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PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021
(The Meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m.)

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Good
afternoon. This is the Village of Greenport Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting Agenda scheduled for January 28th, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. being held via GoToMeeting.

I'm Patricia Hammes. I'm acting as Chair today, as Walter Foote was not available to attend this meeting. And so, with that, I think we'll -- we'll just go ahead and dive into things.

The first item on the Agenda is Item No. 1, which is a Motion to accept and approve the Minutes of the December 28th, 2020 Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting.

Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in
favor?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
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ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So that passes.

Item No. 2: Motion to schedule a combined Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting for 4:00 p.m. on February 25th, 2021.

Do I have a second?
(No Response)
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Reed or
Lily?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in
favor?
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Item No. 2 passes.

Item No. 3: Relating to 15 Front Street is a Motion to accept the Findings and Determinations for 15 Front Street. This property is located in the $W$-C
(Waterfront Commercial) District and is not located in the Historic District. It's Suffolk County Tax Map No.
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Do I have a second?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in
favor?
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Motion
passes.
We now move on to the Public Hearing section of the Meeting. And the first Public Hearing relates to Item No. 4, which is 151 Bay Avenue. This is a Public Hearing regarding the site plan application of Robin Mueller. Applicant Robin Mueller is the new owner of the bed and breakfast located at 151 Bay Avenue, formerly known as "Ruby's Cove Bed and Breakfast," and he wishes to open a new bed and breakfast known as "Whaler's Guest House". This property is located in the R-2 (One and Two-Family) District and is located in the Historic District. It is
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Suffolk County Tax Map No. 1001-5.-3-5.
So I open up the Public Hearing, although it doesn't look like we have a tremendous number of people on the -- on the -- at the meeting from the public.

I guess, first off, I guess,
Christoph, you're -- you're repre- -you're here representing the applicant; is that correct?

MR. MUELLER: Yes.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Okay. I --
I don't know if Reed or Lily have any questions. I mean, you guys gave us an overview last week on this as -- I mean, last month on this. As I understand it, there's no changes intended from the way that this has been operated in the past or any kind of modifications to the site plan, other than changing the name. That's correct, right?

MR. MUELLER: Right. And --
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: And --
MR. MUELLER: Well, he's a guy who personally moved there and live in
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ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: And you will be occu- -- you will be occupying the premises, Christoph?

MR. MUELLER: Correct, yes.
(At this time, the Stenographer asked Mr. Mueller for his last name.)

MR. MUELLER: I'm Christoph Mueller, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: I should have asked him to state that first.

Reed or Lily, do you guys have any questions or clarifications that you want to ask?

MEMBER KYRK: I do not. I was merely asking clarification about the fact that he's gonna live there.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Are there any members from the public that are on the meeting that want to ask any questions
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or have any comments?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Madame
Chair, if this is the appropriate time, I can talk about the file review that staff has done?

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: That would be great, Paul, if you want to go ahead and do that.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure. We did review the entire file for this property. It did receive approval, original approval, as a bed and breakfast. And, Amanda, check my time, my day, was it 2001?

MS. AURICHIO: Yes, it was 2001.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: 2001 I
received approval. It went through both Planning Board and Zoning Board. There was a variance request for an undersized lot. Lot is normally for bed and breakfast is required to be, rather, 10,000. This is less than that. So it did receive a Zoning Board variance approval which runs with the -- with the
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The layout of the building is virtually identical to the drawing that was provided by Mr. Mueller. We do not -did not see any changes to the structure from that time period to today.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Okay.
That's helpful, Paul, to know.
Reed or Lily, any thoughts, comments, views?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I just
had a question. I guess I'm assuming the parking is up to code even if it's not. Like, I notice that people have to park behind each other. They can't all get out, but that's -- that's okay, according to whatever rules there are?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That -that issue was actually a topic of discussion back in 2001. And the parking arrangements that were provided then, which appear to be the same as today, were accepted by both Zoning and Planning back
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MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
It's tricky from a, like, how -- for your guests, but I feel like that's sort of, like, the problem you guys have to deal with. So it doesn't -- I don't -- I don't think that's a problem for me. I was just curious.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Reed, anything on your side?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We view that as, as -- you know, we recognize that. The code requires parking on site. If there are any subsequent complaints, are parking on the street, we would -they -- we would deal with that, you know, through the normal channels that would be through Code Enforcement.

