1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT COUNTY OF SUFFOLK STATE OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
4	x
5	x
б	
7	Third Street Firehouse
8	Greenport, New York
9	N 0 001C
10	May 2, 2016 5:00 P.M.
11	
12	
13	BEFORE:
14	
15	FRANK UELLENDAHL - CHAIRMAN
16	ROSELLE BORRELLI - MEMBER
17	DENNIS MCMAHON - MEMBER
18	CAROLINE WALOSKI - MEMBER
19	SUSAN WETSELL - MEMBER
20	
21	EILEEN WINGATE - VILLAGE BUILDING INSPECTOR
22	PAUL PALLAS - VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	INDEX OF AGENDA	
3		
4	NAME	PAGE
5	James Gleason	5-18 (13)
б	Claudia Purita (Adjourned)	18-20(2)
7	Joseph Flotteron	20-27(7)
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: I am
2	going to open the meeting tonight.
3	It is 5:15. This is the Historic
4	Preservation Comission regular
5	meeting, May 2, 2016. And I am
б	joined by Dennis McMahon. Our new
7	commissioner, Susan Wetsell.
8	Caroline Waloski and Roselle
9	Borrelli. My name is Frank
10	Uellendahl.
11	Before I want to get started,
12	I am glad that the Village
13	Administrator Paul Pallas is joining
14	us, as well as the Building
15	Inspector, Eileen Wingate. I do want
16	to express my dismay and
17	disappointment about the Village
18	administration to make changes to our
19	Board without telling me or any of
20	our commissioners. I had to read it
21	in the paper that Lucy Clark was
22	joining the Planing Board Meeting.
23	And I am very happy for Susan Wetsell
24	to be joining us, I think since you
25	want me to run this meeting, I should

1	know this. I don't care who tells
2	me, but this is not right and I am
3	very disappointed. We are here
4	starting our meeting 20 minutes late.
5	And this is why we are here. We need
б	to get into the building.
7	With that being said, we
8	welcome Susan. She lives on Central
9	Avenue. She has a beautiful old
10	home. Susan, why don't you tell us a
11	little bit about your background so
12	we get to know you?
13	MEMBER WETSELL: Okay. So
14	years ago, I was an Art Director in
15	the city. And moved out here on the
16	weekends to fix up this house that
17	was pretty much uninhabitable. And
18	now it's my fill time residence. I
19	was a teacher in between all of those
20	things and now I am retired. I like
21	old houses.
22	MEMBER WALOSKI: Join the
23	club.
24	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Good.
25	Welcome Susan. We have three items

1 on the agenda. We are going to be 2 starting with Item No. 1 Continued discussion and possible motion on an 3 4 application submitted by James Gleason, the owner of the residential 5 property located in the Historic 6 District at 144 Central Ave. At last 7 month's meeting, HPC approved the 8 9 proposed cedar siding, window 10 replacements, porch and deck railings and the 92 Square feet addition. We 11 12 discussed the proposed standing seam 13 metal roof at the front porch was 14 discussed but was not voted on it because we needed a continued 15 discussion on this. Mr. Gleason is 16 here to talk and suggest something 17 else with your renovation. James, 18 19 can you hear me? 20 MR. GLEASON: I did the roof 21 porch to remain and replace as needed. I am also moving the lead 22 23 coated copper that was originally on 24 there. CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So we 25

1	were talking about the front porch in
2	particular. You had mentioned back
3	in April that you like the standing
4	seam roof. It's a tiny little canopy
5	roof on Stirling Avenue, but it's not
6	in the Historic District.
7	MEMBER WALOSKI: I have been
8	doing a little research. Not in
9	Greenport but when I was in New
10	Jersey this weekend, there was some
11	really elegant old homes that had
12	that standing seam copper. That they
13	were using it on very historic
14	buildings. And it looked lovely.
15	Those are traditional. The seam was
16	traditional.
17	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: I do
18	want to see what it looks like in old
19	construction but what I see on new
20	buildings is a very contemporary
21	look.
22	MEMBER WALOSKI: It's not
23	contemporary material. It is a
24	traditional material. And that
25	styling is also. It seems to work

