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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, this is the
Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals.
Item number 1 is a motion to accept the
minutes of the August 21, 2018 Zoning Board
of Appeals meeting.

So moved.
MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.
Item number 2 is motion to approve the minutes of the July 17, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.

So moved.
MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.
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| Any abstentions? |  |
| (No response.) |  |

Item number 3 is motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for Tuesday, October 16, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Third Street Fire Station, Greenport, New York 11944.

So moved.

MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Item number 4 is a continued public hearing regarding area variances for the property located at 416 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

Suffolk County Tax Map number is 1001-4-5-12.

For those of you from the public interested, the public notice is attached to
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the agenda.
Is there anyone from the public who
would like to speak?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you turn it up?
It's hard to hear.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone
from the public that would like to speak?
MR. UELLENDAHL: John, my name is Frank
Uellendahl. I am the architect. I don't
know if I'm supposed to speak now for the
public hearing or -- because you had some
questions for me.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Now would be a
better time.
MR. UELLENDAHL: Now.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Now.
Name and address for the stenographer.
MR. UELLENDAHL: Frank Uellendahl,
$\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}$.
I'm sorry I didn't make the meeting
last month.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're excused. We
know you had extenuating circumstances.
MR. UELLENDAHL: Good evening. I live
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in Greenport, 123 Central Avenue, and I'm representing the applicant.

I was not able to make the meeting last month, I apologize, but I did read the minutes and I appreciate all of the members' comments, and there were a couple of questions or possibly inconsistencies between the drawings that I'm here to respond to, so I'm here to respond.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think the two concerns the members had were first the width of the carport.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We thought that perhaps fourteen feet might be a little excessive.

And the second concern was --
MR. UELLENDAHL: The steps into the front porch.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The steps would increase, to add steps to the front porch would increase the variance requested.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Let's let Laura
respond to the width of the carport because ZBA - September 18, 2018 she -- you requested some photos and some additional information about the car, she is ready to respond to this.

But as far as the steps are concerned, it is my understanding, and actually common practice, that certain elements are not included in the setback, zoning setbacks; front, rear and side yards. So among those are steps leading into the residence. They have been exempt in all my applications that I have presented in front of the Board here in the Village and also in the Town of Southold, but you do have different zoning codes here.

Among others is the chimney bump out, for example, roof overhangs typically twelve inches, could be sixteen inches, up to twenty-four inches depending on the style of house. Perhaps some style homes usually have large overhangs, and bilco doors.

So as far as I'm concerned, the steps should be exempt, and if get the disapproval, I don't have a problem with the disapproval. ZBA - September 18, 2018

The building inspector did not come back to me and say, Frank, you have to include the steps. As a matter of fact, this has been going on for quite some time. I had a meeting with the previous building inspector back a year ago in November, which I typically do. I have a preliminary meeting before we go into the actual application, and there was no concern about the front steps. I didn't raise it specifically, but from my experience, it's usually not included, but this, in the end, is your call, but that's why I felt comfortable with the application I submitted.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it's my understanding that anything that's permanently affixed to the house like the porch and steps, there was a time where bilco doors, because of a previous Building Department supervisor didn't consider that, even though they were encased in concrete and part of the foundation, same thing with a chimney bump out. We have learned that ZBA - September 18, 2018 that that's not in fact.

I had planned to go to the Building Department and ask for them to make this interpretation.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Yeah, this should be clarified because it's important for the design officials and architects as well.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I can't find -cantilevered roofs and such is mentioned in the code. As far as the steps, I can't find it. If it's in the International Fire and Building Code, that would be for the Building Department to --

MR. UELLENDAHL: Well, if you look at the -- you get the official service from surveyors all the time. If you look at it carefully, even on the survey, the surveyor also only goes to the actual structure; they do not include the steps, even in apparent updated survey, it goes to the bay window and not to the steps, which actually, you know, are closer to the, encroach on the front yard, let's say, and so this has been, you know, the case on many other applications, but again, it's your call to discuss it and come up with a different deliberation and ruling, but then it should be coordinated with the building inspector or whoever writes the disapproval.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We can only give you what you ask for.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We raised the concern because we didn't want this application coming back in front of us.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Right. I understand.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, if and when it's approved, you go for a building permit, whoever reviews it at that time could say, well, you need an additional four feet, five feet, six feet to include these steps.

MR. UELLENDAHL: These are actually, these are three feet, we're talking about an additional three feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, whatever it is, three feet, but it would increase the variance requested, the six point two
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variance that's being requested to three
point eight feet --
MR. UELLENDAHL: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- from that
setback, so it would change the application.
We just didn't want it to come back to
us. We want to handle it once and move on.
So I'm not sure what's in the members'
minds as far as this. We'll talk about it
and I'm sure we'll come to some kind of an
accommodation, but I think the bottom line
is going to be with the building inspector,
whatever they decide.
MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay.
Well, we went through this process, the
disapproval states the twenty-three point
eight to the structure, to the porch and
obviously exempt would be the stairs as
shown as part of the application.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right, would you
kind of admit that sometimes --
MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- sometimes in a
crowded office, sometimes stuff gets

```
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    overlooked?

MR. UELLENDAHL: It happens even in my lonely office.

But, I mean, if you do decide to accept the steps as exempt, then \(I\) actually added another dimension to the steps which then would change the twenty-three point eight to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would change the dimensions from twenty-three point eight to twenty point eight.

Any other comments for Mr. Uellendahl? (No response.)

No.
Thank you.
MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you.
MS. DiCAPUA: Going on the premise that a picture is worth a thousand words, I took pictures concerning the width and I showed and measured the car with the doors closed, SUV, and the SUV with the doors opened, and you can see that it comes very close to both perimeters. I don't know if the steps were taken into consideration in the driveway,
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and also I put the measurements of all the opening of the door too and the setback and stairs are twenty-eight inches and also where the four-by-four post would be in that twenty-four-inch setback.

It's gonna be a sleeve, a column sleeve to match the house, so that's gonna probably be yet another six inches that's gonna encroach on the parking area.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But that wouldn't be where you would be opening the doors.

MS. DiCAPUA: Well, still coming back, backing in, you know, you have to -- it's making it a little more challenging between the stairs on one side and the column on the other.

And I also, on the next page, showed -I had said it in words, but I took pictures of the area that's on the other side of that fence where the carport would be, and you can see that there's the electric meter, I don't know that it's all that clear, but in the background there's a bilco that was mentioned and there's an enclosed exhaust
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    pipe of some sort. I don't know if that's
    for the laundry. It protrudes and it's
    enclosed, so there's -- it's not a living
    space, it's just an area on the side of the
    house, it doesn't serve any purpose to the
    occupants of the house.
        And on the other side where the stairs
        go, I called it a car pad, it's like a
        little mini parking lot that's by my
        neighbor where the stairs would be. So
        again, there would be no encroachments on
        anybody's outdoor space.
        That's what I thought of to do since
        people seem to have concerns, and hopefully
        that would help clarify the point that I was
        trying to make in words last week, last
        meeting.
        CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David.
        MR. CORWIN: I'll go back to the
        fourteen-and-a-half feet, and I'll go to the
        street elevation sheet which is this one
        (indicating).
        MS. DiCAPUA: Yeah.
        So from that number, these other, the
```
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    stairs and the setback, would be deducted if
    my thinking is correct.
    MR. CORWIN: The street elevation is
    called out as a scale of one quarter inch
    equals one foot, as I'm trying to measure,
    I'm coming up with it's closer to three
    sixteenths.
    MS. NEFF: Closer to what?
    MR. CORWIN: Three sixteenths of an
    inch.
    ```
    AUDIENCE MEMBER: We can't hear back
    here.
    MS. DiCAPUA: I can't hear right here.
    AUDIENCE MEMBER: The air conditioner
    is making too much noise.
    MR. CORWIN: Turn the air conditioner
        off.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Pull your microphone closer, that's all.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Go ahead, David.
MR. CORWIN: The drawing is closer to three-sixteenth inch equals one foot, but it's kind of fudged together. I don't want to make an argument over that. I just want ZBA - September 18, 2018 to note that the drawing's not completely accurate. And what's happening, what will happen at fourteen-and-a-half feet, I think architecturally is a little unbalanced because you're making the whole front of the house with a carport as key instead of the front of the house, but that's probably not really our job, but I point that out.
MS. DiCAPUA: The carport is gonna be where the mudroom is in the house; it's not gonna be right straight up front.
MR. CORWIN: So I measured it myself, my garage to my vehicle. My vehicle is a so-called mid-size truck, and I'm given a foot on the passenger side -- if I'm pulling in front first, seven feet for the vehicle, two-and-a-half feet for the door and two feet for the passenger to go around the door because their door (unintelligible) door, whatever their door, I come up with twelve-and-a-half feet and, of course, the applicant's contention is, well, \(I\) have to have both doors accessed at the same time, and I say, well, you can pull headfirst.
```
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And I also have to note the reason we have these side yard setbacks is for fire. We know we had a fire on South Street several years ago, rather Second Street seven years ago, several years ago, and that just sat for years and years, one member would remember that really well. We also had the fires out in California where the houses are close together.

So I have to say that our mandate to have these side yard setbacks is important for fire control, so they don't spread from one building to another.

My understanding of this whole process of granting appeals is to grant the minimum amount of appeal, so I'm saying it can be done with twelve-and-a-half feet rather than fourteen-and-a-half feet.

MS. DiCAPUA: But if you take away the stairs from that number and the setback, that's about the size it should be. My door is thirty-seven inches, I didn't specify it, but it is --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, actually, I
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looked online, $I$ went to the Honda specification --

MS. DiCAPUA: You found something? I couldn't find anything.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The vehicle is seventy-two inches wide.

MS. DiCAPUA: Yes, I have that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The doors are thirty inches wide fully extended.

MS. DiCAPUA: I have thirty-seven.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So I mean, just if we do it off the top, sixty and seventy-two is a hundred thirty-two inches; and now it's going to get tough to divide by twelve, so it's less than -- I don't have the numbers in front of me, but that's something we can discuss.

Does any other member have any questions for Ms. DiCapua?

MR. TASKER: I'll just add my concerns to the overall width of the carport along the lines of what Mr. Corwin has expressed.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Thank you. MS. DiCAPUA: I'm sorry. I thought he
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was going to say something.
I just want to bear in mind that that's
an open structure; it's not gonna keep
anybody from going in the back if there is
an emergency.

And I was a victim of a fire, sir, in my former home. And I was a middle house, and the firemen got access to my house. I had no side anything and the fire was put out and I'm standing in front of you, so, you know.

I live there alone. I'm very careful. I don't see that -- and that fire was from a faulty water heater, not anything I did. So just a thought.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else that would like to speak?

Mr. Kiehl, name and address for the stenographer.

MR. KIEHL: Bob Kiehl, 242 Fifth Avenue.

Like you said, you added it up, it

$$
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comes to eleven feet and they're going for fourteen feet. There's also, when you look at the carport on the roof, the roof cantilever is over the top. Also when you put your columns in, if you went out, say eleven feet and put two columns in, that whole side is open, you can swing a five-foot door open wide on the one side. You're not gonna be living out there, you're just getting out of the car. So if you wanted to reduce it down two or three feet, it's possible to do it, and you're still gonna be covered because you're still gonna have this -- it showed on the pan, there's an overhang on the end which is past where the columns were.

That's it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Anyone else?
(No response.)

No.

I'm going to make a motion that we close this public hearing.

MR. CORWIN: Second.

```
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    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
    MS. GORDON: Aye.
    MR. TASKER: Aye.
    MR. CORWIN: Aye.
    MS. NEFF: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
    All right.
    Next is continue public hearing
regarding the area variances for the
property located at 412 Third Street,
Greenport, New York 11944.
    The Suffolk County Tax Map number is 1001-4-2-4.6.
For those that are interested, the public notice is attached to the agenda.
Ms. Moore.
MS. MOORE: Yes. Thank you. Patricia Moore on behalf of James Olinkiewicz.
He apologizes he can't be here tonight as well. He's still recovering from surgery, but he did have the benefit of reading the transcript.
He wanted me to correct something and give you some additional information of
```
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issues that came up last time.
So he gave me the following chronology, I put it in an affidavit form, but I'm going to read it just in case there are any issues or questions.

What he did originally when he reacquired the property in 2015, he submitted an application to the Planning Board to subdivide the property. That's what initiated everything.

When he went to the Planning Board meeting in August, he gave me August 27, 2015, the Village Attorney who was there at the time, Mr. Prokop, said there might be issues with respect to this property. He had no idea what that meant, and nothing was explained to him.

So on September 18, 2015, he went to sit down with the Village building inspector, Eileen Wingate at the time, and filed a FOIL request, and as they were looking through the file of this property, they found what was the Zoning Board decision from 1998. So he learned of it in
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2015. I think there was some confusion on 22
when the FOIL was filed with the Building
Department. And that's when he got a copy
of that decision for the -- well, no
decision, a copy of the resolution since
there was no written decision. there was no written decision.

So it's at that time that he learned that there was that approval in 1998; thereafter, because of the various -- the Zoning Board decision, he withdrew the Planning Board application that he had filed in July of 2015 because there was no need for a subdivision if the 1998 variance granted the subdivision, it was not necessary to proceed and that was his understanding and that was his attorney's understanding.

So he, from the time of July 2015 when the Planning Board had the application and it was withdrawn, he asked the Planning Board, well, tell me why this is not a valid subdivision, and he would not get an answer. So that's when he, that's when I can give you the information, which is, he came to me
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and I said, well, if you file an application with the Planning Board, they have to respond, they can't just not act on an application, so that's why the application was re-filed with the Planning Board and that's how it ultimately came to you when the Planning Board directed it to go to the Zoning Board.

That's the chronology of events. And I know that that was a question last time, and I misstated on the record when I looked at the transcript, I was remembering 2004, I don't know why I came up with that date, but I clarified it, I corrected that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just from this, I have a question.

MS. MOORE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Why would he withdraw his application from the Planning Board? He would have to go in front of the Planning Board for site plan approval anyway.

MS. MOORE: No. Because in 1998, the only process to subdivide a property was the
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Zoning Board. There was no Planning Board in place. So taking the -- and it is a position that we actually in a memorandum had given you that in 1998 the Zoning Board had approved that subdivision, there was nothing more to do. It was done by virtue of the only law applicable at the time which was the Zoning Board taking action to grant the variances and that was, in and of itself, permission.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then why the additional applications to the Planning Board?

MS. MOORE: Because we couldn't get -we couldn't get off anybody's -- couldn't get off the die, it's the building -nobody -- he was not getting anywhere. He kept asking, or his attorney, and you'll see, I think that there are multiple records in the transcript of the Planning Board, it seemed like every month the attorney was sending a letter to the Planning Board and the Village Attorney and saying, hey, you know, what's going on? What do you want me

```
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    to do? Tell me, you know -- I have this
    Zoning Board decision, as far as we can
    tell, that was all that was required in
    1998, the variance runs with the land and,
    therefore, we're done.
    We got no -- he got no response
    whatsoever and that's when finally, he came
    back to me, and I said, well, you then have
    to go reapply to the Planning Board because
    you got to do something, you can't stay for
        a year-and-a-half and not get an answer, you
    know, that's -- he wanted to finalize, know
    what he had to do, so that's when he went
    back to the Planning Board. And at that
    point, finally got an answer that the
    Planning Board said, go to the Zoning Board
    because ultimately the Zoning Board would,
    as we previously stated, decide is the 1998
variance --
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, isn't --
    MS. NEFF: Can she finish that
    sentence? I don't want to get lost.
    MS. MOORE: Yes.
    The Zoning Board would have to make
``` ZBA - September 18, 2018 certain decisions. One, the 1998 variance is the variance that runs with the land, it acted on all of the same issues that are before this Board today because the properties are the same size, the houses are still there, so the Zoning Board in their very generic decision said, okay, we think this property should or could be subdivided, we'll approve this. That's one of the decisions you have to make right off the bat, is the 1998 variance still good.

If you say yes, then we, at this point, you could make the determination that in 1998, again you have the ability to interpret, in 1998, the ZBA granted the variance that was the only procedure required and, therefore, we're done. Period. We don't have to go back to the Planning Board, and again that you will discuss with your Town attorney.

The second question is, okay, the variance is good, but because you have to apply the law of today, the law of today says there is Planning Board, so we have to
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go back to the Planning Board and finalize the process because the finalization of the process is just the deeds, the two deeds at most because there is nothing obligating anybody from actually doing the deeds, there is a subdivision, but because subdivisions and somebody mentioned you file them with the County clerk, not a one lot separation you generally don't file, it depends on the procedures that the Town for, in the '90s up through many, even currently, I don't know whether your Planning Board makes the applicant file the subdivision map with the County clerk, you don't necessarily have to do that, it's up to the Village's procedures whether or not that gets done, but in any case --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But there would be a next logical step.

MS. MOORE: The next logical step for me, in my opinion, would be just do the deed so we put them in two separate titles so that we don't have a merger if the merger law ever changes and for some reason

ZBA - September 18, 2018 subdivision regulations or Zoning Board actions, whatever change; so you just want to do it to clean it up and, you know, just kind of have a clean process where the -what happens is, the assessors of the Town of Southold and real property would not give it its own tax map number without a, some form of action and generally, it's done by way of a deed when you record the deed, the County center and the assessor's office give you a new tax map number.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But there is a next logical step.

MS. MOORE: There is a logical step
which, if he had known at that time that he had gotten an approval, the logical step would have been deeds.

I don't know that it's mandatory, but that would have been, to me, a logical step. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: When he had gone, when he had gone to the Planning Board -MS. MOORE: In 2015.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. Just recently
when you -- ZBA - September 18, 2018

MS. MOORE: Oh, when we reapplied, yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and the Planning
Board said no, you'd have to go to Zoning, wouldn't the next administrative step for you, his attorney, to appeal that to the Village Board since decisions --

MS. MOORE: NO.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- by the Planning Board can be appealed to the Village Board? MS. MOORE: No.

The administrative law is that you have to appeal a determination and really, you sent it to building inspector and the appeal of the building inspector is the Zoning Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, before it went to the building inspector, it was in front of the Planning Board, so Planning Board told you you had to go to the Zoning Board, you went to the building inspector and applied for a building permit, that's how you got here.

MS. MOORE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So before you went
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        to the building inspector, couldn't you have
        just appealed to the --
            MS. MOORE: Gone to the Village Board?
            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Isn't that your
    next logical step in the administrative
    process?
    MS. MOORE: No. I'm not aware of that
    being --
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's what I'm
    seeing.
    MS. MOORE: Okay. There may be that
        procedure, and I'm now aware, I know the
        standard procedure is when you have a
        nonconformity, you need the variance to do
        it. Now, whether the Planning Board -- I
        don't know that the Planning Board itself
        can make the determination of whether the
        1998 decision was valid or invalid or
        binding, so that's why I don't believe that
        it would have made sense to go to the
        Village Board because the Village Board,
        their Village Attorney would probably say,
        well, it's really not a decision for the
        Village Board to make, it's a decision the ZBA - September 18, 2018 Zoning Board has to make, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur, you had something?

MR. TASKER: Yeah. I want to back up. I'm a little perplexed by the assertion that there was no Planning Board in 1998 because I'm looking at Section 150-28 of the Village code and it says the Planning Board is hereby constituted pursuant to Section 7718 of Village law and that was on May 16, 1996 by local law number 3, 1996.

So where does the idea that there was no Planning Board from 1998 come from?

MS. MOORE: I'd have to research that particular provision on whether or not a one-lot subdivision was considered within their jurisdiction. Generally, Planning Board subdivisions at that time would be major subdivisions, not a one-lot, whether you called it a setoff, the Town of Southold used to call them setoffs or a one-lot subdivision. Remember, however, it was being interpreted in 1998. Certainly the Zoning Board did not say, and now go to the
```
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    Planning Board; they felt, at least, in
    their decision that that was the end of the
    line, that was all that was needed to create
    that one lot, that division between the two
    homes.
    ```

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we don't have a complete record, so we don't know exactly what was in their minds.

MS. MOORE: But we do have a --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, we don't have a complete record.

MS. MOORE: I would --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- conditions or restrictions placed. We don't have a determination, so we don't have a complete record.

MS. MOORE: I would say that I think you have a complete record because there is a transcript, and other than the decision itself and the service or filing of the decision, whether it's with the Village clerk or giving it to the owner because that's the end process, as you know.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But there was no decision, you just told us, there is no ZBA - September 18, 2018 decision --

MS. MOORE: But the resolution, you know what happened because there was a public hearing and there was a resolution. If you read the minutes, and you read the resolution, that was it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And they're incomplete too.

MS. MOORE: What?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They're incomplete too, there's only a portion of them.

MS. MOORE: I can't tell you -- I mean, it was a simpler time in 1998.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Unfortunately, because it was simple then, complicates it now.