MEMBER KYRK: Yes. And I, I don't have any further questions. Parking is always my concern, and this addresses it.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Okay. I guess, unless anybody sees any reason to keep the Public Hearing open, we can go
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ahead and close the Public Hearing. Rob, that's fine, right? We have a quorum today, so.

MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So I move --
I move to close the Public Hearing.
Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in
favor?
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Okay. So I guess then we have to -- we need to have a motion to approve the site plan. Is that the next step, Rob?

MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So I propose
that we -- I propose a motion that we approve the site plan for 151 Bay Avenue.

Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in favor? Aye.
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MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So that passes.

So you're -- you're good to go, obviously, subject to complying with the bed and breakfast requirements of owner occupation and the parking on site.

MR. MUELLER: Thank you very much. ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Thank you.

MR. MUELLER: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So I think with that, we move on to Item No. 5, which is for a Public Hearing for 1410 Manhaset Avenue. This is a Public Hearing regarding the site plan application of Porto Bello Restaurant Corp., represented by AMP Architecture. The applicant proposes to construct a roof deck over the dining room for alternative seating, which is a change and expansion of a conditional use in the Waterfront Commercial District. This property is located in the $W$-C
(Waterfront Commercial) District and is
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not located in the Historic District. It is Suffolk County Tax Map No. 1001-3.-1-1.

So who do we have -- whoever's here to speak on behalf of the applicant, just announce your name and your addresses, please.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure. Anthony Portillo, AMP Architecture, 1075 Franklinville Road in Laurel, New York.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: I guess -- I think the main point we had discussed at the last meeting about this had focused on the occupancy and the aggregate limit, I guess, of the inside with -- with the addition. Has there been any change from the applicant's viewpoint on this?

MR. PORTILLO: No. So we're proposing to keep it at 89 occupants and mainly for having the space for the tables on the inside and then having the outside space so that they can, you know, keep the tables at certain distances from each other mainly due to Covid.

The idea here is that if in the
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future the -- my clients would like to, you know, have the right to obviously go to the Health Department, increase the occupancy once maybe some of the Covid restrictions are gone. But, furthermore, I mean, even if the restrictions are alleviated, $I$ think that for restaurants, they want to probably not be so close to each other even in the future. I think it's probably just gonna be the way that, you know, future restaurants are gonna be laid out due to what's happened this past year.

So, I mean, in the future,
there -- there could be a possibility that they would go and request for more seating and do the proper -- through the proper channels at the Health Department and get the occupancy increased. But for now, the occupancy would stay the same.

Now, with that said, obviously the roof deck would be for seasonal seating. So if they wanted to -- if the roof deck closed, they wanted to still be allowed to
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ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Reed or
Lily, any questions, concerns?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I
just -- I know we got a new site plan. Could you just remind me what's different from the --

MR. PORTILLO: We just changed
the --
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: -- old site plan?

MR. PORTILLO: Sure. We just changed the stair design of -- I -- slight mistake on my end. There were too many risers for code, so we added in a landing.

So now we basically have a right-turn staircase. But nothing else has changed in regards to the seating arrangements.
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ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Paul, is the -- is the changes in the stair now compliant with code?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I -- I believe so. If the landings were put in, that was something that was found by -you know, we had mentioned that to the architect and, from what $I$ can understand, he complied with our request.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I do -- I do have a question, however, if it's appropriate.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Somebody -somebody has -- okay, thank you.

