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Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Good afternoon.
This is the Village of Greenport
Planning Board. It's a regular session
meeting.
Please be quiet out
there.
It's May 2, Thursday, 2019. It's
a little after 4:00 p.m.
Item Number 1 on the agenda, I m
gonna move to last.
We're going to go to Item
Number 2 which is 47 Front Street. A
public hearing for site plan approval
for ASCM Property LLC, Deep Water Grill
represented by architect, Robert I.
Brown.
The applicant is proposing minor
interior renovations as well as awning
replacement.

The property is located in the Waterfront Commercial District. This property is not located in the Historic District. 1001-4-10-32.

We received a sample of the
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awning. Can you confirm this is what is
being proposed, color.
MR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. HAMMES: The building is
currently a yellowey [sic] mustard
color, as I recall.
MR. BROWN: I believe so.
Sort of beige in my opinion.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So this the, we
are at the public hearing stage of this
application.
Is there anything you wanted to
add?
MR. BROWN: Robert Brown,
architect. Good afternoon.
Really, I think we have been
discussing this a bit already. If there
are any questions, $I$ can respond to it,
I'd be happy to.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Is there anybody
on the Board with any questions?
MR. COTUGNO: Is there a flame
spread rating for this material?
MR. BROWN: I believe there is.
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Flame spread rating?
MR. MUELLER: Yes.
MR. BROWN: Yes.
MR. COTUGNO: What is it?
MR. MUELLER: Christoph Mueller,
I'm the owner of the building.
Mills, local manufacturer of
these awnings, he sends these somewhere
down south to have them flame retarded.
That's all $I$ know.
I don't know what the specific
rating is, but he does all the awnings
in town, so $I$ would assume --
MR. COTUGNO: I guess
documentation should be submitted to the
Village so it's on file and so that it
meets the ratings that's required.
MR. PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, we
always require, for awnings, we always
require that documentation. There is a
certificate that we issue for awnings.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay, and that's
compliant to the code?
MR. PALLAS: We haven't gotten
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it. We wouldn't give them permission to
put it up without it.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
Any other questions regarding the
awning?
MS. HAMMES: Just to confirm, the
awning is not going to say anything on
it or it is gonna say something on it?
MR. MUELLER: There is -- for the
awning over the back parking that's not
gonna say anything on it; and on the
front, we have an awning we would like
to say the name on it in white, Anker,
that's all.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you for
answering that question.
Anybody in the public want to
speak at the time concerning this
application?
MR. HOVEY: Thank you.
I'm Bob Hovey (phonetic), and I
don't live in Greenport. I live in
Southold, and I'm aware, having attended
cultural and musical performances --
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: ExCuse me.
This particular application does
not involve any musical performances.
That's gonna be later on in today's
meeting.
MR. HOVEY: Nevermind.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Anybody else at
this time have any questions or
comments?
(No response.)
Anybody from the Board have
anything else?
(No response.)
At this time, $I$ move to close the
public hearing.
Do I have a second?
MR. COTUGNO: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
MR. KYRK: Aye.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MS. HAMMES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I further move
to take the vote on this application at
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this time.

Do I have a second?
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in
favor?
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
MS. HAMMES: Aye.
MR. KYRK: Aye.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: This motion is
passed.
The application is approved.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. The next
item is 136 Main Street, discussion and
possible approval of a request for
temporary certificate of occupancy for
HARG, LLC. Represented by Architect
Robert Brown.
The applicant is proposing
interior renovations to accommodate the use of retail establishment.

The property is located in the Commercial Retail District. This
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property is also located in the Historic
District.
It's Suffolk County Tax Map
1001-5-3-17.
Hi, Robert, how are you?
MR. BROWN: Robert Brown,
Architect.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Long time no
see.
Is there anything you want to add
at this time?
MR. BROWN: I just to point out
that the entire building was upgraded to
comply as much as possible with current
code in terms of separation and so
forth.
And it was retail space, it was
Goldsmiths, I'm sure everybody on the
Board is familiar with it; and is now
intended to be a retail clothing
establishment run by a longstanding
company.
It would -- we're asking for the
temporary co because really the only
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thing that's being done is lighting
fixtures and some finishes, and it would
be a shame to hold up opening a business
establishment in the very well-traveled
part of the Village.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
Now, it's my understanding and
discussing it with the Building
Department, that the condition to issue
the temporary certificate of occupancy
is there will be an internal ramp
within --
MR. BROWN: It has been built.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It is been built
already, okay.
Does anybody else on the Board
have any questions at the time on this
application?
(No response.)
Okay. I move at this time to
take a vote on this application.
All those in favor? Can $I$ have a
second on that, please.
MR. COTUGNO: Second.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in
favor of approving this temporary
certificate of occupancy, say aye.
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
MS. HAMMES: Aye.
MR. KYRK: Aye.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Aye.
Motion carried unanimously.
Thank you very much, Rob.
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We now move to
326 Front Street. It's a continued
pre-submission conference regarding the
site plan approval for ANVK Holdings
Trust, otherwise knows as the
Greenporter Hotel. Represented by
architects Hideaki Ariizumi and Glynis
Berry.
The applicant is proposing to
amend the site plan with an addition to
accommodate a new lobby and third floor.
The property is located in the
Commercial Retail District. The

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 property is not located in the Historic District.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-8-29, 30, 31.

MS. BERRY: Glynis Berry, Studio A/B Architects.

We submitted some additional
information, and $I$ have some comments in response to some of the comments received both from the Board and from the consultant that we received, so I'd like to go thorough our responses to some of those.

SEQRA determination. The Board suggested that the project be listed as a Type 1 action, which has expected impacts and the consultant listed it as a Type 2 action which has no impacts. The project is definitely not a Type 1 and I'll go through why. And we suggest that it be listed as an unlisted category for SEQRA.

