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Proceedings 9-29-16
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Hello. We're going to begin the meeting.
This is the Village of Greenport Planning Board work session for September 29, 2016.
Item Number 1, Stirling Square; 300-308 Main Street.
Continued discussion on the application for site plan review. An amendment to the previous site plan approved on November 4,2015 is required.
The applicant, Robert I. Brown, Architect is representing Stirling Square LLC, Brent Pelton.
The applicant has proposed to remodel four existing apartment units into five inn units, and one handicap accessible unit on the ground floor for a total of six additional inn units bringing the total of inn units for American Beech Inn to 11 inn units.
The proposal includes a renovation of Suite 308C, a ground floor space Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
```
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\text { into a lobby for the inn incorporating }
\end{gathered}
$$ a new glass facade with interior and new exterior seating and a water feature in the courtyard.

The proposal includes additional bluestone hardscape for easier handicap accessibility and several ramps providing accessibility to each of the commercial units.

The property is located in the Historic District.

Additional plans were received on 8/29/2016 amending the application to include a retractable awning over the American Beech cedar pergola outdoor dining area.

The Historic Preservation

Commission has approved the proposal
for a retractable awning at the
September meeting.
The Historic Preservation

Commission has also reviewed the
kitchen exhaust vents for the American
Beech Restaurant and has requested the Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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applicant provide an alternative to the current configuration. The new proposed configuration is to be reviewed at the October 3, 2016 meeting.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-7-29.1.

Would you like to speak?
MR. BROWN: Rob Brown, architect.
Good evening again.
As you mentioned, we have gotten approval for awning. I believe we have responded positively to everything that has been asked with the exception, of course, of the ductwork on the Carpenter Street side of the building. We have discussed it with Historic Preservation, and we are on the agenda for October 16th for Historic

Preservation. We are currently in the process of arranging a meeting with the contractor in order to come up with a new design that would be acceptable to the Historic Preservation. Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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We believe that -- Mr. Pelton had a conversation with the contractor, and we believe there are ways of mitigating the issue to the satisfaction of Historic Board.

So I guess we're just looking to see if there is anything else that we can do to expedite, and hopefully I'm not sure if -- your next meeting is next week, I presume. We're wondering and hoping if it would be possible at that point to get a conditional approval based on everything that we have done, conditional on Historic Preservation accepting our proposal for the redoing of the ductwork.

Is there anything you wanted to say?

MR. PELTON: No. I think that's exactly it.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Does anyone have any questions or comments?

MR. BURNS: No.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We have
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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discussed this at length. The plan is
scaled back from what was originally
discussed with the event space, the
lobby area.
    It is going to just be a lobby and
gift shop, correct --
    MR. PELTON: Correct.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: -- as we
discussed at the last meeting.
    The retractable awnings are fully
retractable now, correct?
    MR. BROWN: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: That was the
issue, it was considered a coverage
issue.
    I think the only thing that we
were waiting on the last time we spoke
was Historic Preservation and the
ductwork and bringing that, addressing
that. That is currently my only
concern.
    Does anyone else have any thoughts
or concerns?
MR. BURNS: I thought that's where
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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we were.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Exactly. As far as a conditional approval, it would -- I don't really have an issue with that if it's address -here's the thing, I don't know what they're going to say, so I don't want to speak for them; and I don't want to say go forward with anything or don't without allowing them to do their job, but at the same time -- what would you gain by a conditional approval as opposed to waiting for --

MR. BROWN: First let me say that in my conversation with the Historic Preservation Commission at their last meeting, they did discuss some options, some things that they would find acceptable which I am intending to relay to the contractor, so I feel very confident that's a resolvable issue, and Historic Preservation appeared to me, for what it's worth, to seem as if they were looking to be accommodating Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
as well.

Along those lines, I have some pictures of what it used to look like.

So but aside from that, what a conditional approval would do would give us the go ahead to start preparing architectural drawings for submission to the Building Department and ordering materials with the confidence that we will be able to install them.

MR. PELTON: I have to spend of money -- I have to spend money, and I want to make sure that it's going to be approved before doing so, and one month of further waiting is just, we have people who, contractors, local contractors who are counting on the work over the winter, and I want to make sure that we get them the work.

Our engineer who is going to be working with us on the fire sprinkler system is here, and we need to make sure that we have that figured out, but that all costs money and I just want to Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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make sure that we're gonna have
approvals in place before I spend the
money to actually make that happen.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I believe the
reason that was brought into this
application, the exhaust systems that
were, at this point pre-existing
because they were part of -- they were
not recently installed, they're not
something that's about to be installed.
The reason that they were included in
this discussion is, I believe the, sort
of an impetus to correct the issue
before anything else would be going
forward.
    I think recognition of that and
possibly including that in the wording
of any approval, final or otherwise,
would have to take that into
consideration and be part of that; and
it would be if it was not done to the
satisfaction of the Historic
Preservation Commission then the
entirety of the plan would -- you know,
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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if that sort of the reason for the back and forth. The entire plan is dependent on that being rectified.

MR. BROWN: That's understood, and what we're saying is we are in good faith working on rectifying that situation and obviously, if there was a conditional approval conditional upon satisfaction by HPC, then obviously we're not going to be able to go forward until that has been resolved.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: I'm sorry.
One thing you might want to consider is that the permit would be issued in total for the awning and the changes to the ductwork and the interior work as well, it's single permit; so until the ductwork is resolved, the building permit wouldn't be issued.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
That is important to --
MR. BROWN: But it would give us Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

$\sqrt{3}$Proceedings 9-29-16 the opportunity to start the preparation work for the application.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Does anyone have any more thoughts or comments or questions on the idea of a conditional approval?

MR. BURNS: Makes sense to me.

MR. JAUQUET: Can't they go ahead with the thing that don't involve --

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes. And then --

MR. JAUQUET: -- because it seems to me that it would be okay to do that.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I don't really have an issue with that, just making it truly conditional upon HPC's approval and all that needing to be rectified before the building permit was issued.

I think that's -- I don't personally have an issue with that.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm sorry to come late, I apologize.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We were just
discussing the possibility, the
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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applicant is requesting, the Square
requested at our next meeting that we
perhaps have a vote and give
conditional approval giving final
approval by HPC for the ductwork.
    We don't seem to have an issue
with use, the new proposed inns, we
discussed the lobby and pared-down use
of that space.
    Do you have an opinion on this,
whether or not that's something that we
could do or is it appropriate for us to
do?
    ATTORNEY PROKOP: At the next
