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(Meeting was called to Order at 4:01 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right. Let's get started.
Welcome. This is the
Village of Greenport Planning
Board Work Session/Regular
Meeting, December 14th.
First item, Item Number 1,
Motion to accept and approve the
minutes of the November 3rd, 2022
Planning Board/Work Session.
MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Any
discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right.
All in a favor?
MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Aye.
MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
Motion approved.
Item Number 2, Motion to
schedule the next Planning Board
Work Session and Regular Meeting
for 4:00 p.m. on January 5th,
2022. This should say

January 26th, 2023. I believe we
already made a Motion to accept
the January 5th meeting.
MEMBER CREEDON: I had both
in my calendar.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: At the
last meeting. I think we already scheduled the January 5th one.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Yeah, I think that's correct.
CLERK NOONE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So what we want to do now is schedule the January 26 th meeting, 2023.

CLERK NOONE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So we have both meetings lined up for January. Does that make sense to everyone?

MEMBER BUCHANAN: Yup.
MEMBER CREEDON: Yes.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Mm-hmm .

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Are you
checking something?
MEMBER HAMMES: Well, I'm
looking at the agenda for the last one, we may have added it, but it wasn't -- it wasn't on it. So the meeting for January 5th, see it was December 14th.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Mm-hmm.

MEMBER HAMMES: So it might make sense to just do both and make sure.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Let's do that. All right. I'll make a new Motion. That Motion's withdrawn.

Motion to schedule the next

Planning Board Work Session and
Regular Meeting for 4:00 p.m. on
January 5th, 2023 and -- okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: Fine, go
ahead.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: -- and
following the meeting will be a
Work Session and a Regular Meeting
on January 26th, 2023.
MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right.
All in favor?
MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Aye.
MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.

Thank you. Motion
approved.
Item Number 3, 45 front
Street. Motion to accept the
Findings and Determinations for

45 Front Street. The property is
located in the $W$-C Waterfront
Commercial District and is not
located within the Historic

District.
Will someone second that?

MEMBER CREEDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Some
discussion?

MEMBER HAMMES: I think the only comment I had, which I know I didn't pass on to Rob previously, but hopefully this could just be marked in.

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: Sure. MEMBER HAMMES: Is I think that the applicant had agreed that they would be in kind of -- I don't know what the right terminology would be, but the regular level tables as opposed to high tops.

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: Oh, right, so the high top, right, so
we can just add that in. MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

I want to add in, too, or suggest that we add in the location of the dumpster put be on the site plan. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN:

Indicated on the site plan? MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, indicated just so we know for the future.

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: Yeah, that's fine. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah, we can discuss it, so that would be good.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: I have a
question about this. Are these Determinations and Findings part of the public record? Or how would we -- how would someone find it later?

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: It's filed with the Village Clerk. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay. MEMBER HAMMES: Would they have to file a FOIL to get it? ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: Yes. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: An applicant. Any -- yes, the short answer is yes. It's kept with the property files and the applicant is mailed a copy within a certain of numbers of days, I forget the actual number, within a certain number of days of when it's signed, approved and signed.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm
sorry, was that responsive or not?
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Is that satisfactory to you or do you have a specific --

MEMBER HAMMES: No, it's not problem, I was just always -you know, it's actually a general
question, and Patrick had asked me a question, I was always wondering why it wasn't more included specifically as part of the record, either attached to the minutes or read out when the Trustees do at their meeting, I guess, is my -- my point. But it's not the biggest point, I was just curious.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Understood.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
All right.
So I'm going to -- I'm
going to change that Motion to say Motion to accept the Findings and Determinations for 45 Front Street, the property is located in the Waterfront -- in the $W$-C Waterfront Commercial District and is not located within the Historic District. And the applicant will indicate where the dumpster is
located on their site plan and the determinations will indicate that the tables will be standard low tables and opposed to high tops. Second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All in
favor?

MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Aye.
MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
Motion approved.
All right. Item Number 4,
15 Front Street. Motion to accept
the Findings and Determinations
for 15 Front Street. The property
is located in the $W$-C Waterfront

Commercial District and is not
located within the Historic
District.

Second?

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Any
discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: No. All
right.
All in favor?

MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

Aye.

MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
Motion approved.
Okay. We're gonna just
take a pause here for a moment and
wait for the Village Attorney; is
that right, Paul?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: It's
fine with me, yeah, just give him a few minutes.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:

Thought it might be helpful for you.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Sure.
MEMBER HAMMES: Maybe while we're waiting, I can ask a quick question?

