	Work Session 1/20/22	2
1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 7 p.m.)	
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Call the meeting to order.	
3	Pledge to the Flag.	
4	(All Stood for the Pledge of Allegiance)	
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. All right.	
6	Before we get started, I just want to say Happy	
7	Birthday to Trustee Phillips.	
8	(Applause)	
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Happy Birthday to you.	
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Happy Birthday.	
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thank you very much. I	
12	almost got away without anything.	
13	(Laughter)	
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, well.	
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thank you very much, I	
16	appreciate it.	
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm not on Facebook, but	
18	it's I saw it on Facebook, too.	
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.	
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Facebook reminds you of	
21	everything.	
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, it does.	
23	(Laughter)	
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. We'll	
25	start with the Fire Department report. Chief	

1	Wayde Manwaring is here. We got a big podium for
2	you and everything now.
3	CHIEF MANWARING: Thanks.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
5	CHIEF MANWARING: Nobody could sign in, they
6	had to use the floor this morning.
7	(Laughter)
8	CHIEF MANWARING: Thanks. I hope you got
9	all our reports. Last night Wardens, they
10	accepted the application for Richard Vandenburgh
11	to Standard Hose. I'll give it to Sylvia on the
12	way out.
13	I also have last month, you asked me for
14	the actual in-writing for the parade. We're
15	having it on the 19th.
16	The Board of Wardens also accepted and
17	wanted you to go out to bid, I guess it is, or
18	for an RFP, which I'll give to Sylvia, for an
19	engineer to for the design of ADA bathrooms and
20	the back building for storage for the Rescue Squad
21	and stuff like that.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
23	CHIEF MANWARING: I guess Robert mentioned
24	that we have to go out to bid now on it, so the
25	Board approved it last night.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No? Okay. Thank you very much.

25

	Work Session 1/20/22 5
1	CHIEF MANWARING: Thank you.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you.
3	CHIEF MANWARING: See you later.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Village Administrator,
5	Mr. Pallas.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I'm over here.
7	(Laughter)
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: They got to put a window in
9	there.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Get a glass podium.
11	CLERK PIRILLO: Sit up straight.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I am. "Stand up." I am.
13	(Laughter)
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Good evening,
15	everybody. Thank you. I'm going to start with
16	the resolutions, first one being an additional
17	resolution. We had intended, when we did the
18	rate, the rate change last month, to include
19	modification to the cancellation policy as
20	primarily for the larger vessels that come in.
21	The way it's going to be structured is for larger
22	than 70 feet, it will be a full refund when
23	cancelled prior to 60 days, half when it's
24	cancelled prior to 30, and then no refund when
25	it's cancelled within 30 days.

1	We don't get a lot of requests for
2	cancellations, but when we do for those larger
3	boats, because of the amount of money involved,
4	it's a very tedious process to go through. So
5	we're just going to ask to if we could change
6	that policy accordingly. It is consistent with
7	other marinas, and we did verify that as well.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, can you please step
9	back, okay? What about the policy for refunding
10	or canceling within Mitchell Park and Mitchell
11	Marina for the not just the large vessels, for
12	the smaller ones, is that for everyone?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The smaller ones
14	we're not changing.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And currently, it's a
17	full refund when cancelled prior to 24 hours of
18	arrival day.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's staying the
21	same.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's what I
23	just
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The other resolution
I have is a change order for the microgrid and
that's just to change the completion date due to,
you know, supply chain issues and a variety of
other things. We're pushing that date out until,
I think, the end of -- the end of June for that
completion. That's been approved by the
Governor's Office of Storm Recovery. That's the
first step in getting that request through, is to
get them to approve it, and they have approved it.

Just in terms of status of the project, at this point, probably better than 99% of the poles have been set. There are some transfers being done as they're setting the poles. They haven't started stringing wire yet. That will be the next step. There may be a bit of a pause in the construction, again, partially related to supply issues, but not a -- not a long pause.

In terms of the work that's being done here and at the sewer plant, that work is ongoing, primarily here, for the change-out of the electric service and some of the panels. There will be a single-day outage when they do the main service replacement, but they will bring in a temporary generator to keep all the critical pieces of this

building functional during that changeover. I don't have a date for that yet, but I'll certainly communicate that with the Chiefs when -- when that time comes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, just for your -just as I mentioned to you on the phone and for
the Board, at the Wardens meeting last night, it
was mentioned that they were extremely impressed
and -- with the work that was being done on the
panel boxes. And they also wanted to give some
credit to Doug Jacobs in the Electric Department
for his work in coordinating things with them. So
I just wanted to make everybody aware of that.

 $\label{eq:ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:} ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Thank you, appreciate it. I'll pass that along.$

In my discussion items, the first one is on the ferry queue redesign. I do have the -- it was sent to the New York State DOT, along with some supplemental information. I will get copies of that emailed out to all of you, hopefully, tomorrow, I should be able to get you that tomorrow. We're just going to -- now in a holding pattern waiting for any and all comments from -- from the DOT. And, hopefully, there aren't many, but we -- we're never sure with them.

The effluent reuse status update, I had sent it to -- sent to all of you the latest -- well, not the latest, but the final version of that report. Just a couple of highlights, and I had discussed some of this in the past, but just to talk a little bit about it.

Some of the things that they focused on in terms of potential uses for the water, five criteria. One had to be -- the use had to be somewhat near where the plant is located. It had to require minimal upgrades to the wastewater plant. It had to be -- I can't read my own notes. There had to be some control over human, human contact, meaning if we're going to use it for irrigation, there had to be a way to keep people in and out. And it also had to serve the purpose of groundwater recharge.

So when they went through all of that, as you saw in the report, there was only one use that is really viable at this point in time, and that's for a series of recharge basins in the polo grounds, which would consist, under this proposal, 448 rings, 8 foot diameter, four feet deep. Quite an undertaking, but -- and the cost reflects that. It would be their engineer's estimate or a

budgetary estimate of over \$5 million. That's, obviously, a very large amount. The only way, obviously, that that could be done is just through grant funding.

What -- the other thing that has come up related to that, there's a group called the Long Island Water Reuse and Action Plan Work Group. It's a privately funded group. I think it's an engineering company, is one of the stakeholders that are in it. They have these monthly meetings, and they have requested us to present this -- the study to them. They are -- they can help, help get grants that might be used for this. I'm just basically making sure that it's okay with you all that I participate in that with the engineer that prepared it.

In addition, as I mentioned last month -excuse me -- John Severini, who has been working,
a private citizen working on this voluntarily, has
spent a lot of time, and he would also
participated, as well as Glynis Berry, in
presenting it to this -- to this particular group.