The reason being, the site plan lot coverage has increased by

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19
2,052-square feet for the lobby and stairs; and the total percentage of lot coverage is roughly 38.13 percent which is still below code, so it's compliant with existing code including different interpretations for the zone, and it's well below the 10 acres listed as a Type 1 action, it's like 0.03 acres that we're touching, so it's quite small. The plan adds 9,823-square feet of interior space and 2,365 of decks and bridges, and that's well below the 50, 000-square feet which is the minimum for Type 1 action for an expansion of existing facility for a town or village with a population of 150,000 or less, so I don't see any criteria that pushes this into Type 1.

The proposed expansion is greater than 4,000-square feet which is referenced for Type 2, and I've included the language at the bottom of the letter that was submitted.

The second thing is the planning

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 comments, the consultant's comments mention requirements and egress escape plan, egress opening requirements, reflective ceiling fans, a fire suppression plan, these are all normally part of the building permit application and not usually part of a planning submittal. We do plan to submit those as part of the building permit application. The two main egress paths which are required for this project will be through the lobby and through the space between the two wings in the north and out north setback. We have every intention of being fully compliant with code and we he do not see any issue with that. So I ask that there be any clarification, if there are extra materials that you require as a planning board that are usually submitted in the building permit. We already submitted one of those relative to square footage for building type, but if there is
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Height verification. The height information on the plans is given in two formats. One is by actual elevation, and then on the first detail in the elevation, we also gave the relative heights as measured to the crown of the road which is how the Town determines, so both are given. If you want us to repeat that, you know, continuously throughout the plans, we're happy to do that; but the information is there.

Also, the definition of height is to the highest point of the roof, so in your documentation we also submitted what the elevation would be for the hand railing if you decide that that handrail is part of the roof. That's in your packet, and if you count the handrailing, it would be above the 35 and we're saying 37 feet to allow a little room for any variation, so we're
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talking two feet higher for railing; but the roof itself is below the 35 .

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: ExCuse me.
You mentioned also that solar panels may exceed the height, but you're saying those are exempt from the -MS. BERRY: They should be. I mean, when $I$ was looking at some of the documentation, I forgot -- I think when I was looking at some of the SEQRA information, $I$ thought $I$ saw something about exemption for solar.

It's not part of the structure and we were trying to keep it low. We can't imagine that it will be higher than the railing height, but until we have the vendor and know how the attachment, we won't have that exact number.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. HAMMES: I'm sorry.
Just to clarify on that point, reading what you have here on this point, the railing though will exceed
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the 35 feet.
MS. BERRY: Right.
MS. HAMMES: The railing is there to protect the solar panels, so if you're --

MS. BERRY: No. The railing is there to protect any people who are going to the garden, and it's set back from the front facade, so it's not right on the edge of the building facade, it's actually set back, so you probably won't even see it.

MS. HAMMES: Just so, again, I'm
just trying to understand. The position
of the applicant is the 36 and a half feet with the railing doesn't exceed, wouldn't require a variance.

MS. BERRY: If I read the
definition of height in your code, it says the building height is to the highest point of the roof, so if you count it to the roof, it's compliant. If you decide that you think the railing is considered the roof, which our
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interpretation is that it's not, but if you decide that it, then we would need a variance to get those couple extra feet.

MS. HAMMES: The question becomes is the railing part of the roof?

MS. BERRY: Exactly.
MR. CONNOLLY: That's a question for the building department.

MR. PALLAS: Ultimately, we would make a determination whether a variance is required and if one is required, it would be referred to Zoning Board.

MS. BERRY: Right.
And the impact should be very
minimal, so hopefully if we had to go for a variance, it shouldn't be a big issue.

Now, one of the things that complicated the last meeting was that this parcel is basically three parcels, and because you have a code that doesn't allow the merging of parcels, how you take a look at that is one of the issues.
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And also the boundary, zone
boundary line goes through the smallest of the parcels, so Section 155 (d) indicates that where a district boundary divides a lot, one ownership and more than 50 percent of the area of such lot lines is the less restricted district. The regulations prescribed by this chapter for the less restrictive district shall apply to such portion of the more restrictive portion of said lot as lies within 30 feet of such district boundary.

So we looked back at old surveys and we enclosed one that we found. It is missing the internal metes and bounds, so we did an evaluation that needs to be refined once we can get copies of the actual metes and bounds of each individual property which we might have to go to the County records to get that, but graphically applying it and then looking at this, we drew it on your zoning analysis map, and it looks like
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for that small lot, it's literally on the 50 percent, you know, depending on the angle of the line, it could 1 percent over or 1 percent less; it's literally on the 50 percent. So that means that all the lot would be considered $C R$ and not $R-2$.

Section $155(e)$ states that in all cases where district boundary line if located not further than 15 feet away from a lot line of record, such boundary line shall be construed to coincide with such lot line. Of course to make things complicated, this is an angled line, so part of line is within the 15 feet and part of it is outside the 15 feet, and the definition doesn't quite describe that. So whether the portion that is within 15 feet is okay or whether it's -- so hopefully applies and then you don't have to worry about that, but then you would need an interpretation about how you look at that line.

I should say that we are not
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And it's your position that a variance is not required for constructing a third level, per se?

MS. BERRY: It's a gray area. That's why I would have like the metes the bounds because, like I said, it's right on the border of the 50/50. If you can consider the 50 or under, then we're exempt, so we don't need to get a variance.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You're exempt based on what?

MS. BERRY: $155(\mathrm{~d})$ so if that internal metes and bounds kicks it slightly, you know, right at the 50 percent, so until I get those actual measurement, if I'm under 50, we don't need a variance, if I'm over 50, you
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: What is the position of the Building Department?

MR. PALLAS: We got this
information today, so we haven't really digested it all, but just on the reviews we have done so far, we believe there will be at least one variance without question for the third story, it's a code, it says limited to two, so at least that variance.