I had sent an email to you
all about putting together a handbook for the Board Members. I didn't know if there were any issues with that or whether it was something we can get put together for people?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We
did -- we did take a look at it, I mean it's -- it's well over 1,000 pages.

MEMBER HAMMES: I don't --
I mean this is basically what it is (referring) because I basically
put my own together.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not
from the links that you provided,
it was --

MEMBER HAMMES: Just the highlighted things on the list.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I
believe even the highlighted items, the way when I looked at it --

MEMBER HAMMES: Well,
perhaps we should have a discussion, maybe Rob can look at it as well and tell us what he thinks is really important, but I think the concern $I$ have is I'm not sure everybody on the Board has copies of all of the documents that we really need to have, like the LWRP and the -- and the Code and the SEQRA stuff.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Understood. These obviously are
all available online, 'cause you
sent the links, it is what we -you know, at 1,000 pages that was just an insurmountable task. I apologize for not responding, but if you can narrow it down a little bit or maybe show me where I'm looking wrong, I'd be more than happy to take a look at it. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.

I'd like to do that. It's a good idea, it would be helpful for the Members to have a physical handbook right on the table here (referring).

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:

Sure.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So I
understand the concern about the size. So let's look at it and see if we can edit it down and -- but let's make it happen, so, it would be a good resource.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:
Sure.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: I'd
appreciate that. Thank you.
Is there other business
that people wanted to discuss
while we're waiting for the
Village Attorney?
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Did we want to talk about
training? Take a look at this.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
So I had a discussion with Paul
Pallas about training with either
Rob or Joe Prokop and -- either-or
or together, and I reached out to
both of them about trying to do something quickly before the end of the year, I haven't actually heard back.

> ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: Yeah, it's just a little difficult right now before the end of the year to -- to get anything really put together.

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: So we can do it very early in the -- in 2023.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah. Yeah, and we may do that with you in 2023. Joe was still saying he thought he could squeeze something in, so we might be able to do a session with Joe Prokop. But maybe we'll ask him when he's here.

## MEMBER BUCHANAN: I won't

 be available, I'm leaving tomorrow. I won't be here 'til next year.CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: That's
your loss. All right. Well, there'll be more.

You did get the link
that -- I forwarded a link that Mr. Pallas had sent to me about some online training, $I$ think.

It's from you Paul, correct? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:

Yeah. Well, it originated from Attorney Prokop.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But
he had sent that to me and I
forwarded it out, yes.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So have you looked at that, Shawn? Did anyone look at that? MEMBER BUCHANAN: No, it's online.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
I did look at it, but $I$ didn't do anything.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
Well, they're like YouTube things or something that you can do on your own, so I would encourage you do to do that. So that's another opportunity.

MEMBER BUCHANAN: Okay. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Thank you.

That's all I have on that.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Okay. Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Thank
you, Paul, for sending that along. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:

Sure.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean do
you want to start just talking
about it generally and then if we
have questions we can ask Joe? I
mean Joe's coming what, to answer
questions or to hear us?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I
would venture to guess both. MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Let's give him a few more minutes.
(4:10 p.m. Village
Attorney, Joseph Prokop, entered the room.)

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm
sorry, I didn't realize you were waiting for me.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah, it's okay.

MEMBER HAMMES: We were speedy with most of our business.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
So welcome, Joe. We just, we worked through our agenda and we're to the other business that we're going to spend a little bit of time discussing some work that Board Member Hammes did on marking up the proposed Moratorium and we understand you want to be here, so thank you.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I was contacted by the Village and asked to be here.

CLERK NOONE: Joe, did you want a copy of the markup?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, please.

CLERK NOONE: (Handing).
MEMBER HAMMES: It's the same markup you already have, Joe.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The Village Administrator contacted me and asked me to be here.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: If it would be helpful for the Board. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay, thank you.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right. So Tricia did a thorough review of this and we thought we would just spend a little bit of time where Tricia might walk us through the sort of salient points of what she -- of what her thoughts are on the draft Moratorium, and we don't need to get into like the edits of grammar and punctuation --

MEMBER HAMMES: No.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: -- but
if you may, you can.
MEMBER HAMMES: Sure, I can
walk -- try and do kind of the high-low on the questions.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah,
that would be great, we'd appreciate that.

MEMBER HAMMES: Not a problem.

