Work Session 4/21/22 112
1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: (Nodded yes)
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, yeah.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I can I don't know
5	if Paul remembers the price. We did price those
6	signs while we were changing the speed limit. It
7	was around
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't remember.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: It was around \$8,000 per
10	sign.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, again, as I said,
13	this was a new company that came out of
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: It was around \$8,000 per
15	sign.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: We were looking at two of
18	them, one by Saint Agnes Church, and one up by
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Third Street. Then at
21	the time, our Treasurer said, "I don't have
22	\$20,000 for signs to be put up there."
23	(Laughter)
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: It's always your problem.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, actually

1	actually, I think if we could actually get the DOT
2	to help us
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: But if we can get it from
4	the State to do it, that's fine. They have a lot
5	a lot on Shelter Island. They they have one
6	that they move it around in different areas, so
7	you see what you're going, and it does it
8	alerts you. So they put this temporary sign
9	was up there for a while when we first talked
10	about changing the speed limit from 30 to 25, they
11	had the sign up there. And you go past Spano's
12	and you see the sign, well, you're going 42 in a
13	25, it wakes you up and you realize it.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: You know.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that was my other
17	request, is to Southold Town.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we may be able to rent
19	one for the summer season to get people used to
20	it, or buy one and just move it around. Those are
21	solar powered, so they don't have to be hard-wired
22	in, so they move it and put a pole in and they
23	move it.
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The one on the trailer,
25	you're talking?

	Work Session 4/21/22 114
1	MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Southold P.D. has one.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: These are these right on
4	a pole.
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, these are on a
6	pole.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: These are on a pole.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. They could put in a
9	street sign post
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: and put it right on
12	there, and it has the solar panel on the top.
13	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And move it around?
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Like the ones that
16	Southold Town bought for Peconic Bay Boulevard are
17	solar powered and they were extremely expensive.
18	MR. BRANDT: There's a wire, actually.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The wire goes right
20	into as Dan explained to me, that these get
21	wired right into our electrical system on a pole.
22	So, as I said, he sent me this information today,
23	so I will
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's the first time.

1	The other thing is I Paul, I have to ask
2	this question. The staged model that you
3	described at 123 Sterling, does that really fit
4	within the Waterfront Commercial descriptions that
5	is in that agreement that we have? Have you and
6	Joe discussed that?
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I haven't. I'm
8	not I'm not quite sure I'm not quite sure I
9	understand the question. So all the as far as
10	I understand, all they did was put some stuff in
11	there. There was something in a room when they
12	showed it show it, that's what they did.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Show it for for all of
14	the items that are listed in the agreement under
15	Waterfront Commercial, or to show it as a staged
16	model for the rooms up for the
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, no, no. It was
18	for the use for the use of the space, not
19	they put furniture in one of the units, in the
20	residential units, and they put some items I
21	don't recall the list off the top of my head, but
22	it was not for residential use.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, because it wasn't
24	very clear in the email. That's why I you
25	said you said staged model, so and that's

1	why I'm asking. I understood the staged model to
2	be residential, so that's why I'm asking.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, the model for
4	that use. That's
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, each for the
7	different use, one residential, one not.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Is it the upstairs
10	residential, or is the first floor yacht so the
11	yacht club spaces that they did?
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They did both. They
13	put they put some furniture in a residential
14	unit, and some again, I don't recall what they
15	are, but they were not residential, for
16	residential use on the first floor.
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm sorry.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It was not for
19	residential use on the first floor.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It was for the yacht
21	SO
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, whatever
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For Waterfront
24	Commercial?
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For Waterfront
2	Commercial.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, for something,
4	you know, like that.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you. I
6	think that just needed to get clarified a little
7	bit.
8	The other thing is how are we coming the
9	LWRP consultant, I'm sure you're putting out a new
10	RFP; is that what you're doing with that?
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's almost done.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Are we are we we
13	did the LW you did the did you decide on
14	anything?
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We'll verify. We did
16	we believe we sent the second one. It appears
17	we may not have gotten any response, but we'll
18	verify that.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. But before we get
20	to that, I'm going to put on the table, and I
21	think that Trustee Robins and I have talked about
22	it, and Trustee Clarke and I have talked about it,
23	is the Waterfront Commercial Code Chapter 150. I
24	would really like to review the Sections B through G.
25	And I don't know if that's something that we would

have to put a moratorium on for a certain time or period. I would -- I would ask the Village

Attorney what we need to do with that.

Our LWRP suggests that we have two separate codes within the Waterfront Commercial for different -- for different descriptions, and I really want -- I want our Waterfront Commercial to say Waterfront Commercial. And I just feel that B through G gives too many loopholes, that we eventually will lose what people come out to see, and that's the waterfront, and waterfront, working waterfront.

So I'm putting it up that I really would like to go out to public hearing to -- either we put a moratorium on for a couple of months to review this and come up with something. I'm not sure what the best structure would be for that. And I'm going to kindly ask the Village Attorney what he thinks.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, a moratorium is done by Local Law, and what you -- whatever the moratorium is that you'd like to have, you normally do a resolution until the Local Law can have a hearing. But an enforceable moratorium could only be done by a Local Law, and you need --

1	in order to have it justified, you need to have
2	some reason to have the moratorium, like plan
3	you know, study a study, planning, things like
4	that. You can't you shouldn't adopt a
5	moratorium just for the sake of having a
6	moratorium. You need to have some kind of
7	something you're going to do during the moratorium
8	period.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's what Mary Bess is
11	suggesting.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is to review, to
13	review
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Upgrade the LWRP. I mean,
15	it's very clear.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: It sounds clear to me.
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If that's the purpose,
18	then, yeah, that's
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that okay for a reason?
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If it's something that
21	that's going to be worked on in the meantime, then
22	I think it's a legitimate it's a supportable
23	reason, yes.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, our current LWRP is
25	the one that suggests that, at some point, we're

