1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 7 p.m.)
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll call the
3	meeting to order. Pledge to the Flag.
4	(Pledge of Allegiance)
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll start with the
6	Fire Department report. We've got Chief Manwaring
7	here. Yeah, everybody could hear you that way.
8	CHIEF MANWARING: Okay. Got my reports. We
9	got last night, the Board of Wardens, we
10	accepted three new members. We'd like you guys to
11	put them on your agenda. Peter Miller to the Star
12	Hose Company, Vijay Pal-Singh to the Phenix Hook
13	and Ladder, and Michael Reed to the Hook and
14	Ladder.
15	The only other thing they brought up was the
16	annual hose testing, pump testing. I'm working on
17	getting prices on that for you folks and all that
18	stuff, so I have to put in POs.
19	We have to add a new one for the NFPA there
20	for 1911. All the vehicles have to be weighed, so
21	they're looking for vehicles that are overloaded.
22	So they all have to be weighed and they have to be
23	documented. So we'll be doing that probably at
24	the same time as we do the hose testing and all
25	that. But they have to have full water, they have

1	to all that they have to have all the
2	equipment. So that's about it.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Do they bring out scales to
4	do that, or where do you get that done?
5	CHIEF MANWARING: They bring scales out
6	here. They've got the scales.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
8	CHIEF MANWARING: But other than, that's
9	about it. The parade is tomorrow. We'll be here
10	Saturday, if everybody shows up.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Wayde, could
13	CHIEF MANWARING: We got the head start.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Can you just tell us what
15	the route is?
16	CHIEF MANWARING: The route?
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
18	CHIEF MANWARING: The Route.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
20	CHIEF MANWARING: We're going to start at
21	Knapp, come down First Front, yeah, Main Street
22	and Front Street, and down to the Firehouse.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Down Third Street?
24	CHIEF MANWARING: The stand will be in front
25	of Mitchell Park, like we did

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. The stand's
2	going to be up by Mitchell Park this year?
3	CHIEF MANWARING: Correct.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
5	CHIEF MANWARING: And then once we pass the
6	Firehouse, then they're on their own after you
7	pass the Firehouse.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thanks.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any questions?
10	(No Response)
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.
12	We'll see you on Saturday.
13	Okay. Before we go back into regular
14	business, we have people from the Hampton Jitney
15	here. They're going give us a presentation and
16	answer questions. This has been going back and
17	forth. So just name for the record for the
18	Transcriptionist, and
19	GEOFF LYNCH: Good evening. Geoff Lynch,
20	president of Hampton Jitney.
21	Okay. So since I was here last, I pulled
22	our updated proposal, which has been distributed
23	as well, that's these. Okay, great. So since
24	since I was last here, we've been progressing with
25	our proposed 2022 Peconic Jitney relaunch. We

have we have since submitted our application
for a five-year franchise license from Suffolk
County for service between Greenport and Sag
Harbor. The ferry route is, again, running across
Peconic Bay, around Shelter Island, into
authorized landing sites in both ports.

We have an updated reservations platform, and that will allow us to build a more comprehensive customer database and better communicate with our passengers. And we plan on concessions on board, as well as partnerships with restaurants in both villages for promotions.

The service itself would still operate seasonally, starting in the middle of June and running through the end of September.

We are in the process of securing a lease for the vessel Mickey Murphy, which is the sister boat to the John Key. That was a boat that we ran in 2012. This ferry has been refurbished with new electronics and upgraded with new Tier 3 diesel emission engines.

The objective, the objective here of the proposed ferry service, it's the same as before, to connect these two villages in a way that will potentially reduce traffic congestion on local

roadways, and increase foot traffic and tourism in both villages.

We are, again, seeking authorization from Greenport Village to utilize Mitchell Park as Peconic Jitney's landing point. But rather than a temporary pilot program permit, we'd like to enter into a five-year agreement, consistent with our Suffolk County franchise application, and to give the service a chance to gain some stability and grow.

We believe in the long-term viability of this service. It's an attractive alternative mode of public transportation. It promotes tourism in both villages. It links these two East End destinations, and it does so in an environmentally sustainable manner that is consistent with the goals and objectives of development strategies put forth by the likes of the 2005 seed study and the Connect Long Island Regional Transportation and Development Plan.

So I would hope that the Village Board is, again, open to having this service inside the marina. I realize there's -- there's some discussion here of some other locations, and we're certainly open to those, those locations and

1	talking about that, but we would like to see the
2	Village engage with Peconic Jitney in a multi-year
3	agreement to support this ferry as a long-term
4	transportation solution.
5	And with that, we're happy to take any
6	questions. We have Jim Ryan here, our General
7	Manager, as well as my brother, Andrew Lynch, from
8	Hampton Jitney as well.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody have
10	questions?
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just a comment. So the
12	licensing agreement that you've sent us is still
13	from 2012. You do not have a new licensing
14	agreement at this point, correct?
15	GEOFF LYNCH: We do not currently have an
16	updated franchise. That application has been
17	submitted to Suffolk County. It actually has to
18	be passed by the Suffolk County Legislature.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, go ahead.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Basically, I support the
22	Jitney, I think it's a great idea, I agree with
23	you on that, but I do have a question about
24	whether the landing site at Mitchell Park is
25	appropriate, for a couple of reasons. Number one,

the difference in 10 years, the amount of traffic that we have in that marina right now, and it is right at the entrance to the marina. On weekends, there's going to be a lot of in and out traffic going on. So that's a concern of mine.

And then I am also worried about our -- the condition of our bulkhead, which is compromised, and we are eventually going to have to bond and rebuild that bulkhead. So I think that those were my major objections. And, also, the compensation, which is something, you know, that you put out, I thought it was way too low.

open to negotiation. We did look at alternative sites in the Village here, particularly the visitors dock. We had our advisor, John Costello, out there with us. It's just not adequate for this service. It's a very small dock, it's too narrow. It's not strong enough to support a 33-ton vessel, which is what the Mickey Murphy is. And it would not be able to handle, you know, 50-plus passengers getting on and off this vessel. Somebody would probably wind up in the drink, not to mention it's a long walk from the municipal lot, where the visitors dock is, all the way into

1 the Village. 2 TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have to disagree with you I walk that almost every day. It's a 3 4 couple of blocks, so that's not a long walk. GEOFF LYNCH: Well, it's less, certainly --5 6 it's certainly less convenient, and it puts those 7 people -- they have to walk through the traffic 8 lane of the cars getting on and off the North 9 Ferry. So, to me, it's an accident waiting to happen, I don't believe it's a safe location. 10 11 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that's 12 something that this Board should probably discuss 13 I kind of, in all honesty, at some point. disagree with you. Part of the -- one of the 14 pluses for that is that there's parking over there 15 16 for the customers. And we have encouraged people to use that parking lot to walk along the 17 18 boardwalk down Mitchell Park to get down the street. And it's become more active since --19 20 since we put in the 72-hour parking time in the 21 parking lot, so that it's now actively turning 22 So there are parking spaces. You don't 23 have people staying there for weeks at a time. 24 I am not keen on the Mitchell Park site, 25 I'll be real honest with you. I happen to agree

with Trustee Robins. We projected forward in the new business plan with the marina, and that might just not fit with what we've got going at the moment, okay?

So I think that there might be some creative thoughts given towards the other area, but, as I said, that's something that we need to discuss. I do know that -- I believe the Town -- the Village of Sag Harbor, you did a presentation at their last meeting, but they're still in the discussion stage, also. So I'm assuming that you do not have all the paperwork into the Budget Review Office for your licensing at the moment, correct?

GEOFF LYNCH: We -- yes, we were in front of Sag Harbor Village last week. We discussed the landing site at the Long Wharf, and, yes, it's still -- it's still an ongoing process.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm in favor of the concept, you know, but two things. It's only going to limit an amount of traffic out here, because they're coming out here to go back and forth. Either they're going to be in Greenport or they're going to be in Sag Harbor, okay? But I think the concept is there.

I would like to see it on a long-term basis.

1	I think that that's something that we will be
2	discussing. I think that the pilot, you presented
3	some interesting facts. There was a lot of
4	economic basis. I'm assuming our Business
5	District has some thoughts on it as far as like,
6	you know, how to proceed. But, at this point, I
7	think that's something that we need to discuss,
8	Mayor, you know, in length as to a short-term and
9	a long-term situation. Mitchell Park, to me
10	Mitchell Marina, to me, is out, okay? I'm sorry.
11	GEOFF LYNCH: Well, like I said, we believe
12	it's the safest, safest location to bring people
13	in and out of the harbor. It we've done it,
14	it's a proven entity, and it worked very well with
15	no issues whatsoever in 2012. We're certainly
16	open to looking at other sites.
17	I don't believe that the Village dock is
18	appropriate in any way, shape or form without
19	major reconstruction. That's something that
20	certainly wouldn't happen in a short-term basis.
21	There are other locations. There's the commercial
22	dock. There's also the western pier of the harbor
23	that we would certainly be willing to look at.
24	But in terms of safety, which is which is

certainly paramount to this operation, going into

25

1	the Mitchell Park Marina is the safest locale.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The safest in regards
3	to what, sir?
4	GEOFF LYNCH: In terms of protecting
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: In terms of the
6	structure of the dock or
7	GEOFF LYNCH: Yes, in terms of getting
8	people getting people to the ultimate
9	destination, which is which is Greenport
10	Village. It's the closest. But in terms of the
11	vessel itself being the most protected, and when
12	it's loading and unloading passengers, that is the
13	safest location.
14	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Because just I find
15	myself in agreement. I love the idea of it, I
16	think it's great. I'm also big concern, just the
17	amount of boats that will be coming in and out of
18	the Marina all the time, but how I don't know.
19	I'm concerned, if we have a ferry coming in and
20	out on a regular basis with all the boats, it does
21	worry me.
22	But the other thing, if I'm being frank, is
23	I'm not sure what you anticipate as far as
24	passengers. But if we're going in and out of
25	Mitchell Park, I can't even conceive of where

1	they'd park their vehicles if they drove. But
2	that's really the thing when I read your proposal,
3	that like I understand the Adams Street parking
4	lot is there, and there will be no parking
5	available, the on-street parking from June until
6	mid September?
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Uh-huh.
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: From June to September.
9	That was that was my concern. Again, I like
10	the project, I very much want to get behind it,
11	but that's a serious concern of mine, because
12	they'll just end up parking over by the commercial
13	dock and walking over. That's the only lot
14	GEOFF LYNCH: It's certainly possible, but
15	it is and yes, that would be that would be
16	one location where they would park. But in our
17	experience, coming in and out of Mitchell Park,
18	granted, it was 10 years ago, was it was really no
19	increase in parking from these passengers that
20	were coming in.
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think what Trustee
23	Martilotta is trying to say is it's not just the
24	parking traffic, but it's the boating traffic that
25	has

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, no, yes there's
2	definitely I'm sorry.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, we I'm an
4	observer of what goes in and out of that mouth of
5	Mitchell Marina during the summertime and our boat
6	traffic has increased greatly, especially since
7	COVID. Claudio's has become extremely busy with
8	vessels zooming, and I do mean zooming, in and
9	out.
10	So, you know, we have a fair amount of
11	activity, activity with boaters coming into the
12	slips has increased. We have the larger vessels
13	coming in. And, you know, I guess my question, I
14	guess, is one that I really need to really kind of
15	hear from, and you'll need to think about, is if
16	this doesn't happen for this year, are you still
17	willing to keep working towards it so it happens
18	next year?
19	GEOFF LYNCH: Well, we are the intent
20	here is to make this a long-term transportation
21	station, so the short answer is yes, but,
22	obviously, we'd like to get it up and running in
23	2022.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. As I said, you've
25	got some hurdles to jump through. I'm sure.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Geoff, from a safety perspective, obviously, passenger safety is always first and foremost in everyone's mind, because that's the largest number of people that are moving, notwithstanding what vessels may be coming in and out of any area within the Village waters.

So, from a docking standpoint, if I remember correctly, that vessel, the way it comes in, it comes in with a relatively flat-to-the-bulkhead embarking and disembarking situation.

GEOFF LYNCH: That's correct. So it loads and unloads via the bow, so the vessel just pushes up against the bulkhead, it's tied off with a couple of cleats, and we have a ramp on board that we simply have put onto the bulkhead. And it was -- yes, from a safety standpoint, it required very little alteration to the existing bulkhead. The -- I think we -- Jim, if you could help me out. We just put on a clip for the ramp and a couple of cleats.

JIM RYAN: Yes. It's a three-foot bracket that sits on the bulkhead that the ramp would, at the gangway, would actually attach to. Then the vessel is taken out of gear and she's just tied off fully at the dock. So this way passengers

1	come on and off, and it's really, there's no
2	imprint on the bulkhead at all. And then there's
3	just a couple of, you know, just push tires that
4	we just push up to.
5	GEOFF LYNCH: We did have to install tires
6	on the bulkhead when the boat pushes up against it.
7	JIM RYAN: It identifies where we are.
8	GEOFF LYNCH: There's no physical stairs.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: There's no ladder to climb?
10	JIM RYAN: No.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: There no end there's no
12	other potential safety hazard in that location,
13	you preface?
14	JIM RYAN: No. There's rails right up
15	alongside of the vessel. So when people get on
16	the gangway, there's a rail at the gangway. It's
17	ADA accessible, so wheelchairs, anybody with
18	bicycles can go right across the gangway and
19	there's something to hang onto. There's no way
20	for someone to fall off the side, and the boat is
21	built that way.
22	And this boat operated out of New York
23	Harbor, which is also a very busy harbor,
24	throughout the year, and it's been doing that for
25	the past 20 years. And there's a lot of tight

circumstances that it had to navigate and it's built for those types of locations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did speak to Bridgford Hunt and -- about the location that I was talking about over at the Village dock, and John Costello, because they're local professionals in the maritime area, and Bridgford, you know, he was more than willing to -- he thought that that would be a perfect spot, because it wouldn't interfere with his traffic, his ferry traffic coming into that location. However, from a safety perspective, he said the dock that's existing there would not work, because it's a floating dock. We need 8 feet depth. That's what's on our COI on the So we need 8 feet of depth to come in vessel. there. John Costello verifies that we have that at about 90 feet out, guaranteed, at low water.

So when the boat is totally full, we're not going to disrupt, disrupt the sediment, sea floor sediment, we're not going to damage the boat.

There'll be no disruption of service at all. But he said that the floating dock would have to be removed, and it would have to be a fixed dock, and it would have to be four feet at mean tide, mean low water tide. So that means what you have there

existing now that goes out about 19, 20 feet, that would be extended for the -- for the 100, well, 90 feet of what the floating dock is. John Costello said he could do that, but he said it would take -- it would take him about 18 months to get the permits, and about \$130,000 to install a new fixed dock for this boat to go to that location at the Village dock safely, because it would also need guardrails, it would also need lighting, and that's not included in the number that he gave me. So with that location, it's not viable for this year.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.

JIM RYAN: Maybe next year, maybe something we could talk about in two years. But right now, that location is not a viable location just for the simple purposes that it's not safe of a floating dock. And this came from Bridg and this came from John Costello, but they're all for the service.

You know, Bridgford and North Ferry has partnered with us in the past, and we worked together, and, you know, we looked forward to doing that again with North Ferry and the Village of Greenport going forward. We want to do this in

1	a way that suits your needs, as well as the
2	service itself, to join both communities.
3	So I looked at that location, the depth is
4	there at 90 feet out, but there's some
5	modifications that could be costly to do that, and
6	it would take some time to get it done. So right
7	now, the best place to go and safest place to go
8	for a boat that's been operated in New York Harbor
9	in tight quarters all the time is Mitchell Park,
10	as it performed in 2012. And the people that run
11	that boat aren't people that we just find off the
12	street and say, "Do you need a job?" People that
13	run that are professionals, like Libby Koch, who
14	was there.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You wouldn't let me drive
16	it, right?
17	JIM RYAN: Well, if we can get you a
18	captain's license, I'd gladly train you.
19	(Laughter)
20	JIM RYAN: But we have Libby Koch, who was
21	with us in 2012. She's our Crew Manager now. So
22	going forward, anybody who wants who's looking for
23	a job.
24	(Laughter)
25	JIM RYAN: Okay.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Would the Board entertain an agreement where we could -- they're looking for a five-year agreement. I like the idea of a longer term agreement, because it gives us some commitment and skin in the game for this important service to get started. Would we be amenable to allowing them, for the purposes of catching the 2022 season, starting out in Mitchell Park and look at the investments in our agreement that are necessary to upgrade our infrastructure? Because our waterfront is going to continue to have more and more activity and we need to be able to receive more visitors.