So I had originally, just
taking a step back, done a markup
of both the Resolution and the
law. The Resolution, as everybody
knows, was enacted on Friday,
December 1st, so I think that is a
done deal. So I did not propose to discuss any of those comments,

I was just gonna focus on the comments that I had provided the Trustees and -- and the Village on the law itself on that day, which

I also had sent to all of you.
And the basis for my review
of this was $I$ did review kind of
20 plus Moratoriums that had been
enacted in New York State over the
last couple years that focused on land use in particular. There's a lot of them out there that deal with various specific things, like solar farms or battery plants, I did not really focus on those as much as ones that seemed to be similarly situated to the Village in terms of a need to kind of either put in place an initial Comprehensive Plan or, in most cases, update a plan that hadn't been updated for more than 10 years, as well as take a good look at the Zoning Code. And so that was kind of the basis for a lot of comments that I made as well as an understanding of some of the kind of more nuanced issues that might arise in the Village that unless you kind of were doing this on a day-to-day basis, you might not
think through and we could
inadvertently have issues with
during the moratorium, assuming it is enacted.

I had a question generally
about why this was an amendment to the Code as opposed to a
standalone law. And not the
biggest point, it was just what I had reviewed had largely been done as a standalone law, but $I$ think $I$ would totally defer to the Village on the right structure for that,
so I don't know that that's really worth discussing unless people want to.

Most of the -- a lot of the
comments in here are cleanup. I
think the first kind of global
comment I had kind of from a high
level substantive point comes up
when you look at 1.4 A. 4 little
i, which is on Page 2, which was
just to say that as you work
through the LWRP and necessary
Code changes, it might not be
limited to the Zoning Code, for instance, the noise ordinance is in a section, a separate section of the Code, subdivision is in a separate section of the Code, so in a number of places I had proposed that it should just really refer to the Greenport Village Code generally as opposed to just the zoning chapter.

The next thing was really
in the section -- this is when you
kinda get into the moratorium
language in 150-51. My
understanding was that the intent
was, taking a step back, was to have a moratorium on kind of the issuance of discretionary approvals relating to development use, construction in $C-R, G-C$ and W-C, so Commercial Retail, General

Commercial and Waterfront
Commercial not to affect at all
the residential neighborhood
during -- during the pendency of the moratorium. And so a number of the comments that $I$ made in the section in particular with respect to 150-51 (B) where you're kind of defining what is a development approval that's cut up was to -to make that clear, and then elsewhere throughout the draft is a cleanup to make sure we're referring to development approval, so we're referring to the correct term and not just inadvertently picking up any other approval that might be outside of that.

Then I think kind of the really next big substantive point was to add a number of exceptions, because the way the moratorium works, right, if you take a step back, is you have a moratorium, it says, okay, for six months, which is the proposed term of this
discretionary approvals will be issued with respect to
development, construction, operation of use of properties and businesses in the $W-C, C-R, G-C$, but obviously that you can't not have any exceptions to that, that's not in anybody's interest. And so there were a couple in here already that had been proposed, including kind of fixing,
alterations which were needed to maintain structures. Due to the nature of the Village having some residential properties that are actually zoned $W$-C, as well as some residential properties that are in res -- are in $R-1$ and $R-2$ but have kind of adjacent
properties owned by same property that are $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}$. There were a couple of points that $I$ thought should be adjusted to deal with that. So kind of at a high level of the
additional carve outs I had
suggested were development
approvals relating to repairs or restorations of existing bulkheads in any of those districts, as long as it kind of wasn't a material change in the size and it's not intended to accommodate a different use. And then also the construction of bulkheads, marinas and docking facilities on kind of waterfront infrastructure property on $W$-C property that is adjacent to a personal residence that's for personal use. So this is principally the properties that are located over on Sterling where they're on one side of the road and they're zoned residential, and the other side they own a piece of property that the $W-C$ and it's, you know, their personal marina or whatever, if there were issues there or they needed to build out
their floating docks, since we're not intending to capture
residential properties by this
moratorium, it seemed to me that that would also need to be cleaned up.