1	what they are doing. They have a
2	roof that has pattern and this has
3	less pattern. So it might be ideal
4	for them.
5	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So now
6	you are telling us
7	MEMBER WALOSKI: I am just
8	telling you my opinion that I have no
9	objection to the seam copper. That
10	it doesn't have to be fishtail. It
11	is a traditional
12	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Did you
13	respond to our e-mail?
14	MEMBER WALOSKI: I wasn't here
15	for that. So I didn't get the
16	e-mails.
17	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: I guess
18	I was the only one who opposed it.
19	MEMBER MCMAHON: There is
20	another copper standing seam roof out
21	near the hospital and it's a very
22	fine standing seam. So it's not a
23	big commercial. Jim has brought
24	EPDM. This is what he was thinking
25	about doing if the Board didn't allow

1	him to do the standing seam copper.
2	Maybe he wants to rethink.
3	MR. GLEASON: If you allow me
4	to, then I would like to do it. I
5	think it's going to make the front of
6	the house.
7	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Then in
8	that case, I would have to recuse
9	myself. I don't think what I see
10	is the standing seam unless you
11	can show us a very low profile one,
12	which color was
13	MEMBER WALOSKI: It was
14	copper.
15	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Are you
16	willing to go with copper?
17	MR. GLEASON: No, I wanted to
18	go with lead coated copper. Being
19	close to the water. I think that was
20	the better option.
21	MEMBER WALOSKI: So it would
22	be grey. I think that would be
23	better.
24	MEMBER BORRELLI: Can I just
25	ask a

1	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: I just
2	have to say, you know, we had this
3	discussion last month and we couldn't
4	make a decision. We were asked to
5	respond to Mr. Gleason because he
6	needed to order the roof. I
7	responded. I did not hear any
8	response from any of you. So I don't
9	even know where my response went.
10	Eileen Wingate, did you get any?
11	MS. WINGATE: Nobody
12	responded. Just you.
13	MEMBER BORRELLI: Can I ask
14	you a question about the particular
15	roof style? Is it the stuff that you
16	see on the house that is going to
17	East Marion
18	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Yes.
19	MEMBER MCMAHON: That is a
20	standing seam roof. That is
21	correct.
22	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: That is
23	a very contemporary looking house.
24	MEMBER BORRELLI: Is it the
25	type of house that you would see in a

1	Vermont type of setting. Coming down
2	I have not seen it used much in
3	this area. Although I do know in my
4	house, it was zinc. Flashing in a
5	metal type situation. That is just
6	an aesthetic.
7	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Would
8	you consider going back to the metal
9	roof?
10	MR. GLEASON: Like I said, if
11	you were willing to approve it
12	tonight and I could move forward. I
13	would check that off the list. That
14	would be wonderful.
15	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: We were
16	discussing standing seam, which is to
17	me, if you look at new construction,
18	it has a more temporary look. And I
19	didn't think it was appropriate for
20	the Historic District. In particular
21	the front porch. Right on Central
22	Avenue, we have a lot of front
23	porches and they're very simple.
24	They have asphalt roofing or in
25	Dennis' case, median roofing.

1 They're very shallow.

2	MR. GLEASON: By the way, the
3	roof appears in the photos, not at
4	the pitch that it is. If you are
5	standing on the sidewalk, you can't
6	see the roof. If you're standing on
7	the street, you can see the roof. Or
8	if you are on the second floor of the
9	home looking out, you can see the
10	roof.
11	MEMBER BORRELLI: If I just
12	might add, I have a little out house
13	in my backyard that had a zinc lead
14	metal roof on it. And the only way
15	that they could get it to look like a
16	goeta roof, like a gabrell and four
17	sided, only way to get that up there
18	was to weld it and weld them in. So
19	it kind if looks like that, pushing
20	it in a way.
21	MR. GLEASON: I presented it
22	but it did not being a historical
23	traditional element.
24	MEMBER WALOSKI: It is used on
25	modern buildings but it is a

