

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

VILLAGE OF GREENPORT.

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK

-----x

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

WORK SESSION

-----x

Third Street Firehouse

Greenport, New York

August 20th, 2020

7:00 p.m.

B E F O R E:

GEORGE HUBBARD, JR. - MAYOR

JACK MARTILOTTA - DEPUTY MAYOR

PETER CLARKE - TRUSTEE

MARY BESS PHILLIPS - TRUSTEE

JULIA ROBINS - TRUSTEE (Absent)

JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY

SYLVIA PIRILLO - VILLAGE CLERK

PAUL PALLAS - VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR

ROBERT BRANDT - VILLAGE TREASURER

1

2

(The meeting was called to  
order at 7 p.m.)

3

4

MR. HUBBARD: Call the meeting  
to order with the pledge of the flag.

5

6

ALL: I pledge allegiance to  
the Flag of the United States of  
America, and to the Republic for  
which it stands, one Nation under God  
indivisible, with liberty and justice  
for all.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay,  
just so everybody knows, we all have  
masks on. Just try to speak loud  
when you're talking. We're doing the  
social distancing. Just stand up  
where you're at and speak loud so we  
can all here you, that would be  
appreciated, and everybody at home  
can here it also. We'll start with  
the fire department.

22

23

24

25

Chief Manwaring, do you want to come  
up to the front? You can take the  
chair in the front where you're  
closer.

1

2

CHIEF MANWARING: I think we did this one -- we discussed last night. He went out to Greenport to reject that.

6

7

8

9

MR. HUBBARD: Right. The village itself never rejected all bids on that. So we can reject all bids at our meeting next week.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

CHIEF MANWARING: Accept the application for memberships for (inaudible). She was a member and she resigned a year or so ago, but now she wants to get back in. And then a Gary Stone for the -- he opted out of his membership and he wants to take that back.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The main thing to talk about is that (inaudible) the land pump went out for emergency generator repair and while he was out, I -- service department. Saw the generator and determined that it's not repairable. And not -- wasn't repairable with the amount of parts and told us to

1  
2 restructure it and send it to Ohio to  
3 see if this is something he can get  
4 rebuilt. Only reason I'm mentioning  
5 that we're doing this is because each  
6 spring is broken, they found a pump  
7 for -- the cab pump wasn't working,  
8 the lights wasn't working. The  
9 reason why I'm mentioning this is  
10 because the bill is going to be  
11 somewhere around \$25,000.

12 MR. HUBBARD: Got it.

13 CHIEF MANWARING: But that was  
14 -- I just wanted to make sure that  
15 was mentioned. I know Rob had talked  
16 about it. All right. The other  
17 thing is lately we've been going to a  
18 lot of automatic alarms and when we  
19 get there, the BIB and the business  
20 owners are telling their employees to  
21 shut it off because the fire  
22 department isn't going to come. The  
23 alarm systems are being turned off.  
24 We had one place that they actually  
25 opened the box to turn it off, take a

1

2

screwdriver to remove -- So when

3

we're getting there, they're just

4

like oh, we took care of it. They

5

can't go that way you because I can't

6

take their word for it. You ask me

7

to come in and check it, and then if

8

I walk away and four hours later

9

there's a fire, all hell breaks out.

10

So, in the meantime, we discussed --

11

I'd like to give Greg a portable --

12

call county and ask county about

13

giving them a -- like, I guess,

14

(inaudible) and the building.

15

MR. HUBBARD: Paul would like

16

to say something.

17

MR. PALLAS: Chief, it will be

18

okay if you and I can have a

19

conversation offline, I would

20

appreciate it.

21

CHIEF MANWARING: OKAY. I

22

think that's it.

23

MR. HUBBARD: Any questions for

24

the CHIEF?

25

MS. PIRILLO: Do you have the

1

2

applications that you referenced?

3

CHIEF MANWARING: The

4

applications?

5

MS. PIRILLO: Yeah.

6

CHIEF MANWARING: Jim has them

7

in the office because we had some

8

last night. He didn't walk it over

9

here today?

10

MS. PIRILLO: Not that I know

11

of, no.

12

MR. HUBBARD: Just get it so

13

she has the names and everything

14

else. All the spellings and correct

15

names and everything.

16

CHIEF MANWARING: That's it for

17

me then.

18

MR. HUBBARD: All right. Thank

19

you very much.

20

Okay, the Village Administrator

21

Report?

22

MR. PALLAS: First items are

23

the ferry rerouting project. I had

24

sent to the board of the engineer's

25

alternative designs for the

1  
2 alternatives that he was proposing.  
3 They recommended one specific one for  
4 it -- one specific design that he  
5 believed the DOT would be in favor  
6 of. Essentially, took care of some  
7 of the comments from the DOT and some  
8 of the comments from other entities.  
9 The entrance would come of the  
10 Wickham's Street. Three quarters of  
11 the way up Wickham's Street. Loop  
12 around basically where the loop is  
13 now, and expand the to five -- six  
14 lanes of Q area. We did subsequently  
15 have a meeting with a few of the  
16 --and I met with a few residents at  
17 the site. They had provided an  
18 additional -- an official potential  
19 design for consideration. We -- I  
20 had sent that to the engineering  
21 firm. They reviewed it and had a  
22 number of concerns within it. The  
23 crux of the issue is the design  
24 coming -- having the Q enter from  
25 Fourth Street. They feel, as they

1  
2 felt initially, that's not a  
3 preferred method because of the  
4 implications of backups, and crossing  
5 traffic, and all of these kinds of  
6 things. I had sent that out to the  
7 village board as well, with the  
8 engineers comments that I had  
9 received, so that's where that  
10 project is. As I had indicated to  
11 the board, the DOT is looking for  
12 updates from our engineer and this is  
13 a major piece of what they're asking  
14 for and need some, you know, decision  
15 essentially on what they're going to  
16 send to the DOT. So that's all I  
17 really have on that at the moment.

18 MR. HUBBARD: You want to  
19 request an extension on the timeframe  
20 on that?

21 MR. PALLAS: We did formally  
22 request an extension probably a month  
23 ago. We have not heard back from  
24 them. We have reached out to them to  
25 get a response. The process is a



1  
2 little bit complicated because it's  
3 federal money that's built in through  
4 the state. So we're only allowed to  
5 question of the state and the local  
6 level. They have to request it of  
7 the federal government. My  
8 understanding is the federal  
9 government is still reviewing the  
10 request. The timeframe is running  
11 quite short. We continue to contact  
12 them almost every other day now, just  
13 to try to get an update because a lot  
14 of this becomes moot if we don't get  
15 the extension.

16 MS. PHILLIPS: And my  
17 understanding and impression from  
18 reading your information to us that  
19 you're looking for a resolution to be  
20 on the agenda for next week?

21 MR. PALLAS: I have tentatively  
22 put up an agenda item concurring with  
23 the engineer's recommendation they  
24 called an alternate four. I will  
25 post, if the board's pleasure, all

1

2

the alternates online tomorrow for  
public viewing for that purpose.

3

4

Assuming that's the direction you all  
want me to go in.

5

6

MS. PHILLIPS: But it's our  
decision as to which drawings --  
confirming the resolution that we're  
all preferring alternative number  
four, correct?

10

11

MR. PALLAS: Yes. That's the  
essence of the resolution is to part  
with the engineer's recommendation  
that open four is the preferred by  
the village board as well.

15

16

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.

17

MR. PALLAS: The next item on  
my agenda is fire fit regulations and  
at last month's meeting, I was asked  
to review this issue as it relates to  
our code. Our code doesn't prohibit  
fire pits, but prohibits open  
burning. There's no definition of  
open burning, but we did look at a  
number of other municipal codes, and

25

1  
2 some of them don't say anything about  
3 fire pits. Some exclusively prohibit  
4 them and others allow them with  
5 specific restrictions. And they do  
6 make a distinction between fire pits  
7 and open burning, what you can burn,  
8 those kinds of specifics are in my  
9 code. My opinion is our code is  
10 somewhat open to interpretation  
11 because of the open burning language  
12 that's in there. So I just wanted to  
13 bring this to the board's attention  
14 and see if there's any discussion or  
15 any direction you like to us take to  
16 either leave it alone or look into  
17 revising the code.

18 MR. HUBBARD: Anything from the  
19 board members? Myself, like I said  
20 at last month's meeting, you know, a  
21 fire pit that that's in a closed unit  
22 with a lid over the top to prevent  
23 fires with a guard -- everything  
24 else. I called the -- but it says no  
25 open burning. So if we want, we can

1

2

have the village attorney or village

3

administrator craft a local law to

4

change the code to allow

5

self-contained -- of the fire pits or

6

whatever. The ambition of what they

7

want to do, but still restrict the

8

open burning of paper, rubbish,

9

garbage, and other stuff like that.

10

MS. PHILLIPS: I can't agree

11

more because many people are already

12

doing exactly the open pit. Not open

13

pits, but -- so I think we need to

14

make the code clearer and a

15

discontinuing as to which is which.

16

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. So then

17

we'll work on modifying that and get

18

a proposal back to us and we'll

19

review that.

20

MR. PALLAS: I'll work with the

21

village attorney on drafting that.

22

MR. CLARKE: I'm concerned that

23

it should be something particularly

24

in areas of those that are so small.

25

We have a neighbor that burns

1  
2 regularly on weekends and it's very  
3 hard for me to relax until that huge  
4 amount of smoke and everything is  
5 finished at night. They have a very  
6 very small backyard I can't imagine  
7 it being wider than 20 by 20 or 30 by  
8 30. And there's trees overhead,  
9 we're in the middle of a drought in  
10 the village. Some sort of method  
11 where we could explain that, unless  
12 you have a mesh screen or a chimney,  
13 or something to limit the emissions  
14 with sparks that happen with any  
15 natural fire. I had a client just  
16 tell me the other day that  
17 unbeknownst to them in the spring,  
18 the top floor of their house burned  
19 off. Not in the village, but in our  
20 area, because they were burning a  
21 fire in an approved fireplace in  
22 their home, and a spark came out and  
23 got attached to the roof and before  
24 they caught it, half of their top  
25 floor was gone and there was, of

1

2

course, water and smoke damage in the

3

entire home. And this is not

4

uncommon, as you know. In our recent

5

evidence here we had a propane

6

explosion and fire on Central Avenue

7

where the house was destroyed and had

8

to be rebuilt. Fortunately, no other

9

homes on that block were destroyed,

10

but it's something that I think is

11

quite serious and warrants additional

12

controls as people continue, George,

13

as you say, to enjoy the ambiance of

14

their backyard. I don't want to

15

limit that, but I think it should be

16

regulated as well as we could.

17

MR. PALLAS: Maybe I should

18

have been more clear. The

19

restrictions include distances to

20

sidewalks.

21

MR. CLARKE: Right. Exactly.

22

MR. PALLAS: All of those

23

things we would certainly be putting

24

in.

25

MR. CLARKE: Availability of

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

water.

MR. PALLAS: We would mimic some of that with obviously some of our specific terms of this code.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you.

