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(*The meeting was called to order at 4 p.m.*) CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So, welcome, everybody. This is the Village of Greenport Planning Board's Work Session and Regular Meeting, this Thursday, April 29th, 2011 (sic) (2021) at approximately 4 p.m.

Item No. 1 is a motion to accept and approve the minutes for the March 25th, 2021 Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting. Do I have a second to this motion?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Motion is accepted and the minutes are approved (Not Present: John Cotugno).

Item No. 2 is a motion to schedule the combined Planning Board Work and Regular Meeting for 4 p.m. on May 27, 2021. May I have second to this motion?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
The meeting is scheduled (Not Present:
Member Cotugno).
Items No. 3 and Items No. 4, we are going

1 to table those for the next meeting so that we 2 get the findings and determinations produced. 3 So we will move to accept them at our next 4 meeting.

Item No. 5 is for 102 Main Street. This is a Pre-submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for May 27th, 2021 regarding the site plan application of Nicole Kefalas and Michael Fortino.

The applicants propose to continue the conditional use of a restaurant, replacing the restaurant formerly known as "Barba Bianca" with "Fortino's Tavern". This property is located in the W-C (Waterfront Commercial) District and is located in the Historic District at Suffolk County Tax Map No. 1001-5.-3-12.2. And would the applicant like to speak on their application at this time?

MR. FORTINO: Yes, we would. We are looking to get approved to open up our new place here. I think we submitted the plans and we just got approved by the Historical Society meeting I think two weeks ago. They said -- they gave us the green light, so we're just waiting on you guys to approve us as well.

MEMBER HAMMES: Paul and Rob, am I correct that this is before us because it's a conditional use in the Waterfront?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's correct, yes.

MEMBER HAMMES: Sorry, Walter. Go ahead. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And so you guys were operating the restaurant last season, correct?

MR. FORTINO: That is correct. We operated it as a pop-up last Summer, it went very, very well. We operated from 4th of July weekend until the end of October last year. And we're looking to actually purchase the lease this year and start it going full-time.

MS. KEFALAS: We changed the name, the menu the signage.

MR. FORTINO: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I see. Okay.
MR. FORTINO: We got approved for the sign by the Historical Society.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.
MR. FORTINO: We are looking for a change of use occupancy tenancy application.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. And the occupancy will be the same as the way you used it last

Summer?
MR. FORTINO: Yes, sir. I believe, you know, with the new -- the new rules, I believe we will be able to seat inside this year which is something that we weren't able to do last Summer; but, yeah, same.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All right. Great.
Okay. Does anybody else have any questions on the application?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: I have a question about the dock seating. I'm assuming it's the same, just from your plan it looks like it goes further out on the dock.

MR. FORTINO: Last Summer we were allocated a few extra seats out there because of the COVID situation. This Summer, if approved, we would go back to the old seating arrangement because we will be able to seat at the bar and the inside as well.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: Okay, thanks.
MR. FORTINO: We're looking at about 40 seats outside whereas last year we had about 40 --

MS. KEFALAS: It's just separated six-feet.
MR. FORTINO: Yeah, we're still going to

1 have six feet apart and all of that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Any other questions from the Board?

MEMBER KYRK: Hi, this is Reed. I noticed that the bathrooms are compliant, ADA compliant, and I'm trying to remember the entryway into the restaurant. Will that allow wheelchair access there?

MR. FORTINO: There's actually two entrances to the restaurant, one has a ramp that is wheelchair accessible.

MEMBER KYRK: Okay.
MS. KEFALAS: They both are.
MR. FORTINO: Well, actually, they both are.

MS. KEFALAS: Yes.
MR. FORTINO: The front entrance you can just wheel right in if you were in a wheelchair. But there is a ramp that can go into the alternative entrance which is near the bar.

MEMBER KYRK: Okay, thanks. Thank you for telling me that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All right. Paul, is this the kind of application that we have to schedule a Public Hearing if we're really talking more
like a signage change? I'm not --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No. Because it's a conditional use, it does require a full site plan hearing.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It does require one?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It does; conditional use is Waterfront Commercial.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. All right, so if nobody else has any more questions, then I'd like to schedule the Public Hearing on this for the next meeting. Do I have a second on that?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: In favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Okay, so scheduled. (Not Present: Member Cotugno).

So we'll have a Public Hearing for this for next month, and then if it gets approved there then it'll be cleared at that point.

MS. KEFALAS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You're welcome. Thank you.

MR. FORTINO: Yeah, we'd just like to introduce ourselves and just say hello to everyone. This is our first Board meeting, so

1 we're really happy about the opportunity and we 2 can't wait to get started. So we'd just like to 3 say hello to everyone. I know a couple of you

4 from coming into the restaurant last Summer, but
5 I'm really excited to meet all of you this
6 Summer. I speak for both of us, so.

8 much.

All right. Okay, so now we're onto Item No. 6, 314 Main Street. This is a Pre-submission Conference with possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for May 27th, 2021 regarding the site plan application of Khedouri Kzair Corp, represented by James Bennett.

The applicant proposes to amend the existing site plan to become and open a restaurant only named "Gallery 314". This property is located in the C-R (Retail Commercial) District and is located in the Historic District at Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-4.-7-27. Would somebody on behalf of the applicant like to speak at this time?