1	supposed to go back and review the code to create
2	two separate waterfront commercial in the
3	current in the current LWRP that's we're under.
4	The other one has still not been approved, and
5	that still says the same thing. So just the sheer
6	fact that we should be reviewing it and getting
7	public comment on it, I just and it's it's
8	something where our LWRP just didn't continue on
9	dealing with that portion of the code. So is that
10	a reason enough?
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, as long as you had
12	something going. As long as you set, you know, a
13	date to take public comments, or something like
14	that.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Joe, isn't the LWRP our
16	comprehensive planning document? I mean, every
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: municipality I heard at
19	this conference has to have a comprehensive plan.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You're not required to
21	have a comprehensive plan. We did opt to have an
22	LWRP, so we have an LWRP. You're not required to
23	have a comprehensive plan. When you opt to have
24	an LWRP, the LWRP becomes your comprehensive plan
25	for the area that it covers. In this case, it

1	covers the entire Village, so most or all of the
2	Village. So if you're you know, as long as you
3	have an active plan that to make a
4	consideration or a study, or, you know, possible
5	changes, things like that, that would justify a
6	moratorium.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And the reason for the
8	consultant is because we really don't have staff
9	that's, you know, available to do this review
10	right now, so we're hiring a consultant. And we
11	just did not receive, I guess, bids from anybody
12	at this point; is that correct?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I apologize. What
14	was your question?
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: A consultant to do the
16	review of the LWRP, we have not received any
17	additional names, people responding to it?
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. We're going to
19	we're going to verify, but it just for from own
20	clarification, what I thought Trustee Phillips was
21	asking for was not a not the moratorium for the
22	LWRP, a moratorium for the code itself, correct,
23	to review those sections of code?
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, to review the
25	sections of the code.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not the LWRP. That's
2	a separate matter, yes?
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. What she's talking
4	about is in our in our current LWRP, the
5	current one that we're under, because we still
6	have to the other one's not finished yet, okay?
7	I think what Trustee Roberts is Robins is
8	saying is that that is one of the reasons to
9	review the code.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's what I meant.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Does that make that
14	clear?
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. I mean, I just want
16	to point out there, so everybody knows what we're
17	talking about, the I've been through the LWRP
18	several times, you know, this new the new draft
19	of the LWRP. The reason why the update that's
20	required is to there's many portions of that
21	document that are outdated, because there's
22	changes that have taken place that need to be
23	reflected in that document. So there's if we
24	hire I know we made a decision to hire an
25	outside consultant, because we're all involved in

1	everything else that is going on in the Village,
2	but what there's going to be an uphill learning
3	curve for that, whoever that person is that comes,
4	or a company, if we end up hiring somebody. And a
5	lot of what the work that needs to be done is
6	to just sit with the LWRP and update it, like I
7	said, not to I'm sorry, I'm repeating myself,
8	for the changes that have taken place. So if one
9	of when somebody comes in, if one of us could
10	work with that person, or that we would get past
11	that initial curve. But if you have a plan in
12	place for that to happen, then that could justify
13	a moratorium, if the moratorium is approved.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: When you're talking about
15	one of us, you mean a member of the Board, or are
16	you talking about, you know, Management?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, myself, myself and
18	management, basically.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I mean, to the point where
21	Paul and I can just read through it and circle
22	things that we know, know have to be changed. I
23	mean, we've probably done half of it already.
24	It's just that when we got to half, then
25	there's so many other things came up and we

1	couldn't finish.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Understood, that's why we
3	think you should have some help.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So you're talking
5	what do you want the moratorium on, what
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah, I don't
7	understand.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I want okay. I
9	believe that we need to, as a Board, take a look
10	at the code, and take a look at our LWRP, take a
11	look at some of our buildings that really
12	aren't now I'm getting out of hold on a
13	second, let me get back to this, okay? I had this
14	in my mind before and now I'm confused.
15	Okay. Somehow, somehow, whether it's a
16	moratorium, or we start the discussion on
17	Chapter 15, the Waterfront Commercial, I I want
18	us to set a goal of protecting, or to make sure
19	the code will protect what we have left as the
20	true working waterfront. And, also, at the same
21	time, we have properties that are in the
22	Waterfront Commercial District that, really,
23	they're waterview, they're not waterfront.
24	I just think it's time that we discussed
25	truly protecting what is left of our working

1	waterfront. I don't want I don't want I
2	don't want to see a conditional use of a motel on
3	a large piece of waterfront property, because
4	that's not what our Village is. So whether it's a
5	moratorium, or we start working on the code
6	ourselves, somehow I want something so we start
7	discussing it. So and I I'm asking the
8	Village Attorney, and maybe the Village
9	Administrator, what is the best method to do that?
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I mean, I
11	if I may, I mean, I it sounds like what you're
12	asking for is for us to review the Waterfront
13	Commercial code. I don't I don't know what you
14	would put a moratorium on.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I'm asking, okay?
16	It's been discussed and but I just would like
17	to get it going. We've talked about for a while
18	and
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You're concerned about the
20	conditional uses.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The conditional uses.
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And that they're too loose
23	at this point in our development to allow it to
24	continue, as well as separating the properties
25	that already have conditional uses in place, but

1	are still zoned WC.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: For example, just to use
4	your own street as an example, you have a very
5	clear WC business with Alice's Fish Market, but
6	you have three nonconforming properties with
7	residences on them that are in WC. So, if I
8	remember correctly from my reading of the LWRP,
9	the recommendation was made to create two zones
10	that legitimize the conditional uses of the
11	properties that were built in the WC section that
12	were conditional uses already, but strengthens the
13	protection of those that have not yet gone into a
14	conditional use path, and trying to eliminate
15	conditional uses in the short term, for fear of
16	the developmental pressures that are on the
17	Village right now. I hope I'm speaking
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You got it. Yeah, that's
19	exactly it, Peter. I'm sorry, I'm getting
20	tongue-tied, I've been up for quite a while.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand, I understand.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But, you know
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, also, you know,
25	it's it's a topic. I mean, as I had explained