MR. PALLAS: Additional items that were not on my list and I apologize I didn't have a chance to put them on. During the recent tropical storm, we -- that feeds our central pump station for the waste water treatment plant failed to start. We do test it regularly. It turned out that it was the voltage regulator section of the generator. The generator is quite dated and we are unable to get it repaired. There are no parts for it at all, so we have, under emergency, brought in a temporary generator that we are renting. The rent is not cheap. We had, as part of the central pump station upgrade was, there was a new generator was contemplated. What we

1

2

are planning to do, and I believe as  
3 a resolution, we've prepared for the  
4 agenda to advance that portion of the  
5 central pump station project for a  
6 new generator to go in advance of the  
7 rest of the project. So I just  
8 wanted to let the board know about  
9 that, that's going on.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the last discussion item I  
have on another major project -- we  
had at last -- either last month's or  
the -- I believe it was last month's,  
maybe two months ago. About the  
generator here at the firehouse,  
about it's age. I feel bad that I  
was not aware that there were issues  
with the generator here. I took a  
look at it and -- service for the  
building, interior electrical  
service. I spoke with the -- working  
with the governor's office storm  
recovery, and as part of the addition  
of solar panels and battery backup  
for this building, they are going to



1  
2 allow us to -- or they're going to  
3 fund, rather, the upgrade of that  
4 generator and the electrical service.  
5 So there should be no cost to the  
6 village to perform that operation and  
7 it will be a completely integrated  
8 system with the electric solar  
9 panels, the battery back up, and the  
10 generator as a single back up system,  
11 if you will, for this building. I  
12 was very happy to get that  
13 information from them. That being  
14 the only issue with it is there may  
15 be you -- as you know, we're funding  
16 the design cost. The engineer will  
17 be providing for -- me I don't have  
18 it yet and I apologize, but it will  
19 be in time for next month, for the  
20 design portion of adding the  
21 generator to be included as a change  
22 order for this portion of it. I will  
23 have that for next month's meeting as  
24 well.

25 MR. HUBBARD: If you could just

1  
2 send an e-mail to the chiefs and the  
3 wardens, let them know that. I know  
4 the chief is already gone now, but  
5 let them know that we're -- you know,  
6 that you went and got that included.  
7 That's a big plus for the village and  
8 the fire department and, you know,  
9 with everything that goes on here  
10 that's included in that project. So  
11 good work on that. Thank you for  
12 going that route for it.

13 MR. PALLAS: Absolutely.

14 MS. PHILLIPS: Can we backtrack  
15 with something on the fire alarm  
16 issues since you're discussing the  
17 fire department? Is that an issue  
18 that the code needs to be reviewed  
19 and we need to put penalties in or...

20 MR. PALLAS: I don't know about  
21 (inaudible), but -- well, there's two  
22 different things. So the repeat  
23 alarms is in the code and the dollar  
24 amount probably should be revisited.  
25 But with -- they're not talking about

1

2

a repeat. They're actually talking where they -- the owners disable and that's a state violation. Joe, am I correct on that?

3

4

5

6

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. PALLAS: So we have -- I don't know if have issued citations. I'm not certain of that, I have to check. But we certainly have, at the very least, homeowners that we have to inform, you know, don't ever do that again because they have been doing that.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PHILLIPS: That's something that I think all the businesses need to be aware of. Perhaps that's something we should reach out and e-mail the state board president and business board to communicate to their membership that this is not such a situation to continue that practice. And that it's their responsibility for upgrading their systems, not us. I think that's

1

2

important and an important issue for safety for all of us. Not just the issue of alarms.

4

5

MR. PROKOP: If I'm not

6

mistaken, I think the code is for --

7

requires a payment to the town rather

8

than the village. That's another

9

thing that we need to change now that

10

we have that. We can change that to

11

the payment goes through the village.

12

MR. PALLAS: Thank you. I

13

will work on it.

14

MR. HUBBARD: Right. The state

15

fire code will write those tickets to

16

them when they go and observe that?

17

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

18

MR. HUBBARD: So there's 3 or 4

19

places that are doing the concerts

20

and it bothers the guests when the

21

alarm goes off. And it's recommended

22

to them to move their sensors away

23

from the shower in the one -- they

24

really need to do that. But it's

25

better than writing them violations

1

2

with the state fire code for turning  
off the alarm themselves. I'm pretty  
sure that will stop them pretty  
quick.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MR. PROKOP: Right. The other  
thing they mentioned is that in some  
places they've gone to the extent  
that they've disabled an installed  
system or things like that to avoid  
them it go off. So we have -- Frank  
is aware of all of that.

13

MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

14

15

16

17

MR. PROKOP: I'll work with  
Paul and we'll change the code to  
have the money come back to the  
village instead of the town.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HUBBARD: Let's tighten up  
the enforcement on that. If he's  
working and a lot of them are during  
the day, having him go down there  
when he did, the alarm -- and if it's  
disabled, they turned it off  
themselves, then have him write up  
it.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. PALLAS: Absolutely.  
Anything else with regards to  
reports?

Going to the road department  
first. Just a couple of highlight  
items. Some of the tasks the road  
department has worked on they did  
work on the blocking off the Front  
Street during the -- when we closed  
it off for 4th of July weekend. They  
did a lot of work on the street  
signage related to Covid signs and  
detour signs and the lights. All  
this, again, associated with the  
Covid work that we've been doing.  
Some of the normal work, some of the  
highlights are to get that ready.  
The end of Fourth Street we painted  
some parking stalls and no parking  
areas, delineated the area where  
folks can park and not park. Put up  
no parking signs where there  
shouldn't be parking just to make it  
clear where people can park there and

1

2

they can and can't park there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They did put together -- installed a new -- garbage cans that we purchased as part of the project of the tables in Mitchell Park and the project was really attractive and they make a big difference, we're very happy to see that. It became a little labor intensive. It seems each slack had to be bolted on. A little bit of a project, but they got it done, so happy about that.

Moving on to the sewer department. The only highlight I have is one that talks about a flow meter saturation and flow meter (inaudible.) We are in the process of installing flow meters at all pump stations that are close to the water. That is all part of the work being done. I just wanted to point that out to everybody.

We are purchasing software that will gather that data on two-minute

1

2

basis and help tremendously in  
getting data out.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Moving onto the electric  
department. Unit number six, we did  
complete the test. They're working  
this week and next to do the next two  
units. We also have the rings sent  
out for that unit. They have them  
back today. I think -- we'll reach  
out again tomorrow to make sure that  
that worked out well. Did some  
upgrades on the lighting in the east  
pier. Did some cleaning of the  
fueling basin for the generators. We  
did have a circuit imbalance out in  
Amaganset. It affected a number of  
customers. We did a lot of work, a  
lot of -- we shifted some load around  
to balance it out a little better to  
stop some of the fuses had blown on  
that.

23

24

25

The building department  
continued to do enforcement on the  
phase reopening. There was a lot of



1

2

time spent on that by the village.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moving on to rec center. And the parks and recreation. Skate park repairs were done. A significant number of repairs were done in the skate parks. They're in good shape now, as much as can be in this state. We do have -- as I reported, we do have life guards now. We have three on a rotating basis and we're very happy to be able to get that. We're seeing an uptick in marina reservations, thankfully. It was a little rough at first, but it seems to be picking up now. We're happy about that.

That's all I have. Any questions?

MR. HUBBARD: Any questions for Paul? Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Village treasurer report.

MR. BRANDT: Good evening every one. As Mr. Pallas just mentioned,

1

2

we have the rental on the generator  
for the sewer department. I have a  
budget amendment to fund that.

5

Funding is \$18,000 and it covers five  
months. But if you see me back here  
in six months asking for more, then  
it is what it is.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I do want to report that the  
auditors were here for July 29th and  
July 30th field work for the report.  
The draft has been completed, we  
signed off on that. You should see  
the final version of that up next  
week, ready to be sent up to the  
powers that be.

17

18

19

20

21

Utility billing. Statistic  
reports were done, July, that's the  
one calculated and mailed, since  
this -- has gone out and has been  
done.

22

23

24

25

New developments. Six  
re-certifications and nine  
(inaudible) performed in August.  
It's pretty significant, considering

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

the state of the Covid influence on that.

Significant collections. Property tax collected, I'm reporting here one million 68. That includes sewer and water levy. I want to point that that the balance outstanding right now is only \$117,000 and that includes -- the bulk of that is the sewer and water levy, so that's a pretty significant collection on our part for that. Significant payments; we had several bond payments go out this past month totaling \$3,771,556. I gave the union breakdown here. Covers the refunding we did in 2012, the road improvements we did in 2017, and the acquisition of the Sixth Street building in 2018. And that's essentially all I have. Does anyone have any questions for me?

MR. HUBBARD: No questions? Okay. Thank you. Village clerk

1

2

report.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PALLAS: I have one, I neglected to mention. I think I was asked again at the last meeting to check on the status of the permits. I did confirm that you have all the necessary permits in place. The window for the (inaudible) is October 1st, and closes December 31st. I have been in discussions with both state and harbor marina, and the developer, 123 Sterling, who has permitted to fund the bridge. That's -- I wanted to report that little bit of positive news.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you.

MS. PIRILLO: Thank you. Good evening everyone. I do not have any resolutions this month, surprisingly. I do have some -- to my report. First, the agreements and contracts to let everyone know there was a contract modification made to the

1

2

bulk head feasibility project form,

3

and that was solely executed

4

recently, on the 18th of August.

5

Under executive orders, we have

6

an extension to the declaration of

7

the state of emergency that expires

8

now on the 14th of September and that

9

was noticed in the paper as well.

10

Under financials, the village

11

received -- a payment of

12

approximately \$7,600 and we were

13

notified that that was from Hurricane

14

Sandy, which was in 2012. So that

15

was the last of -- we think, is the

16

last of the monies that were owed

17

from Hurricane Sandy.

18

MR. BRANDT: Just for clarity,

19

so that is the state's ten percent

20

share of what we put in for. With

21

the hold up was -- of course

22

(inaudible). State so that's why it

23

took a long amount much time.

24

MS. PIRILLO: Thank you.

25

The surplus water machine. We

1

2

did not receive any bid offers, nor  
did we for the forklift.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just to piggyback for a moment  
onto what Paul was talking about  
regarding utilities. We also will be  
having a little bit of extra help  
from the electrical department  
tomorrow with two banners received.  
We -- Robert and I have been working  
extensively with the -- and we have  
two overhead banners that we've  
discussed for a little bit that are  
Covid related. Those were received  
today and those will be installed  
tomorrow.

It's a little bit in advance,  
but we have been asked regarding the  
impossible dream, which is a boat  
that has received some press lately  
and that allows for those that  
otherwise would not be able to sail  
or go boating to experience boating.  
We have been asked to discuss that if  
the boat were to come to Mitchell

1

2

Park next season. Not this season,

3

next season. If the board would

4

contemplate re-docking it. So I just

5

wanted to bring that to your

6

attention. You can wait a few months

7

obviously, but they are applying for

8

a 501C3.