There's also the Long Island Sound Study organization. They -- they are developing a list of projects. They are slated to receive

1	\$104 million as part of the Federal infrastructure
2	package. So we'll be in touch with them as well
3	with this, with this project.
4	There aren't a lot of these projects being
5	proposed. So I just want to make sure it's all
6	right with you all if I do make that presentation
7	to both of these groups.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Is the
9	presentation also going to include Peconic Landing
10	and the other suggestions, or is it are you
11	just concentrating on up at Moores
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The one on the polo
13	grounds, because that's the only true viable
14	option. That's what they this study concluded
15	that the only way to do this successfully would be
16	that.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And that water is only for
18	water and for irrigation, right? I mean, it's not
19	potable.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, this would
21	this particular would be just recharge basins, dry
22	wells, essentially.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Dry wells, okay.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, so not
25	irrigation.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And the reason being that
2	we would have those dry wells, that the benefit to
3	it is
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, you
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: we don't have to treat
6	the water at the plant, then, or it still has to
7	get
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, it still has to
9	be
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: treated and then come
11	back again?
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It still has to be
13	treated. There's two, there's two benefits. One,
14	you're replacing the aquifer, you're putting water
15	back in the aquifer. You're also not putting
16	nitrogen out into the Sound. So it reduces the
17	nitrogen load into into Long Island Sound. We
18	are monitored for that, along with four other,
19	four or five other sites.
20	Our permit is not our the nitrogen levels
21	in our permit aren't just us, we're part of a
22	group, a North Shore group. And if the group
23	exceeds, then they start to focus on any one
24	system. So we this would essentially take us
25	out of that from the nitrogen perspective, because

1	we wouldn't be putting any in the Sound at all.
2	It helps the group overall, but really puts us in
3	a position where we wouldn't ever have to be
4	concerned about it. So that's basically yeah,
5	that's hopefully, that's responsive, so.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So, originally, this
7	was going to be to do irrigation and stuff, but
8	you're saying now if people are going to be
9	walking on it, you can't use it for irrigation?
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's not that you
11	can't, it there would have to be a method that
12	you control how people walk on it. Where it's
13	being done in Riverhead, it's a more controlled
14	environment than we have found either at Peconic
15	Landing or the school grounds. It just wouldn't
16	lend itself to being able to easily permit the use
17	for irrigation. That's what the results of the
18	study show, and that's why they have focused on
19	this as a as a
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, I got that. But
21	I didn't know how long after you know, if they
22	irrigate it for a day, is it a day after before
23	you can use it again, or is it it doesn't
24	really say.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It doesn't say, and

1	we're the study wasn't that detailed. It's a
2	very inexpensive study, 20,000, a little over
3	\$20,000, but that level of detail wasn't explored.
4	But and they're also their regulations on
5	this are very case-by-case. So we would have to
6	do a much more detailed study. But from what they
7	know, H2M, that it would be couldn't be done
8	that way easily. We would have to do a higher
9	level of filtration or cleaning at the plant,
10	which would then drive the cost up even more. So
11	it's not there are several things that point us
12	away from the irrigation for our purposes at this
13	point in time. If things change over the next few
14	years, then perhaps, but for now, it looks like
15	this is the only option.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And there's
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, to me, that's
18	just discouraging, because it started out to take
19	and reuse this for irrigation and everything else,
20	you know, 15 acres of the school, the football
21	field, all the ball fields, everything else,

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631) 727-1107

Peconic Landing, the golf course, and all that.

everything else trying to go to this, and the only

option to reuse it now is to spend \$5 million and

To go and spend two years worth of time and

22

23

24

25

	Work Session 1/20/22 15
1	put it in dry wells.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I was going
4	to say.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I was
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And where are the dry
8	wells going to be actually located?
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm sorry, in the
10	polo grounds, up in the field.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In the field?
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In the field, yeah.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You wouldn't see
16	them, they'd be buried, obviously.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But and, you know,
19	that so that's but they you know, again,
20	from a perspective of grant funding, actually, it
21	might even be easier to get grant funding for
22	this, because it's a direct recharge to the to
23	the aquifer. And we could if we build it as
24	suggested here, all of the effluent ends up there.
25	With the irrigation, it was a small percentage,

and only part of the year, because you can only
irrigate so much, right? So you have holding
tanks and all of these other things, and all
winter you'd still have to discharge to the Sound.
So this, this would now provide, you know, 24/7,
365 days of getting having the effluent being
reused for a good purpose, as opposed to just
dumping it out into the Sound.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: So then, basically, what we
would have is the polo grounds are going to be
filled with cesspools to hold the wastewater from
our plant, is that what this is?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, that's one way
to look at it, but yeah.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And will it change the
character of being able to use the property?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not at all, not even
a little.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because that would be the
first question.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, not even a
little.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm not sure about your
even pursuing the grant, to be honest with you. I

1	mean, I don't know how much work is going to be
2	involved in it, but I don't know if it's worth it,
3	really.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, you know,
5	again, the concept of recharging the aquifer I
6	think is valid. You know, we've all heard that
7	there is some significant concern of the aquifer
8	getting depleted out on the North Fork, so this is
9	a step in the right direction to help that
10	situation.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which is fine, but it's
12	all falling on the Village of Greenport taxpayers.
13	So, I mean, even if you get a grant, I mean, it
14	still it's a it's a North Fork issue, it's
15	not just us, that's what I'm trying to say.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, the aquifer is the
17	whole area. I was shocked when I read that report
18	to realize that we have the shallowest aquifer on
19	the Island out here, you know.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So there, it's it is a
22	concern, you know. But as Mary Bess said, you
23	know, should that responsibility fall entirely on
24	the Village? That's
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We on the North Fork,

we're the only ones that actually dump the water
into the Sound, so there is a direct connection.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, but everybody else's
cesspools are going right into the ground,
basically.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, and that's
basically what we would then be doing, is that we
would treat
TRUSTEE ROBINS: We're doing more than most.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, but this is treated
water that we're putting in, it's not regular
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's not regular
MAYOR HUBBARD: cesspool stuff. So
it's
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It's a treated water, but
we'd have to just check. I mean, can we use part
of Monsell Trail? Is the DEC going to say no to
it going there?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We don't think
yeah, there's lots of spots. We could probably
still use Monsell Trail.
MAYOR HUBBARD: The only thing I'm saying is
the polo grounds, with trucks in and out of there,
with carnivals and rides and everything else, if

1	everything if you're going to have 100
2	cesspools in the ground there, I'd rather see it
3	over on the school side of the road and use it
4	there, or Monsell Trail, or some other place,
5	putting it there, where there's less traffic and
6	everything else, foot traffic.
7	And, you know, the school doesn't use that
8	big the one softball field very much and along
9	the fence there, as opposed to bringing in a
10	Ferris wheel or something else with all their
11	stuff underneath, worrying about that forever, you
12	know, when everything settles and all that,
13	bringing traffic and stuff onto the polo grounds.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I mean, yeah,
15	I mean, the
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: But I'm just mentioning this
17	stuff so you can think about that, if there's some
18	other place. I mean, to do it on our Little
19	League field, so it's in the outfield, so you
20	don't have vehicles driving on it and other things
21	that are going to sink into it, or whatever.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There any, any of
23	those options are definitely viable.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You know, it's

1	it's really just a matter of square footage, it's
2	just piping at that point. So, you know, the
3	conceptually, yes. We could also split it to two
4	different areas on the polo grounds and keep the
5	middle area open, so that where these types of
6	events are happening, it's not it wouldn't
7	impact. So then
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And, you know, we
10	could split into three sections even, you know
11	what I mean? So and it wouldn't it wouldn't
12	impact the cost to any large degree. You know, so
13	if again, if it's all right, I'll well, I'm
14	not get into those modifications
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: at this stage, but
17	just to start to see if there's grants available,
18	if there's an interest from these folks, that
19	that's really where we're at now with this.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, to reduce the nitrates
21	going into Long Island Sound, I think it's a
22	worthwhile project, because we are discharging out
23	there, and that's good for every the whole
24	Northeast economy and everything else. So if I
25	think, you know, to figure out if there's a place

1	we could do it and we get grants to cover it, so
2	that we're not funding the whole thing by the
3	Village taxpayers ourself. If we get funding to
4	do it, we'll find a piece of property where it can
5	go. And I just you know, using the middle of
6	that field and everything going there, you know,
7	if you're putting water in all the time, it's
8	going to be soft and everything else, and vehicles
9	coming in to use it for stuff that we do, I'm just
10	concerned about that.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure, absolutely,
12	yeah. And like I said, we could easily find
13	space
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: that wouldn't
16	impact the center area. Monsell Trail is
17	actually, I like that idea better. We could use a
18	lot of that, make it a longer recharge area.
19	There's lots of things that could be done. So
20	I'll bring that back to the group and I'll go to
21	this next meeting with these folks just to see
22	what kind of interest they have and we'll see
23	where it goes.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Everybody comfortable
25	with that?