As of the other variances, the height variance, we're still analyzing that, and parking, potential parking variance.

Again, that will take a little bit of time at you're hearing. It covers three lots, one of which is in two different zones, part of the building is on one lot, part of it is on another, so it's a significant amount of work that needs to be done to analyze this from a zoning perspective.
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So you do intend to refer this the zoning Board?

MR. PALLAS: Yes. I mean ultimately, again, it would be at least one variance.

MS. BERRY: Can I -- you
mentioned that the one variance you have happening is that it's three stories instead of two.

MR. PALLAS: Yes.
MS. BERRY: But if you read the
code, it says two stories or
thirty-five feet. It doesn't say and
thirty-five feet.
MR. PALLAS: I understand, but
that's the -- I'm telling you what is going to happen. Other properties have been referred for that purpose and they're, they have not been interpreted to mean anything other than what it says in the code that two stories is the limit.

MR. ARIIZUMI: Hideaki Ariizmi, from Studio A/B Architect.
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The codes does not say only two
stories, code says two story or 35 feet.
MR. PALLAS: This --
MR. ARIIZUMI: So how you can
read that?
MR. PALLAS: This is Planning
Board, it's not the venue for that.
Ultimately, the Building
Department will issue either nothing or a Notice of Disapproval and you're free to ask for an interpretation of the Zoning Board, that would be your choice at that point.

MS. BERRY: Okay.
Also, we have a number of hotels
are already three stories in the district so.

Now, the lot coverage, if I take the portion of that small lot that's in R-2 and analyze it for lot coverage, I'm still compliant; so we analyzed the square footage that way, and it's still compliant with the maximum width code. Also, the same with the setback of
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10 feet, it still complies with that.
While the code restricts the
merging of lots, all the lots were owned by the original hotel owner. In the past, including after the adoption of this code, three lots have been treated as one parcel in terms of use and setbacks. If the lots are treated individually, this affects the interpretation of the amount of parking that is allowed under the exemption for a site developed as of 1/1/1991 as stated in B 150-12(c).

So, I took another look at the parking, analyzing the worst-case scenario to see how we came up; and the existing parking demand is 54 parking spaces or 51 if you give credit for three of them being used by staff or owner. The proposed demand is 55 parking spaces, which is going to be four more than what the existing demand is now. So I'm using that as the basis. We confirmed with the owner that
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the maximum staff is five, and when $I$ have been there, it's been evident that's that, so the consultant mentioned ten, but we did --

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Will that be true with an increased --

MS. BERRY: I believe so from looking at what's going on. That's a good question for the owner. She is planning to be here, but she's on the bus, she'll be here at 4:45.

The 75-seat restaurant which operated as an independent restaurant open to the general public is proposed as a resource limited to guests for breakfast and meetings.

MS. HAMMES: I have a question on that, or maybe $I$ just want clarification, and this may be something you need Debra for.

But my understanding, and maybe I'm wrong because this is more word of mouth, is that in the past, that space may have been used when a guest is
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having a wedding and some people were staying there, maybe not all people staying there, there might have a cocktail party or brunch, and that might have included non-guest participants, and so I guess I'd like to understand whether the intention would be ever or to the extent that breakfast is being served or if she is reserving the right to hold special events for guests on the property, which $I$ would assume she might want to do even though the application is not specific on that, whether or not there is any possibility that that would include participants that were not actual guests at the hotel at the time and because they are somehow associated. MS. BERRY: If they were, I imagine, it would be rather small. MS. HAMMES: It's a question, and I think something we'll want to take into account.

MS. BERRY: We'll ask her that. The previously-approved plan had
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34 parking spot plus drop off and loading for a total of 35 , and another plan that we saw in the file had 29. The current count is more than that, it's 35, plus one loading and drop off and two valet rental parking spots for a total of 39 . The way it's set up, it's more appropriate for valet parking, and it doesn't meet the code. So we actually went back and looked at an alternative plan that we submitted. The project is exempt from park requirements as per $B$ 150-12(c) for a site developed as of 1/1/1991. If the lots are consider essentially one, which the Greenporter owned all the lots at the time, the number of parking spaces is not provided is not relevant, just the layout. But the current owner purchased the three lots from original owner, so, in fact, the three lots were functioning as one whole facility even though the building was built only on one of these lots; but if the lots are

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 considered, the two lots that weren't developed, you want to have us assess the parking and not apply the exemption, we looked at that and then just the B wing would be applicable and as the east wing was previously developed and with the proposed expansion, the west wing has a parking need of 30 spots, and the need accommodated by both existing and the proposed plans, so we believe that we have answered the parking need even if those two lots, if that parking need needs to be identified.

Accessible parking, while the existing plan shows one accessible spot, in fact it does not meet fully-accessible requirements in terms of paving and ramps. The attach revised plan incorporates two accessible parking spots with a depressed curb by the front entry.

I should note that they tried to provide it, it was decided that they didn't back then because of drainage
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issue and whatnot, but we came up with something we thought might be considered appropriate.

One pot does overlap the loading area. Since deliveries to the property are very infrequent, only three to four deliveries a month, the overlap can be handled easily, and any potential conflicts can be handled with valet service. She literally only gets two to three deliveries per month, and the garbage is in the back, so not they're not even in the front.

To keep the full width of the entry drive, the concrete paving for the accessible path is planed for just a one-inch change in elevation, this way the pavement can mounted when needed. In the revised plan that was included, 34 spots are proposed, two of which are accessible and two of which are for valet or rental use.

The regular spaces are 9 feet in width which matches the
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previously-accepted plans, so that is another item that we're actually better than the existing, but it doesn't meet the 10 that's in the current code.

Then there was an issue raised about, what about traffic, so we looked at expected trips and for existing conditions, the hotel and restaurant combined has 511 trips per day and there is a peak p.m. of 49.