meeting?
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.
    ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think it would
fine, you could have it on the next
meeting.
    We'll have to do a SEQRA
resolution, and then also wait to see
what happens with HPC.
    That's what you're talking about,
right?
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah, so the HPC meeting is not actually happening until the 16th.

MR. PALLAS: 17th.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: 17th.
So it's not actually going to be done by our meeting next week. It would be conditional upon HPC's final approval; is that right?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I could see if we could work that out. That's sort of like a different part of this.

But anyway, but why don't you and I meet sometime between now and next week and just go over the site and I can do a decision, a resolution, you can consider adopting it.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
So it seems we're going to see if
it's a possibility, something we can
vote on at the next meeting.
I don't have any issue with that
just so long as it's truly conditional
upon approval of the HPC.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MR. BROWN: Absolutely. That's our understanding.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I think that's what most of us are concerned about at this point.

That's my only concern at this point.

Anyone else.

MR. JAUQUET: Those are my sentiments too.

MR. PELTON: Thank you.

MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.

See you next week.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Item Number 2,
the vacant lot east of 217 Monsell Place.

The applicant proposes to develop the vacant parcel which he is currently under contract to purchase.

Bryan Nicholson is before the Board to discuss the proposed construction of a one-family house on the property located east of 217

Monsell Place.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

The pre-submission package
includes a site plan, floor plans, and elevations.

The project as proposed will require one variance.

A revised site plan will be submitted for consideration upon the completion of the Zoning Board appeal process.

The property is located in the R-1, One-Family Residential District of the Village of Greenport.

The property is not located in the Greenport Village Historic District.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-2-2-29.

Okay.
So we approved the other property for last meeting, I believe; and this was the one we are waiting for the ZBA , and you had that ZBA meeting since then, correct?

MR. NICHOLSON: That's correct.
They approved the variance.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
    I do have a letter from -- I just
want to make sure it's -- I do have a
letter from the chairperson of the ZBA
advising that they did approve the
five-foot variance allowing the house
to be sited five feet more easterly and
away from the neighbor's house.
    He said you discussed with the ZBA
the possibility of flipping the layout
of that house; is that --
    MR. NICHOLSON: It was brought up,
but we're going to go ahead as planned.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
    Does anyone, the Board members
have any questions or concerns? I
believe we discussed this, I believe
both plans to some extent at previous
meetings; are there any issues or
concerns that anyone still had
outstanding?
    MR. JAUQUET: None.
    MR. BURNS: No.
    MR. PALLAS: I believe the
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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> plan.
mirror-image issue, that you said you're not going to do?

MR. NICHOLSON: No, we are not. We are to go as planned as shown on the

MR. PALLAS: We need to confirm.

I think that was a requirement.

MS. WINGATE: I think it was a condition.

MR. PALLAS: Of the Zoning approval?

MS. WINGATE: Right.

MR. NICHOLSON: Okay.

We'll have to check that. I know
it was brought up, but I didn't know that that was a condition that was put in there.

MR. PALLAS: We have that confirmed for next week's meeting, before you vote.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I, apart from
that, when we have discussed it, I
didn't have any issues with the plans
other than acknowledging the one
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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> variance that was needed. If we can get that $c$ as to what the actual dec the ZBA, then we can move we can look back at the m the ZBA meeting.

If we can get that clarification as to what the actual decision was of the ZBA, then we can move forward. So we can look back at the minutes from

MR. PALLAS: Correct. We'll check the minutes before the meeting and get that information to the Board.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: So where we are right now then is, if there was miscommunication about whether or not flipping the house is required or clarification is required on that, we
$\qquad$
MR. NICHOLSON: I mean, I believe even if we look back at the minutes, they did -- I think it was John that brought it up about flipping the layout, but then I believe he said it doesn't matter anyway because that's more of a Planning Board issue, not a Zoning thing because the outline of the house isn't going to change on the Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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property no matter what.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.

So if the five-foot variance was approved with that as a condition attached that it be flipped, our hands would be tied on what we would be allowed to approve, so if that is, we'll take a closer look at the reading of the minutes and it turns out that that was a condition of it, then you would either have to talk to them again or, I mean, our hands would really be -- if it's a conditional approval for the variance, we can't approve it without a variance.

I don't have any other issues with the project as is.

Does anyone else have any concerns apart from the five-foot setback?

MR. JAUQUET: No.

MR. BURNS: I don't understand
that confusion. It's seems clear.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: We just don't
have the minutes here, that's the
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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problem, so we'll probably have them in a few day, we can confirm or watch the videotape.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I mean that's where -- you know, we can approve based on what the ZBA, whatever the variance, whatever requirements in their, in their approval for a variance. We can approve it with those conditions attached, but $I$ don't know how that's going to work. I wasn't there, so I don't know how that went. Hopefully we can have it cleared up and if it --

MR. NICHOLSON: If it makes it easier, I'll come back next week.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We have to go to next week anyway, so I don't know if we have anything else to discuss at this point.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Not, it's on next week.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: So it would be next week either way.

MR. NICHOLSON: Thank you.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Item Number 3, 201 Manor Place, Eastern Long Island Hospital.

Continued discussion and possible action to approve the application for site plan review for Eastern Long Island Hospital.

Applicant John Condon has been authorized to submit plans for a 66-foot seawall/curb on the east, south and west sides of the hospital.

The proposal also includes the construction of a raised concrete platform for a new electrical generator located in the rear service area.

The application is before the Board of Trustees on September 22, 2016 for approval of the Wetlands permit application.

This property is not located within the Historic District.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-2-3-2.

Paul, would you like to speak to Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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the wetlands?
MR. PALLAS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have -- we did receive the negative, I'm sorry, a letter of non-jurisdiction, the current letter of non-jurisdiction from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, so they're not involved in the process.

The Village Board is in the -- the hearing was -- was it closed, Joe, right?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. With no action.

MR. PALLAS: The hearing was closed with no action. The Village Board will vote on a Wetlands permit at their next meeting. The Village Board had asked, and I believe you have some question as well, about what happens to the water after an inundation event. I have an independent letter from an independent engineer unaffiliated with Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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the project that we used for drainage within the Village before. I have copies for Board members.

It's a little lengthy, but the summary of the letter basically is that there is no issue with, no concerns about runoff or drainage as a result of the wall.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
I mean, personally, that was my large concern because the project, in the interest of protecting the hospital, in the event of severe flooding or natural disaster, I think that's to the benefit of everybody. I didn't have any issues with that.