1	600. These wells would be have the capacity to
2	carry, to take all of it.
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Out of morbid
4	curiosity, what would you get out of the one
5	8-foot septic ring? I'm just trying to picture
6	how many rings. I knew I knew it was over a
7	quarter of a million.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: He just said how many. I
9	think it says he said some how many rings,
10	didn't you, Paul?
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Say again.
12	DEPUTY MAYOR MARTILOTTA: I believe you did,
13	and I apologize.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Did you see how many
15	rings?
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There were 440 it
17	calls for 448 rings.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: 448 rings?
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's why I was
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, 448.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: 448 rings.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's a lot.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a lot.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's a big cesspool field.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Eight-foot rings, so, yeah,
3	and we're low to the ground. So they're going
4	one, one deep, correct?
5	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm trying to visualize
6	that.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: One deep.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. The ground
9	water is too high do go more than
10	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You can't stack them
11	too deep.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's why I asked.
13	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I was just trying
14	I'm just trying to picture how big an area that
15	would be.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't know if they can
17	stack them.
18	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't know.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It depends on the water
20	table, right?
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It depends on what the
22	water table is and what not.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And sand underneath.
25	But I'm just trying to

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Or clay.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I have to look into
3	that. I support it 100%, I just I'm just
4	trying to
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Because, if you go farther
6	up where the sewer treatment plant is, we have the
7	clay issue there. So they're going to be dumping
8	all this and it's got to go through the clay to
9	get down
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's right.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: to the aquifer. You're
12	just going to have spongy soil all around.
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: All of that would
14	need to be investigated, obviously
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: to see, to see
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Just knowing the area and
18	what we're talking about, what we've gone through
19	up at the sewer treatment plant
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: and all, I just
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: All of that would
23	have to
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That starts to get

1	that's even going to that level, you know, we
2	would need a grant to do it. It's just way too
3	expensive to even study it to that level. So
4	that's yeah, that's why I would like to take
5	the next step.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Very good.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay. Thank you.
8	Next is just a real brief update on the
9	Sandy Beach/Safe Harbor sewer extension. We're
10	the Village Attorney and I have been going
11	working with the homeowners at Sandy Beach, as
12	well as Safe Harbor, on finalizing the agreements.
13	We're almost there, I think. We're very, very
14	close to that. I talked to both of them. They're
15	both aware that we're not going to get that until
16	the February meeting.
17	I've also been working with the engineer
18	that did the original study, to get a proposal
19	from them to start the process. And, hopefully, I
20	will have all three of those elements ready for
21	you all by the February meeting.
22	The last item I have that's not on my list
23	is I had sent around the our recommendation for
24	the Planning Consultant. Just if there's any
25	questions about that, I'd be more than happy to

1	answer it, answer them. You know, it's they
2	it was a close call, quite frankly, between the
3	two firms, but I just think that there was enough
4	extra in LKMA's proposal to recommend them over,
5	over the other, so.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Now the person that was
7	mentioned in here is the is going to be working
8	with us directly, correct?
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And is she working I
11	think you mentioned to me that this company also
12	is dealing with the North Ferry project. Is this
13	the same person, or do we have is that a
14	different person?
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Oh, a different
16	person altogether.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. But would they be
18	conferring with each other as far as
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, it's a small
20	enough firm where they certainly can communicate,
21	yes.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
23	Because, I you know, I would hope we wouldn't
24	have conflicting issues at times.
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, I don't I

1	don't wouldn't see any.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay. That's all I
4	have.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for Paul?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The only question I have
7	for Paul is it would really make me feel much
8	better if the those who use radios in the
9	Marina, in the Road Department, or whatever,
10	remembered, or maybe just be reminded that it's
11	not like talking on a cell phone, that it's a
12	public airway, and that sometimes they need to
13	think before they communicate their thoughts.
14	I was a little embarrassed over the weekend,
15	as you know, especially since it was a marine
16	channel, and the North Ferry hears it, the Police
17	Department hears it, every commercial heard it.
18	And I'm sure that it was a sign of youth, but I
19	think that it behooves us to educate all our
20	employees that they represent the Village. They
21	also represent the Village residents as well. So
22	I just think it's time that we took a little
23	effort, okay?
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, that actually
25	has already taken place with any staff that we've

1	been able to that have come in, come in since
2	that point in time.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. As I
4	said, it probably would behoove you to get UHF
5	walkie-talkies for those. The Marina ones I
6	understand when the boats are coming, but perhaps
7	you want those that are on a business band and not
8	a marine band for smaller things.
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The reality is there
10	was absolutely no reason for them to even be using
11	them at all
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: at that point in
14	time. So they will not be using radios except
15	during the summer season.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
18	Treasurer Brandt.
19	MR. BRANDT: Here. I have nothing to add to
20	my report. Were there any questions on the report
21	as it stands? Anyone?
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions?
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I understand you've
25	presented another budget mod via email, I believe

1	it was, and that was for the ice rink, I believe,
2	for the
3	MR. BRANDT: For the ice rink repair.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Repair?
5	MR. BRANDT: Repair of the manifold, yes.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Manifold? Okay. I'm
7	going to ask a question. Paul and Robert, is
8	there is there we've spent a lot of money
9	this last couple of weeks. Is there a maintenance
10	plan that's going to be in place for the ice rink,
11	so that when things crop up, it gets fixed
12	immediately and not kind of patched?
13	MR. BRANDT: Yeah.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We this was
15	somewhat of a unique situation, so we don't do
16	if the repair we're going to implement works,
17	there shouldn't be a recurrence of this in the
18	near term. It was somewhat unusual.
19	Most of the issues we have are at startup,
20	and we haven't run for over a year, well, almost
21	two years, obviously, and that's where a lot of
22	the problems have arisen. If we run every year,
23	we tend to it doesn't happen like this, so
24	that, again, we've just gone through a whole big
25	repair of the of the compressors, and we

1	this, again, if this particular repair works, then
2	we should be in very good shape for the
3	foreseeable future.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So the company,
5	when it's when it's opened when it's closed
6	down, then it's it's following a certain
7	protocol of the glycol being stored or you
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right. And we're
12	well versed in that?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, absolutely.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
15	MR. BRANDT: Thanks, Paul. Anything else
16	for me?
17	(No Response)
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. BRANDT: Thank you.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Village Clerk, Clerk Pirillo.
21	CLERK PIRILLO: Hi. Good evening, everyone.
22	I have an abbreviated report tonight as well. I
23	have only two add-ons, and they are two
24	resolutions awarding bids. One is for the removal
25	and disposal of snow, and that would be to Stanley

Skrezec, who was the sole bidder. The other is to award the contract for contractor services. That was again to Stanley Skrezec, who was the low bidder.

Sticking with bids, also, there were no bids received for the delivery of 87 octane. We will -- we will be rebidding that again. And we do have some ideas of how to expand our search between Paul and myself.

Moving to my report, there is an addition also that a legal notice was published today for the replacement of the batteries and the battery racks at the power plant. That was in today's addition, with a return date of the 7th.