MS. HAMMES: To be clear, that restaurant hasn't been opened for two years, right?

MS. BERRY: That doesn't matter.
MS. HAMMES: I understand they
have a right, $I$ don't really understand where the numbers are coming and how you can estimate that when there's no oncoming traffic.

MS. BERRY: I looked at traffic studies and different guidance and came up with those numbers.

MS. HAMMES: Okay.
MS. BERRY: I understand that
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MS. HAMMES: I understand that.
MS. BERRY: After the proposal, taking the restaurant out of the equation, the trips actually 34 less per day and the peak a.m. is higher with 11 , so essentially, there is change in traffic, in fact, it's beneficial.

On top of that, the hotel is
located just west of the Village, anybody coming is stopping before they enter the Village, so they're not going to have an impact on, you know, the intersections of Third and whatnot.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That depends on which direction they come from. They can come down First Street from Main, you're assuming they're coming from the Main Road from Southold direction.

MS. BERRY: Yeah.
MS. HAMMES: They could come in on the North Road.
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MS. BERRY: If they do, they're
probably coming Moors Lane, you know, so
if you use Google, it would probably
send you there.
And then on top of that, a lot of
their guests come by bus and rail. I
really don't think the traffic impacts
are significant at all.
we filled out the full
again it's evident that the project has
very little impact. The amount of
disturbance is minimal, we calculated
the water by health standards and
production differences, only 338 gallons
per day, which is basically the
equivalent of a house, also they're
going to be using water conserving
fixtures, so the usage could be even
lower.

It's located near the bay and
parks for enjoyment, but not close enough to cause any impairment.

And then quality, I'd like to

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19
add, in addition to the above calculations, there is a quality to this project that should not be ignored. The proprietor will incorporate environmentally-beneficial attributes such as the solar panel and water-conserving fixtures. She told me she uses environmentally-friendly soaps and laundry detergent. She does the laundry on site, so you don't have the trips for that. The staff is treated as family and youth mentored as evidenced just last month with one staffer being an activist for clean water in remote countries.

In addition, she has established a nonprofit that promotes awareness of agricultural and food production best practices and promotes agritourism that helps visitors appreciate sources of their food. She also supports other businesses with maps and references lacking from other sources. This is a human, personally-run business that is
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If you have any questions, I'll
be happy to answer them.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We just got this
modification today, so we have not had the opportunity to review it. We do appreciate your presentation. It was helpful, but at this time, I don't think it's -- unless other members want to raise specific, $I$ think it's in everybody's best interest to adjourn this pre-submission conference to the next available time, and we can continue with discussion on it after we have had the opportunity the review what you just submitted to us.

MS. BERRY: Okay.
I would appreciate it, if you had any issues or questions, if $I$ could get them ahead of time, so we can prepare.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Before I complete that suggestion, if there is anybody who wants --
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MS. HAMMES: I just would say, I } \\
& \text { think it's gonna be helpful in term of } \\
& \text { next steps to understand where the } \\
& \text { Building Department comes out on the } \\
& \text { need for any variance, and their views } \\
& \text { on a number of these points. I, in } \\
& \text { particular, am interested in the } \\
& \text { question of, you know, currently there } \\
& \text { is an argument that it's two separate } \\
& \text { buildings and this is now going to be } \\
& \text { converted into one building, and does } \\
& \text { that trigger anything in terms of it } \\
& \text { being a pre-existing structure or not. } \\
& \text { I raised this at the last meeting, } \\
& \text { that's obviously a code interpretation } \\
& \text { and ultimately may be a zoning board } \\
& \text { interpretation, but I suspect we as a } \\
& \text { Board are gonna want to know what the } \\
& \text { state of play is with the zoning we } \\
& \text { proceed to the next step on this. } \\
& \text { kind of get an idea of it, you know. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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It's gonna be a very big structure when
it's done. Because right now there's an
opening in the front where there are some trees and things. I'd be interested, and I don't know if it's possible to do or not, but to understand, there are some trees behind the property that are visible from the street, and I'd be interested to know whether they would be completely blocked by the third floor, just out of curiosity as a kind of visual situation for the impact on the Village.

MS. BERRY: Ask.
Also relative to the two versus
one building, we did look at, it does comply with State building code as to the size of the building. We also looked at, Paul mentioned, fire access, and it does comply with, you know, the maximum distance from the access point and we seem the comply with best guidance on that.
So I think the only weird thing
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is Greenport has this unusual code that doesn't allow people to merge lots and yet properties are considered as one, then they are developed and I believe that's happened in other locations, and that's true for this one because -- and it's true for the history of this project as well so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Actually, I have one other question and that is, that in the materials we received, including the elevations, $I$ don't really get a strong sense of the look of the project, and I'd appreciate if we could get a better rendering of what it's going to look like, particularly, an elevation from the street point of view, and frankly the others as well, but to me that's the most important at the moment, just to see what it's going to look like. There was one rendering, to me it because it wasn't in color and it was just maybe too small, just didn't have enough detail. I couldn't get a sense of what
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it was really gonna look like, and that would be important to us because -MS. HAMMES: It's an unusual project in that, and again, standing across the street looking at it today, it doesn't look like a big structure right now because of the split in the front of it and the trees, but I do, you know, that was one of the reasons why I'm asking about the trees and things because $I$ do think, understanding it's a density matter, it's not what it will look like in the front because there's going to be a huge courtyard in the middle of it, but from the outside looking at it, $I$ think it's going to look like a very large structure, so I think that it's going to be important for us to really get an idea of what it's gonna look like from the street level.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I would
add from the neighbors, like have renderings of what -- because I know
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it's gonna affect backyards like a few doors down if that's possible. MS. BERRY: Okay. Just one of the things is because Greenport's becoming more of a year-round location, the hotel really sort of lacks indoor space for guests; so a lot of this project is to also incorporate indoor lobby, so people don't have to go out and in again to go to the breakfast room to create an indoor room and things like that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Do the current drawings, $I$ can't remember, include proposed landscaping in front of the hotel?