I also thought that, as I
mentioned before, we needed to
carve out the privately-owned
homes that by -- that just by the
vagary of circumstances fall in
W-C, since we're not intending
to -- to pick residential
properties up, and so I added a
carve out for that. Obviously so
long as it's for that use, it's
not like you could take a home and
it's in $W-C$ and then convert it
into a hotel, but if you needed to
get a construction permit on your
home because, just because it's in
the $W$-C, $I$ didn't think it should
be caught up by the moratorium.
The next exception that
seemed to me to be something that would be -- we all want to see would be if a property, use the shipyard for example, needed an accessory structure of some point, whether it was a garage or a tent, to help it with storing things
that are incidental to its business; so in other words, you
can't kind of create a new business, but you need a kind of
temporary or even permanent structure, but it's something that really is an accessory structure, I thought that should be -- should be permitted.

I thought extensions of any
development approval in effect on the date of enactment that might otherwise expire, that's probably
principally would pertain to
variances, should be allowed to
continue as long as they're working to get the work done.

And then I had added
approvals relating to a change of occupancy or tenancy where there's no change in material use in respect to the property and no other approvals would otherwise be required, and that as we all know, there are several vacant properties that were retail in the past downtown, if somebody wanted to open up a new retail in there, it seemed to me it was in everybody's best interest to allow that to happen. I think of

Creations by Lisa frankly is the perfect example, it's in the process of closing, if somebody wanted to move into that and not really make any changes to it, but either wanted to continue a children's clothing store or a
teen clothing store, $I$ don't think
that would be against the spirit
of the moratorium. And I think
without that we could
inadvertently -- I think Nina Weil
(phonetic) had raised this in his comments to the moratorium, we could inadvertently end up in a situation where we have a number of empty storefronts downtown, that would not be in anybody's
interests, so the point is as long
as you're not kind of materially
changing that which would be
against the spirit of the
moratorium, it should be
permitted.
Any questions on that? I
know it's a lot. It was kinda
fast. I don't know if anybody had
any other thoughts on other
exceptions that they thought
should be in there?
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: I had a
question just to clarify. The
example of properties along
Sterling Harbor where there's a
residence on one side of the street and there's a Waterfront Commercial on the water side of the street.

MEMBER HAMMES: Mm-hmm.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Is it necessary to make an exception for them? 'Cause they are separate lots in separate zones, right?

MEMBER HAMMES: The
exception that $I$ put in there
would only work if they were tied
together; in other words, it
wouldn't be somebody can go and
rent that out or sell it and then
you do it, it would be that
somebody, as I understand most of
those, not all of them, but most
of them are used by the people
that own the houses across the
street, and so if somebody needed
to extend their floating dock for
their personal use, I mean I'm
not, you know, that's really what

I was trying to get at, and so it ties, I think the language that I used was very specific, it had to be for personal use only and not for commercial use, so it's not like somebody could go and put a new commercial marina in there. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right. MEMBER CREEDON: Are those generally considered two lots or are they -MEMBER HAMMES: They are two lots, two separate lots. MEMBER BUCHANAN: They're two lots. MEMBER HAMMES: So the exception basically says they have to be owned by the same person and it has to be for an ancillary personal use.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay. MEMBER HAMMES: Anything? CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: The last, one of the last points that
you brought up about vacancies and allowing a new occupant to come in. I'd be concerned about that, so if it's in the Waterfront Commercial and they are coming in and saying they were proposing to put in a conditional use. MEMBER HAMMES: They wouldn't be able to do that. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Not a conditional use. MEMBER HAMMES: This
says -- this says where there's no change in material use in respect to the property and no other approvals are otherwise required. So, for instance, in, I guess, the shipyard, if somebody came in and wanted to continue to do what's already being done in the shipyard, that would be permitted, if somebody came in and said, I want to do something completely different, whether it was
permitted or a conditional use, but it wasn't what was already there, that would not be. And -and, in any event, if it ever tripped the requirement for a Building Permit or something like that, it wouldn't be. So even -even frankly for retail to retail, if the retail required a Building Permit, this exception would not apply.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right. MEMBER HAMMES: Basically the only -- it's that -- it's the issue of, $I$ think is $I$ can't remember if it was Chapter 65 or the issue that came up last time that I was trying to get at, which, again, I'm happy to -- if people don't like these exceptions, we can go back and, you know, suggest that they should come out, but I did think that there was some validity at least
in the Commercial Retail area and frankly anywhere where there's an operating business, that if that business either got sold to somebody else to be continued or somebody was coming in in a similar vein, that it wasn't in the interest of the Village to
kind of stop kind of that ordinary
course of commerce from going on. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So is
that, just to clarify though, because they wouldn't -- if they didn't trigger a Planning Board review or Building Permit, they're already -- it's already an exception, isn't it?