Lastly, I have been contacted by Showtime, who wants to have another installment of the show they came here for most recently called *Three Women*. Right now, we have tentative dates for filming of February 10th and 11th. As always, we will not have firm details until approximately a week before.

The disruption to the Village will be less than the last time they were here. There are only two days. Only one day right now is going to impact us. That's probably the 11th of February.

1	The two dates in play right now are the 10th and
2	11th of February, wherein they have asked to use
3	the Carousel, both inside and out. And they will
4	be using Moore's Lane again for the staging area
5	for the trucks.
6	That's all I know right now. They will, of
7	course, as they did in the past, contact every
8	single business and work deals with the businesses
9	as they negotiate through the process. So, as
10	more details become available, I will, absolutely,
11	let you know.
12	Any questions on my report?
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Sylvia, it's probably not
14	a question for you, but probably more for Paul.
15	On the wetlands permit for Wayne Turett, there was
16	some question about the dredging, the fill, where
17	he was supposed to be getting something.
18	CLERK PIRILLO: It wasn't about the
19	dredging, it was about
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I mean, it was about
21	CLERK PIRILLO: It was about the testing
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, the testing.
23	CLERK PIRILLO: of the spoils. And my
24	understanding
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, that's the topic here.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay, I'm sorry.
2	CLERK PIRILLO: My understanding is that
3	we'll be discussing it.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Board discussion, is we're
5	going to discuss that from the public hearing.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just want yeah. I
7	just want
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, I got the whole
9	folder here, but we're going to do that as
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. I just
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: It's got its own separate
12	item on the agenda.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just wondered if
14	he got it tested, that's all. Okay.
15	CLERK PIRILLO: Anything else?
16	(No Response)
17	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
19	The Village Attorney report.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Good evening. Thank you.
21	I wanted to we've had a number of things that
22	we were we've accomplished since our last
23	meeting. The first is that we my office
24	prepared the agreement for the purchase of the
25	aerial ladder truck, and that was agreed on by

Management and Firematic. The agreement has been executed by both parties, it is in place.

As Mr. Pallas mentioned, there was a -- we also have been working on the Safe Harbor agreement and the Sandy Beach Homeowners' agreement. We're hoping that that's near completion. I think just today we received a few minor comments back from Safe Harbor, but they didn't seem to be anything significant, and that agreement, hopefully, will be before the Board to complete soon.

Also, we've been working on getting the DISH Network agreement done for the cell tower lease. There's been -- that's gone back and forth between us and the cell tower company a couple of times. I think we're done. We have all our internal comments that we need to make and respond to them, so, hopefully, that will also be done, completed soon. That's DISH Network.

During the month, we also had several significant bid packages that we worked on, one of which was the wastewater treatment plant site improvements, the battery pack bid package that you just heard of, and several other bid packages that I supported Management and worked on for the

1 Village.

We had three Local Laws, two of which we've seen before, and a new one that we -- that I mentioned in my reports, but that we're working on. One is the accessory apartment Local Law. We mentioned the last time -- the Trustees asked myself, working with Management, to come up with new incentives or, you know, a new form of incentive that we might be able to offer for those owners that make their apartments affordable apartments. We have been working on that earnestly.

One of the things that we've done, that I've done, is I found a few other villages in the state that have incentives for affordable accessory apartments, and I'm in the process. I did go through their code, I reviewed their codes, because they're available, and I'm in the process of determining how they -- how they were able to set that up, and then, hopefully, make a recommendation to Management and the Board on that, on that basis.

The two other Local Laws, one is -- one is parking that you've seen before. I made the changes that the Board had suggested at the last

meeting, and I think one or two other recommendations that I received. It's -- we're still discussing it internally with Management, and the law should be available to you within, I would say, a week. That's the parking law.

And then the other law that we have been working on is a new law regarding curb cuts. The -- our code, Section 115, Chapter 115 of our code currently provides that a curb cut is in the same form of work as a sidewalk, a street opening or a sidewalk opening, and that, therefore, it needs Board, Trustee Board approval. And what we had hoped to do, and have done in a new Local Law, is to make that approval subject to Planning Board approval, and rather than the Trustees, so every one, every one of these approvals doesn't have to come before this Board.

One of the things that I was able to do in drafting that law was I was able to come up with a list of criteria that the Planning Board should consider when they're -- when they're reviewing curb cut applications. There's a number of criteria that are standard for those types of applications, and I -- when I was working with the Planning Board, I tried to work with them as far

as reviewing applications on that basis. They include things like the distance of the curb cut to the nearest adjoining curb cut, the width of the driveway and the curb cut that's going to be installed, the materials that are going to be used, things like that, so -- oh, and also the proximity of the curb cut to the nearest intersection.

So those, those criteria and that change in the code that I'm proposing is, again, before -- being discussed by myself and Management. There was a recommendation that I got from Mr. Pallas that I think is -- was a good suggestion and I made that change. If we -- that backed it up a day or two. Otherwise, we probably would have had -- been able to get it to you for tonight, get it to you a week before tonight's meeting. We could talk about it tonight, but that will be before you soon, the curb cut law.

The -- I've done a number of -- I've had a number of meetings with -- and discussions with the HPC and their Chair regarding their guidelines and a couple of issues that they had, provided support to them.

We have -- one of the other things that I

1	wanted to mention is the opioid litigation. We've
2	received some hopeful news from the attorney
3	that's handling that, and he believes that he's
4	going to be able to he's currently working on,
5	and believes that it will be successful,
6	distributions from some of these large settlements
7	that have been happening. Apparently, two, two
8	settlements that most recently occurred were done
9	in a manner that they weren't precluded by the
10	New York State Law or the actions of the New York
11	State Attorney General, which tried to stop
12	distributions to local municipalities, and the
13	that attorney, in several web meetings or virtual
14	meetings that he had with our Village and other
15	villages, expressed optimism that he would be able
16	to recover money for his municipal clients.
17	And that's all I have as far as the report.
18	I respectfully submit it to you. Is there any
19	questions that anybody has?
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Joe.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes?
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The on the accessory
23	apartments, you mentioned that there were a couple
24	of municipalities in the state that have done
25	something in their codes, or whatever, discussing

1	how to make, you know, accessory apartments
2	affordable, and putting them into the into the
3	law. Would you could you give me the names of
4	those municipalities and how
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Sure.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Get that information?
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Please. Thank you.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. You know, the
10	internet's amazing. You know, it's really I
11	would say not a little bit of time, but with a
12	good investment of time, you can find an amazing
13	number of you know, amount of information. And
14	the other thing that's great now is General our
15	General Code service, which most municipalities
16	use, coordinates all the code information. So
17	it's not easy, but it's possible to search things
18	like that, and I did get some examples that I'll
19	provide.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Great.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thank you.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any questions for the
24	Village Attorney?
25	(No Response)

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
3	Board Discussion: A public hearing regarding the
4	Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Wayne
5	Turett to maintain dredge, for the property at
6	746 Main Street. Paul, you want to update the
7	clarifications you had on that, because we were
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I didn't bring that
9	paperwork with me, I forgot what they were. From
10	my memory
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, we were
12	matching. Instead of 5-feet deep, we were going
13	to 4-feet deep to match the DEC permit.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct. He did
15	supply there was a discrepancy between the DEC
16	and ours, he did correct that. There that was
17	the basic one, as I recall.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: It was
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There was
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. The other
21	clarification, which actually wasn't part of the
22	original one, is just to clarify that the testing
23	that was being requested was really should be
24	done before he takes the spoils out. I mean, it
25	doesn't make sense to take the spoils out and then