MS. BERRY: Not really because we didn't change much. We haven't focused on that.

> CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It might be something that might help the application if you could incorporate a proposed landscaping plan. MS. HAMMES: And to go back to
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what $I$ mentioned earlier, also at the next meeting get some idea of what the use of that breakfast room space is contemplated to be in terms of whether it's really just restricted to breakfast and meals for people that are onsite or if she is looking to retain the ability to do other special events related to things that may be going on.

MS. BERRY: Okay. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you. Okay.

The next and last item --
MR. PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, if $I$ may, before you move on to the next item.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.
MR. PALLAS: In terms of putting this on an agenda, the next work session is June 6th, is that your intention to put it on as a work session, not at the regular meeting, at the work session, correct?
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.
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MR. COTUGNO: You will have the
zoning analysis by then?
MR. PALLAS: We'll do everything
we can to get it by then and the additional comments from the planning consultant as well.

MR. COTUGNO: Yeah, also --
MS. HAMMES: I guess it would be helpful if we can all have it, if possible, a couple days beforehand so we can get a --

MR. PALLAS: We'll have it to you no less than a week in advance is our goal.

MR. COTUGNO: Type 1, is that still on the table?

MR. CONNOLLY: I think Glynis did
a good job of evaluating the --
MR. COTUGNO: But that has to be done by, it should be done by June 6th. MR. PALLAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The next item is 48 Front Street. Public hearing for a site plan
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approval 48 Front Street, LLC, know as Greenhill Kitchen. Represented by Architect Robert Brown.

The applicant is proposing to
amend the site plant to accommodate an additional use of live performance space on the second floor.

The property is located in the Commercial Retail District. This property is not located in the Historic District.

It's Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-10-32.

MR. BROWN: Robert Brown again. We're not making any changes to the building in this application. It's a building that's been in existence for several years now.

In terms of the use, $I$ would like to point out that in terms of occupancy as defined by New York State code, the restaurant use which is what it has been, is defined as A2 assembly space, and the live entertainment is also A2

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 assembly; so what we're asking for is an opportunity to use the second floor for live musical entertainment. I believe you probably have received some documentation from the owner, Mr. Mueller, regarding hours of operation and compliance with noise codes.

MS. HAMMES: I'm sorry, while she's looking at that because $I$ don't know that I've seen that, when you say 82 occupants, is --

MR. BROWN: A2 is the -MS. HAMMES: -- full
establishment or the second floor?
MR. BROWN: Both.
MS. HAMMES: Is there an
occupancy limited if you're applying just for the second floor?

MR. BROWN: No.
What I'm saying is, the entire
building was A2 restaurant use.
MS. HAMMES: Oh.
MR. BROWN: A as in apple, 2, and
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the changing from an eating establishment to a live music venue is still under A2.

MS. HAMMES: A2, I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sir, are you
pointing that out for any particular reason other than there is not technically a change of use --

MR. BROWN: It's just as a point of information.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MS. HAMMES: I wasn't on the
Planning Board for the pre-submission
hearing, but $I$ was here and I also watched it on videotape; but I thought at that meeting there was some statement made to the effect that you intended also to continue serving food up there. So it would be food and performance?

MR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. HAMMES: Or is it the intention to just have it be a performance space?

MR. BROWN: No, it will be food
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CHAIRMAN FOOTE: My understanding
at that meeting was they wanted to maintain some flexibility depending upon the size of the anticipated audience for music versus food, just flexibility so as long as the seating requirements were, the head count was --

MR. BROWN: And also on evenings where there might not be live entertainment.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I'm sorry.
MR. BROWN: Also to use the space on evenings when there might not be live entertainment.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right.
Now, I'm gonna raise this with
the Building Department.
What is the standard here, the determining whether or not we have, it has to concern itself with installation of a sprinkler system; what would trigger that requirement?

My understanding is this building
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MR. PALLAS: Typically, when a building permit is applied for, they would have to bring the building up to current code. There is not a building permit required for this space, there is not change we would require for the space.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But the necessity for a building permit is typically what triggers our review as a planning board, so what is triggering our review as a planning board even though there is no building permit being issued?
MR. PALLAS: It's the use of the
space in this case as a music venue.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
But is the fact that the use is
now changing, at least generically, if
not legally, does that require us to
consider frankly, not only the Planning
Board but the building department to
consider, you know, under the theory
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that it's a use that would involve greater density of participation of occupancy, is that not something that should be factored in to determine whether or not something like a sprinkler system should be reconsidered? MR. PALLAS: Just to be clear, there are two different processes in play. One is the Planning Board process which is merely the approval of the use of the space. The Building Department's review would include things like you're bringing up; but the fact that there is no building permit applied for occupancy, I mean, occupancy of the space that is legal as proposed because it does have two means of egress currently, it does meet all of the egress codes currently. There is no reason for us to do any further review, review stops at that point.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: If this were a
new space being built under these circumstances, would we require a
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MR. PALLAS: I honestly don't
know. I have to investigate. I think so, but I'm not a hundred percent certain on that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Anybody else
want to weigh in on this?
MR. COTUGNO: There is a State official assigned to Suffolk County, I believe his name is Rick Smith, and I believe he should be called in for --

MR. PALLAS: We do contact him on a variety of topics. We will continue to investigate this, again, that's a separate process from the Planning Board's process.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Thank
you, Paul.
MR. COTUGNO: If you were forced to put in a sprinkler system, would your client still want to go ahead with this project?

MR. BROWN: Yes.
MS. HAMMES: Were there any --
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when the Harborfront was built, the previous building, $I$ remember when it was built, were there any restrictions on the upstairs? Because somebody once mentioned to me they thought there might be some limitation on the use, maybe it was just the outside part. I don't know.