MEMBER HAMMES: Yes, that is true.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: They'd have to trip that. MEMBER HAMMES: That is
true, but $I$ wasn't even until last week aware of that issue and it
was not in the Zoning Code, I had never focused on the fact that if it's just a change in use, even though it's a permitted use, right, because the example is the yoga studio, for instance, would still be caught up by this, 'cause as I understand it, they need a Building Permit, so this won't -this will not help them, but the whole reason they had to come to us is not for the Building Permit, they had to come to us because of change of use, and even though it was a change from one permitted use to another permitted use, my understanding is that they were still required to come to us. We're not approving -- the

Building Permit's a separate issue.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right.
MEMBER HAMMES: Right?
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right.

MEMBER HAMMES: That's what my concern was.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right.
Do other people have questions?

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
I guess -- so sorry. I'm still
thinking about the same thing,
like Creations by Lisa is a conditional use.

MEMBER HAMMES: That is
true.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Because it's in Waterfront. So
wouldn't they have to come to us
just like Salt \& Sea did even
though it was a --
MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah --
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
To approve it.
MEMBER HAMMES: -- so I
guess if you want to except that we'd have to add in that you can continue a conditional use
without, but then I guess we would still want them to come back, so that's a good point. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

Right. I mean they would have to come to us, but we could approve it during the moratorium, that's what --

MEMBER HAMMES: Not the way
this is worded.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Right. Okay. Well, because I
think that -- I mean if we want
things like that to sort of be able to continue -MEMBER HAMMES: That's, again, a nuance of the Village because we've got that whole $W$-C District.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Right.
MEMBER HAMMES: So I guess
we can say on that particular --
if that's what we wanted to do or
suggest that the Board, because obviously it's ultimately the

Trustees that have to decide this, you could say approvals relating solely to a change in occupancy or tenancy where there's no change in material use with respect to the property and no other approvals would otherwise be required in the Village Code other than any conditional use which shall, you know, still be subject to the requirements of, so they would still have to come back to us on the conditional use. But maybe that's going too far, $I$ don't
know. I was really just trying to
make it so that there was an
ability for a business that was shutting down to continue under a new ownership.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Right.
MEMBER HAMMES: Or -- or a
similar type business. But you're correct, that if it's in the

Waterfront Commercial they would also --

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.

MEMBER HAMMES: -- they would trip that with the way it's worded now, which says no other approvals because a conditional use approval would be required.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah, I personally wouldn't want to make an exception for conditional uses being extended because I think the conditional use is one of the issues that the -- that we might address in the moratorium.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right?
MEMBER HAMMES: Well, then
that, I guess, would work from that perspective.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Okay.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Good.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

But it would allow someone to open an arcade as a retail spot. MEMBER HAMMES: It could. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, I think that makes sense. MEMBER HAMMES: I suspect that they wouldn't because they probably need a Building Permit, which would -MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, not that that matters. (Laugher.)

MEMBER HAMMES: Any other thoughts?
(No response.)
MEMBER HAMMES: All right.
Then most of the rest of the
changes in here were cleanup,
until we get to basically Section
150-51 (E), which -- so 150-51
(E)
is "Administrative Relief from
Moratorium based on Hardship." And this is what's known as the relief valve. It's similar to the concept that we have in the existing Code where if you can't comply with something under the Code, you need building to go to the ZBA for a variance. Most of -- or all of the Moratoriums I looked at have a relief valve that is effectively the equivalent of a variance from the law. The majority of them are required to get Board of Trustees' approval.

There were certain limited
instances where the Board of
Trustees had the ability to delegate that to the Planning

Board. The way that this original
provision in the law is drafted,
it was the Board of Trustees that
were able to grant the limited
relief. What my markup did was it
added a number of kind of more specifics around that, which seem more in line with the Moratoriums that $I$ was looking at, while the initial draft talked about showing unique and pervasive hardship, I went on and added kind of some provisions about what specifically would have to be provided so an applicant knows what they have to file if they're looking for that relief, what the Board, you know, kind of things that the Village Board would be entitled to request as part of that, which is generally the same things that a ZBA or a Planning Board would be able to request. A requirement
for the payment of fees and coverage of the Village's costs, a requirement for a Public Hearing, which every relief valve I saw had a Public Hearing in it. I don't know if there was a reason why the

Village didn't think that was necessary, but it seemed to me that that would be something, since it's a deviation from a law, it should be included. And then
kind of language that kind of circles back to say when the

Village Board makes its decision what do they kinda take a look at to prove out the fact that there's
this unique and pervasive
hardship? So that's what that all does.