9

. Paul and I have been working

10

together with Margot Rosito regarding

11

the after-school program. Now that

12

we know there will be school and

13

there will also be an after school,

14

okay? Paul and I have read through

15

the reopening plan for our local

16

school. We have an appointment set

17

up with the new superintendent for

18

next week, and we do intend to run

19

the after school program. We are

20

confirming now the rules and

21

regulations at play. But, of course,

22

we would be taking temperatures prior

23

to entrance into the building. We

24

are restricting the number of

25

students to probably 15. We're

1  
2 checking into that as well. Right  
3 now, there are 15 children maximum  
4 allowed in a classroom, but we think  
5 we're going to go under that. Since  
6 we already have had many inquires  
7 from parents about the after-school  
8 program, we thought that it would be  
9 most equitable if we run a lottery.  
10 As a matter of fact, possibly two  
11 lotteries. One for the beginning  
12 half year of the program and a second  
13 one for second half of the program.  
14 So...

15 MR. CLARKE: What's the direct  
16 attendance in the program?

17 MR. PALLAS: It has varied over  
18 the years by a lot. My memory is  
19 that it was as high as 60. In recent  
20 years it has gone down a little bit.  
21 But I suspect, my suspicion, our  
22 suspicions this year is that that's  
23 likely to go up significantly. Which  
24 is why Sylvia came up with the idea  
25 of this lottery and doing it twice a



1  
2 year, which, I think, is -- makes a  
3 lot of sense. It gives people -- you  
4 get a lot of folks in the first round  
5 who would figure out -- include  
6 (inaudible), but the second round  
7 planning. This way our people would  
8 have an opportunity to participate.  
9 So they're not dealing with daycare  
10 full year. At least we're helping  
11 them with half of the year. Do as  
12 much as we can to assist folks.

13 MS. PIRILLO: Protocols would  
14 be in place, the wearing of masks.  
15 The additional deep cleaning of the  
16 facility, which has already been  
17 cleaned, but we're going to deep  
18 clean it again. The number of staff  
19 members. We will have mask breaks.  
20 And we also have suggestions probably  
21 have staggered pickup times. So  
22 that's so we don't have a number of  
23 people gathering outside of the  
24 building.

25 We wanted to bring all of this

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

to your attention, some of this is very open-ended. We also, of course, will have more information after we meet with the superintendent on Wednesday.

MS. PHILLIPS: Can I ask you a question? Is Margot meeting with the superintendent or the two of you meeting with the superintendent?

MR. PALLAS: We are. Just of the two of us at that moment.

MS. PHILLIPS: Don't you think Margot should be involved in it as she happens to be running the project?

MR. PALLAS: This is more of just to get an understanding of what the school is actually doing. This is not a meeting to get into the weeds. This is more to completely understand what their scheduling is. Margot has been included -- we haven't discussed it without her. The three of us had these discussions

1

2

and this is really just to gather

3

some specifics. We're not -- there

4

is -- we feel, from them, we need

5

additional discussions. Certainly we

6

would hand it off to her to get into

7

the some of the weeds that dwell on

8

transportation and such.

9

MS. PHILLIPS: I'll be quite

10

honest, Mayor, Margot is -- that is

11

her department. I do know that at

12

times when we have had the issue --

13

not the issue. But we've had

14

programs up in the school at the gym,

15

there were discussions and Margot was

16

coming up from the backside trying to

17

catch up. I really think she needs

18

to be including in the beginning, as

19

she's going to be running the

20

program. My personal opinion. It's

21

up to -- you know. I just feel she

22

needs to be included in the

23

discussion as she's the one that's

24

responsible for the overall program.

25

MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. PALLAS: Take that under advisement.

MS. PIRILLO: So we wanted to let everyone know that that's in play.

MR. CLARKE: Is there any opportunity for us to find additional space in the staffing to try and fill what we think the need actually is for this year? Just knowing that there are economic challenges for people who have children of school age, and knowing many of them are working families. Knowing that we have additional families that might be staying in our school district full-time. Is there any way to look at this and think -- I don't know if it's a funding issue. I mean, I'm assuming that with less kids going into the program -- well, I'm not sure. I know enough to have an a good surmisal here. So it's just a question. But is something that's

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

possible?

MS. PIRILLO: Right now one of our discussions with Margot was about staffing. And we -- she, we, do have enough staffing in place right now to handle the program the way we think it might work, but we're not sure. Okay, that's number one. Number two, because of the lack of children that we had take in the building, we may have to raise the price a little bit, but we'll get that when we come to it. Right now it's extremely inexpensive, but that also is in play. In terms of additional space, yes, agreed, but at least we have additional staffing. Because with additional staffing, staff has to be trained on what to do and how to do it properly. We already two out of three staff members that don't know what to do. So we have those kinds of challenges, but your point is well taken.

1

2

MR. CLARKE: Anything I could do to obviously help in any way.

3

4

It's just a thought in terms of expecting to see a higher number this year and more important to parents than ever, is there anything we can do.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PALLAS: We certainly can look in that. In addition to some of the challenges, we also have the protocols in place that require extensive cleaning and all of those things. So it's -- it becomes -- it starts to add another facility with it, you know, as we said. We would have dual care and we're doing -- there can't be like an annex and people traveling back and forth from one to the other. Which is one of the reasons we don't have day camp because of the challenges of the time. Kids around too. We would be somewhat constrained by staffing and just the shear logistics of managing

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

that process.

MS. PIRILLO: Lastly, we were approached by a production company for the use of a docks in Mitchell Park in middle October during the week for the production of a limited series on a major streaming network. And the production itself would be one day. There would be one day for a set up and one day for breakdown, if you will. The number of vehicles is voluminous. There would be 4 or 5 tractor trailers with equipment, three food service vehicles, and approximately another five tractor trailers that are campers. 30 personal vehicles. Okay? I already suggested to the representative that Morris Lane is an appropriate staging area other than anywhere else in the village. This would take place -- the actual filming would take place on a weekday. I'm trying to have at least two out of the of the three

1  
2 days be a weekday for the least  
3 impact possible, but it does not  
4 require the use of staff of ours.  
5 There are no streets to be closed.  
6 It's just the use of the dock in  
7 Mitchell Park, Morris Lane, and some  
8 of the area in the park. I'm going  
9 to reconvene with the representative  
10 on Monday or Tuesday. We have a  
11 meeting tonight they have a meeting  
12 tomorrow. And we need to speak with  
13 Rich and John in the marina to find  
14 out that kind of bookings we have.  
15 Find out the impact of that.

16 MR. CLARKE: What was the date?

17 MS. PIRILLO: Don't know that.  
18 It's mid-October right now. Early  
19 October to mid-October. So it would  
20 be at least one weekday in early  
21 October. Okay? And all those  
22 factors are obviously going to be  
23 calculated into the charge that we  
24 would be giving to the production  
25 company. But Paul and I wanted to



1

2

bring that to your attention. It  
literally just happened today.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Keep us  
informed as it moves along. This way  
everybody on the board knows what's  
going on so we can inform the bid and  
everything else, and there's no  
surprises. Everybody knows something  
is happening because we had that in  
the past.

12

13

MS. PIRILLO: Yes, sir. Will  
do.

14

15

16

17

MS. PHILLIPS: Sorry. Trustee  
Roberts just sent me a text and she  
said that the live feed has stopped  
working.

18

19

MR. HUBBARD: Well defer that  
to Jay in the back.

20

21

Thank you. Village attorney  
report.

22

23

24

25

MR. PROKOP: Good evening.  
Thank you. So I have -- if anybody  
has questions about my report, please  
interrupt me or ask me. As you want.

1  
2 I have a couple other things that I  
3 want to bring to the attention of the  
4 board. The Suffolk County -- after  
5 this storm, Suffolk County emergency  
6 services contacted all the  
7 municipalities in the county and  
8 asked for a list of the expenses that  
9 they had regarding the storm or  
10 arising from the storm. I circulated  
11 any mail to the village and I was  
12 looking -- trying to get some  
13 expenses together in case the storm  
14 is declared to be an room that's FEMA  
15 qualified. And then the -- there  
16 could be a claim put in for some of  
17 the expenses. I guess the generator  
18 that I heard about tonight is one of  
19 the things probably that we could add  
20 to the list and then whatever the  
21 overtime was for the crew. But,  
22 anyway, I'm in touch with that agency  
23 and the county, and get that list  
24 together.

25 There has been some movement on

1  
2 the Covid -- I'm sorry, the opioid  
3 case. Cases that the village is in,  
4 opioid. And, as you know, last year,  
5 we started -- the village joined  
6 approximately 100 other  
7 municipalities in a group that  
8 commenced litigation against the --  
9 all of the opioid distributors in the  
10 country. And there's several cases  
11 now going on that the village is  
12 involved in that includes a case in  
13 the Northern District of Ohio, which  
14 I think is the primary case, and then  
15 there's two other cases in New York.

16 There's been a number of recent  
17 conferences with the attorneys that  
18 are handling this and all -- for all  
19 the municipalities and the  
20 municipalities themselves. There was  
21 I conference two weeks ago that I  
22 participated in with Paul Pallas, and  
23 then there was a conference last week  
24 that was attorneys only. But it --  
25 I'm sure that the litigation is going

1

2

to go on for a while, but there seems

3

to be some activity, which is

4

promising.

5

With regard to the genesis

6

case, we -- after a series of demands

7

we were able to get the genesis

8

project file. And basically it's

9

four boxes of documents and plans, so

10

that was a big step forward in that

11

case. We are -- our engineer will be

12

reviewing them and then the

13

settlement meeting that I talked

14

about last month will occur,

15

hopefully in the next -- I don't want

16

to say next few weeks, but we will be

17

able to have that meeting.

18

The Southhold court has

19

reopened and is hearing cases again

20

in person. With the village having a

21

fairly extensive calendar in July and

22

will be having our second court date

23

next week, some of the cases that are

24

involved are cases that happened

25

during the Covid crisis and caused

1  
2 New York a handful of cases, and the  
3 rest are the normal cases that we  
4 enforcement cases and parking cases  
5 that were prosecuted. I want to  
6 mention to you prior to the Covid  
7 emergency at the end of last year,  
8 the beginning of this year, there was  
9 a controversy in New York State about  
10 the change in the bail law, right?  
11 So there was a change in New York  
12 State in the bail law where some  
13 offenses became non-bailable. And as  
14 part of that, there was also an  
15 adoption of new discovery guidelines  
16 and requirements. Discovery being  
17 the documents that you have to  
18 provide to defendants that you're  
19 prosecuting. And the documents that  
20 we have to now provide to defendants  
21 was expanded. So we basically now  
22 have to give defendants virtually our  
23 entire file and -- but the -- also,  
24 the time of that disclosure was  
25 advanced. So basically now the first

1  
2 time there will be a defendant in  
3 court, we're required to produce all  
4 of the documents that we have and  
5 other things that are going to be  
6 related to prosecution. So this is a  
7 burden on Greg Morris and myself. We  
8 have been working with this. One of  
9 the ones which I've done just as an  
10 example, probably was about 300  
11 pages, maybe 350 pages in just one  
12 case. The amount of the paperwork  
13 and photographs and everything that  
14 we had to hand over. So this is  
15 something that we're dealing with  
16 now.