So it would seem like I'm speaking out loud and looking for other input from my colleagues, that it would be in our best interest for us, an investment to our own waterfront, because I'm assuming you would help us with that project financially in the agreement. We could get it started at Mitchell Park and make some significant improvements to the visitors dock that would -- really, with the ferry queue redesign and the pedestrian safety that goes into that, that whole section of the Village could really have some large improvement, and the longer term agreement

1	with the Jitney would fit right into it, but we
2	would not deny them the ability to be start in
3	this current season.
4	GEOFF LYNCH: Yeah, certainly, if we had a
5	long-term agreement with the Village, we would
6	look at helping develop that location.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I still feel that I'm
8	going to take the cue from Sag Harbor's Board, is
9	that we still need to discuss this. I'll be
10	honest with you, it's the same scenario that was
11	back in 2012. You came late to the plate in
12	trying to get this started for that year. I think
13	that that's something that we all need to discuss
14	as far as the long-term. I think we're really
15	looking for a long-term discussion.
16	I as I said, I have I have Mitchell
17	Park Mitchell Marina has been pushed forward
18	with a different business plan than when you were
19	there back in 2012. And we have invested a lot
20	into the electric for the vessels, we've
21	invested going to be investing a lot into the
22	bulkhead. We've invested a lot into encouraging
23	the customers to come to the marina.
24	GEOFF LYNCH: Sure.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I just think that's

1	something we need to discuss, because that's a
2	business plan that we've been pushing forward for
3	the last couple of years. And this is something
4	that and I'm sure you understand that this
5	is this is something that's a small entity that
6	may just shift the dynamics down there a little
7	bit. So we had to shift the dynamics when
8	Claudio's changed hands and it's now building
9	itself back up again. So I just, at this point,
10	think that we need to discuss this and not make a
11	decision tonight, if that's what you're looking
12	for.
13	JIM RYAN: No.
14	GEOFF LYNCH: Not make a decision tonight,
15	but whether or not to keep moving forward with
16	this, because we do have these applications that
17	have been submitted, and we do have lease
18	agreements to go through. And yes, the other side
19	we've been working with as well. Their issue is
20	not location, their issue is 10 years ago Long
21	Wharf was owned by Suffolk County.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The County and owned by
23	the Village of Sag Harbor.
24	GEOFF LYNCH: It was it was a different
25	procedure in place to get that landing agreement

1	done. It's not about the location or whether or
2	not they want to do it, it's the process.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Where do you plan on
4	storing the boat when it's not in service?
5	GEOFF LYNCH: Well, we don't have a physical
6	location, other than a verbal agreement to keep it
7	at Claudio's overnight. That is our one location.
8	We've been in discussion with the marinas.
9	Currently, no space available. But that would
10	probably wind up being at Claudio's, or we'd put
11	it on a mooring out in out in the bay.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I just wanted to make
13	sure you weren't planning on keeping it tied up
14	in
15	JIM RYAN: No.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: in the marina. Okay.
17	One other thing that wasn't happening in 2012 was
18	the mega-yachts that are down there and the
19	traffic in and all. I mean, we didn't we had a
20	few at that time, not as we're pretty well
21	booked up with mega-yachts throughout the whole
22	summer. Now, Paul, is it your feeling there's
23	enough room to get this ferry through there?
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, there's
25	certainly going to be many times where we have one

1	of those mega-yachts at the on the inside of
2	the East Pier, where it's going to become very,
3	very narrow, particularly if there's boat traffic
4	coming in, another mega-yacht, or even a larger
5	boat coming in, trying to come in at the same
6	time. There are there are times during the day
7	where we're holding multiple boats out just to
8	get to be able to get them in safely, so that
9	this is only going to slow down and exacerbate
10	that problem.
11	JIM RYAN: Well, we've
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's usually on like a
13	Friday when people are coming in, like Friday
14	afternoon. It's not I don't believe those
15	boats, they come in and they're there for a
16	weekend.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, they're there all
18	week.
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, the I'm
20	talking about the regular traffic while the
21	mega-yacht is there, but now you have it narrowed.
22	Now you're trying to get
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: all these other
25	boats in.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: At any time, really.
3	JIM RYAN: We've come across the
4	Constitution that's been out there in 2012, and we
5	would make it through that narrow it was
6	narrow. The boat, there's plenty of room for the
7	boat to navigate in and out of the channel to get
8	in and out of Mitchell Park with the boats docked.
9	I mean, there was never an issue where there was
10	any tie-up of traffic where we had to wait for
11	someone. But when they're tied up along the
12	bulkhead, you know, the Mickey Murphy would fit.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. No, I understand
14	that, but at a time, we had two mega-yachts, not
15	eight mega-yachts down there. So the side of the
16	dock is filled almost all summer now.
17	GEOFF LYNCH. Yeah.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's just a it's a
19	concern that's been brought up, that's why
20	GEOFF LYNCH: I we completely understand
21	the concern, but we also believe that this vessel
22	is maneuverable enough where that's not an issue,
23	and we have professional captains operating it.
24	So it's more busy in that marina, no doubt about
25	it, but allowing us in there for at least this

1	first season to see the impact, I think I think
2	that's something the Board should consider.
3	JIM RYAN: In 2012
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
5	JIM RYAN: those mega-yachts were all in
6	Sag Harbor as well that we navigated around at the
7	same time. The same ones that are here now were
8	there then.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, but you had a
10	different location, not through a narrow entrance,
11	but
12	GEOFF LYNCH: Right.
13	JIM RYAN: Well, we
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right.
15	JIM RYAN: We're all working together on the
16	water.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, it's I mean,
18	everybody it sounds like the Board is in
19	consensus that we're in favor of this, we need to
20	hammer out some details.
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's where I'm at.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's correct.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So if that gives you
25	an answer that you're looking for now, I think

1	it's unanimous, everybody is in favor of the
2	concept of this, we need to finalize details. I
3	know you need the landing agreements from both
4	sides to finish your work with the County.
5	GEOFF LYNCH: Yes.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: But
7	GEOFF LYNCH: Ultimately, yes. Ultimately,
8	the final and you're right, it was down to May
9	of the season back in 2012. The landing
10	agreements do have to be in place. The County
11	wants to know where we're actually putting this
12	boat in
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
14	GEOFF LYNCH: in the meantime.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, also, in the BRO
16	report back in 2012, they were looking you
17	didn't provide a lot of that information, and your
18	resolution was for the pilot program.
19	GEOFF LYNCH: Right.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm assuming that the
21	Budget Review Office is now going to be looking
22	for the full details, so that's
23	JIM RYAN: Yes.
24	GEOFF LYNCH: If you want more detail
25	because of the five-year, absolutely.

1 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I understand your 2 position, but I also understand that -- and once 3 again, things have changed since 2012 on our 4 waterfront, and COVID increased the amount of boat 5 traffic, not only within our own marina, but out 6 in -- the boat traffic out on the water, to be 7 honest with you. 8 So, you know, as I said, the concept, I 9 agree with the Mayor, we need to discuss it. 10 GEOFF LYNCH: Okay. 11 I would just ask, do we TRUSTEE CLARKE: 12 have any sort of timeline for discussion or decision-making that we can share with these 13 14 people in good faith? At the work session, are we going to discuss it tonight? Do we want to push 15 16 it to March? Does that mean we would vote on it in April? I'm just looking for a reality check, 17 18 because they've come here in good faith and I'd 19 like to deal with them in good faith. 20 No, exactly. I mean, the MAYOR HUBBARD: 21 Village Administrator and the Village Attorney --22 I know the Village Attorney had some questions on the agreement and all, have them work on this, try 23 24 to come up with alternatives or other ways to make 25 this all work, review the whole process with the

1	Marina Manager and all. And, I mean, if we
2	discuss this at our March meeting and vote on it
3	in March, you know.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay, great.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's not something I want to
6	drag out.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, no.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: But we need to make sure
9	that everybody is comfortable, that Joe's
10	comfortable with the agreement and all, because I
11	saw Joe had like seven or eight questions on the
12	agreement that came through. So we need to hammer
13	out all those details between the Administrator
14	and then and any Trustees' specific concerns,
15	voice them to the Village Administrator. Rich
16	Albanese, who's in charge of the Marina, and Joe,
17	voice those concerns of exactly what you want to
18	see, and then we could try to move that forward
19	and have, you know, something for everybody to
20	read and discuss at the March meeting. And if
21	everybody's in favor of what we put together, then
22	we can vote on it in March.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Great. Thank you so much,
24	Mr. Mayor.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay?

	Work Session 2/17/22 30
1	GEOFF LYNCH: Appreciate it.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
3	GEOFF LYNCH: Thank you all.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right.
5	GEOFF LYNCH: Thank you, folks, I appreciate it.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
7	JIM RYAN: Thank you all. Thanks for seeing
8	us. And Peconic Jitney is, you know, not looking
9	to impose this, we're just looking to help move
10	the Village along with the Jitney. Thank you for
11	your time tonight.
12	TREASURER BRANDT: Mr. Mayor, forgive me. I
13	can hear you clear. I can't hear any of the Board
14	Members. I'm hearing that.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Would you like it closer
16	like this, maybe? Okay.
17	TREASURER BRANDT: Yeah, no one can hear
18	you. This has been overpowering all of you.
19	(Laughter)
20	TREASURER BRANDT: Thank you.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you so much. Can you
22	hear me, Robert?
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No?
24	TREASURER BRANDT: Better, at least.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No. But before, you

1	couldn't hear me?
2	TREASURER BRANDT: I couldn't hear all four
3	of you. I could hear the Mayor fine.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: All right. Thank you.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm a loud mouth, I'm sorry.
6	(Laughter)
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: My mother-in-law loved me,
8	because she never had to say, "What," because I
9	could speak loudly.
10	(Laughter)
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll move on to the
12	Village Administrator report.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will speak close to
14	the microphone.
15	TREASURER BRANDT: What?
16	(Laughter)
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I have a few items
18	that are not on my on my list. I'll start with
19	the battery bank replacement at the power plant.
20	We did go out to bid, we received one bid. The
21	I'm doing a little bit more. It won't be on this
22	month's agenda. It will likely be on next
23	month's. It will be on next month's agenda. We
24	just have to do some confirmation on the
25	qualifications of the of the bidder on that,

1	which would be okay with that.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, Paul, I didn't
3	quite hear you. Which, which bid is this for?
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: For the battery
5	bank
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: at the power
8	plant.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Next item that,
11	again, is not on my list, the wastewater treatment
12	plant site work, we did receive quite a number of
13	bids on that, on that project. We are still in
14	the process of going through that. Our consultant
15	is assisting with the vetting of the bids, and the
16	lower bidder in particular to do a little work on
17	qual again, on qualifications, and, again,
18	that right now, it should be next month.
19	I have to check to see if we're under any
20	time constraint to get it done. If we are, I'll
21	be able to do that tomorrow. If we are, I may
22	have that on the agenda for next week, but I think
23	I'm okay for next month. And we I checked with
24	the Treasurer, we're okay at the at the values
25	that were for the bid, so we're okay with all of

1	that as well.
2	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Paul, you're referring to
3	the timeline that we have to abide by from the
4	DEC?
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, for yes,
6	particularly the DEC, which is also Suffolk
7	County Department of Health weighed in on not the
8	drainage component, but the drying beds and the
9	staircase and the retaining wall that's been an
10	issue for ongoing issue for them as well.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But I think again,
13	I believe we're fine, but I just have to confirm
14	that.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So that's all three of the
16	issues, the drainage, the beds, and the retaining
17	wall?
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct. And yes,
19	yes.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And you said you received
21	multiple bids for that?
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We did. I think,
23	it's
24	CLERK PIRILLO: Yeah, seven.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.

CLERK PIRILLO: Seven.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Really? Wow.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Seven bids, yeah.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's a record for us.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And they were they
were in well, we've Village Clerk has been
very diligent about getting these things out to
contractors for the State, a process that we began
with the microgrid project, as I recall, and
that's been very successful in certain projects.
Certain things we get nothing. As a result of
that, it is mainly focused on construction
projects.
We did get I had a number of and
they're all they all appear again, they all
appear qualified, I just have to there's one
contractor that I have not heard of, and the
consultant that's been helping hasn't heard of.
We just need to do a little bit of research on the
qualifications and references.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Keeping
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, I'm sorry, just one
more. The RFP, because I was asked about it last

1 Department, we passed a resolution for the RFP for 2 engineering overlooking the use of the building or 3 something. 4 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we have -- I 5 met with the Fire Department on that the last few 6 weeks and we discussed the path forward. 7 haven't had an opportunity to finish it. 8 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. 9 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will be working on that over the next couple of weeks --10 11 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Because they did 12 ask me when it would be moving along. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure. Staying with 13 14 the wastewater treatment plant, Trustee Phillips had asked questions about the central pump station 15 16 Right now, it looks like the date for the status. 17 final cutover is going to be March, March 2nd. 18 The only item that may not be installed at that 19 point is a flow meter. The -- like everything 20 else for these types of components, we keep --21 they keep getting, you know, it will be there in 22 two weeks, and then it's a month, and then it's six months. You know, so that, that won't be an 23

issue with operation, so we should be able to get

24

25

that handled.

Everything is ready. The date was set based 1 2 on the pump vendor having availability to come out and certify the pumps at startup. That's the 3 4 standard process with this, with these types of facilities where the manufacturer will certify 5 6 when you first turn it on that that ensures the 7 warranty on the pumps and everything like that. 8 The question about the generator, the new 9 generator is, in fact, there and installed and it's ready to go, but it's tied into the new 10 11 system and the rental is on the old system. 12 can't -- couldn't --13 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Couldn't come up with 14 an inexpensive way to split and to do both, so 15 16 that's where we are. So after, after March, we should -- we wouldn't have that any longer at that 17 18 point. 19 As far as the change orders, the only change order that we've had on the project was early on, 20 21 was for the removal of a tree that wasn't 22 accounted for in the original quote. That was the only change order on that project, for the 23 24 construction component of the project.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So as far as the

25

1	financials, we're still within the budgeted amount
2	that we were dealing with with the split between
3	the bond and the
4	TREASURER BRANDT: No, we're going to be
5	over about 15 grand total.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
7	TREASURER BRANDT: Okay?
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And that's coming out of
9	the fund balance?
10	TREASURER BRANDT: Correct.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
12	TREASURER BRANDT: We have the cash
13	available.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you, I
15	appreciate that. It's nice to hear that it's
16	going to be completed.
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So moving on to the
18	discussion items, the ferry queue redesign, I
19	don't have any update per se for for the State.
20	We haven't heard back from them yet, and I don't
21	anticipate hearing back from them within the next
22	couple of months, to be honest. They typically
23	wait. With their workload, according to them, it
24	takes it's going to take that long for them to
25	review it.

I did, however, get a request, and I apologize, it's a little dated. I got it last month and I just -- I just didn't get to it. The LKMA, the engineering firm that's working on that is requesting a change, another change order -- not another change order. Requesting a change order of 33,000. The bulk of that has to do with all the various requests from the State. As you remember, they -- we had to do, I don't know, seven or eight different alternatives. They had only budgeted for two or three alternatives.

In addition, the original report, after, after it was submitted, the State advised them that they needed to submit it in a different format, which they had never -- this particular firm has worked with the DOT on similar projects for years, and they never notified anybody about this change in format, they just figured people would just go find out and they didn't. So now they're -- they had to -- they had to resubmit the original, not the one currently, but the original one in a totally different format, so they had to rewrite it, essentially. So that's --

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Are you talking about all the different versions of the design?