MS. MOORE: So be it, yeah, here we are.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the one other question that I might have for you is, the last sentence of this affidavit is that, I make this affidavit to correct and support my Zoning Board application.
```
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    What are we correcting?
    MS. MOORE: Oh. In the transcript, I
    apologize, it really is the transcript and
    it's --
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So not the
    application.
    ```
    MS. MOORE: No, the application was
    fine.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're going to
    change --
    MS. MOORE: Well, it says what it says,
if the application is -- there is no error
to my knowledge on the application. The
transcript was the mistake that I see on
page 41 of the transcript, paragraph 16 and
17, it says that in 2004 he sought the FOIL,
and it's actually 2014, so it was, I must
have when \(I\) was typing --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There's no
correction to the application?

MS. MOORE: No.

He was in my office and I very quickly had to pen it because I wanted to be sure that, you knew when, in fact, it occurred.
```
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    Did I send that to you because I have
    the original?
    ```
    Oh, I sent it before the hearing.
    Here's the original (handing).
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone for Ms.
    Moore?
    (No response.)
    Thank you.
    MS. MOORE: That's it?
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's it.
    Is there anyone else from the public
    that would like to speak?
    MS. JAEGER: Mary Ann Jaeger, 430 Front
Street, Greenport.
    I would really like to ask this Mr.
Tasker a question because he's been here for
a long time.
    In 1998, was the basketball court set
up on Third Street?
    MR. TASKER: I have no knowledge of
        that, the detail in that area.
            MR. CORWIN: I believe it was.
            MS. JAEGER: All right.
            Because I'm trying to figure out if ZBA - September 18, 2018 that was part of that property because I know at that time Len Jones owned quite a bit of property, that whole area; so I'm wondering was that -- if that basketball court wasn't there, then it would make sense for a division because you would have all that property. You know what I'm saying? You could divide it and make a driveway, another driveway. But if it wasn't there, that you can do, but with it being there, I don't even see how they could say they pass something like that. Especially when you have all those kids there playing. They're in jeopardy. You know.

And there was the barbershop there, was that still there when all these plans were made?

MR. CORWIN: I don't think so.
MS. JAEGER: They have to look back into those things, so I mean, that's my point of view. And I have been here for quite, many years. I remember the court, but not that early, so, and I've been here since '56, so that's a long time. ZBA - September 18, 2018

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else that would like to

MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street. I'm still confused and I've been to all these hearings, has that actual resolution been put on the record from 1998; and do you have a copy of that? I keep hearing that it was approved in the resolution. I have not seen it. I don't know if you have a copy of it, but it seems like there's a big elephant in the room that, oh, it was approved in '98 so we can just go ahead and do this now, but there doesn't seem to be any documentation.

I would think if you went through the whole process to subdivide a lot, that you wouldn't let it slip through your fingers and not follow it through, not have written proof, and then never subdivide. To me, it seems like they're trying to pull a rabbit out of a hat, saying, no, we got approval in 1998, so we shouldn't be here at all, and if we don't get our way, then we're gonna go
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    even further up.

Something doesn't seem right here, and I've been at all these hearings and I've listened to everything that's been said and then when you actually read the variances that are being asked for, these aren't small variances, some of these are astronomical. This is -- I mean the Village, I thought I was under the impression was trying to do away with nonconformity, not increasing. It also increases the danger in that area, like Mrs. Jaeger said, there's kids from birth all the way on up that are at the court all the time. It is a small entrance to get back to the two homes that are back there. To me, that should be looked into for the amount of years that that's been allowed to go on, that there are two nonconforming lots back there right now, it's just not on the books, and it's a very unsafe area.

I do -- I can't remember when the courts went in there either, and I've been here, you know, since I was born, so it's like I'm not really sure. I know a lot of ZBA - September 18, 2018 these lands were all merged, you know, it was all basically family that owned it and this piece got sold and this piece got sold. So I'm not sure what the lots were back in 1998. I personally feel this is one of the worst subdivisions of a property. The safety of everyone -- I come to these meetings and I look at that wall, I don't ever want to see that again. One of them is three years older than me, and when I look at those pictures, it's like it happened yesterday. I don't feel the Village should be approving things that are going to make a dangerous situation worse for everyone involved.

I wish someone from the Fire Department was here to weigh in on the fire aspect to it. Any rescue, any kind of first responders there, we're putting them in harm's way.

And like I said, these aren't little variances. I mean, one you need over 4,000, the other one was over 2,000 or 3,000 feet, that's not little. These are huge, and I'm
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listening to the application before this
where you're talking about a foot or two as 40
opposed to 4,000; and I would like to see
this denied and send a message, we're not
gonna keep chopping our lots just to put
more housing in.

Thank you.
MR. REED: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'm just gonna ramble for a minute.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address.
MR. REED: Mike Reed, 430 Front Street.
You go and propose a front yard setback at six point three, they have requiring the area variance of twenty-three point seven. Section 150-12A, Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum setback of thirty feet. Correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.
MR. REED: You go da, da, da, you get to the other one, four, they have 4,615 point three oh five square where they have a requirement 2,884 point six nine five, (unintelligible) words again, seventy-five,
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Let's get to the meat and potatoes. You go through every one of these, they don't qualify. It should be an open and shut case. We go through this every time, you know. The buck's got to stop someplace.

God bless you guys, it's a hard job. I'd pull my hair out, you know, doing some of this. Either that or I'd end up smacking somebody, just I'm old Greenport, that's just how it is.

I mean, you put these proposed setbacks for a reason, correct? And you're not, no malice intent with any of it, but, you know, it is what it is. They didn't come today, oh, today's Thursday, let's make the variance 2,000, setback five, you know, these are put in place for a reason.

Like Chatty said before, you go down Third Street by the basketball court summertime, there's cars there, you know, the kids are playing, cars are there, bikes are there. God forbid there is some -- how you gonna be able to rescue people with one
\begin{tabular}{ccc|}
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of the big trucks? I mean, safety is an & \\
issue also, it's not about the all mighty & \\
dollar. That's, you know, it's getting to \\
be ridiculous.
\end{tabular}

My family has been here for a long time, and I watch people come and go, you know what, but right is right, if you go by every one of these things, they do not qualify. There was a reason why these were put in motion, you know.

So I just hope you guys, you know, it's a hard job, God bless you all, but, you know, what's right is right.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else that would like to speak?

MS. MOORE: Did you want me to respond to anything?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's up to you.

MS. MOORE: Okay.
Chatty, I think it is, there she is, I'm going to read to you the resolution which was adopted November 18, 1998 and it's ZBA - September 18, 2018 what they have in their possession and it's a resolution, it says Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals. This was the public hearing and regular meeting November 18, 1998. It took up item 3 on the agenda, discussion and possible action on application of Stinky and wife, that's James Olinkiewicz for property division at 408 and 412 Third Street, Greenport and it gives the one Suffolk County Tax Map number.

The members examined the blueprints of the proposed subdivision, Thomas Higgins spoke to people in the area of the proposed subdivision and no one was in disagreement. He also felt that if left in present state, they would always be rental properties; if subdivided, he felt that there was a chance of them being owner-occupied at some point. Motion made by Thomas Monsell, seconded by Alicia Walker and carried to approve the application of Stinky and wife for minor subdivision of property for 408, 412 Third Street, Greenport. Again, the same Suffolk County Tax Map number.
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And it says, whereas a public hearing was held on October 21, 1998 and whereas no one came to the public hearing to speak against the application, and whereas the Board feels that the division of the property will enhance the property value of the area, and whereas dividing the property, it offers the possibility of improving the character of the area by having each lot individually owned and now, therefore, be resolved that the application be approved in a roll call vote of Chairman Dwayne Early, Thomas Higgins, Thomas Monsell, Alicia Walker and William Morini all approved, yes.

That's the resolution we keep talking about and I'd be happy to give you a copy of it. If you give me your address, I'll send it to you, or if we can get a copy, I'd be happy to give it to you.

I'm just going to repeat very briefly because the Board, God bless, you do have to put up with a lot, including lawyers, these are two existing homes, we're asking to subdivide, to put a line between the two
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existing homes. We have offered to keep, by covenant, as a condition either by the Planning Board, if it gets back to it, or even voluntarily because we offered that in our application, was to keep the single-family home as a single-family home which is more than most people would do. It's not the all mighty dollar, it's trying to improve the property.

My client is well known here. He does own a lot of properties, but \(I\) think if you talk to most of the tenants that he has, he's always offered more money for the rentals, he keeps -- he's very loyal to his tenants, he keeps good tenants. He, quite frankly, he operates as the Village Housing Authority in the sense that he finds rental, that is his mission. He feels that people deserve good rental, fair rental and that has been what he has been doing for the past ten, fifteen years.

In any point, you have a lot in front of you, but it can be very simple, it can be recognizing the 1998 variance. At the time
```
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    the '98 variance was done, they handled all
    the specific issues. It did not have to be
    identified item by item. They saw the
    property, they saw the setbacks and they
    were satisfied. We are prepared to go back
    to the Planning Board, but we would prefer
    not to, obviously. If this subdivision is
    recognized as having been approved in 1998,
    we finish and then we have -- and my
    recommendation would be for sure to put two
    deeds, even if the two deeds are in the same
    name, just to give the Suffolk County Tax
    Map office an ability to put a line through
    them, just so that in the future, if Mr.
    Olinkiewicz chooses to keep the two
    properties, obviously, if he sold one, the
    County would automatically put a line
    through the property, so that's what happens
    ultimately one way or another.
    I thank you and hope we get a favorable
    decision.
MS. NEFF: I have a question.
MS. MOORE: Sure.
MS. NEFF: The last thing you said

``` ZBA - September 18, 2018 about a line through. I have no idea what that meant, and you don't need to explain it because that's not the issue that we're talking about, but it sounds to me almost like an aside, and it opens up other cans of worms, but I just want to point out, which I think bears repeating, in 1998 before and now, no changes have been made to the buildings which have been there and used for the same purpose.

MS. MOORE: Exactly.
MS. NEFF: I might, if I hadn't read this document back in August the first time I saw it, before might actually think, I wouldn't say this out loud, of course, but I actually might think the same thing that Thomas Monsell said, that if they were owned separately, it might actually improve the housing stock. Remember, the houses are there, they have been rented, someone -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to correct you, Mr. Higgins said that. MS. NEFF: I'm sorry. He was one of the members, right? Anyway, it doesn't ZBA - September 18, 2018 matter.

As I said, I'm not going to actually say that, but \(I\) think it is important to say we are not increasing by considering this fresh, adding nonconformity to the Village any more than my house which exist, parts of it, six inches from the north property line. You know, the nonconformity of my house was baked in 1900, actually before 1900. These houses, we don't know how long they have been there. I actually think the basketball court, that land being owned by the Village, exactly when it was purchased from the previous owner, which excuse me, I can't remember your name.

MS. JAEGER: Ms. Jaeger.
MS. NEFF: Ms. Jaeger, was the person you mentioned who at one time owned the parcel and someone else owned a big section of that before that. I actually think the basketball court was after 1998, but whatever the case, these buildings accessed by that right of way have existed as rentals for a long time, and not been added to.
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So that's just my point. I think that we lose sight of that.

MS. MOORE: Thank you. Yes.

MS. NEFF: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, just to comment on that.

What we're also losing sight of is while we have this resolution in front of us, there's a part B to that and that's the contention, the Village's contention that the process wasn't completed. There wasn't a findings and determination made.

MS. MOORE: I'm not sure that -- I'm sorry, I'm not sure that that's a determination that -- I don't -- you have a Building Department that can only think of things in the black and white world. Their job is black and white, it's not the gray. Okay. So --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If only that were true.

MS. MOORE: I would say ninety percent of the Building Department, but I don't know.
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Looking at the manner in which
decisions were rendered in 1998, this was pretty much it. And as of 2010, I had decisions that the Board granted or denied and this was it. The only thing the Board did, and I think until most recently when you have counsel now that advises you, that you must have written decisions, that those decisions must be filed with the Village clerk and must be given to the applicant because that is how the law provides that notice should be given, but up until the last three months or so when your new Village counsel, you may on occasion have written decisions, I think you mentioned Mr. Tasker at one of our hearings that maybe there was a contentious one of a marina or something, something much more complicated that maybe the attorneys realized that they were going to be sued or somebody was going to have an issue with it, so they wanted to write a decision with all the bells and whistles and so on so that it would be a written decision. speculative, Ms. Moore

MS. MOORE: Well, I'm telling you I have --

MR. TASKER: They were routinely issuing such decisions, and this was, as I thought, around 1992, so it's not a new phenomenon that failed to be done in 1998.

MS. MOORE: I can only tell you that in this case, it failed to be done. In two other cases that \(I\) have had before this Board prior to this one, it failed to be done and we actually had to bring an Article 78 based on a transcript with no decision. So I can tell you that whether or not it was done or not done, all \(I\) can tell you as to this one, nothing more than this was done, so as far as it being an incomplete transcript, it's Village records, and I know, you know, sometimes things are found, somethings are misplaced, but I think it's pretty clear that the Board granted, at least, thank goodness, this transcript very clearly says that it was granted.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It also says that the only thing they took into consideration was lot coverage and parking. There's another portion of the notice of disapproval about the second building, or creating a lot with the building already on it, so I mean --

MS. MOORE: I'm reading the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- not everything was addressed at the time.

MS. MOORE: I'm looking at the notice of disapproval in 1998 and it says that, application dated August 18, '98 for subdivision, property to be subdivided on the address of the two properties, the tax map number, and it was based on the bulk and parking, bulk and parking regulations are the setbacks, that what you call the setback or the provision of the ordinance is bulk and parking.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And 150-6C, how would we resolve that? That was never taken into consideration.

MS. MOORE: I don't know what other
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        section you're referring to, sorry.
            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 150-6C of the Code
    of the Village states, no lot shall be
    formed from part of a lot already occupied
    by a building.
    MS. MOORE: I don't know how it was
    interpreted at the time. Apparently it did
    not seem to be applicable.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's not --
    MS. MOORE: I'm not sure it wasn't
    addressed. When I read that section, quite
    frankly, I'm not sure exactly what the
    intention behind it is, it's not clear, but
    they had, the same law was on the books and
    that was disregarded. The building
    inspector did not feel that that provision
    was applicable.
    MR. TASKER: This is all coulda,
    woulda, shoulda. You got the written record
    and that's all there is.
    MS. MOORE: Okay.
    MR. TASKER: All the speculation about
coulda, woulda, shoulda, did they, is
wasting time here with us.
```

ZBA - September 18, 2018 Page 54

MS. MOORE: But it's being raised by this Board. I'm merely responding, so if you don't feel it's relevant, okay. Fine. That why there's five of you.

Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Anyone else?
MS. JAEGER: Mary Ann Jaeger.
What I want to know is, you read this resolution that this man and his wife at the time were, they got this approved -- they got this approved with the resolution.

MS. MOORE: No. That's the building inspector.

MS. JAEGER: Well, anyway, if they did say that that was subdivision approved, why didn't you do it then if it was so mighty important, you wanted to make it beautiful and be beneficial?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not gonna -MS. JAEGER: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He didn't go to the meeting.

MS. JAEGER: I'm sorry about that, but
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you know what, it's important.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm just giving you
the information. He wasn't there for the
meeting. meeting

MS. JAEGER: If it was that important, he would make the meeting. Not this meeting because he's ill, but at that time, if he couldn't make it, his wife should have made it if it was so important. I know if I owned it and you gave me subdivision, you want to believe, I'd jump on the bandwagon and get stuff, I mean get it in order and start doing this, but that's me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't have an answer for that.

MS. MOORE: Don't have an answer.
MS. JAEGER: Thank you.
MR. HOLLID: Joe Hollid, 415 South Street.

Going over this with the Board of Appeals, is that a State-run operation or where are they at?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MR. HOLLID: Board of Appeals, where
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are they located?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Zoning Board of Appeals?

MR. HOLLID: Yeah. New York. Okay. That must be Albany or somewhere? I'm just curios because I'm wondering, you said there was only lot sizes involved when they approved this. Correct? They didn't have any variances involved in all this, did they at that time in 1998?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we read from the notice of disapproval in 1998 and it said bulk and parking --

MS. MOORE: Bulk and parking is the section.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So bulk would constitute setbacks and parking.

MR. HOLLID: Well, as far as parking, yeah, but they wouldn't have any listings as far as variances go, do they? I mean, as far as what they would -- I shouldn't say variances because that's what's on the Zoning Board today, but if they had all the information at that time for what they want,
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we don't know --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ms. Moore said it perfectly.

MR. HOLLID: Excuse me?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The applicant's attorney said it perfectly. It was a simpler time. It was a simpler time.

MR. HOLLID: It was a simpler time back then. Does that indicate that --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I understand --
MR. HOLLID: I honestly think this application is bogus as far as -- I don't think it should be approved one bit.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone
else that has something to say?
(No response.)
No.
What's the pleasure of the Board?
MR. CORWIN: I make a motion the public hearing be closed.

MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
. TASKER: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Item number 6 is discussion and possible motion on the area variances sought by Laura DiCapua for the property located at 416 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944. Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-5-12.

Members, is there decision on this application?

MR. CORWIN: Well, I would like to see the approval go ahead, notwithstanding any question on the front steps, accepting the application as it is and then with a twelve point five feet variance rather than fourteen point five feet.

MS. NEFF: Could you repeat, make the change exactly what change you're requesting?

MR. CORWIN: The question came up last week or last month about the steps in the front, whether they should have been
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    included in the variances application, and
    my recommendation or thoughts would be that
    we do not, in this particular application,
    say the steps have to be included.
    The other part of the variance was for
    a fourteen-point-five-foot variance on the
    carport, and I would like to see
    twelve-point-five-foot variance for the
    carport.
            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Those numbers are
        --
            MR. CORWIN: I may be wrong about the
        numbers, but --
```

            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're talking about
        the distance, there's a side yard, the
        numbers are wrong.
            You would be content to let the
        Building Department square the steps away
        with the applicant.
            MR. CORWIN: Yes.
            MS. NEFF: Yes.
            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm okay with it.
            Arthur?
            MR. TASKER: I'm sorry, I'm not quite ZBA - September 18, 2018 following what we are doing.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The applicant is
asking -- she needs a thirty-foot setback in front.

MR. TASKER: It's twenty-three --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- would add to that, necessary variance.

And the steps, the applicant's architect Mr. Uellendahl, told us that the steps are going to be three feet.

I'm willing to let the Building Department decide if the steps are, in fact -- it was always my understanding that the steps in the Incorporated Village, in Southold, they have a different standard, in the Incorporated Village, like I said in the past, chimney bump outs and bilco doors weren't considered, but that -- with different administration in the Building Department that became a different issue. As far as the front steps, I'd be willing to, at least myself, I would be willing to defer to the Building Department in their -MR. TASKER: So we are not gonna act ZBA - September 18, 2018 that portion of that -- we're not gonna act on that variance?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. We would act on the six-and-a-half-foot variance for the front yard.

MR. TASKER: I don't see how we do that.

MS. GORDON: If we act on the variance, you're saying we would be assuming that the steps were an extension that was not included in the calculations about the size of the building and placement of the building?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think what I'm saying is that if we acted on that variance, it would be conditioned on whatever it says in the International Fire and Building Code, whatever the Building Department says --

MR. TASKER: I don't think the building code addresses side yard setbacks.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, actually, in Southold, they actually do, they don't consider front steps as part of --

MR. TASKER: My point exactly, that's

ZBA - September 18, 2018 the local zoning code, if you will, local code, it has nothing to do with the International Building Code. The International Building Code isn't answering that question as to how deep the front yard setback is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's not addressed in our code, and we know if it's not addressed in our code, it's because the code is permissive. There is no reference to front steps in the Zoning code in the Incorporated Village.