MR. BROWN: If I may, I was the architect for that building many years ago. There were no restrictions to my knowledge.

MS. HAMMES: Okay.
MR. PALLAS: And a review of the file supports that.

MS. HAMMES: Okay. I wanted to be clear.

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Did we get clarification if there are other live music permits besides Claudio's.

MS. HAMMES: My understanding, I talked to the code enforcement guy this morning and he told me the only establishment in town that has approval

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 for live music is Claudio's. And I further understand from that conversation, although this is again a legal interpretation question, because I raised the point that $I$ know there is some other music that occurs from time to time in town, that to the extent that it is not the kind of principal focus or advertised as such, that that doesn't require a use, a separate use, but in this case in particular because of what happened last year with them using Sofar and others that that's what has kind of triggered the need, since they are advertising it as a musical establishment, they need a specific use permit.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You mentioned there had been the letter submitted in terms of the hours of proposed operation.

MR. BROWN: I believe that is
true.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I didn't get it.
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```
                                    MR. PALLAS: Just took a quick
    look in the file and I don't see it and
    I don't actually recall --
    MR. BROWN: If that's not the
    case we'll get it to you.
        MR. PALLAS: I apologize if it
    was sent in and misplaced. It might be
    on us, but I don't see it in the file.
    MS. HAMMES: We're not going to
    be able to close this public hearing
    without knowing that, so --
    MR. BROWN: Would you allow
    Mr. Mueller to stipulate to that
    personally?
        MS. HAMMES: I'd be fine with
    that.
        MR. BROWN: Christoph.
        MR. MUELLER: Christoph Mueller.
    I am the own of the building of the
    restaurant.
        I did submit the letter to the
    Village stating our operating hours
    which I hand delivered to you.
    MR. PALLAS: Again, with
```
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MR. MUELLER: The letter said, this is in reference to our permit to have live music upstairs at 48 Front Street.

The question was raised at the last meeting what the hours of operation would be for the music venue. As a general guideline, we envision to offer music as follows: Predominantly we will feature local artist of the music genres blues, jazz, country, folk, and Latin Monday through Thursday 7:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m., Friday and Saturday 7:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m., holidays and weekend Sundays 7:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.

Please note that besides music, we also plan to show comedy, theater, and film performances again featuring local productions.

> MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I'm
sorry, can you repeat Saturday and Sunday, the hours, Friday, Saturday and
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```
    MR. MUELLER: Saturday and
    Sunday, on regular Friday and Saturday
    7:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.
```

    MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sunday
    was --
        MR. MUELLER: Sunday was for
    holiday.
        MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I got
    yah. So then other Sundays, no music
    ever.
        MS. HAMMES: I think it would
    be --
        MR. MUELLER: Sunday would be --
        CHAIRMAN FOOTE: 7:00 to 11:00.
        MR. MUELLER: 7:00 to 11:00.
        And it was April 10th, I
    submitted that letter.
        MS. HAMMES: It's my
    understanding that the code itself has
    noise decibel limitations, that's the
    only real thing that's in the code?
        MR. PALLAS: Correct.
        MS. HAMMES: That's not
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stipulated on the time basis or is it? Like the same decibel level for all times?

MR. PALLAS: It does change.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think
you --
MR. PALLAS: Also refers to
distance to a residential area, a lot of things in there as well.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I think you may have already answered this question, I apologize if you did. Is the intent particularly in the summer months to have the windows opened upstairs?

The reason I ask that obviously is, the noise to the street is going to be affected depending upon whether the windows are closed or not, and if the intent is to open them, which I would understand, is the decibel level going to be measured with that assumption that the windows would be open $I$ think that's something to take into account.

MR. PALLAS: We would only
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measure it on a complaint anyway. If
someone says it's too loud, we would then investigate that complaint.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Are we giving them any guidance in advance of what the decibel level is?

MR. PALLAS: It's stated in the code, so they would have to comply with the code, whatever it is.

MR. COTUGNO: Who checks the decibel level?

MR. PALLAS: It would be up to the Village to do that.

MR. COTUGNO: I would think if we approve it, it should be on the onus of the applicant to provide documentation of what decibel levels it's reaching.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The other thing I'd like to raise is, given the unique -- well first of all, let me say that conceptually, I think it's, what you're proposing is a potential very interesting benefit for the community, and I think it's something that if you

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 work with the right guidelines, for me, personally, it would be welcome, so I want to make that clear. We want to be careful too that the community is being served and, you know, we're doing this with public safety in mind as well.

And one of the things that we would probably like to consider doing is making it -- if we do, obviously, after the public hearing is over and were we to decide to go forward, we may decide to go forward on kind of a test-case basis and we would like to have the ability the revisit it at the end of the season just to see how it worked out and how the community responded and make whatever necessary adjustments.

MR. MUELLER: That would be totally fine with us. I mean, we want to make a contribution to the community and to disrupt the community -- we love Greenport and we have been very nicely welcomed here in town and we want to give something back, so we have no
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intention, you know, profit at the expense of safety or disrupting this very nice town.

It's just, for us it's so difficult to operate when, for example, we cannot advertise. Like last year, we couldn't really advertise our music because it would look like we had a music event and we would face steep fines. We'd like to be able to advertise and we want to find a modus operandi that is good for us and good for the town, so a test phase would be acceptable to us.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you.
Would anybody else at this time like to speak regarding this application?

MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street.

In some ways, this one really puzzles me because it is an acceptable use. This application has been before the Planning Board for six months and $I$
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kind of feel bad that we're approaching Memorial Day weekend and now they still may not have an answer to something that is allowed and acceptable in the Business District.

A lot of the questions being raised tonight are not concerns of the Planning Board. They're for other statutory boards to approve or not approve. So I'm confused why they have to get permission to have live music when every other business is allowed to have live music.