My only concern was who would be doing the SEQRA determination for the project, who is going to be making the negative declaration for the project to go forward.

I think at the last meeting, we
had sort of said since the Village
Board would be involved in the wetlands Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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portion, and we weren't really prepared to discuss beyond that, we're hoping that they would take lead agency status, but they did not at that meeting.

I'm not certain where we're at. I don't know what we do at this point because --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Is there anybody here from the hospital to speak?

MR. PALLAS: Yes. John Condon, the engineer who designed it who is listed as the agent is in the audience. I don't know if you want to talk to him.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: If there is anything you would like to add, please feel free.

MR. CONDON: John Condon, engineer for the project, Condon Engineering.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Did you have anything in particular you wanted to add to the discussion?

MR. CONDON: When I heard there Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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was some concern about trapping water,
okay, this is not something that's
going to trap water; it's basically
going to divert any water from going up
against the building and down into the
lower areas of the building because it
doesn't surround the entire building.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I think the
concerns were, could it then have a
negative impact on the neighbors; could
this be running off, preventing it from
going from the hospital into any
neighboring properties and causing
issues there? That was --
MR. CONDON: If you take a look at
the flood maps, okay, where the
floodwaters come in are on the eastern
side of Manor Place, okay. You can see
where that floods out a lot; also on
the western side by the parking lot of
the hospital, that's where the main
floodwaters come in with the flood maps
if you take a look at them.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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MR. CONDON: So the wall does not
go all the way past the parking lot,
okay, on the western side; and on the
eastern side, it goes down to Manor
Place, but it doesn't go across the
road, so it's not going to affect
anything as far as water coming in from
those sides.
    The concern, particularly with
Sandy was that there was water coming
blowing onto the back of the property
and onto the side of the property, and
on the eastern side of the hospital,
the electrical room and mechanical room
is at a lower level, and when I was
asked to go to the hospital, you know,
after Sandy left, and I look in the
electrical room underneath the main
electrical panels, and it was flooded
with water. Fortunately, we had pumps
to pump that out, but there was concern
not only from rising water, but also
water washing in.
What they had done at the hospital
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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was put up temporary sandbags or
whatever to prevent any water from
going in there, but that was the
primary reason for doing these walls
was to prevent water from coming into
those areas. It doesn't affect,
negatively affect the rest of the
neighborhood because the water is gonna
come in those other areas that I just
described.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Does anyone
have anything to add?
    MS. CLARK: I really can't say
much because I don't have anything in
front of me to look at.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We had, at the last meeting.
MS. CLARK: Pardon me?
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I think we had
a packet at the last meeting.
MS. CLARK: Really.
I thought it was very little
and -- can I see it?
MS. WINGATE: Sure.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MR. PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, while
we're doing that, in terms of the
jurisdiction question for SEQRA, and
Joe, correct me if I'm wrong, the
wetlands piece would cover a portion of
the wall and so the -- from a SEQRA
perspective, I think you have wider
jurisdiction because you would cover
the entire site for the purposes of
this project. Again, that's a point of
information, if you're looking to make
a decision about who is lead agency.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Can we, are we
in a position to move forward without
having the Board of Trustees complete
their review of the wetlands portion of
the application?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, but then it
would be subject to the wetlands
approval. The only -- I would only do
it on this kind of an application where
the, I think it's a 1,000-foot wall and
there is only 20 feet that are in the
wetlands, so if that wasn't the
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
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situation, I would say no, but it's such a small piece, I would say it's okay subject to the Trustees' approval.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
Given that we do have a letter from an outside contractor, outside engineer, indicating there wouldn't be any --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think the concern for flooding was on Manor Place, if I'm not mistaken. I mean, this is just my recollection, but I think the discussion was the concern that the hospital would be pushing water back out onto Manor Place, not what you said, but a different issue.

MR. SWISKEY: It created a funnel.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's a problem
that already existed apparently that people were afraid would be exacerbated.

MR. CONDON: It doesn't do anything but prevent water from coming onto the hospital's property, okay. Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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The water still goes up Manor Place because it's open, and if you take a look at the flood maps, that's one of the lowest areas in that neighborhood area there, so the hospital walls are not going to do anything to divert any water from going down into those areas.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: What about water that's from the hospital property, water that's from the hospital property will be retained on the hospital property?

MR. CONDON: No. It would drain off like it does now.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Drain off to
where?

MR. CONDON: It drains off to Manor Place.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, I think
that was the problem. I think that was what was --

MR. CONDON: And that's why they have, that's why the Village put in two drains down at that end, the only thing Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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& \text { that would temper that to a certain } \\
& \text { degree is that we did put in speed } \\
& \text { bumps on that eastern side that could }
\end{aligned}
$$ retain some water.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Is there any mitigation that could be done to keep -- you know, generally the Village has a policy that water should be retained on the premises that it originates from, it should not drain out into other, either the road or other properties.

MR. CONDON: Well, I did come up with a drainage plan for that particular side of the property and Paul and I had discussed it, okay.

There is no reason why we wouldn't put that in, but there's none there now.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.

MR. CONDON: So any rainwater that comes down on the property now, drains onto Manor, and I think that's why there were two catch basins put at the Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MR. PALLAS: Just another point, the CAC as far as wetlands review process, did recommend along the west side of the east wall approximately 18 inches wide, a gravel area so that any water will percolate down, it was the recommendation of the CAC so that the wall, it wouldn't be paved up to the wall which in theory, the berm used to be there, it somewhat mimics what was there, that's just another point to consider.

MR. CONDON: That's the other alternative.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Do you want to have a week to talk?

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah.
Does anyone have any thoughts?
MR. BURNS: It's an engineering
issue I don't understand.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah, I'm out of my depth.

MR. JAUQUET: Me too.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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                    It's mechanical engineering and
site plan analysis that maybe those
pictures that we have -- I don't know
if that, what we have there, if we can
understand that personally, but I think
a mechanical engineer is going to have
to, and he's already working with him.
    MR. PALLAS: That's what this
letter basically -- that's why it
requested to get this letter.
    MR. JAUQUET: I read through this
letter just now, but it looks like
it -- it doesn't say -- it appears that
it says the current design isn't going
to work.
    MR. PALLAS: That the --
    MR. JAUQUET: And so what do we do
next if I'm right?
    MR. CONDON: It's not gonna work
in what regard?
    MR. JAUQUET: I don't know, I'm
not an expert, so I'll defer to Mr.
Pallas.
    MR. PALLAS: The issue that is
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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raised in the letter has, as far as
```