Go ahead, Paul.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just on
the -- on the request to make this
seasonal, that becomes, you know, from an
enforcement standpoint, a little bit
problematic as long as it's truly seasonal
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and not, you know, July and it rains and suddenly we're all inside. I -- I think it would -- from a staff perspective, it would be simpler if there were, like, a date certain when the -- when it changes from inside to outside, assuming that's even appropriate. I would defer to counsel on whether that's the right approach. I think leaving it open-ended without a date certain would be difficult for us.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Although,
Paul, I just -- my -- my question -sorry, my question on that or my follow related point, and, Rob, obviously, you should then weigh in, if the -- the way $I$ had understood this was that we were going to approve it subject to current occupancy limit, which would be 89, and how they wanted to allocate that. Obviously, subject to any other limitations that apply because of Covid would be at their discretion. Is that not in line with what we had previously -- 'cause I -- I thought
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I understand it right now without putting the rooftop deck on and if Covid was not here, they would be able to have 89 people inside.

MR. PORTILLO: That's correct.
That's -- that's the current occupancy.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So,
obviously, under Covid it's half that amount, but that's -- that's a Covid restriction, right?

MR. PORTILLO: Correct.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So, Paul, I mean, your -- your concern that they move it back and forth, at least at the last meeting, we had talked about the fact that that was a flexibility that they might have. Now, I don't know that we're willing to let them automatically go and change the occupancy limit without coming back to us 'cause we're approving this on the basis of 89 people. But that was my -- that was my understanding, Reed. I don't know if you and Lily were -- I don't know if either of you were at the last
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MR. PORTILLO: Just to be clear, we -- we understand that if the -- if we were to -- if we were to increase the occupancy, we would go through the proper channels, one being the Planning Board at Greenport Village, the other being, obviously, Food and Health Department. So we would -- we understand that that would be required by us if we wanted to increase the occupancy down the road.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So, Paul,
I'm sorry, I didn't mean to totally hijack the conversation, but $I$ didn't really think that the point -- I didn't understand that we were making this kind of contingent on $X$ number were only allowed upstairs and $X$ number were allowed inside. And I don't think it's -- it was presented to us in that fashion, so.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, I
mean, I guess, I mean, it would only depend on when those Covid restrictions
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are lifted, right. So the -- if what you're saying is that as long as the total is 89 -- I mean, I guess we -- yeah, I mean, I guess -- I guess you're right. I guess we could -- we -- I think it would be okay, what you had suggested, Madame Chair. I think that would be -- we could work with that.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Unless Lily
or Reed have anything else, I guess I would open it up to anybody from the public that's on that wants to venture a comment.

MEMBER KYRK: I -- I do have a question. Maybe I'm just not following this. So basically, is there any requirement for the rooftop to have its own capacity limits?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Are you asking me?

MEMBER KYRK: I'm basically -because it -- it seems fine. It's consistent --

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 second. John, could you mute your microphone, please? Thank you. MEMBER KYRK: Yeah. I mean, the argument we heard last time was it was 89 is the occupancy after Covid, post Covid, split between the two -- the two floors. It's fine and acceptable to me. I'm just wondering why discussion is going on. Is there a particular reason? Do we have to, say, limit the roof occupancy to only so many people or is it acceptable just to have a total occupancy for both spaces of 89? 'Cause that's -- that latter is what I remember as the roof last week. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, I'm assuming the architect would agree that there is a limit, you know, a code limit in each of the spaces, regardless. So whatever those code limits are would need to be adhered to in each of the spaces. So already we have an absolute code limit of -- of, I think it's 89 inside. Well, that's where -- and that's the code limit and also subject of a CO. So that's --
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MEMBER KYRK: Yes.
MR. PORTILLO: Yeah. I mean --
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: I'm trying
to find the number, but that's in line with what $I$ recall from last week's --

MR. PORTILLO: Right. It's -- 89
is correct. We're proposing currently 44 on the roof, on the roof deck, and 45 in the restaurant area.

To Paul's point, obviously the space would allow for more seating and the square footage does allow for that size assembly. But due to the fact that they're really doing this in response to Covid at this time and they don't really want to even -- even maybe after the restrictions are lifted, in my conversations with the owners currently, they're probably gonna want to keep the

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021
inside sort of limited so that people feel comfortable.

