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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, this is the Regular Meeting of the Greenport Village Zoning Board of Appeals.

Item number 1, just to preface this, Item number 1, is to accept the minutes of the July 17, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals.

Just to correct the record, in those minutes, the comments made last month for the property of 150 Sterling Avenue were attributed Laura Dicapua; and they were really made by the contractor for the applicant Vector East.

I'm gonna make that motion with that correction to accept the minutes of the July 17, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.

So moved.

MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
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Item number 2 is a motion to approve the minutes of the June 19, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.

So moved.
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.
Abstentions?
MR. CORWIN: Abstain.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 3 is, motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for Tuesday, September 18, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Third Street Fire Station, Greenport, New York.

So moved.
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
We have an addition to the agenda.
It's a motion the accept the findings and determinations in the matter of the application of Vector East for the property at 150 Sterling Avenue, Suffolk County Tax Map number is 1001-3.-4-27.

So moved.

MS. NEFF: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. TASKER: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item number 5 is a public hearing regarding an area variances to the property located at 416 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-5-12.

The public notice is attached and couple things we have to do and we'll let the applicant speak.
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We have the applicant's affidavit that she is the applicant. The public notice was published, we saw the sign and it was published in the newspaper.

MS. LINGG: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have a list of mailings here, I'll read the mailings.

Teresa Gaged (phonetic), 304 Fifth Avenue Greenport, New York 11944; William Frances (phonetic), 248 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York 11944; North Fork Housing Alliance, 116 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944; North Fork Housing Alliance 116 South Street Greenport, New York 11944; Anne Court (phonetic), 409 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944; William Donohue (phonetic), 312 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York 11944; Kevin Heany (phonetic), 311 Fourth Avenue, Greenport, New York; and Chris Holland 415 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

I'm gonna open the public hearing.
Is the applicant here?
MS. DICAPUA: Yes.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. DICAPUA: Laura Dicapua, 416 South Street, Greenport.

So do you want me to say what it is I'm looking to accomplish? I think some of my neighbors are here and know exactly, but for everybody else. I'd like to put a carport outside my mudroom and, hopefully, a wraparound porch in the front. I think some of you who know my house, I took it a long way from when I bought it, so these additions will be mirroring the architecture that's already existence; and hopefully it will be aesthetically pleasing and it will be very practical for me. I have some mobility issues, so to have this outside my door with the car would be a great help to me.

That's about it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MS. DICAPUA: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do any members have any questions for the applicant?
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MR. CORWIN: I have a question in terms of you're calling for the carport at fourteen and a half feet. I don't know how wide carports are usually, but is there a reason for fourteen-and-a-half feet?

MS. DICAPUA: You're talking the width, not the length?

MR. CORWIN: Right.
MS. DICAPUA: I have an SUV; they have very big doors. I need for it to be that wide -- I back into the driveway, there are children on that block and I need to see when I come out. So the driver side is on the outer side, if you will, and if it's just enough for the car, I'll be standing in the rain and my car will be nice and dry; if it snows, they'll be snow outside the door, so it needs to cover the area.

Again, it's an SUV, so it has big
doors, so you need clearance for the doors to open on both sides.

MR. CORWIN: Do you know how wide the vehicle is?

MS. DICAPUA: With the doors open?
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MR. CORWIN: Without the doors open and with the doors opened.

MS. DICAPUA: I don't know. I concentrated on the length when I bought -it's a new car, new addition and I concentrated on the length that it wouldn't exceed the length of the -- because a car door is a car door, and I had an SUV before that, and I park there every day, so I know how much, how close I come to that side when I open the door. That's why I need it that big.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good, David?
MR. CORWIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have a small question about the front steps to the porch. The setback that's being requested is twenty-three point eight feet, but that doesn't include the steps; so is there something you could tell us about the front steps?

MS. DICAPUA: Actually, I relied on the architect for that part of it, the technical part of it, but $I$ mean the outline, that
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 Page 9 part of the porch would have to be in line with the rest of porch; otherwise, there would be this big drop if the steps were kind of in the position they're in right now. It would have to be where the porch ends is where the steps would be going down. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We certainly understand that, but if we give you, if the variance requested is to the end of the porch and doesn't include the steps, it's feasible that you would have to come back at another time for a variance for the steps.

MS. DICAPUA: Six months.

MS. NEFF: This is showing thirty feet.
MS. GORDON: Can you incorporate the
steps into this area on your --
MS. DICAPUA: I was saying if you do that, it's going be a fall at the porch, usually where the steps are is even with the rest of the porch and then the steps go down.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, we understand that. We're going by your application. MS. DICAPUA: It's his drawing, so I
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MS. GORDON: I have a question about the relationship to the building, that house to the east of you. It looks as though the front of that house is just about where the front of your porch would be. Have you measured that; do you know that it corresponds to that neighboring building?

MS. DICAPUA: I did not take that into consideration.

MS. GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else have questions for this applicant?
(No response.)
Thank you.
MS. DICAPUA: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak?

MS. POLLACK: Karen Pollack, 630 First Street.

I can understand a person wanting to improve their house, to add onto the house to make it more livable, more comfortable,

```
                        Proceedings - August 21, 2018
    to suit their needs better and such;
    however, the house is the house. If the
    house doesn't come with a carport, I don't
    think that, even though that would be a
    convenience for you, I don't think that's a
requirement of the Village to grant such a
    large variance to accommodate a carport that
    isn't there.
    We're talking about a proposed sideyard
    setback of two feet with, combined with
proposed combined sideyard of five point
    five feet, so you're allowing, this proposal
    would allow what, twenty-seven inches on
each side of the house. I think that is an
    excessive amount to ask for.
    And I'm glad you, Mr. Saladino, I'm
glad you pointed out that the front yard
    setback, the variance doesn't, as applied
    for doesn't include the steps, which, of
course, is going if increase the amount.
    I would respectfully ask that you deny
this application.
```

    Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
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Is there anybody else from the public that would like to speak?
(No response.)

We have four letters, if the Board agrees, I'll read them or we can enter them into the record since there is no one that wishes to speak.

Read these letters, is that the pleasure of the Board?

MR. TASKER: You might note they were all favorable to the applicant.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They were all favorable.

MS. DICAPUA: Can I point out those are immediate neighbors, those four letters, the ones that are on both sides of me and directly across from me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
We'll note that they're the adjacent neighbors.

MS. DICAPUA: Um-hum.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to give this to the stenographer.

What is the pleasure of the board, are
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 $\quad$ Page 13
we going to close this public hearing, keep it open?

MR. TASKER: I don't see a need to keep it open.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David? Dinni?
MS. GORDON: Fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen?

MS. NEFF: Close it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll make a motion
to close the public hearing.
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item number 6 is discussion and
possible motion on the area variance sought by Laura Dicapua for the property located at 416 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-5-12.

Members, anyone, any comments about
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this application?
MR. TASKER: Well, like David, I am concerned about the overall width of that carport because it essentially, although it may not be counted on the west side, there is the bow widow and it essentially means that the building is pretty close to the lot line.

MS. NEFF: Two feet.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I didn't have a concern about the carport. I had planned to ask that perhaps we condition it, if it goes that far, that it not be enclosed. Right now it says it's not going to be enclosed, but down the road, that can, kind of, happens, so that would be my comment.

The front steps are an issue for me also. I would like to see either an explanation, a plan, a construction plan. Are they going to be concrete? Are they gonna be wood? How wide and how deep the step are going to be. How long the steps are going to be. The front yard setback is an issue without the steps, and then to add,
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MS. GORDON: I feel very sympathic to the desire to make those changes to accommodate the applicant's real needs, and I think it's a wonderful house, and will continue to be a wonderful house.

I'm a little troubled because it's such a wonderful house, and I do feel as though these changes will effect sort of the aesthetics of the house in it's historical context with those wonderful Victorian decorations on it; and I guess I feel especially that way about the carport. So I guess I'm making a comment that really is a sort of urging of the applicants to provide justifications that are aesthecially as well as sort of for their own convenience.

I think I would have felt more
comfortable if the architect, Mr. Ulendal (phonetic) had come and explained this to me. I don't have enough imagination to figure out how the porch will fit into this basically Victorian construction.
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That's really a comment for the future. MS. DICAPUA: May I come to the podium? CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MS. DICAPUA: I can say something about the porch and the stair material as well. I know some things, not all they things.

The porch and the stairs, the side stairs where $I$ was standing when you come to my property is made out of composite, so the front will also be made out of that material and the step as well. The current steps are made out of wood and they need to be replaced regardless.

In term of the aesthetics, I'm right on board with you. I have no intentions of -if you noticed that house was all white when I bought it, and I made contrasting colors to make it more, all that beautiful shingling stand out; so $I$ have no intention of deterring from that.

I see this as an enhancement and historically it doesn't -- it's of historic note, most of these house had front porches, so $I$ don't think that's taking anything
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away.
And we're calling this a carport, but in days of old, the carriages would pull up there and ladies would step out to a platform under the coverage not to get their gowns wet or if there's snow, so I don't see that this is taking away from the historics of the house at all.

MS. GORDON: Okay.
Thank you.
MS. DICAPUA: I hope that helped clarify in Frank's absence.

Thank you.
MS. NEFF: I think that in the drawings that I have -- I think my set is complete, we don't really -- we see the area variance very clearly, but we don't see what it looks like except for the roof line -- I mean unless I'm mistaken -- how exactly it looks, and I know that that's not our job, but I think it would help.

The other thing I'd like to --
MS. DICAPUA: Did you have this page (indicating)?
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MS. NEFF: I don't think I have that page.

MS. DICAPUA: I put it in everybody's folders. She has one.
(Handing.)
MS. NEFF: Thank you.
So that the carport is open, I think as you suggested, Mr. Chairman, that that become a requirement.

And I just like to point out that it's nineteen-feet long and given that's a very long lot line and it's next to the driveway or access to the rear of the house next door, it doesn't seem to me to be a significant interference with that property. And the housing alliance has stated that they're in favor of it.

I think in terms of what's happening in the rest of the block on both sides, that the step don't add significantly, although we don't know exactly how many, what the measurements are.

Okay, that's my point.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to comment on
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And as far as the front steps, I mean, we can only grant relief for what she asks for. She asks for a twenty-three point eight foot front yard setback. She's asking for relief from thirty feet to twenty-three point eight.

The steps, I don't know how we -- we're not the Building Department, I don't know how we would get around that.

MR. TASKER: The code does not appear to exempt front steps. It should be checked more closely, but it doesn't appear to.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It was always my understanding that steps attached to the building were considered part the structure so that would be --

MS. GORDON: Something that's interesting to me is that this drawing looks to me as though the step are included in the additional space, but not on the survey; and I'm wondering than if it's possible simply

```
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    to include the steps in that, the pink part
    on the survey.
```

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I understand what you're saying --

MS. GORDON: Here, this makes it look as though it's part of the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's true, but that's an architectural rendering, this is the site plan, this the actual drawing of how the site is gonna be. If the applicant -- if the steps are going to be inside the line of the porch, that's twenty-three point eight feet from the street, than it's a nonissue. If we're gonna have these steps, than we're gonna have to rethink this.

MS. DICAPUA: How can you get to the porch if there's steps there, the front door --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna give you a little latitude and allow you to talk and ask questions, but your gonna --

MS. DICAPUA: Oh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're gonna have
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to --

MS. DICAPUA: You want me there?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anything that you're saying, you're going to have to do from there for the stenographer because she can't see behind her.

MS. DICAPUA: What I'm saying is, I'm envisioning in my head what you're saying, so if you came out the front door and step -- so I have this little front porch right now, and then if the steps were basically the way they are now and the porch is built jogging up to that, you wouldn't be able to get from the front door onto the porch, there would be step there.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Actually, the porch is not a little front porch, the porch is gonna be --

MS. DICAPUA: The little front porch that exists now is flush with the building, so basically what you're saying is that if that stays the same and the steps come from that little porch which is where the big porch would be starting, than you wouldn't
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be able to get from the front door onto the porch because the steps will be there where the porch is starting.

I see it clearly in my head because I live there.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I see other things in my head.

MS. DICAPUA: -- the porch has to come out to meet the rest of porch and then the stairs so you have access to the porch from the front door.

MR. TASKER: If I may, I'm looking at the photographs submitted with the application of the front of the house, there are some before and after, and I presume that's before your purchase and after you've done the initial round of work that you have done. With regard to the change that is going to occur in the overall appearance of that building, if you look at these pictures here, clearly the design of this house had a big bay window on the right-hand side as you face it, and the very typical kind of porch entryway which is underneath the second
story
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story.

MS. DICAPUA: Exactly.

MR. TASKER: What is proposed is gonna be, and I'm not talking aesthetics or purity of essence or historical or anything like that, it's going to be a completely different appearance of the overall building with that porch in at all, whether it's twenty-three feet shy or twenty-seven feet shy of the front line.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not raising the question of aesthetics. My concern not as far as how the house looks, I think it's a really nice house --

MS. DICAPUA: I agree. Thank you.

MS. GORDON: We all do.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As far as front yard setback, that's not my concern, how well the house fits into the neighborhood.

This the not Historic District, is it?

MS. DICAPUA: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That wouldn't even be their concern.

So for me, it's only about the extra
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four feet or the five feet or three-and-half feet that the stairs would measure from the front of the porch to the front property line.

MS. DICAPUA: So what would you like for me to do to help move this along and have it happen, hopefully?

MS. GORDON: Is it possible to condition the setback on the architect's revision of the position of the front stairs to be included within that setback that we approved?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely. I think so.

MS. NEFF: I think more likely, from what I've heard from the applicant, what the amendment would be that the steps be part of the application; in other words, they extend from that line out an additional, as you said, four of five feet.

MS. GORDON: It would be a larger variance.

MS. NEFF: If you try to step on a porch which has -- it's out here, but the
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steps start here, it's complicated to navigate for people that the porch comes out here, but the steps start down here (indicating).

MS. DICAPUA: Thank you. That's what I've been trying the convey.

MS. NEFF: And I think asking for it to be amended or revised or whatever, that they be part of the request for an area variance for the steps because they were coming into the space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't get to write the application.

MS. GORDON: You would have to rewrite the Notice of Disapproval.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right.
MR. CONNOLLY: I just want to see what the language in public notice says.

I mean, we could ask that a survey be, or the site plan be revised to show what the setback is to tie the determination to the revised site plan that show it, but you need to know exactly what the calculation is and

$$
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, let me ask the applicant, I understand that you want the get this done. So you know, we have sixty-two days to make a decision from tonight.

MS. DICAPUA: I didn't know that. I told you I was a rookie last time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have sixty-two days to make a decision about this application. It never takes us that long.

What I would suggest to you is and what I think we're all willing to do -- the public hearing is closed, normally we would not take any more testimony after the public hearing is closed from the public, but if the Board agrees, we would be willing to listen to your architect next month to have him come here next month and explain the alternatives that he could present to us.

MS. DICAPUA: I would love that because I entrusted the technical part of this to him, and he did the work that you saw at the house, so we worked together before. You know I can't answer to technical questions;

$$
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I thought everything was hokey dokey and now I'm hearing different.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you don't -- if you're agreeable and the Board is agreeable, we can postpone our decision until next month, and if your architect can come and explain about additional feet or front yard setbacks.

MS. DICAPUA: I'll contact him as soon as I get home.

So both things are on hold, both structures?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The application would be on hold; we wouldn't make a decision on the application.

MS. GORDON: But we wouldn't reopen the hearing?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think we
need to reopen --
MR. CONNOLLY: If you're gonna accept additional testimony, you have to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Reopen --
MR. CONNOLLY: -- which you can do
right now, you can vote to reopen it.
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MR. CORWIN: Let's do that. Let's reopen the hearing, and I have a couple thoughts I think would like to interject.

MR. CONNOLLY: You can even just reopen the hearing, leave it open for written submissions from the architect.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If we're going to hear from the architect, it's best to hear from him, I think, in person --

MS. DICAPUA: Yes, I would prefer that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- so it's not lost in translation. Is that agreeable to the Board to reopen the public hearing, take additional testimony?

MR. TASKER: I'll so move.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
So I'll make that motion that we reopen the public hearing in the matter of 416 South Street.

So moved.

MR. TASKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. TASKER: Aye.
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MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
MR. TASKER: And there are two issues we got to, the width of the carport and the depth of the porch.

MS. NEFF: Including the steps.

MR. TASKER: And the stairs.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My concern is not so much the depth of the porch, not in those words, the additional front yard setbacks that would be needed in the variance, if that can be resolved without -- if the architect can resolve that without a new application. We don't want you to file a new application.

MS. NEFF: Would it be a new
application if we're talking about the stairs and her carport?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, she's asking for, in any mind, she is asking for twenty-three point eight feet, if we add five feet worth of steps, then the variance request becomes eighteen point eight feet.
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Am I getting that right?
MR. TASKER: No.
What does the notice say for front yard variance request?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Twenty-three point eight feet.

MR. TASKER: No, that's the dimension not the variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, I believe that was the variance. I don't have --

MR. CONNOLLY: If you add five feet to that, assuming it's five feet, the setback would be eighteen.

MR. TASKER: Okay. I'm with you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna keep the public hearing open. We'll resume it next month. Hopefully your architect will be here.

MS. DICAPUA: I hope.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He can address --

MS. DICAPUA: Do you want the revised blueprints?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Only if you're going to change it.
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MS. DICAPUA: Well, the steps --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We thought we would take conversation.
MS. DICAPUA: Oh, just conversation. I want to get everything right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We thought we would take testimony from the architect; and he would either incorporate the steps into the porch or we would have to go the plan B.
MS. DICAPUA: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Also, the members have concerns about the carport.
MR. CORWIN: I do.
When you look at this rendering of the front of the house, the carport is wide, it become overwhelming in my mind.
And just note about the steps, I wouldn't encourage somebody to put steps inside a porch structure like that because it's not a common practice, and I would be afraid somebody would trip and fall down the steps they didn't know they were there.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we just reopened the public hearing to hear the

```
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MR. CORWIN: Just as long as he knows what the concern are.

MS. DICAPUA: Can I point out since I'm standing here?

As far as the width and the neighboring house, essentially what's on the other side of that fence is an alleyway with the, all the utilities of the house, it's not living space. It's not an imposition on the house, and she very nicely wrote a letter on her stationary and agrees with me that it's no imposition because it's really dead space on that side of that house and it's an open structure. We're only talking about two columns with the roof; and the roof is gonna be reworked to counterbalance. I didn't realize that - to your request when you were on the site - that the roof is going to be reworked to be one be straight structure for strength and then the two columns. It's wide open. It's just a cover.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur, you had a question about the carport.
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MR. TASKER: I'm concerned about the overall width, yes.

MS. DICAPUA: I got to open the car doors on both sides.

MR. CORWIN: You only need to open the car door on one side.

MS. DICAPUA: I have to empty the groceries and what have you on the other side; I do it every week.

MR. TASKER: I'm looking at other parts of the plan, and I'm seeing some inconsistency, what I think maybe inconsistency in the site plan as regarding the back of the building and some of the drawings in here that show the plans apparently for expanding the width of the back portion of the house from roughly half the width of the house to the full width of the house, which is not evident in the site plan that you're presenting. I'm looking at drawing number A3 and drawing number A2 that show, kind of, before and after of the first-floor plan, expanded full width and the second floor of the flat roof. Not the
Proceedings - August 21, \(2018 \quad\) Page 34 second floor, the flat room on it, which is not apparent in any of site plans that \(I\) see.

MS. DICAPUA: Hopefully, Frank will be able to come and he could address that, I wouldn't --

MR. TASKER: The inconsistency of the drawing is what I'm suggesting.

MS. DICAPUA: He's the drawer.
MR. TASKER: He's the man.
MS. DICAPUA: So hopefully \(I\) can get the man to come next month and all of your concerns and questions will be addressed at that time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
Thank you.
MS. DICAPUA: Thank you for the extra time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone from the public that would like the add to the conversation?

MR. KEEL: Robert Keel 242 Fifth Avenue.

Kind of a question, what would stop
```
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    them from, say she sells the house, the next
    person comes along and says, I'm gonna close
    it in? Your setbacks have already been
    established on this piece of property
    because of the side carport, so legally they
    can get a building permit and close it in.
        CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm kind of
    thinking we could set conditions, and if the
    applicant agrees that she would have to, the
    new applicant would have to come in front of
    the ZBA and request that.
    MR. KEEL: That's what I was tying to
    get at.
    ```
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely.
    MR. KEEL: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else?
        (No response.)
        Okay, we're going keep this public
    hearing open, and we'll put it on the agenda
    for next month.
    Just let me get all these papers out of
        the way.
    Item number 7 is a public hearing
regarding the area variance for the property
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Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-2-4.6.

This is outside what I normally do, but just so everybody knows, the public notice is attached to the agenda, but I'm going to read the public notice just so everybody is on the same page about what's going on here.

Notice of Public Hearing of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals, 412 Third Street Greenport Inc., 412 Third Street, Greenport, NY 11944. Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4.-2-4.6.

The applicant proposes to subdivide the property located at 412 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944. The property is located in the R-2, One- and Two-Family Residential District. The property is not located in the Greenport Village Historic District.