whether it works or not, has to do with
whether it would perform the function
for the hospital that was desired as
opposed to what the impacts are on the
surrounding area.
MR. JAUQUET: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: The question on
whether it works for the hospital or
not, I don't think is a question for
this Board, it's between the hospital
and its engineer.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: But our concern
is, is there going to be any effect on
the neighbors?
MR. PALLAS: Again, with my
reading this letter and subsequent
discussions I've had with this
engineer, the short answer is no, it
will have no, not change anything that
goes on now.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
I haven't had a chance to read
through this letter, it's the first I
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
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is --
MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.

Basically, look at that plan, on the east wall, all that water, a lot of that water that runs down that driveway used to run off and either be absorbed in the soil or fall into Sterling Creek, okay, it didn't reach Manor Place. This wall is gonna funnel all that water onto Manor Place.

I went down there during that last rainstorm and that storm, it was really severe; in other words, the flooding there was ugly, it was dirty and the Village Code basically, I know, I believe Mr. Prokop confirmed it, the hospital is making a change, before they were grandfathered in, now since they're making this change, they should be obligated to contain most of this water on their property, same as if I build a house, I have to put in a dry well and run the drains into it. I Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107


#### Abstract

Proceedings 9-29-16 mean -- and I thought that the Village was gonna, Mr. Pallas was gonna get an opinion from an independent engineer about the Village's risk, not somebody that says this will work for the hospital. That shocks me to tell you the truth.


MR. PALLAS: If I may, the letter does talk about the Village's risk. There are several points that are made in the letter including whether it works for the hospital. It does assess the impact on the Village.

MR. SWISKEY: What does it say?
MR. PALLAS: In my read of it and subsequent discussion, as I stated earlier, that it does not pose any additional concerns for the Village.

MR. SWISKEY: Who was the engineer?

MR. PALLAS: JR Holzmacher.

MR. SWISKEY: I don't know because
the way $I$ look at this, this is gonna be a mess on that road and -- but it's Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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up to this Planning Board to make the
```

decision and to interpret the letter
because Peter there just said that the
letter said it was not gonna work; am I
right, Peter?

MR. JAUQUET: Well, that was a cursory reading, Bill, I'm not a --

MR. SWISKEY: I realize that --

MR. BURNS: That's not our issue.
MR. JAUQUET: That's not really the issue.

MR. SWISKEY: No, it's not your issue with the hospital, but your issue is the Village is gonna have to spend a lot of money on road end remediation at the end of Manor Place. In fact, it's already in the pipeline.

Now, is this gonna cause you to have to spend more money and put in more facilities, that was my point at the Village Board, and nobody's answered that.

MR. BURNS: This is the answer.
MR. PALLAS: Correct.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MR. BURNS: We have the answer.
MR. SWISKEY: And they're saying
it's not gonna have a problem.
MR. BURNS: Right.
MR. SWISKEY: Well, if you agree with that, but just from my experience you're gonna have a problem.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I would like to take a week to just have it thoroughly explained to me at the very least to have a better understanding of it.

Apart from that, does anyone have any -- I mean, I don't think anyone had any issues with the project, with the intent of the project or anything in that regard; our only concern was if it was going to have an impact on the neighbors and Paul has indicated that it will not, and that's what the engineer is telling him, it will not, so I'm going to defer to him on that, but either way, we're going to take the week and we'll vote on it next week either way.
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I think that's what's going to happen.

MR. BURNS: Well, whether it works or not is not our issue.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah, I mean obviously we want to be cautious about it, but yeah, as to whether or not, if we're receiving a professional opinion saying that it's going to work, I think that's what we need to defer to.

Is there anything else we need to discuss this evening with regard to this?
(No response.)
I'm going to make a motion that we move on to the next item on the agenda, so we'll move on to the next agenda.

Item Number 4, 316 Front Street,
Motion to accept application and possible action on the use evaluation application from applicant Linda

Ruland, Executive Director of Community
Action Southold Town, Inc., CAST.
CAST has relocated offices to 316
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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Front Street. This is a permitted use
```

in the CR, Commercial Retail District.
This property is not located in
the Historic District.
Suffolk County Tax Map number
1001-4-8-33.

They moved across the street from where they were continuing their operations as they were previously.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: What was the prior use, where they moved into, what was the prior use?

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I don't know.
MS. WINGATE: I think it was one of the psychic shops.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Usually with use applications we, if it's the same as the former use, we approve it at the work session.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Does anyone have any issues or questions about this?

MR. BURNS: I think it's a great
move.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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    MS. RULAND: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Any concerns
from the Village?
    MS. WINGATE: It's a great move,
they now have a parking lot, and people
could park safely. It's a good thing.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
    I looked at this earlier, I don't
have any issues with this, it's a use
evaluation application site plan
review, so if the use is a conforming
use, I believe it is -- does anyone
have any issues, questions or concerns?
    (No response.)
    I'm going to make a motion that we
approve the use evaluation application
as sulomitted.
    Do I have a second for that?
    MS. CLARK: Second.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?
    MR. BURNS: Aye.
    MR. JAUQUET: Aye.
    MS. CLARK: Aye.
    CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.
Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
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Item Number 5, 313 Third Street. Applicant Rachel Mysliborski for Woodstruck, LLC has submitted a sign application for the retail boutique in Sterlington Commons.

Previously approved at the August Regular Session, the sign was redesigned for compliance with all Village sign rules and regulations.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-6-2-23.5.

This is just a sign permit application, I believe.

We already reviewed the use at the last -- it was approved at the last meeting, the meeting before.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: This complies?
MS. WINGATE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: This complies
with all zoning regulations. I don't
have any issues with this, the sign
itself, if it adheres to the sign
regulations for the Village, then I
have no problems.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

Proceedings 9-29-16
Does anyone have any concern?

MS. CLARK: I do not.

MR. JAUQUET: No.

MR. BURNS: No.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I'm going to make a motion that we approve the sign application as submitted.

Do I have a second for that?

MS. CLARK: Second

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?

Motion.

MR. BURNS: Aye.

MS. CLARK: Aye.

MR. JAUQUET: Aye.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.

MS. RULAND: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Item Number 6, 26A Front Street. Motion to accept use evaluation application from Matthew Kapell, agent for the Olive Branch cafe.