1	traditional material.
2	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So then
3	I would I will recuse myself from
4	voting on this. Can I have a motion
5	to
6	MEMBER BORRELLI: Is it
7	possible to do the metal roof without
8	the seams?
9	MR. GLEASON: Not really. It
10	can on a flat roof.
11	MEMBER MCMAHON: This is a
12	locking system. There is very many
13	different profiles in standing seam
14	roofs. You can crimp them down and
15	reduce the standing seam to about an
16	inch safely. Anything lower than
17	that, it's probably going to leak.
18	Being that Jim is my neighbor, I have
19	been talking to him. He didn't want
20	this to be an issue. So he wants to
21	move along with this house. So he
22	was agreeing that he would put EPDM
23	down. So now that we are talking
24	about the standing seam roof again,
25	we should approve it and whether he

1	choses to do it, it would be in his
2	corner. I think that is because we
3	have put him in a position in regards
4	to his timing now.
5	MEMBER BORRELLI: I personally
б	don't have an issue with it. If it
7	were the whole roof
8	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: It's not
9	the whole roof.
10	MEMBER BORRELLI: What I am
11	saying, it's not the whole.
12	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So
13	Dennis, why don't you just make a
14	motion?
15	MEMBER MCMAHON: I will make a
16	motion to approve Jim Gleason's
17	proposed standing seam metal roof
18	with perhaps a reduced standing seam.
19	Something around a one inch seam, if
20	possible. If Jim should decide to
21	just go ahead with this project and
22	use the EPDM, as he has come to this
23	meeting with the intention of him
24	doing, that is also I think that is
25	something that we should approve. We

1	have given him no other choices.
2	MEMBER BORRELLI: I second the
3	motion.
4	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
5	favor?
6	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
7	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
8	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
9	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: And I
11	will abstain.
12	So you get your way.
13	So there are things that you
14	wanted to talk about.
15	MR. GLEASON: The garage
16	elevation.
17	MEMBER WALOSKI: This is going
18	to be a pool house.
19	MS. WINGATE: Before we even
20	get to the pool house. James, due to
21	ZBA, procedure switched his stairs to
22	the east from the east, to the
23	north. The stairs were coming
24	well, you're on.
25	MR. GLEASON: The stairs were

1	going to come off the east side of
2	the house. Right, which you would
3	see from the side of the street.
4	Now, we're not going to do that and
5	the stairs are going to come off the
б	back of the house.
7	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: They are
8	not shown here on this elevation.
9	MR. GLEASON: We are only
10	showing the roof deck. You don't
11	have this elevation. So if we look
12	here
13	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: That is
14	just a change from the steps going
15	down into the yard?
16	MR. GLEASON: Yes. You are
17	not even going to see them.
18	MEMBER WALOSKI: Then that's
19	fine.
20	MR. GLEASON: We decided to
21	remove them and put them in the back.
22	MS. WINGATE: I just wanted
23	you to know.
24	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Thank
25	you. As far as the main house is

1	concerned, you're good to go with
2	everything that you are proposing.
3	MR. GLEASON: Thank you.
4	MEMBER BORRELLI: What year
5	was the house built?
6	MR. GLEASON: 1880, as far as
7	I know.
8	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So what
9	else? Continued discussion here.
10	MR. GLEASON: The garage. We
11	are looking to change the elevation
12	from the road. Everything is staying
13	exactly the same. We are going to
14	put a new roof on to match the
15	house. We are going to put new
16	beveled siding on it to the match the
17	house.
18	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Fine.
19	MR. GLEASON: Everything else
20	stays the same. That's it. We are
21	going to propose this little bump out
22	in the back where the pool equipment
23	is going to be.
24	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: We won't
25	see this at all?

1	MR. GLEASON: Nope.
2	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So
3	closing this in with two
4	Andersen
5	MR. GLEASON: Andersen 400
6	Series to match the house.
7	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: And you
8	are using the same trim work
9	MR. GLEASON: Yes. It's all
10	noted on the plans.
11	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: And then
12	you are adding a door on the side
13	opening up to the pool?
14	MR. GLEASON: Yes. This would
15	be a sliding door and then the barn
16	doors.
17	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Okay.
18	So that is a nice look.
19	MEMBER WALOSKI: Very
20	handsome.
21	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Any
22	discussion on this?
23	MEMBER MCMAHON: No.
24	MEMBER WALOSKI: No.
25	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Motion

1	to approve the conversion of the
2	garage, exterior is concerned. I
3	cannot talk about the function. And
4	can I have a second?
5	MEMBER WALOSKI: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
7	favor?
8	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
9	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
10	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
11	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Aye.
13	Motion carries.
14	You are good to go.
15	MR. GLEASON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All
17	right. Item No. 2, discussion and
18	possible motion on an application
19	submitted by Claudia Purita from Old
20	Shipyard, LLC. The applicant
21	proposes to install an ADA
22	compliant handicap ramp and
23	replacement windows at the commercial
24	property located in the Historic
25	District at 211 Carpenter Street.