1	test. I was under the assumption that he was
2	doing it before.
3	There's been some concern expressed to me
4	that perhaps that he doesn't the applicant
5	doesn't understand that or didn't wasn't
6	wasn't saying that. So my recommendation in terms
7	of the ultimate approval should include that
8	clarification.
9	The language does say that it needs to be
10	tested. We're not asking for something that
11	wasn't requested, it's just when it gets tested is
12	the only clarification
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: that we would be
15	requesting.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: When we did the entrance of
17	the Stirling Harbor, we tested the soil first
18	before anything was moved. So we'll just make
19	that as part of the resolution, saying testing
20	must be done and approved before any dredging
21	happens.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right, yep.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: And that's exactly what we
24	had to go through, it's not uncommon. You know,
25	they wouldn't let us dig it out until they knew

1	what was there first.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Makes sense.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, because, otherwise,
4	it's all churned up and it's everywhere.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. But there also
6	seemed to be a question of where he was going to
7	take the spoil, I believe.
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, that's
9	contingent, that's contingent upon the testing.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On the testing?
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right now, he's
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: If it's not approved for the
14	place he wanted to go, he's got to find some other
15	place to go before he digs it.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: So if he does the testing,
18	then he'll come back with an approved site before
19	he gets a permit to actually start doing it.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right, that's
21	essentially it. The DEC, the DEC permit is
22	contingent upon that to begin with.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So he needs to
25	have I mean, I don't I don't see any other

1	way around that for him, really, except to test
2	before, because he can't take it out of the
3	ground I'm sorry, out of the out of the bed
4	of the harbor without knowing what's in there, so
5	he knows where he could take it. So, to me, it
6	just doesn't it's not logical that
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, he has to give the
8	test results to the DEC, doesn't he?
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know if
10	it's that, I don't know. If the permit is that
11	specific, I do not know. I just know that it
12	needs it's these step-wise things. He has to
13	take the spoils to an approved site, and
14	Brookhaven is the local approved site, but they
15	have their own criteria. So he has to meet their
16	criteria, and how could he meet their criteria if
17	he doesn't know what's in it? So that's that's
18	where that's kind of where it's a step-wise
19	fashion to accomplish that.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is okay. I just got
21	the impression that he was doing this permit on
22	his own, and the dock-builder wasn't is he
23	doing his permit on his own, or is the dock-builder
24	working with him?
25	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, he doesn't have

1	a builder, as far as I know. He applied for the
2	permit himself, as far as I can tell. I don't
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't have any way
5	to know who
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I'm just
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: who wrote it for
8	him, but it you know, he told me that he
9	doesn't have anyone yet, that's where he's at.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So I think he was
12	waiting to get permits before he hired somebody,
13	which, you know, I don't see the problem with
14	that, but he has to be aware of what the criteria
15	are, that's all.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, as I say, he seems
17	to be stumbling through it, so that's why I'm
18	asking, you know, because you know, because
19	that's his it's his thing.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I think if he does the
21	testing first, before they start digging, they
22	need to know where it's going. Otherwise, they're
23	going to dig it up, they're going to have 30 yards
24	of spoil on a barge and no place to take it.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, no.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: And then it's going to end
2	up in the wrong spot. So he's going to have to
3	hire a contractor to do the work, but the testing
4	is the first part, so that will be contingent on
5	that.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: And until we get results of
8	that back in, he's not allowed to start any work
9	on it until we see where it's going and everything
10	else. So that's up to, you know, Joe to word the
11	resolution, if we're going to move forward with
12	that. You know, to move it to the next level, you
13	know, the two the SEQRA and the other, to make
14	sure that that's worded properly, and then we'll
15	be able to review that beforehand, before it comes
16	to a vote, plus it will be it will be able to
17	be talked about by the public before we go any
18	farther with it.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And he has to have a
20	contractor, a person who's going to do that
21	testing to certify? Do we check that, to check,
22	you know
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It has to be done by
24	a lab.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: To do the testing on this.

1	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The test samples are
2	done by a contractor, I assume.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's what I mean. But he
4	doesn't have a contractor, so, I mean
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I mean, it
6	could be any I mean, the testing comes some
7	larger testing companies will take their own
8	samples.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: He has to get an
10	environmental testing company to do the tests, is
11	that what he
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's the only way it
13	can be. It has to be a lab that certified.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's the way it
16	works, yeah.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion?
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, I just have one
19	question. So I just I think this was mentioned
20	at the public hearing, and I just had a concern
21	that this was that this was called maintenance
22	dredging. I don't I don't think it's really
23	what maintenance dredging is. I know the DEC
24	didn't seem to have a problem with it, you know,
25	maybe because of the size of the project, but in

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure. Last week, so I

kind of -- we were going over the rings. I've kind of been real busy. If you don't mind, I'll say something about Ms. Agosta real quick and pass it along?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: All right. So I think everybody here probably knows we lost one of our own this week, all right, Cindy Goldsmith-Agosta. I taught with her in the classroom on and off for about a dozen years. A really outstanding person, you know. And as when, you know, anybody passes, it's terrible, it's obviously terrible, and Ms. Agosta I think more so, just because of her position within the community.

I just wanted to make sure that we expressed our condolences to her parents, Gus and Linda, her husband, Sal, her son, John. And I want to make everybody aware that the family asked or is establishing a scholarship fund in her name. I can -- if anybody's interested, I can get them in touch with that information. It's through the Greenport -- I'm not sure if it's through the Teachers Association or not, but I just wanted to make sure. Like, traditionally, we do a moment of silence at the regular session. I just wanted to

1	make sure we addressed that this evening, and that
2	was all. That's all I have to say.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Jack, can you send us an
5	email with that fund, place for the donations,
6	please?
7	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I certainly will.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Maybe, if you want,
10	send it to the Village Clerk and we can just post
11	that on
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: the website and just have
14	it there to fly around. Okay.
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Trustee Phillips.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm going to go back to
18	my November work session, where I brought up that
19	we've taken the step of reaching out and we're
20	going to be hiring someone who can advise us on
21	planning. And, as you know, I am very concerned
22	about the Waterfront Commercial District, that ${\bf I}$
23	think we need to now is the time to really,
24	reevaluate that.
25	And I'm actually supporting Trustee Robins'

concern about the Commercial Retail District as well. I happened to be going through the Harbor Management Plan that's attached to our LWRP and I discovered or it reminded me that there was a discussion, and has been a discussion, of creating two different waterfront zoning districts, one that really establishes the Waterfront Commercial as a working Waterfront Commercial, and then taking care of some of the -- or rezoning some of the Front Street properties into a different classification of waterfront commercial.

My concern is that we have the opportunity now to really take the time to look and see what we want the Downtown District to look. We're talking about accessory apartments. We're talking about looking for spaces to create housing, which in a Downtown District, of course, it means going up. I think it's just time that we as a Board, we have several code changes that we're talking about, we have a noise ordinances that we haven't gotten back to. We've had other discussions. We have issues that I've been hearing within the Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board dealing with the height of buildings, whether it's 2 1/2 feet or 35 feet. I think perhaps we should

make it 35 feet. I just think there's a variety of things that we could be working on in the next couple of months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I know the word moratorium is a tough one to swallow for a moment, but I think that we're at a point where we should discuss taking a step back, setting a control date, as they do in Fisheries Management. They set a control date to just have the discussions and to come up with the changes that will take place.