1	to somebody once, I said, you know, I could take
2	what I own and knock everything down and build a
3	restaurant and a hotel, if I wanted to. I don't
4	want to. And I think that that's something we
5	need to take a look at and make sure that we
6	protect our working waterfront, what's left of it,
7	okay? So I'm looking for guidance, what's the
8	best way to do it.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, I think, I
10	think if it if it's the Board's, you know,
11	consensus that to do that, I think I can
12	certainly, Village Attorney and I can take a look
13	at specifics of the LWRP and how it what the
14	implication is with the code and make a
15	recommendation on the change, if that's what you
16	all want.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I thought that's why
18	we went and hired a consultant to try to review
19	the LWRP. I thought that's what we did when we
20	went out to do that.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Maybe I'm maybe
22	I'm maybe I'm misunderstanding.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's only that's
24	only a portion of it. The LWRP has to be there
25	are some things in there, Mayor, that need to be

1	updated from when that LWRP was done, and that's
2	why the consultant was being hired, from my
3	understanding. The waterfront, this is this
4	is this is just specific, this is just on one
5	section of the LWRP.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: One section of the code.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Excuse me, the code, yes.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, I'm not trying to
9	paraphrase here for Trustee Phillips, but she's
10	spoken to me about this. It's been something on
11	our Trustee reminders that a moratorium was
12	requested. I believe that the moratorium is being
13	requested in order to restrict the temptation for
14	conditional uses being brought forward to the
15	Planning Board until we can strengthen the code,
16	because the code has conditional and nonconforming
17	uses written very clearly. But, technically, as
18	we've seen, a developer or an owner could come
19	forward to the Zoning and Planning Boards tomorrow
20	with commercial ideas that would include the very
21	things that Trustee Phillips is mentioning, hotels
22	and restaurants, on the last portions of the
23	working waterfront. Of course, they would have to
24	go through those Boards. They may not get
25	approved, but I believe I'm paraphrasing

	, ,
1	again you're looking to strengthen it so that
2	those conditional uses are never an option for a
3	Board to even consider.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's right.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So what do you want a
6	moratorium on? A moratorium saying no building,
7	no nothing in the W that's why I'm asking.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, no.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: What do you want the
10	moratorium on?
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The moratorium would only
12	be a time frame, a can only be a time frame to
13	do the work on reviewing, reviewing that section
14	of the chapter. That's why I'm saying, is do we
15	need to have a moratorium, or or can we just
16	start working on looking at that chapter and
17	getting it done?
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Because the
19	three of you have already said you all want the
20	moratorium. I'm saying what if we're going
21	vote on a moratorium, the moratorium has to say
22	something. We want a moratorium on no
23	construction in WC
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: until you guys decide

	Work Session 4/21/22 130	
1	what you want to do?	
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct, yeah.	
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Until the code	
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that what you're asking	
5	for?	
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Until the code is	
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: You want to halt all	
8	construction in WC for a year?	
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For a time, for a time	
10	period, right.	
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: "X" time period, yes. You	
12	know, and nothing happens quick in government, we	
13	know it takes some time.	
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Until	
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, we also we're going	
16	to need input from what you guys want, if you're	
17	going to try to do this, if we're going to put	
18	this to the public and vote it.	
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Isn't it the	
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, because you're going	
21	to shut down a whole bunch of places that won't be	
22	able to do anything for a year. You're going to	
23	stop anything going on in WC, then, that's what	
24	you three want?	
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, Mayor, that's	

1	why okay. That's why I'm asking. And I'm
2	asking the Village Attorney and the Village
3	Administrator, is this something that Chapter 15,
4	in the Waterfront Commercial can we get through
5	this in a time frame of a month or two, so that we
6	could get it out to the public?
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Get through what? I'm
8	sorry.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Creating creating a
10	document to give to the public for a public
11	hearing.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: For for changes,
13	recommended changes
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For the changes.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: or for a moratorium?
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, for recommended
17	changes to the code.
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Changes to the code.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We could do that. That's
20	some
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, yes.
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Something.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Or make some conditional
24	uses and make them prohibitive uses, correct?
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, that's exactly

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it would be helpful
2	for the two of them. You got all these ideas, all
3	these discussions. Put in writing what you want.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: So just saying we got to
6	discuss it is not discussing it, it's not giving
7	us any solution of what you have in your minds of
8	what you want.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we need something of what
11	they're going to work with, instead of just saying
12	we need to discuss this, or we'll take two months
13	to discuss it.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, we
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: But we have a whole bunch of
16	discussion items and we don't get input on it.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But then what we need
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we need something more
19	than just saying we want to discuss it, please.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I just I said
21	before that we need to create two separate zones
22	in the Waterfront Commercial, and that means we
23	have to to create taking B through G and
24	creating a different zone, and what's the process
25	for changing the zone on those properties. That

1 has to be a public hearing as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.

And that has to have the TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: information. That's why I'm saying, is my goal has been from the beginning, and moratorium was a word that's been thrown around, because I'm not sure what the process is, but I want to see a zone change that protects the true waterfront, waterfront and those that are -- fall within those conditional waterfronts have a Zoning Code that fits for them, but doesn't create a loophole -not a loophole. Doesn't create where hotels and motels can get into our last waterfront pieces of property in the Village of Greenport. That's -that's, as Trustee Clarke said, strengthening our waterfront, our WC to make sure that the conditional uses that are in existence here stay on the properties that are already zoned that way.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So if I could make a suggestion, why don't you five Paul and I until the next work session, until a week before the next work session with our reports to come up with some language, suggested language. And then if you feel that you need additional time, or you want to put a hold on everything, then consider

1	the moratorium after that. But let's at least get
2	to that first step and give you some give you
3	some
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I'll be more than
5	happy to write I just want to get to the first
6	step. I'll be more than happy to send you
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, if you could give
8	us
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: some of my thoughts.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If you could give us
11	bullet points in an email, or something, that
12	would be that would be helpful. But in the
13	meantime, we'll get we'll start with this.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. And then the other
16	thing that I know this, we've had the
17	discussion of chickens before, but the avian flu
18	has kind of become a topic in the newspapers
19	lately. And I happened to notice that there's a
20	few chicken coops that are around. And I'm not
21	sure how to deal with them in the code, but it
22	would be great if we could get them registered
23	with the Town with Village Hall, so that we
24	have an idea, if the Department of Ag Markets
25	comes in and wants to know where the chicken coops