1	SCTM # 1001-4-10-11.
2	I don't see the applicant or
3	anyone representing her in the room,
4	but I only have what do I have? I
5	have the application. Do I have
6	drawings? Do I have the site plan?
7	Do I have anything that we can talk
8	about?
9	MS. WINGATE: I just got them
10	from the architect. The site plans
11	are on my desk, but
12	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Doesn't
13	help us here.
14	MS. WINGATE: They are going
15	through Planning Board and they are
16	having a rough time. So there are
17	going to be some changes and I
18	think you should perhaps table
19	this.
20	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So what
21	is happening with this property?
22	What is the problem?
23	MS. WINGATE: There is not a
24	problem. The first floor will be a
25	tasting room and the second floor

1	an apartment but due to the
2	occupancy, they're trying to work
3	out accessibility and egress. So
4	there might need to be an additional
5	door.
6	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So it
7	looks like this will come back when
8	this is resolved with the Planning
9	Board?
10	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So then
12	I will make a motion to table the
13	application of Claudia Purita until
14	the problem with the Planning Board
15	approves the project and she will
16	come back to us at that time. May I
17	have a second?
18	MEMBER WALOSKI: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Motion
20	carries.
21	Item No. 3, discussion and
22	possible motion on an application
23	submitted by Joseph Flotteron, the
24	owner of the residential property
25	located in the Historic District at

1	619 Carpenter Street. The applicant
2	wishes to install a 2,100 KW solar
3	photovoltaic system on the one-story
4	roof facing the rear yard.
5	SCTM # 1001-3-4-7. There are some
б	drawings as far as this project is
7	concerned. The applicant is not
8	present. The question is if we can
9	discuss it amongst ourselves. As I
10	see it, the property is the
11	northern end of Carpenter Street
12	facing west.
13	MS. WINGATE: Facing east.
14	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Facing
15	west.
16	MS. WINGATE: Facing east.
17	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Then I
18	looked at the wrong property. It's
19	on the
20	MS. WINGATE: It's on the west
21	side of the property facing east.
22	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Yes.
23	But the roof, front elevation is
24	facing west. Am I right? This is
25	why we always have to have the

1	applicant here to see if there is
2	any questions. Does everybody have
3	this?
4	MEMBER BORRELLI: No.
5	MEMBER WALOSKI: No.
б	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Eileen,
7	my commissioner's don't have the
8	paperwork.
9	MEMBER MCMAHON: Just show it
10	to us.
11	MS. WINGATE: I am sorry, I
12	did not collect all their paperwork
13	and bring it to you.
14	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So I
15	will pass it around. So this is
16	Carpenter Street. There is a
17	one-story addition in the back of the
18	building facing west. So it looks
19	like I mean, this aerial view
20	shows a roof. It's a very flat roof.
21	If you read through the application
22	is it pitched north and south?
23	MS. WINGATE: It is pitched
24	towards the west.
25	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: If I

1 look at this roof plan, it -- this is 2 the main roof, Carpenter. This is this roof here. 3 4 MS. WINGATE: South-west. CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: So now 5 we have to add these applications, we б have to be prepared for more of these 7 applications to come into the 8 9 Historic District because it's the right thing to do. I do not have a 10 problem with this application. It's 11 12 hardly visible. It's in the back. We have to be prepared for when the 13 owners want to apply these panels on 14 the front roof facing the street. I 15 would like you to think about this. 16 17 If we could actually turn the application down when we feel that 18 it's a real problem for the street 19 20 scape and the view from the --MR. PALLAS: I think the 21 22 short answer is yes. I am really 23 not sure what the ramifications are and what that would be. The code as 24 a I read it, would allow you to turn 25