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm sorry?
2	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Are you referring to the
3	different versions?
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, this is the
5	there's a template that the State DOT has.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, that I understood, but
7	before you mentioned the change order being
8	related to the number of versions.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, number of
10	not versions, but alternatives. They the
11	State requires
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Alternatives.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: This process, they
14	require you to look at alternatives. We submitted
15	a few and they wanted different alternatives, and
16	plus we had some stakeholder input on it as well.
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And also requires to
19	look at yet more alternatives. So that required
20	them
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: We have 4A, 4B.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, yeah,
23	exactly.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that's what we're
2	paying for to have to be updated, is that what
3	you're to be put in this new format?
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's what that
5	was an early that happened early on.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So now they're at a
8	point where they they're out of their budget.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So where this is in
11	reference to funded balance for work moving
12	forward. Some of this will fund also the final
13	design as well. This isthis is a complete
14	amount that will cover us through the final
15	design, assuming that the State doesn't throw
16	anymore curve balls, which they very well might.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So that's where we
19	are. I'll send out the memo that I received from
20	the consultant
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That would help.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: over the next few
23	days. But I'm not putting it on this agenda.
24	We I'm not asking for a rush on that. It will
25	be for the March agenda.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just one other question,
3	Paul. I guess this is something you really can't
4	answer, but, just your gut feeling. I mean, you
5	know, are we a year away, are we two years away?
6	Any
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I honestly don't
8	know. I would like to believe that if they
9	approve the preliminary design in the next two
10	months, probably looking at a four to six month
11	process for final design and then permits. I
12	would I would think, if everything falls into
13	place, maybe a year for to start construction,
14	a year, 18 months on the outside to start
15	construction.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And we started this when,
17	was it 2018?
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Welcome to the world of
20	New York State DOT.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Really. Okay. Thank you.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep. The effluent
23	reuse, that's just a reminder that I'm going to be
24	making a presentation to I forget the title of
25	the group now, I apologize. Potential to get some

funding for that effluent reuse project. Just mentioning it, that's all.

The next item is the contracts for Sandy
Beach Homeowners and the Safe Harbor Marinas, as
well as the Cashin Associates proposal for
engineering for the wastewater system expansion
through Manhanset to the marinas and to the Sandy
Beach area.

I sent out the drafts. They're really -- I call them final drafts. The Village Attorney and I have worked quite a bit with the various parties to get those finalized. We've got an agreement from both parties on the agreements. So that's where I am with that. I don't have more to add other than that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, or maybe Joe, can you answer a question for me? Because I see the agreement, it now has SHM Greenport, LLC. Is that the property owner, or could you give us a little guidance on that, Joe?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't -- I'm not aware that that's the property owner. I think it's an entity that's being set up for this purpose. We have asked that question and we're trying to confirm that before we sign off, sign off on the

1	agreement.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, because the LLC
3	could not you know, could not have any assets
4	to it, so.
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That could be a
6	possibility. That's one of the questions that
7	we're asking.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that could really be a
9	question, easements for the sewer piping? Don't
10	we have to have easements for that?
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, it depends on what
12	the design is. So part of it, we would have to
13	have an easement, an easement for the sewer piping
14	that's on their property.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, yeah. Yeah, we
16	would, yeah. Yes, we would.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm more concerned about
19	the I mean, I thought that that would be
20	automatic, but I'm more concerned about the
21	viability of the company, since there's an
22	obliga a financial obligation that's being
23	put is being entered.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That was my
25	question. That kind of was the red flag that came

1	up, so thank you.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Certainly, before we
3	would have finalized that, you know, the agreement
4	itself, we would make sure that to the Village
5	Attorney's satisfaction, that the entity is the
6	correct entity, of course.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So where are we in
8	the are we ready with those now to go and
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: As far as so I
10	have nothing. So we've gone back and forth.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: You've gone back and forth
12	and everything else, so
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We're as far as
14	I'm concerned, we're done. If there's any
15	concerns or comments at this stage, you know, we
16	can go back to them, but I don't you know,
17	that's up to you guys.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we would need a
19	resolution to approve both contracts?
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, and the
21	Cashin
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: With the Safe Harbor.
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Three.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Three.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And the Cashin

comfortable with the financial security of who

we're signing it with.

24

25

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Again, my suggestion
2	would be to you know, is that I don't know
3	if I can get that answer in a week. I don't know
4	that we can do that in a week, but I would
5	suggest my recommendation would be to approve
6	it contingent upon the satisfaction of the
7	satisfaction of the Village Attorney that it's the
8	appropriate entity. That would be my
9	recommendation.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, but we just we
11	need a clarification that it's not a dummy
12	corporation or something like that, you know, that
13	it's somebody something that's viable, that's
14	going to be long term through the whole process.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I don't
16	believe it is, but, again, I have to get
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, if we could just
18	clarify that, that it's not, you know, a shell
19	company or something else that's being created to
20	shape, you know, liability or whatever away from
21	the main portion of it, because we need to know
22	who's actually signing the contract, who we're
23	going to be moving forward. So if we can clarify
24	that, I you know, we're all in agreement to go
25	ahead and sign the contracts. But if. Joe. you

can just make sure that we're clear, that we're signing a contract with somebody that's going to be here two years from now when we go to do this project.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Of course, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, Mayor, if --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? To protect our interest on it, but that would my idea, and we could just move forward and get the contract signed.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And, Mayor, if you can't find that information before next week, then we just will table the resolution, that's all. I'm sure that Safe Harbor will be very fast in answering it, if you were to inquire.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Well, we just need to ask them. I mean, all -- everything all along was going to be Safe Harbor Corporation, now it's a different corporation. So we just need to know the background of that and make sure that that's going to be there, so that we're not jeopardizing ratepayers and taxpayers of the Village, and they say, oh, you know, their lawyer fills it out, you know, avoids the contract, and then we're stuck holding the bag. I think that's everybody's

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You know, everybody's done a great job on the agreement, okay? It's just I agree with the Mayor, and that's why I raised the question, is that we also have fiscal responsibility in the direction. So if it takes just a little phone call to "Please explain your dynamics a little bit," I don't think they're going to actually not do that, so.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. It's something they want, so they're going to work for it. We just need to clarify this corporation. It's a new name that's on the contract, now that we're ready to sign it.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep, will do.

19 MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

20 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just a quick question.

21 Joe, would -- is that simply a phone call? In

22 other words, "Are you real?" And they say,

"Sure," you know. 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Joe --

24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. No, they already --

25 TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, is there more of

1	the vetting process to this to confirm that
2	they're a legitimate corporation?
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We already did that level
4	of due diligence. We asked them if they were real
5	and they said, "Yes, we're real."
6	(Laughter)
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And so it's going to
8	I'm sure it could be done in a week. I mean,
9	theoretically, it could be done in a week, if
10	there's cooperation and we get paperwork, but
11	we'll get some level of assurance before we vote
12	on it.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can you provide that to the
15	Board, please?
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I mean, it was a surprise,
19	because they did we've been talking about the
20	agreement for a long time, you know, many months
21	with them, and the agreement went back and forth,
22	which took a couple of months to get feedback from
23	them. And then and then, literally, you know,
24	sort of at the last minute, this came up where
25	there's one of the changes. You know, they made a

1	couple of word changes, but then but then they
2	also changed the entity, you know, and that was a
3	surprise. I mean, I think they would have known
4	from the beginning who the entity was, so it's a
5	little bit of a cause for concern.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, work on trying
7	to clarify that, that would be great.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The next item, and
11	this isn't on my on my list either, just we had
12	sent I had sent out the draft of the agreement
13	with Southold Fire Department for the antenna to
14	be added to our tower. If there's any questions
15	on that at all, we could move that, move that
16	forward.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine, that's
18	pretty simple.
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just in terms of
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, is the is the
21	Southold Town Police Department on that tower
22	also? Are they going to be on that tower as well?
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They're currently on
24	the tower.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They're currently on the

1	tower?
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And our Fire Department
4	is on there, also?
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So
7	it's not going to interfere with any of their
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No. We had our
9	we before, before I bring any of these things
10	to you, we have our consultant that works with us
11	on that on that tower, worked close for
12	since since we had the tower right, the
13	tower. Always checks that first, and there's an
14	engineering review that's done to make sure. And
15	in this case in particular, it was also with
16	DISH Network was the was a concern about
17	where because they were in generally the same
18	location.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So we'll make sure
21	that didn't interfere with that.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. Thanks
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: In terms of
24	resolutions, I just have the just the ones
25	we've been talking about, is the change order for

the microgrid. It was approximately \$65,000. And as I had mentioned, that is a fully reimbursable cost on that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I would like to say that they were out in front of my house, where we could go and watch how efficient and how -- how they were considerate of the neighborhood, and in putting the poles in and getting the old poles out, and putting the new lines on. I'll be honest with you, I appreciate the lines the way they are now. I don't have to worry about the tractor trailer coming underneath the wire. So I watched them the whole time they've been working on the project and I've been very impressed.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will pass that along. We -- I have been pleasantly surprised with the lack of complaints, actually. You know, a project this size, you know, typically, you just get them, but they've been -- they've been able to -- any concerns that residents have had, they've been able to resolve, 99% of them right there on the site. And, you know, I'm very, very impressed myself with their -- with their operations, and so that's good to hear.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Speaking of the

1	microgrid, the solar panels for here are coming
2	when?
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They were supposed to
4	be here by now. I haven't had a chance to follow
5	up to see what the status is. I'm going to call
6	next week, our regularly scheduled bi-weekly call
7	next week with them. I'll find out.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And they're going to be
9	stored not here, correct?
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, we're not storing
11	any materials
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: that I know of. I
14	mean, it
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They'll be bringing the
16	panels?
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They're bringing
18	them.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. All right.
20	Because the question was, when it was raised last
21	night, where are they going to store them, and ${\bf I}$
22	said I don't know.
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, once they
24	start, they're not going bring one panel at a
25	time. They're going to bring them here and then

1	install them. They're not going to leave them
2	here for two months before they start.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, they're concerned
4	about the parking lot, that's and, you know
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There was one other
8	item that I think we needed to get to you all from
9	the Historic Preservation Commission. They had
10	redone or updated, revised their guidelines. We
11	will get that out to you shortly, by next week or
12	so, for your review and comment, and ultimately
13	acceptance for a vote to accept them next month.
14	If, in the meantime, once you get them, if there's
15	any comment, just get them back to us. We'll get
16	them to the Commission, and, you know, they'll be
17	more than happy to dialogue. And if you all think
18	it's necessary or beneficial, they'll be happy to
19	come here and present the changes of those
20	guidelines. I don't I took a look at it quick
21	with them, review them in detail, but I don't
22	believe there's anything there that needs a lot of
23	clarification, but I'll send them over to you.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Is this a follow-up to the
25	presentation that was already made?

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, this no. That
2	was for the
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The Reconnaissance.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The sorry?
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That was for the
6	Reconnaissance survey.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, which right,
8	which resulted in a recommendation to expand the
9	district.
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: This is purely the
12	guidelines that are used by applicants to when
13	they present a project to the HPC.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, I think, are
15	they are they updating? Didn't they have a set
16	of guidelines that they had
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, that's what I
18	said, it's an update.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: and they were updating
20	it. I couldn't quite hear you, I'm sorry.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's a revision of
22	the update to that, yes.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just lastly, in terms
25	of the section of my report that are reminders, I

1	haven't updated that, I apologize, but I did
2	and I will make sure that that's a more current
3	list next time.
4	Unless anybody has any questions, my time
5	is up.
6	(Laughter)
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Do you want to just give us
8	an update on the ice rink?
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Oh, I apologize,
10	yeah. I forgot to put that on my list.
11	So we've been you know, it was not a
12	great week, given the weather, and today in
13	particular, the warmth. We're struggling to keep
14	it going. We're very we're trying desperately
15	to keep it going at least through this weekend.
16	We have an event for Sunday that we want to
17	make trying to make sure that it that it
18	comes off. Beyond that, given the forecast for
19	next week, I just don't know that it's going to be
20	viable beyond that. You know, we may get a few
21	cold days, but it's going to take so long for it
22	to recover, we would have to keep the plant
23	running 24/7, and that's a it's very costly to
24	keep it running for maybe a half a day's worth of
25	skating at this stage, beyond, beyond now, so.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was going to ask you, I
2	mean, I would imagine that the electricity used to
3	try to keep that ice frozen when the weather is
4	working against you is expensive.
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's been kind of a waste
7	of electricity, to be honest with you.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Understood, so yes,
9	but we're doing everything we can. I mean, we're
10	doing everything we can. All we can do is just,
11	you know, keep an eye on things.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, I understand. I see
13	here that the generator, you know, is running
14	constantly.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's just running
17	constantly.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. It's school break
20	this week, so I was hoping we'd be able to get a
21	couple of days
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: for the kids to be able
24	to use it, is the hope. I mean, looking at the
25	forecast, Monday and Tuesday, cold during the

1	night, but then getting back up in the 50s. It
2	would be nice for the school kids to have
3	something to do when they're on their break. You
4	know, get the parents downtown, get everything
5	going, and get people down there.
6	So I just Paul and I talked about it
7	today. We're just trying to update everybody.
8	We're trying, but Mother Nature. You know, two
9	inches of rain tonight is not going to help any,
10	but then it's going to get chilly afterwards.
11	So hopefully we can get this Sunday to free
12	skate. Friends of Mitchell Park is doing it on
13	Sunday.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, the free skate.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: So, you know, we're working
16	really hard to let them at least get that part in,
17	and maybe Monday, Tuesday. But then after that,
18	we don't know. But just so the public, everybody
19	knows, we're trying, but we're getting we're
20	fighting a losing battle with it.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Unfortunately, the short
22	term and medium term meteorological assessment is
23	warmer than normal.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. Okay. Anything else
25	for the Village Administrator?

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.
3	Okay. Village Treasurer, Robert Brandt.
4	TREASURER BRANDT: Good evening. I just
5	have one informational item I'd like to add to my
6	report. Fiscal 2020-2021 audited financials are
7	completed. Hard copies for the Board are expected
8	to be delivered tomorrow.
9	Christopher Reino from Cullen & Danowski,
10	the accounting firm, will be presenting the
11	audited financials at this month's Board meeting,
12	so I just wanted to give you an update on that.
13	And that's all I have to add to my report.
14	Does anyone have any questions on the body of my
15	report?
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. And when are we
17	getting copies of the report?
18	TREASURER BRANDT: I expect I expect them
19	tomorrow.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Tomorrow?
21	TREASURER BRANDT: It's overnighted to me.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, just so
23	everybody has time to review them
24	TREASURER BRANDT: Sure.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: before we have them at

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631), 727-1107

The Renewable Energy Savings, I'm assuming -- have

No.

we touched any of that money yet? I mean --

TREASURER BRANDT:

23

24

25

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: that's part of the
2	that's part of the we're supposed to be
3	creating a plan for it, Paul and Robert, or is
4	it you know, remind me, please.
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. We yeah,
6	we've been struggling to craft the RFP. And,
7	really, it's just it wasn't really enough time.
8	And I've been working with Stephen Gaffga on
9	preparing the RFP, we're almost there. And,
10	basically, I think what we're going to end up with
11	is the same RFP with a section that talks about
12	potential allows for alternative proposed
13	alternative proposed programs for energy
14	efficiency, we had to get something on the street,
15	because we are required to do that.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Okay, because this
17	has been growing, correct, because it grows each
18	month?
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Have we thought
21	have there been suggestions as to what we could
22	use, you know, what kind of project we could use
23	this for, or is this something we have to create
24	with the RFP? In other words, charging stations
25	for electric cars, I mean, is that something that

1	you could use that for?
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Possibly. I'd have
3	to speak with the Power Authority about it. It's
4	really more geared for energy savings.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: as opposed to a
7	broader, you know, electrification and official
8	electrification type projects, so I don't think
9	that would fly.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I'm just you
11	know, I'm just curious.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I mean,
13	that's sure.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thanks.
15	TREASURER BRANDT: And that's my answer.
16	(Laughter)
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you, Robert.
18	TREASURER BRANDT: All right. Thank you.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Next is the Village
20	Clerk, Sylvia Pirillo.
21	CLERK PIRILLO: Hi. Good evening, everyone.
22	One, one addition to my report is a resolution
23	ratifying the appointment of Robert Connolly as
24	the Village prosecutor for non-building code
25	related matters. We need to do this because

Steve Ukeiley is no longer. So we -- so we've -- actually, Attorney Prokop reached out to Attorney Connolly, and this is a very viable solution for us. So that will appear on next week's agenda, with your permission.