So in the future, though, if
things sort of get back to normal and everyone's feeling less concerned, they might consider, obviously, going for the more occupants. But we would go -- we would come back to the Board and we would go to the Health Department.

MEMBER KYRK: Yeah. Okay. ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So just --
just to reiterate then, the ask is for approval subject to an aggregate 89 limit between the two spaces and --

MR. PORTILLO: Yes, ma'am.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: -- 44 on the rooftop.

MR. PORTILLO: Yes, ma'am, that's correct.

MEMBER KYRK: Thank you. That -that --

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All right.
I think -- it looks like Mr. Saladino has
a comment from -- from the public. John?
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MR. SALADINO: Is it time for the public?

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Go right ahead.

MR. SALADINO: My concern is not with the project. I think it's a great project. I think it's a perfect location for a rooftop deck. I think it's -it's -- it's one of the better locations in Greenport to have this type of thing.

My concern is, I'm fam- -- I'm intimately familiar with the property. The occupancy is 89 for indoor seating, but that particular property has additional seating outside. That particular property doesn't have access to the waste- -- to the wastewater treatment plant.

So any additional seating that they have -- so if -- if -- if the outside seating expands, like it did last year with excess tables, with outside tables and stuff, it puts a burden on their ability to get rid of sanitary waste until
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the Village and Safe Harbor come to an agreement that -- that alleviates that problem. I have a concern about sanitary waste.

Again, being intimately familiar with that property, there's been times that -- that their sanitary wells have overflowed into the parking lot. They have 185 boats in that marina, plus two bathrooms, three bathrooms, plus the restaurant.

So if -- if the property was
limited to the -- to the current 89
spaces, I think my concerns, they wouldn't be moot because I think there's an ongoing problem there. But I think -- I think I wouldn't have an argument under the current situation.

But -- but to expand -- but to say
you're limited to 89 spaces inside, makes no mention of the seating outside. The people that would -- that would patroni--- that would -- that would use that space would be expected to use both of their --

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 their -- their bathrooms, and that would add to the burden of an already overburdened sanitary system at Safe Harbor.

So, again, I'm not opposed to this
project. I think it's -- I think it's the -- it's a great location for a rooftop deck. I'm just concerned about -about -- about the sanitary waste that -that the outdoor seating, in addition to the 89 seats inside, would generate. And, and I'm not sure who I'm kind of, like, addressing this to, but --

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Well, first of all, just to back up, can you just -can -- I don't know if you said your full name and address, just so --

MR. SALADINO: Oh, I'm sorry.
John Saladino. I live at 314 Sixth Street.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Yeah, yeah.
So, obviously, Paul, Rob and Anthony, my recollection, again, going back to last month's meeting, was that
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there is a separate occupancy, a limit that applies to the outside that's already in effect that's not being changed. I hear what you're saying, but I don't -- my understanding -- and it may very well be an ongoing issue, but my understanding is, is that we're not, in effect, with this approval, would not be changing the aggregate amount of people that they are currently allowed to have on the property absent Covid restrictions.

MR. PORTILLO: Correct.
MR. SALADINO: I'm not -- I'm
not con- -- I'm sorry, I don't want to step on anyone.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Go ahead.
MR. SALADINO: I'm not concerned
about Covid restrictions. I'm not con- -I'm not concerned about -- about -- about social distancing. Again, being -- being familiar with the property, sometimes the outdoor space becomes -- becomes -sometimes the 89 seats that are allowed indoors expands to more because of the
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So any additional person that uses that restaurant, that patronizes that restaurant or that -- that facility would normally expect to use their restroom facilities. You know, if you're sitting, eating outside, inside, in the area, you would expect to use their facility. That facility's wastewater containment system is overburdened now. To add one additional person would -- would -- I -- I guess --