The proposed lots are formed from a lot already occupied by a building that does not comply with the requirements of the district

Proceedings - August 21, 2018 in which it is located, requiring a variance.

Lot 1: Item 1, the proposed front yard setback is six point three feet, requiring an area variance of twenty-three point seven feet.

Section 150-12A of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum setback of thirty feet for front yards.

Item 2, the proposed rear yard setback is ten point four feet, requiring an area variance of nineteen point six feet.

Section 150-12A of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum setback of thirty feet for rear yards.

Item 3, the proposed lot area is 4,615.305-square feet, requiring an area variance of \(2,884.695-\) square feet.

Section 150-12A of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum lot area of 7,500-square feet for a one-family dwelling in the R-2 District.

Lot 2: Item 1, the proposed side yard setback is three point four feet, requiring
\[
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\text { an area variance of six point six feet. } \\
\text { Section } 150-12 \mathrm{~A} \text { of the Code of the } \\
\text { Village of Greenport requires a minimum }
\end{array}
\] setback of ten feet for side yards.

Item 2, the proposed combined side yard setback is twenty-four point five feet, requiring an area variance of zero point five feet.

Section 150-12A of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum setback of twenty-five feet for combined side yards.

Item 3, the proposed rear yard setback is three point one feet, requiring an area variance of twenty-six point nine feet.

Section 150-12A. of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum setback of thirty feet for rear yards.

Item 4, the proposed lot area is 3,393.18-square feet, requiring an area variance of 4,106.82-square feet.

Section 150-12A of the Code of the Village of Greenport requires a minimum lot area of 7,500-square feet for a one-family
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We're going to convene the public hearing. We know Ms. Moore is the representative of the applicant.

The public notice was complete, published in the newspaper, we saw the placard.

I have the mailings. Maureen Shellborn (phonetic), Post Office Box 237, Greenport, New York 11944; 415 Second Street, LLC, 44 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005; Claudette Melch (phonetic), 112 Third Street, Greenport, New York; Village of Greenport, 238 Third Street, Greenport, New York; 112 Third Street Greenport, Incorporated, Post Office Box 591, Shelter Island, New York, 11915; Frank Swan
(phonetic), 18300 Charity Lane, Accokeek, Maryland 20607; Julia English (phonetic), 417 Second Street, Greenport, New York; James Morrison (phonetic), 421 Sixth Street, Greenport, New York; Karen Holms (phonetic), Post Office 416, Glenhead, New York 11545; 414 Third Street Greenport, Incorporated,
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MS. MOORE: Can I start?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MS. MOORE: Good evening. Patricia Moore on behalf of Mr. Olinkiewicz. I'm sorry he couldn't be here, but he's recovering from surgery, and is not supposed to have any stress; so I guess this is the wrong place to be.

To give some background to this for the public who is not as familiar with this application, this property received, in 1998, variances for the identical home on the identical property which would enable them to then be split into two properties. That variance in 1998 was granted; but for whatever procedural reasons, the Board did not notify the applicant that it was actually approved. He based on rumor, he could not be at the hearing, so he did not hear directly, and he was not aware that the variance had, in fact, been granted.

Thereafter, I've given you, last time I
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'98, got the variances in '98 and thereafter, he and his wife divorced. He sold the property in 2002, and then repurchased it in 2004 and has held it again in his name since 2004.

Since 2004 to the present, there have been deed transfers, but they are all internal transfers among different entities, corporate or LLC entities that Mr. Olinkiewicz has, so it has been in his ownership since 2004 after a brief period from '98 to 2002 where he was not the owner.

In 2004, when he, or thereafter he sought a FOIL on the property to start considering what to do with this; and he learned for the first time it was, in fact that the variance had been granted. And at that point, his attorney at the time contacted the Planning Board and said, Planning Board -- because now the Planning Board had jurisdiction over the subdivisions. The Planning Board didn't
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 Page 42 know what to do with it. He waited over a year or more in asking for a response. No response was forthcoming; and ultimately he filed a subdivision application. The Planning Board sent it back to the Zoning Board and said we need variances. They couldn't make the determination as a board whether or not the variances were still in effect.

So we came to this Board and in my original application, my presentation to the Board, I give Memorandum of Law and the Memorandum of Law explained that variances run with the land. Mr. Tasker, your words, and very eloquent words were, what we were looking for is the Board to ratify what had been done in 1998. And in my application it was recognized or ratified the ZBA variance granted because, again, it was, it's the same property, the same set of facts, the same setbacks, the same house, the same houses, one house is two-family and the other house is a single-family. The properties as they are presently and how
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At the time that the variance in 98 was granted, the Board considered the character of the neighborhood and all of the standards that you have to consider, and they recognized, probably more importantly, that while it remains a multifamily because that is what it is presently, it's a pre-existing, nonconforming multifamily, while it remains a multifamily, it is unlikely that you would have owner occupancy where that house might be acquired by one individual, get financing, standard residential financing and be able to improve the property. What you have is what it currently is today, where you have a nonowner who acquires the property as an investment property for rental and will fix up the house, but it's not leading to owner
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occupancy. And certainly the Village prefers, if at all possible -- certainly there's a need for rentals, but it's also nice if you can have owner occupancy within the Village.

So my client has come back to the Board again, a ratification would mean that we don't have to go through all of the area variance criteria. It has been approved since '98 and continues to be valid, and we will return to the Planning Board and complete the subdivision process.

Now, if you do address the variances, when I received the Notice of Disapproval, I really questioned it several times, and the area variance, the setbacks that you identified, I believe are not accurate, and I will tell you why. When you create a subdivision, when you create two lots, you create a building envelope and you establish what is the front yard, what is the side yard, what's the rear yard. In this case, we have two exiting building envelopes with two existing homes; and the reason that the
```
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        setbacks were issued the way they were is
    because the code said setbacks are measured
    from the street. Well, that would be the
    case if you had a single lot. In this case
    we have essentially two separate building
    envelopes equivalent if you had a
    subdivision creating lots off of a
    cul-de-sac.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could I just
    interrupt one second?
    MS. MOORE: Sure.
    CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The code says that
    it's a parallel line --
MS. MOORE: Right, from the street,
correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- from the street,
so it's not so much how far back it is.
MS. MOORE: No. No. So when we take
this lot (indicating) and this is a
perpendicular parcels. When you take a line
from the street, that is the setbacks that
you were reading off. What is, in fact, on
Lot Number 1. A sideyard for the house
because the front door the right there

```

Proceedings - August 21, 2018 (indicting), you call that a front yard, so it creates these anomalies and huge variances where if, in fact, you took the lot as it's been developed, you would find that the front yard setback is actually twenty-three feet; and the area variance that would be required would be only seven feet. The front yard, the rear yard set back of Lot 1 would be fifty-two feet, not ten point four feet which is conforming; so no area variance is required. On Lot 2, the proposed side yard setbacks remain three point four, that's where the house is and that's where the house remains; but when we have the proposed combined side yards, we have twenty-two plus three point four, so we have twenty-five point four; therefore, no variance is required for that. And as far as the minimum setbacks, because a minimum setback is twenty-five, we have twenty-five point four.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you realize, we don't have the power to change the definition of front yard in the code.
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MS. MOORE: I'm addressing the fact that the way that this area variance has been requested is with what would be very sizable variances by the way that building inspector has looked at this as parallel to the roads; but what I'm saying is, when you look at actual dimensions of the property, the position of the property and the position of homes, you're looking at property that, in fact, that variances numerically based on the street are large, but when you look at the property as it's been developed, it gives you what would be otherwise a more a conforming building envelope.

So this is the problem with it, it's unique to a problem where you have improved properties on subdivisions because the improvements are in place. In fact, if you tried to develop Lot 1 any way other than how it's been developed presently as existing conditions, it would make no sense because the lot width is forty-six point nine, the lot length is a hundred, so you
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 \(\quad\) Page 48 wouldn't generally place the longest -- you would a railroad in the front door, putting it on the inside; so in reality, what I suggesting to you or what I'm saying to you is that these area variances, while numerically are large when you look at how the parcel, in fact, has been developed and how these lots are, in fact, being split, the parcels are much more conforming than the numerical comparison that you're making to the, what would be the typical front yard, rear yard, side yard. So I'm raising that as something that factually this property has more conformity than nonconformity.

I've given you, I think, in the paperwork that I gave you, I actually gave you my notes I crossed out and given the actual dimensions because when I contacted the Building Department, I said, I don't understand what are you're giving me here; and the building inspector said, well, I've got to consider it from parallel to the street. Even though the lots are not
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parallel to the street, the sides are parallel to the street. So it just doesn't fit this scenario.

The other issue \(I\) want to also clarify because, again, I don't know how the building inspector calculated this. I have Lot 1 that the 5,773-square foot, and Lot 2 which is 4,575-square feet. Yes, they do both need variances, but the numerical variances that were calculated, again, were not -- they did not match my map and I don't know if they removed -- or when they calculated the area of the lot, did they exclude the common driveway? That's the only way I can come to a conclusion that numerically they're not giving me the numbers that my survey shows, so there's a whole bunch of, like, \(I\) would say technical clarification here that \(I\) just want to be sure it's on the record because if I show this to, you know, I present this to someone else, they're gonna say, where did they come up with these setbacks, it doesn't quite match the property.
\[
\begin{aligned}
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& \text { So when we deal with the actual }
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\] area variance criteria, the first issue is whether an undesired change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or detriment to nearby properties will be created. It's interesting I got a phonecall from a neighbor from the rear of one of the these properties, I forgot her name, she said, well, when are you building there? I said, we're not building anything; we are doing a subdivision with the lots with the houses that are there. Oh, fine. No issue.

The houses that are there, remain there, and they are in need of renovations; it's time for a renovation again. They could be renovated as they are today, but in a better way, it would be putting a conforming single-family dwelling. And I believe there was a comment in one of the hearings whether or not he would convert, that the single-family home will remain a single-family home; and the answer to that is, yes, the single-family home will remain as a single-family home. The other one is a

Proceedings - August 21, 2018 two-family house; and it is, again, nonconforming now, so we would not be creating any greater nonconformity. As it is today, you have got three families on one parcel; and we clearly do not comply with the multifamily code, both in size of parcels and dimensions and pretty much every variance that you listed here will be equally, if not greater, as a multifamily.

Again, whether the variances -whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the area, we have -- I have in writing, I have provided you the lot coverage of each lot and Lot 1 would only be eleven-percent lot coverage and Lot 2 would be fifteen-percent lot coverage for both. Both of these proposed lots are reasonably, they're small houses, but the houses are reasonably sized for each of the parcels.

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some other method feasible for applicant to pursue. Well, again the variances were granted in
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1998; we would then finish the process and subdivide.

If we were not granted the variances today, I really question why not because there have been no change in circumstances, whether either to the property or to the law; and it would be just based on a difference of opinion of a Board; but, quite frankly, you are to be considering the same area variance standards, so when one Board says yes, you're fine and then you as another Board comes along, when there is no change in the circumstances --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You don't think the dynamic of the Village might change in nineteen years?

MS. MOORE: Actually, if anything, the Village, there is more owner occupancy and less investment property. I would say that there are fewer of these type of -- In my opinion, you have your on opinion. There are less multifamily developments. You have more single-family homes that are being
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improved and renovated and occupied, whether as second homes or primary homes; but the Village has been changing but certainly for the better; and I think -- my opinion for the better.

In this case we would be making a very nonconforming property a more conforming property and certainly making this parcel, improving it for the better; so it would be consistent with the direction the Village is going, not inconsistent.

Whether the variance is substantial. Again, that's where I go back to those numerical numbers because if I go back to what the Board read in those numbers, those are substantial variances. The fact is that the houses are there, so they were created prior to zoning and the setbacks
individually as lots, as front, side, rear yard setback. The lots would actually be very reasonable because Lot 1 would have a conforming thirty-foot front yard and conforming rear-yard setback and the side yards are ten point four and six point
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If we use your formula.

MS. MOORE: Even if you close your eyes, don't use a formula, use logic, use common sense. Your front door is the front yard. To most people, your front door is the front yard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But not to the code.

MS. MOORE: Well, but the code is not intended to not make sense, it's supposed to make sense; and that's why I said that the way it's being applied here is, in a sense disregarding that it's being subdivided. I would say \(I\) would agree with you if, -- if you were trying to develop this property new, it was vacant, okay, and it would still -- well, the creation of those lots in their narrowness of fifty feet by a hundred
\[
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feet, most people do not make their -- for purposes of open space, making your rear yard larger than your side yards and so on, you would generally develop your property facing or accommodating the longer, so you would have a front yard and you would have a rear yard. Most people prefer to have a front yard and rear yard, rather than large side yards; but again in this case when you apply the code, you're disregarding that it's a subdivision and it's two separate lots and your building envelope -- it would have been easier for the Planning Board to say to us, here is your building envelope, how do you fit within that building envelope? And any building envelope would generally have the front yard being thirty feet and the rear yard being thirty-five. In this case, we comply, it's just the fact that the parcel is parallel to the street that creates that inconsistency when you're reading code.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Nonconformity.
MS. MOORE: Well, it's not -- it would
Proceedings - August 21, \(2018 \quad\) Page 56 be a greater nonconformity than logically is required; so you would have to look at the lot and its positioning. Common sense would tell you that the way to develop a lot of this length and this width is to make the front door facing the flag; and, in fact, many codes that are accustom to dealing with subdivisions, and the Village of Greenport hasn't, it didn't really create subdivision ordinance until more recently and there are very few lots that you can subdivide in the Village. There are codes that say that the flag is, in fact, the street which gives it a more common sense approach when you're creating setbacks. And that was one of the things I asked the building inspector. I said, wouldn't you consider the flag to be your street frontage? And it's not in your code. Logic doesn't prevail; if it's not in the code, he doesn't apply, but you as a board do have the authority to look at it to make it make sense, that's what the Board -you don't plant your brain at the door and follow the code, that's what the variances
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are intended for.
As far as the difficulty being self created, it's a one, very nonconforming lot so to that extent, he owns a very nonconforming parcel both the dimension and its -- essentially, it looks like two lots stuck together which at one time probably in the 1800s map, it would have been, but today it's one piece of property with two different building areas and two separate homes. Again, that does not preclude the granting of the variance and, if anything, again, 1998 when he thought he had -- at this point in time thinking he has a variance, we proceed in that scenario go to the Planning Board and that's when the Planning Board, again, doesn't know what to do with this.

So I believe I've raised all the issues that were either in writing or previously presented to you, and I will certainly entertain any questions you might have.

MR. TASKER: I have several questions, if I may.
\begin{tabular}{rl}
\hline Proceedings - August 21, 2018 & Page 58 \\
I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, please go &
\end{tabular} ahead.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. No.
MR. TASKER: Thank you.
Do you have any knowledge or any way of gaining knowledge of the history of information of this parcel? I.e., it looks to me, when you look at the map showing the parcel square, essentially, squarely centered on the block, it looks to me like it's left-over chunks that somebody assembled up and somehow got a flag; and I'm speculating, somehow got a flag and created a lot and threw up a couple of buildings.

MS. MOORE: Right.
Well, if they threw up the buildings, it was in the, prior to the '70s, so it would have been the '60s.

MR. TASKER: That was my next question. Some time in the '60s?

MS. MOORE: Yeah, they were --
MR. TASKER: After the zoning code in the Village was introduced in 1949, yes?

MS. MOORE: Yes, the building -- I know
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you always say there was a zoning code, but the Building Department in the COs, only identified from '71 on, but I think you know more of the history --

MR. TASKER: They're not old enough to --

MS. MOORE: These houses are certainly pre-existing. Now, how far back, I don't know.

But I do have some --
MR. TASKER: You suggested --
MS. MOORE: You asked me a question, and I'm gonna -- give me a second to answer.

MR. TASKER: I was looking for a very short answer; yes, no, I don't know.

MS. MOORE: No, there is no, yes or no, sorry.

I had given you -- at the pre-submission, the prior meeting, I give you a copy because there was a question about the title history, and I believe I gave you the deeds at that time.

MR. TASKER: No, you give us the property card record.
Proceedings - August 21, 2018
MS. MOORE: Oh, the property card.
I did ask the title company to get me the prior deeds, and he want back to -Let me see. He want back to -- sorry -- 1951 where it describes part of premises. So part of this property was from Lense and Rebecca Jones, so Lense Jones (phonetic) and that was back in, again, it's part of premises because my title search is giving me pieces of this puzzle, so the description has -- so it's -- and I can give you those deed descriptions, I haven't done the actual metes and bounds of each of the Schedule As; but it's very interesting in that then Lense Jones sells to Constance Martha Jones in 1952, also again part of premises, a parcel that is forty-five, excuse, one hundred and six bound on the east by other land of party of the first part forty-five feet on the south, it gives a -- I'd have to do a metes and bounds picture; and then again in July '55, Lense Jones gets another part the premises. There's a lot of pieces being
```

Proceedings - August 21, 2018 Page 61 conveyed to different family members in the '50s. Then what is quite interesting -Well, let's see. Premises and more, that means that this property plus some additional land was owned by William Stilly (phonetic). William Stilly then somehow or another gets from the Village of Greenport part of the premises, so the Village gives Mr. Stilly some more land. So it's pieced together from the Village and other parts, and it kind of comes together, I want to say, kind of, pretty much what it looks like now, which is one big piece of parcel. Lot 1 which is a more normal size lot; and then it pieces together the other parcel which is the perpendicular parcel that I'd have to follow each of the Schedule As to figure out what was bitten off and added on over the years.

But it's been like this since Mr. Stilly sold the property to my client, who was at the time Stinky and wife; so it's been Tax Lot 4.6 since 1998, so when he acquired it in '98.
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MR. TASKER: It certainly would
interesting if you could kind of graphically portray, not, you know, precise, but graphically how this parcel came to be because I think what you're describing in some of these transactions is consistent with my very overgeneralization that it's bits and pieces of people's backyards that were made to make these lots and the Jones family was all over in various guises, names, generations and so forth for a long period of time, so that would help in understanding how we got to where we are.
Next question I have is, you mentioned that this was nonconforming multifamily use.
MS. MOORE: Yes.
MR. TASKER: Is it truly multifamily or is it simply filled with lots of residents; and by that $I$ mean, multifamily requires a kitchen, a bedroom, a bathroom and a living space or something like that.
MS. MOORE: No. No. It has separate living -- No. No. The multifamily came from somewhere the Town, the Village's

```
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records, somebody called it a multifamily.
MR. TASKER: It is, in fact, built as 63
subdivide, apartments, for lack of a better
word.

MS. MOORE: Well, one is a single-family, the other one is clearly two separate living quarters, so kitchen, living, the whole separate --

MR. TASKER: Do you know how long it's -- can you ascertain how long it's been used as multifamily, when those two apartments were subdivided off the single-family house?

MS. MOORE: It was before my client to my knowledge, but \(I\) don't know how far --

MR. TASKER: I'm sure of that.

Is there any way you can ascertain that?

MS. MOORE: I mean, I can ask my client. I can try to find out. I don't know.

MR. TASKER: The building department in Southold?

MS. MOORE: The property card doesn't
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really say.
MR. TASKER: Again, those would be interesting things to see.

Thank you.
MR. CORWIN: I can tell you Arthur and Pat, that in 1969, \(I\) believe it was still a barbershop and hang out.

MS. MOORE: Did you say barbershop and what?

MR. CORWIN: Barbershop and hangout.
MS. MOORE: And hangout.
MR. CORWIN: The black population hangout at the barbershop, that's all over, not just there.

MS. MOORE: Which one is the barber shop?

MR. CORWIN: The one you're talking about, the two-family house.

MS. MOORE: Oh, the first one was the barbershop. Okay.

MR. CORWIN: The first one was the barber shop up until -- it was after 1969.

MS. MOORE: It might have been when Stilly acquired it then because the prior

Proceedings - August 21, 2018
owner, I think -- was it Jones family that I mentioned?

MR. CORWIN: Yeah, Jones.
MS. MOORE: Yeah, Jones to Stilly, Amacar (phonetic) and Jones, they put in parentheses, so maybe in the same family just different, Amacar and Jones were related.

It shows a building permit in 1971 for demolition, so it might have been in '71 that they did some alterations to one of the properties; but I don't know which or what.

On the property card, it shows demo of the Village, maybe the property -- there was another structure on this property that belonged to the Village, and the Village demolished it because there were three structures on this property and maybe you're thinking the Barber shop was the building that got demolished because it shows it being in front of or in the area where we were all standing, kind of between the house and the two-family house.

MR. CORWIN: I don't think if we can
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ever put it together. It is what it is.
MS. MOORE: Thank you for saying that
it is what it is. I really can't, you know -- it's before all of us.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have a couple of questions.

In 1998, did Mr. Olinkiewicz have a lawyer?

MS. MOORE: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was he represented by a lawyer?

MS. MOORE: NO.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He represented himself?

MS. MOORE: Yes.
Put it this way, I didn't see evidence.
It was all done by him and the hearing
transcript didn't present a lawyer, so I
think it was him.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
And you said he filed a FOIL after reacquiring the property in 2004.

MS. MOORE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And then you went
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MS. MOORE: Yeah.