MR. BENNETT: We -- I'm James Bennett. I just wanted to state that, you know, a couple of years ago we had some guys from the City running

1 the place and it got a little out of hand and we understand that. And today Jose Avila is going to be the chef and it's going to be a very (indiscernible). We look forward to seeing you all there. And it'll be quiet and pleasant, I promise.

MEMBER HAMMES: So I just have one question on the -- this is Tricia Hammes, I'm on the Planning Board. I have one question on the application because it was somewhat inconsistent in that the first page read that the hours will be 11 to 11, but there was something else attached to it that talked about 11 to 1 ?

MR. BENNETT: That's my mistake, I didn't catch that. It's 11 to 11.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And the 11 to 11 is for indoor and outdoor?

MR. BENNETT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. And what is your intent to do -- because, you know, there obviously was a big issue, apparently, with the sound, the music, etcetera.

MR. BENNETT: I understand that.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Will there be a sound
system outdoors?
MR. BENNETT: No. I mean, you know, there might be very small speakers for a little bit of ambiance. But other than that, there will be no live music, there will be nothing. The stage is taken down, the bar is gone, there is only seating out there and there are very big hedges out front and you won't even know we're there.

MEMBER KYRK: This is Reed, Reed Kyrk, I'm on the Planning Committee. Has there been any -has it been in operation since that couple of years ago when it was run from --

MR. BENNETT: They never got it open again last year.

MEMBER KYRK: Okay. So the -- there's sort of like legacy complaints from a couple of seasons ago and there's been nothing last Summer and we expect nothing going forward; is that correct?

MR. BENNETT: That's correct. I mean, it is going to be a nice, quiet little restaurant and that's it.

MEMBER KYRK: Thank you.
MEMBER HAMMES: Paul and Rob, just so I understand. This sure is Planning review

1 because -- because $I$ know we talked about this when the bar opened there and that did not come before the Planning Board at that time, so I was just trying to understand why this is coming before us now.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There has been a change to the approved site plan, that's the main reason.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. So it's just the layout within the building itself.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes. It's also as a condition as part of the violations to get a site re-approved.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I have another question. You mentioned here about the second-story being used only for family and maybe staff. Is that, I take it, for residential purposes?

MR. BENNETT: Only. It's only for residential purposes, it's not being rented out or anything like that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Is it permitted to be residential upstairs?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, because then we have -you know, they spent thousands and thousands,

1 tens of thousands of dollars to get it

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, is it zoned to permit that, Paul; mixed-use?

MR. BENNETT: It's zoned for family or staff, yeah, only, and it's a maximum of 10 people.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I believe (audio malfunction) to the hearing, assuming it's scheduled, that there was a CO issued to that effect; and I will confirm that all permits have been closed out.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I'm sorry. Did you say for 10 people; did $I$ hear you right?

MR. BENNETT: Yeah. Well, that's on the C of $O$.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: For residential on the second floor --

MR. BENNETT: Yeah, yeah.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: -- if there's 10 people? Really? Wow.

And just to go back to what I think you said earlier; you said that there would be no live music, no sound system outdoors. There

1 would be -- you said there would be speakers but 2 it would be basically for ambiance, restaurant 3 music, whatever. There's not going to be -4 there won't be an outdoor bar; is that correct?

MR. BENNETT: No, that's removed. And there will only be outdoor dining and people sitting there, and there's hedges in front of the property.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And indoors, what will be the nature of the business? It will be strictly a restaurant, it won't be a nightclub, so to speak?

MR. BENNETT: That's right, it's strictly a restaurant, only.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Anybody else have any questions at this time on the Board?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: I have a question about parking, I guess. I assume it's sort of grandfathered, but $I$ just know usually our site plans include the whole property and this doesn't -- this is only -- right? As far as I can tell, this is just sort of like mostly the interior and the front, but there is a back parking lot, correct?

MR. BENNETT: That's correct.

MEMBER HAMMES: My understanding, Lily, and Paul or Rob might be able to correct me on this. As I recall, when the hotel and this building were originally purchased and they went through site plan approval, I seem to recall that there was discussion about parking between the two buildings at that time as well and there was some resolution --

MR. BENNETT: And that's why it was necessary to --

MEMBER HAMMES: -- when that site plan was originally approved. Is that right, Paul; do you recall that?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I have a vague memory, but I can check. I can check into that as well, just to confirm. But it would be preexisting in any event, but whatever was -- the only -- what you're looking at is just a change to the layout, so the parking would have been already covered in the prior application.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Does anybody else from the Board want to speak at this time?

MEMBER HAMMES: No, I'll go ahead and suggest that we schedule a Public Hearing on it.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Before you do that,

1 the person that's calling in, if you could mute your phone, please.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. So at this time I'd like to schedule a Public Hearing for this application for Thursday, May 27th, 2021. Do I have second?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
Okay, the Public Hearing for this application is scheduled for that date (Not Present: Member Cotugno).

The next Item is No. 7, 123 Sterling
Avenue. This is also a Pre-Submission Conference with a possible motion to schedule a Public Hearing for May 27 th, 2021 regarding the site plan application of 123 Sterling Avenue Corp, represented by Paul Pawlowski.