1 are, that they have access to the information. 2 And I don't know how to go about that, so. ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, we have a policy, 3 4 it's -- which we're enforcing against somebody right now, so you're not allowed to have an animal 5 6 that's not -- you're not allowed to harbor or possess an animal that's not a regular domestic 7 8 animal, and we've had -- we've had questions about 9 that with regard to chickens in the past. If you 10 want to -- if you want to license them, you could adopt a policy without a Local Law, or you 11 12 could -- a registration. 13 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Registration. 14 ATTORNEY PROKOP: You could adopt a policy without a Local Law, or you could adopt a Local 15 16 Law. So, I mean, because this is an immediate temporary, hopefully, a temporary thing, but it 17 18 has immediacy to it, you probably could 19 consider -- should consider a policy. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I'm okay with that. 20 21 The only thing is, I mean, it's not just chickens. 22 I think it's all water foul, you know, the ducks 23 around, and geese, and everything else that could 24 all be carrying this. So, you know, you might 25 control it by, you know, the flocks that people

that are keeping chickens in the Village, but it doesn't necessarily mean that you're going to keep the bird flu out of the Village because of all the wildlife around here as well, so.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't think it's more keeping, I think it's just having the information available for if there does become a problem, where the Department of Ag and Markets comes in and proceeds to go through the Village, and just wants to know where the chicken coops are, or where the chickens are.

I think it's -- we've had complaints from some residents that have had issues with their neighbors, and I just -- I understand it's also everybody believes we're rural. The Village isn't really a rural farm area, but I just -- I just think we need to make sure we know what we have.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, I think Alex has -- excuse me, I think the Code -- the Code

Enforcement has a pretty good idea.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, per our code right now, are chickens allowed in the Village? We've had a discussion when everybody wanted them. They wanted to do it. They brought us deviled eggs at a meeting here 10 years ago and all.

1	the kids loved them and everything, go ahead, we
2	kind of looked the other way. But we can't
3	register and say, "We know you got them here,"
4	because then we could never do anything about it,
5	because we're justifying them breaking our code.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I'm just I'm
7	bringing up the topic, because I
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I understand what you're
9	saying about the bird flu and everything else.
10	The guy in Hampton Bays, or whatever, that killed
11	his whole flock. Been in business for 60 years,
12	killed every bird on his property and closed up,
13	they said like you can't do it anymore. You know,
14	so, I mean, that was his whole life and that's
15	very disappointing that that happened, but we I
16	just don't see how we could justify something
17	that's illegal.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm just
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? No.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm saying I'm just
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: They can verify that and
22	check the code and look at it, but I believe
23	that's where that was.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So the complaint that we
25	got about the chicken coop the other day, or the

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631) 727-1107

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, there used to be

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

one down our end of the world.

23

24

25

information with everybody as well.

25

1 So I'm just -- I've given my -- given my BID 2 report. The BID is starting to get pretty active. They did a nice Egg Roll. I don't know if anybody 3 4 was able to go down to Mitchell Park last weekend. They had a magician that the children absolutely 5 6 loved. I mean, that guy was really funny and --7 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, he is. 8 TRUSTEE ROBINS: You know, there was two 9 mixups in terms of his arrival, and he was late, and stuff like that. But all in all -- and I saw 10 11 Sylvia down there, kind of, you know, punted a 12 little bit with the free Carousel rides and 13 everything. And I think that a lot of -- a lot of 14 kids had a really good time, so -- and I knew the Easter Bunny, too. I won't tell who it was. 15 16 But, anyhow, they also are going to be doing this -- on the 30th, they're going to be doing the 17 18 5K race, okay? It's a fund-raiser. They're 19 coordinating it with the Cherry Blossom Festival. Deborah from the Greenporter has T-shirts printed, 20 21 and a lot of activities going on for people coming 22 to the Village. They'll walk around the Village. Restaurants are going to be featuring drinks with 23 24 cherry blossom themes and stuff like that. So, 25 you know, some nice things going on.

1 So that race is going to be Saturday 2 morning. It's going to start, I believe, at 3 9 o'clock here, at the firehouse, not inside the 4 firehouse, but just out in the driveway. route has been established, you know, and they're 5 6 going to get some help from volunteers from ROTC 7 to assist with, you know, oversight and stuff like 8 that. They've been working with Chief Flatley, 9 and so everything has been set up for that. 10 They -- also, David Abatelli, I think, was 11 working with the Tree Committee. They're giving 12 the Village the spruce trees that they've taken out of the planters, and year-round spruces. 13 they -- I think they have 50 of them. 14 15 MAYOR HUBBARD: Fifty-seven of them. 16 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Fifty-seven, yeah. think they said they were willing to help deliver 17 18 them, and then if the Village would plant them, 19 what they -- I think he coordinated with them to 20 see where you want to locate them now, some of 21 them by --22 MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, Carol Edwards is 23 working on it from the Tree Committee, along with 24 John Quinlan. And we're coordinating with Paul 25 Pallas, because he's back now, for the Electric

1	Department to take the the digger truck and dig
2	down
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: 18 inches to make it
5	easier, so they don't have to dig it all by hand.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Uh-huh.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: And then they'll expand out
8	what they need to do and they'll put them in. And
9	Jack Weiskott has them all stored at his
10	property
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: and they'll be bringing
13	them down there when they need them.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So, and, you know you
15	know, coming off of, you know, maybe some
16	contentious stuff with the parklets and stuff like
17	that, I think it's nice for the BID and the
18	Village to be working together on some things like
19	that again. So, hopefully, you know, they will
20	continue to foster a working relationship.
21	They have a they're trying to do some
22	work on their website right now to increase
23	membership, and encourage members to use the
24	website, you know, to promote their businesses.
25	People still don't really do it on a level that it

1 should be done.

Members, talked about having one big tent pole event every season. You know, so, featuring one big thing, like, you know -- I mean, spring and summer are easy, you know, with things like the kickoff with the Egg Roll, and then they go into Mother's Day, and then all the activities that are going to happen, but there are other big events, you know, which are very, very popular in the Village now.