17 In the middle of the Covid  
18 crisis, one of the things that  
19 happens statewide is the building  
20 code changed. The -- what we call  
21 the 2020 code came out and there  
22 was -- there have been a number of  
23 amendments that -- there's now  
24 training going on to cover for  
25 building officials and code

1  
2 enforcement officials throughout the  
3 state. And I'm working with Greg  
4 Morris on those changes to extent the  
5 that I'm familiar with them. I know  
6 he's very familiar with them having  
7 gone through the training. And I  
8 think that it probably applies to a  
9 number of -- the changes probably  
10 apply in a number of situations in  
11 the village and -- but it is a new  
12 set. There are some actually fairly  
13 extensive changes that we will be  
14 dealing with.

15           And other than to say that I  
16 will need the board's time in some  
17 kind of executive session soon,  
18 whether it's tonight, or ten minutes,  
19 or soon, you know, by next week  
20 maybe. But I do need to make you  
21 aware of a few things and to maybe  
22 ask for an answer to one of  
23 questions. It probably would be ten  
24 minutes, depending on when you would  
25 like to do them.

1

2

MR. HUBBARD: We'll see how  
this evening goes. Next week.

4

5

MR. PROKOP: I'm compelled to  
do them. It's in your interest.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MS. PHILLIPS: Joe, I have one  
question going back to the state  
changes. Is there some way that the  
board can kind of get -- you said  
there was a lock that would be a  
better resolution. Is there a sway  
to give us some idea of what those  
will be?

14

15

MR. PROKOP: I'll see if I can  
put together a summary.

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. PHILLIPS: I think it would  
be helpful for all of us to  
understand that so that we have  
re-enforcement -- or we have someone  
asking why something all of a sudden  
changes and we have an idea.

22

23

24

25

MR. PROKOP: Yes. Okay, I know  
one of the things that's changed that  
effects a number of communities along  
the water is there has been a change,



1  
2 a long time dispute, and now another  
3 change over what comprises a storm.  
4 What's called a story above grade or  
5 a level. And that's used in  
6 determining how many stories a house  
7 or building is. So that's one of the  
8 amendments that came out. So to the  
9 extent that that ever becomes an  
10 issue in the village or in one of the  
11 water front or near water houses as  
12 raised, that's when that particular  
13 change comes into play. But that's  
14 an example of one of the things --

15 MS. PHILLIPS: That's similar  
16 to what the current code that we have  
17 is 35 feet. Is that going to effect  
18 that?

19 MR. PROKOP: So it's -- the  
20 height limit in the village is still  
21 35 feet. But within -- what is  
22 within that 35 feet. Whether it's 3  
23 stories or 4 stories, there's been a  
24 change in that definition in New York  
25 State.

1

2

MS. PHILLIPS: (Inaudible).

3

4

MR. PROKOP: Yes, as an  
example.

5

6

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Anything  
else for the village attorney?

7

MR. PROKOP: Thank you.

8

9

MR. HUBBARD: All right. Thank  
you, Joe.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next we have the board  
discussions. Proposal law 2020  
amended chapter 88 noise. We had two  
public hearings on that. We had  
closed the public hearing, so really,  
we just need a discussion from the  
board if the board wants any changes  
on it. Any discussion?

MS. PHILLIPS: I'll start the  
discussion that in reviewing the code  
and reading some of the letters that  
we have been receiving recently for,  
one thing that did come to mind to me  
is that when we're getting down to  
the fines of those who are dealing  
with the music aspect of it or the

1  
2 noise violations, I called the  
3 village attorney and asked him if we  
4 should be putting in there another  
5 enforcement tool of after X number of  
6 violations, that we report them to  
7 the state authority. He suggested  
8 that perhaps instead of -- he's never  
9 seen it in other codes, and I think  
10 that I still agree with that. That  
11 we should pass more policy that would  
12 guide the enforcement department that  
13 after 2 or 3, whatever is talked  
14 about, violations, that it's an  
15 automatic issue. Report them to the  
16 state labor authority since the state  
17 labor authority seems to have --  
18 these days and it's very important to  
19 all of the restaurants and that seems  
20 to catch that their attention a  
21 little bit quicker than the fines do  
22 at times. So that's one of the  
23 things I wanted to talk about.

24 The other thing is some of the  
25 letters that were coming in dealing

1  
2 with noise in the residential area, I  
3 think that there was a lot of  
4 confusion with people not reading the  
5 whole package of definitions. The  
6 one area that we were crossing out  
7 was dealing with the old fines with  
8 pedaling on the streets and shouting  
9 and selling whatever. But if you go  
10 further into the code, it is written  
11 under the -- if you get into the  
12 section of the definition that says  
13 unreasonable noise, that seems to  
14 cover a lot of the area of neighbors  
15 who, unfortunately, are disturbed by  
16 large party or it gets into where  
17 disturbing -- you're disturbing the  
18 person who is in the proximity of the  
19 noise to residential sleeping  
20 facilities. I think that people,  
21 kind of, didn't read the section  
22 clearly. I think they saw the other  
23 question being crossed out and was  
24 aiming it or think it was being aimed  
25 at children. I think neighbor to

1  
2 neighbor disputes coming up at public  
3 hearings is not the wisest thing. I  
4 think neighbors should be settling  
5 their own disputes and I don't think  
6 the code should be telling neighbors  
7 how to behave. I think we're all  
8 part of the community and we should  
9 all be talking to each other. But I  
10 think we need to take a step back and  
11 actually remind people that there are  
12 other sections of this code that are  
13 meant to deal with the issues that  
14 they're bringing up. So that's one  
15 of the points.

16 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody  
17 else have any other discussion?

18 MR. MARITOLLA: I'd say -- I'd  
19 say it's an overwhelming amount of  
20 letters in support of, you know, how  
21 we got -- I mean.

22 MR. HUBBARD: Right.

23 MR. MARITOLLA: For what it's  
24 worth for me, I say we seem to  
25 have -- I like your idea, but I

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

think, you know, given the strong support -- I would be moving forward.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, I had some questions about the decibel levels and I think some people had questions about the actual ending, whether it was midnight or 11 o'clock. I know that we received some letters just recently from some people on Central Avenue and I do know -- I just -- I would like to see it move forward, but I want to make sure that the question is how are we going to enforce this? A code can be wonderful but -- and well written, but how are we going to staff to control this code to enforce it? That, I think, is actually the biggest question. Is how -- what manpower are we going to police? Are we going to be looking at revamping the whole code department to deal with this? Are we going to be reaching out to the town of Southold

1  
2 and say listen, we need your police  
3 officers to work with us at night  
4 when our code enforcement officers  
5 are off? Those are some of the  
6 things that are not actually in the  
7 written code part, but I think you  
8 need to have it in our minds of how  
9 we are going to do that, because it  
10 is going to be an expense. So those  
11 are some of my thoughts. I,  
12 personally, would rather table this  
13 for a month and have some really deep  
14 discussions as to how were going to  
15 pay to enforce this. That is one  
16 major point. We only have one person  
17 and he's already working more hours  
18 than he probably should be. That's  
19 my suggestion.

20 MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

21 MR. CLARKE: The outcries since  
22 our meeting in July and I guess the  
23 number of letters that we received  
24 point to two things. One, the change  
25 in decibel level for evenings out at

1

2

commercial properties, allowing it to

3

go up, and I'm not an expert on

4

noise. I did go back in my records

5

to check. And that seemed to have

6

come from the very early meetings

7

between the administrator and the bid

8

president requesting that the noise

9

decibel level be amended to 85

10

decibels.

11

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

12

MR. PALLAS: I apologize. I

13

don't have it.

14

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: 85 decibels

15

and then 95 --

16

MR. HUBBARD: Excuse me. This

17

is a board discussion, it's not up to

18

the public at this time. You'll have

19

your chance to speak afterwards.

20

MR. CLARKE: And the second was

21

we have a resident come and as Mary

22

mentioned, interpreted the striking

23

of ADA -- I don't have the chapter,

24

but it was an A under the noise

25

pollution where we were taking it out



1  
2 and as Mary said in the old code, it  
3 looked like it was a provision for  
4 preventing people from yelling or  
5 selling things on public streets.  
6 And what I perceived is that, based  
7 on that meeting in July, our  
8 residents think that we we're taking  
9 away any recourse for residents to  
10 work with either the police or the  
11 code enforcement officer or the  
12 village when there is a neighborly  
13 situation that they cannot resolve on  
14 their own accord through neighborly  
15 means. Obviously you can't litigate  
16 and legislate every single issue that  
17 happens in a community, and many as  
18 our residents noted we live with  
19 small lots and densely populated. I  
20 do believe that unfortunately, our  
21 resident who came, was painted  
22 relatively cruelly in social media  
23 for her misunderstanding and her  
24 subsequent request to make sure that  
25 we don't leave residents with no

1  
2 recourse if there's a situation in  
3 the neighborhood that they cannot  
4 resolve. And several letters we  
5 received, though they are asking us  
6 to make sure we don't do anything to  
7 lessen the children playing,  
8 certainly I agree with that. We're  
9 not looking to legislate the sound of  
10 children playing, and screaming, and  
11 joy, and laughter, that goes along  
12 with that. But I also have read  
13 several letters where people are  
14 questioning the idea of children  
15 yelling and screaming for 8 and  
16 12 hours without stop all day long.  
17 And so within that, there's very wide  
18 interpretation here and I think in  
19 this latest letter that came in that  
20 dropped off, was very interesting  
21 that, you know, we all need to live  
22 here together. And whereas I don't  
23 begrudge any children the joy that  
24 they would have enjoying their summer  
25 or their after school time, there is

1  
2 also an importance of teaching adults  
3 and children both in a community such  
4 as ours that we need to coexist and  
5 that perhaps, after dinner, those  
6 types of games that involve yelling  
7 screaming jumping for hours might be  
8 curtailed in favor of other games or  
9 activities that would be best for  
10 everyone in the neighborhood. So I  
11 just want to go on record that I see  
12 both sides of the issue. I think  
13 it's very important that we assure  
14 our resident's that the  
15 administration and trustees are in no  
16 way trying to take away the rights of  
17 residents and individuals to seek  
18 relief. Should there be an excessive  
19 or unreasonable noise situation in  
20 their neighborhood. And secondly, to  
21 make sure that we are clear that the  
22 85 decibel for commercial properties  
23 is truly what we want to set for  
24 weekends until midnight, rather than  
25 what stands now. So those are the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

two comments that I have in relation to the conversation on where we are now that the hearing is closed and we're ready to vote on the change.

And I furnish to you, Mr. Mayor, and your thoughts on those comments.

MR. HUBBARD: Yeah, I mean we've been talking over a year now. We had from the bid with their comments and stuff from them and we put together, I think a pretty comprehensive code change tied in with everything else. We wanted to do it before the summer season. Well, the summer season turned into a nightmare for everybody. I would like to see this come to vote as is. If we're going to change it. We're going to have to redo the public hearing process. If we changed what we put out at the public hearing and we don't vote on that, we need to start the public hearing and start

1  
2 the whole process over again. So if  
3 we want to add in anything or change  
4 the decibel readings or any of that  
5 at this point, then we need to start  
6 the whole process over. And after a  
7 years worth of time and coming very  
8 close to a package, then I think the  
9 bids are comfortable with, the stores  
10 are comfortable with, most of the  
11 neighbors, besides stuff that just  
12 recently happened, everybody was very  
13 comfortable with what we proposed.  
14 So it's really -- it's up to the  
15 board. If the board feels we're not  
16 going to be able to vote on this,  
17 then we will have to have the village  
18 attorney village administrator start  
19 rewriting the law and start from  
20 scratch again. And back to do public  
21 hearings over.