Going on to my report, there is an addition, it's regarding a bid opening for 87 octane. No bids were received. That's the second time. So we have been using a company that's on State contract, with which we're very happy. We were also very happy with, of course, our local provider for many years, who is no longer. So we want to let you know that we did have an issue with that. And I did put that in the New York State Contract Reporter as well, but that issue has since been resolved. Okay?

Agreements and contracts: We -- I am referencing three contracts, two with Skrezec and one with McLean Associates, and all three of those have been since fully executed.

I wanted to call to your attention that there is an open labor position in the Road Department. Resumes or applications for that position will be accepted until the 23rd of February.

1	The Tall Ship Kalmar Nyckel has approached
2	the Village about another visit beginning on the
3	2nd of August and ending on the 8th of August.
4	And they have asked us to, as we have done in the
5	past for them and for others, to provide free
6	dockage, and they would in turn provide two hours
7	of free tours for the public on the Saturday, the
8	6th. They do have some sailings planned, which
9	they're calling Public Sails. They are, of
10	course, a nonprofit educational vessel. So we
11	wanted to know if that it was if you were
12	amenable to that, they would be positioned over at
13	the railroad dock.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: What days are those,
15	Sylvia? I'm sorry.
16	CLERK PIRILLO: August 2nd, leaving on the
17	8th.
18	RICHARD VANDENBURGH: What's the name of the
19	boat?
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The 2nd to through 8th?
21	CLERK PIRILLO: Kalmar Nyckel.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
23	CLERK PIRILLO: That was the 2nd.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That was a nice boat, by
25	the way, really good.

1	CLERK PIRILLO: Yeah. So if you're amenable
2	to it, they the captain will be calling again
3	tomorrow to find out if it's acceptable.
	·
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Is everybody comfortable
5	with it?
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, yeah.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, I'm comfortable
8	with it.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah? Okay.
10	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you, appreciate that.
11	And lastly, we in the financial portion of my
12	report, we did receive a check in the amount of
13	\$3,742.71 from PERMA. They do provide us once a
14	year with a what they call a return premium
15	based on experience ratings. So when we do well,
16	we do well.
17	Any questions on my report?
18	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
20	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Mayor, I did I
22	did I'd like one item, if I may.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I just wanted to
25	update the Board on the Mini Railroad project.

The -- and I do have plans for the building that I
will -- I will send out to everybody, that I
thought I had sent, I apologize, I didn't.
They're just rudimentary at this stage, they're
not final, just to get an idea what it might look
like.
The pathways, you know, was completed. They

The pathways, you know, was completed. They hoped to get stone down and the foundation of the building done some time in April. The bridge that's going over the dry bed, dry streambed that's there is, hopefully, next month to be completed.

That's pretty much the update. There isn't much more to say at this stage, but it -- and the pathway is all marked in terms of center lines and width and all that. So, you know, it is progress, slow, but it is moving, and continue to work on funding for it as well. Just to --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do know that they put out an appeal for volunteers as far as for carpenters and electricians and the like, so maybe -- I know -- are they -- I've only seen it on Facebook. Have you seen it? Are they putting out a direct notice for us to kind of put that on someplace, that they're looking for volunteers for

1	that or
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will certainly
3	reach out to them and, you know, ask if there's
4	a some kind of standard document that we could
5	post on our website. You know, the Rotary, as you
6	know, are very good at getting those kind of
7	things, but every little bit helps, so
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's okay.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: it's a good idea.
10	We'll reach out and get whatever. You know, if we
11	can help, certainly, we will.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's great.
13	Thank you.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The Village Attorney
15	report.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Good evening. Thank you.
17	I wanted to mainly go over the Local Laws that are
18	pending tonight. And before, before I go into
19	that, I just I wanted to mention a couple of
20	other things related to that.
21	The first is that Paul Pallas and I have
22	been working for now for several months on a
23	law, another law, which would basically be what
24	I guess you would call it a cleanup, or addressing
25	several sections of Chapter 150 that are unrelated

to what we're talking about, but we feel are important that need to be addressed. So it's things, things that over -- through the years in the different Boards of the Village, there's been items from Chapter 150 have come up for discussion and the language has been -- become subject of concern, and we wanted to go back and maybe propose some changes to the Board, so Paul and I are working on that. While we're working on these other laws, we are working on that.

It's been out in the -- it's been out in the news in January and this month that the Governor, the Governor every year has the prerogative to attach legislation to the -- to the bill that's known as the Budget Bill. So the reason why that's done, theoretically, is so that when the Governor gives the Legislature an incentive to pass those Local Laws that the Governor would like to see done, would like to see get through, and, therefore, attaches them to the budget legislation, so there's a feeling that there's an initiative to try to pass them all together.

There was a bill that was introduced last year for two things. One is accessory apartment units, and then the second thing was code

enforce -- effecting code enforcement in the State, and I want to go into that because it's important.

But as I understand it, the accessory, accessory apartment bill didn't go anywhere right away. And then in January, January 5th in the State of the State address, the Governor apparently mentioned that that was going to be --that bill was going to be a priority of hers, and then she attached it to the Budget Bill. Whether or not it's still attached to the Budget Bill, I'm not sure. I've looked online, it doesn't seem to be, but -- but even if it's not actually attached to the Budget Bill, I think she's set it as a priority for her administration.

And so what that bill -- we've -- I've provided information as best I could to the Village, because some of this is still a moving target. But what the bill is proposed to do is codify a requirement where municipalities and New York State would be required to allow accessory dwelling units in the residential zones, basically. So that's in the -- that's in the horizon for us, apparently.

Now NYCOM, the New York Conference of Mayors

had a Legislative -- what they call a Legislative meeting this past weekend, and what they -- what they do is Mayors from across the state get together and talk about or learn about pending legislation. The Governor, as I understand it, did attend the session with the Board. The New York Conference of Mayors, I spoke to a Mayor in particular who attended that meeting with her, and he said she apparently has -- is aware that there's opposition to the law statewide, her version of it at least, significant opposition, but that she's going to -- she's going to continue to push for this.

And there's a feeling by the New York

Conference of Mayors, as I understand it, that the
eventual law, if it's passed, may have incentives
that come from the State for municipalities to
adopt the -- to go along with this and then adopt
an accessory apartment law in their municipality.

One of the things that's in the Governor's law is -- is to create a new -- a new department, similar to last year, we had the creation of the Cannabis Board. This year, it's going to be, theoretically, the Accessory Apartment Board, and that Board's going to -- the concept is that that

Board will be making funding available for the creation of these units. That's one of the things that's in the law.

And so does anybody have any questions about that? And then I'll go into the Local Laws that we have.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, this, this Board that she wants to create is only going to deal with funding, or is it going to deal with the portion that she has about the Department of State overseeing our Code Enforcement? Isn't that part of that also?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's the next step that I was going to get into, yep. No, it won't look that. It's only for -- that Board is only for funding.

So the other, the other part of this that I wanted to mention, which is regarding Code Enforcement, and is critical to the Village and all municipalities. So right now, people are -- you know, people aren't aware of this unless your actually the Building Inspector of the Village, but all municipalities in New York State that have Building Departments or Building Inspectors, and a lot -- many villages Upstate don't, but those that

do, the Building Inspector every year is required to file a form with the New York State Department of State and list the activity in the Building Department, you know, with the number of building permits, the number of C of O's, things like that, that were -- that came out of their department.

And there's a provision in the law that's in the Uniform Code, which is our building code, statewide code, there has always been a provision that if the New York State Department of State feels that the local municipality is not enforcing the building code in that municipality, then the State can dictate that the local municipality loses the right to enforce the building code and it goes to the County. Right? I'm not mentioning this for any reason other than we need to know about this. I mean, it's not -- I'm not mentioning it, obviously, specific to this or any other village, but we just need to know that this is out there.

So the Department of State has actually gone after several villages and -- with this, and, you know, driven them crazy over the way that the State feels that they should be doing things, which the villages have -- differ on, and -- but

they haven't gotten to the point where they took away their Building Departments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What the Governor is proposing in sort of an add-on to the budget and this accessory apartment law is a provision where the County now will take over this role of the State. So what -- the problem with that now, or what we'll have to deal with, is the -- we'll have to actually report to the County, and the County will make a determination as to how we're doing, all the activity in our Building Department. And where the -- where the State is a couple of levels away from us, and, you know, the communication being what it is, and, you know, they generally leave people alone, the County's, you know, the County's close, close to us in relationship and they're -and on the ground. And I expect that if this gets through, it will be very cumbersome on municipalities and the State, particularly Suffolk County, where there's a, you know, tremendous administrative level already existing. Yes? TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have a question. the County already responsible for licensing of contractors? That's where you go to get your --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Licensing of contractors.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: They are.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So they're already involved
4	in it at some level to begin with, right?
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, this is more like,
6	you know, they'll look into whether or not a
7	building permit was properly issued, you know,
8	whether building permits were closed out, you
9	know, things like that, and then whether you
10	required the correct insulation, whether or not
11	the energy review was done, you know, things like
12	that. Those are the kinds of things that I'm
13	fearing that the counties will get involved in.
14	And then, you know, the flip side of this is
15	that County decides that if you're not doing
16	things the way the County prefers, then the County
17	could act and become the Building Department.
18	So, anyway, that law's this law that
19	law that I just described is part of the package,
20	and we're all hoping that it doesn't go through,
21	but it's something that we have to be aware of,
22	and I'll update you as we move along.
23	So I presented the several I presented
24	three laws a few months a couple of months ago.
25	One of them was, obviously, the accessory

1	apartment law. I was asked to work on the
2	incentives for accessory for affordable
3	accessory apartments, which which I did do.
4	And the basic parts of the law are the same now as
5	when it was first recommended, or were provided to
6	the Board. And, basically, in the in the R-2
7	District, we would be an owner would be able to
8	have an accessory apartment, provided there wasn't
9	already a separate apartment in the house. So as
10	long as the house is a single-family house to
11	begin with in the R-2 District, the owner could
12	break off either an apartment in the house or an
13	apartment outside of the house in a separate,
14	separate structure.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joe, before I'm sorry,
16	Peter.
17	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Go ahead.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Go ahead. Before you go,
19	okay, we have a house that's zoned R-2. We're
20	going to break away an accessory use, even though
21	they're using their R-2 as a single family?
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If they're using the as
23	long as they're using the house as a single-family
24	resident residence
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So how are you getting

1	rid of the R-2 zoning?
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. It's going to stay
3	R-2, but the but the two, the second dwelling
4	unit will be the accessory unit that they'll be
5	able to have outside of the house, outside of the
6	principal dwelling, outside of the principal
7	structure.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: This is just a proposal.
10	I mean, if anybody
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I know it's a
12	proposal.
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Please let me have
14	comments soon.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So said differently, any
16	existing R-2 that is currently operating as two
17	families in one residence will not be able to
18	the owner will not be able to construct an
19	accessory dwelling unit?
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's correct. Okay.
21	And the other part of this is that the owner of
22	the property must reside in the principal
23	structure or principal building, the owner full
24	time, and it has to it has to be their primary
25	residence.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Full-time.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. You know, full
3	time, I added full time. Full time is not in the
4	language, the language is primary residence.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Which is sort of a higher
7	level, because there's certain things that are
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, the taxes
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: and everything else.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Driver's license, taxes.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So if you have a single
13	if you're in R-1, this won't like I'm going
14	back to George's explanation to me, because I
15	it was took me a long time to understand it,
16	but I finally got there, and maybe the December
17	work session, that an older person with a large
18	home might want to rent their home, but have an
19	accessory apartment for themselves, so that they
20	don't have to move off of their property. Most of
21	those larger places would be, in my mind, in R-1
22	Districts. So if I understand correctly, if you
23	have a property in the R-1 District, you would not
24	be able to apply for an accessory dwelling unit at
25	all at

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: In R-1, you wouldn't be
2	able to do it in R-1.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That's what I'm asking.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, you well, the
5	proposal, the proposal is I mean, it's up to
6	you as the Board. I mean, you could you could
7	change the law, but it's the draft.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, I understand. I'm just
9	trying to make sure that I'm clear. So the way it
10	is drafted now, R-1 would not be able to do that.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right, there would be no
12	accessory apartments in R-1.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So that reminded me of our
14	conversation, and I felt like that was not
15	necessarily providing you with what your
16	intentions were in terms of explaining this idea
17	of
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I think most of the
19	Village is R-2, so it's R-1 is not the bulk of
20	what we have. I don't know percentage-wise.
21	Paul, do you know, you know
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You know, it's I
23	don't know the percentage either, but it is it
24	is an extremely small area. It's small.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Most of it is R-2.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: So even if it's a one-family
3	house, you know, it could be a four bedroom
4	one-family house in R-2, so they could have the
5	accessory apartment, because they're in R-2.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't know the percentage
8	of how much is R-1, but most of it is R-2. That
9	was changed a while ago.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But that in 2008,
11	there was the public hearing where the zoning was
12	changed, where the thought pattern back then was
13	that a senior citizen who was living you know,
14	the family had moved out, that the house was big
15	enough that they could put in an apartment so that
16	they could have income coming in. So they
17	created a lot of the R-1s were changed to R-2.
18	I think most of Main Street, you know, downtown
19	Main Street was changed.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Sure.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Most of the areas were
22	changed.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Sure. I'm familiar with
24	the zoning map.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I just know that, you know,
2	we live in R-1, so I'm just being
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In R-1, yeah.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: sure that we understand
5	that we don't want the entire Village to have this
6	opportunity, we only want the R-2 part of the
7	Village to have the opportunity. I guess I'm kind
8	of questioning it. Like if we're after affordable
9	housing and more housing, why would we cut out
10	R-1, if we in 2008 turned most of the Village into
11	R-2 anyway?
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Joe, couldn't we
13	wouldn't it be simpler just to create to make
14	that R-1, that main R-1 R-2, instead of having
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That wouldn't be too
16	simple, I would say, right?
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Without looking at
18	the map and seeing what the percentage is and all,
19	I don't know how much we're talking about. So, I
20	mean, I'm not sure house-wise. Do you know, Paul?
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm just able to
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: You're looking at the
24	zoning map on your phone, right?
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just give me a

and Sixth and Sixth
and Sixth
∍s
es:
∍s
rting
t? Okay.
nile
I now.
's part
d feels
R-1 and
ave the
counting
tever.
,
1

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: That 10% is R-1.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Relative to the
3	entire region, yes.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: What if there's some houses
5	in the R-1 that would be applicable to creating an
6	accessory apartment? Then I don't see why they
7	should be, you know, categorically excluded.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I wanted to you
9	know, I owe Trustee Clarke an apology. It does
10	the draft of the law that I did it does say
11	that it's full time, the resident excuse me.
12	The owner has to reside full time, in addition to
13	primary residence.
14	So the conditions would be the accessory
15	apartment could only be on one floor. The total
16	lot coverage of all structures on the lot cannot
17	exceed 30% of the lot. The apartment cannot be
18	less than 450 square feet or more than 750 square
19	feet. So there's no there's no limitation on
20	the number of bedrooms, but there is on the area.
21	And you have to have a rental permit. The
22	apartment has to be rented for at least a year,
23	and a copy of the lease has to be provided. And
24	that's basically it.
25	I want to mention before I forget, the BID

1 has reported that Newsday says that the Governor 2 has pulled this now, so we're -- the first discussion is moot. 3 4 Other than R -- other than R-2, the Local 5 Law covers the Retail Commercial District, where 6 there would be up to three apartments in one building, accessory apartments in a building, and 7 8 which can be over retail stores or businesses, 9 professional government offices. And you can also 10 have one other accessory unit in a separate 11 structure on a retail commercial lot. 12 The proposal is to also allow it -- this in Waterfront Commercial District. That's -- I know 13 14 that's something for discussion, but that would be the other district where they're allowed. Okay. 15 16 So it would be Retail Commercial and Waterfront