Mayor, you mentioned this the other day, you know, the Christmas Parade, which is fantastic now. It's one of the best things, I think, that this Village does. And Halloween, I -- also, is a really, really nice event now, too, with the kids, and everybody coming down in costumes. You know, those are two events that I think have really been improved a lot. So that's what they're trying to do.

They are getting ready. There will be an annual meeting, I think it's going to be

June 10th. I'll have to correct and update on that before. But, no, it would be good to see some of the members of the Board maybe to attend

1	that annual meeting to hear what they're proposing
2	to do, and get to know a few more Board the BID
3	members face to face. I think it wouldn't be the
4	worst thing in the world. You know, it's an hour,
5	two-hour meeting, and they'll tell you about their
6	budget, and what they're planning on doing. And
7	there'll be some refreshments, and I believe
8	they're having it at Claudio's this year.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Do you know the date?
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I thought it was June 6th,
11	but talking to Linda, I think it's in the I
12	think it's probably the next week. I will get
13	that information to you before.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I think that's an excellent
15	suggestion.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm sorry?
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I think that's an excellent
18	suggestion.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh. We had a Gate meeting.
20	That's where we meet with Paul Pallas. We have
21	Dave Abatelli, Deborah Rivera, Rich Vandenburgh,
22	Brendan Spiro. So, you know, and we talked about
23	parking needs, obviously, and they we talked
24	about their noise study that they did. We haven't
25	really kind of circled back on noise, but I think

we probably will some time in the next couple of months. You know, if not -- if we don't, the residents will ask for it, obviously, because the music is going to start again.

So they did do a noise study, so I asked if they have the information from that study, and present it to the Board, hopefully next month, you know. So if they have recommendations and things that they want to be considered, that they should bring that to us.

They approved a couple of funding things.

They're going to -- I think they're going to give \$500 to Dances in the Park. And they tabled the discussion on what to contribute to the Maritime Festival at the moment.

5K race, okay. So -- and they wanted to have some information about the ferry project. We talked about that a little bit. Not in terms of wanting to give any particular input on it, but simply the fact that they are looking to do some kind of a sculpture that celebrates the maritime history of the Village, and where it would be located, not necessarily in the area of the ferry project itself. It could also be somewhere over in Mitchell Park, the entrance to Mitchell Park.

1	So they just would like to be part of the dialogue
2	and you know, with the Village about that at
3	some point, okay?
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. What kind of monument
5	are they talking about?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, they don't know yet.
7	I mean, they're I think they're talking about
8	maybe going out to local artists and stuff like
9	that, and getting some suggestions. But it would
10	be probably a sculpture, a sculpture of some sort.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. It will be four feet,
12	20 feet?
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's only a concept right
14	now, and
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, that makes a
16	difference, the size
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, yeah.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: of what they're planning
19	and what they're talking about.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. No. I think like
21	I said, I'll hopefully, they'll have a little
22	bit more definition. But I wanted to bring that
23	up, because they've been talking about it a little
24	bit. And when they asked about the ferry project,
25	Paul said, "Look, you know, right now, the ferry

1	project" you know, he keeps sending it back to
2	us every time, so we just didn't want to mess with
3	the process at all, and introduce anything new
4	about the possibility of a sculpture down there
5	that would slow the process down again, he didn't
6	want it to get pulled back. So that's that's
7	all the conversation. It really wasn't about
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, that's fine. But when
9	you have more information, you can bring it back
10	up and we'll
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right, right.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: discuss it.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just a couple of things.
14	We did have a Carousel meeting. We had a few more
15	people attending now, which was nice.
16	And Dave Abatelli shared some information.
17	A Carousel renovation company apparently reached
18	out to him, and they specialize in all things
19	Carousel. A couple of members talked about things
20	that, you know, needed to be refurbished, like
21	maybe the sleigh seats on the Carousel. All the
22	stuffing is sort of you know, it's kind of warn
23	looking, and it would probably be nice to repaint
24	them.
25	We talked about maybe local artisans getting

involved in some of the work on the wooden horses, and I suggested maybe -- I don't know if they're still doing it or not, but we had people that do duck decoy work, wood carvers and stuff like that. So, you know, it's kind of like an idea, maybe to reach out to local artists and stuff to help with stuff on the Carousel.

I actually suggested something -- mentioned to Paul about the fact that I though maybe we should hire a company to do a comprehensive survey on the Carousel at some point. It's a valuable asset to this Village. It's irreplaceable, to be honest with you. And, you know, to do a thorough top-to-bottom survey of the condition of it, and then prioritize what needs immediate attention, what needs just general maintenance and stuff like that, but to hire a professional to do that might be something we might want to consider doing. So, you know, that's for Board discussion, maybe, at some point, something for consideration.

That's my report. Thank you very much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.

The only thing I had to bring up is, I don't know if everybody knows, I've received several phone calls this week asking for comments. The Suffolk

County Legislature approved the ferry agreement 1 2 for Hampton Jitney going from Mitchell Park to Long Wharf in Sag Harbor. We have not agreed to 3 4 that or anything, but they voted on it and they 5 gave a five-year license to operate a ferry. And 6 I was kind of shocked when they called me and 7 asked me that, but that's -- it happened, and it's 8 done and it's approved by them. They said it can 9 be modified or changed if we have objections to 10 it, but we didn't approve anything, so we really don't have an objection, because right now, the 11 12 Board consensus was it wasn't coming in Mitchell Park, so --13 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, my understanding, 14 when I talked to Legislator Krupski about it, 15 16 because I was a little shocked when I got a phone call, was that he said, "Well, we can't tell the 17 18 Village what to do." I said, "No, you can't tell the Village what to do." And he says it's 19 20 really -- you know, they were looking towards the timing. And I said, well -- you know, I explained 21 22 to him a few things that, you know, we all kind of agreed to the concept it is great, it's an idea, 23 24 but that where they wanted to go just wasn't --

wasn't going to be a decision the Board was going

25

1	to approve. And he said, "Well, Suffolk County
2	Legislator can't tell" "Legislature can't tell
3	you where they could go within your Village." And
4	I said, "Well, that's great to hear."
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was reading in the news
7	today, actually, that apparently Sag Harbor is
8	softening on their willingness to use the Long
9	Wharf right now.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, they had to go to
11	a they had a public hearing, I think they had
12	one April 12th.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: April 12th?
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it was the 12th
15	of April 12th, because they have to change
16	their code, Village of Sag Harbor has to change
17	their code in reference to Long Wharf. But
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I mean, the Leg
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The Legislature does this
21	all the time, and, you know, they
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: They go ahead and create
24	chaos in villages, because they have no regard for
25	local government