I'm concerned that we've -- or I don't think the Village of Greenport can take much more infrastructure stress down street, to be honest with you, I don't think it can. I think we need to really, really think about it, it's our job to think about it. And it may just be a discussion that comes out with nothing, but I think we -it's time we did it, okay?

So, as I said, moratorium might be a good idea for six months, for a year on any permits that area, just for us to really take a good look at where we want to be, okay? As the former Mayor said, "Build it and they will come." Well, they came and now we are really bursting at the seams. So that's number one. It's for discussion.

1	The other thing is I'm very interested in
2	where we're at with the Mitchell bulkhead permit
3	process. Also, are we Paul, I would assume
4	that, and you'll have to refresh my memory, going
5	back to the Mitchell Mitchell Marina, that the
6	bulkhead study that was done, if I remember
7	correctly, the company was creating permits for us
8	or to
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'll have to
10	double-check the file, I'll check the expiration
11	dates, but I think I've reported before that they
12	did apply for permits and we received them. I
13	just don't remember when the expiration dates
14	were. The DEC permit is probably 10 years. My
15	guess is that the Army Corps permit has probably
16	expired.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the only
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Possibly. I
19	don't know, I would have to double-check.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, if we're looking
21	into grant possibilities for for the effluent,
22	okay, the Federal Government has is passing
23	along a lot of infrastructure money, and I think
24	that probably we should be have a shovel-ready
25	project for Mitchell Park, you know, for the

bulkhead at Mitchell Park.

I think it behooves us to get ahead of the game, and if that money is available, similar to what we did with the sewer plant, it will be ready to put it in and be first in line for some of that money.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right. In fact, we -- through my State Association, Electric Utility State Association, one of the things we're doing is exactly that, we're putting together a listing of high priority projects. I think I had requested that from you all. Certainly, that's something I would put on the list to begin with.

You know, it's mostly focused on electric utility, but we always, you know, ask for other things in the process. Many of the utility members are multi, you know, discipline, not just utilities, so they tend to use that forum for that purpose, and it is definitely being looked at. We actually have a meeting next week to discuss that very topic.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mayor, I think that's something that we need to discuss for the next year, is setting a set of goals, you know, might even be one or two, but what we really feel is

important that needs to get moved forward,
especially if we're going to be looking for grant
funding.

And I'd say that's pretty much it, because that's a mouthful, so that's it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, that's fine. Just to clarify a couple of things. Right now, I mean, I know you want to talk about, you know, changing the zone and all the other stuff. We've got, you know, three Local Laws, a noise ordinance and other stuff that's still hanging there. I'd like to see these things completed and done, but it just -- it takes time to go through, you know, us discussing it and Joe and Paul working on it, reviewing it and try to put everything together to make them. Hopefully, we could have those three for next month, to get them out of the way before we start on another thing. That's just going to push these things to the back burner and then --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: See, I don't see it pushing it in the back burner, I see it all coming together, together as one. What I'm finding and it's -- and maybe it's just I want -- I find that we're piecemealing things and that's what's concerning me, okay? I think we have -- we have

parking issues, we have the height issues, we have
the -- the building permits -- not building
permits, but the types of businesses that are
coming into the Village. We have traffic issues.
These are all tied together, along with the noise,
along with the -- you know, the accessory
apartments.

To me, it's kind of a combination of everything, okay? And that's how -- but, in all honesty, that's something that gets discussed in a Code Committee, which is your purview not to have that, but -- and technically, we are the Code Committee. That's why I'm just kind of bringing it up, that it's important that we -- it's time for us to really take a look of where we want to be.

As I said, build it and they will come.
Well, they came and we're bursting at the seams sometimes, and sometimes it's not in -- you know, business, the business isn't consistent in the wintertime. You know, years ago, there was a variety of activity downtown. The Village of Patchogue is an example of how they revamped and have made livability and walkability downtown, that's an example.

1	As I said, I think it's just it's our job
2	to start the discussion. We may not get you
3	know, it may go on for weeks or months, but at
4	least we should be doing something, at least
5	that's how I feel.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Trustee
7	Robins.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, just to respond to
9	Mary Bess, I agree that this needs to be a
10	comprehensive approach to this, not, you know,
11	one, one law at a time, because it is. What we're
12	trying to create here now is the idea of what we
13	really want to be, and we until we get our
14	Planning person in place, you know, we're
15	really you know, to move forward on, you know,
16	closing out three very important issues that all
17	are interrelated seems to me a little bit of a
18	hasty approach. So I just wanted to comment on
19	Trustee Phillips' report. And I definitely I
20	mentioned, but I won't continue, go any further
21	about that, that I also was calling for a
22	moratorium for that reason, so.
23	I'm going to give you my GATE meeting
24	report, which is the government action part of the
25	BID, because we met with Paul Pallas, Rich

Vandenburgh, David Abatelli, Deborah Rivera, and Brendan Spiro, we met last week. We went over things like the Christmas lights. Rich asked Paul if the Village would be willing to coordinate with the company that did the lighting to check the tree light connections, and Paul says that's fine, but that he would need 48 hours notice from Rich to make an Electric Department worker available when they're scheduled to be here.

The school technology class is going to construct four new planters and repair several others. Dave Abatelli is coordinating with them, and the BID would like to share the cost of the materials with the Village. He's awaiting final amount from the school. It's estimated it's going to be approximately \$1500 total. So I guess they would be asking for us to contribute 750, so --but that's -- I'll put the ask in. You know, that's for the planters, you know, that are on --MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- the streets and some repairs.

Paul updated the group on the ferry project, and the installation of the new poles and wiring of the microgrid project. He asked about -- Rich,

1 Rich Vandenburgh asked about the Hampton Jitney to 2 Sag Harbor, and Paul reported that they've not submitted a formal proposal to the Board yet. 3 4 Actually, the guy from the Hampton Jitney, Jeff Lynch, came to the BID online meeting yesterday 5 6 and was making his pitch to the BID about the 7 Jitney. And I think he incorrectly stated to the 8 Board that, you know, they've submitted their 9 formal proposal to the -- to the Village, which 10 they haven't. I confirmed that with Paul today. 11 So they -- but, you know -- and the BID won't get involved with it until they get a determination 12 13 for the Village whether it's going to be allowed to do it or not. 14 We discussed the ice rink, and Paul 15 16 mentioned -- this was -- this is a little bit 17 dated, but that the Road Crew would begin 18 spraying. And, obviously, they got it opened for this weekend and it looked like the Sunday event 19 was a great success. So I'm glad that we were 20

And then we just discussed renewing a grant opportunity for lighting in South Street parking lot. That was a grant that we were working on a

finally able to get that going and that we'll have

cold weather for a little while to keep it.

21

22

23

24

25

number of years ago and it kind of fell by the wayside. But we did ask that we start looking for some ways to fund that and get that area back there lit, because it is very dark and there are problems.

The Housing Task Force: So, actually, we need -- I want to just read my statement again. We need to find a way to provide housing security for the workers and families that provide the services that our community needs, the retail and service workers, first responders, teachers and trades people that support infrastructure and make this a community.

So I've created a committee, I have eight members in place. We're going to have our first meeting this coming Wednesday, it will be a Zoom meeting. And the mission of the committee is to explore all possible ways to create affordable housing. We must be looking at existing zoning and land use. We must find new ways to create housing that are outside the box of conventional use, and ensure that they remain affordable in perpetuity.

I have a group put together and they're res -- they're all residents of Greenport with

varied backgrounds, who will bring expertise and experience to the table. I'm very excited about getting started with this. And so that's going to start next week. I will bring a report back to the Board at the work sessions of progress and any -- you know, what we discussed at the meetings.