And from what $I$ just was hearing from the beginning of this, it sounds like this is going to get pushed yet another month waiting for answers, so now you're pushing them into the middle of the summer to be able to get any kind of approval. I'm not sure what the holdup is, why they're not being approved. If they don't stay within the code, they will be fined. So that shouldn't be, oh, well, now they have to
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tell us what the decibel will be, they have the file -- they know they are the follow the code, and $I$ would like to see this be moved forward and make this applicant -- and it's not --

Most of you up here have not, you just came on and $I$ am so grateful to see a full board sitting here, but we have had month after month after month after month of not having a full board so nothing's been done, and $I$ don't feel that it's fair to the applicant that now it seems like they're gonna get pushed yet another month before they get any decision.

Personally, $I$ feel it should be approved. If they don't keep within the code, They get heavily fined.

MS. HAMMES: I would just note that my understanding is, if they were just doing music that's incidental to serving food like some of the other business in town, they wouldn't need the use permit, but because they want to
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advertise and hold it out as, you know
performing space, that's what's
triggering our review. I understand
your point about timing, I don't think,
as you noted a number of the board are
new and --
MS. ALLEN: Well, I mean the
Clambar, Port, other places advertise as
well so that's.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: -- has a
permit, Claudio's has a live music
venue, which is --
MS. HAMMES: -- understand
your --
MS. ALLEN: -- and they know they
have to stay within, if they're having
live music, they have to stay within
their co, they can go over their co, you
know.
I would just like to see
something be done and get this
application approved.
Thank you.
(Applause.)
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MR. BENGOLIA: My name is Alberto
Bengolia and I'm a professional
musician. I've been a professional
musician all my life and $I$ have a letter here stating some facts that are very boring, but can leave it with the Board?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Sure.
MR. BROWN: So I'll synthesize it.

Basically, based upon my personal observations, I have no doubt that the only motivation the Greenhill Kitchen owner my have in placing today's petition is the improvement of Greenport and the artistic and cultural growth of the community.

Like I was saying, I have been a
musician all my life and $I$ started playing solo guitar in the North Fork like three, four years ago, and I've been trying to propose to owners of the different places and vineyards and restaurants that $I$ was playing at, I was trying to sell the idea of the jazz day
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or jazz jam day like they have in Southold, and it's been very successful for ten or fifteen years so far; so everybody is relatively interested, but it didn't go anywhere, I've been trying to push this idea for two years.

Now, on this all the detail and dates $I$ have stated here with the Greenhill Kitchen, $I$ came in and in five days from being hired to play a solo little act there to developing a jazz jam day that was repeated weekly, you know, it took only five days, the whole thing and no -- I mean, he was very enthusiastic about pushing the artistic, you know, musical scenario in Greenport. I came in on Friday, on Monday they had this poster created, and they repeated the poster each and every week, you know, for two or three months until the end of December.

The details regarding the rest of stuff is here. There a lot of people that want to talk, so thank you very
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(Applause.)
MR. REEVE: My name is Linsey
Reeve (phonetic). Thank you, Board, for letting me speak.

My family lives in Riverhead, but we started out in Greenport. That ornate horse truck behind Arcade was dedicated after my three or four time great grandfather, so we've got a little history in the Village and I love this village.

I had a business on Main Street in Riverhead called Eastenders Coffee House for seven years, and from day 1, I wished I had it in Greenport, once I started because back then Riverhead wasn't -- by the time we closed in seven years, I was the 28 th business to close on Main Street in that seven-year period. I really wish $I$ were here, but I'll never get my wife to do that again, so it's over.

I'm also a professional musician,

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 I'm a booking agent. I've booked and played up and down the east northeast coast for years, and $I$ have been business wise involved with many establishments $I$ would like to say similar to theirs, but there aren't any similar to theirs, and the main reason for that is, nobody is Christoph and his son Robin, they're -- you're lucky to have them in this Village, that's all there is to it. You're luck to have them in this Village, they are a class act. They're respectful, the food's awesome. There's a lot of good food, the food is really good. They're just really good people, and any Village that get them are very fortunate to have them in my opinion. I've been up against a lot of types in my travel with what $I$ do and they should all be like these guys. You're in really good stead if you had them.

I would love to see the application go through. Again, $I$ kind

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 agree with here, I don't really see what issue could possibly be. If you had ever been there, like when Al Burner (phonetic) was playing, you would have a better understanding of why it's one of the nicest spots in Greenport, and I'd love to see it stay that way and even be enhanced with more music, and for them to be able to advertise and all that it's very important.

If $I$ could have afforded to advertise in Riverhead, maybe I'd still be there.

Thank you for your time. They're the best thing you got in my opinion. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you. MR. PISTICADO: Steve Pisticado (phonetic), I've been booking the music at Claudio's for a lot of years, 30 years, so $I$ started when $I$ was six years old.

I just think the idea of the different types of music, I want to say
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real quick gives a great, a new flavor of music to the Greenport. It would be very important for the Village and the whole North Fork the bring different people in to hear the different flavors of music because pretty much right, our music is pretty standard, so $I$ think it would be great, the different types of music, jazz, blues all that. And I am an ex-musician so, or still a musician so $I$ just saying really quickly, $I$ think that would be really nice and cool.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. HOVEY: Pardon my former enthusiasm, I just want to add my voice to --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I'm sorry, would
you just give us your name, please.
MR. HOVEY: Bob Hovey, Southold resident, but obviously, I'm in Greenport frequently.
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I just wanted to add my support to the project and express my belief in the importance of what Christoph is doing at Greenhill. I think they have provided a venue for very important music that you don't hear every day, so I hope it's approved.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you.
MR. KONTOKOSTA: My name is
Michael Kontokosta, $I$ own a business here in the Village and another business just outside of the Village, and I just want to lend my support to Christoph and Robin, I think they are, as the other gentlemen said, true assets to this Village and we are lucky to have them.