MS. GORDON: Doesn't that make the decision easy? I would think that would make the decision easy. If we think it's a very small issue, and we're prepared to accept the twenty-three-foot variance for rest of the proposed property, proposed building, the permittivity of the code is a plus.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My only concern is that perhaps if and when this progresses to the Building Department for a building permit, someone looks at it and said the
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    steps are part of the structure, you can't
    build these steps because they would be
    encroaching on the front yard an additional
    three feet, and that this application would
    come back to us.
    MR. CORWIN: So let's give them the
    addition of three feet now.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Without the
    attorney here, I'm not sure we can do that.
    MR. CORWIN: We can do that.
    MR. TASKER: I think we can give them
        less than they ask for, but not more.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think we can give
        them less, David, but not -- and to give
        them an extra three feet, it opens another,
        a whole other, we noticed the public
        hearing.
```

    MR. TASKER: Let me say, I'm not in
        favor of dragging this out and making it
        difficult, but I think we may be heading
        down a road that's gonna make things
        difficult for ourselves in future cases.
            CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen, anything?
            MS. NEFF: The garage shows four steps,
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correct?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's an architectural rendering.

MS. NEFF: But two of them are projection of the porch and window, I think we're talking about whatever the measurement of the reach of the steps --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Three feet.
MS. NEFF: -- does not significantly
change what we would entertain about a request for a variance for the front lawn.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I agree with you -MR. TASKER: That's not --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- but that's not what they're asking for. They're asking for a six-and-a-half-foot variance when, in fact, if we consider these steps part of the front yard, part of the structure, they would need nine-and-a-half feet. So I mean, would that be routine if it came in front of us? Yes. But that's not what's in front of us.

We still, as folksy and as neighborly as we would like to make this, there is ZBA - September 18, 2018 still a process that we have to follow. There is still a process that we're obligated to follow.

I personally don't believe we can give the additional three feet without going through the whole process again, without amending the application. If the attorney was here, we perhaps could add to it, but he's not here.

Again, my concern is that today or tomorrow somebody looks at this building permit after the application is made and points out that there is no accommodation in our code for the front steps, there is no relief for the applicant for front steps as not being part of, or not being included in the front yard setback, it comes back to us because they can't build it without a building permit. They can't get a building permit without that variance. Unless those three feet can be incorporated into the ten-foot porch, the porch is ten feet wide, if you can incorporate those three feet into the porch, seven feet from the front door to

ZBA - September 18, 2018 the first step, we can move on to the carport.

MR. UELLENDAHL: May I?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MR. UELLENDAHL: I think your Board has to make a decision, it cannot be made by the Building Department. I mean, I looked at this part as well and first there is always a solution, if we don't want to re-file the application, I can come --

MS. NEFF: Because of that engine, I can't hear you.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Can you hear me now?
Of course, there is always the solution to a problem, and I discussed it with Laura this afternoon, and I think she is willing to, not cave in, it's difficult for her, but to accept my -- which I am going to show you a solution where we have the steps inside the porch without creating a safety issue. (Handing.)

There is a, this is basically --
(Mr. Uellendahl approaches the Board and speaks softly.)
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So I submitted a revised drawing for the front steps which show the steps inside the porch without affecting the variance as stated in the disapproval and that would end the discussion about that portion of the variance, the front porch.

We can do it, and the owner, the applicant agreed that this is a solution that she can live with.

MS. GORDON: May I ask a question?
So you would put a railing there?
MR. UELLENDAHL: We have two railings on both sides, but they're set in, and I can show you also an elevation. Actually, I can give you -- it's a minor change in the elevation.
(Mr. Uellendahl approaches the Board and speaks softly.)

So the revised elevation that I
submitted shows two railings on both sides, the stairs are approximately four-foot-nine wide with two railings that will be attached to the proposed porch posts as proposed obviously.


MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That certainly works for me.

MS. NEFF: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MR. CORWIN: Yes.
MS. GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MS. NEFF: Of course I'm going to say yes because my house has exactly this and it also covers you from the rain as you go down the steps.

MR. UELLENDAHL: This is what she likes about it.

MS. DiCAPUA: But the carport being made smaller --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not talking about that right now.

MS. DiCAPUA: I'm still getting wet in the driveway.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We, I believe, have come to kind of a consensus on the front
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yard setback. And now, about the carport.
Do we have any thoughts about the carport?

MR. TASKER: It's pretty wide.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Pretty wide. I
think David compromised his -- I think the numbers that someone in the audience did the math is, I mean, between the width of the car, the width of the car and with both doors fully extended is a hundred thirty-two inches, it's eleven feet. I mean, that seems -- and to give the extra two feet, it gives an extra two feet, you know, side yard, I'm --

MS. GORDON: May I?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.

MS. GORDON: It seems to me that what we're trying to do here is figure out a balance between the risk of such a narrow area on the west side that it's dangerous for getting through, as I understand David's talking about it, the risk of that on the one hand, but the risk of the inconvenience of not being able really to open the doors ZBA - September 18, 2018 on both sides fully wide. And I come down on the greater safety, accepting a little bit of inconvenience. I mean, it means, I guess that on the passenger side -- well, no, it depends on -- having a little trouble seeing this in my mind's eye, but it seems to me that it makes it more inconvenient, creates an inconvenience on the passenger side that is unfortunate, but less important than the risk of a safety problem if we don't put more space on the western side.

MR. CORWIN: Let me just say so the record is clear, I'm not concerned with access to the backyard. I'm concerned with the clearance of one structure to another and the potential for fire to jump from one structure to another and joining houses.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My concern is that our mandate says we'll grant the variance that enables her to accomplish her goal, I'm paraphrasing, accomplish the goal and, I mean, the car and with the doors wide open and width of the car is eleven feet, I think we kind of are been moving around the

ZBA - September 18, 2018 twelve-and-a-half-foot mark. I just don't understand how that's, you know, it's a compromise that seems reasonable. We can park this car under this carport with both doors open. We can park this car under a twelve-and-a-half-foot carport with both doors open and not get wet. I mean, isn't that our, isn't that the goal of this request, to be able to park the car under a carport with both doors open and not get hit by rain?

MR. TASKER: That's the ask.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's the ask.
The ask is fourteen-and-a-half feet.
MR. TASKER: Yeah.
The ask is for that convenience. The question is how big should the ask be. This seems to be excessive because, frankly, it could probably be about eight feet wide and open both doors, and on one side a person is going to be getting out of the rain. I do that all the time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there a number that you have, is there --
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MR. TASKER: I think Mr. Corwin
suggested a couple of feet --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Twelve-and-a-half.
MR. TASKER: Yes.
MS. NEFF: He suggested a four-foot
difference or was it five feet?
MR. CORWIN: Well, that would be two feet less than the carport, in area variance it's for nine point five feet, if the carport is twelve point five instead of fourteen point five, then the variance should be seven point five feet as I understand it.
MS. GORDON: Mr. Chairman, you just said we can reduce the variance, we can't increase it, and this is what is proposed and it seems to be reasonable and it comes from the engineer on our Board.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I don't have a problem with asking for the Board to consider this. I mean, if we think this is reasonable, we think this is -- I mean, we can certainly put that up for vote.
Ellen, do you have an opinion?

```
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MS. NEFF: I think what the applicant asked for -- I keep coming back to that, in that what exactly are we saying? I understand what Mr. Corwin is suggesting the structure which, again won't pass through is close to part of the house to the west, excuse me, to the east, but actually, there is pretty good relatively speaking to many houses in Greenport, this space is a rather large space. I don't know whether it's fifteen, I don't know how many feet it is, but I think that the amount of difference you're suggesting, Mr. Corwin, that it could actually be, I would suggest making that a smaller number. In other words, you might ask the applicant to revise it by two feet rather than four feet.
(Several members of the Board speak simultaneously.)

MS. NEFF: I said a couple of times, you're talking about four feet, and no one clearly corrected me. I apologize.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The carport is fourteen-and-a-half feet.
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MS. NEFF: Let's not confuse me, John, I got --

MR. CORWIN: So everybody understands, the applicant is saying from the structure to the property line, she's asking for two feet open space, and as I'm talking, I'm saying they would end up with a four-foot open space for side yard setback.

MR. TASKER: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I'm looking at the notice of disapproval as a starting point and if you look at the second portion of it, it starts, the proposed side yard setback is two feet requiring an area variance of eight feet. If we follow, which I rather concur with Mr. Corwin, that would become the proposed side yard setback is four feet requiring an area variance of six feet and further down you can combine similarly where the notice reads the proposed combined side yard setback is five point five feet, that becomes seven point five feet requiring an area variance of seventeen point five rather than nineteen point five. In other words,
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it moves it over two feet and that's the car parking setbacks and variances that accomplish that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But before we did the math on the side yards --

MR. TASKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- we had to decide how big the carport was going to be, that's when you do the math for the setback.

MR. TASKER: Yes.
MS. GORDON: Can I call the questions?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ask the five questions on this, the Board would have to decide exactly what we're going to do.

MS. GORDON: Can we make the motion?
MR. CORWIN: We have to do --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have to do SEQRA.

MS. DiCAPUA: Can I make a request?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna let her ask.

MS. DiCAPUA: Would it be possible because I won't back into the spot, there are young children -- where's Joe, he's got ZBA - September 18, 2018 two young kids, he has two young grandkids. I will not back out of my driveway, he himself parks the way I do. I will back into my driveway and pull out so I can see in front of me, so I'm gonna be backing up no matter what the decision is. Can I ask if we in the middle, maybe do three feet?

MR. CORWIN: You have to back either in or out, so I'm not gonna listen to that argument.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The other thing you're not listening to is, this Board, the variance has to be the minimum that you need to be able to, I don't want to say accomplish your goal because those aren't the right words. You're asking to be able to back into a parking space on your property and be able to open your doors and have a cover over that as you do that, and if we use a mathematical equation, \(I\) mean, a carport that's eleven feet wide allows you to do that. Mr. Corwin suggested twelve-and-a-half feet, an extra foot-and-a-half, \(I\) guess in case you get out ZBA - September 18, 2018 of the car and turn with -- I don't know. I think it's a reasonable compromise.
MS. DiCAPUA: Well, there's stairs on one side and a column on the other side.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you want us to consider the fourteen-and-a-half-foot carport without a compromise, we'll do that.
MS. DiCAPUA: I'm sorry, you lost me.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you want us -if that compromise is not agreeable to you, we'll just vote on the application the way it's in front of us.
MS. DiCAPUA: I was just asking for middle ground because I have to clear that pole, the column and stairs.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. We're done with that. We're gonna move on.
Do we have a number in mind or are we gonna just decide what we think is right, we'll take the application as it's in front of us?
MR. CORWIN: My number is for a twelve-point-five-foot carport which I think is generous, and I have to note there are
```
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apparently two notices of disapproval on
this change, so we may be looking at different things, Mr. Chairman. I'm not sure which one is the latest, I have May 24, 2018.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have May 14th.
MR. TASKER: I too have May 14th.
We can ask the Building Department, is there a reason there are two notices of disapproval?

MS. LINGG: I don't recall that it was changed, but I do have, I have another one from February, I believe it was, and the correct one is the May 14th.

MR. TASKER: May 14 th is correct?
MS. LINGG: Correct.
MR. TASKER: That's the one that I'm looking at.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's what I have.
Excuse me one second.

Okay, this is the correct one. This is the correct one, May 14 th is the correct one.

I'm going to make a motion that the
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Zoning Board of Appeals appoint itself lead agency for the purpose of SEQRA.

So moved.
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
I'm gonna make a motion that this is a Type 2 action.

So moved.
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
We'll go through these five questions.
We're gonna take -- are we gonna take the application as a whole and add a condition afterwards or are we gonna --
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            MS. GORDON: We could take the -- there
are three items, we could take the first
item and the second and third together
because the third item is the combined side
yard setback.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would be easy,
    it would make it easy for us if the
    applicant agreed to the
    twelve-and-a-half-foot carport and --
    MS. DiCAPUA: I'll agree. I'll agree.
    I'll agree.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And then this way
    we can just vote on.
    MR. UELLENDAHL: John, she agrees.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We heard her.
    This way we can just adjust these
    numbers since they're less than, and vote on
    them with the correct numbers.
    Is that good?
    MR. TASKER: Why don't we put a motion
        on the table and against that we can then
        consider the five questions where we're
        shooting at an absolute target of numbers.
    I'm not making myself clear.
```
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MS. GORDON: You gave the numbers which include the implications of changing. MR. TASKER: Right, of the two-foot change.

Put a motion on the table to grant variances to these revised extents, we can then consider the five questions in the light of that motion. Consider the five questions and then address the motion and vote.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So I'm gonna change these and make that motion that we accept that as --

MR. TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have to do the math.

MS. GORDON: What is the combine -Arthur had it. What is the combined --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The combined side yard setback is five point five feet, so it will be seven point five feet, requiring an area variance of seventeen point five feet.

MR. TASKER: Two and give four and have six.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So this becomes four and this becomes six.

I'm gonna make a motion that we accept these revised side yard setbacks.

MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
We're gonna take both variances, the front yard and side yards as one, correct?

MS. GORDON: Yes.
Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood and a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variances.