22 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, I don't --  
23 I think 3 quarters of this is  
24 comprehensible and had -- I'm really  
25 more talking about squeezing in, and

1  
2 I do have a concern about the  
3 planning board being the agency  
4 that's issuing the permit. I've had  
5 that from the beginning. But I think  
6 that tweaking is not going to be a  
7 whole complete rewrite. I think that  
8 we have a code, still people will  
9 make some comments but I think that  
10 taking a look at it and, to be honest  
11 with you, it's -- the summer has been  
12 different with Covid. It's been a  
13 usually year I don't think we've had  
14 as many violations this year. Paul,  
15 I'm sorry, have we had many major  
16 music violations this year?

17 MR. PALLAS: I don't believe  
18 so. A couple of minor incidents, but  
19 nothing ongoing. Fast coming  
20 compliance, in most cases.

21 MS. PHILLIPS: As I said, I  
22 would just like to tweak it a little  
23 bit. That's why I'm asking for the  
24 delay to see if there really is a  
25 major change. As I said, that's my

1

2

suggestion. It's at the pleasure of  
the of board how it goes forward.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PROKOP: I want to speak  
about one thing. I did receive a  
call from trustee Philips about the  
mention of putting the SLA. I  
recommended not doing that and I  
continue to do that. There should  
not be a -- there should not be a  
statutory requirement to notify the  
SLA. That should either be pursuant  
to a policy or a case by case basis.  
So I would not -- I would not not  
vote on the law because you're  
considering an SLA change. That  
shouldn't be in the law to begin  
with.

MS. PHILLIPS: Well, I said  
that in the beginning. That's more  
of a policy discussion, that's not in  
the law. But as I said.

MR. PROKOP: In some  
circumstances you might call the SLA  
after one incident. It's on a case

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

by case basis and a policy basis.

MR. HUBBARD: And the they were going to contact the SLA, we could definitely write the code and say we have an issue, we have a problem, and we directed the village attorney to do that. So we would do that on a case by case basis. If there's an issue or a problem, the village administrator and village attorney would come to us and say, look. We have an issue down here, should we write a letter? And we voted as a board to send a letter about a certain property.

MR. PROKOP: It's difficult to get a consensus on a law. 100 percent consensus on a law. In a lot of work, like a lot of work we did, enables you if you're lucky to get a consensus with something like that. Like I think you might have now. Especially with the community and the bid involved. If you like to



1  
2 make changes, you can always --  
3 unless there's something that you  
4 feel -- that the law should not go  
5 forward because of something  
6 changing, it can always be done after  
7 the law is adopted. If you think  
8 there's something -- or we can have  
9 it become a living document, you  
10 know. There might be things that we  
11 realize that need to be changed later  
12 on, after we deal with it. I just  
13 wanted to make that comment. We can  
14 change the law at any time.

15 MR. CLARKE: I respect your  
16 comments, Mr. Mayor, in terms of the  
17 time that's been spent and the time  
18 that's gone by. We do have a year in  
19 that we haven't had a lot of  
20 complaints, but don't anticipate any.  
21 And at the end of the summer, ending  
22 the summer season, we're going to  
23 have a lot of trouble with this and  
24 the remainder of this calendar year.  
25 My only discomfort is my lack of true

1  
2 understanding of what the decibel  
3 levels mean. And I've only paid  
4 attention to it recently because of  
5 the number of letters that point it  
6 out. The increase. I don't know  
7 whether that's good or bad or exactly  
8 how loud that is. And that just was  
9 brought to my attention to rethink in  
10 allowing that decibel level to go  
11 higher in the commercial district.  
12 And --

13 MR. HUBBARD: It's going higher  
14 but it's ending earlier. Is that  
15 right, Paul? Is that we're going  
16 from 75 to 85 and it's going instead  
17 of 2 o'clock down to 12 o'clock?

18 MR. PALLAS: Right. 12 o'clock  
19 is correct, yes.

20 MR. HUBBARD: So as of  
21 midnight, there will be - you won't  
22 have the amplified music anymore,  
23 which continued -- the past the law,  
24 it would go on until 2 or 3 in the  
25 morning. And it wasn't enforceable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that was the compromise that the bid requested to give them -- to have the amplified music, but it all has to end at midnight. That was what they came up with and that was incorporated with the comments that came from the business owners. That's where it came from.

MR. CLARKE: That's why I did some research to get ready for tonight. That was their suggestion. I'm ignorant of what 85 decibels is really. And then it looked as if the increase was more significant than from 70 to 85, it looked like a higher increase. But in the interest of moving forward, we could certainly move forward with what we have and modify at a later time if that's our preference.

MR. HUBBARD: I mean, if it comes up to the point that 80 was just too loud from 8:00 until 11:00, and people were complaining it's

1

2

louder, we could modify that and

3

throw restrictions out at that point.

4

And, you know, we would just have to

5

have a public hearing and the changes

6

in the code to read it's going to be

7

70 and 80 was not working.

8

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Well then

9

I guess part of the other hesitation

10

on my part is the situation that when

11

planning board members did write us

12

an e-mail way back in the beginning

13

just presenting some of what she saw

14

from the planning board issue with

15

them issuing a permit. So is that

16

something we would go back and change

17

afterwards? I believe that the

18

planning board began the site plan

19

where the applicant would be doing

20

for the fact that they were going to

21

have music, but if they're issuing

22

that music permit, if they decide to

23

change something, and then they're

24

going to have to -- this is the

25

question. Are they going to have to

1  
2 go back and redo another site plan?  
3 If it's included in their -- as a  
4 planning board issue. That was one  
5 of my questions. And I'm sorry, it  
6 really didn't kind of come up until I  
7 started really last week or it weeks  
8 ago after public hearing rereading  
9 the planning board members letter in  
10 a different perspective. So that's  
11 one of my questions.

12 MR. HUBBARD: That also would  
13 be a code change if you change it  
14 from the planning board to the  
15 village board. So the board trustee  
16 would have issue to permit and  
17 everyone else. It would still be  
18 done by planning and everything else,  
19 but then we could -- you know. We  
20 could change decibel readings, we  
21 could change -- the controlling board  
22 would be to ask the village board for  
23 permission to have the music. You  
24 know. So...

25 MR. PROKOP: That was just

1

2

pointed out to me and I think it has

3

to be studied. I can't -- I don't

4

want to the answer on the fly

5

tonight. I was just asked a question

6

about the decibel level.

7

MR. PALLAS: I can work with

8

village attorney on this first thing

9

tomorrow. Just maybe be a minor flip

10

of numbers, but it's minor. It would

11

just -- before I comment on it,

12

without having the specifics, they're

13

drafting their own time as well. The

14

midnight one is clear, but there

15

appears to be like a gap in time

16

because of the -- I just want to

17

confirm.

18

MS. PHILLIPS: There is a

19

mistake in there which there is a --

20

which I believe I saw two different

21

ending times.

22

MS. PIRILLO: Mr. Mayor, we've

23

have been up and running, the live

24

feed broadcast for quite some time.

25

MR. HUBBARD: Live meeting is

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

back on?

MS. PIRILLO: Yes, sir. It's been back on. It's been back on for a good 20 minutes.

MR. HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: No, it had to do with the building section. It wasn't with the -- there's a typo error there.

MR. HUBBARD: So we're okay with that?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Well, they can work on the main thing right now. We're going to put this on as of now for a vote at next weeks meeting. If we're changing decibel readings to a different number, that's a major change on the village in my opinion. You know. If we're going to go from 80 down to 60, that's a major change and that would we have to start over with that.

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

1

2

MR. HUBBARD: Noise is noise.

3

We're going to regulate that, you

4

need to have input on that. So

5

review it, get back to the board

6

members. Now we'll place it on the

7

agenda for a vote, and if you have

8

issues that the board members feel

9

uncomfortable with that, then we just

10

will pull it off the agenda before it

11

gets printed on Monday. If you and

12

Joe can work on that tomorrow and

13

clarify that?

14

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

15

MR. PROKOP: It's a question of

16

intent. It's not a -- when you're

17

drafting what you would normally look

18

like -- for a question of intent. We

19

need to make sure that everything is

20

exactly as you intend.

21

MR. HUBBARD: That's fine. I

22

just want to not have to get

23

challenges for them. You don't want

24

to write a ticket for it and have

25

somebody challenges it and you find



1  
2 out it gets thrown out in court. I  
3 want to make sure what you're going  
4 to enforce and what you plan on doing  
5 is correct with what you have printed  
6 out that we had a public hearing on.  
7 It's a procedural thing. If  
8 everything is okay as it is, it could  
9 be modified in the future. But it's  
10 a big step in getting fines to be  
11 proportionate for what's going on and  
12 all the other issues with the noise  
13 limits.

14 MR. PALLAS: Yes.

15 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. So we'll  
16 move that forward on the agenda, get  
17 back tomorrow or over the weekend so  
18 Monday we will have that.

19 Board discussion on behalf of  
20 123 Sterling Court for the property  
21 located at 123 Sterling Avenue. This  
22 is on the wetlands permit application  
23 only, just on the restriction that we  
24 put on there on the boat on the east  
25 side of the bulkhead. We had a

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

discussion. Are there any comments on the board on that.

MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir. I believe that in the history of this application, it would -- the board to allow the modifications that the owner has requested. I believe that in good faith, it's a compromise to what could be requested, which would be usage of all of the entire bulkhead. But as it stands now, the request is to use around half to avoid the specific inland point itself. To restrict any usage of that bulkhead until which time that the dredging permit is executed correctly has, also, offered to take on any approved revetment project that would help keep the channel clear and with the stipulation agreement moving forward, now to the various boards, I believe that it would be in our best interest to approve this application so it

1  
2 doesn't muddy the waters, pardon the  
3 illusion there, with the other  
4 modifications and other board reviews  
5 that are going to be in front of us  
6 in the near future. So it would be  
7 my opinion that we should amend our  
8 approval of that application to allow  
9 the usage of the bulk head as has  
10 been requested.

11 MR. HUBBARD: Thank you.  
12 Anybody else?

13 MR. MARITOLLA: I agree with  
14 Mr. Clarke.

15 MS. PHILLIPS: I, having the  
16 use of that channel quite regularly  
17 and recently had -- my son brought up  
18 a 55 (inaudible) getting the only way  
19 on high ride and I do believe that  
20 once the dredging is done, there will  
21 be a fair amount of room as long as  
22 we keep maintaining it. Our problem  
23 is the maintenance of that opening.  
24 My concern is the borderline with the  
25 channeling. I do believe that

1  
2           there's been a compromise that's been  
3 brought before us. I think that as  
4 long as this compromise is one that  
5 is well written in our rules that it  
6 would go over -- down the road. I  
7 think that as long as we can fee  
8 assured that it's only going to be in  
9 that spot parallel to the docks, I  
10 think it's time to move forward. I  
11 happen to agree with Trustee Clarke  
12 that the property owners are going to  
13 be going through a series of public  
14 hearings in reference to their  
15 request of modification and I think  
16 this application will muddy the  
17 waters on it. They are posing to  
18 still keep the commercial -- on their  
19 property. So they will be -- the  
20 dock will be important in reference  
21 to that. So I'm probably going to  
22 vote, I would be going along with his  
23 suggested modifications are a fair  
24 compromise.