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: My point is --

MR. SALADINO: I guess what --
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: -- I don't
think we are. As I understand it, we aren't adding more additional person. They're allowed 89 people inside. And
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there is a separate limit. I don't know what the number is. I don't know if Paul or Amanda has it at their hands, but there's a separate limit that applies outside. Now, it may be that they have more people than that, than they're supposed to, and that's a Code Enforcement issue. But my under- -- at least my understanding, unless I misunderstand, is they're not asking to add anything to what they're currently allowed to have under the existing site plan in terms of the aggregate number. It's just an allocation of the number of people that are allowed inside, between inside and the roof. And the outside has its own separate limitation that already applies and is not being changed.

> Which, again, I under- -- I hear
what you're saying, John. I -- I -- I prob- -- I'm sure you're right, that there's a wastewater issue, but I'm not sure that this is -- that what we're doing here is going to change that.
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MR. SALADINO: It's my
understanding that the Department of Health, the Suffolk County Department of Health Services doesn't take outside occupancy into consideration when it computes indoor limits for wastewater treatment. So if you say, well, we're allowed 30 people outside or 40 people outside, the Suffolk County Department of Health Services doesn't take that into consideration. They take occupancy inside into consideration.
So if -- if somebody adds --
listen, we saw it -- we -- we've seen it this year because of Covid, that people were adding space any place they could, and rightfully so. Everybody want -should be entitled to make a living. Nobody should go out of business because of some pandemic. And, and -- but what we also should take into consideration is, is that the sanitary wells -- I'm sorry? What we also should take into consideration is, is that the sanitary
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So all I'm saying is, all I'm saying is, is that we should keep in mind that -- that sometimes more people than expected show up to perhaps use sanitary facilities and the burden becomes the Village's because it's -- it's the creek that suffers from excess sanitary waste. I understand that if -- if -- I understand that if somebody makes an observation and 90 people instead of -which is ridiculous, 90 people instead of 89 show up, you should call Code Enforcement and, and perhaps Greg Morris should show up and count the people and issue a -- but that's not the reality of the situation. The reality of the situation is, is that the sanitary system in that particular area is overburdened. And, and perhaps the Planning Board should just maybe give that a thought before we,
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(CROSSTALK)
MR. SALADINO: Not opposed to this project. I really, really like this project.

MR. PORTILLO: If you don't -- if you don't mind, I just -- just to give you my two cents on this, John. Nice to meet you, by the way.

MR. SALADINO: Sure.
MR. PORTILLO: If we were to
increase the occupancy, the things you're discussing right now, what -- we would have to go through that and prove that the sanitary could handle the increase in occupancy.

So I think at this time, at least my opinion is, at this time, that since we're not requesting that, we don't have to go through those channels. But obviously, in the -- if we did request that, we would have to go through those channels. We'd have to get those approvals. And that would be something
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MR. SALADINO: Well, my response to that is, again, being intimately familiar with that property from other projects, I'm also intimately familiar with the sanitary maps of that project. I know the capacity there. I know that the capacity that's being generated there. And any additional capacity, whether it be one, two, five, ten, whatever it is, would be in excess, that that's allowed or, or -- so, again, I -- I really don't want to stop this project.

All I want to do is prevent -until the Village and Safe Harbor come to an agreement about some kind of -- of -of -- of -- of horizontal directional drilling under the creek to get that wastewater to our wastewater treatment plant, I think it should be -- I think it -- I think that should be foremost on our minds. I think that should be the
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frontline that the Planning Board should be considering.

Any -- any -- any -- any
possible -- let's face it, on a Saturday afternoon in the summertime, on a sunny afternoon on the water, sometimes people just lose track of how many people are there, how many people are using the facilities, how many tables, perhaps, are outside.

We understand that the -- the --
that the current owners want to maximize profit, and I applaud that. I think that's a great idea, being a capitalist. I think that's a great idea. But sometimes we have to look at the other side of the coin, also. I think that the -- that the more people that you put in a particular area and expect to use those kind of facilities puts a burden on the Village.