> And then I would say that
the rest of this largely, again,
is cleanup and legal-type
language. And I think, you know,
the penalties, for instance, I
added the idea that you haven't
given up the right to seek an
injunction, you know, to make it
clear that your only remedy is not monetary damages.

I don't know, I hope that's
helpful. I know I'm a lawyer at heart, I tend to read documents and mark them up, so.

I think since this is being done obviously as an amendment to the Code, to Chapter 150, it will obviously require Planning Board review and signoff under the Code. I had kind of hoped that we'd have a revised draft before this meeting, but I -- we have not received that, $I$ assume it will be discussed if there is going to be one at the Trustees' meeting tomorrow night. And I don't know what the overall timing is, but given the 22 nd is when the Public Hearing is scheduled, I was wondering; one, whether this Board having walked through this and if there were any other comments or thoughts people thought that we should include, should we think about sending a letter to the

Board of Trustees for tomorrow
indicating that if these comments
were accepted and any other comments that we thought we should make or if there were no other material changes, that we would effectively would have no further comments unless there were other material changes on it and/or if we should try to at a minimum otherwise suggest that we'd like to, if possible, receive the draft before the 22 nd and we could endeavor through email to provide
any comments, 'cause I would not
like this Board to be the holdup
for the 45 days of this being
passed given what the community
seems to -- to want.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Well, I
think that makes a lot of sense,
so we want to -- we want to be
helpful, we want to do it as
quickly as possible. I don't want
to forego the ability to review whatever version of the law is going to be considered, so. MEMBER HAMMES: I don't think we can waive that. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah. MEMBER HAMMES: But I think we can send the message that if this is kind where the law ends up, we'll obviously need to take a look at it again before the 22 nd, but we are unlikely to have major substantive comments at that point.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Right. MEMBER HAMMES: Unless other people think differently. I'm open to whatever the will of the Board is. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Well, Joe, do you think that's something we might see before the 22 nd? ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yes.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: So
when -- from -- unless I'm
mistaken, when you started off by
saying that you're withholding
comments about the Local Law
because it was already enacted,
did you mean to say the
Resolution?
MEMBER HAMMES: The
Resolution. The Resolution. ATTORNEY PROKOP: The

Resolution. Okay.
So all the comments that $I$
got on the Resolution you're
withdrawing or?
MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah,
because the Resolution was
enacted, so there's no point in
commenting on it, correct?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't
know. Were you, I mean -MEMBER HAMMES: I assume --

I mean --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Are
you -- excuse me.
MEMBER HAMMES: You can
correct me if I'm wrong --
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I can say
that I do know, are you looking for an amendment of that Resolution?

MEMBER HAMMES: No, not at this time.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: No. No.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean I
think we're looking to try to make the law something that we don't run into a lot of problems once the law is enacted, and that's the request for some additional exceptions and language around the relief valve.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
And I have a few -- I have
a few questions, but $I$ don't think it's -- this meeting probably
might not be the proper form to ask those questions, but I'm happy to pass them along.

MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
Yeah. We -- that's fine. So --
MEMBER HAMMES: I mean is
it -- is it the intention for the Trustees to be discussing this tomorrow evening, do you know, by any chance?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I do --
you know, I don't -- I'm sure that
they will, you know. When you
said that it would have been nice
if you had an amended copy, we --
I don't have any -- there seems to be a discussion about whether or not an amended copy should have been done, but I don't have any authority to do an amended copy on
my own. I mean I have reviewed
your -- I have reviewed your
comments very carefully, I did
that the day I got -- the night I got them, late at night that night and the next day. And I -- I have prepared a version that the Trustees could review, if that's what they would like to do, but I -- there's no -- because we haven't had a meeting, there's no mechanism for the Board to -MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. ATTORNEY PROKOP: They
haven't given me the authority to make changes and there's no -- we already have a Local Law that's been submitted for Public Hearing, so I'm not sure how they're going to handle that, but I'm sure that it will be discussed tomorrow night. MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. Did you have any concerns or questions about the -- the comments?
said I do, but --
MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. So
you will send them separate.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I
definitely do, but $I$ don't think
that this meeting is the --
MEMBER HAMMES: Okay.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: And I
would like to discuss them with, you know, maybe two members, a few members of the Board, your Board. MEMBER HAMMES: Okay. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.