25                   MR. HUBBARD: Okay. That's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

fine. Paul Pallas did review a map of where that channel is and the federal channel, and the boat would not be in that -- it's not part of the federal channel. That came up at the public hearing last month. That if it's in the federal channel you're not allowed to do. It's more than enough room away from where the federal channel is according to the GPS map that Paul has, which he did forward to -- this proceeding or whatever.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PHILLIPS: And they do come out and they do test the distance to the federal channel every year because they were out, they stopped by to see us. So -- but my concern is that we have got to make sure that the maintenance dredging permits stay in place and we don't have them lapse like they did in the past and that's on us. That's on the municipality.

MR. PALLAS: Excuse me. If I

1  
2 may comment on that. On the permit  
3 we have right now, because of the --  
4 at the time we were trying to  
5 expedite it. The board suggested  
6 that we do a one time permit for -  
7 and then once that comes to life,  
8 (inaudible). Right now, we have a  
9 one time permit. Once that is  
10 concluded, then we can apply for a  
11 maintenance permit. That's just the  
12 army core piece. The -- I'd have to  
13 reread the piece to see if that  
14 requires additional -- because I  
15 don't it does. I think that's an  
16 open permit.

17 MS. PHILLIPS: The maintenance  
18 permit, you have to apply for it.

19 MR. PALLAS: For the army core.  
20 The DEC permit, I think we weren't as  
21 specific.

22 MS. PHILLIPS: I can't  
23 remember.

24 MR. PALLAS: I will double  
25 check that to see if we need to do

1

2

that. It's a joint application so  
(inaudible) in terms of the process  
for us, I don't want to apply for  
something that we already have.

5

6

Would be an additional permit.

7

8

9

MS. PHILLIPS: That's why I'm  
bringing it up because that's how we  
got into this situation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. HUBBARD: So Joe, if you  
could work with the clerk on writing  
a resolution on this to make sure  
that it's clear, concise, and  
whatever needs to be there is in  
there.

16

17

18

19

20

MR. PROKOP: Okay.

MR. HUBBARD: What's actually  
being voted on before we go to a vote  
next week. All right, thank you.

21

Okay. Trustee's reports.

Trustee Clarke.

22

23

24

25

MR. CLARKE: Thank you.

As the clerk alluded and  
commented on with the work of both  
the clerk and the treasurer, we have

1  
2 done a -- with authorization by the  
3 board of trustees, a revamp, if you  
4 will, our Covid signage for the  
5 village. It is due to hit us this  
6 week and next. And be installed  
7 which will see us through the  
8 remainder of the summer and into the  
9 fall season. It focuses uniquely on  
10 the local executive order that the  
11 wearing of masks is required in all  
12 village property, docks, marinas,  
13 sidewalks, parking lots, etcetera.  
14 And it's a very succinct and very,  
15 very specific. That should be coming  
16 up and we will renew our commitment  
17 and your executive order to maintain  
18 safety in the village. Are there any  
19 questions on that from any trustee?

20 I was reminded of the Graywater  
21 irrigation project today, and I just  
22 wanted to ask if there's been any  
23 movement in any direction with that  
24 project?

25 MR. PALLAS: The short answer



1

2

is no. We are at a point where I

3

need to -- there's a significant

4

number of documents that need to be

5

prepared. It's a relatively small

6

brand and just in terms of

7

priorities, given everything that's

8

going on, that I haven't had -- to be

9

honest. It's on my list and slowly

10

working its way.

11

MR. CLARKE: Thank you, Paul.

12

I appreciate it.

13

The use of village property by

14

businesses during the Covid pandemic

15

has been an exercise in cooperation

16

and work together with the business

17

improvement district, residents,

18

board, the mayor, the administration,

19

and I'm thinking ahead to after

20

October and the change of weather and

21

whether we should be thinking of

22

other possible changes for the winter

23

season. Specifically I'm thinking

24

around the holidays and the idea of

25

holiday markets and thinking as such

1  
2 that the business district might  
3 request. Thinking along the lines  
4 that historically, and I'm not an  
5 expert on this, but historically I  
6 understood that commercial activity  
7 of any kind in the park is not  
8 allowed. And I'm requesting us to  
9 review that and see, in spite of some  
10 of the softening, if you will, of our  
11 historic rules of usage of the park,  
12 by opening it up to dining with  
13 picnic tables and such allowing  
14 people to have alcoholic beverages,  
15 if seated in that area. Clearly we  
16 have tried to move with the times and  
17 allow a greater usage by the public  
18 of the park, that is not just passive  
19 usage of walking through or sitting  
20 down. In that light, I'm wondering  
21 if we can't consider usage of the  
22 park by the business district during  
23 the holidays for commercial purposes.  
24 I'm thinking ahead. They have not  
25 approached me nor has trustee Robins,

1  
2 but it occurred to me today. I did  
3 have one specific business approach  
4 me about the park and that is the  
5 North Fork Yoga Shop they still are  
6 in a position where they cannot  
7 operate their business and I wanted  
8 to ask us to think about it and  
9 consider can we continue to make  
10 exceptions and allowances for  
11 business in our village to try and  
12 use the park to continue to survive  
13 rather than not. And I know that  
14 with gyms reopening and the part of  
15 phase four, that you're required to  
16 wear a mask and I believe that yoga  
17 instruction with a mask on and asking  
18 people to breathe, and relax, and do  
19 what you're supposed to do is not  
20 possible with the requirements. So  
21 the need to be outside still has not  
22 gone away, even though we've entered  
23 the latest phase of phase four. So I  
24 wanted to put it on the table for us  
25 to think about and I don't know if

1

2

that requires any type of code change

3

or any type of additional hearing or

4

work with any other entity about the

5

use of park. But I believe that some

6

relaxation as we have been during the

7

summer months will be needed for the

8

fall and winter months and I'd like

9

to ask the board and the

10

administration to consider that

11

request.

12

MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

13

MR. CLARKE: Lastly, I can't

14

help but see at the end of the

15

letters we received in the past two

16

weeks, there has been a strong appeal

17

for some sort of protocol whereby

18

residents and business owners with

19

access the village board during

20

hearings and public meetings without

21

attending in person, and I have to

22

note that we still don't have Trustee

23

Robins with us in person and she's

24

not participating for another month.

25

And I think that is something that we

1  
2 should consider looking into because  
3 it's something that our residents are  
4 asking for. They don't feel  
5 comfortable coming here. Many of the  
6 faces that are writing to us are not  
7 here. And so I know they can watch,  
8 but they can't ask questions and they  
9 can't speak to us directly. And I --  
10 I -- I think it would be in our best  
11 interest to try and use whatever we  
12 can to try and modify that so we can  
13 maintain physical meetings but also  
14 have a virtual component for these  
15 people that are not comfortable  
16 attending in person.

17 MS. PHILLIPS: Can I just  
18 expand on this since it was part of  
19 my trustee report that I feel -- I  
20 understand the health concerns for a  
21 lot of people. But I'm also looking  
22 at it in that the community is  
23 reaching out to us as a board that  
24 they want to communicate or they want  
25 to have another platform upon which

1  
2 to communicate with us. And I have  
3 always gone under the -- my own  
4 guidance and you're very familiar  
5 with it, that we need to reach out  
6 with what ever tools and the best  
7 tools or improve the tools to get  
8 public input to make decisions. I  
9 think that's -- our open meeting, you  
10 know, the current situation with the  
11 pandemic has brought a number  
12 opportunities for us to revamp  
13 communicating with the community and  
14 the community communicating with us.  
15 I understand that it's a new -- it's  
16 a new -- a new thought within our  
17 municipality, but we've come a long  
18 way. Especially with a lot of IT  
19 issues for many years. I think -- I  
20 just that that we need to look into  
21 the possibility. I think that we  
22 should find a way until -- I think we  
23 need to look into the possibilities  
24 of trying to do both. I think that  
25 we should be willing to try because

1  
2 the community is asking us. It may  
3 be cost prohibitive at the moment,  
4 okay, because of our financial  
5 situations. But I do think that  
6 there are opportunities to improve  
7 our reaching out and the community  
8 reaching out to us in the -- a new  
9 technical age. So I was even going  
10 to suggest tonight that there's of a  
11 speaker that could be attached to the  
12 cell phones so Treasurer Robins or  
13 even those that are speaking, if we  
14 did it virtual, it would be very loud  
15 is and clear so that the record can  
16 be recorded and the video could pick  
17 it up. But I just think we need to  
18 do some research and I think it's  
19 some time to discuss it. But I'm  
20 going under the push for me as that  
21 the community is asking for it. So  
22 that's all.

23 MR. HUBBARD: Paul, you want to  
24 elaborate? Have you looked into  
25 trying to do any hybrid type

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

meetings? Can you say what you've  
come up with?

MR. PALLAS: Yes. We had --  
we've looked into this in a couple of  
different ways to try to combine the  
technology we use now with the  
virtual meeting is -- I don't -- I  
don't personally think it's  
technically feasible to combine the  
two. I think that's kind of a big  
stumbling block. I think ultimately  
you know, it's up to you all. But to  
do -- to broadcast the meeting only  
through the virtual platform also has  
its limitations. Because it's the --  
those systems, those virtual meeting  
systems are not really designed for  
the kind of meeting that we hold.  
They're not designed -- they're more  
for a collaborative meeting type of  
meetings that you all participated in  
during all of this. These kinds of  
forums where there's a desk and you  
-- it just doesn't lend itself to it,



1  
2 from what I can see. I'm looking  
3 into various new and -- iterations of  
4 these and none of them really do  
5 that. I mean, can it be done? Well  
6 anything can be done, of course. But  
7 I don't know that it has the same --  
8 it changes the way that you do  
9 things, essentially. And that's --  
10 it would take some time to make the  
11 transition from what we do to that.  
12 And, you know, couple of months at  
13 least to really make that transition  
14 effective.

15 MR. HUBBARD: Just a question  
16 for Joe. On the executive order  
17 that's supposed to expire  
18 September 4th, about the open  
19 meetings law, if that doesn't get  
20 extended so there is no debate with  
21 the open meeting law, what do we do?  
22 Because I had a phone conversation  
23 with her and she interpreted that can  
24 still do Zoom meetings forever and  
25 not go back to live meetings, and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

that's not the way I interpreted the executive order to mean.