He began by sending letters. There were lots and lots of letters, some going to the Village attorney, then the Planning Board, never getting any response. I did see a lot of correspondence, but I haven't memorized it.

But yes, he started inquiring, saying, I have a variance, where do I go from here, and that's when --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're saying in 2004, he found out, he believed -- he started to believe he had the variance?

MS. MOORE: Honestly, I can't tell you when the FOIL was submitted. I may be able to but --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have it here, 2004.

MS. MOORE: Okay. I don't have it.
Where did you get that?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Those were your
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MS. MOORE: From me. Okay. If I said it, it must be true.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The other thing I have here is, as far as front yard setbacks, I personally don't believe we have the latitude to -- front yard setbacks, as defined in the code is how the notice violation is written.

Me personally, I would have to go with that.

MS. MOORE: As far as substantiality of the -- when you're analyzing whether it's substantial, you can use common sense and say, well, if you turn the property this way, you know, rather than this way, which tells you --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But also common sense tells us from previous applications, it's not necessarily the shape of the house or where the door is or where a particular window is; it's how the building is situated on the lot sometimes, but it's also how the lot is in relation to the street is what
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determines --
MS. MOORE: Perfect because that's
actually what I'm trying to point out, that the lot as it is related to the placement on the street is setback by a hundred feet and it actually is parallel -- this is your street (indicating). It's not the lot that's over here (indicating), it's a lot that's a hundred feet back; so when you're -- even if it was over here (indicating), you would kind of question -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But it doesn't say that. It says the front property line of the property, the front yard property line is parallel to, is measured parallel to the street; so regardless whether it's a hundred feet back or two hundred feet back or five feet back, the property line for the front yard is parallel to the street. That's all I'm saying here.

As far as the square foot of the lot, could you explain that again, your problem with the square footage of the lot?

MS. MOORE: If you look at the -- take
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a look at the little pointer here
(indicating).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I see it.

MS. MOORE: Lot 1 is identified as 5,773-square feet; Lot 2 is identified as 4,575-square feet?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right.
MS. MOORE: So when you look at your Notice of Disapproval, it doesn't say that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, just from my own --

MS. MOORE: It says the proposed lot area is -- just by example, it says the proposed lot area is, this is from Lot 1, again, Lot 1 is closer to being accurate, but Lot 1 says 4,615.305, I think that's definitely a typo, square feet when the, requiring an area variance of 2,884; but if you look at the numbers I have, I have Lot 1 is 5,773-square feet, when you subtract that from 7,500, my variance is 1,727.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What I'm seeing
here in the notes that I made is the
right-of-way is 2,378 -square feet; and if
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MS. MOORE: That was my guess. They deleted the road or right-of-way, the common access as far as lot area, but, in fact, what we have here though is, we have titles, we have the ownership of the, of this property goes to Third Street and takes half of that strip, of that flag and then both parcels have right-of-way so that you don't have two driveways next to each other, you have a common driveway access and a common entrance. I think one of the things that we noticed when we were out in the field is that that area, the common access should run as far as possible because backing out of the parking spaces, you obviously have to go past your designated middle property line because the driveway, you know, when you want to do a proper backout and drive straight down the flag.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And last is -- it's not going be the last question \(I\) have, but for now.
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MS. MOORE: Those are things Planning Board addresses so --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You said the neighbor to the rear called you, do you have her name; do you know which property it was? MS. MOORE: I took the phonecall but I --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is that Carol Simons?

MS. MOORE: I don't recall. I apologize. She called my office. I spoke to her. I took the phonecall and she introduced herself as I'm behind one of the houses, so given the fact that one of the other ones is the Village of Greenport, it might be Carol Simons, but I couldn't swear to it. I just thought that her comments were very helpful.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Julia English?
Leroy Saxton?
MR. TASKER: I can tell you it's not Carol Simons.

MS. MOORE: They might be here.
MR. TASKER: John, I can tell you it's
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not Carol Simons, she lives in Southold. She sold her property some years ago.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm just reading it off the map.

That's all I have.
Any other members have any questions for Ms. Moore?
(No response.)
No.

MS. MOORE: I'll wait until the
comments -- I'll leave the survey right here. If anybody has questions, they'll have something in front of them.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak?

Chatty.
MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street.
I'm going to ask the question that --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Go ahead.
MS. ALLEN: I've been at these meetings and, is there actually anything in writing about these variances from 1998? I haven't seen it anywhere.

To me it's going around in circles,
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The only thing from the minutes from the Zoning Board was that, from my reading of them here, is that they never addressed variances, all they did was approve the subdivision or address the subdivision.

MS. ALLEN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There was nothing about area variance.

MS. ALLEN: But that's what I'm saying, I've never seen it actually in writing where it was officially approved and put out there.

You made a comment about a one-family is 7,500-square feet, what is it for a two-family?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 7,500-square feet.
MS. ALLEN: So it's the same amount?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: In the \(\mathrm{R}-2\) District.

MS. ALLEN: Okay. That's why I wasn't sure if it was more.
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Basically, they want to, right now they're going from two nonconforming lots and they want to subdivide it and make the existing two nonconforming lots -- I thought the Village was trying to get away from having any nonconforming lots.

And I also thought the Village, I don't know if it has or not, was supposed to be getting away from that. When you wanted to subdivide a lot, one of the lots could not have anything on it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's true.
MS. ALLEN: So as this lot stands right now, according to the new code, if it went through or not, I can't remember, the answer right off the bat is, no it cannot be subdivided because one lot cannot have any building, any structures on one of the lots if they're going to be subdivided or merged, so that right there --

Also confused why it takes twenty years for anything to go through on this.

And then a comment that, it's thrown back and forth that if the application gets
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denied then basically, see you in court. I'd like to ask the other question; this has been a nonconforming lot for at least twenty years, maybe the Village needs to go after them knowing that it's a nonconforming lot that they have two buildings with no written proof that they are legal. Maybe it's time the Village goes after them for that, for twenty years of sitting there --

And, you know, I can't see adding more back there. I can't see splitting up something that's already a tiny space to begin with. You know, you need to get away from all the cramming.

As far as any kind of emergency or anything, I'm not an expert, but I don't feel that's a safe place to begin with. I passed the other day because I actually didn't remember what it actually looks like and I'm amazed that there hasn't been an accident with the three families that are living back there trying the get in and out of that teeny little driveway.

Thank you.
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MR. REID: Good evening. Mike Reid, 430 Front Street.

Got a couple of questions.
One, how long does variance last?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A variance runs with the land, once granted, they run with the land.

MR. REID: Wouldn't it behoove the gentleman who owned it prior to have done something with it, and Mr. Olinkiewicz same as?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm note sure. We're going to take --

MR. REID: I know it's the Village and --

MS. NEFF: That' not what's before us.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Exactly, that's not what we have here, we got a Notice of Disapproval about front yard, rear yard --

MR. REID: If you're going by the sheer dimensions of the place, it's still undersized, that's why you put in these codes for 7,500. If not, you're setting dangerous precedent. That's why we put this
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in the code. The codes change. We have gone through this how many time over the, what, four year, you know, enough is enough.

I mean, Ms. Moore, you were a little wrong on that, there's a lot of --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You got to talk to us.

MR. REID: There's a lot of people buying and then renting out and they're not living here. They're just renting out, they don't live here. We have some people that don't, pardon my language, give a rat's ass, just care about the paycheck. They don't care about the dynamic of the neighborhood, people around them, and the quality of life. People work hard here, you know, that's got to say something, you know.

It's not a hardship. What's he own like thirty places around here? So I'm gonna call a spade a spade, you know, it's getting to be ridiculous.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else?
MR. KEEL: Robert Keel, 242 Fifth
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From what I'm understanding, Mr. Olinkiewicz purchased this property in 1998, then he sold it. Ms. Moore said he switched it to a corporation or LLC. Corporation and LLC is not the same as a subchapter \(S\) corporation, it's a totally separate entity; so from what I see on that, that wouldn't count as still having it in your own
ownership. Maybe I'm wrong on that, but that's the way I see it.

But it's gone on and him not following up on this right away. He didn't come to the meeting or whatever, he should have followed up. I mean, there's a lot of time, money and energy spent on surveys and stuff, and it's really negligent on his part for not showing up to find out from the head of the Planning Board or Zoning Board at the time if it was passed or not. I mean, this can go on forever, arguing back and forth; but he just didn't do his job. Then he's trying the come and blame everything else.

\footnotetext{
I mean, then on top of that, keep
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getting this common sense, common sense, you can take that like you were saying before, if you go by where the front door is, anyone who wants to subdivide a piece of property can just say, oh, I'll put a front door on the of the house, put the from door and come down here and expect you to say that's the front yard. That you have to go by what the code says. If it says it goes parallel to the street, it goes parallel to the street.

And also like you said even if this other stuff -- things have changed in the Village. I mean, the Village is far more crowded than it was back in 1998, so it really is make a difference, the quality of life here, keep taking, making things smaller and smaller and piling people on top of each other.

Right now, there's only supposed to be two families in there, now you actually have a violation in there too. This isn't even being addressed. And what happens if it get's subdivided, they can take the other lot, the other house, the single-family
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house and make that into a two-family house, take the top off, put a bigger second floor on. I mean, these are all questions that have to be asked. And even if you were gonna take the variance and switch the house around, wouldn't that mean also that you would have to refile everything and when you refile it, it doesn't mean you should have to give them the variance, even though they're less, you're relooking at the whole subject all over again. So according to the law, the code, it says parallel to the street, so right now until they change the code, it's parallel to the street.

And the lots are way under 7,500-square feet, they're not even close. It's basically just an oversized legal lot.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Anyone else?
Joann.
MS. KEEL: Joann Keel, Fifth Avenue.
I just have a couple things I'd like to give to the Board.
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(Handing).
First of all, before I begin, I'd like to say that \(I\) really question the variances that Pat Moore has set forth. I totally disagree with the variances. I believe that the right-of-way is a big issue of this part of this parcel. I also disagree with the subdivision approvals that were, that she feels go back to 1998 and that were granted.

The things that I submitted to the Board were the minutes of August 27, 2015, pages 18 to 36. They were pertaining to the subdivision and submitted as evidence of Olinkiewicz's testimony. Not once did he mention in 1998 a subdivision; although, he did mention that in 1998, there was a renovation. That's a little different. To me that's pretty interesting. Then after that, he withdrew. This is his practice of withdrawing.

Also submitted to the Board were the Planning Board Work Session notes of 11/19/2015; although, the top of the date on the pages say 2016, these were pages 18 to
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55 of many neighbors speaking of the concerns, and I'd like to make sure that that gets into the evidence for this hearing as well because those remarks have a lot do with today's hearing.

The owner's request of multiple variances is extremely excessive on this irregular shaped lot. Village code 150-12A, lot area minimum for each lot is 7,500. The proposed Lot 1 lot area is 4,615 which is a thirty-eight percent variance. Propose Lot 2, lot area is 3,393 which the fifty-five percent variance. These are huge. The code requires 15,000 feet, square feet in total, Mr. Olinkiewicz is requesting only 8,000, excuse me, 8,008-square feet of total lot area for two lots when one lot is required to be 7,500-square feet. The right-of-way is approximately 2,379-square feet, plus or minus, which cannot be calculated into the square footage of these properties. Two flag lots right-of-ways are being created that are 153-feet long. These are far away from, very distant from the proposed
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 properties, lots or houses. 153 feet, you can't take that into consideration in a subdivision in the lot area of a home.
There are several front, side, backyard setback Mr. Olinkiewicz is requesting. Lot 1, front yard setback has a seventy-nine percent variance. Rear yard setback has a sixty-five percent variance. Lot 2, side yard setback is sixty-six percent variance. Rear yard setback has a ninety percent variances.
The survey states that the right-of-way is fifteen-and-a-half feet wide, today, actually today, I measured the distance between the telephone pole that is on that, in the way of that right-of-way; it actually is about three to four feet within that road; so if you measure the distance from the telephone pole to the fence, it is eleven-and-a-half feet wide. Now, taking into consideration the grass that's there, take away another foot or foot-and-a-half, how is -- so now you have ten feet passage for these cars; that is very dangerous. So
```

you're taking into consideration each flag lot is gonna be five feet, you cannot get cars down there properly.

This newly formed inadequate right-of-way will create a traffic hazard and cause more problems when the lots are sold separately. If more families move into this, possibly they will park along the basketball court. What happens when there's a fire? A fire truck can't pass safely in a ten-foot wide right-of-way, especially to properly use their equipment when the hedges and the fence on either side are in the way. I believe the fire department should weigh in own this subdivision.

What happens when the lots are sold separately and the right-of-way need to be plowed for snow? Whose responsibility will that be, five foot for this person, five foot for that person?

If subdivided, the newly subdivided lots according to code will become a two-family home, thus having four families on these substandard lots. It would create

```
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    more parking issues as there are several
    cars parking there on a regular basis.
    Those are the photos that I had handed you,
    you will see that there are seven vehicles
    in the overhead photos. I'm sorry I did not
    give one to Pat Moore, I apologize. But
    those seven vehicle are there, and that's a
    lot of vehicles on this small lot.
    In addition there will be more sewage
    issues, garbage, right-of-privacy issues,
    area crime, more over overcrowdedness,
    hazards down the small road next to the
    basketball court and, of course, our real
    estate values you will be going down.
    Our code, 118-7 is to protect the
    adjacent properties, not create hardships to
    other properties. The Board shall consider
    the impact of the subdivision on public
    health, safety and welfare and the impact on
    the neighbors, community and adjacent
    properties, traffic, views and other
    enviromental considerations, the impact on
    utilities, emergency and other services that
are provided by the Village. I know you are
```
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well aware of these, but many of the residents are not.

Mr. Olinkiewicz purchased this home or this property in 1998. He sole the property in 2002 as one property with one deed, one title and a certificate of occupancy that for the buildings on one lot. It is not sold as two lots, nor was it subdivided at the time. Mr. Olinkiewicz repurchased this lot in 2004. He knowingly and willingly purchased this one lot with one deed, one title and a certificate of occupancy for the building on one lot. The evidence of this is the transaction of the closing of title. It is not purchased as two lots nor was it subdivided at the time. Mr. Olinkiewicz was well aware he was purchasing one lot in 1998, sold one lot in 2002 and repurchased one lot in 2004.

He was going, of course, he was going through marital issues, everyone does, I get what he was going through, maybe those were his issues and maybe that was the reason to exit and not worry about it. If there is a

```
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    way that Mr. Olinkiewicz is to find a loop
    he will try.
    Mr. Olinkiewicz's records reflect a
    past of changing one-family homes to
    two-family homes or has created accessory
    use apartments. His two-family homes
    somehow have three and four families living
    in them.
            Mr. Olinkiewicz self-created these
unnecessary variance. This is not a
hardship to him; this is a hardship for the
    families that will be overcrowed in these
    homes, should it subdivide and a hardship to
    the neighboring community.
    Mr. Olinkiewicz is self-creating this
nonconforming subdivision. Code 150-1F
states, gradually eliminate nonconforming
uses, not creating them.
                            Code 150-1C states privacies for
families especially the surrounding property
owners.
    Code 151-1D states prevention and
reduction of traffic congestion so as to
promote efficient and safe circulation of
```

vehicles and pedestrians, thus adding more families, more traffic, unsafe circulation of vehicles, unsafe for pedestrians especially when many children and adults are gathering on a regular basis at these homes and at the basketball court. There are currently no safe turns around at the designated parking area. Code 150-1E states maximum protection of residential areas.

Mr. Olinkiewicz is going back to what he feels was an approved subdivision in 1998. Too much time passed, it is his responsibility to follow through with filing of this subdivision with the Suffolk County Clerks office. He did not. He is making excuses for something he did not follow through with. He is well aware of how to file, record deeds, mortgages and subdivisions as he has bought and purchased over and sold over one hundred properties. He is well aware of what is required of him. He leaves no stone unturned. Mr. Olinkiewicz is not naive to this process. He is once again manipulating our Village
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code. Mr. Olinkiewicz has withdrawn from several applications and hearings of several properties within this Village; possibly, this why records were not finalized in 1998 for the subdivision request. With that said, I know there have been missing information and documents that I had been searching from the Village files. Could this be a possibility?

He certainly didn't care about the subdivision when he sold it in 2002. If this proposed subdivision is approved, the doors will now be opened for subdivide other substandard lots when the Village is trying to eliminate nonconforming uses, not create them. Mr. Olinkiewicz has recently filed a subdivision application for 238 Fifth Avenue property and to build another house on it. This will be the third attempt for that property, and with the forty or so properties he owns in this Village, he may attempt to subdivide many more of his substandard lots.