The cafe is located at 26A Front Street. This is a permitted use in the CR, Commercial Retail District. Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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This property is not located in the Historic District.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-9-28.3.

Is there anything you would like to add?

MR. KAPELL: This is essentially the same application that was approved by the Planning Board a couple of years ago for a prior applicant. The only changes here are that we're adding a couple of convection ovens so that they can heat up baked goods, and they have actually reduced significantly the number of seats from 49 to 16; so it's actually de-intensification of the original application.

One correction, the address is misstated, it's 120A Front Street.

CHAIRMAN MCMAHON: We had an
application to, a use evaluation
application -- I don't see any glaring issues off the bat, generally we accept the application and approve it at the Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
next meeting.
MR. KAPELL: I noticed that you just approved a prior use evaluation; can we ask you for the same treatment here?

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Does anyone have any issues with this use?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The exits were okay, and the seating?

MS. WINGATE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I don't personally have an issue with the use, we did previously approve it. I don't have an issue.

MS. WINGATE: You have a sign application there too.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: There is a sign application, a separate sign application?

MS. WINGATE: Um-hmm.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: And the sign conforms with --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, they have two signs, right?
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MS. WINGATE: Yes.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Are they allowed

MS. WINGATE: Actually, it's three signs. They all add up to still within the code.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I thought you can only have one sign. You can have three signs?

MS. WINGATE: They have a sidewalk sign, a graphic sign, existing directory on the building, and then there's a sign for the restaurant.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I didn't know you can accumulate the signs; I thought it was one sign not larger than, I didn't know you can take different signs.

MS. WINGATE: It doesn't say one sign.

MR. KAPELL: If I can just
interject for a moment. This is a --
I'm sure you're all familiar with this
property. This is the Front Street Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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Garden property. Those stores at the back of this courtyard have been unused and vacant and blighted frankly for $I$ don't know how many years now. The landlord is trying his best to revitalize that property. In order to get people to go back there, we need some signage in the front directing them to the back. It's a dead end right now, and this is an application that would have significant benefit for the Village.

MS. CLARK: But this one that's hanging is right in the front, right?

MR. KAPELL: Yes, it's an existing sign there now.

MS. CLARK: Say they didn't revitalize this and other stores took occupancy, would they be able to have signs out front as well?

MR. KAPELL: Yeah, there is a directory sign where Front Street Garden meets the street, meets the sidewalk, so they get a sign there and Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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then they want a sign in front.
MR. JAUQUET: In the planter area;
is that where it is?

MR. KAPELL: Yes.

MS. CLARK: And there's room for other signs?

MR. KAPELL: Yes.

MR. JAUQUET: Is the planter area our jurisdiction?

MR. KAPELL: I mean, I say the whole site is your jurisdiction, sure.

MR. JAUQUET: The other permeation that we had originally on this site, didn't they have outdoor seating?

MR. KAPELL: They did. We are not showing outdoor seating.

MR. JAUQUET: You're not planning on it?

MR. KAPELL: Not now, no.
MR. JAUQUET: That seemed to be an integral part of the attraction, at least a visible thing to get things down there.

MR. KAPELL: The applicants are Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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also in contract to lease the store, I
don't know if you recall the history --
MR. JAUQUET: (Inaudible.)
MR. KAPELL: -- across the way,
right, so we're going to be back here
with an application for those stores
and we'll address the outdoor seating
question.
MR. JAUQUET: All right.
MR. BURNS: That would be my only
question, how it affects the other
stores, the hair salon, for instance.
MR. KAPELL: There would be no
obstruction in front of her store.
We're not proposing any seating
outdoors at this point.
MR. JAUQUET: Those other two are
vacant still?
MR. KAPELL: Yes, they are.
MR. JAUQUET: How is the hair
salon doing?
MR. KAPELL: It's there.
MR. JAUQUET: I know, she's been
there for --
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MR. KAPELL: I go there for whatever it's worth.

MR. JAUQUET: I've gone there.

MR. PALLAS: Can I just ask what sign, I don't know what action you're planning on taking, but on the sign, $I$ would like the opportunity to confirm the code for sign.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.

Personally, I don't have an issue with the use. If there is a question as to whether or not the number of signs, if you'd like to clarify that, we can put that portion of it off.

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Do you have any concerns about the use itself?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. The use is good to go, so they can start working.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I think what I'm going to propose is we approve the use and the sign application, we'll take the week and discuss it at the next meeting.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MR. KAPELL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I'm going to make a motion to approve the use evaluation application as submitted.

Do I have a second for that?

MR. JAUQUET: Second.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?

MR. BURNS: Aye.

MS. CLARK: Aye.

MR. JAUQUET: Aye.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.

We will discuss, clarify how many signs are permitted.

MR. KAPELL: Just as a practical matter, this is a blighted area, some consideration by the Village should be given for helping to drive traffic back there so we can revitalize these stores. They're underperforming.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Item Number 7, motion to approve the Planning Board minutes of July 7, 2016 and July 28, 2016.

Do I have a second for that?
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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MS. CLARK: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?
MR. BURNS: Aye.
MR. JAUQUET: Aye.
MS. CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.
Item Number 8, motion to accept
the Planning Board minutes of August 4, 2016 and August 25, 2016.

Do I have a second for that?
MS. CLARK: Second.

CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?

MR. BURNS: Aye.
MR. JAUQUET: Aye.
MS. CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.

Item Number 9, motion to adjourn.
Do I have a second?
MS. CLARK: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in favor?

MR. BURNS: Aye.
MR. JAUQUET: Aye.
MS. CLARK: Aye.
CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Motion carries.
Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
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| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Thank you. |
| 3 | (Time noted: 5:55 p.m.) |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
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I, STEPHANIE O'KEEFFE, a shorthand reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the within is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings taken on September 29, 2016.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that $I$ am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 29th day of September, 2016.