David?
MR. CORWIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini?
MS. GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen?
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. NEFF: No. |  |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur? |  |
| 4 | MR. TASKER: No. |  |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no. |  |
| 6 | Whether the benefit sought by the |  |
| 7 | applicant can be achieved by some method |  |
| 8 | feasible for the applicant to pursue other |  |
| 9 | than an area variance. |  |
| 10 | David? |  |
| 11 | MR. CORWIN: No. |  |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini? |  |
| 13 | MS. GORDON: No. |  |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen? |  |
| 15 | MS. NEFF: No. |  |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur? |  |
| 17 | MR. TASKER: No. |  |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote no. |  |
| 19 | Whether the requested area variance is |  |
| 20 | substantial. |  |
| 21 | David? |  |
| 22 | MR. CORWIN: Yes. |  |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini? |  |
| 24 | MS. GORDON: Yes. |  |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen? |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. NEFF: No. |  |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur? |  |
| 4 | MR. TASKER: Yes. |  |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote yes. |  |
| 6 | Whether the proposed variance will have |  |
| 7 | an adverse effect or impact on the physical |  |
| 8 | or environmental conditions in the |  |
| 9 | neighborhood or district. |  |
| 10 | David? |  |
| 11 | MR. CORWIN: No. |  |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini? |  |
| 13 | MS. GORDON: No. |  |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen? |  |
| 15 | MS. NEFF: No. |  |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur? |  |
| 17 | MR. TASKER: No. |  |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote no. |  |
| 19 | Whether the alleged difficulty was |  |
| 20 | self-created which consideration shall be |  |
| 21 | relevant to the decision of the Board of |  |
| 22 | Appeals but not necessarily preclude the |  |
| 23 | granting of an area variance. |  |
| 24 | David? |  |
| 25 | MR. CORWIN: Yes. |  |
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    MR. TASKER: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
    MS. DiCAPUA: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: }7\mathrm{ is discussion and
    possible motion on the area variance sought
    by James Olinkiewicz for the property
    located at 412 Third Street, Greenport, New
    York 11944.
    Suffolk County Tax Map number
    1001-4-2-4.6.
    Members?
    MR. CORWIN: Well, I'll make a couple
    of comments. Why is it every time I go by
    the place, I look at the garbage container,
    the roll-off container there, and it's
    always overflowing with garbage. I think to
    myself, you know, if those two houses are
    owned by separate individuals, and there is
    a problem like that, it's harder for the
    Village to pursue that problem if it's two
    separate owners. If it's one owner, the
    Village, if they chose to pursue that
    problem of overflowing garbage, I would
    point out that I believe Suffolk County
```
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Sanitary Code says garbage has to be in a closed container for obvious reasons and it's certainly not a plastic garbage bag, it's a metal container. That's one thing that's going through my mind.

There's one owner and the piece of property that isn't really set up the way we would like to see it set up is easier to be policed by the Village.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What was the word?
MR. CORWIN: I'll say police or enforce ordinances by the Village if it's warranted.

Now, I go back several years when this application came before the Planning Board and I was in the audience and the Village's consultant Glenis Barry and the building inspector at the time, Mrs. Wingate, were quite excited about this application because they saw it in terms of, if it's subdivided, it would be two separate pieces of property and they would be lowering the value than the one piece of property so somebody with less of an income, two people with less income could afford to buy the properties,

ZBA - September 18, 2018 and I run that over in my mind too. That's a good argument.

But then we went on the field inspection, and I looked at pieces of property, and I thought to myself, boy, they're in rough shape. It's going be a lot of money to fix those things up, and the attorney for the applicant said they were in need of renovation at this time. And I'm looking at these renovations going on around Greenport and those houses don't compare to some of the houses being renovated, but renovations aren't cheap.

Then I looked at the guys playing basketball, it's a nice facility basketball court, it's well used, and I said to myself, gee, who is gonna come along and buy a piece of property that's not gonna be cheap to begin and then throw 1 or $\$ 2,000$ or more into the piece of properties to have a single-family dwelling, who can even afford it on anything less than a good income? Who with a low income can buy one of those pieces of property? I have no idea what ZBA - September 18, 2018 they would go for, but it wouldn't be inexpensive and then put the money into it to renovate, and then walk out the front door and there's a bunch of guys there playing basketball. They're all nice guys playing basketball, I'm glad they have the recreation facility, but $I$ know $I$ wouldn't want them playing basketball next door to me. I have enough stuff going on next door to me as it is.

So I'm wondering if that argument you would have two low income properties is really valid, and I'm leaning towards the argument that, if it's one owner, then any ordinance, Village law enforcement is easier with one owner than it is with two owners if their properties aren't fixed up in good condition.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini, did you have something?

MS. GORDON: I would say that this is sort of like Arthur's comment about woulda, coulda, shoulda, we don't know what would be done with those properties.

I'm going to expose my contention and hope it's understood. I think that the process in 1998 was not completed, and I'm concerned about the legality of just riding over that, so I don't think there was a -- I don't think we can simply dismiss this on the basis that there was a pre-existing approval, so then the question about subdivision seems to me very difficult for a lot of reasons.

The inadequacy of the right of way is something that concerns me, and the requests are very substantial for at least one of two properties. So I intend to vote negatively on this proposal, but $I$ do think that this shows sort of the limitations of the whole notion of zoning. I mean, zoning has the complicated task of trying to balance the interests of the homeowner, the property owner and the interests of the Village, and it just seems to me that this is -- last time Ms. Moore emphasized the uniqueness of this piece of property. On the one hand, there are lots of properties in the Village
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that are nonconforming, but there aren't
many with these strange flag lot
combinations, it's a very difficult piece of
property to develop in any responsible way
that protects owners and the Village. So I
think it's a shame that we can't find a
solution to this that does a better job for
both the owners and the Village.
I don't think -- I don't know what two
owners would do. You might find two owners
that were non-occupants of property, but you
find owner occupancy which you don't have
now. I'm not prepared to vote to approve
this proposal, but I'm very uncomfortable
with what we're saying by disapproving it
about how an awkwardly shaped piece of
property, which after all, Mr. Olinkiewicz
didn't choose the weird shape of, can be
dealt with.
That's my comment.
MR. TASKER: But he did choose to buy
it several times.
MS. GORDON: Yes, well, I think he had
different, probably different purposes when
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he bought the property than he has now because he would like to be able to sell it more easily, and I understand that. That's part of what we acknowledge when we say zoning is balancing the interest of the individual property owner and the Village.

MR. TASKER: I think we are probably in substantial agreement philosophically that Mr. Higgins' remarks regarding the value of subdividing this that were made at a 1998 hearing that there was a, if subdivided, he felt there was a chance of them being
owner-occupied at some point. I think just the rough total with the numbers that David and Dini took suggested that's probably an impracticality and he was wrong at the time, Mr. Higgins was wrong at the time and he's probably more wrong today because the price of poker in the Village of Greenport has changed.

MS. NEFF: Price of what?

MR. TASKER: Price of poker in the Village of Greenport, real estate, to be in the real estate game, which is what this is, ZBA - September 18, 2018 had changed substantially and certainly is even less attractive perhaps or less potentially viable as two owner-occupied buildings as suggested by my colleagues.

MS. NEFF: I have something to say.
MR. TASKER: One more second.
Let's talk about the variances because that's what we're here for.

MS. NEFF: All right. I agree with my colleagues in part, each and every one of them, although, I don't know exactly what John's going to say. Definitely code enforcement would improve so many things in this Village, I totally agree, but it's beyond our purview.

How do we balance, it is always in the background, balance the needs of the applicant, the requests of the applicant? I think in this case, the applicant, with his history, and as an aside, I think it would be very interesting to know what the price of this property as it passed hands back and forth between whatever, would be interesting and I think that the speculation that this
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property is worth, perhaps, more -- actually
the taxes would probably be higher as two parcels and the Village would benefit from that.

We are asked to basically accept a proposal of 1998 and make it possible for the owner to now have two parcels, two deeds. What happens to it after that? We don't know. I don't know whether there are a lot of reasons that speak to me why the applicant should not have two parcels, two deeds given that the existence of what's there is not the question. It was in '98, it is today, we're not talking about building something, we're talking about something that is. And I think clarity in this matter would help. What actually will happen, I have no idea.

I'm finished.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I highlighted some stuff from the applicant's narrative about why the variances should be granted.

Two structures on one parcel are not conducive to homeownership because they are

ZBA - September 18, 2018 separate dwellings. The use is most likely to remain as rentals. I kind of -- and subdivision of the property will provide greater opportunity for owner occupancy.

I disagree with the first part of that. I mean, they could certainly be sold as they are as an investment property. Someone that's interested in investment property, as the current owner is or as a mother/daughter.

The applicant goes on to say there's no material change to the property or to the neighborhood since the variances were first granted in 1998.

We know that's not true. I mean, in Greenport in the last twenty years.

There's no feasible alternative for the applicant to pursue.

The property can go on being used as it is now as investment property.

Whether the area variance is substantial. The proposed lots have been previously approved under more rigorous zoning standards in place in 1998. The
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    standards for an area variance are less
    under present law.
    I'm gonna have to dispute that too.
    Whether the requested variance will
    have an adverse effect on the impact of
    physical environmental conditions of the
    neighborhood.
    To separate the two because of the
    shared economy dynamic the way it is, we can
    see if that's the way these properties go,
    which we have to look at it, is less chance
    for long-term rentals because of the
    short-term rental property current dynamic,
    and the increased intensity of use because
    of that, I think offsets that argument.
    Whether the alleged difficulty was
    self-created.
    That goes without saying.
    In the affidavit there's a mention of,
    that the Board never filed its decision with
    the Village clerk and never sent a copy,
    decision to the applicant.
    This is the one thing, the property
        owner assumed his application had been
```
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    denied. This kind of, out of all the things
    in this application, the applicant went
    through the time and effort and expense to
    progress an application in front of the
    Zoning Board of Appeals and then just chose
    never to show up, and the onus all of a
    sudden became on the Village.
    I'm not sure-- I'm not sure -- the
    applicant makes the contention that a
    variance runs with the land. Nobody has a
    problem with that. I have a problem with
    this variance because of the procedural
    errors that were made, in fact, is it even
    in effect, so if it's not, it doesn't run
    with the land.
    There's no time limit on variance
    except Village Code 157-28K, unless
    construction is commenced and diligently
    pursued within six months. The applicant's
    attorney and I disagree what the next
    logical step in construction, how do you
    diligently pursue a variance, subdivisions.
    I think it's semantics, to me personally, I
    think it's semantics. We found out that the
``` next -- we agreed that the next logical step in the process would be filing the deed and that wasn't done within the six months time limit.

MR. TASKER: If, in fact, a variance was granted.

ChAIrman SALADINO: If, in fact, the variance was granted.

And there's been no change in the circumstances since the Zoning Board granted the approval of variance and the subdivision of the property. Again -- that's kind of my thoughts.

MR. TASKER: As I suggested before and not facetiously, let's deal with our business at hand, and that is to address the specific variances that are requested that deal with setbacks and area of the subdivided, proposed subdivided lots.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I agree.
MR. TASKER: I think that several of us have been addressing the nature of the property, how it's used presently, not in 1998, I don't think there is a substantial ZBA - September 18, 2018 difference, but how it's presently used and so forth that the variances that are being requested are so substantial that it doesn't make sense to create two parcels that are substantially out of conformity when there already is a parcel that has enough problems of its own, whether they're economic or otherwise.

And that-- well, I'll pause there for someone else to get a chance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This Board would have to get around creating a second lot that's already occupied by a building. I don't, I don't -- the last four subdivisions -- that last four applications that came before this Board that the ultimate goal was to subdivide the property, we understand the interpretations have the power or precedence, variances don't, but they should be, they should be --

MR. TASKER: -- considered.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- considered.
And so if we take the fact that undersized lots and portions of property are
\[
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\] already occupied by a building were not received that well by this Board, we have to consider those decisions when we make these decisions.

The front yard on lot 1, an area variance is twenty-three point seven feet. The rear yard is ten point four feet, requiring a variance of nineteen point six feet. The proposed lot area is 4,600 square feet requiring an area variance of 2,884 square feet. These taken in totality, they add up to substantial variances that are being requested.

For lot 2, it's even greater. The side yard setback is three point four feet requiring an area variance of six point six feet. The rear yard is three point one requiring an area variance of twenty-six point nine, and the lot area is 3,393 square feet requiring the area variance of 4,100 square feet.

Plus the fact no one, we have had no one speak in favor of it from the public. I mean, we have had no one speak in favor of

ZBA - September 18, 2018 this application. We had mention of someone, but we don't know who that -- there was no name so, so I'm gonna --

MS. GORDON: Even if you accept