```
    With this said, I strongly disagree
```
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with this subdivision and any other proposed
subdivision in the Village of Greenport and
would ask that the subdivision be denied
tonight. Our community doesn't need any
more substandard lots than what we have
already.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MS. CHILTON-MILLER: Carol
Chilton-Miller (phonetic), 239 Fourth
Avenue.
I came into this with your facts tonight, and was told lots of other facts and told basically the Village has everything wrong. I really think that there is always a story to tell and a tale to weave when it comes to any of the properties that I have seen come before the Board for Mr. Olinkiewicz on Fifth Avenue. We're looking at going back to, you know, a third time, as Joann said, where we have had -- my husband who is the Chief of the fire department saying this the not safe, you can't do this. And he waits his allotted
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Now with this 1998 variance, a lot has changed since 1998. The laws have changed. The Board has changed. I'd like to think we a smarter Board paying a little more attention to the people in Greenport and not just catering to people who are putting up houses and filling them full of people because I can tell you, from everything I've seen, that's what's happening; and to have another one of those houses or two tucked back into beside that basketball court, God forbid if anything ever happens back there, you're not going to get the personnel you need in there for any type of fire or rescue or emergency, it's just gonna be a disaster; and I feel like none of this ever actually enters the realm of his conscious thinking because it's all the all mighty buck in the end and whatever he can make. Ye ha to him. And he doesn't really care who and what he puts in the properties or how it effects the people surrounding them.

Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MS. JACCO: Maryann Jacco (phonetic), 430 Front Street, Greenport.

When you have a meeting on variances, right, and you approve it, is there any legal document that goes into a file? I know working, what $I$ do for the County Center, we could go back way to the 1800s on properties and titles and everything else; and I don't understand where all this paperwork is. I mean if we did come here for a variances, and we got the variance; and I was notified by paper, and usually said carbon copies. If this is case, the Village has to have copies of all this. This is where I'm getting confused, they're saying oh, we had a variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to ask the attorney to explain. The question here this evening is a process question. And certain things have to be done to complete a process, and while part of the process was completed, the contention of the Village and other people is that the second half of the
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We're in a position that we're faced with what happened in 1998, and we're being asked the address a question that really, in my mind, is not in front of us. Right now in front of this Board are certain area variances.

What happened in 1998, we have a partial record of what went on. We know that certain things were acted on and certain thing weren't; so in the Village's mind, the process that happened twenty years ago wasn't completed, so that brings us here tonight. The applicant filed an application for area variances, that's what we're gonna --

MS. JACCO: So basically then, this 1998 so called ratification for a subdivision is moot, doesn't exist. Unless you physically handed somebody a piece of paper stating you did get the permission to subdivide, there's got to be a legal document somewhere. I mean, if he had it back then, he should have it now.
her?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: She going to -- Ms. Moore's position is not the same as the Village's position.

MS. JACCO: Right. I understand that. But somewhere in the Village has to be some paper, some legal document saying we okayed this subdivision.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, all I can say is that the Village looked, the applicant's attorney looked; the only thing we have is partial minutes of the ZBA meeting, but we don't have anything after that.

MS. JACCO: That in itself says something's wrong somewhere, somebody made up their mind before it was time.

MS. POLLACK: Karen Pollack, 630 First Street. I have a number of comments about this application.

In so far as 1998 goes, the variance application process was part and parcel of the subdivision. The buildings already existed. No one was asking anybody to tear
done the buildings, and so the variances are a formality that come before the formal subdivision of the lot. Now, if a subdivision approval was granted in 1998, there is no evidence that it was every filed with the County, and that is required. From the New York State Department of State Division of Local Government Services, and I quote, Counties act as filing agents for all subdivision plats under the law. Once the plat is signed, the subdivider has sixty-two days to file the approved final plat or the certificate in the Office of the County Clerk or registrar. If the subdivider files to do so, the approval automatically expires.

So I would agree with the last speaker that anything that happened in 1998 is moot. It's not the obligation of the Village to make notifications with regard to applications; it's the obligation of the applicant and the responsibility of the applicant to follow through to find out what the findings of the Boards were at the time,
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not years later.
With regard to this application as Mr. Saladino pointed out, let's deal with this application in front of us. Here we have this wildly nonconforming parcel. It's got an odd shape. It's got a flag lot type of set up. I think it might be the only one in Greenport. I mean when you look at the zoning map, I only saw this one, I couldn't find another. Now, being that this
situation was created some time in the distant past that no one remembers or, you know, we're kind of stuck with it, we're stuck with this nonconforming, it pre-existed the laws that we have now. Okay we're stuck with it, that doesn't mean we have to add to it or endorse it or put a stamp of approval on it; and, in fact, in crease the nonconformity by creating two wildly nonconforming situations instead of one wildly nonconforming situation, and that's what subdividing this lot would do.

What we're talking about here is two lots, plus the separate tax lot for the
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 $\quad$ Page 98 right-of-way, that's three parcels; so the parcel that's the right-of-way is going to shared joint ownership included in the deed itself the deed will be for property as stated blah, blah, blah --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna --
MS. POLLACK: I'm sorry. That was inappropriate.

In any case, if anyone in this room is familiar with two strangers owning something and trying to determine who is supposed to shovel the snow, who is supposed the fill the pot holes, whose responsibility is it, you know you in for nothing but trouble. This is what is being created here.

If Ms. Moore wants to consider the right-of-way sort of like a street in regard to the orientation of the houses and what's the front yard and what's the side yard, then $I$ would say it falls far short of being a street, especially the facts pointed by Mrs. Keel. A street, as far as what I have read from the National Fire Prevention Association is a street has to be
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twenty-feet wide for fire access. It's got to be able to support 75,000 pounds of equipment, so it has to be concrete or asphalt, it can't be dirt road with a little grassy split. It has to be improved fire access, so it's either a street or it isn't; it can't be both to suite the particular situation at hand. So I would agree with Mr. Saladino that we go by the code, that the front lot line is parallel to the street, and the street is Third Street, not the right away.

Now, what I see here, we have an existing situation where there's this wild nonconformity, but the nonconformance is favorable to the owner because we have legal ability to have three rental units or three dwellings on a lot that is
$10,000-\mathrm{plus-square}$ feet rather than the required 15,000 for multifamily; so you have already got the advantage. Now, the code says that you can't have two houses on one lot, but he's got two houses on one lot, so the only unfavorable thing about it is when

$$
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trying to sell, where you have to try to find the right buyer who wants to buy two houses on one lot; but it certainly should be a lucrative situation to be able to rent out these properties.

I have experience, it was brought up that Mr. Olinkiewicz will agree to keep the single, existing single-family house as a single-family house, but there was an interesting subdivision on First Street, right nextdoor to where I live where the Methodist Church property was subdivided into three lots. One of the lots is currently occupied and has been for many many years as a single-family house. Mr. Olinkiewicz agreed to keep that as a single-family house, but there are two families living in it right now as we speak. He has claimed to either this Board or possibly Planning Board, I don't recall, that it's perfectly legal for him to have these two families living there because the male adults in these two families are brothers; but $I$ want to just bring to your Proceedings - August 21, 2018 $\quad$ Page 101 attention that I've received mail mistakenly for the people that live next door, and I can pass this up if you'd like, but the men have two different last names, sop it seems unlikely to me that they're brothers; I'm not saying it's not possible, but how do we ascertain people that are undocumented what they're names really even are; so, I mean, we can't even know that they're really are, and we know that Mr. Olinkiewicz has a long history of having many, many people in some of rental properties, and I would just respectfully request that you decline this application on it's face, the variance being requested are too huge, the flag situation is cumbersome and difficult to manage and also open the door to anyone with a big backyard to come in here and ask to subdivide their lot front to back into a front lot and back lot with fifteen-foot right-of-way. I ask you to consider that, consider the future for anybody, any applicant, particularly those who have been buying properties here, not to live in but
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as investments for rentals, they would have every reason in the world to come to the Board and say hey, I've got a big backyard, hey I've got 3,000-square feet I'm not using in the back yard, let's call it a building lot.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ms. Moore, before you respond and rebut, can we take five minutes to the give the stenographer and the Board a break.

MS. MOORE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, we're adjourned approximately five minutes.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken at this time.)

MS. KEEL: Can I say just on other thing?

Joann Keel, Fifth Avenue.
I just wanted to say, on the plan I have here, this stairways for the Lot 2, it goes right into the right-of-way. If you take a good look at it, it goes right into the right-of-way. That's important to take
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into consideration.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MS. MOORE: All right.
Let me start with the first issue with respect to the 1998 decision. Under Village law, you have a notice, you have a hearing and then you have a decision. The decision in this case was the minutes of the decision. What happens is, generally you generate a decision that then the timeline begins to run for appeals of that decision, whether to a court or the Building Department, whatever, disagreement with that decision, that begins to run from the time that the owner receives a copy and the decision is filed with the Village Clerk. So in 1998, they had the notice, they had the hearing and they had the decision by way of the minutes of that hearing; and in that decision, they had the exact same facts presented, the notice -- there was no Notice -- the Notice of Disapproval just said variance for the subdivision; but as part of that application, the two houses

$$
\text { Page } 104
$$

were just as they are today and in the same format with the same lots and the same access and you're, what you do today is you list, presently the practice is to list everything that is required according to your building inspector, but there are times when a variance will describe generically and all variances associated to make that happen. You couldn't have subdivided this property with these two properties without the variances that went along with the continued existence of these two homes; so that's why we have been saying since the beginning that the 1998 variance which runs with the land covers this particular application and just there is no change. So that's one issue. The second is that there were comments by the young lady, I apologize, I don't know your name, but she called these wildly nonconforming properties with wildly nonconforming variances, and what I'm going to provide for you is a map that Mr. Olinkiewicz took a very long time to put
 together, and it identifies in blue all the lots that are less than 5,000-square feet, in size, in yellow, all the lots between 5 and 6 all the lots that are between 6 and 7,500; so you can see that an awful lot of the Village of Greenport is nonconforming, so when we say this lot is wildly nonconforming, I would respectfully disagree, it is probably as conforming as most of the, many of the parcels in the Village. And as far as this particular property, it's not setting a precedent because it's so unique in its configuration. And I think she's right, I don't that there's another parcel that abuts this way that would be separated one piece from another. If you had many of these and you were trying to dissuade people from subdividing this kind of configuration, well, yeah, you would have a precedent; but in this case, you have one unique parcel and what you will see throughout the Village is some parcels that are unusual in their configuration because they came from the old
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 Page 106 1800s and the piecemeal process that has historically been how some of these, how some of these lots have been put together prior to the adoption of ordnance that makes you come before your Boards to get approval to do some of these things.

I'm gonna submit the map that shows this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think --
MS. MOORE: I think I gave it to you once already.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have seen it.

MS. MOORE: You have seen it before. Good.

I wanted be sure you had it in your file, and I thought I had given it to you last time, I wanted to be sure I'd referred to it.

MR. CORWIN: I have one question about that map.

MS. MOORE: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. CORWIN: Who made the map?
MS. MOORE: Mr. Olinkiewicz took each
of these and did the square footage. I
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mean, it's not that complicated, it's just very --

MR. CORWIN: I have got the idea somebody besides Mr. Olinkiewicz supplied all that information to make that map.

MS. MOORE: I don't know. I know from prior testimony, I think at the Planning Board, he was there and he said that he prepared it, so I don't know if he got help from somebody.

MR. CORWIN: His attorney said she prepared it, she said she went --

MS. MOORE: Okay.
I read the transcript, but I will stand with whatever the transcript says. It's here, I did not prepare it, I can tell you that.

The third issue is with respect to some of the comments about how the driveway should be improved, sharing the road and maintenance requirements. Those are the kind of things the Planning Board will address, so a common process of the Planning Board is how the driveway, what the
Proceedings - August 21, $2018 \quad$ Page 108 improvements of the driveway should be, a road and maintenance agreement that is recorded when you create two lots like this that are sharing an access, that is filed as a covenant so that the homeowners, when they buy into a two lot, to the two lots know what the respective responsibilities are with regard to snow removal, road improvements and things like that, so that, again, is very common and it is a Planning Board issue.

I have already stated that the owner is willing to file a covenant with respect to being used as a single-family. What she raised is a code enforcement issue and code enforcement exists, and they can go after anybody they question is acting inappropriately.

As far as parking on the driveway. I truly agree with here that there should be no parking along the driveway access. It is what it is, it is like that for three homes, three families living there now, and in the future mit will be no different. Again with
Proceedings - August 21, 2018 whatever modifications the Planning Board requires, again also with the roads and maintenance agreement, our conditions, reasonable conditions as far as no parking on the right-of-way, maintaining clearance, parking in parking stall, not, again, not in front of anything.
And as far as where the house and the staircase falls, it's just at that point, it's a property line, so the right-of-way goes though, but I think what she was seeing, Mrs. Keel was seeing is the property line that's splitting the two parcels, and the parking area ends where the parking, where the lot -- they're going to fall into their spaces, so there is going to be a need for some improvements here as part of the overall subdivision. There would be landscaping, grassy area, whatever else that the Planning Board would believe is necessary here including buffers, if any are required, so again --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So is that the logical next step?

```
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along the hundred and six feet of common driveway. Of course, I mean some of this stuff is common sense that \(I\) don't think anybody would fault either the Planning Board or Zoning Board creating hose kind of condition. Certainly we would not oppose that.

Aside from that, I believe some of the other arguments and comments for your consideration; I'm not sure that there is a need the respond.

The only one I thought was unclear was there was an understanding somehow the paperwork that was submitted to you was, this application was previously withdrawn. That is not the case. I think what they had was the Planning Board minutes of the application that started with the Planning Board, and then the Planning Board said we can't address this until you go to the Zoning Board and then come back to us; so I think that was the minutes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think we understand that.
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MS. MOORE: Just for the record, you know, some things you know, but I got to put it on the record.

That's all I have, unless you have any more questions for me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Members, anything else for Ms. Moore?

Arthur?

MR. TASKER: No, thanks.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MR. CORWIN: No.

MS. NEFF: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MS. GORDON: I move to close the hearing.

MR. CORWIN: I would rather leave the hearing open until at least next month.

MS. GORDON: For what purpose?
MR. CORWIN: If somebody has input they
want to put in, give them the opportunity.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think it's an
ambitious application, and I think that to
keep it open another month is not unreasonable.
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But my opinion will be reflected in my vote.

MR. TASKER: I agree with John and David to keep it open.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ellen, do you have an opinion?

MS. NEFF: I think that to leave it open is appropriate.

MS. GORDON: I can withdraw my motion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Fine.

I'm gonna make a motion that we keep the public hearing open until -- we're going to keep the public hearing open.

MR. CONNOLLY: Do you want to keep it open for a period of time for the public to comments and then have Ms. Moore respond to them?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm thinking that we're going to keep it open and the public can come and express their opinion.

MS. MOORE: Again?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Hopefully it will be new information; it won't be repetitive. I think everybody deserves the right to be
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heard, you know, some people are more comfortable speaking at the podium than submitting a letter.

So I made the motion that we keep the public hearing opened.

Do I have a second?
MR. TASKER: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
MS. GORDON: I'm opposed.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And one no.
MS. MOORE: What was the September date?

MR. CONNOLLY: The 18th.
MS. MOORE: 18th.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The hearing public is continued, so if no one else has --

MR. CORWIN: Adjourned is the correct term.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm gonna stick
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with continued; but to make David happy, we'll say the public hearing is closed because \(I\) don't want him angry.

MR. TASKER: It's continued.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Does anybody have a zoning question other than this application? If not, we're going to motion that number 9, motion to adjourn.

So moved.
MR. CORWIN: Before that, what was the status of the findings and determinations.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought we did the findings and determinations.

MR. TASKER: We did.
MR. CORWIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. Made a motion to adjourn.

All in favor?
MR. TASKER: Aye.
MS. NEFF: Aye.
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're adjourned.
(Time noted: 8:32 a.m.)
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111/16 111/19 112/23 115/7
applications [3] 68/20 90/3 96/22
applied [3] 11/19 54/19 67/2
apply [2] 55/11 56/21
approach [1] 56/15
appropriate [1] 113/9
approval [4] 96/5 96/16 97/19 106/6
approvals [1] 82/9
approve [3] 3/2 74/8 93/6
approved [7] 24/13 40/21
44/10 74/15 89/12 90/13 96/13
approximately [2] 83/20
102/15
architect [9] 8/24 15/21 26/18 27/7 28/7 28/9 29/15 30/18 31/8
architect's [2] 24/10 32/2 architectural [1] 20/9 architecture [1] 6/14 are [99] 6/8 7/5 7/12 9/20 12/15 12/17 12/25 14/18 14/21 14/21 14/23 14/24 15/18 16/12 18/23 19/23 20/12 21/13 22/16 27/12 29/5 32/4 36/23 41/10 42/25 43/2 44/18 45/3 47/12 47/20 48/7 48/9 48/10 48/22 48/25 49/2 50/10 50/11 50/13 50/14 50/15 50/17 51/19 51/20 52/10 52/22 52/24 52/25 53/17 53/18
\(53 / 25\) 56/8 56/11 56/13 57/2 59/8 62/14 72/2 76/8 76/22
81/4 81/16 83/14 83/23 83/24
83/24 84/5 85/7 85/14 85/17
86/2 86/4 86/5 86/8 86/25 86/25 87/3 89/5 89/7 92/8 94/7 96/2 100/18 100/24 101/8 101/9 101/10 101/16 104/2 104/7 105/3 105/5 105/24 107/22 108/5 108/8 109/22 110/11 114/2
area [47] 4/18 7/19 9/17 13/20 17/17 25/10 35/25 37/6 37/12 37/17 37/18 37/22 38/2 38/8 38/15 38/20 38/21 38/25 44/9 44/17 46/7 46/12 47/3 48/6 49/14 50/3 51/13 52/11 65/22 70/14 70/15 70/19 71/6 71/16 74/12 83/10 83/11 83/13 83/18 84/4 86/12 89/9 94/7 94/16 109/15 109/20 110/17
areas [2] 57/11 89/10
arguing [1] 79/22
arguments [1] 111/10
around [6] 19/13 73/25 78/16 78/20 81/7 89/8
ARTHUR [4] 1/16 32/24 64/6 112/9
as [111] 10/6 11/19 15/10 15/18 15/19 16/6 16/12 16/22 18/8 19/5 19/5 19/23 20/7 22/23 23/13 23/14 23/18 23/18 24/20 27/10 27/11 32/3 32/3 32/7 32/7 33/14 40/13 42/8 42/25 43/23 46/5 46/19 46/20 47/6 47/13 47/22 48/14 50/17 50/25 51/4 51/10 52/13 53/3 53/20 53/20 56/21 57/3 57/3 60/15 61/18 63/3 63/12 68/6 68/6 68/8 68/13 68/13 69/5 69/22 69/22 70/5 70/6 71/6 71/6 71/17 71/17 72/14 75/14 76/16 76/16 77/12 79/7 79/10 82/14 83/5 86/2 87/6 87/9 87/16 88/24 89/20 91/22 95/5 95/22 96/10 97/3 98/5 98/23 98/23 100/9 100/16 100/17 100/19 102/2 103/24 104/2 105/10 105/10 105/12 105/12 108/5 108/15 108/20 108/20 109/5 109/5 109/9 109/9 109/18 110/19 110/19
ascertain [3] 63/11 63/18 101/8
Aside [1] 111/9
ask [14] 11/16 11/22 14/13 20/23 25/20 26/2 60/3 63/20 73/19 76/3 91/4 93/19 101/19 101/22
asked [4] 56/17 59/13 81/5 94/5
asking [6] 19/8 25/8 29/21 29/22 42/3 95/25
asks [2] 19/6 19/7
asphalt [1] 99/5
ass [1] 78/13
assembled [1] 58/13
associated [1] 104/9
Association [1] 98/25
assuming [1] 30/13
attached [3] 4/23 19/18 36/8
attempt [2] 90/20 90/23
attention [2] 92/7 101/2
attorney [6] 1/18 41/21 67/8
93/20 95/13 107/12
attributed [1] 2/11
August [4] 1/8 82/12 116/10 116/16
authority [1] 56/22
automatically [1] 96/16
Avenue [13] 2/10 4/7 5/10 5/11
5/19 5/20 34/24 79/2 81/23 90/18 91/12 91/20 102/20
aware [5] 40/23 87/2 87/18 89/18 89/22
away [9] 17/2 17/8 75/6 75/10 76/14 79/14 83/24 84/23 99/13 awful [1] 105/6
aye [37] 2/21 2/22 2/23 2/24 2/25 3/8 3/9 3/10 3/11 3/22 3/23 3/24 3/25 4/2 4/12 4/13 4/14 4/15 4/16 13/14 13/15 13/16 13/17 13/18 28/24 28/25 29/2 29/3 29/4 114/10 114/11 114/12 114/13 115/20 115/21 115/22 115/23