STEPHANIE O'KEEFFE

|  | 3 | amending [1] 4/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATTORNEY PROKOP: [26] 12/21 13/14 <br> 13/17 14/10 20/23 21/20 23/14 25/9 29/18 30/9 30/18 31/8 31/15 31/19 32/5 32/20 33/16 42/10 42/16 44/17 47/8 47/23 48/2 48/7 48/14 52/18 <br> CHAIRMAN McMAHON: [76] <br> MR. BROWN: [7] 5/9 7/12 8/14 11/4 11/24 14/25 15/12 | 300-308 [1] 3/8 | American [3] 3/23 4/16 4/24 |
|  | 308 [1] 3/8 | $\text { analysis [1] } 34 / 3$ |
|  | 308C [1] 3/25 | another [2] 33/3 33/13 |
|  | $313 \text { [2] } 2 / 844 / 2$ <br> 316 [3] 2/7 41/19 41/25 | another [2] 33/30 [3] 35/20 39/24 40/2 |
|  | 316 [1] $42 / 7$ $331 / 741 / 25$ | answered [1] 39/23 |
|  | 4 | 17/20 18/24 20/17 20/19 24/17 26/5 26/13 |
| MR. BURNS: [22] 6/23 7/24 12/7 17/23 20/21 | 41 [1] 2/7 | 38/18 40/14 40/15 42/22 43/3 43/10 43/14 |
| 33/20 36/15 36/23 39/9 39/23 39/25 40/4 41/3 | 44 [1] $2 / 8$ | 44/22 45/2 46/23 47/8 51/16 52/17 56/4 |
| 42/23 43/21 45/4 45/12 51/10 53/8 54/3 54/13 | 45 [1] 2/9 | any concerns [1] 20/19 |
| 54/21 | 49 [1] 46/16 | anybody [1] 25/10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 22 \text { 31/13 31/17 31/22 32/13 32/21 33/14 } \\ & 34 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | anyone [15] 6/22 7/23 12/4 15/9 17/16 17/21 |
|  | 5:00 [1] 1/8 |  |
| MR. JAUQUET: [31] 12/8 12/12 15/9 17/22 20/20 33/24 34/11 34/17 34/21 35/7 39/6 | 5:55 [1] 55/3 | anything [16] 6/8 6/18 8/10 10/15 21/19 |
| $39 / 1043 / 2245 / 345 / 1450 / 2 \text { 50/8 50/12 50/17 }$ | 6 | 25/18 25/23 27/8 28/13 28/15 30/24 31/7 |
| 50/20 51/3 51/9 51/17 51/20 51/23 52/3 53/6 | 66-foot [1] 22/11 | 35/21 40/16 41/12 46/6 |
| MR. KAPELL: [18] 46/7 47/2 48/21 49/15 | 8 | apart [3] 18/22 20/20 40/13 |
| 49/21 50/4 50/7 50/10 50/15 50/19 50/24 51/4 | 8/29/2016 [1] 4/14 | apologize [1] 12/23 |
| MR. NICHOLSON: [7] 16/23 17/12 18/3 | A | apparently [1] 30/20 |
| 18/13 19/16 21/14 21/24 | able [3] 9/11 11/11 49/20 | appeal [1] 16/9 appeared [1] 8/23 |
|  | about [15] 10/11 13/24 15/5 19/13 19/20 | appears [1] 34/14 |
| 18/10 18/18 19/7 23/2 23/16 25/11 28/25 33/2 <br> 34/8 34/16 34/24 35/8 35/16 36/4 38/8 38/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23/22 24/8 26/2 29/13 31/9 38/5 38/10 41/7 } \\ & 42 / 22 \text { 52/18 } \end{aligned}$ | applicant [9] 3/14 3/17 5/2 13/2 15/18 22/9 41/22 44/3 46/11 |
| 38/21 39/24 52/4 52/15 | ABSENT [1] 1/16 | applicants [1] 50/25 |
| MR. PELTON: [4] 6/19 7/7 9/11 15/11 | Absolutely [1] 15/2 | application [29] 3/10 4/14 10/7 12/3 22/6 |
| MR. SWISKEY: [10] 30/17 36/20 37/2 38/14 | absorbed [1] 37/8 | 22/17 22/20 29/18 29/22 41/20 41/22 43/11 |
| 38/19 38/22 39/8 39/12 40/2 40/5 | accept [4] 41/20 45/19 46/24 54/8 | 43/17 44/5 44/14 45/8 45/20 46/9 46/18 46/22 |
| MS. CLARK: [18] 28/13 28/18 28/21 43/19 | acceptable [2] 5/24 8/20 | 46/23 46/25 47/17 47/19 47/20 49/11 51/7 |
| 43/23 45/2 45/9 45/13 49/13 49/17 50/5 53/9 | accepting [1] 6/16 | 52/23 53/5 |
| 53/25 54/5 54/11 54/15 54/19 54/23 | accessibility [2] 4/8 4 | applications [1] 42/18 |
| MS. RULAND: [2] 42/25 45/16 | accessible [1] 3/20 | appropriate [1] 13/13 |
| MS. WINGATE: [13] 18/8 18/12 28/24 42/1 43/4 44/18 47/10 47/15 47/20 47/25 48/4 | accommodating [1] 8/25 | approval [19] 5/13 6/14 8/4 8/13 9/6 10/19 |
| 48/10 48/19 | accurate [1] 56/6 | 11/9 12/7 12/17 13/5 13/6 14/10 14/25 18/12 |
|  |  | approvals [1] 10/3 |