Ms. Moore's perspective on what should be the front yard and what should be the setbacks, which I do, I do accept that, I think that's a more logical approach to calculating the setbacks, but still you have these very, very large area variances required and, you know, when you look at the photograph that we have been given, there are these tiny little spaces already. If you subtract the cars that are parked there and it seems to me that one of the most basic aspects of the code in this regard is the supposition that we don't want smaller lots. There are so many small lots as it is, so for me, the sort of fundamental problem is that the area, the lot size, I don't see any way of getting around that. You can't have one without the other.

Also one lot is much worse than the other lot that's being proposed. ZBA - September 18, 2018

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To expand on that, Diana, to consider 2,378 feet of right of way, to consider half of that, which would be, I guess 1150, and consider that part of the flag lot, it's not buildable, it's, to me, it almost to me reminds me of a 12 or 10,000-square-foot piece of property flag lotted with a mile long driveway and 7,000, 8,000 square feet of that property is driveway. The lot is just, it doesn't make sense to me to consider that as lot area for a subdivision.

I'm sorry to interrupt you. MS. GORDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What's the pleasure of the Board? Do you want to talk about this a little more? We can do SEQRA and confer about this a little more.

MR. CORWIN: I'd like to make a motion
that the Zoning Board of Appeals declares itself the lead agency for SEQRA.

MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
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MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
There is a second part.
MR. CORWIN: I'd like to make a motion
that the Zoning Board of Appeals declare
this a Type 2 SEQRA proceeding.
MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Do we want to talk about this
application a little more? Anyone?
(No response.)
I would like to ponder a few more
minutes. We have sixty-two days to make this decision. We can certainly make this decision next month or the month after.

Perhaps with the attorney here, he can shed light on a question or two that might come
\[
\text { ZBA - September 18, } 2018
\]
up.
MS. GORDON: But you leave the hearings closed.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The hearing has been closed, but we have sixty-two days. We closed the public hearing tonight, we have sixty-two days from tonight to make a decision.

Am I getting that right?
MR. TASKER: And if we don't, it's a denial?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Pardon?

MR. TASKER: And if we don't make a decision, it's a denial?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to make a decision.

MR. TASKER: It's not gonna get away from us is what I'm saying.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. I don't see any reason why it would get away from us.

MS. GORDON: Maybe we should assume that we will discuss it, we'll be fresher if we put it off for a month and discuss it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm perfectly okay
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with that if that's the pleasure of the Board.

So we'll put it on our agenda for next month and we'll talk about it a little more next month.

Is that okay?
David?

MR. CORWIN: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen?
MS. NEFF: Um-hum.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dini?

MS. GORDON: Are you going to entertain a motion to adjourn?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have to.
Adjourn what?
MS. GORDON: This meeting.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There is another agenda item.

MS. GORDON: Sorry.
I guess I'm eager for my dinner.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 8, any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might come before this Board?
(No response.)
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\hline & 102/24 103/9 103/11 104/2 & 157-28K [1] 97/18 \\
\hline AUDIENCE MEMBER: [4] 4/4 & 104/21 105/12 105/16 105/19 & 16 [3] 3/6 31/11 34/16 \\
\hline 14/11 14/14 14/18 & 106/4 106/6 & 17 [2] 2/16 34/17 \\
\hline CHAIRMAN SALADINO: [199] & MS. JAEGER: [10] 35/13 & 18 [5] 1/9 21/19 42/25 43/6 \\
\hline MR. CORWIN: [46] \(2 / 112 / 22\) & 35/23 36/19 48/16 54/8 54/15 & 52/14 \\
\hline 3/13 13/19 14/3 14/9 14/16 & 54/21 54/24 55/5 55/17 & 19 [1] 107/9 \\
\hline 14/21 15/12 19/24 20/4 35/22 & MS. LINGG: [2] 78/11 78/16 & 1900 [2] 48/10 48/10 \\
\hline 36/18 57/20 58/2 58/13 58/22 & MS. MOORE: [47] 20/17 23/17 & 1992 [1] 51/8 \\
\hline 59/12 59/20 63/6 63/10 68/8 & 23/23 24/14 25/23 27/20 28/14 & 1996 [2] 31/12 31/12 \\
\hline 70/12 72/7 74/3 75/16 76/8 & 28/22 28/25 29/7 29/10 29/23 & 1998 [38] 21/25 22/9 22/14 \\
\hline 77/22 79/8 79/18 82/9 82/21 & 30/3 30/7 30/11 31/14 32/9 & 23/24 24/5 25/5 25/19 26/2 \\
\hline 83/10 83/21 84/10 84/24 85/11 & 32/12 32/17 33/3 33/10 33/13 & 26/12 26/15 26/16 30/19 31/7 \\
\hline 85/19 86/12 87/11 102/19 & 33/18 34/2 34/7 34/11 34/21 & 31/14 31/24 33/15 35/19 37/9 \\
\hline 103/2 103/6 103/13 105/8 & 35/9 42/18 42/21 46/23 47/11 & 37/24 39/6 42/25 43/6 44/3 \\
\hline & 49/3 49/13 49/22 51/3 51/9 & 45/25 46/9 47/8 48/22 50/3 \\
\hline MR. HOLLID: [7] 55/18 55/24 & 52/8 52/11 52/24 53/6 53/10 & 51/9 52/13 56/11 56/13 90/4 \\
\hline 56/4 56/18 57/4 57/8 57/11 & 53/21 53/25 54/13 55/16 56/14 & 92/11 94/7 95/15 95/25 98/25 \\
\hline MR. KIEHL: [1] 18/22 & MS. NEFF:[43] 2/12 2/23 3/14 & 19th [1] 107/15 \\
\hline MR. REED: [3] 40/8 40/12 & 14/8 20/5 25/21 46/22 46/24 & 2 \\
\hline 40/20 & 58/19 59/21 63/24 64/4 64/9 & 2,000 [2] 39/24 41/18 \\
\hline MR. TASKER: [63] 2/10 2/21 & 68/11 68/6 68/11 72/5 72/25 & \[
\text { 2,378 [1] } 102 / 3
\] \\
\hline 3/9 3/12 17/20 20/3 31/4 35/20 & & 2,884 [2] 40/24 100/11 \\
\hline 50/25 51/5 53/18 53/22 57/25 & 73/20 73/25 79/9 79/19 82/10 & 2,084 [2] 23/13 34/17 \\
\hline 59/24 60/5 60/24 61/6 61/19 & 82/25 83/14 83/25 84/14 85/4 & 2004 [2] 23/13 34/17 \\
\hline 61/24 63/11 63/18 64/13 67/25 & 85/13 85/23 92/21 93/5 93/9 & \\
\hline 69/4 71/12 71/15 71/25 72/4 & 102/22 103/3 103/14 105/10 & \\
\hline 74/9 75/6 75/10 78/7 78/15 & 106/9 & 2015 [7] 21/8 21/14 21/19 22/2 \\
\hline 78/17 79/4 79/7 79/14 79/17 & \$ & 2018 [7] 1/9 2/5 2/16 3/6 78/6 \\
\hline 80/20 81/3 81/14 81/23 82/8 & \$2,000 [1] 88/20 & 107/9 107/15 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
83/3 83/16 84/3 84/16 85/6 \\
85/25 91/21 92/7 92/22 93/6
\end{tabular} & , & 21 [2] 2/5 44/3 \\
\hline & & 24 [1] 78/5 \\
\hline 98/5 98/14 98/21 99/21 102/25 & '56 [1] 36/25 & 242 [1] 18/23 \\
\hline 103/12 104/10 104/13 104/17 & '90s [1] 27/11 & 27 [1] 21/13 \\
\hline 106/7 & '98 [4] 37/14 46/2 52/14 94/14 & 28 [1] 31/8 \\
\hline MR. UELLENDAHL: [24] 4/8 & & 28K [1] 97/18 \\
\hline 4/15 4/18 4/24 5/13 5/18 5/23 & - & \\
\hline 8/5 8/14 9/8 9/12 9/19 10/3 & & 3 \\
\hline 10/14 10/22 11/2 11/16 66/3 & ----x[2] 1/4 1/7 & 3,000 [1] 39/24 \\
\hline 66/5 66/13 67/12 68/3 68/15 & 1 & 3,393 [1] 100/20 \\
\hline MS. ALLEN: [1] 37/5 & 10,000-square-foot [1] 102/8 & 4 \\
\hline MS. DiCAPUA: [19] 11/17 & 1001-4-2-4.6 [2] 20/14 86/11 & 4,000 [2] 39/23 40/4 \\
\hline 12/12 13/23 14/13 15/9 16/19 & 1001-4-5-12 [2] 3/23 58/11 & 4,100 [1] 100/21 \\
\hline 17/3 17/7 17/10 17/24 68/17 & 1150 [1] 102/5 & 4,600 [1] 100/10 \\
\hline 68/21 75/19 75/22 77/3 77/8 & 11944 [5] 3/8 3/21 20/12 58/9 & 4,615 [1] 40/22 \\
\hline 77/13 80/10 86/3 & 86/9 & 4.6 [2] 20/14 86/11 \\
\hline MS. GORDON: [46] 2/7 2/9 & 12 [3] 3/23 58/11 102/7 & 408 [2] 43/9 43/23 \\
\hline 2/18 2/20 3/11 20/2 57/22 & 123 [1] 5/2 & 41 [1] 34/16 \\
\hline 57/24 61/8 62/13 67/10 68/9 & 12A [1] 40/17 & 412 [4] 20/11 43/10 43/23 86/8 \\
\hline 69/15 69/17 72/14 75/11 75/15 & 14th [5] 78/7 78/8 78/15 78/16 & 415 [1] 55/19 \\
\hline 79/6 79/16 79/25 80/25 81/17 & 78/23 & 416 [2] 3/20 58/9 \\
\hline 82/5 82/7 82/14 82/23 83/12 & 150-12A [1] 40/17 & 430 [2] 35/14 40/13 \\
\hline 83/23 84/12 85/2 85/17 85/21 & 150-28 [1] 31/8 & \\
\hline 89/21 91/23 101/4 102/14 & 150-6C [2] 52/22 53/3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 6 & addition [1] 63/8 & 97/7 102/24 103/11 106/6 \\
\hline 6C [2] 52/22 53/3 & additional [7] 6/3 9/17 9/22 & alleged [2] 84/19 96/17 \\
\hline 7 & address [7] 4/18 18/21 40/12 & allowed [1] 38/18 \\
\hline 7,000 [1] 102/9 & 44/18 52/16 81/10 98/17 & allows [1] 76/22 \\
\hline 7718 [1] 31/11 & addressed [4] 52/11 53/12 & almost [2] 47/5 102/7 \\
\hline 78 [1] 51/15 & 62/8 62/10 & alone [1] 18/13 \\
\hline 8 & & \\
\hline 8,000 [1] 102/10 & adjourn [3] 105/14 105/16 & 99/14 100/2 10 \\
\hline 8:12 [1] 106/13 & 106/4 & also [17] 6/13 8/19 12/2 12/4 \\
\hline A & ad & 12/ \\
\hline ability [2] 26/15 46/14 & administrative [3] 29/5 29/12 & 67/15 68/14 101/24 \\
\hline able [8] 5/4 41/25 69/25 71/10 & 30/6 & alternative [1] 95/18 \\
\hline 76/15 76/17 76/19 92/3 & admit [1] 10/22 & although [1] 93/12 \\
\hline about [40] 6/3 7/10 9/21 10/10 & adopted [1] 42/25 & always [7] 43/17 45/14 60/14 \\
\hline 16/22 40/3 42/3 44/17 47/2 & adverse [2] 84/7 96/6 & 66/9 66/15 86/17 93/17 \\
\hline 47/5 52/6 53/23 54/25 58/24 & advises [1] 50/8 & am [5] 4/10 66/19 104/10 \\
\hline 59/13 59/15 61/12 64/7 64/11 & affecting [1] 67/4 & 107/10 107/12 \\
\hline 67/6 68/17 68/21 69/2 69/3 & affidavit [4] 21/4 33/23 33/24 & amending [1] 65/8 \\
\hline 69/23 71/20 73/22 87/19 89/23 & 96/20 & among [2] 6/9 6/16 \\
\hline 90/5 90/9 91/17 93/8 94/15 & affixed [1] 7/19 & amount [3] 16/17 38/18 73/13 \\
\hline 94/16 94/22 102/17 102/19 & afford [2] 87/25 88/22 & Ann [2] 35/14 54/9 \\
\hline 103/17 105/5 & after [5] 48/22 65/13 91/18 & another [11] 11/7 12/9 16/14 \\
\hline absolute [1] 80/24 & 94/9 103/23 & 36/10 46/20 52/5 63/16 70/16 \\
\hline abstentions [1] 3/2 & afternoon [1] 66/17 & 70/18 78/13 105/18 \\
\hline accept [9] 2/4 11/5 62/18 66/19 & afterwards [1] 79/25 & answer [5] 22/23 25/12 25/16 \\
\hline 81/13 82/4 94/6 101/5 101/8 & again [11] 9/2 13/12 26/15 & 55/16 55/17 \\
\hline accepting [2] 58/16 70/3 & 26/20 39/10 40/25 43/24 65/7 & answering [1] 62/5 \\
\hline access [2] 18/9 70/15 & 65/11 73/6 98/13 & any [23] 3/2 11/13 13/6 17/19 \\
\hline accessed [2] 15/24 48/23 & against [2] 44/5 80/22 & 17/19 21/5 27/17 37/16 39/19 \\
\hline accommodation [2] 10/12 & agency [2] 79/3 102/22 & 39/19 41/15 45/23 48/7 56/10 \\
\hline 65/14 & agenda [5] 4/2 20/16 43/6 & 56/20 58/15 69/3 89/15 91/5 \\
\hline accomplish [4] 70/21 70/22 & 105/4 105/19 & 101/22 104/21 105/22 107/11 \\
\hline 75/4 76/16 & ago [4] 7/7 16/5 16/6 16/6 & anybody [2] 18/5 27/6 \\
\hline accurate [2] 15/3 107/8 & agree [7] 64/13 80/11 80/11 & anybody's [2] 13/13 24/16 \\
\hline achieved [1] 83/7 & 80/12 93/10 93/15 98/21 & anyone [11] 4/3 4/7 18/19 \\
\hline acknowledge [1] 92/5 & agreeable [1] 77/11 & 19/20 35/6 35/12 37/4 42/17 \\
\hline act [5] 23/4 60/25 61/2 61/4 & agreed [3] 67/9 80/9 98/2 & 54/8 57/16 103/18 \\
\hline 61/9 & agreement [1] 92/9 & anything [7] 7/18 17/5 18/10 \\
\hline acted [2] 26/4 61/16 & agrees [1] 80/15 & 18/15 42/20 63/24 88/23 \\
\hline action [5] 24/9 28/9 43/7 79/13 & ahead [3] 14/21 37/15 58/15 & anyway [3] 23/23 47/25 54/16 \\
\hline 107/11 & air [2] 14/15 14/17 & anywhere [1] 24/18 \\
\hline actions [1] 28/3 & Albany [1] 56/6 & apologize [3] 5/5 34/4 73/23 \\
\hline actual [3] 7/9 8/19 37/8 & Alicia [2] 43/21 44/14 & apologizes [1] 20/20 \\
\hline actually [24] 6/6 8/22 9/20 11/6 & all [50] 2/9 2/20 3/11 5/6 6/11 & apparent [1] 8/20 \\
\hline 16/25 24/4 27/6 34/18 38/6 & 8/17 12/2 12/23 14/20 20/2 & apparently [2] 53/8 78/2 \\
\hline 47/15 47/17 47/19 48/3 48/10 & 20/8 25/4 26/4 32/4 35/24 36/7 & appeal [4] 16/17 29/6 29/13 \\
\hline 48/12 48/21 51/14 61/22 61/23 & 36/14 36/17 37/7 37/24 38/4 & 29/14 \\
\hline 67/15 73/8 73/15 94/2 94/18 & 38/14 38/14 39/2 39/3 42/3 & appealed [2] 29/10 30/3 \\
\hline add [6] 5/22 17/21 60/7 65/9 & 42/13 44/15 45/9 46/2 50/23 & appeals [16] 1/5 2/3 2/6 2/17 \\
\hline 79/24 100/13 & 51/17 53/19 53/21 53/23 56/10 & 3/5 16/16 43/4 55/22 55/25 \\
\hline added [3] 11/6 18/25 48/25 adding [1] 48/6 & 56/24 57/24 71/23 79/6 79/16 82/7 89/6 91/18 93/10 97/2 & 56/4 79/2 84/22 97/6 102/21 103/8 105/23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & \[
99
\] & \\
\hline applicable [3] 24/8 53/9 53/18 & & \\
\hline applicant [23] 5/3 27/14 50/11 & 20/10 35/22 36/4 38/12 38/21 & attorney [13] 21/14 24/19 \\
\hline 59/20 60/3 65/16 67/9 73/2 & 20/10 35/22 36/4 38/12 38/21 & \\
\hline 73/17 74/5 80/9 83/7 83/8 88/9 & 69/21 72/9 74/15 74/19 74/24 &  \\
\hline 93/19 93/19 93/20 94/12 95/12 & 81/23 82/20 83/9 83/19 84/23 & 103/24 \\
\hline 97/3 97/10 & 85/10 85/16 86/6 95/22 96/2 & attorney's [1] \\
\hline \[
9
\] & 98/19 100/6 100/10 100/1 & attorneys [1] 50/20 \\
\hline O & 100/17 100/19 100/20 100/21 & attractive [1] 93/3 \\
\hline 10/6 10/20 21/9 22/12 22/20 & 101/11 101/21 102/12 & audience [2] 69/8 87/ \\
\hline 23/2 23/5 23/5 23/20 & aren't [6] 38/7 39/22 76/ & August [5] 2/5 21/13 21/1 \\
\hline 34/7 34/8 34/13 34/14 34/21 & 88/14 89/18 9 & 47 \\
\hline 40/2 43/8 43/22 44/5 44/12 & argument [6] 14/25 76/11 88/3 & August 27 [1] 21/13 \\
\hline 45/6 52/14 57/13 58/13 58/17 & 89/12 89/15 96/16 & Authority [1] \\
\hline 59/2 59/4 63/5 65/8 65/13 & und [6] 15/19 & \\
\hline 6/11 77/12 77/21 79/24 87/15 & 88/11 99/13 101/22 & Avenue [2] \\
\hline 87/19 96/25 97/3 97/5 101/2 & ARTHUR [10] & aware [2] \\
\hline 103/18 & 81/19 83/3 83/16 84/3 84/16 & away [5] 16/20 38/11 59/1 \\
\hline applications [4] 6 & 85/6 85/25 & 104/18 104/21 \\
\hline & Arthur's [1] & awkwardly [1] \\
\hline & Article [1] & 5] \(2 / 102\) \\
\hline & as [80] 6/5 6/5 6/ & 2/14 2/21 2/22 2/23 2/24 \\
\hline & 8/8 8/11 8/11 10/10 10/10 & 3/12 3/13 3/14 3/15 3/16 \\
\hline appreciate [1] & 10/19 10/20 11/6 14/5 14/6 & 20/4 20/5 20/6 20/7 57/25 58/2 \\
\hline & 15/7 20/21 21/22 25/3 25/3 & 58/3 58/4 58/5 79/7 79/8 \\
\hline approaches [2] 6 & 25/19 32/24 40/3 45/3 & 79/10 79/11 79/17 79/18 7 \\
\hline approval [7] 22/9 23/22 28/17 & 45/17 46/9 48/3 48/24 50/4 & 79/20 79/21 82/8 \\
\hline 37/23 58/15 90/9 98/12 & 51/7 51/17 51/19 51/19 56 & 82/11 82/12 102/25 103/2 \\
\hline & 56/19 56/20 56/21 56/21 56/22 & 103/3 103/4 103/5 103/1 \\
\hline 85/9 85/16 91/14 & 57/13 57/13 58/17 60/22 60/ & 103/13 103/14 103/15 103/ \\
\hline approved [13] 9/15 24/6 37/11 & 61/24 62/6 64/24 64/24 64/25 & 106/7 106/8 \\
\hline 37/14 44/12 44/15 46/9 54/12 & 65/ & 106 \\
\hline 4/13 54/17 56/9 57/14 95/24 & & B \\
\hline & 93/4 93/5 93/21 93/23 94/3 & back [32] 7/3 7/7 9/12 10/ \\
\hline & 95/3 95/7 95/8 95/9 95/10 & 12/13 13/20 14/12 18/5 25/9 \\
\hline [2] 4/10 60/1 & 95/20 95/21 98/15 101/19 & 25/15 26/19 27/2 31/5 36/20 \\
\hline & 10 & 38/16 38/16 38/20 39/5 45/4 \\
\hline hitectural [1] 64/4 & aside [2] & 46/6 47/14 57/9 63/6 65/18 \\
\hline architecturally [1] 15/5 & & 73/3 75/24 76/3 76/4 76/9 \\
\hline are[77] 6/5 6/7 6/10 8/23 9/20 & 67/11 71/13 71/14 71/15 71/17 & 76/18 87/14 93/23 \\
\hline 9/21 12/4 16/10 17/9 20/15 & 71/18 73/17 75/13 75/22 76/7 & background \\
\hline 21/5 24/20 26/4 26/6 26/6 & & backing [2] 12/14 76/6 \\
\hline 33/20 34/2 38/7 38/8 38/14 & asked [4] 22/21 38/7 73/3 94/6 & backyard [1] 70/15 \\
\hline 38/16 38/19 39/14 39/25 41/19 & asking [9] 24/19 44/24 60/4 & bag [1] 87/4 \\
\hline 41/23 41/23 41/24 44/24 46/6 & & ked [1] 48 \\
\hline 46/12 47/20 48/5 51/21 51/22 & & balance [4] 69/20 90/19 93/17 \\
\hline 52/18 55/23 56/2 59/11 59/17 & aspect [1] 39 & 93/18 \\
\hline 60/2 60/11 60/13 60/25 63/2 & aspects [1] 10 & lancing [1] 92/6 \\
\hline 64/5 67/22 70/25 73/4 75/25 & assertion [1] 31/6 & andwagon [1] 55/12 \\
\hline 77/19 77/25 78/10 79/23 79/25 &  & ershop [1] 36/16 \\
\hline 80/3 86/18 90/14 90/25 91/2 & assessors [1] 28/6 & Barry [1] 87/17 \\
\hline 92/8 94/6 94/10 94/24 94/25 & assume [1] 104/22 & based [2] 51/15 52/17 \\
\hline 95/8 96/2 98/18 99/3 99/4 99/5 & assumed [1] 96/25 & basic [1] 101/17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & 97/25 & 104/18 104 \\
\hline file... [2] 27/14 66/10 & foundation [1] 7/24 & gets [3] 10/25 2 \\
\hline filed [6] 21/22 22/3 22/12 23/6 & four [19] 6/19 9/17 12/5 12/5 & \\
\hline 50/10 96/21 & 12/6 40/22 63/25 67/22 72 & 68/22 69/22 71/22 101/22 \\
\hline filing [2] 32/21 & 73/18 73/22 74/8 74/18 81 & 104/10 \\
\hline finalization [1] 27/3 & 82/3 99/15 99/16 10 & give [17] 9/7 20/25 22/2 \\
\hline finalize [2] 25/13 27/2 & four-by-four [1] 12/5 & 28/11 44/17 44/18 44/20 4 \\
\hline finally [2] 25/8 25/16 & four-foot [2] 72/6 74/8 four-foot-nine [1] 67/22 & 67/16 69/13 81/24 \\
\hline find [6] 8/9 8/11 17/5 91/7 91/11 91/13 & fourteen [11] 5/16 13/21 15 & given [6] 15/15 24/5 50/11 \\
\hline findings [1] 49 & 16/19 19/3 58/19 59/7 71/15 & 50/13 94/13 101/13 \\
\hline finds [1] 45/1 & & gives [2] 4 \\
\hline fine [2] 34/9 54/4 & rteen feet [1] 5 & ing [2] 32/23 55 \\
\hline fingers [1] 37 & fourteen-and-a-half [5] 13/21 & glad [1] 89/7 \\
\hline finish [2] 25/22 46/ & 15/4 16/19 71/15 73/25 & Glenis [1] 87/17 \\
\hline finished [1] 94/20 & fourteen-and-a-half-foot [1] & go [39] 7/9 8/3 9/15 1 \\
\hline fire [12] 3/7 8 & /7 & 13/21 14/2 \\
\hline 16/13 18/7 18/10 18/14 39/17 & fourteen-point-five-foot [1] & 25/10 25/17 26/19 27/2 \\
\hline 39/18 61/18 & 59/7 & 29/20 30/21 31/25 \\
\hline Firehouse & Frank [3] 4/9 4/19 7/3 & 38/19 40/14 40/21 41/4 41/ \\
\hline firemen [1] 18/9 & frankly [3] 45/17 53/13 71/ & 1/20 42/7 42/8 46/6 54/23 \\
\hline fires [1] 16/9 & [1] & 56/21 58/15 68/14 79/22 8 \\
\hline first [10] 5/12 15/17 39/19 & fresher [1] 104/2 & 87/14 89/2 95/20 \\