\section*{B}
back [34] 7/12 9/12 33/15 33/18 42/6 44/7 45/18 46/10 53/14 53/15 59/9 60/4 60/6 60/10 69/10 69/18 69/18 69/19 75/25 76/12 76/23 79/22 80/15 82/10 89/11 91/21 92/13 92/14 93/9 94/25 101/20 101/21 102/6 111/22
background [1] 40/12 backing [1] 71/17
backout [1] 71/21 backyard [3] 84/5 101/19 102/4
backyards [1] 62/9
barber [3] 64/16 64/23 65/20 barbershop [5] 64/8 64/9 64/11 64/14 64/21
based [3] 40/21 47/12 52/9 basically [8] 15/25 21/12 21/22 75/2 76/2 81/18 91/15 94/18 basis [2] 86/3 89/6
basketball [4] 85/10 86/14 89/7 92/13
bat [1] 75/17
bathroom [1] 62/21
bay [1] 22/23
be [148]
beautiful [1] 16/19
because [44] 8/8 14/5 15/9 21/6 22/3 22/5 25/11 26/21 31/20 32/14 35/6 41/23 42/20 43/13 45/3 45/25 46/20 47/19 47/24 48/20 49/6 49/21 52/6 53/15 53/22 59/21 60/11 62/6 64/25 65/18 65/21 69/3 71/17 71/20 75/18 76/19 83/5 92/10 92/20 99/17 100/23 105/14 105/25 115/4
become [3] 18/10 31/17 85/23 becomes [1] 29/25
bedroom [1] 62/21
been [33] 25/7 35/4 40/24
41/10 41/13 41/20 42/18 44/10 46/5 47/4 47/14 47/22 48/8
52/6 53/4 55/14 57/9 58/19
61/21 61/24 63/11 64/24 65/11
73/21 76/4 76/21 90/7 90/8 100/15 101/24 104/14 106/3 106/4
before [17] 8/9 22/16 22/17 26/24 33/23 63/15 66/5 77/17 80/3 82/3 91/19 95/18 96/3 102/9 106/6 106/14 115/11 began [1] 67/6 begin [3] 76/14 76/18 82/3 beginning [1] 104/15 begins [2] 103/12 103/15 behalf [1] 40/7 behind [2] 21/7 72/14 behoove [1] 77/9 being [19] 8/18 48/9 52/25 54/19 54/20 55/18 55/19 57/3 60/25 65/22 70/16 80/23 83/23 94/4 97/11 98/16 98/21 101/15 108/15
believe [12] 30/10 44/18 50/20 57/20 59/22 64/7 67/17 68/7
82/6 85/15 109/21 111/9
believed [1] 67/16
belonged [1] 65/17
benefit [1] 51/22
beside [1] 92/13
besides [1] 107/5
best [1] 28/9
better [6] 11/2 50/18 53/5 53/6 53/10 63/4
between [4] 65/23 84/16 105/4 105/5
big [10] 7/11 7/20 8/13 9/4
21/24 22/23 61/14 82/7 101/18 102/4
bigger [1] 81/3
bits [1] 62/9
bitten [1] 61/19
black [1] 64/13
blah [3] 98/6 98/6 98/6
blame [1] 79/24
block [3] 7/13 18/20 58/11
blood [1] 116/12
blue [1] 105/2
blueprints [1] 30/23
board [72] 1/4 2/4 2/7 2/16 3/4 3/15 12/5 12/10 12/25 16/16 26/17 27/5 28/14 36/12 40/19 41/22 41/23 41/24 41/25 42/6 42/7 42/8 42/11 42/13 42/17 43/9 44/7 44/12 52/9 52/12 52/13 53/16 55/14 56/22 56/23 57/17 57/18 67/2 67/9 72/3 74/5 79/20 79/20 81/25 82/12 82/22 82/23 86/18 91/19 92/5 92/6 94/7 100/20 100/21 102/4 102/12 107/9 107/23 107/25 108/12 109/2 109/21 110/5 110/6 110/9 110/21 111/6 111/6 111/18 111/20 111/20 111/22
Boards [2] 96/25 106/6 both [13] 7/22 12/17 18/20 27/12 27/12 33/5 49/10 51/7 51/18 51/18 57/6 71/10 99/8
bought [4] 6/13 8/5 16/18 89/20
bound [1] 60/20
bounds [2] 60/15 60/23
bow [1] 14/7
Box [3] 39/10 39/17 40/2
brain [1] 56/24
break [1] 102/12
brief [1] \(41 / 14\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & carbon [1] 93/15 & clearly [5] 17/18 22/5 22/22 \\
\hline bring [1] 100/25 & & \\
\hline brings [1] 94/14 & care [4] 78/14 78/15 90/11 & Clerks [1] 89/16 \\
\hline 2] \(100 / 25\) 1] 100/7 & 92 & client [4] 44/7 61/22 63/15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ught [1] } 100 \\
& * k[1] 92 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] & [5 & \\
\hline ffers [2] 109/22 110/7 & & close [10] 8/11 13 \\
\hline ild [1] 90/19 & 1 & 14/8 35/3 35/7 \\
\hline building [41] 1/19 10/5 & 14/5 14/12 15/15 17/3 18/8 & \(12 / 15\) \\
\hline 19/12 19/19 21/21 22/2 & 19/3 19/4 29/6 29/20 31/13 & d[3] 26/14 26/16 115 \\
\hline 33/15 35/7 36/24 44/21 & &  \\
\hline 5 & carriages [1] 17/4 & closing [1] 87/15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Cos } \\
5 & 49 / 10 / 10 & 50 / 15 \\
555 / 15
\end{array}
\] & cars [3] 84/25 85/4 86/3 & code [40] 19/14 37/ \\
\hline 17 57/11 58/25 59/3 63/23 & case [11] 44/23 45/5 45/5 53/7 & 37/20 38/3 38/10 38/17 38 \\
\hline 0 65/20 68/23 75/19 87/14 & 55/10 55/20 93/15 98/10 103/9 & 35/13 46/25 51/7 54/15 \\
\hline 102/6 103/13 104/7 & 111 & 11 55/23 56/20 \\
\hline buildings [6] 58/15 58/17 76/7 & ca & 56/25 58/23 59/2 68/9 75/15 \\
\hline 6/2 & & 78/2 80/10 81/13 81/15 83/9 \\
\hline built [2] 21/13 63 & Center [1] 93/9 & 83/14 85/23 86/16 88/17 88 \\
\hline bunch [1] 49/19 & certain [4] 93/ & 88/23 89/9 90/2 99/10 99/ 108/16 108/16 \\
\hline & 94 & codes [4] 56/8 56/13 77/2 \\
\hline \[
\text { buying [2] } 78 / 10 \quad 101 / 25
\] & certainly [12] 9/8 44 & 78 \\
\hline C & 4 & columns [2] 32/17 32/2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { calculated [4] 49/7 49/11 } \\
& 49 / 1483 / 21
\end{aligned}
\] & certificate [3] 87/7 87/13 96/4 certify [2] 116/8 116/11 & combined [5] 11/11 11/12
38/12 46/16 \\
\hline culation [1] 25/24 & CHAIRMAN [3] 1/12 18/9 58 & come [28] \\
\hline call [3] 46/2 78/21 102/6 & change [11] 22/19 30/25 46/24 & 15/2 \\
\hline called [5] 63/2 72/5 72/12 & 50/4 51/12 52/6 52/14 52/17 & 26/19 27/7 34/6 34/13 35/11 \\
\hline 94/19 104/21 & 78/2 81/14 104/17 & 9/16 49/23 \\
\hline lling [2] 7/3 17 & changed [4] 80/13 92/4 92 & 91/19 93 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ne [6] 21/10 42/1 } \\
& 2491 / 13105 / 25
\end{aligned}
\] & changes [2] 15/5 & comes [5] 25/3 35/3 52/1 \\
\hline [49] 9/16 10/23 12/6 12/15 & changing [2] 53/4 88/5 & 61/12 91/18 \\
\hline 16 16/5 19/6 20/18 27 & character [3] 43/10 50/5 51/13 & comfortable [3] 10/25 15/2 \\
\hline 27/24 27/25 28/5 29 & Charity [1] 39/19 & 114/3 \\
\hline 30/21 32/5 34/12 35/7 & Chatty [2] 73/17 73/18 & coming [1] 25/11 \\
\hline 44/5 49/16 51/23 56/1 & checked [1] 19/15 & comment [8] 14/17 15/16 16 \\
\hline (13 63/11 63/18 63/20 63/21 & Chief [1] 91/23 & 18/25 32/2 50/20 74/17 75/2 \\
\hline 65/25 68/15 72/22 72/25 & children [2] 7/13 89/5 & comments [9] 2/9 13/25 72 \\
\hline \(280 / 3\) 80/6 80/24 92/10 & Chilton [1] 91/11 & 3/12 95/20 104/19 107/20 \\
\hline 1 95/11 101/4 102/10 & Chilton-Miller [1] 91/11 & 113/17 \\
\hline 18 105/6 107/17 108/17 & Chris [1] 5/21 & common [18] \\
\hline 10 113/21 & chunks [1] 58/12 & 54/11 56/4 56/15 68/15 68 \\
\hline n't [16] 10/2 21/7 26/25 66/4 & Church [1] 100/13 & 71/5 71/13 71/13 71/16 80/2 \\
\hline 8 75/16 76/11 76/12 84/3 & circles [1] 73/25 & /2 107/24 108/11 110/1 \\
\hline \(191 / 25\) 99/5 99/8 99 & circulation [2] 88/25 89/3 & 111/2 111/4 \\
\hline 101/10 111/21 & circumstances [2] 52/7 52/15 claimed [1] 100/20 & community [3] 86/21 88/15
\(91 / 5\) \\
\hline cannot [4] 75/17 75/18 83/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { claimed [1] 100/20 } \\
& \text { clarification [1] } 49 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] & company [1] 60/3 \\
\hline car [8] 6/19 7/16 7/17 8/6 8/8 & clarify [2] 17/13 & parison [1] 48 \\
\hline 8/9 33/4 33/7 & Claudette [1] 39/13 & mplete [4] 17/16 39/6 44 \\
\hline & clearance [2] 7/21 109/6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & 84/18 85/24 88/8 & 48/19 59/19 72/15 106/17 \\
\hline Firehouse [1] 1/6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
fourteen [2] 7/4 7/6 \\
fourteen-and-a-half [1] 7/6
\end{tabular} & gives [4] 47/14 56/14 60/2 \\
\hline first [11] 10/21 33/24 41/19 & fourteen-and-a-half [1] 7/6 Fourth [2] 5/20 91/11 & giving [3] 48/22 49/17 60/11 \\
\hline 50/3 60/21 64/20 64/22 82/3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fourth [2] 5/20 91/11 \\
Frances [1] 5/11
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
giving [3] 48/22 49/17 60/11 \\
glad [2] 11/17 11/18
\end{tabular} \\
\hline first-floor [1] & Frank [2] 34/5 39/18 & Glenhead [1] 39/24 \\
\hline fit [3] 15/24 49/4 55/16 & Frank's [1] 17/13 & go [23] 9/21 31/10 44/9 53/14 \\
\hline fits [1] 23/20 & frankly [1] 52/ & 57/16 58/2 67/13 68 \\
\hline five [25] 11/12 11/13 15/2 24/2 & front [75] 6/11 8/17 8/21 10/7 & 71/18 73/20 75/23 76/5 79/22 \\
\hline 24/21 29/24 30/12 30/13 38/7 & 10/8 11/18 14/18 14/24 16/11 & 80/4 80/9 82/10 93/9 99/10 \\
\hline 38/9 38/12 46/18 46/21 46/21 & 16/24 19/5 19/8 19/15 20/19 & 106/22 108/17 110/3 111/21 \\
\hline 55/19 60/19 60/21 69/18 83/13 & 21/10 21/11 21/15 21/18 21/20 & God [1] 92/13 \\
\hline 84/9 85/3 85/20 85/20 102/10 & 22/2 22/12 22/15 23/11 23/18 & goes [10] 14/13 71/9 76/9 \\
\hline 102/15 & 24/4 24/4 24/11 27/8 29/12 & 80/10 80/11 93/7 95/22 102/23 \\
\hline fix [1] 43/24 & 30/4 31/16 35/11 37/4 37/10 & 102/24 109/12 \\
\hline flag [11] 56/7 56/14 56/18 & 44/22 45/25 46/2 46/6 46/9 & going [46] 9/7 9/19 9/24 11/21 \\
\hline 58/13 58/14 71/10 71/22 83/23 & 46/25 48/3 48/12 53/20 53/23 & 12/23 13/2 14/15 14/21 14/23 \\
\hline 85/2 97/7 101/16 & 54/11 54/11 54/12 54/13 55/7 & 14/24 20/12 21/5 22/20 23/7 \\
\hline flags [1] 43/3 & 55/9 55/18 56/7 65/22 68/6 & 28/8 30/25 32/20 35/19 36/8 \\
\hline flat [2] 33/25 34/2 & 68/8 69/14 69/15 69/19 73/14 & 36/10 39/3 53/12 67/7 71/24 \\
\hline floor [4] 33/24 33/25 34/2 81/3 & 77/3 77/20 80/4 80/6 80/9 84/5 & 73/19 73/25 75/3 75/20 77/14 \\
\hline flush [1] 21/21 & 84/7 93/4 94/6 94/7 97/5 98/20 & 77/21 86/15 87/21 87/21 87/23 \\
\hline FOIL [3] 41/17 66/22 67/19 & 99/11 101/20 101/21 109/8 & 89/11 91/21 92/15 93/19 95/4 \\
\hline folders [1] 18/5 & frontage [1] & 98/3 104/23 109/16 109/17 \\
\hline Folks [1] \(2 / 2\) & full [3] 33/19 33/24 92/ & 113/13 113/20 115/8 \\
\hline follow [5] 56/25 61/18 89/14 & further [1] 1 & gone [2] 78/3 79/13 \\
\hline 89/17 96/24 & fu & gonna [26] 2/14 5/23 14/22 \\
\hline followed [1] 79/16 & G & \\
\hline ng [1] 79/13 & Gaged [1] 5/9 & 32/17 35/3 49/23 59/14 78/21 \\
\hline foot [10] 19/8 49/8 53/23 69/22 & gaining [1] 58/7 & 81/6 85/3 92/17 94/17 98/7 \\
\hline 84/23 84/23 85/12 85/20 85/21 & garbage [1] 86/11 & 106/8 113/12 114/25 \\
\hline & gathering [1] 89/6 & good [5] 8/14 40/6 77/2 102/24 \\
\hline  & gave [5] 41/2 48/18 48/18 & 106/15 \\
\hline & 59/23 106/11 & GORDON [1] 1/15 \\
\hline & generally [5] 48/2 55/5 55/18 & got [26] 29/6 33/4 41/4 48/24 \\
\hline got [1] 50/9 & 103/10 110/20 & 50/7 51/5 58/13 58/14 62/14 \\
\hline & generate [1] 103/1 & 65/21 77/4 77/19 78/7 78/17 \\
\hline & generations [1] 62/12 & 93/13 94/23 97/6 97/7 99/2 \\
\hline formal [1] 96/3 & generically [1] 104/8 & 99/22 99/24 102/4 102/5 107/4 \\
\hline mat [1] 104/3 & gentleman [1] 77/10 & 107/10 112/3 \\
\hline format [1] 104/3 & get [24] 17/6 19/13 20/18 21/1 & Government [1] 96/9 \\
\hline ormed [2] 36/23 85/5 & 22/2 25/13 26/4 27/11 31/6 & gowns [1] 17/7 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
formula [2] 54/8 54/10 \\
forth [4] 62/12 75/25 79/22
\end{tabular} & 34/12 35/7 35/14 35/22 43/19 & gradually [1] 88/18 \\
\hline forth [4] 62/12 75/25 79/22 & 60/3 67/24 75/6 76/14 76/23 & grant [2] 11/7 19/6 \\
\hline & 85/3 87/22 92/15 94/22 106/6 & granted [10] 40/18 40/24 41/20 \\
\hline forty [4] 47/24 60/19 60/21 & get's [1] 80/24 & 42/20 43/9 51/25 52/4 77/7 \\
\hline \[
90 / 21
\] & gets [4] 60/24 61/8 75/25 83/4 & 82/10 96/5 \\
\hline forty-five [2] 60/19 60/21 & getting [6] 30/2 67/9 75/10 & [1] \\
\hline forty-six [1] 47/24 & & graphically [2] \\
\hline found [1] 67/16 & \[
10 / 1359 / 1159 / 0 \cap 5
\] & grass [1] 84/22 \\
\hline four [17] 12/5 12/16 24/2 24/21 & 78/13 81/10 81/25 86/7 102/11 & grassy [2] 99/6 109/20 \\
\hline 37/12 37/25 38/7 46/11 46/14 & \[
112 / 21
\] & greater [3] 51/4 51/10 56/2 \\
\hline 46/17 46/18 46/22 53/25 78/4 & given [7] 18/12 40/25 48/17 & greater [3]
GREENPORT [44] \(1 / 21 / 7\) 2/3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

GREENPORT... [41] 3/18 4/19 5/10 5/12 5/14 5/15 5/17 5/19 5/21 5/22 6/5 13/22 36/2 36/11 36/13 36/13 36/18 36/21 37/9 37/15 37/21 38/4 38/11 38/18 38/24 39/10 39/14 39/15 39/15 39/16 39/21 39/23 39/25 56/9 61/8 72/16 91/3 92/7 93/4 97/9 105/7
groceries [1] 33/9
guess [4] 15/14 15/16 40/10 71/4
guessing [1] 15/2
guises [1] 62/11
H
ha [1] 92/21
had [28] 8/9 14/12 15/22 16/24 22/22 32/24 40/24 41/20 41/24 42/17 45/5 45/7 57/14 59/19 67/17 86/4 90/8 91/22 93/18 94/24 103/18 103/18 103/19 103/21 105/18 106/16 106/17 111/17
half [10] 7/4 7/6 24/2 33/18 71/2 71/9 84/14 84/21 84/23 93/25
hand [3] 22/23 99/9 116/16
handed [2] 86/4 94/21
Handing [2] 18/6 82/2
hang [1] 64/8
hangout [3] 64/11 64/12 64/14
happen [2] 24/8 104/10
happened [4] 94/4 94/9 94/13
96/19
happening [2] 18/19 92/11
happens [6] 14/17 80/23 85/10 85/17 92/14 103/10
happy [1] 115/2
hard [1] 78/17
hardship [4] 78/19 88/12 88/12 88/14
hardships [1] 86/17
has [44] 7/20 18/5 18/17 22/9 24/25 41/7 41/13 41/13 44/7 44/10 47/3 47/6 48/8 48/15 53/4 57/15 60/12 62/23 73/13 75/9 76/3 82/5 84/7 84/8 84/11 88/6 89/20 90/2 90/17 91/15 92/3 92/5 93/16 95/8 96/12 98/25 99/4 99/6 100/15 100/20 101/11 106/2 112/20 114/22 hasn't [2] 56/10 76/21
have [184]
haven't [3] 60/14 67/10 73/23 having [4] 75/7 79/10 85/24 101/12

\section*{hazard [1] 85/6}
hazards [1] 86/13
he [80] 26/20 26/23 30/21 31/8 32/2 32/3 34/6 40/8 40/21 40/21 40/22 40/23 41/3 41/5 41/5 41/15 41/16 41/16 41/18 42/2 42/4 50/21 56/21 57/5 57/14 57/14 57/15 60/4 60/6 61/24 66/11 66/14 66/22 67/2 67/6 67/12 67/16 67/16 67/16 67/17 78/19 79/5 79/5 79/14 79/15 79/23 82/15 82/16 82/20 87/5 87/11 87/18 87/21 87/21 87/23 88/3 89/12 89/16 89/16 89/17 89/18 89/20 89/22 89/23 89/25 90/11 90/12 90/22 90/22 91/25 92/21 92/22 92/22 94/24 94/25 100/20 107/9 107/9 107/9 107/10
he's [5] 34/10 34/11 40/8 79/23 99/24
head [4] 21/9 22/5 22/8 79/19 health [1] 86/20
Heany [1] 5/20
hear [4] 28/9 28/9 31/25 40/23 heard [3] 24/17 54/2 114/2
hearing [32] 4/17 5/23 13/2
13/11 26/14 26/16 27/3 27/18 28/3 28/6 28/14 28/19 30/17 31/25 35/20 35/24 36/11 39/4 40/22 66/18 83/4 83/6 103/7 103/19 103/20 112/16 112/18 113/13 113/14 114/6 114/21 115/3
hearings [2] 50/21 90/3 hedges [1] 85/13
held [1] 41/7
help [5] 6/19 17/22 24/7 62/13 107/10
helped [1] 17/12
helpful [1] 72/19
her [9] 21/7 29/20 32/12 50/9 72/6 72/13 72/18 73/3 95/3 here [54] 5/8 5/24 6/8 20/6 22/22 24/25 25/2 25/4 25/4 26/19 30/19 32/6 33/16 36/10 40/8 41/2 48/22 49/20 51/9 54/19 55/15 67/13 67/21 68/6 69/9 69/11 69/21 70/2 70/24 71/7 72/24 73/13 74/6 77/19 78/11 78/12 78/17 78/20 80/8

80/17 93/12 93/20 94/14 97/5 97/24 98/16 99/14 101/19 101/25 102/22 107/17 108/21 109/18 109/22
hereby [1] 116/8
hereunto [1] 116/15
herself [1] 72/14
hey [2] 102/4 102/5
high [1] 110/14
him [13] 10/2 26/19 26/23
27/10 28/10 66/18 66/20 79/13
88/12 89/22 92/21 100/22 115/4
himself [1] 66/15
his [17] \(9 / 25\) 10/2 19/3 41/5 41/8 41/13 41/21 79/18 79/23 82/20 87/24 88/7 89/13 90/23 91/25 92/19 107/12
historic [3] 16/23 23/21 36/21
historical [2] 15/12 23/6
historically [2] 16/23 106/3
historics [1] 17/8
history [4] 58/7 59/5 59/22
101/12
hokey [1] 27/2
hold [2] 27/12 27/15
holes [1] 98/14
Holland [1] 5/21
Holms [1] 39/23
home [9] 27/11 40/15 50/22
50/23 50/24 50/25 84/4 85/24 87/4
homeowners [1] 108/6 homes [13] 44/25 47/10 52/25 53/3 53/3 57/12 88/5 88/6 88/7 88/14 89/6 104/13 108/23
Honestly [1] 67/18
hope [2] 17/12 30/20
hopefully [7] 6/10 6/15 24/8
30/18 34/5 34/12 113/23
hose [1] 111/6
house [59] \(6 / 12\) 10/5 10/7
10/24 10/24 11/3 11/3 11/4
11/15 15/7 15/8 15/10 15/12
16/17 16/24 17/9 18/14 22/15
22/22 23/14 23/15 23/20 26/24
31/16 32/8 32/10 32/11 32/15
33/18 33/19 33/20 35/2 42/22
42/23 42/24 43/4 43/5 43/18
43/25 45/24 46/14 46/15 51/2
63/14 64/19 65/24 65/24 68/21
80/7 80/25 81/2 81/2 81/6
90/19 100/9 100/10 100/16 100/18 109/9
houses [16] 42/23 50/13 50/14
houses... [13] 51/19 51/20 53/18 59/8 72/15 84/2 92/9 92/12 98/19 99/23 99/24 100/4 103/25
housing [3] 5/13 5/15 18/17 how [42] 7/4 7/23 8/11 8/11 14/22 14/22 14/23 15/24 17/20 18/22 19/11 19/13 20/11 20/18 23/14 23/19 42/25 45/18 47/22 48/7 48/9 49/6 55/16 59/9 62/5 62/14 63/10 63/11 63/16 68/9 68/23 68/24 77/5 78/3 84/24 89/18 92/23 101/7 106/3 106/3 107/20 107/25
however [1] 11/3
huge [3] 46/3 83/14 101/16
hum [1] 12/22
hundred [9] 47/25 54/25 60/19 69/6 69/10 69/17 69/18 89/21 111/2
hundred feet [1] 69/18 husband [1] 91/23
I
I'd [10] 6/9 17/23 60/22 61/17
76/3 81/24 82/3 83/3 92/5
106/18
I'II [17] 2/25 3/11 4/2 4/16 5/8 7/16 12/6 13/10 13/18 27/10 28/16 28/18 29/4 73/11 73/12 80/6 114/13
I'm [62] 2/14 5/23 6/6 11/17 11/17 15/2 15/9 15/16 16/15 17/20 19/25 21/8 21/8 22/13 23/5 23/12 27/3 30/15 32/5 33/2 33/11 33/12 33/21 34/9 35/3 35/8 36/8 40/7 47/2 47/7 48/5 48/13 58/2 58/13 59/14 63/17 69/4 69/21 70/23 72/14 73/4 73/19 74/13 76/17 76/21 77/13 78/20 79/3 79/11 86/6 93/17 93/19 98/8 101/6 102/5 104/23 106/8 111/11 113/12 113/19 114/14 114/25
I've [12] 24/17 25/7 40/25 48/17 48/23 57/20 73/21 74/14 92/10 101/2 102/4 102/5
I.e [1] 58/8
idea [1] 107/4
identical [2] 40/15 40/16
identified [4] 44/18 59/4 70/5 70/6
identifies [1] 105/2
imagination [1] 15/23 immediate [1] 12/16 impact [3] 86/19 86/20 86/23 important [1] 102/25 importantly [1] 43/12 imposition [2] 32/11 32/14 improve [2] 10/24 43/20 improved [4] 47/18 53/2 99/6 107/21
improvements [4] 47/20 108/2 108/10 109/18
improving [1] 53/10
inadequate [1] 85/5
inappropriate [1] 98/9
inappropriately [1] 108/19
Inc [1] 36/13
inches [1] 11/14
include [4] 8/20 9/11 11/20 20/2
included [3] 19/23 24/12 98/4 including [2] 29/8 109/22
inconsistency [4] 33/13 33/14 34/8 55/22
inconsistent [1] 53/12
incorporate [2] 9/16 31/9 Incorporated [2] 39/17 39/25 increase [1] 11/21
indicating [8] 17/25 25/5 43/4
45/20 69/8 69/9 69/12 70/3
indicting [1] 46/2
individual [1] 43/19
individually [1] 53/20
information [4] 58/8 90/8
107/6 113/24
initial [1] 22/18
input [1] 112/20
inquiring [1] 67/12
inside [3] 20/13 31/20 48/4
inspector [5] 47/6 48/23 49/7
56/17 104/7
instead [1] 97/21
intended [2] 54/17 57/2
intention [1] 16/20
intentions [1] 16/16
interested [1] 116/13
interesting [8] 19/22 50/7
60/16 61/3 62/3 64/4 82/19 100/11
interference [1] 18/16
interject [1] 28/4
internal [1] 41/11
interrupt [1] 45/11
introduced [2] 58/24 72/14
investment [2] 43/24 52/21
investments [1] 102/2
irregular [1] 83/9 is [311]
Island [2] 39/18 40/2
isn't [3] 11/9 80/22 99/7
issue [12] 14/18 14/25 15/3 49/5 50/3 50/13 82/7 103/5 104/18 107/19 108/12 108/16
issued [1] 45/2
issues [8] 6/18 29/5 57/20 86/2
86/11 86/11 87/22 87/24
it [258]
it's [113] 4/4 7/15 7/20 8/6 9/11 9/19 9/25 14/15 15/7 15/9 15/12 16/23 18/11 18/13 19/25 20/7 20/15 23/7 23/9 23/14 23/25 24/25 25/2 28/9 28/12
30/13 31/21 32/10 32/11 32/13
32/14 32/15 32/22 32/23 42/20
43/14 43/25 44/4 45/14 45/18
46/5 47/13 47/17 47/22 49/21
50/7 50/16 54/17 54/19 54/20
55/12 55/12 55/20 55/25 56/19 56/20 57/4 57/10 58/12 60/10 60/13 60/16 61/10 61/21 61/23 62/8 63/11 63/11 66/5 68/14 68/21 68/23 68/24 69/8 69/9 69/17 71/23 72/22 72/25 73/25 74/21 75/24 76/6 76/8 77/15 77/22 78/19 78/21 79/8 79/13 79/18 81/15 81/17 92/17 92/20 96/20 96/22 97/6 97/7 99/2 99/7 100/22 101/7 101/15 105/13 105/14 107/2 107/2 107/16 109/10 109/11 112/22 115/5
Item [14] 2/5 2/6 3/2 3/14 4/17 13/19 35/24 37/4 37/11 37/17 37/24 38/6 38/14 38/20 its [3] 56/4 57/7 105/14 itself [2] 95/16 98/5