Well, we can do that.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: You let us know.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: I'm glad
you reviewed Tricia's comments and, you know, we're fortunate that Trish -ATTORNEY PROKOP: And, Mr. Connolly, I'm sorry, I
apologize, Mr. Connolly would --
I'd like him to be involved in any
discussion we have.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right. Good. Well, I'm
available. So, you know, you let
us know.
MEMBER HAMMES: I'm
available, $I$ mean we can do it
after this meeting if everybody
else left.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah.
MEMBER HAMMES: Whatever
you -- whatever's appropriate.
ATTORNEY PROKOP:

Whatever -- whatever facilitates
the process. You know, I'm
willing to do whatever moves this along.

MEMBER HAMMES: It would
probably be best to do it before the meeting tomorrow night.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
So we want to be helpful, too, so,
you know, to the extent that we can meet with you, you know, let us know, we can do it now, later.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, if
you're looking to bring the
meeting to close fairly soon, I can do it for a few minutes now. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay. All right. Let's -- all right. We'll discuss that once we close the meeting.

I just want to thank Tricia
for digging into this quickly and thoroughly. And, you know, we're fortunate to have Tricia on the Board, and so thank you for those efforts.

MEMBER HAMMES: Thank you. I try to do what $I$ can to help. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Any other questions on Tricia's work?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Any
other business?

MEMBER HAMMES: I just, I know we're closed on this, but I talked a lot, so I don't want to be the only person talking. I mean I'd be really be interested if other people have any views about this state of the moratorium or concerns about it, $I$ don't...

MEMBER BUCHANAN: I mean the only thing that concerns me that is not in this, but that's not even this Board, is I think the fact that $R-1$ and $R-2$ are not.

And looking at it, I mean some -people are buying properties and tearing them down and building things that look nothing like the rest of the neighborhood, and I think that whether you're a developer doing that in one place or whatever, that's just -- that's something that personally I wish could be reflected in here. I don't want to stop people being
able to put in a shed, put in a pool, do whatever they need to do, but I think some of those sort of things as, you know, we go back to the beginning of this document and the LWRP and how this is supposed to guide the entire Village, it feels a little bit like there's some development, but that's not this Board, I think that we're too far along that people don't necessarily want to do that, and I think people are concerned that that then impacts what they can do on their properties. And to some of the language that you included in your work, Tricia, I like how you said, you referenced the fact that it's not -- if you're living here, it's not -- because it's really to me it's like where are we overbuilding? Where are we building a house next to a house with a breezeway and saying it's
just an addition, you know, and things like that. So those are concerns that I have, but I don't think that this will -- I would like that to be maybe brought up down the road, but it's not something I think we can really add to this right now. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

I think one change that's saying we're not just looking at the Zoning Code, so they -- we could, we could look at it, I mean it's not going to stop development, but they could look at a Comprehensive Plan.

MEMBER BUCHANAN: Right. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

Which I think is the point. MEMBER BUCHANAN: Right. MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

But I also like the last thing
that you added about $I$ do think
like if something is just changing
occupancy or changing, you know, retail to retail and where it's approved, that should be allowed, because I don't think we want more empty storefronts either.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yes.

MEMBER HAMMES: Thanks,
Sorry.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: No, thank you, Tricia.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Maybe I
can say --
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yes.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm
thinking about how to handle this.
So, you know, not if we -- if I
was going to speak to you it would have to either be, you know, not a quorum of the Board or a quorum in Executive Session, and not to be disrespectful to including the other members whose views are important also, I just, I have some -- I have some -- I would
like to look over the language about what exactly will be -they'll be -- what the carve out, what you call carve outs are and/or exemptions or exceptions and what it is exactly that will be, there will be a moratorium on because that language is -- that language is one of the things that's modified in this, in these comments, so I'm going to take a look at that. I may have to discuss that with the Board of

Trustees directly, you know, directly, but it probably would be helpful if you, since you do have the -- since the Planning Board does have, you know, we have this requirement that you have to -- we have to make a trip around through the Planning Board before the Trustees take action, you know, it would probably be helpful if you gave us that, gave the Board that
ability or signoff, you know, based on these comments, if that's what you intend to do or not. You could -- I could speak to the Board and get their reaction and then we can have another discussion. You could -- your

Board could have another discussion.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah,
let's do that. You're gonna --
the Trustees' meeting is
tomorrow --
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: --
correct?
So before we signoff on it,
I think we need to be asked first to comment on it.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All
right. So we haven't been asked.
MEMBER HAMMES: That is
true.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So as
just a matter of process or procedure, I think the Trustees should ask the Planning Board for their comments, and then we could effectively signoff on it with the comments that have been prepared or we can add.