MR. PROKOP: So the executive order -- under the executive order, you either have a live meeting or you have -- excuse me. You either have an in-person meeting or you have a virtual meeting. So you could take the option. So you can't have a mix. As far as the boards concern. You can do it publicly, as far as the public is concerned, and some village that -- where I attend meetings, there is an in-person meeting that's broadcast to the public and the public can participate through communications. But as far as the situation right now, you cannot have -- unless you take the virtual option, you have the in-person meeting and you're required to do them. If after September 4th if it's not -- if the situation is not extended, which is what you asked me,

1  
2 is that we go back to the -- it  
3 defaults to the old law or the  
4 current law, which is that you can  
5 have the trustee or mayor at a remote  
6 location and participating through a  
7 telephone conference. But that --  
8 the location that they're at has to  
9 be part of the public notice, it has  
10 to be identified in a notice to the  
11 public, and the public has to be able  
12 to attend the meeting at their  
13 location. So you would, you know,  
14 you would have to give the address of  
15 wherever you are. If you're a  
16 trustee or mayor, and you would like  
17 to participate by teleconference, you  
18 know, 22 Front Street or whatever and  
19 the public -- your doors would have  
20 to be open and you would have to  
21 accept the public into wherever you  
22 are. Most people opt not to do that.

23 MR. HUBBARD: All right. Thank  
24 you.

25 MR. CLARKE: I'm done.

1

2

MR. HUBBARD: All right. We'll  
continue looking at the options and  
discussing that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MS. PHILLIPS: As I said,  
maybe, in the word that I live in  
with the captain and fishing  
management, they are bound by the  
meeting laws as wells we are. And  
they accomplish having their meetings  
where it's in person and visual and  
communication and of course they use  
-- which is a different program. But  
I, once again, my feeling is our  
community is asking us for something  
and I think we need to look into it  
and try our best to try and improve  
communications. So that's it.

19

20

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Trustee  
Maritolla.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARITOLLA: Sure. I'll  
keep it short. As we  
spoke (inaudible) I have got -- also  
with regards to noise ordinances,  
since it seems to come from my neck

1  
2 of the woods, I found myself meeting  
3 with everyone after work. It's  
4 pretty cool. And one other thing --  
5 actually, I had a couple people ask  
6 this. So we're looking down to take  
7 down departments in October.

8 MR. HUBBARD: I believe it's  
9 October 12th.

10 MR. MARITOLLA: So I guess  
11 really I know people ask me like have  
12 we got a plan to break the whole  
13 thing down and when. And --

14 MR. HUBBARD: There's an exact  
15 breakdown, the exact date -- the  
16 state said you can do it for six  
17 months from April 14th and it expires  
18 October 14th.

19 MR. MARITOLLA: It's --

20 MR. HUBBARD: Because it's a  
21 state road. It's not four months to  
22 use.

23 MS. PHILLIPS: I think until  
24 October 14th is the date.

25 MR. MARITOLLA: So we're

1

2

looking (inaudible) next month they

3

plan on moving it or...

4

MR. HUBBARD: They're going to

5

take it all apart. Some of the stuff

6

that they planned on -- transplanting

7

the trees and doing other stuff. But

8

the bid actually paid for all of the

9

materials and it's their -- their

10

option of what they want to do with

11

it. What they're going to do.

12

MR. MARITOLLA: Totally

13

understand. (Inaudible)

14

MR. HUBBARD: No, they did work

15

with the tree committee on the trees

16

that they got so they can plant

17

around the village afterwards when

18

they're done with those. The picnic

19

tables that we brought, we're going

20

to do distribute them around to

21

different parks and everything else,

22

and use them once -- once you hit

23

November, people around going to be

24

sitting in the park trying to eat.

25

And guidelines in place in that

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

point.

MS. PHILLIPS: It sounds interesting that there's a hint of the decreasing inside eating this winter. That's what is coming out from some of the news things from today, we'll see. Because that will present a whole new issue.

MR. MARITOLLA: That's all we have.

MR. HUBBARD: All right, thank you. Trustee Phillips?

MS. PHILLIPS: Part of mine is trying to get back on track, on some of the things we had started in December, January, February. One of them was being would the code book and with the bulk of parking regulations and the exceptions that some of the statutory boards have been having an issue with. Getting back on track on probably the biggest -- the biggest words that I've been hearing as of late from our

1  
2 village community they feel that  
3 we've lost some of our community  
4 character. And I think that our code  
5 book is one that would be able to  
6 protect that, or at least the people  
7 the opportunity to say how they feel.  
8 That they want the village to move  
9 forward. So that's one of mine is  
10 discussing some of the issues of the  
11 code book that we started to get back  
12 on track it with. Trustee Clarke  
13 brought up my other issue of the  
14 virtual meetings. I did have a  
15 question about the new railroad. Do  
16 we know where that is at the present  
17 moment?

18 MR. PALLAS: The -- we've been  
19 working with the -- our engineer for  
20 this project. It was an issue with  
21 the piece of the design. Again, to  
22 the -- there's a bridge, a small  
23 bridge, and there was a with the  
24 design of that bridge. I think  
25 within the last week or two, I have



1

2

some information from him, I just haven't had a chance to connect with him to go over the details. Once that piece of it is settled and -- hopefully they -- we will take care of all of the concerns that they had with this redesign.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Go ahead.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PHILLIPS: I think I'll bring up some of her points. She had a bid report here that says that they continued to work with the bid via meetings with the last two months. And in collaboration with the mayor

1  
2 and the bid president and -- they  
3 created (inaudible) concept. It  
4 wasn't a perform plan because it was  
5 developed under emergency  
6 circumstances and one of the bid  
7 discussions, I guess, as an  
8 opportunity to begin planning for  
9 next year, I think we should be  
10 (inaudible) with the bid about the  
11 use of street space as part of the  
12 new plan for businesses and residents  
13 use. This was initiated due to the  
14 impact on the downtown businesses by  
15 Covid-19. Sidewalk -- to the  
16 downtown businesses is reaching  
17 maximum overload for the last few  
18 summers. It's time to develop a plan  
19 for the use to alleviate the crowded  
20 sidewalks that are difficult to  
21 navigate, and put pedestrians in  
22 danger when they resort to walking in  
23 the streets. Using the parking  
24 spaces for (inaudible) is a good  
25 start. Obviously, we have to deal

1  
2 with parking. We should also look at  
3 traffic flow and movement of  
4 pedestrians, cars, and bikes through  
5 the village. It is truly a time to  
6 think outside of the box. This is  
7 her bid report. The committee has  
8 met to discuss some concerns about  
9 the deterioration of the (inaudible)  
10 hours from the overheating from the  
11 sun and the doors closed. The marina  
12 staff addressed the situation by  
13 putting plastic fencing against the  
14 lower part of one door, allowing for  
15 the door to be open to let air in the  
16 building. Apparently, cross  
17 ventilation is something that they  
18 want to work on.

19 They also obtained a price  
20 quote from -- for the layout of the  
21 temporary sign. It informed the  
22 public (inaudible.) She is in  
23 support of the alternate number four  
24 ferry project. As for the noise, I  
25 do support the changes in chapter 88

1  
2 that address the decibel levels of  
3 music with entertainment in the  
4 downtown business district. The  
5 revised code provided to me from the  
6 village to work with the businesses  
7 in a reasonable way. And support the  
8 )inaudible) while addressing the  
9 quality of life for the residents. I  
10 oppose any -- to the proposal of the  
11 code and refer to regulating noise in  
12 the areas. Respectfully, Trustee  
13 Robins.

14 MR. HUBBARD: Thank you.  
15 Myself, I have nothing additional to  
16 add. We're not (inaudible) or any  
17 else. It's just been very -- we're  
18 still doing conference calls and  
19 other stuff working with everything.  
20 I appreciate everybody that's been  
21 trying to social distance, keeping  
22 each other safe, helping each other  
23 out. I appreciate that from myself  
24 and the whole board. Unfortunately,  
25 things getting cancelled and we keep

1  
2 moving this further and further out.  
3 We're having a discussion now with  
4 the Christmas parade of what we're  
5 going to do with that once school  
6 opens up because we've involved  
7 children in that and we're going to  
8 see how the first week of school  
9 goes. But that's something that's  
10 not looking good at this point now  
11 with guidelines and laws with people  
12 gathering and all that. We attract  
13 500, 600 people down there. So I  
14 just -- we're discussing that now but  
15 it's not looking good at that point.  
16 We will end up putting lights up and  
17 everything else. We're not canceling  
18 Christmas. It's just a bag gathering  
19 is in jeopardy.

20 Did you want to add something?

21 MS. PIRILLO: I did. I'm  
22 sorry. Paul and I had a conversation  
23 today about possible re-imagining the  
24 event and having it be sort of a  
25 drive in event. Where you can still

1

2

see Mr. And Ms. Clause and the elves

3

and maybe delivered to each car --

4

gifts are delivered to the cars.

5

We're not sure yet, we really just

6

started discussing it today, just in

7

terms of a re-imagination. So that

8

we would bring it to the committee

9

and think about it differently.

10

Still have it within the guidelines,

11

speaking about it differently. Not

12

sure yet, just started.

13

MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

14

MR. PALLAS: Literally about an

15

hour before we started.

16

MR. HUBBARD: Right. Okay.

17

That's all I have. We'll open it up

18

to the public who wish to address the

19

board. Just stand up name and

20

address for the transcriptionist.

21

Just and try to speak loud in the

22

back so we can hear.

23

DAVID: My name is David. And

24

when it comes to these fire pits, you

25

said yourself once, Mr. Mayor, maybe

1  
2 two years ago, when a gentleman's  
3 barn or barns lit or fire, I don't  
4 see the sense of having a fire in the  
5 middle of July where it's hot and I  
6 have to say maybe if we got air  
7 conditioning, they're not such a  
8 nuisance. But if you open your  
9 windows and you use a fan, to try to  
10 keep cool, those fire pits are a real  
11 nuisance because they really stink up  
12 the neighborhood. And hey, I have to  
13 tell you with burning wood, it makes  
14 carbon dioxide. That's a really  
15 minor aspect of it. But I certainly  
16 am not in favor of the fire pits.  
17 Thank you.

18 RANDY: I don't know if you can  
19 hear me through the visual, but thank  
20 for all your great work. As an  
21 addendum to (inaudible) I bought a  
22 fire pit and the instructions said be  
23 sure to keep it 30 feet away from any  
24 wood structure or tree. And there  
25 actually was no place on my property,

1  
2 so I gave it to my son, then he found  
3 it was annoying the neighbors, so he  
4 got one that was a little teeny thing  
5 that circulates air. So anyway, the  
6 direction for the (inaudible). You  
7 had said that he didn't know what 80  
8 decibels was. So garbage disposal,  
9 dishwasher, a freight train at 50  
10 meters. A car alarm is 89 decibels.  
11 Propeller planes flying at 1,000 feet  
12 is 88. Diesel -- 40 miles an hour  
13 and 50 feet away is 84. A milling  
14 machines that rips up the road,  
15 that's 85 decibels. A garbage  
16 disposal is 80 decibels. So I really  
17 do think that you brought up the  
18 point that it would be good now that  
19 the summers almost over, we really  
20 only need it until next April, so by  
21 the time -- January or something,  
22 then it would be way a to really fine  
23 tune it because what I would love to  
24 see is a conversation with Southold  
25 town to find out why their police



1  
2 officers -- I was told by -- they  
3 cannot enforce Greenport's noise  
4 code. Maybe they could enforce  
5 Southolds noise code. There has to  
6 be an answer, there has to be a  
7 meetings of the minds between  
8 Greenport and Southhold where we're  
9 paying for the policemen and they  
10 need to be able to help protect us.  
11 And it's really good to have a code  
12 that helps neighbors be good  
13 neighbors because we're human beings  
14 and we're each a little bit selfish.  
15 And we may do things we don't think  
16 anybody will notice, but it can all  
17 add up. And to have a neighbor hire  
18 a lawyer to solve a neighbor problem  
19 because they wont -- so we need  
20 government, government is great, and  
21 you're all very reasonable.