\section*{J}

Jacco [1] 93/3
James [1] 39/22
Joann [4] 81/22 81/23 91/22 102/20
job [2] 17/21 79/23
jogging [1] 21/14
JOHN [3] 1/12 72/25 113/4
joint [1] 98/4
Jones [11] 60/9 60/9 60/17
60/17 60/24 62/10 65/2 65/4 65/5 65/6 65/8
Julia [2] 39/20 72/20
July [3] 2/7 2/16 60/23

land [7] 42/15 60/20 61/6 61/10 77/7 77/8 104/16
landscaping [1] 109/20
Lane [1] 39/19
language [2] 25/19 78/13
large [4] 11/8 47/12 48/7 55/9
larger [2] 24/22 55/4
last [9] 2/9 26/8 40/25 71/23
71/24 77/5 96/18 101/5 106/18
later [1] 97/2
latitude [2] 20/22 68/8
Laura [3] 2/11 6/4 13/21
law [6] 42/13 42/14 52/8 81/13 96/11 103/7
laws [2] 92/4 97/16
lawyer [3] 66/9 66/12 66/19
leading [1] 43/25
learned [1] 41/19
least [2] 76/4 112/18
leave [4] 28/6 73/12 112/17 113/8
leaves [1] 89/23
left [1] 58/12
left-over [1] 58/12
legal [7] 76/8 81/18 93/7 94/23
95/9 99/17 100/22
legally [1] 35/6
length [7] 7/8 8/5 8/7 8/8 19/3 47/25 56/6
Lense [4] 60/8 60/9 60/16 60/24
Leroy [1] 72/21
less [4] 52/21 52/24 81/11 105/3
let [5] 4/24 26/2 35/22 60/5 103/5
let's [5] 28/2 28/2 61/4 97/4 102/6
letter [2] 32/12 114/4
letters [5] 12/5 12/9 12/16 67/6 67/7
life [2] 78/16 80/17
like [36] 6/9 10/20 12/3 14/3 14/19 17/19 17/23 18/11 23/6 24/6 28/4 31/20 34/21 43/3 49/19 57/7 58/11 61/13 61/21 62/22 73/16 76/3 76/20 78/20 80/3 80/12 81/24 82/3 83/3 92/5 92/18 98/18 101/4 108/4 108/10 108/23
likely [1] 24/16
line [17] 9/2 14/9 17/19 18/13 20/13 23/11 24/5 24/20 45/14 45/21 69/14 69/15 69/19 71/19 99/11 109/11 109/14

LINGG [1] \(1 / 19\)
list [3] 5/7 104/5 104/5
listed [1] 51/9
listen [1] 26/18
little [12] 15/9 20/22 21/11
21/18 21/20 21/24 70/2 76/24 78/5 82/18 92/6 99/5
livable [1] 10/25
live [5] 22/6 78/12 100/12 101/3 101/25
lives [1] 73/2
living [11] 32/10 62/21 62/24 63/8 63/9 76/23 78/11 88/8 100/19 100/23 108/24
LLC [4] 39/11 41/12 79/6 79/7
Local [1] 96/9
located [7] 4/19 13/21 36/2
36/17 36/19 36/21 37/2
logic [2] 54/10 56/20
logical [2] 109/25 110/8
logically [1] 56/2
long [14] 6/12 14/23 18/12
18/13 26/11 32/3 62/12 63/10
63/11 77/5 83/24 101/11
104/25 110/19
longer [1] 55/6
longest [1] 48/2
look [15] 20/6 22/21 31/15 47/8 47/13 48/7 56/3 56/22
58/9 69/25 70/2 70/9 70/20 97/9 102/24
looked [3] 47/6 95/12 95/13 looking [8] 6/7 22/13 33/11 33/21 42/17 47/10 59/15 91/21 looks [10] 10/6 17/18 17/20 19/22 23/14 57/7 58/8 58/11 61/13 76/20
loop [1] 88/2
lost [1] 28/12
lot [106] 14/8 18/13 36/23 37/4 37/17 37/21 37/24 38/20 38/24 45/5 45/20 45/24 46/5 46/10 46/12 47/21 47/24 47/25 49/8 49/8 49/14 51/15 51/15 51/15 51/16 51/17 51/17 53/22 56/4 56/5 57/4 58/15 60/25 61/14 61/15 61/24 67/10 68/24 68/25 69/5 69/8 69/9 69/22 69/24 70/5 70/6 70/13 70/15 70/15 70/16 70/17 70/20 71/6 75/11 75/14 75/18 76/4 76/6 78/6 78/9 79/16 80/25 81/18 83/5 83/9 83/10 83/10 83/11 83/11 83/12 83/13 83/17 83/18 84/4 84/6 84/9 85/3 86/9 86/9 87/8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Martha [1] 60/17 & Miller [1] 91/11 \\
\hline lot... [26] 87/11 87/12 87/14 & M & 31/17 9 \\
\hline 87/18 87/19 87/20 92/3 96/4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Maryland [1] 39/20 \\
match [2] 49/12 49/25
\end{tabular} & 94/13 95/18 \\
\hline 97/7 97/23 97/25 99/11 99/19 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{match}[2] \\
& \text { material [2] } \\
& \text { 49/12 } \\
& 16 / 6 \\
& \hline 16 / 25
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \(\underset{37 / 21}{\operatorname{minimum}}\) 38/4 38/11 38/18 38/24 \\
\hline 99/24 99/24 100/4 101/20 & matter [3] 4/5 28/19 116/1 & 20 46/20 \\
\hline 105/6 105 & Maureen [1] 39/9 & /21 \\
\hline 110/14 & maximum [1] 89/10 & minutes [13] 2/6 \\
\hline lots [46] 36/23 44/20 45/8 48/9 & may [6] 14/6 16/3 22/13 57/ & 82/12 95/14 \\
\hline [25 50/12 51/19 53/20 53/21 & 67/19 90/22 & 103/15 103/9 103/20 111/ \\
\hline 55/13 56/12 57/7 62/10 & maybe [10] 32/2 33/13 65/7 & \(11 / 2\) \\
\hline 2/19 67/7 67/7 75/3 75/5 75/7 & 65/15 65/19 7 & oring [1] \\
\hline 7/11 75/19 81/16 83/18 83/23 & 87/23 87/24 & [1] 90/7 \\
\hline 84/2 85/7 85/17 85/23 85/25 & \(2012 / 1\) & \\
\hline 87/9 87/16 90/15 90/24 91/6 & 14/18 15/3 15/23 18/17 & mistakenly [1] 101/2 \\
\hline 91/14 97/25 100/14 100/14 & 19/22 19/23 21/3 23/25 24/7 & mit [1] 108/25 \\
\hline 104/3 105/3 105/4 105/5 106/4 & 26/2 32/13 35/22 48/22 49/17 & mobility [1] 6/18 \\
\hline 108/4 108/7 & 58/9 58/11 59/13 59/14 60/3 & modifications [1] 109/2 \\
\hline love [1] 26/21 & 60/5 60/11 68/3 68/11 73/25 & money [1] 79/17 month [9] 2/9 26/18 26/19 \\
\hline lucrative [1] 100/5 & 82/19 83/17 95/2 101/6 103/5 & month [9] 2/9 26/18 \\
\hline M & mean [22] & /24 \\
\hline made [13] 2/9 & 25/20 44/8 62/20 & months [1] 9/14 \\
\hline 16/13 16/18 62/10 70/24 74/17 & 79/16 79/21 79/25 80/14 81/4 & Moore [11] 39/4 40/7 73/8 7 \\
\hline /17 106/23 114/5 115/17 & 81/7 81/9 93/12 94/24 97/9 & /5 82/5 86/7 98/17 \\
\hline mail [1] 101/2 & 97/17 101/9 107/2 1 & 2/8 113/17 \\
\hline mailings [3] \(5 / 8\) & means [2] 14/7 61 & Moore's [1] 95/5 \\
\hline aintain [1] 110/15 & measure [2] 24/3 84/19 & moot [2] 94/20 96/19 \\
\hline maintaining [1] 109/6 & measured [4] 10/9 45/3 69/16 & more [36] 10/25 10/25 15/20 \\
\hline maintenance [4] 107/22 108/3 & 84/15 & 16/19 19/16 24/16 26/15 42 \\
\hline 109/4 110/23 & measurements [1] 18/23 & 54 \\
\hline make [28] 2/14 10/25 13/10 & meet [1] 22/10 & 56/11 56/15 5 \\
\hline 15/5 16/19 26/5 26/10 27/15 & meeting [8] 2/3 2/17 3/4 3/16 & 61/10 61/1 \\
\hline 28/18 42/8 47/23 54/17 54/18 & 5 93/ & 80/14 85/7 85/8 86/2 86/10 \\
\hline 55/2 56/6 56/23 56/23 62/10 & meetings [1] 73/21 & 86/12 89/2 89/3 90/23 91/6 \\
\hline 80/16 81/2 83/3 92/21 & Melch [1] 39/13 & 92/6 112/6114 \\
\hline 1 104/9 107/6 113/12 & MEMBER [4] 1/13 1/14 1/15 & Morrison [1] 39/2 \\
\hline 115/2 & & mortgages [1] 89/19 \\
\hline makes [3] 20/6 106/5 110/24 & members [6] 6/24 13/25 31/12 & most [5] 16/24 54/12 55/2 \\
\hline making [7] 15/16 48/11 53/7 & 61/2 73/7 112/7 & 105/11 \\
\hline 53/9 55/3 80/17 89/16 & Memorandum [2] 42/13 42/1 & motion [13] 2/14 3/2 3/15 \\
\hline e [1] 100/24 & memorized [1] 67/11 & 3/10 13/20 28/18 113/10 \\
\hline [2] 34/11 3 & men [1] 101/4 & 3/12 114/5 115/8 115 \\
\hline nage [1] 101/17 & mention [2] 82/16 82/17 & 115/18 \\
\hline manipulating [1] 89/25 & mentioned [2] 62/15 65/ & move [4] 24/7 28/16 85/8 \\
\hline many [13] 18/22 56/8 78/3 83/2 & merged [1] 75/20 & 112/15 \\
\hline 87/2 89/5 90/23 100/15 100/16 & metes [2] 60/15 60/2 & moved [6] 2/18 3/5 3/19 4/9 \\
\hline 12 101/12 105/11 105 & method [1] 51/24 & 28/21 115/10 \\
\hline [15] 4/8 4/21 13/23 36/4 & Methodist [1] 100/13 & Mr [29] 15/21 18/9 40/7 41/12 \\
\hline 4 49/12 57/9 58/9 73 & middle [1] 71/19 & 42/15 58/2 61/21 66/8 77/11 \\
\hline 106/8 & might [9] 12/11 43/18 52/17 & 79/3 83/16 84/6 87/4 87/10 \\
\hline 23 & 57/23 64/24 65/11 72/17 72/2 & 88/ \\
\hline rital [1] 87/22 & 97/8 & /17 \\
\hline marriage [1] 116/13 & m & 97/3 100/8 100/16 101/11 \\
\hline & Mike [1] 77/2 & 106/24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

okay... [19] 12/19 17/10 18/24 28/17 30/15 31/11 34/16 35/19 54/23 64/21 66/21 67/23 68/3 74/10 74/24 97/16 107/14 110/21 115/16
okayed [1] 95/9
old [3] 17/4 59/6 105/25
Olinkiewicz [24] 40/7 41/13 66/8 77/11 79/4 83/16 84/6 87/4 87/10 87/17 88/2 88/10 88/16 89/11 89/24 90/2 90/17 91/20 100/8 100/17 101/11 104/25 106/24 107/5
Olinkiewicz's [2] 82/15 88/4 once [5] 77/7 82/15 89/25 96/11 106/12
one [71] 12/7 18/5 32/21 33/7
36/19 37/22 38/15 38/25 42/23 43/4 43/4 43/18 45/1150/8 50/20 50/25 51/5 52/12 56/16 57/4 57/8 57/10 60/19 61/14 63/6 63/7 64/16 64/18 64/20 64/22 65/12 71/14 72/14 72/15 74/17 75/11 75/18 75/19 77/5 83/18 86/7 87/6 87/6 87/6 87/8 87/12 87/12 87/12 87/14 87/18 87/19 87/20 88/5 89/21 92/12 95/25 97/8 97/10 97/13 97/22 99/23 99/24 100/4 100/14 104/18 105/17 105/22 106/20 111/13 114/15 114/22
one-family [4] 37/22 38/25 74/17 88/5
ones [2] 12/17 72/16 only [17] 19/6 23/25 30/24 32/16 33/6 46/8 49/16 51/16 59/3 74/4 80/20 83/16 95/13 97/8 97/10 99/25 111/13 open [25] 5/23 7/22 7/25 8/2 8/12 13/3 13/5 18/8 28/6 30/17 32/15 32/23 33/4 33/6 35/20 55/3 101/18 112/18 112/24 113/5 113/9 113/13 113/14 113/16 113/20
opened [3] 8/3 90/14 114/6 opinion [7] 52/9 52/23 52/23
53/5 113/2 113/7 113/21
opportunity [1] 112/21
oppose [1] 111/7
opposed [1] 114/14
ordinance [1] 56/11
ordnance [1] 106/5
orientation [1] 98/19
original [1] 42/12 other [38] 17/23 22/7 24/19 32/8 33/9 33/11 42/24 43/5 43/5 47/21 49/5 50/25 51/23 60/20 61/11 61/16 63/7 68/5 71/12 72/16 73/7 76/3 76/19 80/13 80/19 80/24 80/25 86/18 86/22 86/24 90/14 91/2 91/14 93/25 102/18 110/13 111/10 115/7
otherwise [2] 9/3 47/15 our [7] 17/21 27/6 86/14 86/16 89/25 91/5 109/4
out [33] 7/14 11/18 12/15
15/24 16/10 16/11 16/13 16/20 17/5 18/11 21/10 22/10 24/20 24/25 25/3 32/5 35/22 48/19 61/18 63/21 64/8 67/16 69/4 71/15 71/17 74/15 76/23 78/10 78/11 79/19 96/24 97/4 100/6
outcome [1] 116/14
outer [1] \(7 / 15\)
outline [1] \(8 / 25\)
outside [4] 6/10 6/18 7/18 36/6 over [13] \(41 / 24\) 42/2 58/12 61/19 62/11 64/14 69/9 69/11 78/3 81/12 86/12 89/21 89/21 overall [5] 14/4 22/20 23/8 33/3 109/19
overcrowdedness [1] 86/12 overcrowed [1] 88/13
overgeneralization [1] 62/8
overhead [1] 86/6
oversized [1] 81/18
overwhelming [1] 31/17
own [5] 15/19 70/12 78/19 79/10 85/16
owned [2] 61/6 77/10
owner [9] 41/15 43/17 43/25
44/5 52/20 65/2 99/17 103/16 108/13
owner's [1] 83/7
owners [1] 88/22
ownership [4] 41/14 71/8 79/11 98/4
owning [1] 98/11
owns [2] 57/5 90/22

\section*{P}
p.m [2] 1/8 3/17
page [3] 17/24 18/3 36/10
pages [3] 82/13 82/25 82/25
paper [3] 93/14 94/22 95/9
papers [1] 35/22
paperwork [3] 48/18 93/12
\(111 / 15\)
parallel [15] 45/14 47/6 48/24 49/2 49/3 55/21 69/7 69/16 69/16 69/20 80/10 80/11 81/13 81/15 99/11
parcel [18] 48/8 51/6 53/9 55/21 57/6 58/8 58/10 60/18 61/14 61/16 61/17 62/5 82/8 95/23 97/6 98/3 105/16 105/22 parcels [9] 45/21 48/10 51/8 51/21 71/11 98/2 105/11 105/24 109/14
pardon [1] 78/13
parentheses [1] 65/7
park [3] 8/10 85/9 110/25 parking [11] 71/18 86/2 86/3 89/9 108/20 108/22 109/5 109/7 109/7 109/15 109/15 part [22] 8/24 8/25 9/2 19/19 20/2 20/7 24/18 25/10 26/22 60/7 60/7 60/10 60/18 60/21 60/24 61/9 79/18 82/7 93/23 95/23 103/25 109/18
partial [2] 94/10 95/14 particular [4] 68/22 99/8
104/16 105/12
particularly [1] 101/24
parties [3] 110/22 110/24 116/12
parts [2] 33/11 61/11
party [1] 60/20
pass [2] 85/11 101/4
passage [1] 84/24
passed [3] 76/19 79/21 89/13
past [3] 71/19 88/5 97/13
Pat [3] 64/7 82/5 86/7
Patricia [1] 40/6
paycheck [1] 78/14
paying [1] 92/6
pedestrians [2] 89/2 89/4
people [19] 25/3 54/12 55/2
55/8 78/9 78/12 78/16 78/17
80/18 92/7 92/8 92/9 92/24
93/25 101/3 101/8 101/12
105/19 114/2
people's [2] 62/9 110/13
percent [8] 51/16 51/17 83/12
83/14 84/8 84/9 84/10 84/11
Perfect [1] 69/3
perfectly [1] 100/22
perhaps [1] 14/13
period [3] 41/14 62/13 113/16
permission [1] 94/22
permit [2] 35/7 65/10
perpendicular [3] 43/2 45/21