I wold also encourage you to foster this as much as you can, and Greenport has a long history with live music. I can remember being ten-years old in North Fork bank parking lot and, you know, my and my dad and my two aunts brought their folding chairs -- I don't
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know if anybody remembers this, right, the Greenport --
(Audience members speak
simultaneously.)
-- doing it in Mitchell Park, so this is something that, and in Mitchell Park it's encouraged and it's great and from the hotel, we can hear the dance nights and all of our guests absolutely love it, we have never heard a complaint, and sometimes that music goes 10:30, 11 o'clock at night, we could get into a situation where sometimes get right, complaints, and we don't, right for, for years, and this is 35 people in a hotel paying whatever they're paying a night, and they love it too.

I encourage you to take this opportunity to make this happen and make it happen tonight if that's possible, so that we can welcome them with a big Memorial Day concert, not too big, medium Memorial Day concert, just to the occupancy of the restaurant.
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(Applause.)
MR. WRITE: My name is Stanley
Write (phonetic). I have lived here on
Long Island quite a long time, over 40
years, played in Greenport, Suffolk County probably the past 35 years or so. I'd like to say that Greenport doesn't seem to be the way it used to be 25 years ago. It seems like musically, it was busier; but Christoph, his organization, the club is really bringing a lot to the town, everybody -I perform there myself and like the other musicians said, they are a class act, and $I$ think they're gonna bring a lot to this.

In the short time they were
opened, I was one of the first
performers to play there, after about three weeks or so, everybody that came there was excited, all the customers were excited, and $I$ just think it's a great idea. Like they were saying, I think they should get these permits or
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whenever tonight if you can get it.
But that's about it, it's a great place. They're gonna do your community right, they're gonna do the right thing. And like the other musicians said, they are a class act.

Thank you.
(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you. MS. HENDRICKSON: Hello, my name
is Sandy Hendrickson. I was born in Greenport Hospital, I've lived all my life on the North Fork. I've grown up in Cutchogue. I was a fine arts major with a minor in education, and $I$ have taught in the Mattituck Cutchogue School District for 30 years. I have done 29 plays, I put small children on the stage, and $I$ have had music in my classroom every day, and $I$ want to support the Greenhill Kitchen especially for the kids too and people of all ages, some of us older folks, we put our instruments away in the closet and we

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 don't use them, but here is an opportunity for kids, teenagers, adults, seniors to enjoy music and perform. Thank you. Please support it. (Applause.) MR. TARGIS: Hello. My name is Eric Targis (phonetic) and $I$ recently founded a local not for profit called the East End Music Alliance, and I personally have been overwhelmed with all the support that we have been getting. We are a very strong musical community as you obviously see by all the people here just in support of the Greenhill Kitchen.

I think it would be a real shame if they did not get their music permitted or whatever we want to call it right now because a lot of people are getting, not only just a really great time being there, but it's a great thing for the community in every respect. I have a list here of 64 or 65 people who would like to have been here today but

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 could not have been here, so I'd like to leave that with you.

Thank you.
(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Would anybody
else like to speak at this public hearing?

MR. RUSS: Hi. My name is
Richard Russ. I'm a resident of Southold, and I'd like to say a few words from the heart.

I think the Mueller family has provided a very true uniquely beautiful experience for individual North Fork that are very talented. The community has come together from them providing this opportunity, and $I$ think it's just a great beautiful thing and $I$ know you have a lot of concerns and things to consider in terms of giving them this permit, but I trust both the Mueller family and the Board in terms of making the right decision and in terms of doing the right thing, so thank you very much.
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(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you.
Anybody else?
(No response.)
Anybody on the Board have
anything to say at this time?
MS. HAMMES: I just want to thank everybody for showing up, and $I$ go to various meetings in the Village and I'm on the Planning Board, and I don't always see this good of a turnout, so thank you all. I think involvement is really important. I think there were some valid points made that we should consider.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I'd like to ask
the Building Department at this time whether or not we can close public hearing and move to take a vote on this application.

MR. CONNOLLY: You can move to close it.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Can we take a vote?
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MR. COTUGNO: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay, I'll tell
you a couple that $I$ would like the incorporate.

> First of all, in terms of the hours of activity, I felt those were very reasonable and fair, and $I$ would support those hours of operation.

As far as, I defer to the
Building Department's determination as to whether or not this building requires some of the things we brought up like the sprinkler system, that's in your domain as far as what we're presenting tonight, it will be subject to whatever you determine is necessary for that. I'm not sure, are there any other conditions you would like? MR. COTUGNO: I thought we were

Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19 going to reexamine this at the end of the season.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thank you. Yes.
The final condition would be, we have the ability to reexamine the permit being issued and see whether or not we want to make any changes to it after the season is complete.

MR. COTUGNO: They would have to come back for this?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes, if we wanted to --

MS. HAMMES: Couldn't we have it that in October, we review it and make a determination?

MR. CONNOLLY: That's a condition.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: We would bring it up for review at some meeting in October. I assume the season is gonna run through September, so $I$ would just say let's just pick a day in October, the middle of October is fine.

MR. PALLAS: Suggestion, the work
Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19
session would be appropriate for that.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The work
sessions are at the beginning of the
month.
MS. LINGG: October 3rd?
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That's fine.
I move to close the public
hearing.
Do I have a second?
MR. COTUGNO: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
MS. HAMMES: Aye.
MR. KYRK: Aye.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I now at this
time move to take a vote on this
application with the condition that we
articulated.
Do I have a second for this
motion?
MR. COTUGNO: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in
favor?
Village of Greenport Regular Session -- 5/2/19
MS. HAMMES: Aye.
MR. KYRK: Aye.
MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MR. COTUGNO: Aye.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Motion carries.
The application is approved.
(Applause.)
Item number 56, motion to
adjourn.
(Time noted: 5:23 p.m.)
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