	Work Session 4/21/22 152	
1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.	
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: home rule, home rule.	
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Creating any chaos.	
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Seems to me.	
5	(Laughter)	
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, the main question I	
7	have, really, is just are we still opposed to them	
8	going in the cutout at Mitchell Park	
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes.	
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: as a Board.	
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I am against it, yes.	
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't see how it's	
13	going to work.	
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, we we have	
15	created we've moved on. We created the large	
16	vessels coming in here. We the Marina is much	
17	busier than it's ever been. We've tried to work	
18	with them on other sites. It's not that we	
19	it's not that suggestions haven't been flying back	
20	and forth. And they pretty much it was pretty	
21	clear in the beginning that Mitchell Park just	
22	wasn't an option for them.	
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I missed the work session	
24	where this was discussed. The alternate locations	
25	that were put forward didn't seem to be nothing	

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Nothing viable from the
2	alternate locations.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Not in my mind. I was less
4	opposed to the Mitchell Park idea than any other
5	Trustee. I continue to be very favorable to this
6	idea, as I know you all are. I have great respect
7	for, you know, the Marina and operating of the
8	Marina. The only phrase that caught my ear in
9	their presentation was that they are accustomed to
10	operating in New York Harbor. And so I was more
11	amenable to the location. I don't know any other
12	location to offer to encourage this service.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: We've exhausted everything
15	else, it seems like.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But, you know, my opinion
18	does not make a majority.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I know. It's just
20	they're going to be calling up and asking, I'm
21	sure, are we going to be voting on something on
22	next Thursday. And if it's their original plan
23	that they had come with, we're not going to be
24	voting on that. So I'm sure after our meeting
25	tonight, they'll be calling. I just want to make

1	sure I don't want to give the answer for my
2	just myself. I want it to be the Board saying
3	Mitchell Park is not an option at this point.
4	They can work on plans to update the Visitors Dock
5	for next season, or whatever. They wanted this
6	all to run in three weeks, and they don't have an
7	agreement with Greenport or Sag Harbor yet, but
8	they have permission from the County to run a
9	ferry service.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, Mr. Mayor,
11	in
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm sure you feel the
13	same way, that Mitchell Park is
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Mitchell Park, no, it's not
15	an option down there. It could
16	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay. I just want to
17	make all right.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: People that are paying money
19	to stay at our marina and everything else, with
20	this taxi running through yes, they say they
21	can operate in there, you can. I mean, I operated
22	a barge going into Coecles Harbor Marina with
23	pictures on it and doing other stuff. And I can
24	go in, and I worked on the barge for ten years,
25	operating it myself, you know. And I could get in

1	there, I could turn it around and get back out
2	without damaging anything. But there's a very
3	protected area there. With the wind blowing and
4	everything else, or you're coming in with a
5	crosswind with the big boats up there, it only
6	takes hitting one \$40 million boat and gouging it,
7	and we'll have nobody left here.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's right.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: And you could lose the
10	whole the whole operation by one little
11	accident, and, you know
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Mayor.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: To be honest with you, I
15	took the effort to reread, or to take a look at
16	the minutes through the committee where they made
17	the presentation that proceeded to push through
18	this Legislative piece, 12-18, I think was the
19	number. And nowhere was it described the fact
20	that they didn't have an agreement with us, it was
21	still that we're in discussions, and that's
22	exactly how they let it. And that upsets me also,
23	that, you know, we've asked them, "Okay, this
24	doesn't work for this year. Are you willing to
25	continue with" you know, "to keep working

1	towards next year to create this concept?" And it
2	just that just doesn't seem to be applying in
3	any of their discussions.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, I just
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: To your point, I mean,
7	it's a concept.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's a concept.
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The guy came here one
10	time and said he wanted to run a ferry out of
11	Mitchell Park. "How are you going to do it?" "We
12	do it in New York City." Well, okay.
13	(Laughter)
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Plus, I don't understand
15	how Suffolk County Legislature, who has to have a
16	report from the business their BRO to do a
17	financial statement on something that they put and
18	jumped through the hoops in North Ferry, South
19	Ferry, for Fire Island Ferries. How they could do
20	it for this one without any actual backing, other
21	than information from financial stuff from 2012?
22	Okay. So I just I just feel that we had been
23	strong-armed with politics within Suffolk County
24	Legislature.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, that's right.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And may that be blunt,
2	but that's how I am, so
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I mean, it's a concept.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It was a concept.
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Where are you going to
6	put the queue, you know what I mean? Like I
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Was there still recourse to
8	go to a private location still?
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: They said they have a
10	written agreement to dock at night when it's not
11	being used over at Claudio's.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But they could go back to
13	their original idea of loading and unloading at
14	Claudio's as well.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: That would something for
16	them to decide, yes.
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: They would need Planning
18	Board.
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And that would not be
20	against our code for them to do that, if they had
21	the approval from the Legislature?
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Claudio's has to go the
23	Planning Board.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, they have to go to
25	Planning.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe that's something
2	that Joe would have to answer. I don't
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: They'd have to go to the
5	Planning Board.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So, you know, I just want
8	to say you know, I'm sorry, I won't I have
9	to put on the table, we can't under the
10	under the Suffolk County Charter, we there's
11	many things here that we can't do. Anything
12	that's of any significance we can't do without the
13	approval of Suffolk County Planning, notifying
14	them and getting their approval. But the County
15	has no regard for the local home rule of villages.
16	They'll never consult a village about any action
17	that they want to do inside a village, which is
18	very disheartening, and
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I agree.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you for letting me
21	say that.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Mayor, I got a text message
24	before 7 o'clock on Monday morning from a reporter
25	who was questioning me about this, what did "I