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & R & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{R-2 [4] 36/19 37/23 39/2 74/22 railroad [1] 48/3 rain [1] 7/17} &  \\
\hline  & & \\
\hline 37/24 38/6 38/14 38/20 46/1 & & \\
\hline 6 51/18 54/4 70/13 70/15 & & \\
\hline 83/11 83/25 90/13 91/2 & & \[
1 / 52 / 38
\] \\
\hline & & [1] 77/2 \\
\hline ection [1] & ratification [2] 44/8 94/19 & [ [3] 65/9 69/5 \\
\hline , & latiled [1] 42/19 & relation [1] 68/25 \\
\hline d & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ratify [1] 42/17
reacquiring [1] 66/23} & onship [1] \(8 / 23\) \\
\hline lic [36] \(4 / 174 / 235 / 35\)
\(2012 / 213 / 213 / 1125 / 1\) & & [2] 19/6 1 \\
\hline 20 12/2 13/2 13/11 25/1 & read [7] 5/8 12/6 12/9 36/9 & \\
\hline ( 31/25 34/21 35/19 35/24 & \begin{tabular}{l}
53/16 98/24 107/15 \\
reading [4] 45/23 55/23 73/4
\end{tabular} & remain [4] 46/13 50/1 \\
\hline & \[
74 / 6
\] & \\
\hline 3 43/3 73/16 86/19 113/13 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{real [2] 15/6 86/14} & remains [4] 43/7 43/13 \\
\hline 113/20 114/ & & \\
\hline 5/45/5 39/7 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{realize [2] 32/19 46/23 really [19] \(2 / 12\) 15/16 16/2 17/17 23/15 32/14 44/16 52/5} & remember [2] 75/16 7 \\
\hline published [3] 5/4 5/5 39/7 & & embers [1] 97/13 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{56/10 64/2 66/4 79/18 80/16} & [1] 108/9 \\
\hline purchased [5] 79/4 8 & &  \\
\hline & 82/4 91/16 92/22 94/5 101/9 101/10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dering [2] } 20 / 931 / 15 \\
& \text { ovated [2] } 50 / 1753 / 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
realm [1] \(92 / 19\) \\
rear [19] 18/14 37/11 37/16
\end{tabular}} & ation [2] 50/16 82/18 \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50 / 168! \\
& 1 \text { [ } 50 / 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline [1] \(112 / 1\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{38/14 38/19 44/23 46/9 48/13 50/8 53/20 53/24 55/3 55/8} &  \\
\hline /3 & & [3] \\
\hline ;/9 & 55/9 55/19 72/5 77/20 84/8 84/11 & Is [2] 44 \\
\hline 65/6 66/2 66/17 74/15 77/23 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
rear-yard [1] 53/24 \\
reason [4] 7/6 44/25 87/24
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline 77/25 80/6 80/7 81/3 97/18 & & en [8] 27/17 27/20 \\
\hline 104/25 106/4 112/3 112/21 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & 27/25 28/3 28 \\
\hline 2/23 & & reopened [1] 31/25 repetitive [1] 113/24 \\
\hline putting [3] 48/3 50/18 92/8 & reasonable [5] 53/22 54/4 109/5 110/18 110/19 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { [1] } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline puzzle [1] & nably [2] 51/19 51/20 & 1] \\
\hline Q & Rebecca [1] 60/8 & representative [1] 3 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{quality [2] 78/16 80/16 quarters [1] 63/8} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
rebut [1] 102/10 \\
recall [2] 72/11 100/21 \\
received [3] 40/14 44/15 101/2
\end{tabular}} & represented [2] 66/11 66 repurchased [3] 41/7 87 \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & received [1] 40/4/44/15 101/2
receives [1] 103/16 & r \\
\hline 69/127 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{recently [2] 56/11 90/17 recess [1] 102/16} & 32/19 35/12 83/7 90/6 101/14 \\
\hline 7 & & r \\
\hline 94/5 106/20 108/18 115/7 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{recognized [2] 42/19 43/12 record [8] 2/8 12/7 49/21 59/25} & \\
\hline tions [13] \(6 / 2510\) & & \\
\hline tions [13] 6/25 10/15 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{89/19 94/10 112/2 112/4 recorded [1] 108/4} & \\
\hline 34/14 57/23 57 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
112/6 \\
quite [3] 49/24 52/10 61/3 \\
quote [1] 96/10
\end{tabular}} & records [3] 63/2 88/4 90/5 & requirement [3] 11/7 18 \\
\hline & recovering [1] 40/9 reduction [1] 88/24 & 110/15 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{referred [1] 106/18} & 2 \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & 38/4 38/11 38/18 38/24 62/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{R}
requires... [3] 83/15 109/3
110/6
requiring [9] 37/2 37/5 37/12 37/18 37/25 38/8 38/15 38/21 70/19
rescue [1] 92/16
residential [3] 36/20 43/20 89/10
residents [2] 62/19 87/3
resolve [1] 29/15
resolved [1] 29/14
respect [3] 103/6 107/19 108/14
respectfully [3] 11/22 101/14 105/9
respective [1] 108/8
respond [3] 102/10 111/12 113/17
response [7] 10/16 12/4 35/18 42/3 42/4 67/9 73/9
responsibilities [1] 108/8 responsibility [4] 85/19 89/14 96/23 98/14
rest [4] 9/3 9/21 18/20 22/10
resume [1] 30/17
rethink [1] 20/17
return [1] 44/12
revised [4] 25/9 25/21 25/23 30/22
revision [1] 24/11
reworked [2] 32/18 32/21
rewrite [1] 25/15
ridiculous [1] 78/22
right [56] 7/9 9/5 14/14 16/15 21/11 22/23 25/17 27/25 30/2 31/6 45/15 45/25 58/16 67/4 70/8 70/25 71/5 71/11 73/12 75/2 75/14 75/17 75/21 79/14 80/20 81/14 82/7 83/19 83/23 84/13 84/17 85/6 85/12 85/18 86/11 93/6 94/6 95/7 98/2 98/3 98/18 99/13 100/3 100/12 100/19 101/22 102/23 102/23 102/24 102/25 103/4 105/15 109/6 109/11 113/25 115/17 right-hand [1] 22/23
right-of-privacy [1] 86/11 right-of-way [18] 70/25 71/5 71/11 82/7 83/19 84/13 84/17 85/6 85/12 85/18 98/2 98/3 98/18 101/22 102/23 102/25 109/6 109/11
right-of-ways [1] 83/23
road [9] 14/16 71/5 84/19 86/13 99/5 107/21 108/3 108/9 110/23
roads [2] 47/7 109/3
ROBERT [3] 1/18 34/23 78/25 roof [5] 17/19 32/17 32/17 32/20 33/25
rookie [1] 26/8
room [3] 34/2 74/2 98/10
roughly [1] 33/18
round [1] 22/18
rumor [1] 40/21
run [5] 42/15 71/16 77/7
103/12 103/15
runs [2] 77/6 104/15
S
sac [1] 45/9
safe [4] 76/18 88/25 89/8 91/24
safely [1] \(85 / 11\)
safety [1] 86/20
said [24] 24/21 41/22 42/7 45/3 48/21 48/23 50/10 50/11 54/18 56/18 66/22 68/3 72/4 79/5 80/12 90/7 90/25 91/22 93/15 103/24 107/9 107/12 107/13 111/20
SALADINO [4] 1/12 11/17 97/4 99/10
same [18] 21/23 36/10 42/21 42/21 42/22 42/22 42/22 43/7 52/11 65/7 74/21 77/11 79/7 95/5 103/21 104/2 104/3 104/3 saw [4] 5/4 26/23 39/7 97/10 Saxton [1] 72/21
say [31] 6/6 16/5 30/4 35/2 49/19 49/23 52/21 54/21 55/15 56/13 59/2 61/13 64/2 64/9 67/2 68/16 69/13 70/10 78/18 80/6 80/8 82/4 82/25 95/11 98/21 102/4 102/18 102/21 105/8 110/21 115/3
saying [19] 9/18 20/5 21/5 21/8 21/9 21/22 47/7 48/5 66/3 67/12 67/15 69/21 74/13 80/3 91/24 93/18 95/9 101/7 104/14 says [15] 14/15 25/19 35/3
45/13 52/12 69/14 70/13 70/14
70/17 80/10 80/10 81/13 95/16 99/23 107/16
scenario [2] 49/4 57/16
schedule [3] 3/15 60/15 61/18 screening [2] 110/7 110/11 search [1] 60/11
searching [1] 90/9
second [19] 2/19 3/6 3/20 4/10 13/12 22/25 28/22 33/25 34/2 39/11 39/21 45/11 53/3 59/14 81/3 93/25 104/19 114/7 114/8 Section [7] 37/8 37/14 37/20 38/3 38/10 38/17 38/23
see [27] 7/13 13/4 14/19 16/22 17/7 17/17 17/18 21/7 22/5 22/7 25/18 34/4 60/5 61/4 64/4 66/17 67/10 70/4 76/2 76/11 76/12 79/9 79/12 86/5 99/14 105/6 105/23
seeing [4] 33/12 70/23 109/13 109/13
seem [1] 18/15
seems [1] 101/5
seen [6] 73/24 74/14 91/19 92/11 106/13 106/14
self [3] 57/3 88/10 88/16 self-created [1] 88/10
self-creating [1] 88/16
sell [1] 100/2
sells [2] 35/2 60/17
sending [1] \(67 / 6\)
sense [14] 47/23 54/11 54/17
54/18 54/19 56/4 56/15 56/23
68/15 68/20 80/2 80/2 110/24 111/4
sent [1] 42/6
separate [8] 45/6 55/12 57/11 62/23 63/8 63/9 79/8 97/25
separated [1] 105/17
separately [2] 85/8 85/18 September [2] 3/16 114/16 September 18 [1] 3/16 services [2] 86/24 96/9 SESSION [2] 1/5 82/23 set [8] 17/16 35/9 42/21 46/9 82/5 97/8 110/10 116/15
setback [32] 8/18 11/11 11/19 14/24 15/3 19/8 23/19 24/10 24/12 25/22 30/13 37/5 37/10 37/11 37/16 37/25 38/5 38/7 38/12 38/14 38/19 46/6 46/21 53/21 53/24 54/4 69/6 84/6 84/7 84/8 84/10 84/11
setbacks [17] 27/9 29/12 35/4
42/22 43/6 44/17 45/2 45/3
45/22 46/13 46/20 49/24 53/19 54/3 56/16 68/6 68/8
setting [2] 77/24 105/13
seven [6] 11/14 23/10 37/6 46/8 86/5 86/8
seventy [1] 84/7
seventy-nine [1] 84/7

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline S & 97/17 & SUV [3] 7/10 7/20 8/9 \\
\hline states... [2] 88/23 89/9 stating [1] \(94 / 22\) & stuff [3] 79/17 80/13 111/4 subchapter [1] 79/7 & Swan [1] 39/18 swear [1] 72/17 \\
\hline \[
\text { Station [1] } 3 / 18
\] & subdivide [12] 36/16 52/3 & switch [1] 81 \\
\hline ationary [1] 32/13 & 56/12 63/4 75/4 75/11 80/5 & switched [1] 79/5 \\
\hline ys [1] 21/23 & & T \\
\hline stenographer [4] 6/3 12/24 & subdivided [11] 54/20 63/13 & \\
\hline 21/6 102/11 & 75/18 75/20 80/24 85/22 85 & tak \\
\hline step [11] 14/23 16/1 &  & \(71 / 2\) \\
\hline 8/21 19/23 21/10 21/16 24/24 & subdividing [2] 97/23 105/2 & 81/6 84/3 84/23 102/10 102/ \\
\hline 9/25 110/2 110/8 & subdividing [2] subdivision [35] 42/5 44/13 & 102/25 \\
\hline STEPHANIE [2] 116/6 116/20 & subdivision 50/12 55/12 56/10 & taken [2] 102/16 116/10 \\
\hline steps [37] 8/17 8/20 8/22 9/4 & 74/8 74/9 82/9 82/14 82/16 & takes [3] 26/11 71/9 75/22 \\
\hline 9/7 9/11 9/13 9/17 9/20 9/21 & 84/4 85/16 86/19 88/17 89/ & taking [5] 16/25 17/8 80/17 \\
\hline & 89/15 90/6 90/12 90/13 90/18 & 84/21 85/2 \\
\hline 退 19/11 19/15 19/18 20/2 & 91/2 91/3 91/4 94/20 95/10 & tale [1] 91/17 \\
\hline /12 20/16 20/19 21/12 21/23 & 95/24 96/4 96/5 96/11 100/11 & talk [2] 20/22 78/7 \\
\hline 22/3 24/18 25/2 25/4 25/11 & 103/24 109/19 110/16 & talking [7] 7/7 11/10 23/5 \\
\hline 8 29/24 31/2 31/9 31/18 & subdivisions [4] 41/25 47/19 & 29/19 32/16 64/18 97/24 \\
\hline ling [2] & 56/9 89/20 & TASKER [2] 1/16 42/15 \\
\hline Sterling [2] 2/10 4/7 stick [1] 114/25 & subject [1] & tax [7] 4/7 4/21 13/23 36/4 \\
\hline still [5] 42/9 54/24 64/7 77/22 & submission [1] 59/2 & 97 \\
\hline & submissions [1] 28/7 & \\
\hline Stilly [6] 61/6 61/7 61/10 61/22 & submit [1] 106/8 & al [4] \\
\hline 5 65/5 & submitted [6] 22/14 67/19 &  \\
\hline y [1] & submitting [1] & telephone [2] 84/16 84/20 \\
\hline stone [1] 89/2 stop [1] 34/25 & substandard [4] 85/25 90/15 & tell [10] 8/21 44/19 56/5 64 \\
\hline & 90/24 91/6 & 72/22 72/25 91/17 92/10 \\
\hline ht [2] & substantial [3] 53/13 53/1 & \\
\hline gers [1] & & \\
\hline eet [58] 1/6 3/17 4/19 5/13 &  & \[
4 / 2485 / 12
\] \\
\hline 5 5/17 5/22 6/5 10/22 13/22 & subtract [1] & ten-foot [1] 85/12 \\
\hline / & SUFFOLK [8] \(1 / 24 / 7\) 4/21 & Teresa [1] 5/9 \\
\hline 36/17 39/11 39/12 39/14 39/1 & 13/23 36/4 36/14 89/15 116 & term [2] 16/15 114/24 \\
\hline 39/16 39/21 39/22 39/25 45/4 & suggest [1] 26/12 & terms [2] 7/2 18/19 \\
\hline 45/15 45/17 45/22 47/12 48/25 & suggested [2] 18/9 59/12 & testimony [6] 26/15 27/2 \\
\hline 69/6 69/8 69/17 69/20 & suggesting [2] 34/9 48/5 & 8/15 31/8 82/15 1 \\
\hline 71/9 73/18 77/3 80/11 80/11 & suit [1] 11/2 & than [17] 19/2 \\
\hline 81/14 81/15 93/4 95/20 98/18 & suite [1] 99/8 & /25 47/21 48/10 48/15 55 \\
\hline 98/22 98/23 98/25 99/7 99/12 & supplied [1] 107/5 & 55/9 56/2 68/17 80/15 91/6 \\
\hline 99/12 99/12 100/11 & support [1] 99/3 & 99/20 105/3 114/3 \\
\hline ength [1] 32/22 & supposed [6] 40/9 54/17 75 & Thank [26] 6/22 10/17 11/2 \\
\hline stress [1] 40/10 & &  \\
\hline strip [1] 71/10 & sure [11] 16/4 40/5 & \\
\hline strongly [1] 90/25 &  & 81/20 91/8 91 \\
\hline structure [5] 19/19 31/20 & & 102/8 103/3 114/20 \\
\hline 32/16 32/21 65/16 &  & 1] \\
\hline structures [3] 27/13 65/19 &  & that [394] \\
\hline 75/19 & & That' [1] 77/17 \\
\hline stuck [4] 57/8 97/14 97/15 & surveys [1] 79/17 & that's [64] 6/15 6/21 8/12 8/18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

T
that's... [60] 11/6 16/2 16/25 17/21 18/12 18/24 19/21 20/8 20/9 20/13 22/17 23/19 25/6 30/8 35/13 46/14 46/15 49/15 53/14 54/3 54/5 54/5 54/18 56/23 56/25 57/17 64/14 67/14 69/3 69/9 69/10 69/20 70/17 73/6 74/2 74/13 74/24 75/13 76/13 76/18 77/18 77/23 77/25 78/17 79/12 80/8 82/18 82/19 84/22 86/8 92/11 94/16 97/23 98/2 98/3 102/25 104/14 104/18 109/14 112/5
their [13] 10/24 11/2 15/19 17/6 23/24 54/25 55/2 85/13 95/18 101/20 105/24 109/17 113/21
them [17] 12/6 12/6 35/2 40/17 73/14 74/6 76/6 76/9 78/16 81/10 88/9 88/19 90/17 92/9 92/24 112/21 113/18
then [34] 9/21 14/25 21/12 22/10 29/24 32/22 40/17 41/6 52/2 52/13 60/16 60/23 61/3 61/7 61/15 64/25 66/25 67/8 71/10 75/24 76/2 78/10 79/5 79/23 79/25 82/19 94/18 94/25 98/21 103/8 103/11 111/20 111/22 113/17
there [90] 7/5 7/12 8/10 8/20 9/3 10/19 11/9 12/2 12/7 14/6 17/5 20/19 21/3 21/6 21/16 21/16 22/3 22/6 22/15 29/5 31/23 34/20 41/9 45/25 50/10 50/13 50/14 50/15 50/20 52/6 52/14 52/20 52/22 52/23 53/18 54/5 54/6 56/11 56/13 59/2 59/17 59/21 63/18 64/15 65/15 65/18 67/6 73/15 73/22 74/11 74/16 75/21 76/10 76/12 76/21 76/23 80/21 80/22 82/17 84/5 84/22 85/4 86/2 86/3 86/5 86/8 86/10 87/25 89/7 90/7 91/16 92/14 92/16 93/6 96/6 100/10 100/18 100/23 103/22 104/7 104/17 104/19 107/9 108/21 108/24 109/17 109/19 110/13 111/11 111/14
there's [13] 17/7 20/19 44/4 49/18 60/25 78/6 78/9 79/16 80/20 85/10 94/23 99/15 105/16
thereafter [3] 40/25 41/5 41/16
therefore [1] 46/18
these [42] 6/13 12/9 15/11 16/24 20/16 22/21 35/22 46/3 48/6 48/9 49/24 50/9 51/18 52/22 59/8 62/7 62/10 73/21 73/23 77/23 81/4 82/25 83/14 83/22 83/24 84/25 85/25 87/2 88/10 88/13 89/6 100/6 100/23 100/24 104/11 104/13 104/21 105/18 106/3 106/4 106/7 106/25
they [62] \(2 / 11\) 7/10 12/11 12/13 14/21 14/21 16/7 16/13 21/13 24/19 25/9 25/11 31/23 31/23 35/6 41/10 42/7 42/25 43/2 43/12 45/2 49/9 49/12 49/13 49/13 49/14 49/23 50/15 50/16 50/17 53/18 58/17 58/22 65/6 65/12 71/4 72/24 74/6 74/7 75/2 75/4 76/7 76/8 77/7 78/11 78/14 80/24 81/14 82/13 85/9 102/2 103/18 103/18 103/19 103/21 104/2 105/25 108/6 108/17 108/18 111/17 112/20 they'll [2] 7/18 73/13 they're [20] 9/5 12/20 18/18 49/17 49/23 51/19 59/6 75/3 75/20 78/10 78/11 81/11 81/17 93/17 101/6 101/9 101/10 109/16 110/19 110/19
thing [7] 17/23 68/5 74/4 94/12
95/13 99/25 102/19
things [19] 4/24 16/7 16/7 22/7 27/12 56/17 64/4 71/14 72/2 80/13 80/17 81/24 82/11 93/22 94/11 106/7 107/23 108/10 112/3
think [53] 6/7 6/11 11/5 11/6 11/15 15/7 15/20 16/25 17/15 17/16 17/22 18/2 18/8 18/19 19/2 19/3 23/14 24/15 24/16 25/8 26/13 27/19 28/4 28/10 33/13 48/17 52/16 53/5 59/4 62/6 65/2 65/25 66/20 70/17 71/14 91/16 92/5 97/8 105/15 106/10 106/11 107/8 109/12 110/13 110/22 111/4 111/17 111/23 111/24 112/22 112/23 113/8 113/25
thinking [5] 35/9 57/15 65/20 92/19 113/19
third [16] 1/6 3/17 36/2 36/12 36/13 36/17 39/13 39/15 39/16 39/25 71/9 73/18 90/20 91/21 99/12 107/19
thirty [10] 9/15 19/9 37/10
37/16 38/19 53/23 55/18 55/19 78/20 83/12
thirty-eight [1] 83/12
thirty-five [1] 55/19
thirty-foot [1] 53/23
this [163]
those [23] 2/8 12/15 12/16 15/5 15/13 29/11 53/14 53/16 53/16 54/24 60/13 63/12 64/3 67/25 72/2 83/5 86/4 86/8
87/23 92/12 101/24 107/22 110/11
though [9] 10/6 11/5 15/10 19/23 20/7 48/25 71/7 81/10 109/12
thought [10] 27/2 31/3 31/7 57/14 72/18 75/5 75/8 106/17 111/13 115/13
thoughts [1] 28/4
three [27] 8/19 19/7 19/9 20/14 23/10 24/2 29/23 30/6 37/5 37/6 37/25 38/15 46/7 46/13 46/17 51/5 54/2 65/18 76/22 84/18 88/8 98/2 99/18 99/18 100/14 108/23 108/24
three-and-half [1] 24/2
threw [2] 58/15 58/17
through [9] 44/9 75/16 75/23
78/3 87/22 87/23 89/14 89/18 96/24
throughout [1] 105/23
thrown [1] 75/24
thus [2] 85/24 89/2
tie [1] 25/22
time [33] 9/13 26/8 34/15 34/19 40/25 41/19 41/21 43/8 50/16 57/8 57/15 58/21 59/23 61/23 62/13 76/8 78/3 79/16 79/21 87/10 87/17 89/13 91/22 92/2 95/18 96/25 97/12 102/17 103/15 104/25 106/18 113/16 115/25
timeline [1] 103/11
times [2] 44/16 104/7
tiny [1] 76/13
title [7] 41/2 59/22 60/3 60/11 87/7 87/13 87/15
titles [2] 71/7 93/10
today [10] 43/22 50/17 51/5 52/5 54/2 57/9 84/14 84/15 104/2 104/4
today's [1] 83/6
together [8] 26/24 57/8 61/11 61/12 61/16 66/2 105/2 106/4