| $\qquad$$1 / 6$ | action [7] 22/6 23/16 23/18 41/21 41/24 52/6 | approve [14] 17/6 20/8 20/15 21/6 21/10 2 <br> 42/19 43/17 45/7 46/25 47/14 52/22 53/4 |
|  | 56/9 | 53/22 |
| 1 | actual [1] 19/4 <br> actually [6] 10/4 14/3 14/7 46/15 46/17 48/5 | approved [10] 3/12 4/19 9/15 16/19 16/25 |
| 1,000-foot [1] 29/23 | add [5] 25/18 25/24 28/13 46/7 48/6 | 20/5 44/7 44/16 46/9 47/4 <br> approximately [1] 33/6 |
| 1001-2-2-29 [1] 16/17 | adding [1] 46/12 | $\text { architect [2] } 3 / 155 / 10$ |
| 1001-2-3-2 [1] 22/24 | additional [4] 3/21 4/6 4/13 38/19 | architectural [1] 9/8 |
| 1001-4-7-29.1 [1] 5/8 | address [3] 8/6 46/19 51/8 | are [28] 5/19 5/21 6/4 7/11 9/18 11/6 15/5 |
| 1001-4-8-33 [1] 42/7 | addressing [1] 7/20 | 15/10 16/21 17/20 18/4 18/5 19/11 26/18 |
| 1001-4-9-28.3 [1] 46/5 | adheres [1] 44/23 | 29/14 29/24 31/6 35/6 36/21 38/11 38/11 |
| 1001-6-2-23.5 [1] 44/12 | adjourn [1] 54/18 | 46/12 48/3 50/16 50/25 51/18 51/20 53/14 |
| 11 [1] 3/23 | ADMINISTRATOR [1] 1/18 | area [9] 4/17 7/5 22/16 31/6 33/7 35/7 50/3 |
| $120 A$ [2] 2/9 46/20 15 [1] $2 / 5$ | adopting [1] 14/18 | 50/9 53/16 |
| 16 [1] 46/16 | advising [1] 17/6 | areas [5] 26/7 28/7 28/10 31/5 31/8 |
|  | affect [3] 27/7 28/7 28/8 | arranging [1] 5/22 |
| $\text { 16th [2] } 5 / 2014 / 4$ | affects [1] 51/12 | as [38] 5/12 7/9 8/4 8/4 8/13 8/24 9/2 11/19 |
| 17th [2] 14/5 14/6 | afraid [1] 30/21 after [2] 23/23 2 | 14/24 16/5 17/14 18/5 18/5 19/4 20/5 20/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { [1] 33/6 } \\ & 184 \text { [1] } 37 / 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | again [4] 5/11 20/12 29/11 35/17 | 23/22 24/8 25/14 27/8 27/8 33/4 33/4 35/2 <br> 35/2 35/5 36/7 37/23 38/17 41/8 42/10 42/18 |
| 2 | against [1] 26/6 <br> agency [2] 25/4 29/13 | 43/18 45/8 49/21 52/13 53/5 53/15 |
| 20 [1] 29/24 | agenda [3] 5/19 41/17 41/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aside [1] } 9 / 5 \\ & \text { ask [2] } 47 / 552 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 201 \text { [2] } 2 / 622 / 3 \\ & 0015[113 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | agent [2] 25/14 45/21 | asked [3] 5/15 23/21 27/17 |
| 2015 [1] 3/12 2016 [11] $1 / 83 / 64 / 145 / 5$ 22/18 53/23 53/24 | ago [1] 46/11 | asking [1] 36/21 |
| 2016 [11] 1/8 3/6 4/14 5/5 22/18 53/23 53/24 <br> 54/10 54/10 56/7 56/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agree [1] } 40 / 6 \\ & \text { ahead [3] } 9 / 7 \text { 12/9 17/14 } \end{aligned}$ | assess [1] 38/13 |
| 217 [3] 2/5 15/16 15/24 | all [16] 9/25 12/18 27/3 37/6 37/11 43/21 44/9 | ATTORNEY [1] 1/19 |
| 22 [2] 2/6 22/18 | 44/21 45/11 48/6 48/24 51/10 53/8 54/3 54/13 | attraction [1] 50/22 |
| 23.5 [1] 44/12 | $54 / 21$ | audience [1] 25/14 |
| 25 [1] 54/10 | allowed [2] 20/8 48/3 | August [3] 44/7 54/9 54/10 |
| 26A [2] 45/19 45/23 | allowing [2] 8/11 17/7 | authorized [1] 22/10 |
| 28 [1] 53/23 | along [2] 9/3 33/5 | away [1] 17/9 |
| $28.3 \text { [1] } 46 / 5$ | already [4] 30/20 34/8 39/18 44/15 | awning [4] 4/15 4/20 5/13 11/17 |
| 29 [4] 1/8 3/6 16/17 56/7 | also [6] 4/23 13/22 22/13 26/20 27/23 51/2 | awning [4] 4/15 4/20 5/13 11/17 <br> awnings [1] 7/11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29.1[1] 5 / 8 \\ & 29 \text { th [1] } 56 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | alternative [2] 5/2 33/16 <br> am [4] 8/20 39/5 56/8 56/10 | Aye [18] 43/22 43/23 43/24 45/13 45/14 45/15 53/9 53/10 53/11 54/4 54/5 54/6 54/14 54/15 |