And, and the Village, I mean -again, if this was -- if this was a property that was hooked up to the -- to
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the -- to the Village of Greenport wastewater treatment plant, I would be first in line to occupy that rooftop deck. But right now, you know, I -- I have concerns about the health of the creek. So I would just ask you to take that into consideration when you -- when you deliberate and you make your determination.

So, having said that, thank you. Thanks for listening.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Thank you.
Paul, did you have something you wanted to say?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just, I guess, I mean, $I$ know it's been said a couple times, and the -- we will do -staff will do everything we can to ensure that the total occupancy limits -- the combination of the roof and the interior will be maintained. You know, we'll do everything we can to ensure that so that there is no net change to the way it has been. And I'm -- you know, your -- your
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MR. HARBES: Just to fill in a couple of the unknowns that are being discussed at the moment and to perhaps answer some of Mr. Saladino's questions, is --

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Can
you first -- could you please first state your name, for the record, and your address, please?

MR. HARBES: Peter Harbes, 3550 Bay Shore Road, Greenport, New York 11944.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Thank you.
MR. HARBES: The total occupancy on the property, from my understanding, after talking to multiple officers at the Health Department, is a total of 129. So I just wanted to have that number out
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MR. GARRITANO: Also, it is our obligation to maintain that septic system that is there. That is our responsibility, not the Village's. So if that septic system overflows, it's our job to maintain it.

MR. PORTILLO: You know, and Peter and Bruce, just to make claim to this on the record, I mean --

MR. SALADINO: I'm sorry, that's not true.

MR. PORTILLO: You guys -- you guys are looking at the occupancy and making sure that you're not exceeding it. Just --

MR. HARBES: Correct.
MR. SALADINO: If I could. Again, John Saladino.

I'm not sure where -- where --
where Bruce gets the idea that it's his obligation to maintain that septic system. It's not his property. He's the contract

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 vendee. He's the leaser. The property belongs to Safe Harbor. The sanitary wells belong to Safe Harbor. What's generated into those sanitary wells -- you know, I -- I -- I -- I don't know if Bruce has -- has a -- has a meter on his -- on his -- on his bathrooms or -- or whatever to say that. I know, from personal experience, from -- from -- from -- from other projects on that property. And in the interest of full disclosure, I'm the member -- I'm a member of the Conservation Advisory Council. We've had numerous applications for that particular property. So we're -- we're -me, personally, I'm intimately familiar with the sanitary maps, the sanitary wells on that property and stuff and -- and we know they're overburdened. So to say that, that you're responsible for the sanitary system on that property is just not accurate. You might be responsible for what happens inside your restaurant. But once it

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 leaves your restaurant and it -- and it goes to that force main and goes to the wells, is outside your -- your domain. And if anybody that had gone to that property recently would see that those wells have overflowed into the parking lot and stuff.

So, so the amount of people that occupy that particular establishment, in addition to the marina. I'm -- I'm not holding the burden on these guys. I -again, $I$ don't want to make it sound like I'm opposed to this project. I really like this project. But in addition to the marina, the restaurant also has to take responsibility of what goes on on that property. And when sanitary waste leaches into the creek, it becomes their responsibility, whether it's the boats in the marina, whether it's the restaurant in the marina. It becomes a property-specific problem.
So all I -- all I would like the

Planning Board to do is, is to think

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 about -- is to think about -- and, again, 89 people inside, 37 people outside, if that's the number. My experience is, I saw additional tables set up outside. I saw additional people outside. I saw people just milling about outside. And again, I'm -- I'm okay with that. I just -- I'm just saying that that -- I'm not sure of the exact number. What'd you say, 124? 124 total people is static. I believe that's fluid. And, and all I would ask the Planning Board to do is to take that into consideration when it comes to the generation of wastewater.

If and when tomorrow Safe Harbor talks with Mr. Pallas and they -- they get their permit to connect to the Village of Greenport or wastewater treatment plant, I think that would make it even better. I think it's -- it's good. But right now, those are my concerns.