Is there any --

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commercial.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: The only person I have in retail commercial is that we have precedence for accessory units that have accessory -- I'll get my language correct here. You have accessory buildings that have more than one unit. And so we kind of have a precedence of that, so --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, the existing ones would be grandfathered, so this would be for new.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand, but
2	grandfathered, but it's a recent development that
3	has been approved and is being built, it's not
4	occupied yet. So it looks to me that our current
5	thinking was that that was a good idea, so I'm
6	just trying to make sense of this with what we're
7	actually doing. That felt inconsistent to me.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have a question and
9	I
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I don't know if that's the
11	direction of someone else and I should be speaking
12	with them. I'm not challenging what you've
13	written, Joe, in any way, I'm just trying to make
14	sense of recent development and this proposed
15	development.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, this is all subject
17	for discussion. I mean, this was just a wanted
18	to get it going, you know, get going for this.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have another discussion
20	item, and that relates to the square footage for
21	the accessory apartment. So the minimum square
22	footage is 450 square feet.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And I think a lot of people
25	envision that they would be we're talking about

1	converting one what we usually have detached
2	one-car garages here in the Village. Well, a
3	one-car garage is approximately anywhere between
4	10 to 12 feet wide and 20 to 24 feet long, which,
5	say, let's round it off and say 250 square feet,
6	which could be a studio apartment, okay? So, you
7	know, I mean, that wipes out a lot of the
8	buildings that I think we are thinking of here in
9	if the Village as creating accessory apartments.
10	So maybe we need to revisit that square footage.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah, I just want
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I just
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I want to make sure.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: 250 square feet, I don't see
15	where you could fit an accessory apartment in
16	there with a bedroom, a bathroom and kitchen area.
17	That's why I think the 450 is more realistic. A
18	one, one-bay garage to put something upstairs, I
19	don't think it's even livable to get a staircase
20	up there and everything else. I don't think you
21	could do it for 250 square feet.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. I might be able to
23	bring something to the Board in terms of a layout
24	to show them from the Builders Institute that's a
25	design

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: to use and, you know,
3	that share that at some point.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, that's fine. I
5	think 450 is reasonable for a small apartment.
6	You know, you get bigger than the 750, then you're
7	talking a really
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: An apartment.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: You know
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: An apartment.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Regular apartment, not a
12	studio, you know, accessory.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, my thought is that
14	you wouldn't want to necessarily go into R-2 and
15	allow accessory buildings with more than one unit.
16	But in the Commercial Retail, where we already
17	have a precedent and we already have commercial
18	buildings with multiple apartments per building, I
19	would allow it, I think. But I'm interested in
20	everyone else's opinion. That's just my thought
21	off the cuff.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the Commercial
23	Retail, most of those you can build apartments in
24	the Commercial Retail. But my concern is that,
25	you know, we're taking away the artist lofts, and

1	most of those were in the Waterfront Commercial
2	District. I would rather take the Waterfront
3	District out of this and just grandfather the
4	apartments that were already there that were the
5	artist lofts. I think that our Commercial Water
6	District all along Front Street, they really
7	should be designated in a separate district than
8	our true Waterfront Commercial.
9	But I'm just concerned. It's a little
10	confusing to me. I've been trying to justify
11	increasing in the downtown area there with
12	accessory dwellings and I don't know where you
13	would put them.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm speaking of Commercial
15	Retail, not WC.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Okay, but I'm
17	also where would you put accessory dwellings in
18	WC?
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, you know, I
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: There's one across the
22	street from my store that just went up and it's
23	got two units and getting rental for it.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But that's but that's
25	Commercial Retail, correct?

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That's what I'm speaking of
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, okay. All right.
3	Okay.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, I don't have a
5	survey, that, you know, maybe that's the only
6	property that that would apply to.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, because you have two
8	commercial businesses in the front, so there is no
9	residence in the main building.
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No, there isn't, you're
11	absolutely right, it's all it's all offices.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. That's when that
13	came up, I had the same questions, and I went
14	through that with Paul, because there used to be
15	apartments in there.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: But they're both commercial
18	entities in the front, so they're allowed two
19	rental units in the back building.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So, to go back to where I
21	started, that means that is consistent with what
22	Joe is presenting, right?
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I stand corrected on that.

1	Thank you.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. There used to be
3	apartments there.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: If there was still an
6	apartment, then you would have three units on the
7	one piece of property, but they're both commercial
8	use on them.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And then do we have any
10	situation where we have a building with more than
11	three legal apartments in Commercial Retail?
12	RANDY WADE: On lower main.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: I think that's
14	RANDY WADE: Lower Main Street.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Lower Main Street.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The old Mills building,
17	perhaps, or
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that one, and the new
19	one across above Sandpiper, next to Sandpiper.
20	Doesn't that have three units in that? But they
21	went for a variance on that when they did
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't I honestly
23	don't remember, to be honest.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that the old Masonic
25	Temple?

thing I've got is, is trying to make sure that we

25

1	are preparing this code for any future development
2	as well, not only looking backwards, but looking
3	forwards. Should Commercial Retail come under
4	redevelopment, would we limit each property to
5	only two units?
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's three units.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Three, it says three.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, Commercial Retail, he
9	said, would be three. Like I'll use the Arcade as
10	an example. They put the full second story on the
11	Arcade.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm sorry, you said
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: You could be three units
14	up you said up to three units in Commercial
15	Retail.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Three units in the in
17	the main building.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: As long as it stays a store
19	on the first floor, the Arcade is wide enough that
20	you could put three apartments upstairs.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right. And some
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: But that would be allowed
23	the way this is written in Commercial Retail.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And some properties may
25	seek a variance to go up 30 feet if they're

1	redeveloped, which would mean you could have, you
2	know
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, they'd have to go to
4	Zoning and everything else for all the other if
5	they're going to put a second story on and go and
6	do that. But this is just saying what would be
7	allowed in the districts, trying to create,
8	hopefully, more rental units.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Or even a third story.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So, you know, you could end
13	up with four units in a building, especially on
14	some of the properties on the side of Front
15	Street. I'm just trying to plan for the future,
16	so that it's not two or three years later and this
17	code doesn't work, and then we've got all this
18	stuff going to Zoning because the code doesn't
19	allow the leeway. And I'm trying to think about
20	the future, because I don't see the affordable
21	housing crisis getting better, and I don't see the
22	pressure on real estate on the Village getting
23	any relax in the short term.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think the thing about
25	adding another floor, though, would require

1	engineering studies and stuff like that to make
2	sure that the foundation of the existing building
3	can sustain the weight of that additional floor on
4	it, so.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Or it could be all new
6	construction, I have no idea.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. I'm just saying, you
8	know, you adding another floor usually requires
9	the services of an engineer to determine if
10	that
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, yeah.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: extra weight could be
14	added to it.
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: An engineer or an
16	architect both can say. You know, it's a big
17	thing, and I look forward
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Some I mean, some of
19	those older buildings on in our Commercial
20	District, I would not be certain of what their
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: existing foundations are
23	like.
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm sure.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So, you know, I'm sorry,

1	Joe, but those are just some of the things that
2	came up when I read through this, and about making
3	sure we're thinking, you know, of what our
4	objectives are, and, you know, are we looking for
5	more units for smaller units for new families,
6	couples and single elderly, as well as looking for
7	space for families. And so
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: it would strike me that
10	any way we can prepare for the future in this
11	code, not to overly complicate it or slow it down.
12	I'm sorry, I don't want to do that.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I also think that our code
15	should be synching with the update, which,
16	hopefully, is going to happen to our LWRP, which
17	definitely addresses housing in the Village. It's
18	dated compared to when the last analysis was done,
19	but it's an issue that's you know, much more
20	pressing right now. So I think that whatever we
21	do in the code should kind of tie in with that
22	planning document. So, hopefully, we're in a
23	position to start moving forward with
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, they're Paul was
25	working on that, Paul and Joe, so.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We had an update that you
2	were hiring a consultant that was going to be
3	working with the
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And they had the RFP,
6	yeah.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: An RFP is out on that, too?
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So just two before I
11	move into the affordable units, can I just go back
12	to
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Please.
14	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Please.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's like can we before
16	that, can I just ask you again about this, this
17	idea about the owner allowing I have received
18	comments to change the draft to have the owner be
19	able to move into the accessory unit and rent out
20	the house, if that's so how does the Board feel
21	about that?
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That was what the Mayor
23	originally explained to me, is one of his ideas,
24	that I think makes a lot of sense.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. So I'm working

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think it makes more sense in that if you're trying to encourage families to stay here, they're not going to be in the -- in the smaller apartments or a -- is they're going to want to be in a house. And I think that there's two goals, and I'm not really comfortable with the -- with the accessory and the affordable accessory being tied together a little bit, but that -- I think that will get flushed down in the end.

But I think the goal we have, the goal that I think we're trying to obtain is to encourage families to stay here, is -- and I believe that's the bulk of it, is we need to have the community to continue to grow into the future with people who would be able to stay here and live here, and raise their kids here and families.

So I agree that the smaller unit being able to be the owner-occupied and the -- would be a better way, so that the bigger houses can be rented. But my concern is, and we have it with Airbnbs, okay, let's face it, we -- you know, we went through with creating the -- you know, the permanent rental in one of the units, and the other one could be Airbnb. I just hope that this

1	isn't going to be another loophole for that, okay?
2	That's the my only one concern.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And maybe, maybe, Trustee
4	Phillips, it's time that we revisit the STRs
5	again, you know, in conjunction with this
6	accessory apartment.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Could be.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I believe the way Joe
9	has this worded, it's year-round rentals.
10	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's, you know,
12	owner-occupied. You have to have a lease and
13	everything else. So what we're doing is, if
14	you're going to add one of these on, it can't be
15	an Airbnb, it can't be a short-term rental.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Even if it's the main
17	I'll change it, so even if it's the main house.
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But that's actually,
22	it doesn't really say that, does it, Joe?
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, because it wasn't
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We didn't have that
25	language in there. It had to be more than 30

1	days. Because I actually believe that in the
2	Governor's law, it said a rental had to be more
3	than 30 days.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: She had 30 days.
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thirty days, right? Yeah.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: In her law.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Which would have been
8	permitted, seasonal rentals, but that's not the
9	intent of this law. I don't
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, it's not. We're not
11	doing summer rentals or anything else. This is
12	strictly for year-round rentals for people to be
13	able to stay here and not have to move away.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Or travel from Riverhead out
16	here to get to work and everything else.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, what Joe's
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's but just for
19	the whole thing, if we're going to allow these,
20	it's going to be year-round rentals.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: All right. So we just had
22	a comment that I'm sorry. We just had a
23	comment made that we could the possibility is
24	separating the affordable idea from this. The
25	affordable idea is only in here because one of the

comments at our -- at our original meeting was that it was important to have an affordable provision here. If you don't want to have -- you know, if the Board doesn't --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I think that if somebody needs -- instead of going through it, it doesn't meet setbacks and everything else and they want to go and do this, we're giving them a concession to be able to do it, and that way it's part of their deed and it's documented that you got concessions to be able to add this apartment on. So now it's there and that's all it can be, and those have to stay affordable.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you have enough room to go and do it, and you don't need variances or anything else, then you're allowed to do it. If you've got a two-acre piece of property, you can pretty much do what you want. But if you need concessions, we're working with you to give you a concession, as long as we document it on your deed and that has to stay there. If you go to change that, you have to go back and get the variances and everything else moving it forward.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: And then, if I understand,

communities such as ours create incentives. ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, that's what I have, something like that. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, that's what there are --

24 TRUSTEE CLARKE: In E, which I think is 25 great.

20

21

22

23

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I think what it is, is
2	once we have this passed and it's a document and
3	it's, you know, part of our code, then we can
4	apply to the State and say, all right, we want to
5	go and expand this, this is our policy, this is
6	what it's going to be, can we get some funding for
7	that, try to get a grant to do that from HUD, from
8	wherever, like they did when they built the houses
9	on Second Street, down on Third Street and all
10	that. Say this is a program, we need funding for
11	this program now, and try to build money up, so
12	then Robert isn't pulling his hair out.
13	(Laughter)
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Because we've had this
15	discussion, how are we going to try to help
16	people, but we don't have any mechanism for it
17	now.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: We have this document to
20	allow this, and we could say this is our document,
21	this is our code, we need funding for this section
22	of the code to try to help out the people to build
23	these.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So then just one last
25	thing for the Board. So I put in the provision on

1	affordable units, I put in that in order to be
2	the preference for those units should go to people
3	that have been residents of the of the Village
4	of Greenport for two years prior to their
5	application. Is that okay? Just an idea that I
6	have. I don't know if you want to give preference
7	or not want to give preference or
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The only thing I'm worried
9	about that a little bit, is that there might be a
10	Fair Housing violation involved in that
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: believe it or not.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, I was going to say
14	the same thing.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, if somebody wants to
17	move here and they find an apartment and they can
18	afford it, I don't think we really can control
19	that part of it.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. And besides that,
22	who's going who's going to who's going to do
23	the actual who's going to do the actual
24	oversight on that? I mean, are we going to put
25	that in the hand of the Housing Authority or

the regular session in April?

25

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: We would have the public
2	hearing in April, yes. Okay. If you can get it
3	out a little sooner, Joe, two weeks in advance, so
4	any we can do the same kind of thing now and be
5	ready and schedule a public hearing at the March
6	meeting to have the public hearing in April.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just I just I
9	just have one question, Joe, on the covenants,
10	okay, because is that that will stay with the
11	deed, and when the title company goes through, the
12	people decide to sell the property, what's going
13	to what, how they have to I can't talk
14	anymore. It's been a long time since 3 o'clock.
15	If they sell the property, this has to go along
16	with the property, correct?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. So the title
18	company, what would happen is the title company
19	would have to offer what called affirmative
20	insurance to ensure that the that the
21	structures that the covenants had not been
22	violated, and that the structures continue to
23	stand in their current condition.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So the new owners would
25	then, if they decide that the new owners decide

1	that they don't want to continue this, then they
2	would have to go back and go through the
3	because the original owner was given the
4	exception, the new owner doesn't want the
5	exception, so then the new owner is going to have
6	to go through the whole process, correct?
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. So the owner so
8	what would happen, the new owner would have to go
9	through the process, right. If the owner if
10	the new owner did not want to excuse me have
11	an accessory, an affordable accessory unit, the
12	new owner would have to get a if they took
13	advantage of the reduction in the bulk
14	regulations, then they would have to apply to the
15	ZBA. And if they didn't, if they did not get a
16	variance that they needed, then they would have to
17	move back that you know, reduce that part of
18	the accessory structure.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's food for
20	thought. Okay.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: By the covenant.
22	Otherwise, you know, they would have significant
23	fines. Is that okay?
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, that's the answer.
25	I was just we have a situation legally that

1	we're dealing with covenants that weren't put in,
2	so I'm just you know, there's got to be checks
3	and balances. There's the you know, it's great
4	to have a code, but, you know, when the house gets
5	sold, what happens?
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, I just so that's
9	if for the accessory units, if that's okay with
10	you.
11	And then I just want to mention, I don't
12	want to take you through this, because too much
13	time has gone by already, but I just want to
14	mention, in the parking law that I gave to you,
15	basically, the waiver provision now is proposed
16	to the Planning Board can give a waiver for the
17	lesser of 50% of the required spaces, or 20
18	spaces, whichever is less, right? So if the
19	requirement is 20 spaces, then the Planning Board
20	can't give a waiver of 20 spaces, it can give a
21	waiver of up to 50% of that, which is 10 spaces,
22	because that's the lesser of the two.
23	Also, I was asked to give the Planning Board
24	some leeway. I did add a provision in that the
25	Planning Board has leeway of up to 10% on so if

1	somebody, somebody wants to put in a church, or a
2	temple, or a store, and they feel for some reason,
3	because of the type of the use, that it may not
4	need what our code requires, the Planning Board
5	can grant a relaxation of up to 10% on its own,
6	you know, based on a showing by the by the
7	owner. So that's the that's the parking law.
8	I appreciate it if you could look it over.
9	And then the curb cut law basically states
10	the same as it was originally proposed, is to
11	bring the curb curb cut review would come to
12	the Planning Board and but it lists all the
13	criteria that the Planning Board would have to
14	apply each time. So there would be some kind of
15	standards for the Planning Board to use to review
16	curb cuts.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joe. Joe, once again,
18	and I'm sorry, I didn't find it in here, did we
19	was there put in there that if someone removes a
20	curb cut, that they have to go back to Planning to
21	take it out?
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I didn't see that.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If they did what, they
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: If they remove it.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Oh, if they remove it,

1	we
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I believe we had several
3	properties who have actually taken their driveways
4	away and created them into green space, and then,
5	of course, the parking got out into the roadway,
6	which increased the
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't think it addresses
8	that. I'll make a I'll review it.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that's something
10	that that is time to come.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. All right. Thank
12	you for all your time. Any comments?
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Now is everybody
14	comfortable with scheduling a public hearing on
15	either the parking or the curb cut?
16	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'll go with both.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the curb cut, he
18	has to add some language, don't you, Joe?
19	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Added to the
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'll add the one thing
21	about the
22	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: If you want to remove a
23	curb cut.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Let me take a look at it,
25	sorry.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, you had a question
2	about removing a curb cut. I mean, he could
3	clarify that language, but we could schedule the
4	public hearing
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: to try to get the public
7	hearing, try to get these taken care of, so we
8	could spend more time on the rental law and
9	everything.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. No, no, I just
11	want make yeah, but
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? So comfortable on
13	parking and on the curb cuts?
14	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Public those two public
17	hearings, we'll schedule them for next month.
18	Motion to do that. So to try to move those two.
19	They're simpler than the other one is more
20	complicated. Now we could try to get them off the
21	table. Okay.
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you, Joe
24	and Paul for working on that.
25	CLERK PIRILLO: I'm sorry to interrupt.