The applicant proposes to modify the parking and curb-cut location along with the approval of Waterfront Commercial use of the subdivided first floor. This property is located in the $W$-C (Waterfront Commercial) District. This property is located in the Historic District at Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-3.-5-16.4/16.5.

1 Would the applicant like to speak at this time? MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yes. Good evening, all. Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes
MR. PAWLOWSKI: How are you? So we're here tonight to discuss two items, that being the reconfiguration of the parking along Sterling and Ludlum; and secondly, the intended use for the Waterfront Commercial space.

We speak about the parking along Sterling. The intent there is -- we were denied the variance and the intent is to still try and improve the parking situation along Sterling Avenue. And by -- what we've submitted allows for more green space in the area where the building would be closest to the road. It would allow for more sidewalks and curbing, and we'd reduce the space count from 21 down to 12.

Where the parking's proposed is in keeping with what's already permitted, but the main reason for that is it's in close proximity to the front door of the building.

As -- off Ludlum, there's no change in parking count, we just want to utilize the existing curb-cut into that area and we still

1 conform to travel lane by Code, etcetera. The
2 reason -- one, there is an existing curb-cut.
3 The original site plan had that entrance off the
4 cul-de-sac which we feel could be problematic in
5 the snowy season when they're plowing, people
6 trying to use the cul-de-sac. So we propose to
7 put that entrance in the existing curb-cut. So
8 that's the only reason for the curb-cut off 9 Ludlum.

So, we still meet our parking analysis code with these modifications. Our goal is to, you know, improve off Sterling. We worked hard with the SBNA originally to try and get rid of it and put it inside the garage; however, that was denied. So we're trying to improve it and this is one way to add the green space and the sidewalks and still have parking that's efficiently located to the entrance of this building.

As far as the intended use of the Waterfront Commercial space, it's for private yacht club space, spaces, and it's all within the footprints that are already permitted. And the hide -- you know, open and above areas staying as already permitted. And I'm here to answer any

1 questions the Board or anyone may have. MEMBER HAMMES: I actually just have -- I have more of a process question for Paul and crew again, which is I'm still confused as to what exactly we're doing, whether this is an amendment to the stipulation agreement that still is going to require the Trustees and everybody to sign-off on it if we approve it, or if we're just doing a site plan review to de novo.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, Rob, if you give me a moment, I'll try and you can correct me. The -- this is purely a site plan review to amend the parking. The parking count is remaining the same as approved in the stipulation. The original site plan in the stipulation had land-banked spaces in the same number that is being moved. So the land-banked spaces are merely being shifted from one location to the other which would not require any, as far as I can tell, revision to the stipulation agreement. The counts are the same and the site plan that was approved as part of the stipulation included all the land-banked which allows for additional (indiscernible) parking. MR. CONNELLY: I think that's correct.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But isn't this application -- does it not also involve the 12 private yacht club spaces and the appropriateness of that; is that not part of this application?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, the applicant has proposed to subdivide the space. There was nothing in the stipulation agreement that was, as far as that I could tell anyway, that stated how many units could be put in the overall space. That being the case, it seems that the Planning Board should review this part of the application.

MR. CONNELLY: But we obviously can -- you know, can review a proposed use.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And -- so, this is for the applicant, $I$ have a question. So just so I understand, each individual unit is associated with the purchase of a condo unit, a residential unit; is that correct?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct. And it's -- they would be proposed as private yacht club spaces as per the Code, the permissible code in Waterfront Commercial.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And what is -- the private yacht club space, what does that mean as far as you understand; How would it be used?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: How I believe that yacht club space, similar to the ones on Sterling Avenue already, is for the owners of that yacht club to -- whether they work with marine-related enterprises or that they -- whatever they do with boating. I think it's in keeping with what's on the street already in some of the yacht clubs and a use that will have a low impact to the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So, in essence, there would be 12 different yacht clubs in the building?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct. It's a large space and we're trying to pick something that is sustainable and that is in keeping with the Code and that is how we came up with this.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Would an owner of a residential unit that's also got its own private yacht club, would it be able to rent that space out?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: So, one, that -- that yacht club space also comes with a dock space to accompany the yacht club. The -- how we're proposing it is whoever's living in the condo, whether it's the owner or they do a long-term

1 lease, has the rights to use that space.

For instance, I'll own one of these condos and yacht club space. We look forward -- we've been talking with some marine related businesses that would like to use it periodically for a conference. We have some ideas working with an individual on doing some sort of marine program with the school. There's some good, low impact uses within a yacht club that we're excited to achieve.

And to answer your question, Mr. Foote, yes, they could rent out that space, but they can't -- the goal is to reduce and mitigate the traffic. So it's -- it only could be to the people that are living in the condo that have the rights to use that space, and hopefully that answers your question.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So you could -- when you say rent it out, meaning as long as the person you rent it out to is also occupying the residential space; is that what you mean by that?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah, or they control -let's just say that a local marine sales, like a guy that sells boats wants to have a conference there, they could let them utilize their yacht

1 club space for that one-day conference. Or if they want to let the law -- you know, there is an agenda to try and get a marine program for the school, this space would allow that. We have the parking for it, we have the large garage space for it.