MEMBER HAMMES: Well, look, okay, I mean I would have thought again that we could say based on the initial draft, while this does not reflect our final comments, because we've not been asked to comment on a final draft, these are our comments on this draft, and then ultimately we're going to need an official transmission to us of the final draft to signoff on it, right? I mean I guess it's a chicken and the egg, but I would -- I would -- I guess I would have liked, and I guess maybe we should take a vote or
whatever the right thing is, but $I$ would like -- I would like a message to go to the Board before tomorrow night's meeting saying that these comments largely the Board's -- the Planning Board thinks that these comments are appropriate and should be looked into at.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
I agree with that. I think if we're going to get asked anyway, and we know legally we have to get asked, we mise well give them -MEMBER HAMMES: I mean I don't think this precludes us from commenting on the final draft. I just don't want to not make these comments, have them not take them tomorrow, and then we resubmit them, that seems to me to be a grossly inefficient process. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Mm-hmm, right.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So if I can just -- do you mind if $I$ just say something?

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Go ahead, please. MEMBER HAMMES: Yeah, go ahead.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: You know,
I think that one of things that occurs is that these comments came from Tricia, you know, and thank you for them, but they came, you know, they came from Tricia rather than from the Planning Board, so maybe, you know, instead of being stuck on whether or not these are your final comments or, you know, if maybe you could vote that as a Board you have consensus that these are, you know, Board comments, not necessarily being your final comments.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah.
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm
sorry, Mr. Connolly, as a
suggestion --
ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: No, that makes sense.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yeah, I
think that makes sense.

MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
I also kinda think, not to make it more complicated, but maybe just a very brief, 'cause like if I look at this, as not a lawyer, I'm kinda like, I don't get it right away.

MEMBER HAMMES: Mm-hmm --
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

Yeah, exactly. Just like a brief like here are our concerns, like briefly, and then -- and then the comments, just to explain to them why you think there should be these changes. Does that make sense? Instead of just -- just the comments, just a brief like we're concerned about whatever
they are. That, you know, people being able to do the same things that they've been doing and also like the procedure for exceptions. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: So like an executive summary? MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, exactly, just something that says, here, this is what we're concerned about, and this is what we should change, it doesn't have to be super long, just a very brief like so non-lawyers can be like, oh, okay, I get it.

MEMBER HAMMES: I mean I'm
happy to write that up and get
it -- I can get it out by some time tomorrow, but $I$ don't know if I can get it to everybody and wait for comments and get it out by some time tomorrow. CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: I
don't -- I don't think we need any further comments.

MEMBER HAMMES: So if you want me to write something up and send this over -- I guess I should send it to -- I don't know, Rob, does it have to come from you or can I --

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY: It doesn't have to come from me, no.

MEMBER HAMMES: -- send it to Paul and copy everybody on it?

ATTORNEY CONNOLLY:
Actually I'm going to be in the City all day tomorrow, so if you can then just send a copy.

MEMBER HAMMES: Because I already kind of have an executive summary from the comments I made at the -- at the Board Meeting that night anyway, so that will be the basis for it, so rather than having somebody else have to reinvent the wheel, I'm happy to do that and $I$ can get it in by,
you know, late morning tomorrow the latest.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Great.
So let's follow Joe's suggestion where we're going to make a Motion to essentially accept Tricia's work as the Board's comments at this time so that we can officially send them off to the Trustees.

Does that make sense, Joe, to you?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Yes,
okay.
So I'd like to make a
Motion to accept Tricia's work on the -- her comments on the Moratorium with the addition of an executive summary that's going to be forthcoming. And we will take Tricia's work and forward that to the Trust -- to the Village

Trustees as our Planning Board comments on the current draft of the Local Law.

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All in
favor?

MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:

Aye.
MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
Does that work for you?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Thank you.

Okay. Thank you, Tricia.
Thank you, Joe.
All right. So, any other business?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Okay.
Item Number 6, Motion to adjourn.

MEMBER HAMMES: Second.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: All in
favor?
MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
MEMBER HAMMES: Aye.
MEMBER DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON:
Aye.
MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BRENNAN: Aye.
Motion approved. Thank you.
(4:44 p.m. the Work Session
\& Regular Meeting of the Planning Board was adjourned.)
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