1	was reading the Village's minutes. What happened
2	with the Jitney? Because I just found out that
3	the Legislature passed this unanimously. Don't
4	they talk to the Village?" I said, "I don't think
5	so," you know.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, okay. Well, that's
7	it. So when they ask tomorrow, whatever, they
8	want to be on the agenda for next meeting, this is
9	not happening. It's just it's a nonissue, and
10	get to try to make some plans and apply to
11	strengthen the Visitors Dock, or whatever, and
12	make it so it's usable for a ferry to go in there.
13	That's
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's pretty much the only
16	option that we have with property that we own.
17	Okay. All right. That's all I had. We'll
18	open up to the public to address the Board on any
19	topic. Would anybody from the public like to
20	speak?
21	CLERK PIRILLO: Mr. Mayor.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, come on up and
23	CLERK PIRILLO: Mr. Mayor.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: May we please have a recess

	Work Session 4/21/22 160
1	for our Transcriptionist?
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, five-minute break?
3	Sure. Okay.
4	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, yeah, it's going on
6	10 o'clock. I didn't realize it was getting that
7	late.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I didn't either.
9	(Laughter)
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Five-minute
11	break.
12	(Recess: 9:39 p.m 9:46 p.m.)
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll get back to our
14	agenda. All right. Public to address the Board.
15	If you wanted to your name and address for the
16	Transcriptionist, so we have it for the record.
17	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: My name is Barbara
18	Kujawski, and I spell it K-U-J-A-W-S-K-I, and I
19	live in Mattituck, Sound Avenue.
20	Two days ago, I was before the ZBA. I own a
21	house on 316 Front Street that CAST was in.
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah.
23	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: And they moved to south
24	of the property. I bought the house maybe 10
25	years ago, it was a three fam three apartments,

three one-bedroom apartments, three separate meters, and I had it rented as that. And then CAST came to me, because they had no place to go to, they were across the street. They had lost their lease. They had no parking, they had no place.

The Village came to me, the Town came to me, and I had worked for North Fork Housing in the '80s, and what could I say? Of course. It just meant opening one hallway and having the whole downstairs, and they had parking, they had everything they needed. That was six years ago.

Now I went before the ZBA to try and get my three apartments back, and they said no. It's now zoned commercial, you can have commercial downstairs and one apartment upstairs. I don't need commercial, I need workforce housing.

I have -- I had 50 people come to try and rent those apartments. I'll be frank, I have them rented. If you get -- make me get rid of the people, you're going to lose the chef at Peconic Landing, you're going to lose the manager of Lucharitos, you're going to lose a local insurance agent, you're going to lose a nails worker at the salon across the street, and a carpenter.

There is no place in Greenport for the local workers to live, and you've got to make it easier for all the apartments that I -- the houses that had apartments. When I worked here in the '80s, and they used to have to go and inspect for Section 8, most of them are gone. I mean, they're beautiful houses now, they've all been renovated. They're probably second homes, I'll admit. The people moved out during COVID to work. I don't know what you have to do, but you have to do something.

And the other thing I wanted to suggest was about the parking. That's the two things in the Village that really need addressing, parking and workforce housing.

I -- my daughter went to Skidmore. She was in Saratoga Springs 20 years ago, more than 20. It was in the '80s, and it was just like Greenport was in the '80s. It expanded just like Greenport expanded. They now have a parking garage. And I don't know who paid for it, or if it's the town's parking garage or if it's private. This is where the parking garage should be, right where we're sitting here, and the Fire Department should be on Moores Lane. You can walk anyplace in the Village

1	from here. You can't walk from Moores Lane. You
2	can put the parking there, nobody's going to walk
3	from Moores Lane. Think about it. Something for
4	the future.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
6	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: That's all I have to say.
7	Please address the workforce housing, because, as
8	I said, that is a big problem here. Okay.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you very much.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address
12	the Board?
13	RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street. I am
14	so glad to have witnessed what I've seen tonight,
15	really smart ideas. The evolution of the talk of
16	the preexisting grandfathering in the parking is
17	sounding so good. Paul Pallas was unbelievable
18	with the grants on the electric and the batteries.
19	I before I get into details, I'm just
20	curious if you could explain how to help the woman
21	who just spoke. Would that be would you have
22	to pass a resolution? Would you have to have a
23	public hearing? Can you I'm just curious,
24	because I bet she's curious also and was too
25	polite to ask.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What is the property
2	zoned?
3	RANDY WADE: She the ZBA told her it was
4	zoned commercial. It is there is residential
5	on one side of her, and then the dentist office on
6	the other.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Are you her representative?
8	I think if she contacts the Village, we might be
9	able to discuss it with her. Thank you for
10	asking.
11	RANDY WADE: So who should she ask for at
12	the Village? You're not there all the time. Paul
13	Pallas, should she ask for Paul?
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, she can she can sit
15	and meet with Paul and talk to Paul.
16	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: It has cost me already a
17	fortune. I had to have the plans redone.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
19	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: That was an architect. I
20	had to pay \$800 just to go before the ZBA, and now
21	they want me to hire a lawyer.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. There I mean,
23	there is a procedure to challenge the ZBA ruling
24	to go to the Village Board, but you have to go
25	through the procedure, and that would be something

1	that you would speak to the Village Administrator
2	about, and he would confer with the Village
3	Attorney.
4	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: It seems to me you should
5	make it a lot easier.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's
7	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: I'm sorry.
8	RANDY WADE: Thank you. I hope she gets to
9	do it without hiring an attorney.
10	The parking, when you were talking about
11	costs, I read once that money doubles every
12	10 years, so it really should be 20,000 a spot. I
13	really messed it up here, I'm so sorry. And that
14	would bring you back to what it was in when it
15	was '91, right? So
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What are you suggesting,
17	Randy?
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Twenty thousand dollars a
19	spot she wants for parking.
20	RANDY WADE: No. I'm saying that with the
21	increase in money and the value of money doubling
22	every 10 years, that the same that they
23	instituted in '91 would be 20,000 today. So when
24	anybody says that's too much, too much, you just
25	have to think, well, really, right now, it should

be 20,000, if every year we were increasing it as it should have been.