There's been some intent with the yacht club I would own to create a -- I forget the name of them, Beetle sailboats, like a small Beetle sailboat club there within the yacht club space, so. And we have that 5,000 square foot garage for those boats that they wouldn't be stored outside. So we're pretty excited that there's good use within a private yacht club that will actually be Waterfront Commercial.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Did you say the --
MEMBER HAMMES: I just -- sorry, go ahead.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Go ahead.
MEMBER HAMMES: I just have -- just circling back on this use by a third party. The way I read the proposed Attorney General language was that there would be no lease or rental allowed independently from the apartment itself.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct. As an owner, we would allow, you know, if -- for instance, I'll

1 give you an analogy. If $I$ was to work with the 2 school to create a program, a marine program, 3 they would be utilizing my space. Not

4 necessarily for rent, whether it's for free or

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, but the school wouldn't be renting out the residential space, so there's a bifurcation.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I would be the owner curating that program within my space, so I technically wouldn't charge rent to the school. But it's just that space will provide the ability to offer a program under the property I own, or if another owner owns it and they want to offer a program they can. But they're not going -- our goal is not -- with condos and with anything, we want the people that are buying in to know what the use will be now and in the future.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Did you say something about the dock space, that there was -- what dock space are you talking about? I'm a little confused by that.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We own -- we have our own small little marina just south of the building,

1 so each yacht club space would also have a dock 2 space deeded to it as well. And we have roughly 14 spots.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: But the parking that's been allowed assumes that you're not -- like, for example, you can't split up the residential occupancy from this particular occupancy because you wouldn't have enough spaces, right?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We have plenty of spaces. We have -- so the intent of -- we have 70 physical spaces and 10 land-banked, so we have enough parking.

The idea for the second and third floor residents is to have the parking in close proximity to the main entrance. And then we have seven additional right near the garage door, that would be for the other -- they're the closest in proximity to the resident-restricted units, so. And then for the yacht clubs we have plenty of parking for this entire site, we have a lot of parking.

But the analysis is based off square footage and that's the requirement we have to meet and are able to meet.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: You have -- like how many spaces do we have for the yacht clubs; do we have an actual number?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah. I could tell you we have the ability for -- residential units require 17, so 1.5 times 17 , so 26 spaces required. So out of the 70,26 are required for the residents and the balance is for the commercial space.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You have to do that again. You kind of lost me on that. Would you just go over that again?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No problem. So, the parking analysis is 26 spaces are required for the residential units, Mr. Foote. And the balance of the 80 spaces, of which 10 are land-banked, would be -- is deemed for the first floor commercial space. So roughly, I'll just do the math, 54 spaces for the first floor.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So with all this excess parking, it just makes you wonder why we even have to have any more parking on the street.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I understand that. But how the building was originally designed, the main entrance is where the proposed parking is on Sterling, so we definitely wanted it in close

1 proximity for the residents above to access their 2 condos versus walking; you know, it would be 3 roughly over 250 feet away.

MEMBER HAMMES: And it's also true that the commercial space requires under the Code a certain amount of parking, correct?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: One hundred percent; that's the reason for the big parking lot.

And the intent was always to convert that garage into parking so that we achieve the efficiency and the close proximity. Since that was denied, we're trying to keep spaces in close proximity and also mitigate the issue along Sterling by adding the green space.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, I definitely like the idea that you're taking the effort to reduce the amount of street parking. That's obviously, you know -- you know, I think that -- I imagine the Neighborhood Association likes that as well. It's just a shame that we can't come up with a plan that eliminates it completely.

In other words, you're saying it's a matter of convenience that if you actually have enough spaces but you want to make it -- you want to still maintain a number of convenient spaces for

1 the residential unit holders?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: One hundred percent. And to touch on that, the SBNA definitely worked hard to try and, you know, come up with a plan to get rid of it and put it inside. I mean, we put in several months trying to get that with the variance. But the main entrance is not only -it's the main entrance, it's the area where the elevator is, the ADA compliancy. And we definitely, as an owner of the project, want the ability for people to be -- you know, similar to your driveway or your house, you know, it's close to your door. We still need to achieve that for sure, because if we want them to walk from the back, it would be roughly a 250-foot walk. So it's like taking your driveway away and asking you to park down the street 250 feet away, if we eliminate it.

So that's our goal. And plus we need it by Code. We need this quantity by Code and we also need it for the reality of efficiency to the front door.

And that area of the street, there's two reasons for it. To make the sidewalk as contiguous as possible, and where the green space

1 and sidewalk is is the building would be -- it's 2 the closest to the road, so that'll give a decent 3 buffer between the road and the building by 4 adding the trees and the green space.

And then at the same time, since it's head-in parking, this is the widest portion of the road. And it's interesting, the school bus came by the other day and right now the entire road is just a curb all the way down; everything you see on the site plan all the way down to the east of this property is all curb, and there was a car parked along the curb and the school bus couldn't make the turn. And I believe in the past there might have been a no parking zone, I don't really know, $I$ don't see the signs now. However, when we -- with this parking where it's proposed will get rid of the curb, because the parking spots are on our property, they're not on Sterling Avenue. So it's going to give that bus driver the ability to have more room to make the turn. And at the same time, since these will be cars, I think -- I'm hoping people will be reluctant to parking behind them, similar to if they were to park behind someone's driveway. So I think it's going to help with larger vehicles

1 make the turn without the curb in this area, and 2 its, you know, suitable enough where it's the 3 widest point of -- portion of the road on

4 Sterling, Sterling Avenue.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, so am I
(*Laughter*)
Okay. Well, thank you. Does anybody have any further questions?