That's it for me. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay. I didn't have much. I did receive an email a couple of weeks ago about somebody that's been buying tower sites throughout the northeast, and they were interested in our tower site. I don't know what would even be involved in selling, leasing, whatever. Robert did work on the amount of rent that we receive. We would have to show them the amount of rent we received, then they'll come up with a price that they'll pay. The thing is you do lose control over it, because then it's theirs, not ours. So I'm just mentioning it.

If anyone wants to read the email, if you're interested, I don't know what we could actually legally do with that site. It's our property, but I don't know what can be done with it. Safety reasons with the tower and all that, it's beyond

1	what I have any idea about.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We're talking the
3	tower
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The radio tower.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The radio tower?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The radio tower
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: on Washington, the place
9	behind, yeah.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The one that the Fire
11	Department and the and the Police Department
12	are on?
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, the big the
14	Cablevision tower.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. On the back of Webb
17	Street and
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right. Okay. All
19	right.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, and Washington Avenue,
21	that site there. So if it's something that's just
22	a dead issue, I'll just say we're just not
23	interested. You know, I don't know. I don't know
24	whether I was just waiting to bring it up and
25	discuss with the Board if we should have send a

1	follow-up email to them, or just say, "You know
2	what? I don't like losing control over it." But
3	depending on the prices, you know, I could at
4	least ask them what they're talking about a dollar
5	figure.
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'd be curious what the
7	dollar figure is.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: A dollar figure first.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, I'm sure it will be in
10	the several million dollar range, depending on the
11	rents. But then you don't get those rents moving
12	forward as revenue that Robert relies on to take
13	care of other things, plus with the fire repeaters
14	and the other stuff up there.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Then they'd be
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: But I just I want to
17	mention it to the Board, think about it, if you
18	have thoughts on it. Joe, if you could just
19	research what's actually involved.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, I've been in you
21	know, I've been involved in several many, many
22	of these transactions, actually, for other
23	villages, you know, that have cell towers.
24	There's a number of there's a excuse me, I'm
25	sorry. There's a number of factors that are

involved, one of which you mentioned, which is that you don't -- you know, then that's the end of -- you get a nice check, you know, but then that's the end of the rent.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: But the -- but the flip side of that is that, as we see with 5G, it is a changing industry. And one of the things you do by cashing out on one -- on your lease stream is you take yourself out of the risk of technological changes that might happen soon, you know. So all the -- you know, all these cell towers rely on technology now that's actually fairly antiquated, really. It's been around for a couple of decades, and everybody's been waiting for the next best thing.

When you -- when you can -- and that -- and most of the leases that they do these days, that we have and that are done say that if there's a technological change, or change in technology which renders the lease obsolete, then the lessee can pull out of the lease. So our income stream is really at risk at any time, you know, due to technological changes. So if you cash out, you avoid that risk. You know, that's one thing.

The only thing that I would suggest that you 1 2 do, if you do consider this, is that you talk to a 3 couple of different companies, because they 4 have -- you'll find that they have -- there's a wide range of offers that you might get. And, you 5 6 know, there's one or two that I've worked with 7 other villages I could suggest, if it's not -- if 8 it's not this particular company. 9 One of the things -- and then the other 10 thing that you mentioned is that you lose control 11 of it. I have seen maintenance issues. You know, 12 when you turn the tower over to somebody else, there's sometimes issues with ongoing maintenance, 13 14 or, you know, issues with carriers, like --Mr. Pallas has been very good about resolving --15 16 planning, so that issues don't happen, but also 17 resolving questions between carriers, and, you 18 know, you would lose that control if we -- if we 19 give up the tower. But those -- so those are my 20 comments. 21 MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. 22 TRUSTEE ROBINS: So if we gave up the tower, 23 then would we have to be renting space on it for

our repeaters for the Fire Department and the

24

25

Police?

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's something we'd
2	have to ask. We may end up instead of collecting
3	rent, we could end up paying rent.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Paying rent, yeah.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: And then they could make our
6	rent higher than what we were collecting, I mean.
7	Well, I've thought about all this, but I just I
8	wanted to just let the Board know about it, think
9	about it. I can forward the letter, so if you
10	want to just read what they're saying. They said
11	they've been buying them up all around the
12	country, so, I mean, I don't know.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, if they're buying
14	them up and there's a chance that they're going to
15	become obsolete, obviously they're valuable, so
16	that's why they're out there buying them, right?
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: There's some use for
18	whatever, you know, but
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The main one of the
21	main issues I taught a class in the Suffolk
22	County Building Officials Association on this a
23	couple of years ago when these companies started
24	getting aggressive about buying these income
25	streams, and the main the main one of the

1	main things you have to be careful of is that
2	they'll you know, they'll offer you a check for
3	a million dollars, which is, you know, fantastic,
4	but when you actually capitalize the lease, lease
5	stream and what it's actually worth, I mean, it
6	might actually be worth three, three or four
7	million dollars. You know, we're not we're not
8	finance experts, they are, and they're just going
9	to hold it and then flip it to somebody else. You
10	know, they're going to they're going to buy our
11	lease stream for
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Like buying mortgages?
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, they're going to buy
14	our lease stream for a million dollars, you know,
15	hypothetically, and then sell it to somebody else
16	for, you know, a million-and-a-half or \$2 million,
17	because they they know there's an internal
18	rate of return that has to be calculated. I know
19	how to do it, but I'm not I'm not that's not
20	my expertise that you're paying for it.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, yeah, no, that's fine.
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: But you have to have all
23	that reviewed by people that are experts in it.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. It was really it
25	was just for information, so that everybody knows

1	about the offer that was thrown out there. I'll
2	forward the email to you, think about it, and get
3	back to me, you know, with with thoughts or
4	comments on it.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Great.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's all.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Sure.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. That's all I
10	had, so open it up to the public to address the
11	Board on any topic.
12	RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street,
13	Greenport. I am so impressed with everything
14	you're working on, and I'm really excited about
15	the effluent. If there was a way to not have that
16	go into the Sound there, then the beach
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm sorry. Could you speak
18	up? I can't make out what you're saying, Randy.
19	RANDY WADE: Okay. The effluent, getting it
20	to not go into the Sound. That spot where it goes
21	into the Sound right now is one of the most
22	beautiful places around that the Village owns, and
23	so it would be great if people were allowed you
24	know, able to use it because you figured out how
25	to recharge the water. That was just another

benefit of the project and I'm very grateful that you're working on it.

Some of us met and there was appreciation for -- support for Peter Clarke's suggestion of having a third meeting a month to deal with those specific things that Mary Bess was talking about, and we thought that was really a great idea. I know you're all so busy and it's really hard to find time.

And then another thought was how to improve outreach to get more people to be engaged. And so that maybe when you have a Thursday meeting, there could even be break-out groups in tables, and people could help you do research on topics and discuss them, and then come back to you all. It would be more of an interactive thing, something that would engage the community, and I'm not really sure what the answer is.

Your Facebook page is so good, that if you were able to highlight, you know, what agenda items were. I've seen you do it sometimes and it's really helpful to know what's coming up.

The -- yeah. The rentals, moving those rentals into C, rather than WC, would be really great. There -- you know, some of those buildings

don't need to be in Waterfront Commercial, they could just simply move to regular Commercial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you're talking about going up to a third story, I think that's probably a really good idea, but I would hope that there would be, you know, trades that -- to preserve the historic buildings that are shorter, and, also, it could be an incentive for doing affordable housing.