You were talking about making it 10 to 30, you know, like if you only need 10 spots, or whatever. I would say it -- just address what the issue is, and if it's resort, hotel, restaurant or bar, it should be the full fee. And if it's any of the other things in a commercial, then you could have another rate for it, but -- remember I got so confused at the public hearing, because I wasn't really sure what the goal was? And now that I understand, that you don't want to like have like this transformation of the Village all of a sudden into way more intense uses, and I love that the code has been, you know, addressing that.

I think resort, hotel, restaurant, bar should be its own category, and then -- and then, if you want to have it by the number of spots, it could be addressing the other types of businesses that we really are losing, every other type that might be in the Commercial District.

And one of the other things is hotels are not -- like if we're going to have a walkable community, there are certain things that are kind of dead on the street, and a hotel is one of them.

You know, you want retail. Outdoor cafes can be charming, but hotels, you know, it's really an office that you walk into, except for maybe the way they did American Beech with the -- it's more exciting, or whatever, to walk by.

And I love that you're looking at the Waterfront Commercial Zone. And I, again, also think that it -- these could be simple changes. And I don't see why you wouldn't do a moratorium. With -- within two months, three months, we're going to have code changes to address our Waterfront Commercial. And change the map, so that the landlocked properties that have residents above on Front Street could be out of the Waterfront Commercial and in regular, you know, Commercial, where it's legal, and they protect our remaining waterfront properties that there are so few of.

Oh. So it's great that the Planning Board would charge 20, 20 spots or 50%. I agree with you, that 20% sounds -- to get away with an extra 20% sounds too much, that either break even, or sometimes they do 10% change. You know, you're allowed to do a 10% change in zones, and that's considered a minor change, or just the flat rate.

1	But I'm wondering if the ZBA, if it would be legal
2	to have the ZBA have the authority also to charge,
3	because isn't that kind of what happened with the
4	Menhaden? And then they went and got you know,
5	anybody can go to the ZBA, and just if the ZBA
6	excused them, then they don't have to pay
7	anything. So it would be nice if the ZBA also had
8	code that they could maybe it could be
9	identical, so that applicants it was clear, you
10	really can't get more than 50% or 20 spots. Is
11	this something you could ask the Lawyer? Maybe he
12	has to look into it or something.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: We can talk to him about it.
14	RANDY WADE: Okay.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll be honest with you,
16	the ZBA is a quasi judicial board.
17	RANDY WADE: Uh-huh.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I don't think the
19	State Legislature Law would allow that, but I
20	would defer to the Attorney, but that's not the
21	role of the ZBA.
22	RANDY WADE: Well, waiving all needed
23	parking.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Planning Board did that
25	for the Menhaden, not the ZBA.

	Work Session 4/21/22 169
1	RANDY WADE: But the ZBA could do it, right,
2	they could waive?
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Not technically.
4	RANDY WADE: No?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They would be no, I
6	don't think within the
7	RANDY WADE: Anyway, I'm glad you guys will
8	look into the details of it. Thank you very much.
9	And like also with the Waterfront
10	Commercial, defining Yacht Club as having like so
11	many members that are unrelated, that you know,
12	that would be good, also.
13	So thanks. Really impressive work you're
14	doing. Thank you so much.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you, Randy.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anyone else wish to address
18	the Board?
19	(Laughter)
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Come on, John. John
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: John, it's 10 o'clock, not
22	real long.
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. SALADINO: It has nothing to do with
25	John Saladino, Sixth Street. It has nothing to do

with anything you discussed.

I happened to be at the Zoning Board meeting on Tuesday, and I want to let you guys know what happened. We had an application for a use variance, almost blank application for a use variance to turn commercial space into -- Commercial Retail District into residential space. We explained to the applicant that it was a use variance, it was a tough road to hoe, you needed more than was presented. No application was rejected. We put a pin in the application. We told the applicant to go to the Village, they would explain to them what they would need. And one of the members of the Zoning Board suggested they hire an attorney.

It came out during the discussion that they want to put in two apartments, and then the Zoning Board explained to them that there's a rule in the Commercial, that the -- that it would qualify as a multi-family dwelling, and they would need a second use variance for that.

So that's what transpired. There was no -you know, nobody said no, we -- the Zoning Board
just explained what was going on, what the code
was, and how to comply with the code. That's --

	Work Session 4/21/22 171
1	that's am I getting that
2	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: That's exactly what
3	happened.
4	MR. SALADINO: Am I getting that right?
5	Randy, am I getting that right?
6	RANDY WADE: (Indicating Two Thumbs Up)
7	JOHN SALADINO: Okay. So the reason, the
8	reason the applicant is here tonight was she asked
9	the Zoning Board who would she see to have the
10	code changed, and we, as a Board, told her to come
11	to the to the Board of Trustees, and she did.
12	So
13	(Laughter)
14	BARBARA KUJAWSKI: Make it easier for
15	apartments.
16	MR. SALADINO: And as far and to suggest
17	that the Zoning Board you know, that you guys
18	pass a resolution forcing the Zoning Board to do
19	that, I personally would be opposed to that,
20	but
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: I hear you, okay.
22	MR. SALADINO: Thank you. Thanks for
23	listening.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. I'll
25	offer a motion to adjourn the meeting at 10:01.

	Work Session 4/21/22	172
1	So moved.	
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.	
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?	
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.	
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.	
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.	
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.	
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.	
9	Opposed?	
10	(No Response)	
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. Thank you	
12	all for coming and staying with us.	
13	(The Meeting was Adjourned at 10:01 p.m.)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		
25		

	Work Session 4/21/22	173
1		
1	CERTIFICATION	
2		
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
4) SS:	
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)	
6		
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and	
8	Notary Public for and within the State of New	
9	York, do hereby certify:	
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a	
11	true and correct transcription of the proceedings	
12	taken on April 21, 2022.	
13	I further certify that I am not related to	
14	any of the parties to this action by blood or	
15	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in	
16	the outcome of this matter.	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
18	hand this 4th day of May, 2022.	
19		
20	Lucia Braaten	
21	Lucia Braaten	
22		
23		
24		
25		