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't have any other questions, but I would like to say that I am still struggling with the spirit of Waterfront Commercial and the private yacht clubs, and will ultimately probably want some language that makes it clear, a little bit clearer what that means in terms of usage for those spaces. I definitely wouldn't want to see them using any one as a dwelling, an additional dwelling space.

And to the extent that there's wiggle room, you know, what you're talking about with the marine program for the school, that would be very laudable in my opinion, it would be a good use of that space. But I just -- I'm concerned because

1 it's not specifically defined within the Code,
2 but there's a lot of room there for things to be 3 done that I don't think anybody thought and there

4 was a reason why the Village required that much
5 Waterfront Commercial be maintained in that 6 building.

8 struggling back and forth with and I -- we're
So this is something I keep kind of going to have a public hearing on it and I guess we'll see what the public has to say as well, but I just wanted to put that out there so people knew where my thinking and head was at this moment on that point.

MEMBER KYRK: Yes, this is Reed. I agree, I really can't understand what the day-to-day use of that during the Summer, let alone the rest of the year would be.

MEMBER COTUGNO: Hello? Can I say something? Hello?

MEMBER HAMMES: Is that John?
MEMBER COTUGNO: This is John Cotugno.
Sorry I couldn't sign in the normal way. I am a member of the Planning Board, and I'm a little ambiguous about the parking, but that's the least of it. I'm totally against this indoor,

1 whatever, yacht club, whatever you want to call
2 it.

It would be great for me because I own a small apartment as well and my children and grandchildren often come, and I would definitely put beds in there and it'll be like their own apartment, they won't have to stay with me.

And in terms of renting it, forget it. I would rent it to any Tom, Dick or Harry. There's no way to enforce that, it is totally out of the question in my mind. That's only me, that's only me. But anybody can see it. I mean, the powder rooms are as big as bathrooms, you can easily add a tub in there. There's a little kitchenette, it would be perfect, my children will love that. I think I'll buy there, Paul, just so I can have my family stay there.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: To touch base on that, there's going to be annual inspections by Fire Marshals; this building's a sprinkler building, an alarm building. This -- there's zero -there's no shower going in, there's a half-bath going in. Because --

MEMBER COTUGNO: Well, then why are they bigger than bathrooms? Why are they bigger than

1 bathrooms if there's no shower? Why is the space 2 specifically dedicated where a shower or a tub 3 would be? Come on, Paul, I wasn't born

4 yesterday. It's all set up for it.

6 If you want to mitigate the size of the
7 bathrooms, I have no problem with that. The
8 intent was to have a half-bath, closet space, you 9 could mitigate the size of that, so you have 10 comfort there.

And I understand your concern, but it will

1 be -- there's going to be checks and balances.
2 There's going to be inspections because of the
3 fire system and the sprinkler system every year,
4 so someone's going to be able to tell if it's
5 being used inappropriately. And it's specifically stated in the offering plan it cannot be used for residential space, and it's in keeping with the other yacht clubs in the area.

MEMBER COTUGNO: I'm sorry, I believe you, but once all is said and done and somebody paid a good amount of money for the unit, they're going to do -- I'm not blaming you for it, they're going to do whatever they want until they get thrown out. And unfortunately, we --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: That's where I --
MEMBER COTUGNO: The Village can't enforce that, it's an unenforceable thing. You'd have to have a security guard by the door.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah. I mean, that's similar to somebody doing something illegal like converting a basement or whatever or a garage, there's code enforcement for that. This is a highly scrutinized project, so I think there will be checks and balances. And we're picking

1 something that's low impact and that's the number 2 one thing in the Code. You know, I will do 3 whatever comfort level on paper you want, but in 4 reality we're always susceptible to somebody 5 trying to do something that's not accurate to the 6 Code. But what I'm proposing is accurate to the 7 Code, it's utilizing a large space that has 8 proven not to be successful by one tenant. And I 9 really believe that with some of the local ideas 10 that if you give it a chance you'll see that this

11 is going to cure it from pretty cool things 12 because of these spaces.

MEMBER COTUGNO: Yeah. And everybody's welcome to their own opinion, that's my opinion. I would never vote for it but I'm only one out of whatever, four or five, or whatever there is, never in a million years. And don't take it personally, it has nothing to do with you, it has to do with reality.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I understand. I thought about this space for a long time, I'm picking something that's permissible by Code. I'm picking something that $I$ believe is low impact for the neighborhood and I'm picking something that will curate pretty good things.