And so, anyway -- oh. And then what the Zoning Board of Appeals -- what came up, and what I tried talking to you about at the last meeting, but I was very confused in the way I was explaining it, that the Planning Board, if somebody is going to go for a variance for parking, whether it be a single-family house or commercial or anything, they should just be directed to the Planning Board first, since the Planning Board is the only one authorized for providing payment in lieu of parking, rather than going to the ZBA that isn't able to do that. hopefully, the payment is going to be, you know, coming up, and it's something more realistic that actually could potentially help the Village deal with some of the traffic issues, too.

So thank you for all your work and your

1	time.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.
3	JOHN SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.
4	Just to kind of clarify what Randy was saying
5	about payment in lieu of parking, an issue came up
6	at the just to let you guys know, an issue came
7	up at the Zoning Board where an applicant in the
8	Residential District couldn't provide parking,
9	couldn't provide off-street parking, and he was
10	he was they were receptive to the idea of
11	payment in lieu of parking.
12	The Zoning Board suggested to I suggested
13	to the applicant I don't want to speak for the
14	Zoning Board. I suggested to the applicant that
15	there's a mechanism to buy parking, payment in
16	lieu of, and he could buy the two parking they
17	could buy the two parking spaces that they needed.
18	The problem came up that there is no mechanism. I
19	disagree with this. Our Attorney, the Zoning
20	Board Attorney pointed out there was no mechanism
21	for the Zoning Board to get a that application
22	in front of the Planning Board.
23	Chapter 150-24 or 25, whichever governs the
24	Zoning Board, actually says that we can send any
25	application or all applications to the Planning

Board for an opinion. You know, it became kind of like semantics, kind of confusing, so we just -- so we just gave the guy the variance. We let him -- so the Village lost \$5,000. There's two extra cars on this particular block.

If there's -- if there's -- if one of the Local Laws, the Attorney was talking about, is about payment in lieu of parking, perhaps you can kind of tweak that Local Law, that there would be a mechanism to get -- to get an application like that in front of the Planning Board. In the -- in the WC, and in the -- in the CR, they have to go for site plan review, so it automatically goes in front of the Planning Board.

In the Residential District, even though there are properties, and the code does say unimproved property in the WC and the CR, and all property in the Residential District have to provide off-street parking.

So if you could kind of tweak that, you know, where there is a mechanism for the Zoning Board or an applicant to go in front of the Planning Board and not for a conditional use or site plan review. A simple mechanism just to get it in front of the Planning Board to see if

1	they're willing to sell them parking, and then it
2	kind of gets off the Zoning Board's plate. And if
3	the Planning Board says no, then the Zoning Board
4	would have to address a variance for no off-street
5	parking.
6	But most of the time, I mean, here you now
7	have an applicant that's going to do a
8	half-a-million-dollar renovation, you know, to
9	5,000 he was they were receptive to it, you
10	know, the applicants were receptive to it, and
11	it and it could have been some bucks for the
12	Village, you know. So I just thought I would kind
13	of clarify that for you, and maybe Joe, when he
14	works on that law, could just
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Could we please have a
16	discussion with your Attorney, so we could
17	clarif so we could so I could help you
18	clarify?
19	JOHN SALADINO: Sure.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. Thank you.
21	Whenever the two of you are available, I'll be
22	happy to discuss it with you.
23	JOHN SALADINO: Sure. I brought it up only
24	because Randy brought it up. And I'm getting from
25	a previous meeting or something, I'm thinking that

1	you guys are working on a Local Law about payment
2	in lieu of, changing it, fixing it.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: In commercial only, though,
5	we were
6	JOHN SALADINO: So I thought this might have
7	been the appropriate time.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. Actually no.
9	Actually, I'm sorry. I mentioned to Joe that it
10	does pull in the residential. Him and I have had
11	a discussion.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It does pull in.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It does pull in. If you
14	go further into the code, into the table. So I'm
15	in the same spot you are, John, we need to talk
16	about it.
17	JOHN SALADINO: Listen, yous all know me
18	well enough, I'm always willing to talk.
19	The other thing, the other thing I want to
20	bring up, and I don't want to belabor the point,
21	you guys are is Mr. Turett's wetlands
22	application. I was confused by the wording of the
23	resolution. I had thought I had thought
24	that that it was almost you were almost
25	going to let him dredge before, before he tests.

1	The policy I'm on the CAC for 12 years. The
2	policy has always been if the bottom is
3	uncertified, it has to be tested first.
4	Just to clarify a couple of things. The
5	letter that the applicant got was from from the
6	solid waste people at Brookhaven. They required
7	him to have the soil tested, submit the test to
8	the DEC, get the DEC's opinion about the levels,
9	and then they would decide if they would let
10	them after they got the letter from the DEC,
11	they would decide if they would let him dump
12	there, and the alternative was someplace in
13	Pennsylvania. I don't really care about
14	Pennsylvania, but
15	(Laughter)
16	JOHN SALADINO: Well, Jack
17	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I didn't see that
18	coming.
19	JOHN SALADINO: I don't care. I don't
20	really care about Brookhaven either. I know Paul
21	lives in Brookhaven, but I don't care about
22	Brookhaven either, but I care about Greenport.
23	(Laughter)
24	JOHN SALADINO: So, you know, the concern,
25	the concern is suspended sedimentation. You know,

you let the guy dig and it goes -- and then it goes everyplace.

So I wasn't here for the public hearing, I regret that, because there was a lot of stuff I would have liked to add. His application is wrong. He says he's going to dredge 60 cubic feet. It's really 95 cubic yards. His application to the DEC says he's only going to dredge around the 20-foot float. It makes no mention of going to Townsend Manor. So those are all things of concern to me.

The other thing that I'm kind of concerned with this application is, I don't know who said it, but I watched the tape of the public hearing, and there was a concern that this is taking too long, that it's been three years. The CAC, and I'm positive the Village, nobody's added anything to the requirements of this permit application. What he applied for three years ago is what he's applying for now. There's been no new developments, no new --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No

JOHN SALADINO: -- you know, requirements.

If it's taken three years, it falls squarely on

the applicant's shoulders. The original

1	recommendations that the CAC made and the
2	conditions the Board imposed are the same, you
3	have to test.
4	I remember there was a question about, well,
5	it cost too much, it costs \$8,000 or \$7,000. I
6	hope I'm not being disrespectful, I don't care.
7	You know, it costs what it costs, you know.
8	And there's a little bit of a discrepancy,
9	also. In his application, he makes mention that
10	he has to bring it off site. He can't he can't
11	put the spoils on his property, because they
12	already found heavy metals in the property,
13	mercury and cadmium. I think it was mercury and
14	cadmium, or mercury and lead, I'm not sure, and
15	that precluded that prevented him from putting
16	the spoils on his property, that's why it has to
17	go off site. So, if there was testing, where's
18	that report?
19	I'm glad that yous decided tonight to kind
20	of revisit this application, because there's a lot
21	going on that really should be taken care of
22	before somebody puts a shovel in the ground.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
24	JOHN SALADINO: So thank you, thanks for
25	listening.

78 Work Session 1/20/22 MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. Anybody 1 else wish to address the Board? 2 3 (No Response) MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. I'll offer a 4 5 motion to adjourn at 8:16. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second. 6 7 MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor? 8 TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye. 9 10 TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye. MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye. 11 12 Opposed? (No Response) 13 MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. Thank you. 14 15 (The Meeting was Adjourned at 8:16 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25