1	Being that the discussion has centered a little
2	bit around the LWRP, I wanted to call to your
3	attention as a group that we did receive one bid
4	for the LWRP consultant, and, therefore, it is our
5	recommendation that we should reject bids and go
6	out to rebid. If that's okay, we'll add that to
7	the agenda.
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That would be my
10	recommendation, too.
11	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you. Unless you'd
12	like to proceed with just the one?
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's going to take one more
14	month to do it. I would rather have more to
15	have a choice
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: than just be stuck with
18	one.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just want to get it
20	done. Okay.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can you see the credentials
22	of the person who submitted the bid?
23	CLERK PIRILLO: Sure.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: So you want a motion to

MR. VANDENBURGH: That's on the radar.

I'll

1	turn it up and get
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: You were working on getting
3	some information back to us in your recommendations.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let's just get it
5	MR. VANDENBURGH: Absolutely.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: We'll do that, and yes.
7	Yeah, we tabled that a year-and-a-half ago, so
8	it's time to get that finished up.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, it's yeah, let's
10	get it finished.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Anything
12	else, Joe?
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. Thank you, sir.
14	Thank you.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Trustee reports.
16	We'll start with Trustee Robins.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. Two things in my
18	report. First is the BID meeting, which took
19	place yesterday. We received the Treasurer's
20	report from Deborah Rivera, where she reviewed the
21	latest profit and loss statement.
22	She participated in a webinar about the FEMA
23	grant that the BID has applied for, help for
24	relief from COVID related expenses. And she said
25	that she's very close to completing the

application, which is great, she's worked very hard on it.

We had a discussion about Community Downtown Revitalization Grants and possible projects. We talked about the lighting project for the South Street parking lot. Well marked right-of-ways from the parking lot to Front Street. Possibly the bathroom renovation at Mitchell Park. And, also, thinking about locating a monument or statute near the Maritime Museum that celebrates the maritime history of the Village.

SMILE, which is the Media and Marketing
Department, it reported that social media activity
is very strong for this time of the season. A
suggestion was made to create a media kit for
businesses to improve their presence on the
website.

Bill Robins is working with Gail Barlow to explore advertising opportunities for local businesses. They will work together on creating a membership portal utilizing new software. He's going to begin working with Nancy Kouros on promotion for Greenport Eats and More Auction, their latest fundraiser.

The PRIDE Committee had a discussion about

the tree lights. A request has been made to Paul Pallas for a status update on the tree light connections pending. Discussion was about how long the lights should remain up during the season.

Stephanie Sack, one of our newer Board Members, suggested that the BID might look into year-round lights on the trees that change colors for specific holidays, such as -- such as pink lights for the Cherry Blossom Festival.

The Travel Show in New York City, which has been sponsored by the New York Times for a number of years, has a new sponsor, and it's now going to be held in October.

Upcoming events on the calendars are Saint Patrick's Day, the Egg Roll, which is an Easter event, the Cherry Blossom Festival, Mother's Day Weekend. And Deborah Rivera suggested that maybe they do -- that the BID do a 5K race during the Cherry Blossom Festival. That's a race that was cancelled during COVID, but they've been meaning to do that as a fundraiser.

She also suggested that at her hotel, cherry blossom T-shirts are very popular, so that might be another thing. People really, really wanted

cherry blossom T-shirts.

The Egg Roll would like to retain the magician that they had last year to entertain children in Mitchell Park, and Linda Kessler said it would cost 70 -- \$750. I believe that was approved by the group.

Their annual meeting is scheduled for June 2nd, and the venue will be the upstairs at Claudio's.

The SOBO Committee, Sidewalk Beautification, put forward by David Abatelli, is concerned that the high school may not be able to deliver on the planters this year. They were supposed to help build some new ones and repair. They're -- he said they're going to change the holiday plants by the end of March and put in daffodil plants until they could do the summer plantings for the seasonal plants.

The GATE Committee, Rich Vandenburgh is working on a revision of the bylaws. As you mentioned before, the noise ordinance, a discussion of the results of a sound study will take place probably next month.

They reviewed a letter about the parklets to the Trustees, and they -- we -- they said they're

looking for photos and content for presentations of the membership at the annual meeting, and the next meeting was scheduled for March 16th.

So my second item I wanted to report to you is about the Housing Task Force that I created, and I'm going to read this verbatim. I just want you to be aware that we have started our meetings.

I created a Housing Task Force to deal with the urgent need for affordable housing in the Village. The members of the Task Force are Patrick Brennan, Deborah Rivera, Dinni Gordon, Tom Spurge, Tricia Hammes, Greg Nissen and Nicki Gohorel. Each of these members bring valuable knowledge and experience to the group.

We are meeting twice a month via Zoom, and have covered a range of ideas that we -- that we continue -- that we continue to discuss in group emails. We are looking for ways to create a variety of solutions that will provide housing for individuals, young families, elderly, disabled, and the employees of local businesses. We are currently discussing the draft of the accessory apartment law that the Board of Trustees is working on.

I want to thank each of the Task Force

1	members for their commitment to the work on this
2	very important issue. Our hope is that we will
3	bring ideas to the Board that can that can
4	assist them in the creation of affordable housing
5	in our community.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Appreciate that. It's a
8	it's a wonderful group, and I think that the idea
9	is to work with the Board and to offer any help
10	that we can.
11	So good discussion tonight, very good
12	discussion on the accessory apartment law.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And I'll be reporting back
15	to everybody on that.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay? And I encourage
18	anybody to watch these meetings online so they
19	know what really took place.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's the best.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yep. All right. Trustee
25	Phillips.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Normally, the Southold
Transportation Commission doesn't really touch too
much on anything in the Village of Greenport, but
the meeting this afternoon brought up two
different topics. Many of the discussion points
at the Commission's meeting deals with roads,
traffic and parking within the Town of Southold.
Today there were two topics that include the
Village of Greenport for our sections of Route 25,
Main and Front Street.

North Fork Bike representative spoke at the Commission meeting Today. Alvin Schein, Molly Bentley and a Chris Shashkin, I think that's how you pronounce his name, presented three ideas for safe biking, increase the number of bike racks area townwide, and a marked bike route from Orient to downtown Village of Greenport on Route 48 and into Route 25.

We should be expecting letters to the Mayor and the Board of Trustees from this group outlining their proposals for our future discussion. Part of their goal -- one of the goals with the bike route from Orient to downtown Greenport is to expand the roadside, so that there's actually a bicycle path on the roads.

1	They they were they're a very
2	enthusiastic young group of organized an
3	organization. They're very into biking. They
4	discovered today that they would have to do
5	deal with two different municipalities on that,
6	they couldn't just deal with the Town of Southold.
7	So we should be getting Mayor should be getting
8	some type of letters from them with these
9	proposals for us to go through, that they're
10	hoping we'll recommend to the New York State DOT.
11	Okay? So that's number one.
12	The other thing is that there was a
13	discussion about the East End Transportation
14	Council and the first steps of restarting the
15	organization.
16	To go back to the this historical data,
17	historical information behind the East End Task
18	Council was that in 1996, the East End Supervisors
19	and Mayors Association created the East End
20	Transportation Council to work with the New York
21	State Metropolitan Transportation Council and its
22	member agencies in an effort to develop insight

into, and possible solutions to, the growing

Sustainable East End Development Strategies

transportation problems on the East End.

23

24

initiative was developed through a federally funded pilot.

The Council has been dormant for years, and Southold Town Planner, Leather Hanza -- Heather Lanza, she's a former member of the EETC, will be outlining a plan to try to generate interest to restart the group.

So, Mr. Mayor, I just wanted to make you aware of it. It probably will come up at the Supervisors and Mayors meeting at some point. But I do believe, Paul, don't we interact with the Metropolitan -- the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council? Because I know it does with the train, with Long -- with the Long Island Railroad.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, we get -- we get notices from them fairly frequently, but they're -- it's really the regional. So it's crowded with many, many different --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, what -- I attended some of these council meetings when they were in existence when I was on the Board of Directors for Cornell Cooperative Extension, and it was the network -- the networking possibilities that came out with all the different public transportation

entities brought together. But I just wanted to make you aware of it, Mayor. It may come up at the Supervisors and Mayors meeting to try to restart this group again, okay?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sponsor of.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And then the only other thing I had, because most of what I had has already been discussed, is I received a letter from Christopher -- email from Christopher Dalton. He is the gentleman that we tried to do the boater safety course through the Fire Department and it didn't quite pan out fast enough. So he has asked and reached out to me again, and I would like to help, with the Board's permission, to create -you know, to ask for the use of this room and get out to the world that this -- and have the boater safety course here earlier in the season, in maybe May or June, before the boating season starts, because not everybody is really aware that they have to have some type of safety course by 2025, regardless of what age. So I would just like to have permission to work on that with you through the Clerk's Office, if that's all right with you. MAYOR HUBBARD: That you want us to be the

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, want us to be the
2	sponsor this time.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, that's fine.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Not go through the Fire
5	Department.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yep. Just we'll have to
7	verify dates that the meeting room
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: is not being used. Let's
10	work on that. Do we need a resolution on that?
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: How about I send you the
12	email, because I just got it this afternoon. I
13	don't
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that the
16	resolution would need to be for this month. We
17	could do it for March, I think, and get our ducks
18	in a row as to the
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: So advertising and doing it
20	and everything else, I think.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: I think, if we're going
23	to if everybody's comfortable with it as being
24	sponsor on it, then why don't we do a resolution
25	for this month.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right, fine.
2	Thank you.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: To sponsor a boater safety
4	course, you know.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. You and I will
6	yeah, you and I will get together on it and
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
8	CLERK PIRILLO: And send me an email.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, I'll send you the
11	email.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. We can work on
13	the dates and everything else, just to get it in
14	the works that we are sponsoring one, so the
15	public knows, and we'll you know, we can codify
16	the dates at a later point. But this way, at
17	least we have it and we're saying, you know,
18	Village space, Village sponsored and
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. I would like to
20	get out.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Registration would go
22	through the Clerk's Office, then.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I I'm not sure how it
24	goes through for them.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, through them, or

1	whatever, but
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I think
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, they were trying to
4	not picking on the Fire Department, but they tried
5	to get the Fire Department to do it, and there's
6	so much else going on with the Fire Department, to
7	actually sponsor the thing and get it going
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It didn't work.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: and a sign-up list, it
10	wasn't working that way.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. So I'd rather do
12	it
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's why I'm saying, if
14	we run it through as a Village-sponsored thing
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: through the Clerk's
17	Office, if they could do the registration, let the
18	Clerk know and work it all out with the
19	Administrator, then let's we could give it as
20	us doing it.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The only thing that I
22	would like to hope that we would be able to do,
23	and I don't think this is a resolution, is that we
24	would be able to get a fair amount of youth in
25	here to take the course. And perhaps some who

1	can't pay the fee, we might find some funding to
2	pay for the registration fee, but that's something
3	I could talk to you about.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, yeah.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, okay.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Like a scholarship for it,
7	something, that somebody really needs it, or
8	whatever, you know, that's
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: We could talk to Robert
11	about that.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: (Laughter) I was going
13	to talk to a few other people in the boating
14	community.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Other than that,
17	that's all there is for tonight.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Trustee
19	Martilotta?
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure. So two quick
21	things, Mr. Mayor, which we spoke about, myself
22	and the other Trustees. When I spoke to Trustee
23	Clarke, Trustee Phillips, I believe, Trustee
24	Clarke spoke to Trustee Robins, just to try and
25	streamline some of our concerns as Trustees. We

each bring up like different things each month.

It kind of gives a push and pull that might not be entirely fair to the staff as we're answering questions and we're going in a number of different directions. So we said we would try and come up with two to three different things, get them to the Mayor, so that we kind of focus our attention

and move things in that direction.

We haven't gotten -- this was a great idea that we came up with about two of them. So we haven't had -- put it all together yet, but we will have some stuff together for next month to give to you to try to make it -- get a little more efficient and use the staff. You know, the staff is excellent, but if were pulling them in a hundred directions, it makes it tough.

One of the things that I'm going to put on that I brought up in the past, and I think we're finally figuring out how to do. For all those on the Board here for the last, I don't know however many years, we've made like, I think, incredible strides in the infrastructure of the Village. You know, the sewer plant and electric plant didn't work. We've really fixed a ton of stuff and it's really great. And I think that we're at a point

now where we could start investing in some of those extra things that make the Village, you know, something really neat.

I have to come up with a list. I'm looking for input from whoever, but some things that jump to mind, the fountain on Adams Street, misters, the Jail, Moore's drain, Moore's -- the trails through Moore's Woods. We came up with a half a dozen different things. If anybody has any other idea, please let me know.

This would be something that would be longer term, perhaps make something -- we wouldn't be able do it for this budget, but perhaps for the next, to set up some sort of capital type line item in the budget, something that we could chip away on each year. Because, in the same way that a lot of the infrastructure from the Village had suffered for a number of years, I can't imagine when the last time a coat of paint went on that fountain on Adams Street. You know, it's probably been -- there was probably horses drinking from it, probably a horse fountain, so --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think you also can bring up the monument --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Oh, no, there's --

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On Steamboat Corner
2	there.
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely. I mean,
4	there's tons, right, there's tons. But, I mean, I
5	think that's the idea, is to come up with a list,
6	come up with a schedule, come up with some rough
7	pricing, come up with a line in the budget. Like
8	this will take an extended period of time to do,
9	but I think it's I think it's the natural
10	progression. You know, I think we've reached a
11	place where a lot of things are starting to work
12	well, and, you know, another challenge. What
13	else, what are we going to fix next?
14	So, if anybody has any ideas, any ideas with
15	that, please email me. Again, there's there's
16	quite a few things that I think we could come up
17	with throughout the Village, but I think it's time
18	for us to start working on those.
19	That's all I had, Mr. Mayor.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
21	Trustee Clarke.
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you. At your request
23	I began, as the Liaison from the Village Board to
24	the Friends of Mitchell Park, with my first
25	meeting on February 7th. We had listened mostly

to them, and learned about the organization and their charter. And they discussed some of the ideas that they're working on.

They're interested in any ideas that I would have to help them bring their vision forward. In an uncharacteristic fashion, I didn't share any. I listened instead of talking. We will meet monthly.

I did want to specifically ask the Trustees and the Mayor if there are ideas or things that you'd like to see Friends of Mitchell Park doing that you want me to bring or try an advocate for in those meetings, to let me know. And I also wanted to speak to the public who attends and watches these meetings. If there's any ideas that you'd like to see me bring forward to Friends of Mitchell Park in ways to use, or promote, or subsidize events in the park, or anything that they could do in their charter, I'm all ears. I'm easy to reach and I'd be happy to bring it forward. I'm sure that in future meetings, I'll have more information, but it was a good first meeting for a start.

I put forth -- I had a meeting with two
members of the North Fork Dark Skies Coalition who

had reached out to me to advocate for Greenport adopting Dark Sky practices and the potential to adopt these practices in our LWRP. And of particular interest was specific measures that are important to boaters and mariners, so that they are not confused in the entrance and existing of our Stirling Harbor and main Greenport Harbor in any lighting that would help -- that would obscure their navigational abilities at night.