\hline 47/14 66/2 66/9 80/3 85/13 & front [48] 5/20 5/22 & goal [5] 70/21 70/22 7 \\
\hline 95/6 95/14 & 7/11 8/24 9/12 15/6 15/8 15/12 & 76/16 99/17 \\
\hline five [31] 9/18 & 15/17 17/17 18/11 23/21 29/19 & God [4] 41/8 4 \\
\hline 40/25 41/18 54/5 58/18 58/19 & 35/14 40/13 40/14 45/23 49/9 & goes [4] 8/19 8/21 95/12 96/1 \\
\hline 59/7 59/9 72/7 72/10 72/11 & 58/16 58/25 60/5 60/22 61/6 & going [34] 7/5 \\
\hline 72/12 72/13 74/22 74/22 74/23 & 61/24 62/6 62/12 63/4 64/12 & 17/15 18/2 18/5 19/2 19/23 \\
\hline 74/24 74/25 75/13 77/24 79/22 & 64/19 64/21 64/22 65/15 65/16 & 21/4 24/25 34/10 39/14 42/24 \\
\hline 80/23 81/8 81/9 81/21 81/21 & 65/18 65/25 67/3 67/7 68/25 & 44/21 48/3 50/21 50/21 55/21 \\
\hline 81/22 81/23 & 76/6 77/13 77/21 82/14 89/4 & 60/11 65/6 66/19 68/12 71/22 \\
\hline five-foot [1] 1 & 97 & /15 \\
\hline fix [1] 88/8 & fudged [1] 14/24 & 88/11 89/10 90/2 93/13 104/1 \\
\hline fixed [1] 89 & fully [3] 17/10 69/1 & 105/13 \\
\hline flag [3] 91/3 & & gone [3] 28/21 28/2 \\
\hline FOIL [3] 21/22 22/3 34/17 & further [3] 38/2 74/19 107/10 future [2] 46/15 63/23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
gonna [35] 12/7 12/8 12/9 \\
15/10 15/12 18/4 19/10 19/14
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & 19/14 37/25 40/6 40/10 41/25 \\
\hline folksy [1] 6 & G & 4/21 60/25 61/2 \\
\hline  & ga & 76/6 76/10 77/18 77/20 79/12 \\
\hline & garage [2] 15/14 63/25 & 79/23 79/23 79/25 81/12 82/4 \\
\hline foot [23] & garbage [5] 86/15 86/17 86/24 & 82/13 85/9 88/18 88/19 96/4 \\
\hline 40/3 59/7 59/9 60/4 61/5 62/1 & 87/2 87/4 & 101/4 104/18 \\
\hline 64/17 65/23 67/22 71/2 71/7 & gave [4] 21/3 21/13 55/11 81/2 & good [11] 4/25 26/12 26/23 \\
\hline 72/6 74/8 76/25 77/7 77/24 & gee [1] 88/18 & 40/9 45/16 45/20 73/9 80/20 \\
\hline 80/10 81/4 10 & generally [3] 27/10 28/9 31/18 & 88/3 88/23 89/18 \\
\hline & & odness [1] 51/2 \\
\hline forbid [1] 41/24 & & GORDON [1] 1/15 \\
\hline & g & got [16] 18/9 22/4 25/7 25 \\
\hline & get [25] 6/23 8/16 & 25/11 25/16 29/23 37/23 39/4 \\
\hline & 24/15 24/16 24/17 25/12 25/23 & 39/4 41/7 53/20 54/12 54/13 \\
\hline ] \(93 / 24\) & 37/25 38/15 40/21 41/3 44/19 & 74/3 75/25 \\
\hline found [4] 17/4 21/24 51/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 46/21 55/13 55/13 65/20 71/8 } \\
& 71 / 1176 / 2599 / 1199 / 13
\end{aligned}
\] & gotten [1] 28/17 grandkids [1] 76/2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline G & \[
97
\] & \[
55
\] \\
\hline grant [4] 16/16 24/9 70/20 81/6 & & [11 \\
\hline granted [10] 22/15 26/16 50/5 & have [149] & hit [1] 71/11 \\
\hline 51/23 51/25 94/23 95/15 98/7 & having [3] 44/10 46/9 70/6 & Hollid [1] 55 \\
\hline 98/9 98/11 & he [66] 17/25 20/20 20/20 & home [3] 18/8 45/7 45 \\
\hline granting [3] 16/16 82/19 84/23 & 20/22 20/24 21/3 21/7 21/7 & homeowner [1] \\
\hline gray [1] 49/19 & 21/8 21/12 21/13 21/16 21/1 & homeownership \\
\hline greater [3] 70/3 95/5 100/15 & 21/25 22/4 22/8 22/11 22/12 & homes [5] 6/20 32/6 38/ \\
\hline GREENPORT [20] 1/2 1/8 2/3 & 22/19 22/21 22/23 22/24 22/25 & 45/2 \\
\hline 3/7 3/21 5/2 20/12 35/15 40/18 & 23/19 23/21 24/18 24/18 25/7 & Honda [1] 17/2 \\
\hline 41/11 43/3 43/10 43/24 58/9 & 25/8 25/13 25/14 25/14 28/1 & honestly [1] 57/12 \\
\hline 73/10 86/8 88/12 92/20 92/24 & 28/16 28/21 28/22 34/17 34/23 & hope [3] 42/12 46/21 90/3 \\
\hline 95/17 & 43/16 43/18 45/11 45/13 45/15 & hopefully [1] 13/15 \\
\hline ground [1] 77/15 & 45/16 45/16 45/17 45/18 45/19 & house [14] 6/20 7/19 \\
\hline guess [4] 70/5 76 & 45/21 46/17 47/24 54/23 55/4 & 13/7 15/7 15/8 15/11 18/8 \\
\hline \[
105 / 21
\] & 55/7 55/8 72/6 76/2 76/3 91/22 & 48/7 48/9 68/13 73/7 \\
\hline guys [5] 41/8 42/12 88/15 89/5 & 91/24 92/2 92/2 92/3 92/12 & houses [9] 16/10 26/6 \\
\hline 89/6 & 92/17 103/24 & 48/11 70/18 73/10 86/18 \\
\hline & he's [8] 20/21 35/17 45/1 & 88/13 \\
\hline H & 45/15 55/8 65/10 & housing [3] \\
\hline had [35] 4/12 4/24 5/12 7/6 8/3 & headfirst [1] 15/25 & how [20] 23/7 29/23 36/1 \\
\hline 12/19 16/4 16/9 18/10 21/17 & heading [1] 63/21 & 41/12 41/24 48/11 50/12 52/2 \\
\hline 22/12 22/20 24/5 24/6 25/14 & hear [5] 4/6 14/12 14/14 66/13 & 53/7 61/7 62/6 71/3 71/18 \\
\hline 28/16 28/17 28/21 28/22 29/20 & 66/14 & 73/12 75/9 91/17 93/17 97/22 \\
\hline 31/3 34/24 50/4 51/12 51/14 & hea & 98/24 99/2 \\
\hline 53/15 56/24 75/8 81/19 91/24 & hearing [15] 3/19 4/12 19/24 & however [1] 31/23 \\
\hline 93/2 96/25 100/23 100/25 & 20/9 33/6 35/4 37/10 43/5 44/2 & huge [1] 39/25 \\
\hline 101/2 & 44/4 57/22 63/18 92/12 104/5 & hum [1] 105/11 \\
\hline hadn't [1] & 104/7 & hundred [2] 17/14 69/11 \\
\hline hair [1] 41/9 & & \\
\hline half [20] 13/21 15/4 15/18 & & \\
\hline 15/22 16/18 16/19 25/12 61/5 & heater [1] & 44/19 55/12 60/22 102/20 \\
\hline 64/17 64/20 71/2 71/7 71/15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
held [1] 44/3 \\
help [2] 13/16 94/18
\end{tabular} & 103/7 \\
\hline 72/4 73/25 76/24 76/25 77/7 & help [2] 13/16 94/18 her [5] 66/18 70/21 70 & I'II [27] 2/14 2/25 3/16 13/20 \\
\hline 80/10 102/4 & her [5] 60/18
\(75 / 2180 / 21\) & 13/21 17/21 20/7 44/18 58/5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hand [4 } \\
& \text { 107/15 }
\end{aligned}
\] & here [25] 5/9 5/10 6/12 6/15 & 79/11 79/21 80/11 80/11 80/1 \\
\hline [2] & 14/13 14/14 20/20 29/23 33/19 & 82/12 83/5 83/18 84/5 84/18 \\
\hline handle [1] & 35/17 36/22 36/24 37/24 38/3 & 85/8 86/3 86/13 87/12 99/10 \\
\hline andled [1] & 38/24 39/18 42/6 45/11 53/25 & 3/5 103 \\
\hline hands [1] 93/23 & 63/10 65/9 65/10 69/19 93/9 & I'm [95] 4/11 4/21 5/2 5/9 5/ \\
\hline happen [2] 15/4 & 103/24 & 6/22 10/9 10/11 14/6 14/7
\(15 / 1515 / 1616 / 17\) 17/25 18/11 \\
\hline happened [2] 33/5 39/12 & Here's [1] 35/5 & 15/15 15/16 16/17 17/25 18/11 \\
\hline happening [1] 15/3 & hereby [2] 31/10 107/7 & 18/13 19/23 21/4 30/8 30/10 \\
\hline happens [4] 11/3 28/6 46/19 & hereunto [1] 107/14 & 30/13 31/6 31/8 35/25 36/4 \\
\hline 94/9 & hey [1] 24/24 & 36/8 37/7 38/25 39/5 39/25 \\
\hline & Higgins [4] 43/13 44/14 47/23 & 0/10 41/11 42/24 44/21 47/2 \\
\hline & 92/18 & 48/3 49/14 49/14 49/15 51/4 \\
\hline der [1] & Higgins' [1] & 52/9 52/12 53/11 53/13 54/3 \\
\hline harm's [1] 39/21 & higher [1] 94/3 & 54/22 54/25 55/3 55/24 56/6 \\
\hline has [22] 7/5 8/24 17/23 3 & highlighted [1] 94/21 & 6/7 59/23 59/25 59/25 60/1 \\
\hline 37/8 42/6 45/13 45/21 45/21 & him [1] 21/18 & 5 63/10 63/19 68/12 68 \\
\hline 57/17 62/3 66/6 68/13 76/2 & himself [1] 76/4 & 69/15 70/14 70/15 70/21 7 \\
\hline 76/14 87/2 90/18 92/2 92/20 & his [12] 22/16 22/17 23/20 & 74/7 74/11 76/6 76/10 77/9 78/4 78/18 78/25 79/12 80/25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

I'm... [20] 81/12 82/4 85/9
88/10 89/7 89/12 89/14 90/2 90/4 91/14 91/15 94/20 96/4 97/9 97/9 101/4 102/14 104/19 104/25 105/21
I'm gonna [1] 101/4 I've [5] 36/24 37/7 38/4 38/4 38/23
idea [5] 21/17 31/13 47/2 88/25 94/19
identified [1] 46/4
ill [1] 55/8
impact [2] 84/7 96/6
implications [1] 81/3
important [8] 8/7 16/12 48/4
54/19 55/2 55/6 55/10 70/10
impracticality [1] 92/17
impression [1] 38/10
improve [3] 45/10 47/19 93/14
improving [1] 44/9
inadequacy [1] 90/12
inch [4] 12/6 14/5 14/11 14/23 inches [11] 6/18 6/18 6/19
12/4 12/9 16/23 17/7 17/10
17/14 48/8 69/12
include [4] 7/4 8/20 9/18 81/3
included [6] 6/8 7/13 59/2 59/5
61/12 65/17
including [1] 44/23
income [5] 87/24 87/25 88/23
88/24 89/13
incomplete [3] 33/10 33/12 51/19
inconsistencies [1] 5/8
inconvenience [3] 69/24 70/4 70/9
inconvenient [1] 70/8
incorporate [1] 65/24
incorporated [4] 60/15 60/17
62/13 65/22
increase [4] 5/22 5/23 9/24
72/17
increased [1] 96/15
increases [1] 38/12
increasing [2] 38/11 48/5
indicate [1] 57/10
indicating [1] 13/23
individual [1] 92/7
individually [1] 44/11
individuals [1] 86/19
inexpensive [1] 89/3
information [5] 6/3 20/25
22/25 55/4 56/25
initiated [1] 21/11
inside [2] 66/20 67/3
inspection [1] 88/5
inspector [13] 7/2 7/7 9/5
10/13 21/21 29/14 29/15 29/18 29/21 30/2 53/17 54/15 87/18
instead [2] 15/7 72/11
intend [1] 90/15
intensity [1] 96/15
intent [1] 41/15
intention [1] 53/14
interest [1] 92/6
interested [4] 3/25 20/15 95/9 107/12
interesting [2] 93/22 93/24 interests [2] 90/20 90/21
International [4] 8/12 61/18
62/4 62/5
interpret [1] 26/16
interpretation [1] \(8 / 5\)
interpretations [1] 99/19
interpreted [2] 31/24 53/8
interrupt [1] 102/14
invalid [1] 30/19
investment [3] 95/8 95/9 95/21
involved [3] 39/16 56/8 56/10 is [227]
isn't [6] 25/21 30/5 62/5 71/8 71/9 87/8
issue [6] 42/3 47/4 50/22 60/21 62/17 66/21
issues [5] 21/2 21/5 21/16 26/4 46/3
issuing [1] 51/7
it [239]
it's [96] 4/6 7/12 7/17 8/7 8/12 9/2 9/15 12/7 12/14 12/23 13/3 13/4 13/5 13/9 14/7 14/24
15/11 17/14 17/16 18/4 19/13 22/8 24/17 27/16 28/9 28/19
30/24 30/25 32/22 34/5 34/18 38/20 38/21 38/24 39/12 41/8 42/3 42/4 42/12 42/21 42/25 43/2 45/9 45/9 49/19 51/8 51/20 51/22 53/10 53/14 54/2 54/4 55/2 60/6 62/8 62/9 62/10 62/16 66/18 67/16 69/5 69/12 69/21 71/3 72/10 73/11 77/3 77/13 77/21 86/16 86/20 86/21 86/22 87/4 87/5 87/13 87/20 88/7 88/16 88/17 89/15 90/3 91/4 91/7 93/15 97/15 97/24 97/25 98/24 99/2 100/15 102/6 102/6 104/11 104/15 104/18 item [13] 2/4 2/15 3/4 3/18

43/6 46/4 46/4 58/6 80/4 80/5 105/19 105/22 106/3
items [1] 80/3
its [3] 28/8 96/21 99/8
itself [5] 24/11 30/17 32/21 79/2 102/22

Jaeger [5] 35/14 38/13 48/17 48/18 54/9
James [3] 20/19 43/8 86/7
jeopardy [1] 36/15
job [5] 15/9 41/8 42/13 49/19 91/8
Joe [2] 55/19 75/25
JOHN [4] 1/12 4/9 74/2 80/15
John's [1] 93/13
joining [1] 70/18
Jones [1] 36/3
July [3] 2/16 22/13 22/19
July 17 [1] 2/16
July 2015 [1] 22/19
jump [2] 55/12 70/17
jurisdiction [1] 31/18
just [48] 10/7 13/5 14/25 16/7 17/12 17/21 18/3 18/16 19/11 21/5 23/4 23/16 27/4 27/22 28/3 28/4 28/24 30/3 33/2
37/15 38/20 40/6 40/10 41/11
41/12 42/12 44/21 46/13 46/15 47/7 47/22 49/2 49/6 55/3 56/6 70/13 71/2 72/15 77/12 77/14 77/20 80/14 80/17 90/5 90/22 92/14 97/6 102/11
keep [8] 18/4 37/10 40/6 44/16 45/2 45/6 46/16 73/3
keeps [2] 45/15 45/16
kept [1] 24/19
key [1] 15/7
kids [4] 36/14 38/13 41/23 76/2
Kiehl [2] 18/21 18/23
kind [10] 10/11 10/22 14/24
28/5 39/19 68/25 70/25 95/3
97/2 98/13
knew [1] 34/25
know [70] 4/11 4/24 8/23 8/25 9/14 11/24 12/14 12/23 13/2 16/4 18/12 23/11 23/14 24/25 25/2 25/13 25/13 27/12 28/4 28/19 30/13 30/17 32/8 32/24 33/5 36/3 36/8 36/15 37/12 38/24 38/25 39/2 41/7 41/9 41/15 41/18 41/22 42/4 42/8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline K & 64/25 86/20 87/9 89/23 92/3 & loyal [1] 45/15 \\
\hline know... [31] 42/11 42/12 42/14 & likely [1] 95/2 & M \\
\hline 48/9 48/11 49/25 51/21 51/21 & likes [1] 68/16 & made [11] 30/21 36/18 43/20 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
52/25 53/7 54/10 55/2 55/10 \\
57/2 62/9 69/14 71/3 73/11
\end{tabular} & limit [2] 97/17 98/5 & 47/9 49/13 55/9 65/13 66/7 \\
\hline \[
73 / 1277 / 286 / 18 \text { 89/8 89/24 }
\] & limitations [1] 90/17 & 68/19 92/11 97/14 \\
\hline 91/10 93/12 93/22 94/10 94/10 & line [8] 10/12 32/4 44/25 46/14 & major [1] 31/20 \\
\hline \[
95 / 16 \quad 101 / 3 \quad 101 / 12
\] & 46/18 47/2 48/8 74/6 & make [48] 4/21 5/4 8/4 13/17 \\
\hline knowledge [2] 34/14 35/21 & lines [1] 17/23 & /23 25/25 26/11 26/1 \\
\hline known [2] 28/16 45/11 & LINGG [1] 1/18 & 30/18 30/25 31/2 33/24 36 \\
\hline KRISTINA [1] 1/18 & listen [1] 76/10 & 55/9 \\
\hline L & listening [2] 40/2 76/13 & 63/22 64/25 66/7 75/16 75/20 \\
\hline ladies [1] 40/9 & listings [1] 56/20 & 78/25 79/12 80/8 81/13 82 \\
\hline land [5] 25/5 26/3 48/13 97/1 & little [14] 5/16 12/15 13/10 & 85/9 85/15 86/13 94/7 99/ \\
\hline 97/16 & 15/5 31/6 39/22 39/25 70/3 & 100/4 102/11 102/20 103/7 \\
\hline lands [1] 39/2 & 70/6 101/14 102/18 102/19 & 103/21 103/22 104/8 104/ \\
\hline large [3] 6/21 73/11 101/11 & 103/18 105/5 & 104/16 \\
\hline last [15] 4/22 5/4 13/17 13/17 & live [3] 4/25 18/ & \\
\hline 21/2 23/11 33/23 46/25 50/14 & living [2] 13/4 19/10 & making [6] 12/15 14/16 15/6 \\
\hline 58/23 58/24 90/22 95/17 99/15 & local [3] 31/12 62/2 62/2 & 63/20 73/15 80/25 \\
\hline 99/16 & located [5] 3/20 20/11 56/2 &  \\
\hline latest [1] 78/5 & 58/8 86/8 & man [1] 54/11 \\
\hline laundry [1] 13/3 & logical [10] 27/20 27/21 28/14 & mandate [2] 16/11 70/20 mandatory [1] 28/19 \\
\hline Laura [3] 5/24 58/8 66/16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 28/15 28/17 28/20 30/6 97/22 } \\
& \text { 98/2 101/9 }
\end{aligned}
\] & manner [1] 50/2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { law [11] } 24 / 8 \text { 26/24 26/24 } \\
& 27 / 25 \quad 29 / 1231 / 1131 / 1250 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] & Ionely [1] 11/4 & many [8] 8/25 27/12 36/23 73 \\
\hline 53/15 89/16 96/3 & long [7] 35/18 36/25 42/6 & 73/12 91/3 93/14 101/19 \\
\hline lawn [1] 64/12 & 48/11 48/25 96/13 102/9 & map [11] 3/22 20/13 27/14 28/8 \\
\hline lawyers [1] 44/23 & long-term [1] 96/13 & 28/12 43/11 43/25 46/14 52/17 \\
\hline lead [2] 79/2 102/22 & look [10] 8/15 8/17 19/3 36/20 & 58/10 86/10 \\
\hline leading [1] 6/10 & 39/9 39/11 74/12 86/15 96/12 & marina \\
\hline leaning [1] 89/14 & 101/12 & mark [1] 71/2 \\
\hline learned [3] 7/25 21/25 22/8 & looked [6] 17/2 23/12 38/17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { riage [1] } 10 \\
& y[2] 35 / 145
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline least [4] 32/2 51/24 60/23 & 66/8 88/5 88/15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mary [2] 35/14 54 \\
match [1] 12/8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 90/14 & looking [8] 21/23 31/8 50/2 52/12 74/11 78/3 78/19 88/11 & material [1] 95/13 \\
\hline leave [1] 104/3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
52/12 74/11 78/3 78/19 88/11 \\
looks [2] 62/25 65/12
\end{tabular} & math [4] 69/9 75/6 75/10 81/17 \\
\hline left [1] 43/16 legality [1] 90 & looks [2] 62/25 65/12 lose [1] 49/3 & mathematical [1] 76/21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { legality [1] } \\
& \text { Len [1] } 36 / 3
\end{aligned}
\] & losing [1] 49/8 & matter [5] 7/4 48/2 76/7 94/18 \\
\hline less [13] 17/16 63/13 63/15 & lost [2] 25/23 77/9 & 07/13 \\
\hline 70/10 72/9 80/18 87/24 87/24 & lot [32] 13/10 27/9 31/17 31/20 & may [16] 30/12 31/11 5 \\
\hline 88/23 93/3 93/3 96/2 96/12 & 31/22 32/5 37/18 38/25 44/10 & 59/13 63/21 66/4 67/11 69/1 \\
\hline let [7] 5/24 37/19 59/18 60/12 & 44/23 45/12 45/23 52/4 52/6 & 78/5 78/7 78/8 \\
\hline 63/19 70/13 75/21 & 53/4 53/5 56/8 88/7 90/11 91/3 & 78/15 78/16 78/23 \\
\hline let's [8] 5/24 8/24 41/3 41/17 & 94/11 99/13 100/6 100/10 & May 14th [3] 78/7 78/15 78/ \\
\hline 63/7 74/2 93/8 98/16 & 100/15 100/20 101/21 101/24 & May 16 [1] 31/11 \\
\hline letter [1] 24/23 & 101/25 102/6 102/11 102/12 & maybe [4] 50/17 50/20 76/8 \\
\hline light [2] 81/9 103/25 & lots [9] 38/19 39/5 40/6 90/25 & 104/22 \\
\hline like [32] 4/4 4/8 7/19 13/9 & 95/23 98/20 99/25 101/19 & me [48] 4/13 7/3 17/17 20/24 \\
\hline 18/19 18/25 24/22 35/13 35/16 & 101/19 & 25/2 25/9 27/22 28/20 37/21 \\
\hline 36/13 37/4 37/13 37/22 38/12 & lotted [1] 102/9 loud [1] 47/16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25/2 25/9 27/22 28/20 37/21 } \\
& 38 / 17 \text { 39/11 42/19 44/18 47/5 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 38/25 39/12 39/22 40/4 41/20 & loud [1] 47/16 low [2] 88/24 89/13 & \[
48 / 1555 / 1155 / 1457 / 563 / 19
\] \\
\hline 42/17 47/6 58/14 59/8 60/17 & lowering [1] 87/22 & 66/14 68/6 69/18 70/8 70/13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{M}
me... [19] 73/8 73/23 74/2 76/6 77/9 78/21 89/10 89/11 90/10 90/13 90/22 94/11 97/24 101/16 101/20 102/7 102/7 102/7 102/12
mean [25] 11/5 17/12 33/14 36/21 38/9 39/23 41/13 42/2 52/7 55/13 56/21 64/20 66/8 69/9 69/12 70/4 70/23 71/8 72/22 72/23 76/21 90/18 95/7 95/16 100/25
means [1] 70/4
meant [2] 21/17 47/3
measure [1] 14/6
measured [2] 11/21 15/13
measurement [1] 64/7
measurements [1] 12/2
meat [1] 41/3
meeting [15] 2/6 2/17 3/5 4/21
5/4 7/6 7/9 13/18 21/13 43/5 54/24 55/5 55/7 55/7 105/17
meetings [1] 39/9
member [6] 1/13 1/14 1/15 1/16 16/7 17/19
members [6] 5/12 43/12 47/25
58/12 73/19 86/12
members' [2] 5/6 10/9
memorandum [1] 24/4
mention [2] 96/20 101/2
mentioned [5] 8/10 12/25 27/8 48/19 50/16
merely [1] 54/3
merged [1] 39/2
merger [2] 27/24 27/24
message [1] 40/5
metal [1] \(87 / 5\)
meter [1] 12/22
method [1] 83/7
microphone [1] 14/19
mid [1] \(15 / 15\)
mid-size [1] 15/15
middle [3] 18/8 76/8 77/15
might [11] 5/16 21/15 33/22
47/13 47/15 47/17 47/19 73/16
91/11 103/25 105/24
mighty [3] 42/3 45/9 54/18
Mike [1] 40/13
mile [1] 102/9
mind [4] 18/3 77/19 87/6 88/2
mind's [1] 70/7
minds [2] 10/10 32/9
mini [1] 13/10
minimum [3] 16/16 40/18

76/14
minor [2] 43/22 67/16 minute [1] 40/11
minutes [5] 2/5 2/16 5/6 33/7 103/21
misplaced [1] 51/22 mission [1] 45/19
misstated [1] 23/12
mistake [1] 34/15
money [3] \(45 / 1488 / 889 / 3\)
Monsell [3] 43/20 44/14 47/18
month [9] 4/22 5/5 24/22 58/24
103/23 103/23 104/24 105/5 105/6
months [3] 50/14 97/20 98/4
Moore [6] 20/17 20/19 35/7
51/3 57/3 90/23
Moore's [1] 101/6
more [23] 12/15 24/7 40/7 45/8
45/14 48/7 50/19 51/18 63/13
70/8 70/12 88/20 92/4 92/19 93/7 94/2 95/24 101/9 102/18 102/19 103/18 103/20 105/5
Morini [1] 44/15
most [6] 27/5 45/8 45/13 50/7 95/2 101/16
mother [1] 95/11
mother/daughter [1] 95/11
motion [24] 2/4 2/15 3/4 19/23
42/11 43/20 57/21 58/7 75/16
78/25 79/12 80/21 81/6 81/9
81/10 81/13 82/4 85/9 85/15
86/6 102/20 103/7 105/14 106/3
move [3] 10/8 66/2 77/18
moved [5] 2/7 2/18 3/9 79/4 79/14
moves [1] 75/2
moving [1] 70/25
Mr [10] 18/21 21/15 35/16
46/15 47/23 60/10 66/24 67/18 73/5 74/10
Mr. [12] 11/13 17/23 50/17 72/2