But the number one thing, and we're steadfast on it as the owners of the property, that it's permissible by Code. And we will ensure that it won't be turned into residential space and that it'll be intended use for what it should be versus anything negative. And I'm pretty sure there's a lot of eyes on this project and we'll do the right thing, and I believe the buyers did the right thing and that's the best we can do. Because any Waterfront Commercial space could take advantage of a situation or a code and we have to adjust at that time. But until that's done, we're doing what's permissible by code.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So will the condo bylaws say things like this space expressly can't be used for residential use, you're not allowed to sleep in it and anything associated must be residential?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct. And it will limit it to what we're here before you, so there's transparency now and in the future. And you have some -- you have the draft language on it, but, yes.

And as far as the showers are concerned, there's none, there's no plumbing for it. You

1 could mitigate the size of it where then it -2 somebody would have to come in and do

3 construction, we could do that, you know. Not 4 that showers are illegal in a yacht club, but to 5 help mitigate the concern of it turning into a

MEMBER KYRK: So -- this is Reed. So if you were using it for your basically boating cabana. You know, one goes to the beach, you have a cabana, you change your clothes there, you -- is that a residential use of that property? I think it must be. And, you know, with John, I'm just envisioning that that would be the most appealing thing to do with that.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah? I mean, I'm sure --
MEMBER COTUGNO: I would love it. I would take a shower there, this way I don't mess up my bathroom.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: There's no showers.
MEMBER COTUGNO: There's the space for a shower, number one. And even if you didn't have the space, you're going to have like I have in the City, I turned the whole unit into a shower just by having a four-drain and a head.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Well, there will be annual inspections to check that it's staying Code compliant.

MEMBER COTUGNO: How about the other 51 weeks?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I don't -- you know, people take advantage of certain situations. I don't believe that's -- I know it's not my goal. I'll own one of these yacht clubs and I believe the people that are going to be using these will have enough space in their residential unit for the residential purposes.

MEMBER KYRK: Well, to flip it around, I'm trying to imagine a commercial business that would fit in that space. I could see a tackle shop, I see a $T$-shirt shop, something like that. So, you know, but to do that, you'd have to make it sort of a destination.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: It's a residential neighborhood.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: We have a marina to conform with the Waterfront Commercial use.

MEMBER KYRK: Right.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: Any -- listen, as a

1 developer --

MEMBER KYRK: The marina is not on-site, right? It's not contiguous with that property; is that correct?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, no, it's 100\% on-site touching within -- all the slips are, you know, walk out your yacht club right to your boat slip.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah, that's fine. I wasn't aware of that, okay. So you could have people arriving by boat and, you know, making use of the commercial -- you know, a sandwich shop, I don't know, I'm just trying to see the thing working, you know?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah, there's a fine line between what people are willing to live above and what a neighborhood could -- impact to a neighborhood and, you know, a tackle shop, I don't know how well that would do. A restaurant would be very tough in that area, a hotel would be very tough in that area.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah. If I was a jet ski mechanic, I'd love one of those.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yep, maybe a jet ski
salesperson could utilize their yacht club for that intended purpose. But we're not allowed

1 outdoor storage, that's the intent of the indoor storage. So there's some -- there are some issues with heavily commercial use and that's why we're not going that route.

MEMBER KYRK: Right, right. So it seems like you've got something that's appropriated for the water -- you know, the limited Waterfront Commercial use. It's just that the most appealing things to do with it are unlikely to happen because of the -- you know, because of the residential aspect of it, you know. It's just --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: It is very tough. It's not only our residential aspect, it's the neighborhood itself as well.

MEMBER KYRK: Sure.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: You know, I'm not ignoring that at all and I would definitely work with the Planning Board on defining any language if it gives more comfort. But there's --
member hamies: Yeah. I mean, I would just add that this is -- you know, this is also precedent for what other Waterfront Commercial space is left in the Village. So, we just are going to have to all be factoring that into our views on this as well because I don't -- you

1 know, again there was a reason they put that much 2 Waterfront Commercial space in there.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah, I agree. The only difference between this Waterfront Commercial area and the other areas of concerns without naming others of concern, this is in a predominantly residential neighborhood. This is not directly off downtown, this is not the STIDD property or the Clark's Property. If I was to own those properties, I would definitely do something much more retail, much more commercial -- tackle shop, restaurant, hotel, you name it -- because it would be sustainable there.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, but those aren't conditional uses, right? They're not -- again, you have to go back to what the thought process was which was this was supposed to be -- I understand what you're saying about it being residential and it's one of the reasons why I find this a particularly hard one to figure out where I actually fall on it because I know the neighborhood well, I walk through there all the time. But to go to what you're saying and some of the other things that have come up about what could be -- what uses there could be, there's a

1 difference between what's a permitted use and
2 what's a conditional use in Waterfront

3 Commercial, right? And again, I go back to
4 unless the Village as a whole in reviewing the
5 old WRP and other things makes zoning code
6 changes, the intention was for those Waterfront
7 Commercial areas to be principally used for the 8 permitted uses with the conditional uses. And 9 granted, we've seen what's happened on Front

So, this is not your issue, this was a Code issue, but it's just something that $I$, again, struggle with when I look at the stipulation agreement and the amount that was agreed to go into Waterfront Commercial with the intent originally being that it would be a principal Waterfront Commercial use.
`Having said that, I don't disagree with you that that doesn't really work very well with the neighborhood. So, again, I haven't -- I personally haven't really come to my final decision on this, but assuming that I get on

1 board with the idea, I'll definitely want to see
2 language around what those yacht clubs are for
3 and make it clear that they can't be used for
4 dwelling purposes. And to the extent that
5 they're being used, you know, for things that
6 they really have to be something that would
7 otherwise be Waterfront Commercial that's not a 8 T-shirt shop, for instance.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah, I totally agree and understand and look -- we'll work with the Planning Board on that language. And the number one reason we chose this use is because it is not -- it is a permitted use.