So, interestingly enough, I see in the minutes from the HPC that they are looking to roll dark skies ideas into their recommendations for applicants for any work in the Historic District, and also, interestingly enough, the idea that the LWRP could house this recommendation. And lastly, the good news that our existing plans, the Administrator informs me, to move to LED street lights would automatically be Dark Skies compliant. So that is a plus.

The only other thing that it would potentially -- both in reading the HPC ideas, holiday lighting specifically is mentioned, and holiday lighting is also specifically mentioned in the Dark Skies recommendations, and that would mean the day before Thanksgiving to January 6th,

not for many months. So that's something of concern for those who want to provide more lighting more frequently in the Business District. It would be something to be considered, and we'd have to advocate for what we thought was right for our Village. But that's my report on that.

We have kicked off our planning for Dances in the Park 2022. Some additional new ideas are to ramp up our social media work on that. The amount of people that joined that Facebook page, because I'm the administrator, though I don't do anything, I get notified of every person who likes the page and follows the page, and it's a popular page. We've grown by 500 or 1,000 people over the two years that I've been working on this. So, with a little bit of additional purposeful work, I think we can really make an impact.

We plan to benefit from the experiment we did last year with the student musicians, and hope to expand that with the cooperation of the music program at the Greenport School, and continue to present a short program in advance of the headlining band. There'll be more details to come. We'll be working diligently on this in March and April, with the full program coming to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fruition, I'm sure, before the summer season kicks off, so we can get all of our marketing and printed materials put together for the program.

So I'm excited about that, to have a full year of Dances in the Park for the first time in a couple of years.

Lastly, I participated in the most recent meeting of the Skate Park Committee. As you know, we approved in the December meeting the public assembly permit for the Skate Park Festival in August. And the committee is now beginning to meet in earnest to plan for that festival, and I can report that there are a lot of very exciting and big initiatives that they are working on that -- more to come. But a lot of coordination for intermittent fundraising to try and not only make repairs, but to raise funds and look for grants that would eventually bring the skate park not only back to where it was when it was constructed and conceived, but beyond that, into a facility that would go into the future with state-of-the-art features for the participants.

So I'll be working closely with the

Administrator and Clerk and the committee to make

sure that anything that needs the input from the

1	Board of Trustees or any approvals is brought
2	forward in a timely basis, and that you're all
3	included in any decisions moving forward.
4	I think that's it. Thank you very much.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay. I
6	just had two things just as an update.
7	When we start doing the drainage work at the
8	wastewater treatment plant, we're going to take
9	another look at the Fourth Street sump. I was
10	sent pictures about that this past week. Our guys
11	went down and pumped it down today, until our
12	pump, some type of frog or something, had cut out.
13	(Laughter)
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: So it didn't get all the way
15	down, but it was only about four inches. They're
16	going to come up and do some more borings in
17	there, try to get it to drain better.
18	One thought I did have is adding a foot of
19	sand to it, so that could absorb it, keep the
20	water level not as deep as it is. They're saying
21	it's four feet deep. It's really only about 29
22	inches. They measured it today. It's at 2 1/2
23	feet, it's not four feet, but that's that's all
24	immaterial.
25	But, still, the sand, more sand on the top

around the rocks will help that not be as deep and not as much of a hazard, and we are going to bore that. There's probably a second layer of clay or something else underneath there. We're going to have to go down deeper, but when the machinery is here. If it works, if the machinery they have there, I talked to Paul about that, if that doesn't work, then we'll get a different, a bigger piece of machinery, whatever we need to do.

But just so the public knows, we are addressing that. We really don't want to put a fence around it, because people are not going to like to look at a fence, and it's going to look like a sump, like you see down 48 and everything else, it's going to be ugly. But we want to make sure that we take care of the safety concerns, so.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Also, will it be -- and I know that sometimes the overflow, it's been -- make -- the waters been making its way out and down the steps and into the beach. I don't know if you've noticed that. That was a couple of -- couple of times where it's actually breaking through. You know, it's been high enough where it's been breaking through and causing a river going down towards the beach.

That's springtime.

MAYOR HUBBARD:

and --

the -- he sent the letter. They really want us to

1	have more of a discussion next week, everybody
2	read through everything that's there. I'm just
3	mentioning that we did get it, and I thought you
4	wanted to talk about, but that's fine
5	MR. VANDENBURGH: No, I don't want
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. It's just it's on
7	everybody's radar. Read the letters and we'll
8	talk about it at next month's meeting.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, sir.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? All right. That's
11	all I had, besides the parade is Saturday,
12	1 o'clock, starting right at Spano's, Knapp
13	Street, Spano's. Dignitaries can meet at Spano's,
14	instead of going to Knapp Place, to get dropped
15	off there.
16	They're working all the noise tonight was
17	doing truck cleanup and chowder. They're doing
18	the same amount of chowder, actually a little bit
19	more. We don't know how big the crowd's going to
20	be, but they're normal batch of chowder and the
21	500 hotdogs. So come on down and have some fun.
22	We're not sure. There's the other day, there
23	was 23 Departments coming. We don't know how many
24	people. Because of COVID, some people still
25	aren't coming out. We're going to see, but we're

1	going back to normal and having the parade.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Let's do it.
3	MR. VANDENBURGH: Mr. Mayor, can I just say
4	one thing about the ferry? Just the BID
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, come on up, just so
6	Lucia, so she gets
7	CLERK PIRILLO: I think public to address
8	the Board.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, that's what
10	RICHARD VANDENBURGH: Just really quick, I
11	just wanted to say the BID's
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Your name.
13	RICHARD VANDENBURGH: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	Richard Vandenburgh, president of the Greenport
15	Village BID.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, that's fine. Just so
17	she gets it down in the minutes right, that's all.
18	RICHARD VANDENBURGH: I just wanted to
19	quickly say the BID supports the you know, the
20	ferry concept as well.
21	One thought that I had, which I don't know
22	necessarily solves the problem, but why not
23	require, which maybe has already been thought of,
24	an extremely significant insurance policy
25	indemnifying the Village, you know, 10 million,

1	15 million dollar policy to address any of the
2	concerns that might exist relative to damage to
3	mega-yachts, or incidents that occur, you know, in
4	the harbor area. You know, place the you know,
5	the hope is that the experience will be great,
6	nothing will happen and it will all be perfect.
7	But if something were, you certainly want to make
8	sure we're passing on any of that liability
9	exposure to the operator himself. So that's all I
10	wanted to say.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
12	RICHARD VANDENBURGH: I got to go. Thank you.
13	RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street.
14	Thank you so much, you're working so hard and
15	accomplishing so much, I really appreciate it.
16	I'm sure everybody does, even though they can't be
17	here.
18	The affordable housing, I had sent you guys
19	a draft a while back, and I was just curious. In
20	it, I was I can't remember it exactly, but
21	something like it would be available for EMT
22	workers, for for local workers, for residents,
23	senior, or whatever, or meeting income guidelines.
24	And I would just like to know. It seems
25	like there are plenty of housing units that say

1	this is a senior housing unit and it's not subject
2	to fair housing laws, it's open to everybody who's
3	that age, so and one of our critical concerns
4	would be having housing for EMTs, so we don't have
5	to pay, so our taxes don't go up, so we really
6	lose all the middle class here. So I wanted to
7	ask if that if there's any problem with adding
8	that legally, you know, either a you know,
9	renting it either to a family member, or, you
10	know, some other qualifications.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, I don't believe that
12	the fair housing market laws apply to everything.
13	That's what we had talked about before. So, I
14	mean, you can't say it can only be this person or
15	that person, it has to be an open market for it.
16	RANDY WADE: But no, but there are plenty
17	of cases where it's open to classes of people that
18	are non it's that you wouldn't be
19	discriminating, it would be like a wide variety of
20	people, but it would be aimed at solving the
21	problem that we have here.
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't think
23	discrimination is exempt, because you're
24	discriminating against a lot of people, I mean, a
25	wide varietv. I think it's

1	RANDY WADE: Well, we have like a lot of
2	senior housing
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I have to research the
4	RANDY WADE: where you have to be
5	over 55, or whatever.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The questions that were
7	raised tonight, I'm going I'm definitely going
8	to research and see what the answers is.
9	As far as residents, I mean, there are
10	excuse me. As far as family members, I know that
11	that I'm not sure that the Board the Board
12	hasn't mentioned that to me, so I'm not sure how
13	they feel about it. That's
14	RANDY WADE: Because like it does I
15	really like what you said, Mayor, about if they're
16	going to get some kind of zoning benefit, that it
17	should be to solve the affordable housing problem.
18	And I've seen this before. I think I took the
19	code that I recommended out of the American
20	Planning Association, the model code. I'll have
21	to look it up, too. But so you they would say
22	that you can either do it for a family member, or
23	it would have to meet certain criteria. It sounds
24	like
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I the read the I

1	read the the American Planning Association did
2	a report on this in 2018, and it's, approximately,
3	about a 40-page report, and I did study it
4	carefully, and it has some recommendations, but
5	none of that had come up in the discussion with
6	the Board.
7	RANDY WADE: Okay. But you will be looking
8	into the legality of what I just said?
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If the Board asks me to
10	look at a particular item, I'll look at it.
11	RANDY WADE: Do you think you could ask him
12	to look at that?
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: We had a discussion about
14	that before on what's what's allowed by the
15	Fair Market Housing Act. We will go by those
16	guidelines.
17	RANDY WADE: But you didn't ask him to look
18	it up, so he's not going to look it up, because he
19	hasn't been asked. Specifically, what the fair
20	housing
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The general concept of
22	limit excuse me, I'm sorry.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, it's a law that's out
24	there.
25	RANDY WADE: Absolutely.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: They had a whole big article
2	in Newsday about it and everything else with
3	people violating it.
4	RANDY WADE: Absolutely.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: So we have to go by that.
6	Whatever we write as a code
7	RANDY WADE: Right.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: we have to follow the
9	Fair Housing Market Act.
10	RANDY WADE: Absolutely.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
12	RANDY WADE: Absolutely. I'm not saying we
13	shouldn't. I'm saying we don't know yet what can
14	be allowed within that, just like there are senior
15	housing projects that are considered legal for
16	Fair Housing Act. What are the other things? Can
17	it be EMT workers that are serving the Village?
18	Can it be relatives? I'm just asking the
19	question, and he said
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe the conversation
21	before was saying you had to live in the Village
22	for two years and do that, and we all had the
23	general consensus, we don't feel that that is
24	legal.
25	RANDY WADE: I agree with you. I agree with

1	you, but that
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: So what do you want, Randy?
3	RANDY WADE: Other criteria. I'm asking
4	about other criteria. First, the Lawyer said he'd
5	look into it. I'm sorry, by by a third person.
6	But now he's saying that you as a Board haven't
7	asked him to, so he can't.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Randy.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody can read the Fair
10	Housing Law, it's there. You can read it. I
11	mean, I can read it.
12	RANDY WADE: It's really court precedent.
13	You would be looking to see what kinds of things
14	have held up and withstood court actions.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Randy.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Randy, are you are you
18	looking okay. You mentioned EMTs and
19	firefighters and are you asking if that's in
20	the Fair Housing Law, is that what you're asking?
21	Because you're kind of very you're kind of I
22	can understand the Mayor's confusion, because I
23	think you're not very clear as to what you're
24	trying to say.
25	RANDY WADE: Not with the okay, sure.

1	I'd like to know what categories you could
2	specify, just like you can specify over 55 years
3	old. Can you specify relatives? Can you
4	specify which I know you can. Can you specify
5	EMT, service workers, essential workers, teachers,
6	hospital? I don't know, and the lawyer has just
7	said he doesn't know.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So, when no, I didn't
9	say that. I didn't say that, no. I said I would
10	confirm it. So the answer I am familiar with
11	the act, I deal with it all the time. I wanted to
12	confirm specific to some questions that came up
13	tonight. When the law's discussed at the public
14	hearing, I'll be able to answer whatever questions
15	the Board has, and some of which may include the
16	questions that you've had tonight.
17	RANDY WADE: That would be great. Thank you.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: But or may not. You
19	know, it's up to the Board.
20	RANDY WADE: Okay. I'm sorry, I
21	misunderstood you. I thought you were waiting for
22	more specific direction to look it up. Thank you
23	very much.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. What you're talking
25	about, saying this has to be done for that, what

1	if I want to do one for my mother? She's not an
2	EMT, not an essential worker, but I want to have
3	her in one of these accessory apartments.
4	RANDY WADE: That's why I said relatives,
5	relatives would be allowed.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. But
7	RANDY WADE: Absolutely.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: You're going to make a law
9	that's going to be 100 pages long.
10	RANDY WADE: No, no, it was I gave
11	you
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, essential workers,
13	teachers, doctors, EMTs, relatives, family. I
14	mean, that's that's not a workable document, in
15	my opinion.
16	RANDY WADE: I'll forward you again what I
17	sent before.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Can I just say one thing?
20	I do take a lot of fair housing classes required
21	on it, I just took one recently.
22	RANDY WADE: Uh-huh.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So so it has to do with
24	protected classes and discrimination against them.
25	It also has to do with advertising. I think if

1	you were going to build an apartment for your
2	mother and you weren't going to advertise it and
3	market it, the fair housing wouldn't necessarily
4	apply in that situation, whereas if you were going
5	to say, you know, I have an apartment for rent,
6	you can't discriminate at that point
7	RANDY WADE: I know.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: against any of the
9	protected classes, and one of them is familial
10	status, okay? So but in terms of the
11	employment and the occupations of the occupants, I
12	don't know if that specifically is a fair housing
13	violation or not, but I will check. And there are
14	several levels of this, because you have the
15	Federal, you have the State, you have even New
16	York City has their own. But I believe that,
17	certainly, all the State guidelines, you know
18	you know, overrule anything that would be done on
19	a more local level, okay? But if you would like
20	to see it, I can, you know, get all of those to
21	you and have
22	RANDY WADE: That would be great.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
24	RANDY WADE: So in talking about also
25	Waterfront Commercial, I totally agree with you,

Mary Bess, that it should be very carefully done, and not be for -- and it shouldn't be in Waterfront Commercial, accessory dwelling units, or any kind of housing, and that that code, just you need a map change. All you have to do is just say that those on Front Street that have apartments in them now are considered commercial, they're rezoned commercial. Just rezone them. They don't have to be Waterfront Commercial if they don't have, you know, viable waterfront to be using for a waterfront use. Taxes, I was actually talking to someone in

Taxes, I was actually talking to someone in East Hampton, and their assessors over there -- let me just back up. A friend of mine got a building permit. She wanted to do the right thing, so she got a building permit to put in a fence, and her taxes doubled, because the assessors, traditionally, if you get a building permit, they reassess your property and bring it up to market rate. Well, what they do in East Hampton is they only add the assessed value of the improvement. And in order to get their accessory dwelling unit passed, they actually came up with a calculation that could provide voters, that it would show it would be about 700 to \$1,000 added

onto their annual taxes if they built the accessory unit to the code, and that was very reassuring.

So, again, I'm suggesting a conversation with Southold Assessors. They are -- I don't know if they report to the Town Board, since they're elected separately. I don't know, yeah, but just something to think about.

And then the other thing is I'd be tempted to get rid of hotels in Commercial and Waterfront Commercial, except for where they are mapped right now, because yes, I agree, you could maybe in the future want to put three stories and have two floors of apartments, just like on lower Main Street, those old -- the old three-story building there that has the ground floor commercial has got two floors of apartments above. But right now -- and American Beech made hotel rooms out of their apartments that were year-round rentals. There's like a big potential vulnerability of turning everything into hotels, and then that's really something to go up against.

So -- and I agree with what your concern is.

I think that you don't have to specify how big or small or anything for apartments above retail.

You just say apartments above retail, and you may want to, you know, consider allowing three stories there in -- you know, but look at it carefully.

So there is a lot to consider. But you could go forward with your R-1 and R-2, just get rid of the -- don't deal with Commercial Retail and Waterfront Commercial until these other issues get resolved.