72/15 73/14 74/17 76/23 78/4 91/18 92/10 92/18
Mr. Chairman [2] 72/15 78/4
Mr. Corwin [5] 17/23 72/2
73/14 74/17 76/23
Mr. Higgins [1] 92/18
Mr. Higgins' [1] 92/10
Mr. Olinkiewicz [1] 91/18
Mr. Tasker [1] 50/17
Mr. Uellendahl [1] 11/13
Mrs [1] 38/13
Mrs. [1] 87/18

Mrs. Wingate [1] 87/18
Ms [8] 17/20 20/17 35/6 48/17
48/18 51/3 57/3 90/23
Ms. [1] 101/6
Ms. Moore's [1] 101/6
much [4] 14/16 50/4 50/19 101/24
mudroom [1] 15/11
multiple [1] 24/20
must [5] 34/18 50/9 50/10
50/11 56/6
my [49] \(4 / 96 / 66 / 117 / 127 / 17\) 11/3 13/10 14/3 15/14 15/14 15/14 16/15 16/22 17/21 18/8 18/9 27/22 33/25 34/14 34/23 36/21 41/9 42/6 45/11 46/10 48/7 48/9 49/2 59/3 60/14 61/25 62/22 65/11 66/19 68/13 70/7 70/19 76/3 76/5 77/23
87/6 88/2 90/2 91/21 93/5
93/10 98/13 105/21 107/14
My concern [1] 70/19
myself [6] 15/13 60/23 80/25
86/18 88/6 88/17

\section*{N}
name [7] 4/9 4/18 18/21 40/12 46/13 48/16 101/4
narrative [1] 94/22
narrow [1] 69/20
nature [1] 98/23
nearby [1] 82/18
necessarily [2] 27/15 84/22
necessary [2] 22/16 60/8
need [9] 9/17 22/13 30/15
39/23 47/3 64/20 76/14 85/13 88/10
needed [1] 32/4
needs [2] 60/4 93/18
NEFF [1] 1/14
negatively [1] 90/15
neighbor [1] 13/11
neighborhood [4] 82/18 84/9 95/14 96/8
neighborly [1] 64/24
never [5] 37/21 52/23 96/21 96/22 97/7
new [13] 1/3 1/8 3/8 3/21 20/12 28/12 50/14 51/8 56/5 58/9 86/8 107/3 107/6
next [16] 3/5 12/18 20/9 27/20
27/21 28/13 29/5 30/6 89/9
89/10 97/21 98/2 98/2 103/23 105/4 105/6
nice [2] 88/16 89/6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline N & 77/23 86/10 105/22 106/3 & \[
10
\] \\
\hline nine [5] 40/24 64/20 67/22 & \[
59 / 14 \text { 59/17 69/8 80/18 80/19 }
\] & one-lot [3] 31/17 31/20 31/22 online [1] 17/2 \\
\hline & 80/24 81/2 92/15 & only [13] 8/19 \\
\hline een [2] 74/25 100/9 & 0 & \\
\hline [1] & O'KEEFFE [2] 107/5 107/20 & onus [1] 97/7 \\
\hline no [82] 3/3 7/10 11/14 11/15
\[
\begin{array}{llllll}
13 / 12 & 18 / 10 & 19 / 21 & 19 / 22 & 21 / 17
\end{array}
\] & obligated [1] 65/4 & open [13] 18/4 19/8 19/9 41/5 \\
\hline 22/5 22/7 22/13 23/24 24/2 & obligating [1] 27/5 & 69/25 70/23 71/6 71/8 71/11 \\
\hline 25/7 25/7 28/24 29/4 29/8 & obvious [1] 87/3 & 71/21 74/7 74/9 \\
\hline 29/11 30/8 31/7 31/14 32/11 & ously [4] & opened [1] 11/22 \\
\hline 32/25 33/2 34/8 34/13 34/20 & & opening [2] 12/3 1 \\
\hline 34/22 35/8 35/21 37/23 4 & & opens [2] 47 \\
\hline 43/15 44/3 47/2 47/9 51/15 & occupancy [2] & operates [1] 45/17 \\
\hline 53/4 54/14 57/18 57/19 61/4 & occupants [2] & operation [1] \\
\hline 62/11 65/14 65/15 70/6 73/22 & ied [6] 43/19 53/5 92/14 & opinion [2] 27/22 7 \\
\hline 76/7 82/22 82/24 83/2 83/4 & & \\
\hline 83/5 83/11 83/13 83/15 83/17 & & opposed [ \\
\hline 83/18 84/2 84/11 84/13 84/15 & & order [1] \\
\hline 84/17 84/18 88/25 94/19 95/12 &  & ordinance [2] 52/20 8 \\
\hline 95/18 97/17 98/10 100/23 & & ordinances [1] \\
\hline 100/23 100/25 101/4 102/15 & & [2] 35/3 35/5 \\
\hline 03/19 104/20 105/25 106/2 & & originally [1] \\
\hline 107/12 & 0 & other [24] 8/25 11/13 \\
\hline nobody [2] 24/18 97/11 & office [5] & 12/20 13/8 13/25 17/19 \\
\hline [1] & & /24 \\
\hline [1] & & 52/25 59/6 63/17 73/16 74/25 \\
\hline occupants [1] 91/12 & & \(7 / 5\) 83/8 101/23 \\
\hline conforming [2] 38/19 91/2 & oh [6] 29/2 34/3 35 & \\
\hline conformity [4] 30/15 38/11 & 40/23 41/17 & se [1] 99/9 \\
\hline 48/6 48/9 & okay [18] 5/ & our [15] 15/9 16/11 37/25 40/6 \\
\hline north [1] 48/8 & 26/8 26/22 30/12 42/22 49/2 & 45/6 50/17 62/9 62/10 65/15 \\
\hline not [115] & 53/22 54/4 54/6 56/5 59/23 & 70/20 71/9 72/19 93/16 98/16 \\
\hline Notary [1] 10 & 68/11 77/17 78/22 104/25 & 105/4 \\
\hline note [3] 15 & 10 & \\
\hline & old [1] & \[
\text { out [27] } 6 / 167 / 2514 /
\] \\
\hline 189 \(2 / 3\) & older [1] 39/1 & 16/9 18/11 19/6 19/10 19/11 \\
\hline 18 62/3 & Olinkiewicz [5] 20/19 43/9 & 35/25 37/23 41/9 47/7 47/16 \\
\hline notice [8] 3/25 20/16 50/13 & 46/16 86/7 91/18 & 63/20 65/14 69/19 71 \\
\hline /5 52/12 56/13 74/11 74/20 & once [1] 10/8 & 76/5 76/10 76/25 86/25 89/4 \\
\hline liced [1] 63/17 & one [72] 12/16 13/22 14/5 14/6 & 97/2 97/25 9 \\
\hline notices [2] 78/2 78/10 & 14/23 16/7 16 & outcome [1] 107/1 \\
\hline notion [1] 90/18 & 26/10 27/9 31/17 31/20 31/22 & outdoor [1] 13/13 \\
\hline notwithstanding [1] 58/15 & 32/5 33/21 39/6 39/10 39/23 & outs [1] 60/18 \\
\hline November [3] 7/7 42/25 43/5 & 39/24 40/22 41/4 41/25 42/9 & \[
\text { over [9] } 14 / 25 \quad 19 / 5 \quad 39 / 23
\] \\
\hline now [23] 4/11 4/14 4/16 4/17 & 43/11 43/15 44/4 46/17 46/20 & \[
\text { 39/24 55/21 75/2 76/20 } 88
\] \\
\hline 17/14 30/13 30/16 31/25 33/18 & 47/24 48/19 50/17 50/18 51/13 & \[
90 / 6
\] \\
\hline 37/15 38/20 44/11 47/9 50/8 & 51/18 57/14 69/24 70/16 70/17 & O \\
\hline 63/8 66/14 68/21 69/2 87/14 & 71/21 73/22 77/5 78/5 78/13 & overflowing [2] 86/17 86/ \\
\hline 91/14 92/2 94/8 95/21 & 78/15 78/18 78/21 78/22 78/23 & overhang [1] 19/16 \\
\hline number [23] 2/4 2/15 3/4 3/18 & 78/24 82/14 86/22 87/5 87/7 & overhangs [2] 6/17 \\
\hline 3/22 13/25 16/21 20/13 28/8 & 87/23 88/24 89/15 89/17 90/14 & overlooked [1] 11/2 \\
\hline 28/12 31/12 43/11 43/25 52/17 & 90/24 93/7 93/11 94/24 96/24 & own [3] 28/8 45/12 99/8 \\
\hline 58/6 58/10 71/24 73/16 77/19 & 100/18 100/23 100/24 100/25 & owned [9] 36/3 39/3 44/11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
owned... [6] 47/18 48/13 48/19 48/20 55/11 86/19
owner [17] 32/23 43/19 48/15 67/8 86/22 87/7 89/15 89/17 90/21 91/13 92/7 92/14 93/4 94/8 95/5 95/10 96/25
owner-occupied [3] 43/19 92/14 93/4
owners [6] 86/22 89/17 91/6 91/9 91/11 91/11

\section*{P}
p.m [3] 1/10 3/6 106/13
pad [1] 13/9
page [2] 12/18 34/16
pan [1] 19/15
paragraph [1] 34/16
paraphrasing [1] 70/22
parcel [3] 48/20 94/24 99/7
parcels [4] 94/4 94/8 94/12 99/5
Pardon [1] 104/13
park [3] 71/5 71/6 71/10
parked [1] 101/15
parking [12] 12/10 13/10 52/4
52/18 52/18 52/21 56/14 56/15
56/18 56/19 75/3 76/18
parks [1] 76/4
part [18] 7/24 10/20 36/2 49/10 53/5 59/6 61/24 63/2 64/18 64/19 65/17 66/9 73/7 92/5 93/11 95/6 102/5 103/6
particular [2] 31/16 59/4
parties [1] 107/11
parts [1] 48/7
pass [2] 36/12 73/6
passed [1] 93/23
passenger [4] 15/16 15/19 70/5 70/9
past [3] 19/16 45/21 60/18
Patricia [1] 20/18
pause [1] 99/10
pen [1] 34/24
people [7] 13/15 41/25 42/7 43/14 45/8 45/19 87/24
percent [1] 49/23
perfectly [3] 57/4 57/7 104/25
perhaps [7] 5/16 6/20 62/23
65/9 93/3 94/2 103/24
perimeters [1] 11/24
Period [1] 26/19
permanently [1] 7/19
permission [1] 24/11
permissive [1] 62/11 permit [6] 9/16 29/22 62/25 65/13 65/20 65/21 permittivity [1] 62/20 perplexed [1] 31/6 person [2] 48/18 71/21 personally [3] 39/6 65/5 97/24 perspective [1] 101/6 phenomenon [1] 51/9 philosophically [1] 92/9 photograph [1] 101/13 photos [1] 6/2
physical [2] 84/7 96/7
picture [1] 11/19
pictures [3] 11/20 12/19 39/12
piece [10] 39/4 39/4 87/7 87/23
88/18 88/21 90/24 91/4 91/17
102/8
pieces [3] 87/21 88/5 88/25
pipe [1] 13/2
place [4] 24/3 41/19 86/15 95/25
placed [1] 32/15
placement [1] 61/13
plan [1] 23/22
planned [1] 8/3
Planning [36] 21/9 21/12 22/12
22/20 22/21 23/3 23/6 23/8
23/20 23/22 24/2 24/13 24/21
24/23 25/10 25/15 25/17 26/20
26/25 27/2 27/13 28/22 29/3
29/9 29/19 29/19 30/16 30/17
31/7 31/9 31/14 31/18 32/2
45/4 46/7 87/15
plans [1] 36/17
plastic [1] 87/4
playing [6] 36/14 41/23 88/15 89/6 89/7 89/9
pleasure [3] 57/20 102/16 105/2
plus [2] 62/21 100/23
point [46] 9/25 10/3 10/17 11/8
11/11 11/12 13/16 15/9 25/16
26/13 36/22 40/15 40/16 40/23 40/24 43/19 45/23 47/7 49/2 58/18 58/19 59/7 59/9 61/25
72/10 72/11 72/12 72/13 74/12 74/22 74/23 74/24 74/25 77/24 81/21 81/22 81/23 86/25 92/14 100/7 100/8 100/9 100/16 100/17 100/18 100/20
points [1] 65/14
poker [2] 92/20 92/23
pole [1] 77/16
police [1] 87/12
policed [1] 87/10
ponder [1] 103/20
porch [12] 5/20 5/22 7/20
10/18 64/6 65/23 65/23 65/25
66/21 67/4 67/7 67/24
portion [5] 33/13 52/5 61/2
67/6 74/13
portions [1] 99/25
position [1] 24/4
possession [1] 43/2
possibility [1] 44/9
possible [6] 19/13 43/7 58/7
75/23 86/6 94/7
possibly [1] 5/8
post [1] 12/5
posts [1] 67/24
potatoes [1] 41/3
potential [1] 70/17
potentially [1] 93/4
power [1] 99/19
practice [1] 6/7
pre [1] 90/8
pre-existing [1] 90/8
precedence [1] 99/20
preclude [1] 84/22
prefer [1] 46/7
preliminary [1] 7/8
premise [1] 11/18
prepared [3] 46/6 62/17 91/14
present [2] 43/16 96/3
presented [1] 6/12
presently [2] 98/24 99/2
pretty [6] 50/4 51/23 68/2 69/5 69/6 73/9
previous [3] 7/6 7/21 48/15
previously [2] 25/19 95/24
price [4] 92/19 92/22 92/23 93/22
prior [1] 51/13
probably [9] 12/8 15/8 30/23
71/20 91/25 92/8 92/16 92/19 94/3
problem [10] 6/24 66/16 70/11
72/21 86/20 86/21 86/24 97/12 97/12 101/21
problems [1] 99/7
procedural [1] 97/13
procedure [3] 26/17 30/13
30/14
procedures [2] 27/11 27/16
proceed [1] 22/16
proceeding [1] 103/9
proceedings [1] 107/8
process [15] 10/16 16/15
23/25 27/3 27/4 28/5 30/7

\section*{P}
process... [8] 32/24 37/18
49/12 65/2 65/3 65/7 90/4 98/3
produced [1] 82/17
progress [1] 97/5
progresses [1] 62/23
projection [1] 64/6
Prokop [1] 21/15
proof [1] 37/21
properties [14] 26/6 43/17
45/12 46/17 52/16 82/19 87/25 88/21 89/13 89/18 89/25 90/15 90/25 96/11
property [57] 3/20 20/11 21/8 21/10 21/16 21/23 23/25 26/9 28/7 36/2 36/4 36/8 39/7 43/9 43/23 44/7 44/7 44/8 45/10 46/5 46/19 48/8 52/15 58/8 62/19 74/6 76/19 86/7 87/8 87/21 87/23 88/6 88/19 88/25 90/20 90/24 91/5 91/12 91/18 92/2 92/7 93/23 94/2 95/4 95/8 95/9 95/13 95/20 95/21 96/14 96/24 98/13 98/24 99/18 99/25 102/8 102/10
proposal [3] 90/16 91/15 94/7 propose [1] 40/14
proposed [16] 41/13 43/13
43/14 62/19 62/19 67/24 67/24
72/17 74/14 74/17 74/21 84/6
95/23 98/20 100/10 101/25
protects [1] 91/6
protrudes [1] 13/3
provide [1] 95/4
provides [1] 50/12
provision [3] 31/16 52/20 53/17
public [19] 3/18 3/24 3/25 4/3 4/8 4/12 19/24 20/9 20/16 33/6 35/12 43/5 44/2 44/4 57/21
63/17 100/24 104/7 107/6
pull [5] 14/19 15/25 37/22 41/9 76/5
pulling [1] 15/16
purchased [1] 48/14
purpose [3] 13/6 47/11 79/3
purposes [1] 91/25
pursuant [1] 31/10
pursue [5] 83/8 86/21 86/23 95/19 97/23
pursued [1] 97/20
purview [1] 93/16
put [24] 12/2 18/10 19/6 19/7 21/4 27/23 37/9 40/6 41/13

41/19 42/11 44/23 44/25 46/11 46/14 46/18 67/12 70/12 72/24 80/21 81/6 89/3 104/24 105/4 putting [1] 39/20

\section*{Q}
qualify [2] 41/5 42/10
quarter [1] 14/5
question [14] 23/11 23/17
26/22 33/22 35/17 46/23 58/16 58/23 62/6 67/11 71/18 90/9
94/14 103/25
questions [10] 4/13 5/8 17/20
21/6 75/12 75/14 79/22 80/23
81/8 81/10
quickly [1] 34/23
quite [7] 7/5 36/3 36/23 45/16 53/12 59/25 87/19

\section*{R}
rabbit [1] 37/22
railing [1] 67/12
railings [3] 67/13 67/21 67/23
rain [3] 68/14 71/12 71/22
raise [1] 7/11
raised [2] 9/10 54/2
ramble [1] 40/10
rather [7] 16/5 16/18 58/18
73/10 73/18 74/16 74/24
re [2] 23/6 66/10
re-file [1] 66/10
re-filed [1] 23/6
reach [1] 64/8
reacquired [1] 21/8
read [10] 5/5 21/5 33/7 33/7
38/6 42/24 47/13 53/12 54/10
56/12
reading [2] 20/23 52/9
reads [1] 74/21
ready [1] 6/4
real [3] 28/7 92/24 92/25
realized [1] 50/20
really [10] 15/9 16/8 29/13
30/24 34/4 35/16 38/25 69/25
87/8 89/14
reapplied [1] 29/2
reapply [1] 25/10
rear [3] 6/9 100/8 100/18
reason [7] 16/2 27/25 41/14
41/19 42/10 78/10 104/21
reasonable [4] 71/4 72/18 72/23 77/3
reasons [3] 87/3 90/11 94/11
recall [1] 78/12
received [1] 100/3
recently [2] 28/24 50/7
recognized [1] 46/9
recognizing [1] 45/25 recommendation [2] 46/11 59/3
record [9] 23/12 28/10 32/8
32/12 32/17 32/19 37/9 53/20 70/14
records [2] 24/20 51/20
recovering [1] 20/21
recreation [1] 89/8
reduce [2] 19/12 72/16
Reed [1] 40/13
reference [1] 62/11
referring [1] 53/2
regard [1] 101/17
regarding [3] 3/19 20/10 92/10
regular [2] 1/6 43/5
regulations [2] 28/2 52/18
related [1] 107/10
relatively [1] 73/9
relevant [2] 54/4 84/21
relief [1] 65/16
remain [1] 95/3
remarks [1] 92/10
remember [6] 16/8 31/23 36/23
38/22 47/20 48/16
remembering [1] 23/13
reminds [1] 102/7
rendered [1] 50/3
rendering [1] 64/4
renovate [1] 89/4
renovated [1] 88/13
renovation [1] 88/10
renovations [2] 88/11 88/14
rental [5] 43/17 45/18 45/20 45/20 96/14
rentals [4] 45/15 48/24 95/3 96/13
rented [1] 47/21
repeat [2] 44/21 58/20
repeating [1] 47/8
Reporter [1] 107/5
representing [1] 5/3
request [4] 21/22 64/12 71/10 75/20
requested [9] 5/23 6/2 9/25
10/2 83/19 96/5 98/18 99/4 100/14
requesting [1] 58/22
requests [2] 90/13 93/19
required [3] 25/4 26/18 101/12
requirement [1] 40/24
requires [1] 40/18
requiring [10] 40/15 74/15

\section*{R}
requiring... [8] 74/18 74/23 81/22 100/9 100/11 100/17 100/19 100/21
rescue [2] 39/19 41/25
research [1] 31/15
residence [1] 6/10
resolution [12] 22/6 33/4 33/6 33/8 37/8 37/11 42/24 43/3
44/16 49/9 54/11 54/13
resolve [1] 52/23
resolved [1] 44/12
respect [1] 21/16
respond [6] 5/10 5/10 5/25 6/4 23/4 42/19
responders [1] 39/20
responding [1] 54/3
response [8] 3/3 11/14 19/21
25/7 35/8 57/18 103/19 105/25
responsible [1] 91/5
rest [1] 62/19
restrictions [1] 32/15
reviews [1] 9/16
revise [1] 73/17
revised [4] 67/2 67/20 81/7
82/5
ridiculous [1] 42/5
riding [1] 90/5
right [23] 9/13 10/21 14/14
15/12 20/8 26/11 35/24 38/3
38/20 42/8 42/8 42/14 42/14
47/25 48/24 68/21 76/17 77/20
81/4 90/12 93/10 102/3 104/10
rigorous [1] 95/24
risk [4] 69/20 69/23 69/24
70/11
road [1] 63/22
roll [2] 44/13 86/16
roll-off [1] 86/16
roof [3] 6/17 19/4 19/4
roofs [1] 8/10
room [1] 37/14
rough [2] 88/7 92/15
routine [1] 64/21
routinely [1] 51/6
ruling [1] 9/4
run [3] 55/22 88/2 97/15
runs [3] 25/5 26/3 97/11
S
safety [5] 39/8 42/2 66/21 70/3 70/11
said [26] 12/19 18/25 21/15 23/2 25/9 25/17 26/8 29/4 38/5

38/13 39/22 41/20 46/25 47/18 47/23 48/3 56/7 56/14 57/3
57/7 60/17 62/25 72/16 73/21 88/9 88/17
SALADINO [1] 1/12
same [9] 7/24 15/24 26/4 26/6 43/24 46/12 47/11 47/17 53/15
Sanitary [1] 87/2
sat [1] 16/7
satisfied [1] 46/6
saw [4] 46/4 46/5 47/15 87/20
say [30] 7/3 8/24 9/17 15/25
16/11 18/2 19/6 26/13 30/23
31/25 32/18 36/12 47/16 48/4
48/4 49/23 54/17 56/22 57/17
59/5 63/19 68/12 70/13 76/15
87/12 89/22 92/5 93/6 93/13 95/12
saying [12] 16/17 24/24 36/8 37/23 61/10 61/16 73/4 74/5 74/8 91/16 96/19 104/19
says [14] 26/25 31/9 34/12
34/12 34/17 43/3 44/2 51/25
52/2 52/13 61/17 61/19 70/20 87/2
scale [1] \(14 / 5\)
schedule [1] 3/4
second [24] 2/8 2/19 3/10 5/18 16/5 19/25 26/22 52/6 57/23 74/13 78/21 79/5 79/15 80/4 82/6 85/13 85/14 85/17 93/7 99/13 102/23 103/6 103/10 106/5
seconded [1] 43/20
section [7] 31/8 31/10 40/17 48/20 53/2 53/12 56/16
see [16] 11/23 12/22 18/14 24/20 34/15 36/12 39/10 40/4 58/14 59/8 61/7 76/5 87/9
96/11 101/22 104/20
seeing [2] 30/11 70/7
seem [4] 13/15 37/16 38/3 53/9
seemed [1] 24/22
seems [11] 37/13 37/22 69/13
69/18 70/7 71/4 71/19 72/18
90/10 90/22 101/16
seen [1] 37/12
self [2] \(84 / 20\) 96/18
self-created [2] 84/20 96/18 sell [1] 92/3
semantics [2] 97/24 97/25
send [3] 35/2 40/5 44/18
sending [1] 24/23
sense [5] 30/21 36/6 45/18 99/5 102/12
sent [3] 29/14 35/4 96/22 sentence [2] 25/23 33/23
separate [6] 27/23 86/19 86/22 87/21 95/2 96/9
separately [1] 47/19
separation [1] 27/9
September [4] 1/9 21/19 107/9 107/15
SEQRA [5] 75/19 79/3 102/18 102/22 103/9
serve [1] 13/6
service [2] \(8 / 1632 / 21\)
SESSION [1] 1/6
set [5] 35/19 67/14 87/8 87/9 107/14
setback [22] 6/8 10/6 12/3 12/6 14/2 16/21 40/14 40/18 41/18 52/19 60/4 62/7 65/18 69/2
74/9 74/14 74/18 74/22 75/10 80/6 81/21 100/16
setbacks [13] 6/8 16/3 16/12 41/13 46/5 52/19 56/18 61/21 75/3 82/5 98/19 101/8 101/10
setoff [1] \(31 / 21\)
setoffs [1] 31/22
seven [10] 15/17 16/6 16/23
17/11 40/16 65/25 72/13 74/23 81/22 100/7
seventeen [2] 74/24 81/23
seventy [3] 17/7 17/13 40/25
seventy-five [1] 40/25
seventy-two [2] 17/7 17/13
several [6] 16/5 16/6 73/19
87/14 91/23 98/22
shall [2] 53/4 84/20
shame [1] 91/7
shape [2] 88/7 91/19
shaped [1] 91/17
shared [1] 96/10
she [9] 6/2 6/3 25/22 42/23
60/4 66/17 67/10 68/16 80/15
she's [1] 74/6
shed [1] 103/24
sheet [1] 13/22
shooting [1] 80/24
short [1] 96/14
short-term [1] 96/14
should [21] 6/23 8/6 9/4 16/22
26/9 38/17 39/13 41/5 50/13
55/9 57/14 58/25 71/18 72/13
94/12 94/23 99/20 99/21 101/6 101/7 104/22
shoulda [3] 53/20 53/24 89/24 shouldn't [2] 37/24 56/22
show [4] 66/19 67/3 67/15 97/7

\section*{S}
showed [3] 11/20 12/18 19/15 shown [1] 10/20
shows [3] 63/25 67/21 90/17 shut [1] 41/6
side [31] 6/9 12/16 12/20 13/5 13/8 15/16 16/3 16/12 18/10 19/8 19/9 59/16 61/21 69/14 69/21 70/5 70/10 70/12 71/21 74/9 74/14 74/18 74/21 75/6 77/5 77/5 80/5 81/20 82/5
82/14 100/15
sides [3] 67/14 67/21 70/2 sight [2] 49/3 49/8
significantly [1] 64/10
similarly [1] 74/20
simple [2] 33/17 45/24
simpler [4] 33/15 57/8 57/8 57/9
simply [1] 90/7
simultaneously [1] 73/20 since [8] 13/14 22/6 29/7 36/25 38/24 80/18 95/14 98/11
single [3] 45/7 45/7 88/22
single-family [3] 45/7 45/7
88/22
sir [1] 18/7
sit [1] 21/20
site [1] 23/22
situation [1] 39/15
six [17] 9/18 9/25 12/9 40/15
40/24 48/8 61/5 64/17 74/19
81/25 82/3 97/20 98/4 100/9
100/17 100/17 100/19
six-and-a-half-foot [2] 61/5 64/17
sixteen [1] 6/18
sixteenth [1] 14/23
sixteenths [2] 14/8 14/10
sixty [4] 17/13 103/21 104/6
104/8
sixty-two [3] 103/21 104/6 104/8
size [5] 15/15 16/22 26/6 61/12 101/21
sizes [1] 56/8
sleeve [2] 12/7 12/7
slip [1] 37/19
smacking [1] 41/10
small [4] 38/7 38/15 62/17 101/19
smaller [3] 68/19 73/16 101/18 so [107] 2/7 2/18 3/9 5/10 6/9 6/22 8/24 10/6 10/9 12/8 13/4
\(13 / 11\) 13/25 15/13 15/15 16/11 16/13 16/17 17/12 17/15 18/11 18/15 19/11 21/3 21/19 21/25 22/8 22/19 22/24 23/5 24/3 25/14 26/7 26/25 27/23 27/23 28/3 29/19 29/25 30/20 31/2 31/13 32/8 32/16 33/19 34/6 34/10 34/18 36/4 36/19 36/21 36/24 36/25 37/15 37/24 38/24 39/5 42/12 46/15 46/19 49/2 49/20 50/14 50/22 50/24 50/24 51/8 51/16 51/19 52/7 54/3 54/18 55/10 56/17 60/25 63/7 64/20 67/2 67/12 67/20 70/13 74/4 76/5 76/6 76/10 78/3 79/4 79/14 81/12 81/21 82/2 87/23 89/12 90/6 90/9 90/15 91/6 93/14 97/15 99/3 99/4 99/24 101/4 101/4 101/19 101/20 105/4