\section*{T}
told [3] 26/8 91/14 91/15
tonight [4] 26/6 91/5 91/14 94/15
too [3] 80/22 89/13 101/16
took [6] 6/12 46/4 72/7 72/13 104/25 106/24
top [4] 79/25 80/18 81/3 82/24
total [2] 83/15 83/17
totally [2] 79/8 82/5
Town [1] 62/25
traffic [4] 85/6 86/22 88/24 89/3
transaction [1] 87/15
transactions [1] 62/7
transcript [4] 66/19 107/15 107/16 116/9
transfers [2] 41/10 41/11
translation [1] 28/13
tried [1] 47/21
trip [1] 31/22
trouble [1] 98/15
troubled [1] 15/9
truck [1] 85/11
true [4] 20/8 68/4 75/13 116/9
truly [2] 62/18 108/21
trusted [1] 10/2
try [4] 24/24 63/21 88/3 100/2
trying [10] 25/7 54/22 69/4 75/6 76/23 79/24 90/15 98/12 100/2 105/19
tucked [1] 92/12
Tuesday [1] 3/16
turn [1] 68/16
turns [1] 89/8
twenty [23] 8/19 11/14 19/7
19/9 20/14 23/10 23/10 29/23
30/6 37/6 38/7 38/12 38/16
46/7 46/17 46/18 46/21 46/21
75/22 76/4 76/10 94/13 99/2
twenty-feet [1] 99/2
twenty-five [4] 38/12 46/18 46/21 46/21
twenty-four [1] 38/7
twenty-seven [2] 11/14 23/10 twenty-six [1] 38/16
twenty-three [9] 8/19 19/7 19/9 20/14 23/10 29/23 30/6 37/6 46/7
twenty-two [1] 46/17
two [60] 11/11 14/10 26/5 26/9 29/5 32/16 32/22 36/19 40/17 42/23 43/2 44/20 44/24 44/25 45/6 46/10 46/17 51/2 55/12

57/7 57/10 57/11 63/7 63/12 64/19 65/24 69/18 71/12 74/19 75/3 75/5 76/7 80/21 81/2 83/18 83/22 85/24 87/9 87/16 88/6 88/7 92/12 96/12 97/20 97/24 98/11 99/23 99/24 100/3 100/18 100/23 100/24 101/5 103/25 104/11 104/13 108/4 108/7 108/7 109/14
two-family [10] 36/19 42/23 51/2 64/19 65/24 74/19 81/2 85/24 88/6 88/7
tying [1] 35/13
type [3] 52/22 92/16 97/7
typical [2] 22/24 48/12
typically [1] 110/16
typo [1] 70/18
U
Ulendal [1] 15/21
ultimately [1] 42/4
Um [1] 12/22
Um-hum [1] 12/22
unclear [1] 111/13
under [4] 17/6 81/16 96/11
103/6
underneath [1] 22/25
undersized [1] 77/23
understand [9] 9/9 9/23 10/23
20/4 26/3 48/22 93/11 95/7 111/25
understanding [4] 19/18 62/14 79/3 111/14
undesirable [1] 51/12
undesired [1] 50/4
undocumented [1] 101/8
unfavorable [1] 99/25
unique [3] 47/18 105/14 105/22
units [1] 99/18
unless [3] 17/20 94/20 112/5
unlikely [2] 43/17 101/6
unnecessary [1] 88/11
unreasonable [1] 112/25
unsafe [2] 89/3 89/4
until [8] 27/6 56/11 64/23
73/11 81/14 111/21 112/18 113/13
unturned [1] 89/23
unusual [1] 105/24
up [19] 17/4 21/14 43/25 49/24
58/13 58/15 58/17 64/23 71/3 76/12 79/14 79/16 79/19 92/8 95/18 97/8 100/7 101/4 110/10 urging [1] 15/17
us [13] 8/21 26/11 26/20 55/15 59/24 66/5 68/20 77/17 78/8 94/6 94/14 97/5 111/22 use [8] 54/7 54/10 54/10 54/10 62/16 68/15 85/13 88/7 used [2] 63/12 108/15 uses [2] 88/19 90/16 using [1] 102/5
usually [3] 7/5 9/20 93/14 utilities [2] 32/10 86/24

\section*{V}
vacant [1] 54/23
valid [1] 44/11
values [1] 86/15
variance [62] 9/10 9/13 11/8
11/19 13/20 17/17 24/23 25/10
29/13 29/24 30/5 30/9 30/11
35/25 37/3 37/6 37/13 37/19
38/2 38/8 38/16 38/22 40/18
40/24 41/20 42/19 43/8 44/10
44/17 46/7 46/12 46/19 47/3
50/3 51/9 52/11 53/13 57/13
57/16 67/13 67/17 70/19 70/22
74/12 77/5 77/6 81/6 81/10
83/12 83/14 84/8 84/9 84/10
88/11 92/3 93/13 93/18 95/22
101/15 103/24 104/8 104/15
variances [34] 4/18 40/15 41/4
42/7 42/9 42/14 44/14 46/4
47/5 47/11 48/6 49/10 49/11
51/11 51/25 52/5 53/17 54/2
56/25 73/23 74/7 82/4 82/6
83/8 84/12 93/5 93/13 94/8
94/16 96/2 104/9 104/12
104/23 110/18
various [1] 62/11
Vector [2] 2/13 4/6
vegetation [1] 110/14
vehicle [2] 7/24 86/8
vehicles [4] 86/5 86/9 89/2 89/4
very [24] 6/17 7/11 15/4 17/18 18/12 22/24 32/12 42/16 47/4
53/7 53/22 54/3 56/12 57/4
57/5 59/15 60/16 62/8 72/19
83/25 84/25 104/25 107/3 108/11
Victorian [2] 15/13 15/25
views [1] 86/22
VILLAGE [55] 1/2 2/3 11/7
36/21 37/9 37/15 37/21 38/4 38/11 38/18 38/24 39/14 44/2 44/6 52/17 52/20 53/4 53/11 56/9 56/13 58/24 61/8 61/9
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline V & 63/18 66/17 68/17 68/17 70/25 & \[
48 / 1248 / 2253 / 1656
\] \\
\hline 15 & 71/5 71/11 79/12 81/16 82/7 & 57 \\
\hline & 83/19 84/13 84/17 84/17 85/6 & 64/10 65/13 66/2 66/4 67/3 \\
\hline 75/8 76/5 76/9 77/15 80/14 & 85/12 85/14 85/18 88/2 93/9 & 68/25 69/4 70/23 71/7 74/13 \\
\hline 80/14 83/9 86/25 89/25 90/4 & 98/2 98/3 98/18 101/22 102/23 & 74/18 76/20 77/19 78/4 79/3 \\
\hline 90/9 90/15 90/22 91/3 91/15 & 102/25 103/19 105/16 109/6 & 79/9 80/9 80/23 85/10 85/17 \\
\hline 93/16 93/24 95/8 95/12 96/20 & 109 & 87/23 89/11 89/22 91/6 92/22 \\
\hline 103/6 103/17 105/7 105/12 & ways [1] 83/23 & 93/8 94/4 94/9 94/10 94/16 \\
\hline 105/23 & & 96/24 97/23 97/24 98/16 98/23 \\
\hline Village's [3] 62/25 94/12 95/6 & & 99/14 101/8 103/10 104/4 \\
\hline violation [2] 68/10 80/22 & we'll [5] 4/24 12/20 & 104/23 105/23 107/25 108/8 \\
\hline vote [9] 2/25 3/11 4/2 4/16 & 35/20 115/3 & 108/15 108/23 109/12 110/6 \\
\hline 13/18 27/25 29/4 113/3 114/13 & we're [32] & 111/17 112 \\
\hline W & 26/13 28/8 29/19 30/16 32/16 & 44/23 77/17 78/19 \\
\hline wa & 9 39/3 50/11 77/14 91/20 & 98/20 \\
\hline & 94/3 94/3 94/4 94/16 97/14 & whatever [8] 25/9 40/19 79/15 \\
\hline waits [1] 91/25 & 97/14 97/17 97/24 98/7 102/1 & 92/21 103/14 107/16 109/2 \\
\hline Wall [1] 39/12 & 113/13 113/20 115/8 115/24 & 109/20 \\
\hline want [20] 6/6 21/3 25/18 26/3 & weave [1] & when [60] 6/13 7/14 8/5 8/11 \\
\hline 29/16 30/22 31/6 49/5 49/20 & week [1] 33/10 & 16/9 16/17 31/15 32/19 41/16 \\
\hline 60/4 60/6 61/12 71/2 & weigh [1] 85/15 & 44/15 44/19 44/20 45/19 45/21 \\
\hline 75/4 95/2 100/25 112/21 & welfare [1] 86/20 & 46/15 47/7 47/13 48/7 48/20 \\
\hline 113/15 115/4 & well [29] 14/3 15/18 16/6 16/1 & 49/13 50/2 50/10 52/12 52/14 \\
\hline wanted [4] 75/ & 26/2 29/21 31/2 31/24 & 55/10 55/22 56/15 57/14 57/17 \\
\hline 106/16 106/18 & 45/4 48/23 50/10 51/25 54/16 & 58/9 61/24 63/12 64/24 67/14 \\
\hline wanting [1] 10 & 54/24 55/25 58/17 61/4 63/6 & 67/19 68/14 69/10 70/9 70/18 \\
\hline wants [3] 80/5 98/17 100/3 & 68/16 70/11 83/5 87/2 87/18 & 70/21 71/15 71/20 75/10 81/8 \\
\hline was [104] 5/3 5/4 9/18 16/9 & 89/18 89/22 95/11 105/21 & 83/18 85/7 85/10 85/13 85/17 \\
\hline 16/17 19/2 19/4 19/17 26/8 & 110/12 & 89/5 90/12 90/15 91/18 93/5 \\
\hline 27/2 30/11 35/13 39/6 40/18 & went [5] 66/25 75/15 94/10 & 97/9 99/25 104/8 105/8 108/4 \\
\hline 40/20 40/23 41/2 41/15 41/19 & 104/12 107/13 & 08/6 \\
\hline 42/4 42/19 42/20 43/9 50/20 & were [50] 2/10 2/11 9/4 12/11 & where [35] 9/6 9/7 9/20 10/7 \\
\hline 54/23 56/16 58/18 58/20 58/24 & 12/13 19/19 21/12 25/11 31/23 & 16/9 21/24 22/3 41/15 43/18 \\
\hline 59/2 59/15 59/21 60/8 60/10 & 32/19 42/9 42/16 42/16 43/2 & 43/22 46/4 46/14 46/15 47/18 \\
\hline 61/6 61/19 61/23 62/11 62/16 & 45/2 45/2 45/23 49/11 49/11 & 49/23 53/14 60/6 62/14 65/22 \\
\hline 63/15 64/7 64/20 64/22 64/23 & 51/25 52/4 53/18 54/22 57/21 & 67/13 67/24 68/22 68/22 74/14 \\
\hline 65/2 65/15 65/20 66/11 66/18 & 58/22 62/10 63/13 65/8 65/18 & 80/4 91/22 93/11 93/17 99/15 \\
\hline 66/20 67/3 67/3 67/19 69/11 & 65/23 67/7 67/25 71/15 72/19 & 100/2 100/12 100/12 109/9 \\
\hline 71/4 72/6 74/5 74/7 74/11 & 78/5 80/3 81/5 82/9 82/10 & 109/15 109/16 \\
\hline 74/15 74/25 75/6 75/9 79/21 & 82/12 82/13 82/22 82/25 87/23 & WHEREOF [1] 116/15 \\
\hline 80/15 82/17 87/9 87/16 87/17 & 90/5 94/11 96/25 104/2 104/19 & Whereupon [1] 102/16 \\
\hline 87/18 87/21 87/21 87/23 87/24 & 105/19 & whether [14] 23/9 42/9 50/4 \\
\hline 89/12 91/14 93/14 93/23 95/18 & weren't [1] 94 & 50/21 51/11 51/12 51/22 52/7 \\
\hline 95/23 95/25 96/5 96/6 97/12 & west [1] 14/6 & 53/2 53/13 68/14 69/17 103/13 \\
\hline 98/8 100/7 100/10 100/13 & wet [1] 17/7 & 110/21 \\
\hline 102/16 103/9 103/22 107/9 & what [104] 6/6 11/14 12/25 & which [24] 11/20 21/24 22/25 \\
\hline 109/12 109/13 111/13 111/13 & 17/18 18/22 19/6 20/4 21/8 & 24/25 27/24 33/20 34/2 37/2 \\
\hline 111/14 111/15 111/15 111/16 & 21/9 21/22 23/4 24/6 24/17 & 40/16 46/11 49/9 56/14 57/8 \\
\hline 111/18 111/23 114/16 115/11 & 24/17 25/6 25/18 25/21 25/24 & 61/14 61/15 61/16 64/16 65/13 \\
\hline wasn't [3] 74/24 94/2 94/14 & 26/12 26/12 30/4 32/4 33/9 & 68/17 72/6 83/11 83/13 83/2 \\
\hline way [45] 6/13 15/15 21/13 & 33/13 34/9 34/25 35/13 36/6 & 104/15 \\
\hline 35/23 45/2 47/3 47/5 47/21 & 41/18 42/2 42/16 42/17 43/1 & while [4] 43/13 43/16 48/6 \\
\hline 49/16 50/18 54/19 56/5 58/6 &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 93 / 23 \\
& \text { white [1] } 16 / 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

W
who [14] 6/12 40/13 43/23 61/22 77/10 80/5 91/23 92/8 92/22 98/12 98/13 100/3 101/24 106/23
whole [3] 49/19 63/9 81/11 whose [2] 85/19 98/14 why [10] \(8 / 1244 / 1952 / 5\) 54/18 74/24 75/22 77/23 77/25 90/5 104/14
wide [10] 7/5 7/12 7/23 14/22 31/16 32/23 84/14 84/21 85/12 99/2
widow [1] 14/7
width [12] 7/7 14/4 19/4 29/6 32/7 33/3 33/17 33/19 33/19 33/24 47/24 56/6
wife [2] \(41 / 561 / 23\)
wild [1] 99/15
wildly [6] 97/6 97/21 97/22
104/21 104/22 105/8
will [43] 6/14 6/16 6/16 7/15 7/17 15/7 15/11 15/24 16/11
22/3 30/18 34/5 34/14 43/24 44/12 44/19 50/4 50/6 50/22 50/24 51/9 51/12 57/22 85/6 85/9 85/19 85/23 86/5 86/10 86/15 88/3 88/13 90/14 90/20 98/5 100/8 104/8 105/23 107/15 107/23 108/25 113/2 113/23
William [4] 5/10 5/18 61/6 61/7
willing [3] 26/13 26/17 108/14
willingly [1] 87/11
wind [1] 71/3
window [2] \(22 / 2368 / 23\)
wishes [1] 12/8
withdraw [1] 113/10
withdrawing [1] 82/21
withdrawn [2] 90/2 111/16
withdrew [1] 82/20
within [7] 24/12 44/5 55/16
84/18 90/4 116/7 116/8
without [5] 8/2 14/25 29/14 29/15 104/11
WITNESS [1] 116/15
won't [1] 113/24
wonderful [4] 15/7 15/8 15/10 15/13
wondering [1] 19/25
wood [2] 14/22 16/13
word [1] 63/5
words [5] 24/19 29/12 42/15 42/16 68/2
work [4] 22/18 26/23 78/17 82/23
worked [1] 26/24
working [1] 93/8
world [1] 102/3
worry [1] 87/25
worth [1] 29/24
would [110] 6/19 9/2 9/4 9/6
9/7 9/12 10/8 10/20 11/5 11/14 11/22 12/3 14/17 14/19 15/20 17/4 17/5 17/22 19/13 19/20 21/16 21/25 24/3 24/6 24/18 24/22 25/15 26/12 26/14 26/17 26/21 27/15 28/4 28/11 29/13 29/18 30/14 31/4 31/7 31/9 31/10 31/21 31/22 34/21 34/25 35/10 35/11 40/16 43/17 44/8
45/4 46/5 46/8 46/8 46/10 47/4
47/14 47/23 48/3 48/12 49/19
50/18 50/21 51/3 51/16 51/17
52/2 52/8 52/21 53/7 53/10
53/21 53/22 54/21 54/21 54/23
55/5 55/7 55/7 55/13 55/17
55/25 56/3 56/4 57/9 58/19
62/2 62/13 64/3 68/11 69/12
73/16 81/8 85/25 91/4 96/18
97/23 98/21 99/9 101/13 102/2 105/9 105/17 105/21 109/19 109/21 110/7 111/5 111/7 112/17
would take [1] 31/4
wouldn't [13] 8/7 21/14 21/25 23/23 27/15 27/17 31/19 34/7 48/2 56/18 77/9 79/9 81/7
wraparound [1] 6/11
write [1] 25/14
writing [4] 51/14 57/21 73/22 74/14
written [3] 28/6 68/10 76/7
wrong [5] 40/11 78/6 79/11
91/16 95/17
wrote [1] 32/12
Y
yard [51] 11/18 14/24 19/8
23/19 27/8 29/12 30/4 37/4
37/11 37/24 38/6 38/14 44/22
44/23 44/23 46/2 46/6 46/9
46/9 46/13 46/25 48/13 48/13
48/13 53/21 53/23 53/24 54/12
54/13 55/4 55/7 55/8 55/9 55/9
55/18 55/19 68/6 68/8 69/15
69/20 77/20 77/20 80/9 84/7
84/8 84/10 84/11 98/20 98/20 102/6 110/13
yards [9] 37/10 37/16 38/5 38/13 38/19 46/16 53/25 55/4 55/10
Ye [1] 92/21
yeah [5] 58/22 65/4 65/5 67/5 105/21
year [3] 42/3 67/3 78/4
years [9] 52/18 61/20 73/3
75/22 76/5 76/10 94/13 97/2 100/16
yellow [1] 105/4
yes [17] \(5 / 258 / 15\) 28/11 33/3 49/9 50/24 52/12 58/24 58/25
59/16 59/17 62/17 66/16 66/24 67/12 102/13 106/22
YORK [28] \(1 / 2\) 1/7 3/18 4/20 5/10 5/12 5/14 5/16 5/17 5/19 5/21 5/22 13/22 36/3 36/18 39/11 39/12 39/12 39/14 39/16 39/18 39/21 39/23 39/24 40/3 96/8 116/3 116/8

\section*{you [279]}
you'd [1] 101/4
you're[32] 7/3 7/7 11/13 20/5
20/25 21/5 21/5 21/9 21/22
27/5 27/21 30/24 33/21 47/10
48/11 48/22 52/13 55/11 55/22
56/15 62/6 64/18 65/19 67/15
68/14 69/11 77/21 77/24 81/11
85/2 92/15 104/4
you've [1] 22/17
young [1] 104/20
your [39] 9/17 9/24 10/8 20/23
22/17 26/18 27/7 30/18 32/19
34/13 35/4 42/15 52/23 54/7
54/9 54/11 54/12 55/3 55/4 55/5 55/13 55/15 56/19 56/19 56/24 67/25 69/7 69/23 70/9 71/19 79/10 91/13 100/25 104/7 104/21 106/6 106/16 110/17 111/10

\section*{Z}

ZBA [3] 35/12 42/19 95/14 zero [1] 38/8
zoning [17] 1/4 2/4 2/7 2/16 3/3 3/15 36/12 42/6 53/19 58/23 59/2 74/5 79/20 97/10 111/6 111/22 115/7

\title{
Nortf Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.
}
(Formerly the Greenport housing alliance)
116 SOUTH StREET
GREENPORT, NEW YORK 11944

July 13, 2018
Village Zoning Board of Appeals
Village of Greenport
236 Third Street
Greenport, NY 11944

Re: 416 South Street Addition
Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

The North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc. owns the property (412 South Street) next door to Ms. Laura DiCapua. Ms. DiCapua discussed the fact that she wanted to add a carport that would come close to the property line. We do not have any objections to Ms. DiCapua adding a carport.


To The Greenport Zoning Board:

I am in favor of Laura Di Capua's carport and front porch addition. An improvement to any house increases the value of all the homes in the area.

\section*{Bill Kranker}
\(2485^{\text {th }}\) Avenue
Greenport, NY


June 15, 2018

\section*{Dear ZBA Members,}

I, Joseph Hollid, live directly across the street from the Di Capua residence. I have seen the transformation of Laura's house from the beginning. The original place was a dump when she bought it. She had the house renovated down to the studs, then replaced and rebuilt everything inside and out.

I am sure that she will make the additions look as beautiful as the house. I look forward to seeing it across the way.


\section*{To Whom This May Concern:}

I think the porch and carport is a good idea and will help the owner out. Laura has problems with her back and walking. She needs to pave her driveway because she parks her car in the driveway and uses her side door all the time.

She did a great job renovating her house. It was in really bad shape and she brought it back to life. I'm sure she will make this project look good too.
```