And parking, if you go -- like I -- I had only started, recently started reading parking articles, about how some towns and villages are actually doing what you guys did 11 years ago and getting rid of the minimum, a parking minimum. If a developer wants to put parking in, then you let them build whatever seems economically viable. But you don't require in a -- in a retail downtown, because you don't want parking lots, and you don't want anything torn down, you know, to make for a parking lot.

So I'm just looking into the future. We have gotten a lot of restaurant, nightclub activity, and if you want to control that, I would rather see some kind of rational maximum on the number of patrons, or something like that, rather than dealing with parking, you know, cutting it

down to parking. Because what we saw recently is if the Planning Board approves paying in lieu of parking for so many, the applicant can go to the ZBA and get -- pay for nothing, you know, pay for -- get relief for everything in parking.

So -- so I'd rather see, if you're going to -- you don't have enough space for parking, pay for it, no matter how many spaces, and I'd add that to the residential area. If you're not going to provide your parking on your lot, just pay into the fund and let the fund do something constructive for the Village, either having another parking lot off somewhere, or a Jitney, or whatever bicycle infrastructure, whatever it might be.

Oh, and you asked what I'd like to see in Mitchell Park. When I did my retirement trip to Japan, at Cherry Blossom Festival, people would bring their blankets out to the park and have picnics. And people would get so excited, that they would have somebody go to the park early for them to put the blanket down, and it was this huge community thing. There were lights going up into the cherry blossom trees, and I don't know if there are even enough around where Mitchell Park

1 is, but it was a lovely ceremony. 2 And the other thing is, I've been dying to 3 go to a cocktail party in the Harbor Master's 4 building on that deck on a beautiful summer day, looking out at the marina. Some kind of 5 6 fundraiser for any of those things, I think that 7 would be really fun. 8 So thanks for your time. 9 MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. RANDY WADE: Oh, one last thing. 10 I'm almost So the day after the snowstorm, on Sunday 11 12 morning at 11 a.m., my husband had moved the car 13 onto the street, and I was watching church on 14 So when I went down to do my shoveling, the car had a ticket on it, and it was obviously not 15 16 snowed in. We'd obviously had it somewhere else. 17 So I thought this is funny. 18 So I called the Town, I asked to speak to Paul, I spoke to Sylvia, and she said I was the 19 20 only one who was confused about that. 21 thought, well, that's weird. So then I FOILed and 22 asked to -- I was hoping to get the names of other -- I assumed many other people got tickets, 23 24 and I wanted to help them, because I read the

code, and the code said you can't be on the street

1	until after the snow has finished falling and the
2	snows are plowed. Well, the sun was out and the
3	street was plowed. In fact, while I was
4	shoveling, the guy went by with the plow, dragging
5	it, ruining the asphalt as he went, even farther.
6	So like there I would highly recommend that you
7	tell the plows to lift up when they finished
8	shoveling, so that they don't continue to ruin the
9	streets.
10	So, at any rate, I was told that I couldn't
11	get that information, that it was confidential or
12	related to a lawsuit. But I looked and the code
13	violations that were dealt with in the monthly
14	report, there was only one related to snow and it
15	was for a sidewalk on Front Street. And so I
16	think you're underestimating the amount of work
17	the Code Enforcement Officer did, because he
18	certainly did a \$100 ticket on Sixth Street. So
19	is that really something you can't FOIL for, the
20	number of tickets that had been given out after
21	9 a.m. on that Sunday?
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So whatever, whatever your
23	request was is not subject is exempt from FOIL.

FOIL? What does that mean?

RANDY WADE: What I requested is exempt from

24

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's not subject to FOIL,
2	you can't FOIL it.
3	RANDY WADE: I cannot FOIL.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
5	RANDY WADE: But why wouldn't the monthly
6	report show how many code violations there were
7	for snow?
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't know, I don't I
9	can't answer that question, I'm sorry. You're
10	asking me and I can't.
11	RANDY WADE: Anyway, odd, I find it all odd.
12	But I like going to Traffic Court, I learn so much
13	always, but it does seem silly that that is the
14	policy to were you aware of that?
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: I heard that you had gotten
16	a ticket, yes.
17	RANDY WADE: Okay.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Because you had sent an
19	email to us all, so.
20	RANDY WADE: Oh, you did. I didn't know who
21	I sent it to. Thank you.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, you did. But if
23	there's
24	RANDY WADE: 01d memory.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: If the car was completely

1	clear of snow, there was no snow on your car or
2	anything, it was parked out on the street.
3	RANDY WADE: There was snow on the tap of
4	the car, because we hadn't cleaned it up, but
5	there was nothing around it. The whole groove of
6	the side of the road, it was clear. The snow plow
7	had cleaned up by the road and it's
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm asking about your car,
9	not about that. Your car, the snow was cleared
10	off your car?
11	RANDY WADE: No. We hadn't gotten
12	cleaned the car yet, just enough to get it out
13	onto the street, then I was
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's probably why he
15	saw a snow-covered car on the street, so he
16	probably thought it had been there.
17	RANDY WADE: But there was no snow around it
18	or anything around.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, I wasn't down
20	there, Randy, to see it.
21	RANDY WADE: Yeah.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: If a car's out on the
23	street, and if you said the plow went by when he
24	saw this, and they're still plowing the roads,
25	which means they weren't done. So by code, it

1	says until they're finished plowing, if they went
2	by your car when it was out there with covered
3	with snow, then that's probably why he wrote the
4	ticket.
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The court, court's next
6	week and this is being recorded.
7	RANDY WADE: I'm so looking forward to it.
8	Thank you. Okay.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
10	RANDY WADE: We'll see each other then.
11	JOHN SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.
12	I'm going to make a comment, that I think the Code
13	Enforcement Officer did an excellent job. I
14	think I did he did exactly what you guys are
15	paying him to do.
16	I read on social media, there was 80
17	comments on one website about about how this
18	guy and this guy and our Code Enforcement
19	Officer and the Village were like vilified by
20	these people.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
22	JOHN SALADINO: And I thought it was unfair.
23	I thought the guy did exactly if he didn't do
24	what he was supposed to do, you guys would
25	probably fire him. I mean, this guy you know,

I understand, nobody wants -- nobody wants -- nobody wants a ticket, but sometimes you just have to kind of like read the book and follow the rules and let the guy -- it was suggested that he should warn people.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Nobody read that code.

JOHN SALADINO: I think that -- I think that's ridiculous. I think that's ridiculous that if you drive down the street, you have to knock on 10 people's doors to find out if they're home, if they're not home, if you can move your car or shovel your sidewalk.

So I wasn't going to bring that up, but since Randy brought it up, I thought I would. So I think -- I think the Code Enforcement Officer's doing his job, he's doing a good job. I think people would be angrier if -- I know I would be angry if I shoveled my sidewalk and my neighbor didn't, and I went outside and I slipped and fell on my neighbor's sidewalk. Who should I be angry at? Should I be angry at my neighbor? Of course I should. Should I be angry at the Code Enforcement Officer for not writing the ticket? I would be, I would be. So tell him I think he's -- tell him Saladino thinks he's doing a good job.

portion of the code that you would change that

1	says you're only allowed one dwelling unit on the
2	property.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
4	JOHN SALADINO: So you would have to change
5	that.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
7	JOHN SALADINO: You would have to change
8	I heard that you're going to allow affordable
9	an accessory apartment in the R-1. That's not a
10	permitted use in the R-1, you're going to have to
11	change that. I heard there's a lot of things I
12	heard, but the stuff that stuck was, well, we'll
13	just raise the building, maybe we'll put a third
14	floor. You're going to have to change that
15	portion of the code.
16	In the law in the Commercial Retail,
17	there was an interpretation by the Zoning Board
18	that there is no multiple dwellings in the in
19	the Commercial Retail, so you would have to change
20	that portion of the code to add three, put three
21	apartments.
22	In the Waterfront, you said you were going
23	to put affordable, affordable apartments in
24	Waterfront Commercial. That gets me crazy,
25	because the Waterfront Commercial is the fire

1	stop. That's the fire that's the four acres at
2	Steve Clarke's, and the 2 1/2 acres at Claudio's,
3	if he doesn't want to be in the business anymore,
4	or when Steve Clarke's up, from being
5	condominiums. There's no residential component to
6	the Waterfront Commercial. If you put if you
7	put accessory apartments there, that goes out the
8	window. Now, now there is no more Waterfront
9	Commercial, or there isn't a accessory there
10	isn't a residential use in the Waterfront
11	Commercial, now you have it. Instead of a
12	shipyard or a restaurant on the water, you have
13	condominiums.
14	So I would be cautious. I mean, I wouldn't,
15	I wouldn't rush into this. A previous Village
16	official accused me of being opposed to affordable
17	housing and I'm not. I'm in I'm absolutely
18	opposed to increasing density without appropriate
19	accessory use. You could build as many houses as
20	you want, as long as there's an accessory use
21	parking, loading to go along with it.

Just recently, this Board discussed getting rid of a portion of our code that said the businesses downtown, since 1990, they don't have -- they're exempt from off-street parking.

22

23

24

You guys brought that up. I thought it was a done deal, I thought you were going to vote on it. But now, what you're saying is we can add four apartments above the Gusmar building, say, or pick a different building, I don't know, and put four apartments in there.

A previous Building Inspector did an audit when there was a subdivision in front of a -- in front of the Zoning Board of a particular house, and it was a two-family house, and it was a three-bedroom over a three-bedroom, and there was three families living in each apartment, all related by blood, it was totally legal. My point is each one of them owned a car. So the upstairs apartment had six cars, the downstairs apartment had six cars by right, legally. What do you do when you put four apartments over the Gusmar building? I mean, I can't imagine that, I can't imagine that happening.

So I would be -- I understand Julia is -- she's anxious to get this rolling and stuff, but you can't throw the baby out with the bath water. You gotta -- you gotta kind of look at the big picture. You know, you can't -- you can't be hyper-focused on I got to get this kid into an

apartment and let him live here and join the Fire

Department. That's a noble effort, but you got to

look at the bigger picture.

So unless you're prepared to throw the code book out the window, which it kind of sounds like you might be, I don't know. But I'm kind of thinking it's working, maybe not to everybody's satisfaction, but I'm kind of thinking it's working, but -- and I don't even understand why you would consider, unless you change the zone, unless it's not R-1 anymore, now it becomes R-2, the whole thing. It's R-1, it's like a one-family house. How could you even consider -- I'm sorry -- putting a second apartment there? Just change it to the R-2.

I don't understand. It seems like we're kind of getting into this and -- and we're like diagnosing like, well, this doesn't work, so we'll try this, this doesn't work, we'll try this. And maybe that's good over the long term, but over the short term, that doesn't work.

So I -- and I could name five more code chapters that -- what the Attorney spoke about tonight, that you would have to revise. So if you're talking about one chapter of the code or --

1	yeah, okay, that makes it easy, you change a few
2	words and you do this. But if you're if you're
3	talking about doing that and it affects 22
4	different other things, then then you should
5	just rewrite the whole code.
6	Okay. Thanks. Thanks for listening.
7	WILLIAM SWISKEY: William Swiskey 184 Fifth
8	Street, Greenport, New York.
9	You were discussing before the Sandy Beach
10	sewer, right?
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
12	WILLIAM SWISKEY: I understood. So there
13	were two separate contracts that were discussed?
14	One was with the Sandy Beach Property Owners
15	Association, the other one with Safe Harbor, or
16	whatever, LLC, it's trying to hide under right
17	now, which makes me a little bit suspicious about
18	the whole thing. But, anyway, what do these
19	contracts entail? Are they agreeing to pay the
20	Village for the sewer, or
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
22	WILLIAM SWISKEY: So what does each
23	contract do you have a total cost?
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, it was 15,000, is the
25	total cost. They're making a down payment when

1	they sign the contract. When the construction is
2	half done, they're paying another payment. When
3	it's finally connected, they make the last one.
4	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Do we have any idea what
5	the total cost is going to be?
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Until we get to the design
7	part, no, we don't know what the overall cost is
8	going to be yet.
9	WILLIAM SWISKEY: So they could basically
10	say this is too much and walk away, right?
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. They're signing a
13	contract with their commitment. It's going to be
14	15,000 per household for the 24 households.
15	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Yeah, all right. That's
16	for Sandy Beach. That's the maximum they're going
17	to pay, then, 15,000?
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.
19	WILLIAM SWISKEY: All right. So now what
20	about Safe Harbor, what are they going to pay?
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: We don't have a price on
22	that yet. I mean, Paul has been working with them
23	with prices. It's in a range. It may not cover
24	the full cost. We may have use some of our money
25	to cover whatever might be incidental on it. But

1	they're doing the easement and running the pump
2	down their property and connecting the pipes to
3	the edge of their property. Then the rest would
4	be on
5	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Now, I don't know how this
6	sewer is perhaps Paul could explain. Is this
7	sewer going to run all the way up the street and
8	up Manhanset to the Village, or is it going to go
9	across the creek?
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's going to go across the
11	creek. It's coming through Stirling Harbor
12	property, Manhanset through Stirling Harbor
13	property, connected to the pump station on Manor
14	Place.
15	WILLIAM SWISKEY: All right. That makes
16	more sense, yes.
17	There's one other thing I want to ask about.
18	Now, when I asked months ago about that drain on
19	Fourth Street, that it really wasn't working, I
20	was told that it was perfect, that I didn't know
21	what I was talking about. Well, it seems to me
22	now the Village is acknowledging it's got a big
23	problem. Are you considering going after the
24	engineers who designed it?
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: We haven't at this point.

It's just they went through, they did the borings, went through a layer of clay, and it still is not draining as designed. I mean, it was -- we had a design by engineers and they were approved by the County, who gave us a grant, and the County engineers approved the design of all three of them, so -- and the County is the one who gave the money for it, so.

WILLIAM SWISKEY: Well, it seems to me like somebody didn't really do much of a -- it's like the one on Fifth Street that doesn't work, you realize that. The water, it's about that high from the top of the grade, and every time it rains, what happens is that little bit of area that's there, that fills up, then the rest of the water comes out the drain by the pipe that goes overboard. So you're not preventing any runover. You know, you're not preventing any pollution from going into the pay there. I think you should consult your engineer on that one and why that was so poorly designed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's a different engineer, but that's a different project, I guess.

WILLIAM SWISKEY: Well, I think the Village needs to -- these two projects have gone terribly,

1	horribly wrong, and we spent a lot of money,
2	probably close to the neighborhood of a million
3	dollars, right?
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: The two no. The one on
5	Fifth Street was coming through the PSE&G work
6	that was done down there, and they took care of
7	that. The one on Fourth Street was done with a
8	County grant, and I think the County grant was
9	290, around just under \$300,000 for the three road
10	ends.
11	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Well, that isn't what I
12	remember seeing in the voucher summary report,
13	what we paid these people, and it was a lot of
14	money. You know, I could be wrong about that
15	and
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: I just know what we paid out
17	and what we got reimbursed from the County for.
18	TREASURER BRANDT: It was 50-50. We got
19	WILLIAM SWISKEY: But what was our 50
20	amount, if you don't mind?
21	TREASURER BRANDT: Two
22	CLERK PIRILLO: 287, about 287.
23	WILLIAM SWISKEY: 287. All right. Thank
24	you. So that's a quarter of a million dollars.
25	And now there's one other thing that I'm

Bobby.

TREASURER BRANDT: Okay.

WILLIAM SWISKEY: Have a good day, people.

23

24

	Work Session 2/17/22	170
1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Anybody	
2	else wish to address the Board?	
3	(No Response)	
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'll offer a motion	
5	to adjourn the meeting at 9:48.	
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.	
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?	
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.	
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.	
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.	
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.	
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.	
13	Opposed?	
14	(No Response)	
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.	
16	(The meeting was adjourned at 9:48 p.m.)	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Work Session 2/17/22	171
1	CERTIFICATION	
2	CERTITICATION	
	CTATE OF NEW YORK \	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
4) SS:	
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)	
6		
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and	
8	Notary Public for and within the State of New	
9	York, do hereby certify:	
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a	
11	true and correct transcription of the proceedings	
12	taken on February 17, 2022.	
13	I further certify that I am not related to	
14	any of the parties to this action by blood or	
15	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in	
16	the outcome of this matter.	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
18	hand this 2nd day of March, 2022.	
19		
20	Lucia Braaton	
21	Lucia Braaten	
22		
23		
24		
25		