MEMBER HAMMES: I understand.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: And --
MEMBER HAMMES: It's a little bit unfortunate that there's not more definition around it. And I understand that there are other buildings over there that say that they're yacht clubs, but we all know that some of those are being used more for residential purposes and that's not something I want to see happen with your building.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yeah. I --
MEMBER KYRK: I mean, does it have to be a

1 usable ancillary use, does it have to be -- I
2 mean, you know, if it's not commercially
3 feasible, let's say, does that qualify? I mean,
4 because then, you know, potentially you're
5 building a place where you're likely going to 6 have empty spaces.

8 now. You know, what's feasible is similar to creating, you know, a sailboat, a mini sailboat camp, stuff like that. But just looking at this, I mainly own commercial properties throughout all forks and -- that's a good point, because there's very little that would succeed down there that's more of a commercial intent. And I'm not just saying that, it's been proven; look at the businesses that were there that went out of business. And unless you put a restaurant or a hotel, a coffee shop will fail, a tackle shop will fail, and this is my opinion, but I'm looking at proximity to downtown and finding this place. Not only that, you have a tackle shop, that means every day, several times a day UPS is coming in, things of that nature. It's not conducive for a heavy, intense use. And I would tell you right now, I wouldn't

1 rent a space there that is more retail. But I
2 certainly would look to, you know, create -- if I 3 was selling boats on-line it's a great space, if

4 I was an artist and I want an art studio or a 5 gallery it's ideal, we have the parking for that, 6 because that's not a high-impact thing. But I'll 7 tell you right now, you could go down the entire 8 list of the permitted uses and there's very few 9 that would be successful, and then we would have 10 empty space doing nothing.

And I'm all for protecting this space from becoming more residential. We're utilizing -- as it states on the stipulation, the garage area is the garage area and the balance of the property is still being used for Waterfront Commercial use which we believe is low impact. And we -- and that's not just for our -- who's living there, it's for everyone in that area.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: Wait, I'm sorry. Can I ask a question? I thought -- my understanding is that there are only yacht clubs, but now you're saying there can also be artist studios? I know the last time you went before the Zoning Board, there were several different things, but now is it's yacht clubs, is it --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We are limiting it as the sponsor of the building. Right now they are all defined as yacht club spaces. However, in the draft information you got it would be limited to yacht club, art studio or gallery only, but they would have to come back before the Planning Board to change it from yacht club to those spaces. But we are doing that so not only we have transparency on what's going to be the use there now and in the future, and also we have no choice but to set a parameter with the Attorney General review.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Paul, how many boat slips are there associated with the property?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Fourteen.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And -- so the only people who are entitled to a boat slip, you know, at least 12 of them are people who own the individual private yacht club space; is that correct?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: And are they entitled to rent out their boat slip spaces, or is that not permitted?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Not permitted.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: What is the -- just curious, and we'll find out, I guess, at the Public Hearing directly. But in your conversations with the Neighborhood Association, what is their input, then, in terms of the private -- this private yacht club space configuration?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I believe -- I'm not going to speak for them. We've worked hard together, I've been transparent with me, they've been transparent with me and they understand that this was the intent for this space. But I definitely would prefer to let them speak on their behalf, just for their -- out of respect of for the Neighborhood Association.

I expressed to them the reasons, which I've explained to the Planning Board tonight, for this private yacht club intended use and that's the best I can answer that.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Fair enough. Thank you.
MEMBER HAMMES: Walter?
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah?
MEMBER HAMMES: I'd like to make a suggestion. I mean, this is not -- we normally don't do site visits like the Zoning Committee

1 does, but $I$ personally think in this particular 2 instance it might be worth while to do a site 3 visit before the public hearing. I don't know if 4 anybody else on the Board feels that way, but where the marina is vis-a-vis the -- I mean, I walk by the building, but it's kind of all hidden back in there and I just think it might help us to envision this all a little bit better. For what it's worth, that's my view.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, I'd be in favor of that. I think it's a good suggestion. Thanks.

MEMBER COTUGNO: Yeah, it's a good suggestion and I would love to walk it. I would love to do that.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah, me too.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: You're not allowed to sleep in a yacht club, though.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Or take a shower. MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yes.

MEMBER COTUGNO: That's the other thing. In the small spaces, why can't there be a common toilet, even shower area in a small -- as long as it's common, like a dormitory.

Like I know, I've done a lot of strip kind of census and not everybody has their own toilet, there's a group of toilets in one area.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We have the space for it. COVID -- originally we were going to do one big yacht club, COVID helped change our minds to do individual, and with the bathrooms it's definitely more appealing to have your own. And plus --

MEMBER COTUGNO: I know.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: You know, one thing about this property that's great, it's privately maintained, but at the same time a common bathroom isn't always the cleanest and this is just more appealing.