so-called [1] 15/15
softly [2] 66/25 67/19 sold [4] 39/4 39/4 46/17 95/7 solution [6] 66/10 66/15 66/20 67/9 68/3 91/8
some [19] 4/12 6/2 6/2 6/20
7/5 10/11 13/2 20/25 22/2
27/25 28/8 38/8 41/9 41/24
43/19 83/7 88/13 92/14 94/21
somebody [5] 27/8 41/11
50/21 65/12 87/23
someone [8] 39/17 47/21
48/20 62/25 69/8 95/8 99/11 101/3
someplace [1] 41/7
something [16] 17/4 17/17
18/2 20/24 25/11 31/4 36/13
38/3 50/19 50/19 57/17 89/21
90/13 93/6 94/16 94/17
somethings [1] 51/22
sometimes [4] 10/22 10/24
10/25 51/21
somewhere [1] 56/6
sorry [12] 4/21 17/25 47/24
49/15 53/2 54/22 54/25 55/24
59/25 77/9 102/14 105/20
sort [4] 13/2 89/23 90/17
101/20
sought [4] 34/17 58/7 83/6 86/6
sounds [1] 47/5
South [4] 3/20 16/4 55/19 58/9
Southold [5] 6/14 28/7 31/21 60/16 61/23
space [8] 13/5 13/13 70/12
\(73 / 1073 / 1174 / 774 / 976 / 18\) spaces [1] 101/14
speak [11] \(4 / 44 / 84 / 1118 / 20\) 35/13 42/18 44/4 73/19 94/11 100/24 100/25
speaking [1] 73/9
speaks [2] 66/25 67/19
specific [2] 46/3 98/18
specifically [1] 7/12
specification [1] 17/3
specify [1] 16/23
speculation [2] 53/23 93/25
speculative [1] 51/3
spoke [1] \(43 / 14\)
spot [1] 75/24
spread [1] 16/13
square [8] 40/23 59/19 100/10 100/12 100/20 100/22 102/8 102/10
ss [1] 107/3
stairs [10] 10/19 12/4 12/16 13/8 13/11 14/2 16/21 67/22 77/4 77/16
standard [2] 30/14 60/16 standards [2] 95/25 96/2 standing [1] 18/11
start [1] 55/14
starting [1] 74/12
starts [1] 74/13
state [5] 1/3 43/16 55/22 107/3 107/6
State-run [1] 55/22
stated [2] 25/19 67/5
states [2] 10/17 53/4
Station [1] 3/7
stay [1] 25/11
stenographer [2] 4/18 18/22 step [11] 27/20 27/21 28/14 28/15 28/17 28/20 29/5 30/6 66/2 97/22 98/2
STEPHANIE [2] 107/5 107/20 steps [39] 5/19 5/21 5/22 6/5 6/10 6/22 7/4 7/11 7/20 8/11 8/20 8/22 9/19 11/6 11/7 11/24 58/16 58/24 59/5 59/19 60/9 60/11 60/13 60/15 60/22 61/11 61/24 62/12 63/2 63/3 63/25 64/8 64/18 65/15 65/16 66/20 67/3 67/3 68/15
still [13] 12/13 19/13 19/14 20/21 26/7 26/12 36/17 37/7 64/24 65/2 65/3 68/22 101/10 Stinky [2] 43/8 43/22
stock [1] 47/20
stop [1] 41/7

surveyors [1] 8/17

\section*{SUV [2] 11/22 11/22} swing [1] 19/8
T
table [2] 80/22 81/6
take [8] 16/20 77/21 79/23
79/23 80/2 80/3 82/13 99/24
taken [4] 11/25 52/23 100/12 107/8
taking [2] 24/3 24/9
talk [6] 10/10 45/13 93/8 102/17 103/17 105/5
talking [12] 9/21 40/3 44/16 47/5 59/15 64/7 68/20 69/23 73/22 74/7 94/15 94/16
target [1] 80/24
task [1] 90/19
TASKER [3] 1/16 35/17 50/17 tax [10] 3/22 20/13 28/8 28/12 43/11 43/25 46/13 52/16 58/10 86/10
taxes [1] 94/3
tell [7] 22/22 25/2 25/4 33/14 51/10 51/16 51/17
telling [1] 51/4
ten [4] 45/22 65/23 65/23 100/8
ten-foot [1] 65/23
tenants [3] 45/13 45/16 45/16
term [2] 96/13 96/14
terms [1] 87/20
than [20] 16/18 17/16 32/20
39/11 45/8 48/7 51/18 58/18
63/13 70/11 72/9 73/18 74/25
80/18 83/9 87/22 88/23 89/17
92/2 101/24
thank [23] 11/16 11/17 17/24 18/17 18/18 19/19 20/18 35/9 37/2 37/3 40/8 42/15 42/16 46/21 49/4 49/5 51/24 54/6 54/7 55/18 57/15 68/4 86/4
Thanks [1] 106/12
that [376]
that's [83] 7/14 7/18 8/2 10/2 12/8 12/9 12/20 13/2 13/10 13/14 14/20 15/8 16/22 17/17 18/3 19/18 21/10 22/4 22/24 22/24 23/5 23/7 23/10 25/8 25/13 25/14 26/10 29/22 30/10 30/20 32/24 35/10 35/11 36/21 36/25 38/5 38/18 39/25 41/11 42/4 43/8 44/16 46/19 47/4 49/2 49/10 49/15 51/2 53/21 54/14 55/14 56/23 61/25 63/22 64/3 64/14 64/15 64/22 68/2

71/3 71/13 71/14 75/2 75/9 76/22 78/18 78/20 87/5 87/6 88/2 88/19 91/21 92/4 92/16 93/9 95/9 95/16 96/11 98/13 99/14 101/9 101/25 105/2
their [11] 15/20 15/21 26/7 30/23 31/18 32/3 32/9 43/2 49/18 60/24 89/18
them [18] 8/4 27/8 27/23 31/22
33/13 39/10 39/20 43/19 46/15
63/7 63/12 63/15 63/16 64/5 80/19 89/9 92/13 93/12
then [29] 9/4 11/6 11/7 24/12 25/9 26/13 33/17 36/6 37/21 37/25 38/6 46/10 54/18 57/10 58/17 72/12 80/13 80/22 81/8 81/10 88/4 88/15 88/20 89/3 89/4 89/15 90/9 97/6 106/3 there [107] 4/3 4/7 5/7 7/10 7/20 13/12 18/5 18/13 18/19 19/10 21/5 21/14 21/15 22/2 22/7 22/9 22/13 24/2 24/6 24/20 26/7 26/25 27/5 27/6 27/19 28/13 28/15 30/12 31/7 31/13 32/19 32/25 33/2 33/5 33/6 34/13 35/12 36/6 36/10 \(36 / 1136 / 1436 / 1636 / 1636 / 17\) \(37 / 437 / 1638 / 1638 / 1938 / 20\) 38/23 39/20 41/22 41/23 41/24 41/24 42/10 42/17 42/23 43/18 47/10 47/21 48/12 49/12 50/18 53/21 55/4 56/7 57/16 58/12 62/11 64/25 65/3 65/14 65/15 66/9 66/15 66/23 67/12 71/24 71/25 73/8 75/24 77/25 78/10 78/10 80/2 85/17 86/16 86/19 89/5 90/6 90/8 90/25 91/2 92/12 92/13 94/10 94/14 98/25 99/6 99/10 101/3 101/13 101/15 101/19 103/6 105/18
there was [1] 33/5
there's [23] 12/22 12/24 12/25 13/4 19/3 19/15 33/13 34/20 37/13 38/13 41/22 49/10 52/4 54/5 59/16 77/4 87/7 89/5 95/12 95/18 96/20 97/17 98/10 thereafter [1] 22/10 therefore [3] 25/6 26/18 44/11 these [37] 9/18 9/20 9/21 13/25 16/3 16/12 36/17 37/8 38/4 38/7 38/8 39/2 39/8 39/22 39/25 41/4 41/13 41/19 42/9 42/10 44/23 48/10 48/23 63/3 64/18 79/22 80/17 81/7 81/13 82/5 88/11 91/3 96/11 100/4

\section*{T}
these... [3] 100/12 101/11
101/14
they [69] 6/10 7/23 8/19 10/14 16/13 21/22 21/24 23/3 23/4 32/2 36/12 36/12 36/20 40/15 40/22 40/23 41/4 41/16 42/9 43/2 43/17 46/2 46/4 46/5 46/5 47/18 47/21 48/11 50/20 50/22 51/6 52/3 53/15 53/24 54/12 54/12 54/16 55/23 56/2 56/8 56/9 56/10 56/20 56/21 56/22 56/24 56/25 58/25 60/16 61/23 61/23 63/3 63/13 64/19 65/19 65/20 74/8 86/23 87/20 87/22 88/9 89/2 89/7 94/25 95/7 95/7 99/20 99/21 100/12
they're [12] 19/2 33/9 33/12 36/14 37/22 64/16 64/16 67/14 80/18 88/7 89/6 99/8
thing [8] 7/24 46/25 47/17 50/6 52/3 76/12 87/5 96/24
things [10] 36/21 39/14 42/9 49/18 51/21 63/22 78/4 88/8 93/14 97/2
think [66] 5/11 10/12 15/4 22/2 24/20 26/8 32/18 36/19 37/17 42/23 45/12 47/8 47/15 47/17 48/4 48/12 48/21 49/2 49/17 50/7 50/16 51/22 57/12 57/14 61/15 61/20 62/15 62/16 63/12 63/14 63/21 64/6 66/6 66/17 68/2 69/7 69/7 70/24 72/2 72/22 72/23 73/2 73/13 77/3 77/20 77/24 86/17 90/3 90/6 90/7 90/16 91/7 91/10 91/24 92/8 92/14 93/20 93/21 93/25 94/17 96/16 97/24 97/25 98/22 98/25 101/9
thinking [1] 14/3
third [11] 1/8 \(3 / 7\) 20/11 35/20 37/6 41/21 43/10 43/23 80/4 80/5 86/8
thirty [7] 16/23 17/10 17/11 17/14 40/19 60/4 69/11
thirty-foot [1] 60/4
thirty-seven [2] 16/23 17/11 thirty-two [2] 17/14 69/11
this [134]
Thomas [5] 43/13 43/20 44/14 44/14 47/18
those [17] 3/24 6/9 20/15 36/14 36/21 39/12 50/9 59/11 65/21 65/24 76/16 86/18 88/8

88/12 88/24 89/25 100/4
though [1] 7/23
thought [7] 5/15 13/14 17/25 18/16 38/9 51/8 88/6
thoughts [3] 59/3 69/3 98/14 thousand [1] 11/19
three [31] 9/21 9/22 9/24 10/2 10/17 11/8 11/11 14/7 14/10 14/23 19/12 39/11 40/15 40/16 40/23 50/14 60/6 60/11 62/18 63/5 63/8 63/16 64/9 65/6 65/22 65/24 76/8 80/3 100/7 100/16 100/18
three-sixteenth [1] 14/23 through [17] 10/16 21/23 27/12 37/17 37/19 37/20 41/4 41/6 46/14 46/19 47/2 65/7 69/22 73/6 79/22 87/6 97/4 throw [1] 88/20
Thursday [1] 41/17
time [47] 4/15 7/5 7/20 8/17 9/16 15/24 21/2 21/15 21/21 22/8 22/19 23/11 24/8 28/16 31/19 33/15 35/18 36/3 36/25 38/15 41/6 42/7 45/25 47/14 48/19 48/25 52/11 53/8 53/25 54/12 55/8 56/11 56/25 57/8 57/8 57/9 71/23 86/14 87/18 88/10 90/23 92/17 92/18 97/4 97/17 98/4 106/13
times [2] 73/21 91/23
tiny [1] 101/14
titles [1] 27/23
today [8] 26/5 26/24 26/24
41/16 56/24 65/11 92/19 94/15
today's [1] 41/17
together [3] 14/24 16/10 80/4 told [3] 29/20 33/2 60/10
tomorrow [1] 65/12
tonight [3] 20/20 104/7 104/8 too [7] 12/3 14/16 33/10 33/13 78/8 88/2 96/4
took [5] 11/19 12/19 43/6 52/3 92/16
top [2] 17/13 19/5
total [1] 92/15
totality [1] 100/12
totally [1] 93/15
tough [1] 17/15
towards [1] 89/14
Town [5] 6/13 26/21 27/11
28/6 31/21
transcript [12] 20/23 23/13
24/21 32/20 34/3 34/4 34/15
34/16 51/15 51/20 51/24 107/8
trouble [1] 70/6
truck [1] 15/15
trucks [1] 42/2
true [3] 49/22 95/16 107/7
trying [8] 13/17 14/6 35/25
37/22 38/10 45/9 69/19 90/19
Tuesday [1] 3/6
turn [3] 4/5 14/17 77/2
twelve [13] 6/17 15/22 16/18
17/15 58/17 59/9 71/2 71/7
72/4 72/11 76/24 77/24 80/10
twelve-and-a-half [4] 15/22
16/18 72/4 76/24
twelve-and-a-half-foot [3]
71/2 71/7 80/10
twelve-point-five-foot [2] 59/9
77/24
twenty [13] 6/19 10/17 11/8 11/11 11/12 12/4 12/6 40/16 60/6 62/18 95/17 100/7 100/19
twenty-eight [1] 12/4 twenty-four [1] 6/19 twenty-four-inch [1] 12/6 twenty-six [1] 100/19 twenty-three [6] 10/17 11/8 11/11 40/16 60/6 100/7
twenty-three-foot [1] 62/18 two [62] 5/11 9/25 15/18 15/18 17/7 17/13 17/14 19/7 19/12 27/4 27/23 32/5 38/16 38/19 40/3 44/24 44/25 46/11 46/12 46/16 51/11 52/16 64/5 67/13 67/21 67/23 69/11 69/13 69/14 72/8 73/17 74/6 74/14 75/2 76/2 76/2 78/2 78/10 81/4 81/24 86/18 86/21 87/21 87/24 89/13 89/17 90/14 91/10 91/11 93/4 94/3 94/8 94/8 94/12 94/12 94/24 96/9 99/5 103/21 103/25 104/6 104/8 two-and-a-half [1] 15/18 two-foot [1] 81/4
Type [2] 79/13 103/9
typically [2] 6/17 7/8
typing [1] 34/19
U
U-E-L-L-E-N-D-A-H-L [1] 4/20
Uellendahl [6] 4/10 4/19 11/13
60/10 66/24 67/18
ultimate [1] 99/17
ultimately [3] 23/7 25/18 46/20
Um [1] 105/11
Um-hum [1] 105/11
unbalanced [1] 15/5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline U & 24/10 38/6 38/8 39/23 56/10 & 39/21 46/20 48/24 76/4 77/12 \\
\hline uncomfortable [1] 91/15 & 58/7 59/2 75/3 & 80/13 80/17 87/8 90/12 91/5 \\
\hline under [6] 38/10 71/5 71/6 & 7 82/13 82/20 85/10 85/16 & 96/10 96/11 101/22 102/4 \\
\hline 71/10 95/24 96/3 & & \\
\hline undersized [1] 99/25 & & \\
\hline understand [8] 9/13 57/11 & & \\
\hline 69/22 71/3 72/14 73/5 92/4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { vehic } \\
17 / 6
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
104 / 23 \text { 105/4 105/5 }
\] \\
\hline 99/18 & very & we're [30] 9/21 25/6 26/18 \\
\hline understanding [6] 6/6 7/18 16/15 22/17 22/18 60/14 & 3 38/21 44/21 45/15 45/24 & 4/10 37/25 39/20 40/5 44/2 \\
\hline understands [1] 74/4 & 7 & 49/8 \\
\hline understood [1] 90/3 & & 62/17 64/7 6 \\
\hline undesirable [1] 82/16 & & \\
\hline unfortunate [1] 70/10 & & 94/16 104/16 \\
\hline Unfortunately [1] 33/16 & & \\
\hline unintelligible [2] 15/20 40/25 & 21/20 24/24 29/7 29/10 30/4 & weigh [1] 39/18 \\
\hline uniqueness [1] 90/23 & 30/22 30/22 30/23 30/25 31/9 & eird [1] 91/19 \\
\hline unless [2] 65/21 97/18 & 31/11 32/22 38/9 39/13 40/17 & well [39] 7/17 8/8 8/15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{unsafe [1] 38/21 until [2] 50/7 50/13} & 43/3 45/17 48/6 48/13 50/10 & 9/23 10/16 12/13 15/23 15/2 \\
\hline & 50/15 51/20 53/4 60/15 60/17 & 16/8 16/25 20/21 22/5 22/22 \\
\hline up [33] 4/5 6/18 9/3 14/7 15/12 & 62/13 86/21 86/23 87/10 87/13 & 23/2 25/9 25/21 29/17 30/24 \\
\hline 27/16 28/4 31/5 35/20 38/2 & 89/16 90/21 90/25 91/6 91/9 & 32/7 34/12 45/11 49/6 51/4 \\
\hline 38/14 41/10 42/21 43/6 44/23 & 92/7 92/20 92/24 93/15 94/4 & 54/16 56/12 56/19 58/14 61/22 \\
\hline 47/6 50/13 58/23 72/24 74/8 & 96/22 & 66/9 70/5 72/8 72/20 77/4 \\
\hline 76/6 87/8 87/9 88/8 89/18 97/7 & Village's [3] 27/16 49/11 87/16 & 86/13 88/17 91/24 99/10 100/3 \\
\hline 100/13 104/2 & virtue [1] 24/7 & went [13] 10/16 17/2 19/6 \\
\hline updated [1] 8/21 & voluntarily [1] & 12 21/19 25/14 29/18 29/21 \\
\hline us [18] 9/12 10/8 33/2 49/10 & vote [25] 2/14 2/25 & 29/25 37/17 38/23 88/4 97/3 \\
\hline 53/25 60/10 63/6 64/22 64/23 & 13 58/5 72/24 77/12 79/11 & were [25] 5/7 5/12 7/23 11/2 \\
\hline 65/18 77/6 77/10 77/13 77/22 & 79/21 80/14 80/18 81/11 82/12 & 19/17 21/22 36/17 39/2 39/5 \\
\hline 80/8 98/22 104/19 104/21 & 83/5 83/18 84/5 84/18 85/8 & 42/10 46/6 47/18 49/21 50/3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{use [3] 76/21 95/2 96/15} & 86/3 90/15 91/14 103/5 103/16 & 50/21 51/6 54/12 61/11 87/18 \\
\hline & 106/11 & 88/9 91/12 92/11 95/14 97/14 \\
\hline 95/20 98/24 99/2 & W & \\
\hline usually [2] 6/20 7/13 & walk [1] 89/4 & weren't [1] 60/19 west [2] 69/21 73/7 \\
\hline V & Walker [2] 43/21 44/15 & western [1] 70/12 \\
\hline valid & & wet [2] 68/22 71/8 \\
\hline value [3] 44/7 87/22 92/10 & want [24] 9/11 10/7 10/8 14/24 & what [69] 9/8 13/14 14/9 15/ \\
\hline variance [62] 5/23 9/25 10/2 & & /23 21/7 21/11 21/17 21 \\
\hline 22/14 25/5 25/20 26/2 26/3 & 31/5 39/10 42/19 47/7 54/10 & 24/25 25/14 28/6 30/10 32/9 \\
\hline 26/12 26/17 26/23 30/15 40/16 & /12 56/25 66/10 76/15 77/6 & 33/5 33/11 34/2 34/12 36/8 \\
\hline 41/2 41/18 45/25 46/2 58/18 & 77/10 89/9 101/18 102/17 & 39/5 41/16 42/8 43/2 45/21 \\
\hline 59/6 59/7 59/9 60/8 61/3 61/5 & 03/17 & 46/19 47/2 49/8 52/19 52/25 \\
\hline 61/9 61/16 62/18 64/12 64/17 & wanted [6] 19/12 20/24 25/13 & 53/13 54/10 55/2 56/22 56/25 \\
\hline 65/21 67/4 67/7 70/20 72/9 & 4/24 50/ & 58/21 60/2 61/15 64/11 64/16 \\
\hline 72/12 72/16 74/15 74/19 74/24 & warranted [1] 87/13 & 68/16 69/18 72/17 73/2 73/4 \\
\hline 76/14 81/23 83/9 83/19 84/6 & was [135] & 73/5 75/15 76/7 77/20 78/20 \\
\hline 84/23 86/6 95/22 96/2 96/5 & wasn't [7] 36/6 36/10 49/12 & 81/18 81/19 87/11 88/25 89/24 \\
\hline 97/11 97/13 97/17 97/23 98/6 & 49/12 53/11 55/4 98/4 & 91/10 91/16 92/5 92/22 92/25 \\
\hline 98/9 98/12 100/7 100/9 100/11 & wasting [1] & 93/9 93/12 93/22 94/9 94/18 \\
\hline 100/17 100/19 100/21 & watch [1] 42/7 & 97/21 101/6 101/7 104/19 \\
\hline variances [25] 3/19 20/10 & water [1] 18/1 & 105/16 \\
\hline variances [25] 3/19 20/10 & way [18] 28/10 37/25 38/14 & what's [9] 10/9 15/3 24/25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

W
what's... [6] 42/14 56/23 57/20 64/22 94/13 102/16
whatever [9] 9/23 10/14 15/21 28/3 48/23 61/17 61/19 64/7 93/24
whatsoever [1] 25/8
when [41] 9/15 19/3 19/5 21/7 21/12 22/3 22/4 22/19 22/24 22/24 23/7 23/12 25/8 25/14 28/10 28/21 28/22 28/25 29/2 30/14 34/19 34/25 36/13 36/17 38/6 38/22 39/11 48/14 50/7 50/14 53/12 56/8 62/23 64/17 75/10 87/14 91/25 92/5 99/6 100/4 101/12
where [18] 7/20 12/5 12/12 12/21 13/8 13/11 15/11 16/9 19/16 28/5 31/13 40/3 40/23 55/23 55/25 66/20 74/20 80/23 where's [1] 75/25 whereas [4] 44/2 44/3 44/5 44/8
WHEREOF [1] 107/14 whether [20] 27/13 27/17 30/16 30/18 31/16 31/20 32/22 51/16 58/25 73/11 82/16 83/6 83/19 84/6 84/19 94/10 95/22 96/5 96/17 99/8
which [29] 7/7 8/22 11/7 13/22 19/16 22/25 24/8 28/16 42/25 45/8 47/7 47/10 48/7 48/15 50/2 66/19 67/3 73/6 74/16 77/24 78/5 81/2 84/20 91/13
91/18 92/25 96/12 101/8 102/4
while [1] 49/9
whistles [1] 50/24
white [2] 49/18 49/19
who [7] 4/3 21/14 48/19 88/18 88/22 88/23 101/3
whoever [2] 9/6 9/16
whole [9] 15/6 16/15 19/8 36/4 37/18 63/17 65/7 79/24 90/17
why [15] 7/14 22/22 23/5 23/14 23/19 24/12 30/20 42/10 54/5 54/17 80/21 86/14 94/11 94/23 104/21
wide [11] 17/7 17/10 19/9 65/23 67/23 69/5 69/6 70/2 70/23 71/20 76/22
width [7] 5/13 5/25 11/20 17/22 69/9 69/10 70/24
wife [4] 43/8 43/22 54/11 55/9 will [15] 15/3 26/20 44/7 62/2

67/23 76/3 76/4 81/22 82/16 82/19 84/6 94/18 95/4 96/5 104/23
William [1] 44/15
willing [4] 60/12 60/22 60/23 66/17
window [2] 8/21 64/6
Wingate [2] 21/21 87/18
wish [1] 39/17
withdraw [1] 23/20
withdrawn [1] 22/21
withdrew [1] 22/11
within [5] 31/17 97/20 98/4 107/6 107/7
without [11] 28/8 63/9 65/6 65/7 65/19 65/21 66/21 67/4 77/8 96/19 101/23
WITNESS [1] 107/14
won't [2] 73/6 75/24
wondering [3] 36/5 56/7 89/12 word [1] 87/11
words [7] 11/19 12/19 13/17 40/25 73/16 74/25 76/17
works [1] 68/6
world [1] 49/18
worms [1] 47/7
worse [2] 39/15 101/24
worst [1] 39/7
worth [2] 11/19 94/2
would [103] 4/4 4/8 4/14 5/21 5/23 8/13 9/24 10/6 10/19 10/21 11/8 11/10 12/5 12/12 12/21 13/11 13/12 13/16 14/2 16/8 18/19 22/23 23/19 23/21 25/18 25/25 27/19 27/22 28/7 28/18 28/20 30/21 30/23 31/19 32/13 32/18 35/13 35/16 36/6 36/7 37/4 37/17 40/4 42/17 43/17 45/8 46/7 46/11 46/18 49/23 50/24 52/23 55/7 56/17 56/22 58/14 59/3 59/8 59/18 60/7 60/23 61/4 61/10 61/17 62/15 62/15 63/3 63/5 64/11 64/20 64/21 64/25 67/5 67/12 72/8 73/15 74/8 74/17 75/14 75/23 80/7 80/8 86/24 87/9 87/21 87/22 89/2 89/13 89/22 89/24 91/11 92/3 93/14 93/21 93/24 94/3 94/4 94/18 98/3 99/12 102/4 103/20 104/21
woulda [3] 53/20 53/24 89/23 wouldn't [7] 12/11 29/5 37/19 47/16 56/20 89/2 89/8
write [1] 50/23
writes [1] 9/6
written [6] 22/7 37/20 50/9 50/16 50/25 53/20
wrong [5] 59/13 59/17 92/17 92/18 92/19
Y
yard [26] 8/24 16/3 16/12 40/14 59/16 61/6 61/21 62/6 63/4 64/19 65/18 69/2 69/15 74/9 74/14 74/18 74/21 80/6 81/21 82/5 82/14 100/6 100/8 100/16 100/18 101/7
yards [3] 6/9 75/6 82/14
yeah [7] 8/6 13/24 31/5 33/19 56/5 56/20 71/16
year [2] 7/7 25/12
year-and-a-half [1] 25/12
years [11] 16/5 16/6 16/6 16/7
16/7 36/23 38/18 39/11 45/22
87/14 95/17
yes [41] 9/9 10/23 17/8 20/18
25/24 26/13 29/2 29/24 40/20
44/15 49/4 59/21 59/22 64/22
68/7 68/9 68/10 68/13 69/17
72/5 75/7 75/11 81/15 82/15
83/22 83/24 84/4 84/5 84/25
85/3 85/5 85/7 85/8 85/12
85/18 85/20 85/22 85/24 86/2
86/3 91/24
yesterday [1] 39/13
yet [1] 12/9
YORK [10] \(1 / 31 / 83 / 83 / 21\) 20/12 56/5 58/9 86/9 107/3 107/6
you [231]
you'd [1] 29/4
you'll [1] 24/19
you're [15] 4/23 15/6 19/10
19/10 19/13 19/14 40/3 41/14 53/2 58/21 61/10 73/14 73/22 76/13 76/17
young [3] 75/25 76/2 76/2 your [16] 7/14 9/2 14/19 19/6 26/21 27/13 30/5 37/19 41/2 44/18 48/16 50/14 66/6 76/16 76/18 76/19

\section*{Z}

ZBA [1] 26/16
zoning [40] 1/5 2/3 2/5 2/16 3/5 6/8 6/14 21/24 22/11 23/9 24/2 24/5 24/9 25/3 25/17 25/18 25/25 26/7 28/2 29/4 29/15 29/20 31/2 31/25 33/25 43/4 56/3 56/24 62/2 62/12
\begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline Z \\
\hline zoning... [10] 79/2 90/18 90/18 \\
92/6 95/25 97/6 98/11 102/21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} 92/6 95/25 97/6 98/11 102/21 103/8 105/23```