1	down anything that doesn't fit the
2	criteria. This is something that is
3	outside the scope of the code of what
4	is listed.
5	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: This is
6	I don't think I don't know if
7	anyone has a problem with this
8	particular roof?
9	MEMBER WALOSKI: You can't see
10	it from the roof.
11	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: It's
12	facing the backyard. It's not facing
13	the street.
14	MEMBER WETSELL: I am
15	interested in the pitch.
16	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: It's a
17	4 inch pitch. It says it right here.
18	MEMBER BORRELLI: Can I just
19	make a comment.
20	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: This is
21	doable.
22	MEMBER BORRELLI: I just
23	wondered what our jurisdiction is and
24	what our enforceable power would be
25	on a Historic home when historically

1 speaking, the sun has heated the 2 Earth always? So if somebody wants 3 to go to a historic way when you 4 considered the fact that many homes were electrified in the 1920's, they 5 want to get their energy source from 6 7 something nothing more historic than the sun's heat. So how are we to 8 9 say? I applaud them to go green and making it good for the environment. 10 Just make it fit. I would never turn 11 12 something away whether they wanted it 13 in the front of their roof --14 CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: My 15 experience coming from Germany, they now allow this on old homes --16 MEMBER BORRELLI: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: It's 18 19 happening all over the world. 20 MEMBER BORRELLI: Exactly. 21 CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: We also have to take into consideration 22 23 where we should put the roof emphasis on that -- this industry has come a 24 long way. It comes in all different 25

1	shapes. I really don't like this
2	roof. If it designed properly and
3	covers a front roof appropriately, I
4	think we could approve it. We have
5	to really push that because it can be
6	done. This is a very important
7	question.
8	MEMBER MCMAHON: I suggest
9	that we get back to the agenda, first
10	of all, and approve this. It's nice
11	for us to consider this.
12	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: We don't
13	have a ph on this. The public is
14	invited to this. The owners for this
15	application didn't have to notify the
16	neighbors, which would be the case
17	with Planning Board or ZBA if they
18	were asking for a variance. That
19	would be another question for the
20	Code Committee, which we don't have
21	anymore.
22	MEMBER MCMAHON: I don't think
23	you would want to go that route.
24	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Dennis,
25	you wanted to close this up?

1	MEMBER MCMAHON: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Any
3	other conversations or questions?
4	MEMBER WALOSKI: No.
5	MEMBER MCMAHON: I would like
6	to make a motion to approve the solar
7	panel roofs.
8	MEMBER BORRELLI: I second it.
9	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Motion
10	carries.
11	So moving right along. Motion
12	to approve the minutes of the
13	February 1, 2016 meeting. I make a
14	motion to approve them.
15	MEMBER MCMAHON: Second it.
16	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
17	favor?
18	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
19	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
20	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
21	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Aye.
23	Motion carries.
24	Item No. 5, motion to accept
25	the minutes of the April 4, 2016

1	meeting. I so move.
2	MEMBER WALOSKI: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
4	favor?
5	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
6	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
7	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
8	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Aye.
10	Motion carries.
11	Item No. 6, Motion to schedule
12	the next HPC meeting for
13	June 6, 2016. Are we all going to be
14	around for that?
15	MEMBER MCMAHON: As far as I
16	know.
17	MEMBER WALOSKI: I second.
18	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
19	favor?
20	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
21	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
22	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
23	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
24	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Aye.
25	Motion carries.

1	Item No. 7, motion to adjourn
2	at 5:51.
3	MEMBER MCMAHON: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: All in
5	favor?
б	MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
7	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
8	MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.
9	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN UELLENDAHL: Aye.
11	Motion carries.
12	
13	(Whereupon, the meeting
14	concluded at 5:51 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 4 I, Jessica DiLallo, a Notary Public for and within the State of 5 New York, do hereby certify: б 7 THAT, the witness(es) whose testimony is herein before set forth, 8 9 was duly sworn by me, and, THAT, the within transcript is a 10 true record of the testimony given by 11 12 said witness(es). 13 I further certify that I am not related either by blood or marriage 14 to any of the parties to this action; 15 and that I am in no way interested in 16 the outcome of this matter. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have 18 hereunto set my hand this day, 19 May 15, 2016. 20 21 J<u>essica DiLallo</u> 22 (Jessica DiLallo) 23 24

25