Thank you, again. Thanks for listening. ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Thank you,
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John.
I don't believe that there are any other members of the public on.

Lily, Reed, thoughts? Concerns?
MEMBER KYRK: No. I mean, we're -- what -- you know, I mean, the only thing is that if it's an 89 occupancy, it's an 89 occupancy. If -- if it has been or may be or will be in violation of an occupancy, I'm -- I'm not sure how that gives us any -- you know, by the book, it looks right. So I -- I have no problem looking at it as, you know, let's go ahead with it, especially during Covid. If there's an issue in exceeding the 89 occupancy at some time, well, I just don't know how we're gonna get it -in this meeting with the -- with the things that we have in our disposal. And maybe I'm being naive or not very insightful, guilty as charged, on occasion, but $I$ don't see how we mitigate the violation of the Health Department rule, the possible violation of a Health

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021
Department rule in the future. Those are my thoughts. I mean, it seems like a good idea to me, as well.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, I mean, I basically agree. It's definitely concerning. And maybe there's things that the restaurant could do to, like -- I mean, $I$ don't -- I don't know if we can -like, we could maybe encourage, like, low-flush toilets or, you know, not using as much water as restaurants tend to do, things like that, or -- I don't know if there's ways to fix the septic system without, you know, the -- joining the Green- -- the Village's. But if the occupancy is staying the same, it does seem like it's -- I mean, it would hap- -it could be happening, anyway, if all the Covid stuff wasn't happening. Or if it went away tomorrow, it would still be the same occupancy, so.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Yeah. I
mean, I guess I appreciate John bringing this to our attention. But I am of the
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view that we're not increasing the occupancy. And it's a concern, but I don't -- I don't see how turning down the proposal before us, frankly, mitigates that concern. I guess absent Covid, it might. I mean, you can say, well, if we don't give them the rooftop, they're gonna have less people just the fact because of Covid. But $I$ try not to make the decisions on this Board based on Covid since, hopefully, some day we'll be living without those restrictions.
So I -- I -- you know, it's
obviously something that, that we need to be conscious of going forward. And if the applicants ever came back and asked for an increase in occupancy for sure, I think it would be something that we would want to highlight and make sure that we addressed. But $I$ don't see in the context of this particular application really being able to do anything to specifically mitigate it. And it doesn't seem, to me, to be a justification for turning down this

PLANNING BOARD - JANUARY 28, 2021 application at this time.

MEMBER KYRK: Right. And just, yeah, now I'm alerted to the fact that we need to be especially vigilant there. But I don't see what we can do, reasonably do at this point in time for this application. I guess I'm just rephrasing what he said, but --

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Well, I make a motion to close the Public Hearing at this time.

Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in favor? Aye.

MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: And then I make a motion to approve the site plan application of Porto Bella Restaurant, Corp. subject to including in that approval the -- the current occupancy limits so it's clear that there's no more than 89 between the inside and the roof
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deck and the -- and subject to --
what's -- Anthony, I'm sorry, what's the number on the roof deck again? Was it 44? MR. PORTILLO: That's correct. 44 on the roof deck.

ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: And no more
than -- in any event, no more than 44 people on the roof deck. I would want to see those both incorporated. And obviously, then any other future increase in occupancy would require a revisit by the Planning Board at the time. So subject to those conditions, unless Reed or Lily, you have anything else you want to add, I would make a motion to approve this subject to those conditions.

Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in
favor?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
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ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: So, so it's
-- that -- that motion passes then.
And then the final motion -- I
mean, the final item on the Agenda is --
MR. PORTILLO: Thank you Board,
Planning Board.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: You're welcome.

The final is a motion to adjourn.
Do I have a second?
MEMBER KYRK: Second.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: All those in favor? Aye.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
MEMBER KYRK: Aye.
ACTING CHAIR HAMMES: Thank you
all for your help. And thank you to the applicants, and good luck with your future plans.
(Whereupon, the Meeting concluded
at 4:45 p.m.)
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