| E | Fortunately [1] 27/21 | hereby [1] 56/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```east [6] 2/5 15/16 15/24 22/11 33/6 37/6 easterly [1] 17/8 eastern [7] 22/3 22/7 26/18 27/5 27/14 32/4 33/2``` | forward [6] 8/10 10/16 11/12 19/5 24/22 29/15 | him [5] 25/16 34/8 36/18 40/21 40/22 |
|  | four [1] 3/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { him [5] } 25 / 1634 / 836 / 1840 / 2140 / 22 \\ & \text { his [1] } 49 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | frankly [1] 49/4 | Historic [16] 4/12 4/18 4/22 5/18 5/20 5/25 |
| effect [1] 35/15 | free [1] 25/1 | 6/6 6/15 7/19 8/16 8/23 10/23 16/15 22/22 |
| EILEEN [1] 1/20 |  | history [1] 51/3 |
| electrical [4] 22/15 27/15 27/19 27/20 <br> elevations [1] 16/4 | $50 / 251 / 15$ | hmm [1] 47/21 |
|  | fully [1] 7/11 | Holzmacher [1] 38/2 |
| ELIH [1] 2/6 | function [1] 35 funnel [2] 30/18 | hopefully [2] 6/9 21/13 hoping [2] 6/12 25/3 |
| $41 / 12$ | further [2] 9/ | hospital [22] 22/4 22/8 22/12 24/14 25/1 |
| end [6] 31/25 33/2 33/2 39/16 39/17 49/10 <br> engineer [11] 9/21 23/25 25/13 25/20 30/8 | G |  |
|  | gain [1] 8/13 | 38/7 38/13 39/1 |
| $34 / 735 / 13$ 35/20 38/4 38/21 40/21 engineering [3] 25/21 33/21 34/2 | Garden [2] 49/2 49/24 generally [2] 32/8 46/24 | hospital's [1] 30/25 <br> house [7] 15/23 17/7 17/9 17/12 19/14 19/25 |
| entire [3] 11/3 26/8 29/10 | generator [1] 22/15 generat | $37 / 24$ |
| ```Environmental [1] 23/9 essentially [1] 46/8 evaluation [7] 41/21 43/11 43/17 45/20 46/22 47/4 53/5``` | get [11] 6/13 9/20 19/3 19/9 34/11 36/2 36/4 38/3 49/8 49/25 50/23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { how [7] 21/11 21/13 36/12 49/5 51/12 51/21 } \\ & 53 / 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | gift [1] 7/7 | HPC [5] 11/10 13/6 13/23 14/3 14/25 |
|  | give [4] 9/7 11/25 13/4 36/2 | HPC's [2] 12/17 14/9 |
| even [1] 19/18 | giving [1] | I |
| evening [2] 5/11 41/13 event [3] $7 / 423 / 2324 / 1$ | glaring [1] 46/2 | I'd [1] 36/16 |
| everybody [1] 24/16 | glass [1] 4/3 | I'Il [2] 21/16 34 |
| everything [2] 5/14 6/14 | go [15] 8/10 9/7 11/11 12/9 14/16 17/14 18/5 21/17 24/22 27/3 27/6 27/17 49/8 52/2 52/20 | I'm [18] 6/9 11/14 12/22 23/6 25/7 29/5 30/12 |
| exacerbated [1] $30 / 22$ exactly [3] $6 / 218 / 3$ 36/12 | goes [3] 27/5 31/2 35/22 | 45/6 48/24 52/22 53/3 |
| exception [1] 5/15 | going [37] 3/3 7/6 8/8 9/14 9/21 10/15 11/11 | I've [2] 35/19 52/4 |
| Executive [1] 41/23 | 14/7 14/20 17/14 18/3 19/25 21/12 24/20 26/4 | idea [1] 12/6 |
|  | 26/5 26/5 26/13 27/7 28/4 31/7 31/8 34/7 | image [1] 18/2 |
| exhaust [2] 4/24 10/7 existed [1] 30/20 | 34/15 35/15 36/11 40/18 40/22 40/23 41/2 | impact [3] 26/11 38/14 40/18 |
| existing [4] 3/18 10/8 48/12 49/16 | 41/10 41/16 43/16 45/6 51/6 52/22 53/3 | impacts [1] 35/6 impetus [1] 10/14 |
| exits [1] 47/9expedite [1] 6/9 | gonna [13] 10/2 28/9 34/20 36/20 37/11 38/3 | important [1] 11/24 |
|  | 38/3 38/24 39/5 39/15 39/19 40/4 40/8 | in-depth [1] 36/10 |
| experience [1] 40/7 expert [1] 34/23 | good [4] 5/11 11/6 43/7 52/20 | Inaudible [1] 51/4 |
| explained [1] 40/11 <br> extent [1] 17/19 | goods [1] 46/14 | Inc [1] 41/24 |
|  | gotten [1] 5/12 | inches [1] 33/7 |
| exterior [1] 4/4 | grandfathered [1] 37/20 | include [1] 4/15 |
| F | gravel [1] 33/7 | includes [4] 3/24 4/6 16/3 22/13 |
| facade [1] | great [2] 42/24 4 | including [2] 10/18 38/12 |
| facilities [1] 39/21 | GREENPORT [5] 1/2 1/7 3/4 16/13 16/1 ground [2] 3/20 3/25 | incorporating [1] 4/2 independent [3] 23/24 23/25 38/4 |
| $\text { fact [1] } 39 / 17$ | guess [1] 6/7 | INDEX [2] 1/20 $2 / 2$ |
| fall [1] 37/9 | H | indicated [1] 40/19 |
| familiar [1] 48/24 | had [15] 6/2 16/22 17/21 23/21 24/24 27/21 | information [2] 19/10 29/12 |
| family [2] <br> far [4] | had $27 / 25$ 28/17 28/20 32/17 35/19 35/24 40/14 | inn [6] 3/19 3/21 3/22 3/23 3/23 4/2 |
| $\text { favor [6] } 4$ | 46/21 50/14 | inns [1] 13/8 |
| feature [1] $4 / 5$ | hair [2] 51/13 51/2 | INSPECTOR [1] 1/20 |
| $\text { feel [2] } 8 / 2125$ | hand [1] 56/13 | install [1] 9/11 |
| feet [2] 17/8 29/24 | handicap [2] 3 | installed [2] 10/10 10/1 |
| few [1] 21/3 | hands [2] 20/6 20 <br> hanging [1] 49/1 | stance [1] 51/13 |
| Fifth [1] 37/4 | happen [2] 10/4 41/3 | intending [1] 8/20 |
| final | happening [1] 14/3 | intensification [1] 46/17 |
| find [1] 8/19 | happens [2] 13/23 23/22 | intent [1] 40/16 |
| fine [1] 13/19 | hardscape [1] 4/7 <br> has [14] 3/17 4/19 4/23 4/25 5/15 11/12 22/9 | interest [1] 24/13 <br> interested [1] 56/10 |
| fire [1] 9/22 | has [14] 3/17 4/19 4/23 4/25 5/15 11/12 22/9 32/9 35/2 35/3 36/17 40/19 41/25 44/4 | interested [1] 56/10 interior [2] 4/3 11/19 |
| Firehouse [1] 1/6 first [2] 8/15 35/25 | have [98] | interject [1] 48/23 |
| five [5] 3/19 17/7 17/8 20/4 20/20 | haven't [1] 35/2 | interpret [1] 39/3 |
| five-foot [3] 17/7 20/4 20/20 | having [1] 29/16 | inundation [1] 23/23 |
| flipped [1] 20/6 | he [4] 15/19 17/10 19/21 36/17 | involve [1] 12/10 |
| flipping [3] 17/11 19/14 19/20 flood [3] $26 / 17$ 26/23 31/4 | he's [1] 34/8 hear [1] 36/16 | involved [2] 23/10 24/25 is [101] |
| flooded [1] 27/20 | heard [1] 25/25 | Island [2] 22/3 22/8 |
| flooding [3] 24/15 30/11 37/15 | hearing [2] 23/13 23/1 | isn't [2] 19/25 34/15 |
| floods [1] 26/20 | heat [1] 46/14 | issue [24] 6/5 7/15 7/16 8/6 8/22 10/14 12/16 |
| floodwaters [2] 26/18 26 | Hello [1] 3/2 | 12/21 13/7 14/23 18/2 19/23 24/7 30/17 33/22 |
| floor [3] 3/20 3/25 16/3 | helping [1] 53/ her [1] $51 / 15$ | 34/25 39/10 $47 / 1552 / 11$ |
| foot [5] 17/7 20/4 20/20 22/11 29/23 <br> former [1] 42/19 <br> forth [1] 11/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { here [7] 9/23 20/25 25/11 36/11 46/12 47/6 } \\ & 51 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | issued [3] 11/17 11/22 12/19 <br> issues [12] 17/20 18/24 20/17 24/17 26/15 <br> 40/15 42/22 43/10 43/14 44/22 46/24 47/8 |