MEMBER COTUGNO: Yeah. It's more of a temptation than appeal; it's a temptation.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, I think that, frankly, the way John describes it sounds more like the yacht clubs I've been visiting than the ones that you're proposing.

MEMBER HAMMES: Just going back to my point. So would it be possible to schedule a visit for the Planning Board members that want to attend, maybe before the next Planning -- the

1 next meeting when the public hearing will be 2 held, either that day or earlier in the day? 3 I don't know what time works for people. More 4 people on the Planning Board than me have more 5 commitments, so I'm pretty wide open.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Paul Pallas, do you want to suggest something here?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You know, given that the meeting starts typically at 4, I might suggest the day before so more people would be able to attend. I think it's really Board Members, if -- I don't know with your availability if you could do it early in the afternoon, say 2 or $2: 30$, between $2: 30$ and 3 or something like that, if you're all available.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: I can't do Wednesday afternoon. I can do Wednesday morning, or I could do Thursday, really

MEMBER COTUGNO: I was going to say, I'm amenable to a Saturday or a Sunday.

MEMBER HAMMES: I can do whenever, so (laughter).

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, it sounds like the default day is going to have to be -- if John can only do a Saturday or a Sunday, we want everybody

1 to be able to be available.

MEMBER COTUGNO: It doesn't have to be, I just figured that may make it easier for people who work. I didn't say it had to be on a Saturday or a Sunday.

MEMBER HAMMES: Well, Lily --
MEMBER DOUGHERTY: I work on Saturday and Sunday, so it doesn't -- I mean, I can do it Sunday morning.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Lily, what -- you said Thursdays you could do before the meeting? MEMBER DOUGHERTY: Yeah, I can do any time on Thursday.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Thursday is fine with me. I'll make my schedule -- I'll rearrange it if $I$ have to. Thursday sounds fine to me, earlier, before the meeting.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Reed? Reed, are you available?

MEMBER KYRK: Yes, I am. I'm available at any time, really, I shouldn't be a constraint. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Why don't we set the time, then, as a meeting time at 2:30 on the meeting night, which is the 27th of May. MEMBER KYRK: Sounds good to me.

MEMBER HAMMES: 2:30, is that what we're saying?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes. If you all believe that's enough time, it seems like it would be.

MEMBER HAMMES: Yes, that's fine with me.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: That should be just fine. Okay.

At this time, I'd like to -- does anybody else have any further questions with Mr. Pawlowski? If not, then I'll -- I move to schedule the public hearing for our next meeting in May. Do I have a second on this?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. All those in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
The Public Hearing is so scheduled for May the 27th. Thank you very much

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: At this time --
CLERK AURICHIO: Walter, before you adjourn the meeting, can $I$ ask you if the next meeting is going to be virtual or in public?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Oh, man, you have to put

1 me on the spot here? I would like to make it
2 public but -- you know, I'm fully vaccinated,
3 just speaking for myself, and I don't know where
4 other people -8 there's going to be a big turnout because of the 9 three public hearings.

MEMBER HAMMES: I'm fine doing fully public as well, I'm fully vaccinated. The only concern I have is the space limitations if we think that

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, what are the rules regarding space limitations currently? Does the Village have its own rules, does the State have rules that have been adjusted; does anybody know?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The rules as far as I know have not been adjusted, although they are changing very rapidly. You know, I can't predict, but certainly $I$ would think within a month there might be the ability to have additional -- additional speeds.

We can also open -- request that the Fire Department open the bay door for additional seating as well; they are generally -- can generally accommodate that. I will confirm that with them tomorrow, which would give us significant additional seating. You know, again,

1 we can set that up just in case.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Well, we don't have to decide that at this minute, we can decide that a little later, correct, whether we're indoors or virtual?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's --
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean, I think that if the bay can be opened up, that that's probably the right answer.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: Wasn't there also one Village meeting that was kind of both?

MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, that didn't work so well, though (laughter). The technology didn't work so well on that.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's very difficult, particularly the --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I think the in-person meetings are for matters, particularly these kinds of matters are just more meaningful when they're in-person, that's the way they're intended. And I think just for example, Paul may be able to pull out -- Paul Pawlowski may be able to pull out something on a chart. It's just --real-time is just easier to kind of communicate certain things that are more difficult when we do

1 it virtually.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY: I would agree. I just want it to be accessible to as many people as possible, so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right, absolutely.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I would also -- Mr. Chairman, I would also offer a suggestion. Since you will have three public hearings that night, that for folks that are only interested in one, that they defer to others who are interested in others. So if they're only there for, for example, the first hearing and they're not interested in -- sorry, they're only there for the third hearing, or interested in the first or second but they wait if we don't have the room. This is only a contingency if they don't have the room, that they wait outside until the -- until that hearing is concluded and that we can shift votes.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yeah, and hopefully it's not raining that day. But yeah (laughter), good idea.

All right. Well, thanks, everyone.
At this time I have a motion to adjourn this meeting. Do I have a second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second. MEMBER COTUGNO: Second. CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
The meeting is so adjourned. Thanks, everyone. Bye-bye.

CLERK AURICHIO: Thank you. (*The meeting was adjourned at 5:01 p.m.*)
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