22 The other thing is when I  
23 walked in, I heard something about we  
24 want you all to vote on the engineers  
25 opinion four. And I just like to

1  
2 make sure that has nothing to do with  
3 the historic transportation area  
4 where there's been a steering commit  
5 that's worked together for years and  
6 then for the last two years has not  
7 been part of the conversation. But  
8 you did let us know that the engineer  
9 has revised plans, but we haven't  
10 seen them yet. So I have been  
11 talking to some of the neighbors, I  
12 just ran into people on the beach  
13 today on Fourth Street. They were  
14 very confused. They heard oh, and I  
15 here the ferry line is supposed to go  
16 down Fourth Street. Well, that's  
17 actually not the case. The ferry  
18 line is supposed to be on the site,  
19 right by the railroad tracks where  
20 it's the dirt parking field. There  
21 should be no ferry line on the street  
22 if the plan that the community has  
23 supported with the railroad museum,  
24 and we've all been very excited about  
25 it, were to go through, traffic would

1  
2 turn right on Fourth Street and go  
3 left into the -- between the railroad  
4 tracks and the rail road museum, and  
5 there would be no back up. So there  
6 would be no way for the cheaters to  
7 gets in the line which is what  
8 happens now. Thank you for  
9 explaining to me. Right now, cars  
10 would go down Fourth Street and they  
11 will try to get into the line that  
12 goes on Wickham's and so the line  
13 that is on Wickham's waiting to get  
14 on the ferry, they will stack up  
15 together and block so nobody can get  
16 through because they're trying to  
17 keep the cheaters away. If the  
18 stacking line is on site, there is no  
19 cheating. That would solve all that  
20 problem. So any rate, the more we  
21 talk to people, the more they  
22 understand and I think to be good. I  
23 mean, I would certainly hope you're  
24 not about to vote on something  
25 without community outreach. I would

1

2

think that would be against

3

everything that -- you know. All the

4

policies and procedures. So what

5

were you talking about?

6

MR. HUBBARD: We were talking

7

about the ferry project down there.

8

You will -- Paul is going to be

9

sending stuff out tomorrow, and

10

you'll see what's there.

11

RANDY: So there are options

12

but you've all discussed it amongst

13

yourselves because you just discussed

14

choosing option four or whatever it

15

is. So why don't we here from the

16

public before any further discussion

17

or any vote on it? Would that be

18

good?

19

MR. HUBBARD: You'll be able to

20

look at everything tomorrow. You can

21

send your comments in and we won't be

22

voting on it until next Thursday.

23

RANDY: But it would be good to

24

all have a discussion after the

25

public speaks otherwise we're talking

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

to a body that's already made a decision.

MR. HUBBARD: The decision is going to be made by the village board. That was expressed by board members at the meeting. The village board is the one that makes the decision on whether or not --

RANDY: Without public comment is my point. I'm sure you would like to have public comment.

MR. HUBBARD: We have had your comments for the past five years, Randy. I met with you personally.

RANDY: We haven't even seen the plans. We don't know what plan you're talking about voting on.

MR. HUBBARD: The plan came in, the village administrator got it, shared with the village board for them to discuss it and review what the plan is. That is the function of government.

RANDY: Well, when it's a plan

1

2

to re configure an area that's

3

waterfront historically, it's going

4

to be that way for the next 50 years,

5

it would be great if you did

6

community outreach and input on

7

whatever plan gets decided.

8

MR. HUBBARD: When I met with

9

you, you wanted to get to the plans

10

before the board did, and that's not

11

fair to my board. They're voted on

12

by the public. You wanted us to give

13

you the plans before the board, and I

14

don't feel that that's fair to these

15

people that are sitting next to me.

16

So they got to see it first. That's

17

what we do with everything. The

18

village board gets it before it's

19

posted to the website and sent out to

20

the public. That's just how

21

government worked. My board won't be

22

blind sided not knowing what's going

23

on with something that I gave to you

24

and they don't even know what's being

25

talked about. Or it ends up on

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Facebook or wherever, and that's just not the way government works.

RANDY: Okay. Except -- developed a plan and it was involving the museum, the ferry company, the --

MR. HUBBARD: It involving the whole village. That's correct.

RANDY: And right there on Fourth Street. So the point is, generally, isn't there -- when there's a public hearing, then you go and talk about it at the next work meeting? You don't vote on it that same day.

MR. PROKOP: There's no requirement to do that. It's just a public hearing.

RANDY: But why would you vote the same day there's a public hearing?

MR. PROKOP: I mean --

MR. PALLAS: It's not a public hearing. It's an agenda item for the board of trustees. Not a public

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

hearing.

RANDY: But shouldn't it be  
since we're talking about --

MS. PHILLIPS: Randy, please  
talk to us. Please talk to the  
board.

RANDY: I'm sorry. I didn't  
know that was a requirement because  
apparently a larger community is not  
really aware of the fact that you're  
deciding on a plan for the railroad  
museum, the ferry dock, the maritime  
museum, the railroad museum, that  
whole area, and you haven't shared it  
with the public to have an open  
discussion about it. So I just think  
that should happen. I think you  
would be happy if that happened.

MS. PHILLIPS: And I think  
you're missing one of the points that  
the whole project, the whole purpose  
of this project is to make the flow  
of traffic placed on the north ferry  
property. Okay? The north ferry is



1  
2 the one that really is dealing with  
3 most of this. They're a leased  
4 property. They're working with  
5 village. Yes, your group had a fair  
6 amount of discussion. You and I have  
7 had a fair amount of discussion.  
8 But, the whole community has seen  
9 this before and they're encouraged  
10 that the traffic flow will keep  
11 moving. And I think that with this  
12 point, and I have said it to you, the  
13 mayor as said it, we have to make a  
14 decision on this. And it may make  
15 everybody happy, but the whole goal  
16 of it is to make is more livable and  
17 have the traffic flow all be stayed  
18 on the north ferry property, and not  
19 on Wickham's all the time.

20 RANDY: Right. But it's not  
21 just about the Q. It's about the  
22 traffic that is congested a very  
23 narrow street.

24 MS. PHILLIPS: That you want to  
25 block off.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RANDY: I live on Sixth, I don't even live on the street. I just -- when I worked at the Department of Transportation in the city, and I redesigned streets all over the five boroughs, I loved a good plan.

MS. PHILLIPS: And Randy, in all --

RANDY: It's not logical to bring all the traffic on Wickham's to continue to have the problem of cars coming down Fourth Street and Sixty, trying to cut in the line.

MS. PHILLIPS: And it is not possibly to have tractor trailers crossing Fourth Street to get into the ferry. And in all honestly, I lived on Fourth Street and I understand the traffic problems are there. And cutting in, yes, there's the cutting in. But the biggest part of the problem is that the traffic needs to keep flowing and needs to

1

2

get onto the north ferry parking lot.

3

And that's what this plan will do and

4

I hope everyone will take a good look

5

it.

6

RANDY: Well, the previous plan

7

that I saw, a tractor trailer could

8

not make the turn coming from

9

Wickham's. It's very tight and so

10

there was a cut room north of the

11

railroad and we're just saying use

12

that cut through for the oversized

13

trucks would need -- use it for all

14

the traffic. And then it will flow

15

and will go into the waiting --

16

MS. PHILLIPS: I respectfully

17

disagree with you, and I respectfully

18

will go what the engineer has

19

suggested. And I think at this

20

point, hopefully it will get onto the

21

website and it will work for everyone

22

and the village to see.

23

RANDY: Again, I think a good

24

project has community support. The

25

only reason the ferry is there is

1  
2 because people were complaining, and  
3 they that this is going to solve all  
4 the complaints. I if it's not going  
5 to, it's like a waste of public  
6 taxpayer dollars. It's not free  
7 money. Even though we're getting it  
8 from the federal government, it's  
9 still -- we want to make sure it's  
10 money going towards a good thing, and  
11 I think this community has a lot of  
12 people that can give you insight and  
13 if you let them look at it and talk  
14 about it with you. Thank you.

15 MR. HUBBARD: Thank you. The  
16 plans will be sent around to you and  
17 you'll be able to see them.

18 Anyone else?

19 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Hi there.  
20 I'm here as volunteer with the League  
21 of Women Voters. You probably notice  
22 us all over town. We have tables set  
23 up trying to get people registered to  
24 vote. It's a nonpartisan, none of  
25 this political affiliation at all.

1  
2 It's a nonpartisan group. We just  
3 want people to -- we want to help  
4 them perform their civic  
5 responsibilities. The question that  
6 the group has is, are we allowed to  
7 walk around in Mitchell Park as a  
8 non-profit? There's no agenda except  
9 to get people to fill out voter  
10 registration cards and mail them in.  
11 So before people started showing up  
12 there, I volunteer to come and ask  
13 you that question.

14 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Does  
15 anybody have a problem with that?

16 MR. CLARKE: Nope.

17 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. That's  
18 fine.

19 MR. PROKOP: As long as there  
20 is -- no other activity that's  
21 obstructed and it doesn't involve --  
22 doesn't affect freedom of movement of  
23 the public in the park, then it's  
24 fine.

25 MR. HUBBARD: It's not one side

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

or the other. It's just regular to vote and that's it.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: If anything, it's a little tiny table where -- but basically the people walk around with clipboards and interact with the public as they're walking about. No banners, no hoopla.

MR. HUBBARD: Okay.

CHARLES: Charles -- 422 Main Street. 85 decibels I do think is pretty loud. When I Googled 85 decibels because I didn't know what was, it says that 85 decibels is what causes hearing damage. And so the bar next door to me is also the one that I've had so much trouble with, not this year, because I think they have a problem with their liquor license. But same owners across the street from me, Bar 314. So the bar next door, their property line is 33 inches from my bedroom window. So the code seems to say that they can

1  
2 put their 85 decibels 33 inches from  
3 my bedroom window. And it just seems  
4 very loud. And to have a house in  
5 the business district I feel like it  
6 should have the same considerations  
7 as other houses anywhere else in the  
8 village. Just because it's located  
9 in the business district doesn't mean  
10 that it should be like surrounded  
11 with pounding music until midnight.  
12 I think it's loud every night, so  
13 from 8:00 a.m., am I'm assuming,  
14 until midnight having -- so I think  
15 85 decibels is really high.

16 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.  
17 Anybody else wish to address the  
18 board?

19 Okay. All for motion to adjoin  
20 at 9:06?

21 ALL: Aye.

22 MR. HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

23

24 (Whereupon, at 9:06 p.m., the  
25 above matter concluded.)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF NEW YORK            )  
                                  :  SS.:  
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK         )

I, TONIANN COCCA, a Notary Public for  
and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That the above is a correct  
transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this  
action by blood or by marriage and that I  
am in no way interested in the outcome of  
this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 20th day of August 2020.

\_\_\_\_\_  
TONIANN COCCA